

Proper moduli spaces of orthosymplectic complexes

Chenjing Bu

Abstract

We construct proper good moduli spaces for moduli stacks of Bridgeland semistable orthosymplectic complexes on a complex smooth projective variety, which we propose as a candidate for compactifying moduli spaces of principal bundles for the orthogonal and symplectic groups. We also prove some results on good moduli spaces of fixed point stacks and mapping stacks from finite groupoids.

1 Introduction

1.1. Moduli spaces of coherent sheaves on a smooth projective variety are natural compactifications for moduli spaces of vector bundles, enabling the study of many flavours of sheaf-counting theories, which are a major branch in enumerative geometry.

However, less is known about compactifications of moduli spaces of principal G -bundles on a complex smooth projective variety, for an arbitrary reductive group G . This is not an issue for curves, as moduli spaces of semistable principal bundles are already compact, as shown by Ramanathan [18; 19]. But in dimension ≥ 2 , one needs a good notion of singular principal bundles to obtain compact moduli spaces.

An existing approach is that of *principal ρ -sheaves*, as in Gómez and Sols [9] and Gómez, Herrero, and Zamora [10], depending on a choice of a representation ρ of G .

In this work, we propose a different compactification when $G = O_n$ or Sp_{2n} , using *orthosymplectic complexes*, which are complexes of coherent sheaves that are isomorphic to their own derived duals. The enumerative geometry of such complexes has already been studied by the author [7] in the context of motivic Donaldson–Thomas theory.

1.2. Our main result, [Theorem 4.4](#), states that for a smooth projective \mathbb{C} -variety X and a Bridgeland stability condition τ on X satisfying certain assumptions, the moduli stack of τ -semistable orthosymplectic complexes on X with a fixed Chern character has a proper good moduli space in the sense of Alper [1].

This is a consequence of a more general result, [Theorem 3.1](#), which implies that if a stack has a proper good moduli space, then the same holds for its fixed loci of finite group actions and mapping stacks from finite groupoids into it.

Since the moduli stack of semistable orthosymplectic complexes is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -fixed locus in the moduli stack of semistable complexes, and the latter admits proper good moduli spaces by results in [\[2\]](#), [Theorem 4.4](#) follows.

1.3. One case that might be of particular interest is when X is a K3 surface or an abelian surface. In this case, the moduli stack of orthosymplectic complexes admits a derived enhancement with a 0-shifted symplectic structure in the sense of Pantev, Toën, Vaquié, and Vezzosi [\[15\]](#) (see [\[7, §6.2\]](#)), and it follows that the proper good moduli space admits a Poisson structure which restricts to a symplectic structure on the stable locus.

It would be interesting to determine if these moduli spaces have symplectic singularities in the sense of Beauville [\[4\]](#) (see Perego and Rapagnetta [\[16\]](#) for the case of sheaves), and if they admit symplectic resolutions, which, in the case of sheaves, are constructed in special cases by O’Grady [\[13\]](#) and shown to not exist in other cases by Kaledin, Lehn, and Sorger [\[12\]](#). We expect that our moduli spaces are locally modelled on symplectic reductions of moduli of representations of *self-dual quivers* in the sense of Derksen and Weyman [\[8\]](#) and [\[7, §6.1\]](#), and that an analysis similar to [\[12\]](#) would help with answering these questions.

1.4. Acknowledgements. The author thanks Fei Peng for suggesting a simpler proof of [Theorem 3.1](#), and Dominic Joyce, Young-Hoon Kiem, Tasuki Kinjo, and Naoki Koseki for helpful discussions and comments.

This work was supported by EPSRC grant reference EP/X040674/1.

2 Fixed loci and mapping stacks

In this section, we provide background material and preparatory results on fixed loci of finite group actions on stacks, and on mapping stacks from finite groupoids into stacks.

For background on algebraic stacks, see Olsson [\[14\]](#) or *the Stacks project* [\[21\]](#).

2.1. Fixed loci. Let S be an algebraic space, and let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack over S .

If a finite group Γ acts on \mathcal{X} over S , we define its *fixed locus* \mathcal{X}^Γ as the homotopy limit

$$\mathcal{X}^\Gamma = \lim_{B\Gamma} \mathcal{X}$$

of the corresponding functor from $B\Gamma$ to the 2-category of algebraic stacks over S .

More explicitly, it is defined by the functor of points $\mathcal{X}^\Gamma(T) = \mathcal{X}(T)^\Gamma$, where T is an affine scheme with a morphism $T \rightarrow S$, and $\mathcal{X}(T)^\Gamma$ is the homotopy fixed locus of the Γ -action on the groupoid $\mathcal{X}(T)$, which is the groupoid of pairs (x, φ) , where $x \in \mathcal{X}(T)$, and $\varphi = (\varphi_g)_{g \in \Gamma}$ is

a family of isomorphisms $\varphi_g: gx \xrightarrow{\sim} x$ in $\mathcal{X}(T)$ satisfying $\varphi_1 = \text{id}_x$ and the cocycle condition $\varphi_{gh} = \varphi_g \circ g\varphi_h$ for all $g, h \in \Gamma$.

See also Romagny [20] for related discussions.

2.2. Mapping stacks. Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack over an algebraic space S , and let Γ be a finite group. The *mapping stack*

$$\mathcal{M}ap(B\Gamma, \mathcal{X})$$

is defined by the functor of points $\mathcal{M}ap(B\Gamma, \mathcal{X})(T) = \text{Map}(B\Gamma, \mathcal{X}(T))$ for an affine scheme T with a morphism $T \rightarrow S$, where the right-hand side is the mapping groupoid, or more explicitly, the groupoid of pairs (x, ρ) with $x \in \mathcal{X}(T)$ and $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(x)$ a group homomorphism. We will show in [Theorem 2.5](#) that this is an algebraic stack.

For example, for a finite group Γ and a flat group algebraic space G locally of finite presentation over S , we have $\mathcal{M}ap(B\Gamma, BG) \simeq \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)/G$, where $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ is the algebraic space of group homomorphisms $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow G$, as a subspace in $G^{|\Gamma|}$ cut out by the relations $\rho(1) = 1$ and $\rho(gh) = \rho(g)\rho(h)$ for all $g, h \in \Gamma$ (see also [6, Lemma 2.8]), and G acts on $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ by conjugation. This can be checked directly via the functor of points.

2.3. Fixed loci and mapping stacks. We explain the relation between fixed loci and mapping stacks introduced above.

Let Γ be a finite group acting on \mathcal{X} over S . By generalities in category theory, the fixed locus \mathcal{X}^Γ is equivalent to the stack of sections of the morphism $\mathcal{X}/\Gamma \rightarrow B\Gamma$, that is, we have

$$\mathcal{X}^\Gamma \simeq \mathcal{M}ap(B\Gamma, \mathcal{X}/\Gamma) \underset{\mathcal{M}ap(B\Gamma, B\Gamma)}{\times} \{\text{id}\} . \quad (2.3.1)$$

The image of $\{\text{id}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}ap(B\Gamma, B\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to $BZ(\Gamma)$, where $Z(\Gamma)$ is the centre of Γ . Thus, if we write $\mathcal{M}ap'(\mathcal{X}/\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}ap(B\Gamma, \mathcal{X}/\Gamma)$ for the open and closed substack which is the preimage of this copy of $BZ(\Gamma)$, then

$$\mathcal{M}ap'(\mathcal{X}/\Gamma) \simeq \mathcal{X}^\Gamma \times BZ(\Gamma) , \quad (2.3.2)$$

since the left-hand side is a quotient $\mathcal{X}^\Gamma/Z(\Gamma)$, but the action is trivial.

It turns out that $\mathcal{M}ap(B\Gamma, \mathcal{X}/\Gamma)$ is sometimes more convenient to work with than \mathcal{X}^Γ , and we will often use properties of the former to deduce those of the latter.

2.4. Example. We present a simple example demonstrating the above relations, which also illustrates how higher coherence is involved in group actions and fixed loci on stacks.

Let $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$. Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/2$ act on BGL_n by taking the inverse transpose matrix, and use the conjugation by $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\} \subset GL_n$ to witness the equality of the double inverse transpose of a matrix with itself. In other words, regarding BGL_n as classifying rank n vector bundles, the action is given by taking the dual bundle, and we identify the double dual with the original bundle using ε times the usual isomorphism. See [7, Example 2.2.6] for more on this example.

The fixed locus of this action is

$$\mathrm{BGL}_n^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \simeq \begin{cases} \mathrm{BO}_n & \text{if } \varepsilon = 1, \\ \mathrm{BSp}_n & \text{if } \varepsilon = -1 \text{ and } n \text{ is even,} \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \varepsilon = -1 \text{ and } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, we have $\mathrm{BGL}_n/(\mathbb{Z}/2) \simeq \mathrm{BG}$, where $\mathrm{GL}_n \hookrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ is a group extension defined as follows: G is generated by $\mathrm{GL}_n \subset G$ and a generator $j \in G$, with the relations $j^2 = \varepsilon$ and $jg = g^{-t}j$ for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n$, where g^{-t} is the inverse transpose. Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{M}ap'(\mathrm{B}(\mathbb{Z}/2), \mathrm{BG}) \simeq H/G,$$

where $\mathcal{M}ap'$ is as in (2.3.2), and $H \subset G \setminus \mathrm{GL}_n$ is the closed subscheme of elements of order 2. Since $(jg)^2 = 1$ if and only if $g = \varepsilon g^t$ for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n$, H is isomorphic to the space of (anti-)symmetric invertible matrices. Under this identification, the (right) G -action on H is given by $g \cdot h = g^t h g$ and $jg \cdot h = g^t h^{-t} g$ for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n$ and an (anti-)symmetric matrix h .

When $\varepsilon = 1$, H consists of a single G -orbit represented by the identity matrix $h = 1$, and the stabilizer consists of $g, jg \in G$ for $g \in \mathrm{O}_n$. As $jg = gj$ for such g , we have $H/G \simeq \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{O}_n \times \mathbb{Z}/2)$, consistent with the prediction in §2.3 that $H/G \simeq \mathrm{BGL}_n^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \times \mathrm{B}(\mathbb{Z}/2)$.

When $\varepsilon = -1$, H is empty when n is odd. When n is even, it again consists of a single G -orbit, represented by the matrix $h = J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ of the standard symplectic form. The stabilizer consists of $g, jg \in G$ for $g \in \mathrm{Sp}_n$, and is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sp}_n \times \mathbb{Z}/2$, with jJ corresponding to the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Again, $H/G \simeq \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{Sp}_n \times \mathbb{Z}/2) \simeq \mathrm{BGL}_n^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \times \mathrm{B}(\mathbb{Z}/2)$.

2.5. Theorem. *Let S be an algebraic space, and let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack over S . Let Γ be a finite group, and consider the forgetful morphism*

$$p: \mathcal{M}ap(\mathrm{B}\Gamma, \mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}.$$

Then p is representable by algebraic spaces, so $\mathcal{M}ap(\mathrm{B}\Gamma, \mathcal{X})$ is an algebraic stack. Moreover, if \mathcal{X} is quasi-separated, then p is quasi-compact and quasi-separated; if \mathcal{X} has affine diagonal over S , then p is affine.

In particular, for any Γ -action on \mathcal{X} over S , the above also hold for the fixed locus \mathcal{X}^Γ and its forgetful morphism $\mathcal{X}^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. Let $T \rightarrow S$ be a morphism from an affine scheme T . For any morphism of affine schemes $T' \rightarrow T$, we may identify $\mathcal{M}ap(\mathrm{B}\Gamma, \mathcal{X})(T')$ with the groupoid of pairs (x, ρ) , where $x \in \mathcal{X}(T')$ and $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(x)$ is a group homomorphism.

Now let $x \in \mathcal{X}(T)$, and let $J = T \times_{x, \mathcal{X}, p} \mathcal{M}ap(\mathrm{B}\Gamma, \mathcal{X})$. The above description implies that

$$J \simeq \mathrm{eq} \left(\prod_{g \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}} (T \times_{x, \mathcal{X}, x} T) \xrightarrow{u} \prod_{g, h \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}} (T \times_{x, \mathcal{X}, x} T) \right),$$

where u, v send a family $(\varphi_g)_{g \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}}$ to the families $(\varphi_{gh})_{g, h \in \Gamma}$ and $(\varphi_g \circ \varphi_h)_{g, h \in \Gamma}$, respectively, where we set $\varphi_1 = \text{id}_x$. This proves the representability of p , and hence the algebraicity.

If \mathcal{X} has qcqs (resp. affine) diagonal over S , then the source and target of u, v are qcqs (resp. affine), and so is the equalizer.

For the final statement, we have shown that the properties hold for the morphism $\mathcal{X}^\Gamma \times \text{BZ}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}/\Gamma$. Applying a base change along $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}/\Gamma$ proves the properties for $\mathcal{X}^\Gamma \times \Gamma/\text{Z}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, and hence for $\mathcal{X}^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. \square

3 Good moduli spaces

This section is dedicated to proving the following result. See Alper [1] for background on good moduli spaces.

3.1. Theorem. *Let S be a noetherian algebraic space of characteristic zero, and let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack locally of finite type with affine diagonal over S , with a good moduli space $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$.*

Then for any finite group Γ , the stack $\mathcal{M}\text{ap}(\text{B}\Gamma, \mathcal{X})$ has a good moduli space which is finite over X . Moreover, for any Γ -action on \mathcal{X} over S , the same holds for the fixed locus \mathcal{X}^Γ .

Proof. We first prove the statement about $\mathcal{M}\text{ap}(\text{B}\Gamma, \mathcal{X})$.

By [Theorem 2.5](#), $\mathcal{M}\text{ap}(\text{B}\Gamma, \mathcal{X})$ is locally of finite type with affine diagonal over S , and the morphism $\mathcal{M}\text{ap}(\text{B}\Gamma, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is affine. By Alper [1, Lemma 4.14], $\mathcal{M}\text{ap}(\text{B}\Gamma, \mathcal{X})$ has a good moduli space Y which is affine over X , and it is enough to show that Y is also universally closed over X . By [1, Theorem 4.16 (i)], $\mathcal{M}\text{ap}(\text{B}\Gamma, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow Y$ is surjective, and it is enough to show that $\mathcal{M}\text{ap}(\text{B}\Gamma, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow X$ is universally closed.

Since $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ is universally closed by [1, Theorem 4.16 (ii)], it is enough to prove the following statement: Let R be a discrete valuation ring with fraction field K , let $x \in \mathcal{X}(R)$ be an R -point, and let $y \in \mathcal{M}\text{ap}(\text{B}\Gamma, \mathcal{X})(K)$ be a K -point whose image in \mathcal{X} is x_K . Then there is an extension of discrete valuation rings $R \rightarrow R'$, such that $y_{K'}$ (where $K' = \text{Frac}(R')$) extends to an R' -point $y' \in \mathcal{M}\text{ap}(\text{B}\Gamma, \mathcal{X})(R')$ lying over the same R' -point of X as $x_{R'}$.

The groupoid $\mathcal{M}\text{ap}(\text{B}\Gamma, \mathcal{X})(K)$ is equivalent to the groupoid of pairs (z, ρ) , where $z \in \mathcal{X}(K)$ and $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(z)$ is a group homomorphism. Fix (z, ρ) to be the pair attached to y , so $z = x_K$. Applying [Lemma 3.2](#), we see that there exists an extension $R \rightarrow R'$ and an R' -point $x' \in \mathcal{X}(R')$ with $x'_{K'} = z$, such that ρ lifts to a homomorphism $\rho': \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(x')$. The pair (x', ρ') now defines an R' -point $y' \in \mathcal{M}\text{ap}(\text{B}\Gamma, \mathcal{X})(R')$ extending $y_{K'}$, as desired.

Finally, for the last statement, we apply the above to the quotient stack \mathcal{X}/Γ . The coarse moduli space X/Γ of X/Γ is also the good moduli space of \mathcal{X}/Γ , as $\mathcal{X}/\Gamma \rightarrow X/\Gamma \rightarrow X/\Gamma$ is a composition of good moduli space morphisms in the sense of [1, Remark 4.4]. The above argument now gives a good moduli space of $\mathcal{X}^\Gamma \times \text{BZ}(\Gamma)$, which is also a good moduli space of \mathcal{X}^Γ , and it is finite over X/Γ , hence finite over X . \square

3.2. Lemma. *Let S be a noetherian algebraic space of characteristic zero, and let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack locally of finite type with affine diagonal over S , with a good moduli space $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$.*

Then given a discrete valuation ring R , an R -point $x \in \mathcal{X}(R)$, a finite group Γ , and a group homomorphism $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(x_K)$, where $K = \text{Frac}(R)$, there exists an extension of discrete valuation rings $R \rightarrow R'$ and an R' -point $x' \in \mathcal{X}(R')$ lying over the same R' -point of X as $x_{R'}$, with $x'_{K'} \simeq x_{K'}$, where $K' = \text{Frac}(R')$, such that $\rho_{K'}: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(x_{K'})$ extends to a Γ -action on x' .

Proof. When Γ is a finite cyclic group, this follows from Alper, Halpern-Leistner, and Heinloth [2, Theorem 5.3 (1)], which implies that \mathcal{X} satisfies the property stated in [2, Proposition 5.2 (1)],¹ where we use X as the base.

The general case also follows from the proof of [2, Theorem 5.3 (1)], but with the following modifications.

Firstly, we prove [2, Lemma 5.12] in this greater generality: We show that for R, K as above and an integer $N \geq 0$, every group homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(K)$ is conjugate to a homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(R) \subset \text{GL}_N(K)$. Equivalently, for any Γ -representation $V \simeq K^N$, there exists a Γ -invariant R -submodule $V_R \simeq R^N \subset V$ spanning V .

To prove this, take any submodule $V_0 \simeq R^N \subset V$ spanning V , and let $V_R = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma V_0$. Then V_R is a Γ -invariant finitely generated torsion-free R -submodule of V , hence free. The natural map $V_R \otimes_R K \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism: It is surjective by construction, and injective since $(-)\otimes_R K$ preserves injections and $V \otimes_R K \simeq V$. This proves the generalized lemma.

Secondly, the proof of [2, Proposition 5.11] needs to be modified as follows. It starts with an affine scheme $U = \text{Spec } A$ with a GL_N -action, and constructs a scheme X containing U and projective over $U//\text{GL}_N$. The stabilizer scheme $\text{Stab}_{\text{GL}_N}(X) \subset X \times \text{GL}_N$ parametrizing pairs (x, g) with $x \in X$ and $g \in \text{GL}_N$ with $g \cdot x = x$, which is projective over $U//\text{GL}_N \times \text{GL}_N$, and has a GL_N -action by the given action on X and conjugation on GL_N . For a certain GIT stability condition on $\text{Stab}_{\text{GL}_N}(X)$, its semistable locus is $\text{Stab}_{\text{GL}_N}(U)$, and by general results in GIT, the morphism $\text{Stab}_{\text{GL}_N}(U) // \text{GL}_N \rightarrow U // \text{GL}_N \times \text{GL}_N // \text{GL}_N$ is proper. It follows that $\text{Stab}_{\text{GL}_N}(U) / \text{GL}_N \rightarrow U // \text{GL}_N \times \text{GL}_N // \text{GL}_N$ is universally closed, so that a morphism $\text{Spec } R \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{\text{GL}_N}(X) / \text{GL}_N$ mapping the generic point to the semistable part can be modified to a morphism $\text{Spec } R' \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{\text{GL}_N}(U) / \text{GL}_N$ entirely inside the semistable part.

For our purpose, we need to replace $\text{Stab}_{\text{GL}_N}(X)$ by the scheme $\text{Stab}_{\text{GL}_N}^{\Gamma}(X) \subset X \times \text{GL}_N^{\Gamma}$ parametrizing pairs (x, ρ) with $x \in X$ and $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_N$ a group homomorphism such that $g(\gamma) \cdot x = x$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$; here GL_N^{Γ} denotes the product of $|\Gamma|$ copies of GL_N . Then GL_N acts on $\text{Stab}_{\text{GL}_N}^{\Gamma}(X)$ by the given action on X and conjugation on ρ , and $\text{Stab}_{\text{GL}_N}^{\Gamma}(X)$ is projective over $U // \text{GL}_N \times \text{GL}_N^{\Gamma}$. The stability condition on $\text{Stab}_{\text{GL}_N}^{\Gamma}(X)$ is given by the pullback of a line bundle on X as in the original proof, so the semistable locus is $\text{Stab}_{\text{GL}_N}^{\Gamma}(U)$, and we obtain a proper morphism $\text{Stab}_{\text{GL}_N}^{\Gamma}(U) // \text{GL}_N \rightarrow U // \text{GL}_N \times \text{GL}_N^{\Gamma} // \text{GL}_N$. As in the original proof, any morphism

¹ Although the property is only stated for discrete valuation rings essentially of finite type over S , it is proved for all discrete valuation rings there.

$\mathrm{Spec} R \rightarrow \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_N}^{\Gamma}(X)/\mathrm{GL}_N$ can be modified to a morphism $\mathrm{Spec} R' \rightarrow \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_N}^{\Gamma}(U)/\mathrm{GL}_N$.

The proof of [2, Theorem 5.3 (1)] can now be followed with the above modifications. \square

4 Orthosymplectic complexes

In this section, we apply [Theorem 3.1](#) to construct proper moduli spaces for orthosymplectic complexes. We first recall the notion of orthosymplectic complexes from the author [[7](#), §6.2].

4.1. Orthosymplectic complexes. Let X be a connected smooth projective \mathbb{C} -variety, and we fix the data (I, L, s, ε) , where $I: X \xrightarrow{\sim} X$ is an involution, $L \rightarrow X$ is a line bundle, s is an integer, and $\varepsilon: L \xrightarrow{\sim} I^*(L)$ is an isomorphism such that $I^*(\varepsilon) \circ \varepsilon = \mathrm{id}_L$.

This data defines a *self-dual structure* on the dg-category $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$ of perfect complexes on X , that is a contravariant involution

$$\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(I^*(-), L)[s]: \mathrm{Perf}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Perf}(X)^{\mathrm{op}},$$

with the identification $\mathbb{D}^2 \simeq \mathrm{id}$ induced by the isomorphism ε .

A *self-dual complex* is defined as a fixed point of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action on the underlying groupoid $\mathrm{Perf}(X)^{\sim}$. Explicitly, it is the data of a complex $E \in \mathrm{Perf}(X)$, an isomorphism $\varphi: E \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D}(E)$, an equivalence $\varphi \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D}(\varphi)$, and higher coherence data. If E lies in a heart of some t-structure on $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$, then the data (E, φ) is sufficient, with no need for higher coherence.

For example, a natural choice is to take $I = \mathrm{id}_X$, $L = \mathcal{O}_X$, $s = 0$, and $\varepsilon = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ (resp. $-\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$). In this case, orthogonal (resp. symplectic) vector bundles on X are self-dual complexes. For this reason, we call the self-dual complexes *orthogonal* (resp. *symplectic*) *complexes* in this case.

4.2. Stability conditions. Let (Z, \mathcal{P}) be a Bridgeland stability condition on X , as in [[5](#)], where $Z: K(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the central charge, where we set $K(X) \subset H^{2\bullet}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ to be the subset consisting of Chern characters of perfect complexes, and $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a slicing of $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$.

The *dual stability condition* $(Z^\vee, \mathcal{P}^\vee)$ of (Z, \mathcal{P}) is defined by $Z^\vee(\alpha) = \overline{Z(\overline{\alpha})}$ for all $\alpha \in K(X)$, where the bar denotes complex conjugation, and $\mathcal{P}^\vee(t) = \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}(-t))$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

For the stability condition to be applied to self-dual complexes, we require it to be *self-dual*, that is, we require that $(Z, \mathcal{P}) = (Z^\vee, \mathcal{P}^\vee)$.

Furthermore, to ensure good behaviour of moduli stacks, we require the stability condition (Z, \mathcal{P}) to have *rational central charge*, that is, $Z(K(X)) \subset \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$, and to satisfy the *generic flatness* and *boundedness* properties, as in Piyaratne and Toda [[17](#), §4] or Halpern-Leistner [[11](#), Definitions 6.2.4 and 6.5.2]. See [[7](#), Example 6.2.5] for examples of such stability conditions when X is either a curve, a surface, or a threefold satisfying the Bogomolov–Gieseker inequality of Bayer, Macrì, and Toda [[3](#)].

4.3. Moduli stacks. Given a self-dual stability condition $\tau = (Z, \mathcal{P})$ as above, the dual functor \mathbb{D} preserves semistable objects of slope 0, and the moduli stack $\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{ss}}(0; \tau)$ of semistable objects of

slope 0 (including the zero object) admits an induced $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action. The fixed locus

$$\mathcal{X}^{\text{sd,ss}}(\tau) = \mathcal{X}^{\text{ss}}(0; \tau)^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

is the moduli of semistable self-dual complexes.

By Alper, Halpern-Leistner, and Heinloth [2, Lemma 7.20, Examples 7.26 and 7.29], the stack $\mathcal{X}^{\text{ss}}(0; \tau)$ has affine diagonal, and for every Chern character $\alpha \in K(X)$ with $Z(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the open and closed substack $\mathcal{X}_\alpha^{\text{ss}}(0; \tau) \subset \mathcal{X}^{\text{ss}}(0; \tau)$ parametrizing semistable objects with Chern character α and slope 0 has a proper good moduli space. Therefore, applying [Theorem 3.1](#), we obtain the following:

4.4. Theorem. *Let X be a connected smooth projective \mathbb{C} -variety, and fix the data (I, L, s, ε) as above. Let τ be a self-dual Bridgeland stability condition on X with rational central charge and satisfying the generic flatness and boundedness properties.*

Then for every Chern character $\alpha \in K(X)$ with $\alpha = \mathbb{D}(\alpha)$ and $Z(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the open and closed substack

$$\mathcal{X}_\alpha^{\text{sd,ss}}(\tau) \subset \mathcal{X}^{\text{sd,ss}}(\tau)$$

parametrizing semistable self-dual complexes with Chern character α has a proper good moduli space. In particular, every connected component of $\mathcal{X}^{\text{sd,ss}}(\tau)$ has a proper good moduli space. \square

4.5. Remark. As in [2, Example 7.29], the theorem also holds for any self-dual Bridgeland stability condition τ' that is in the same connected component in the space of (not necessarily self-dual) stability conditions as one that satisfies the above properties, because for each fixed class $\alpha \in K(X)$, one can always find a stability τ satisfying the above properties that is close enough to τ' and defines the same semistable locus for α .

References

- [1] Alper, J., *Good moduli spaces for Artin stacks*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 63/6 (2013), 2349–2402. doi: [10.5802/aif.2833](https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.2833), arXiv: [0804.2242](https://arxiv.org/abs/0804.2242).
- [2] Alper, J., Halpern-Leistner, D., and Heinloth, J., *Existence of moduli spaces for algebraic stacks*, Invent. Math. 234/3 (2023), 949–1038. doi: [10.1007/s00222-023-01214-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-023-01214-4), arXiv: [1812.01128](https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.01128).
- [3] Bayer, A., Macrì, E., and Toda, Y., *Bridgeland stability conditions on threefolds. I: Bogomolov–Gieseker type inequalities*, J. Algebr. Geom. 23/1 (2014), 117–163. doi: [10.1090/S1056-3911-2013-00617-7](https://doi.org/10.1090/S1056-3911-2013-00617-7), arXiv: [1103.5010](https://arxiv.org/abs/1103.5010).
- [4] Beauville, A., *Symplectic singularities*, Invent. Math. 139/3 (2000), 541–549. doi: [10.1007/s002229900043](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002229900043), arXiv: [math/9903070](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9903070).
- [5] Bridgeland, T., *Stability conditions on triangulated categories*, Ann. Math. 166/2 (2007), 317–345. doi: [10.4007/annals.2007.166.317](https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2007.166.317), arXiv: [math/0212237](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0212237).
- [6] Brion, M., *Homomorphisms of algebraic groups: representability and rigidity*, Mich. Math. J. 72 (2022), 51–76. doi: [10.1307/mmj/20217214](https://doi.org/10.1307/mmj/20217214), arXiv: [2101.12460](https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.12460).

[7] Bu, C., *Orthosymplectic Donaldson–Thomas theory*, preprint v1 (26 Mar. 2025), arXiv: [2503.20667v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.20667v1).

[8] Derksen, H., and Weyman, J., *Generalized quivers associated to reductive groups*, Colloq. Math. 94/2 (2002), 151–173. doi: [10.4064/cm94-2-1](https://doi.org/10.4064/cm94-2-1).

[9] Gómez, T., and Sols, I., *Moduli space of principal sheaves over projective varieties*, Ann. Math. 161/2 (2005), 1037–1092. doi: [10.4007/annals.2005.161.1037](https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2005.161.1037), arXiv: [math/0206277](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0206277).

[10] Gómez, T. L., Herrero, A. F., and Zamora, A., *The moduli stack of principal ρ -sheaves and Gieseker–Harder–Narasimhan filtrations*, Math. Z. 307/3 (2024), 51. doi: [10.1007/s00209-024-03497-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-024-03497-6), arXiv: [2107.03918](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.03918).

[11] Halpern-Leistner, D., *On the structure of instability in moduli theory*, preprint v5 (4 Feb. 2022), arXiv: [1411.0627v5](https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.0627v5).

[12] Kaledin, D. B., Lehn, M., and Sorger, C., *Singular symplectic moduli spaces*, Invent. Math. 164/3 (2006), 591–614. doi: [10.1007/s00222-005-0484-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-005-0484-6), arXiv: [math/0504202](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0504202).

[13] O’Grady, K. G., *Desingularized moduli spaces of sheaves on a K3*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 512 (1999), 49–117. doi: [10.1515/crll.1999.056](https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1999.056), arXiv: [alg-geom/9708009](https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9708009).

[14] Olsson, M., *Algebraic spaces and stacks* (Colloq. Publ. 62; Am. Math. Soc., 2016).

[15] Pantev, T., Toën, B., Vaquié, M., and Vezzosi, G., *Shifted symplectic structures*, Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. 117 (2013), 271–328. doi: [10.1007/s10240-013-0054-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10240-013-0054-1), arXiv: [1111.3209](https://arxiv.org/abs/1111.3209).

[16] Perego, A., and Rapagnetta, A., *Irreducible symplectic varieties from moduli spaces of sheaves on K3 and Abelian surfaces*, Algebr. Geom. 10/3 (2023), 348–393. doi: [10.14231/ag-2023-012](https://doi.org/10.14231/ag-2023-012), arXiv: [1802.01182](https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.01182).

[17] Piyaratne, D., and Toda, Y., *Moduli of Bridgeland semistable objects on 3-folds and Donaldson–Thomas invariants*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 747 (2019), 175–219. doi: [10.1515/crelle-2016-0006](https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2016-0006), arXiv: [1504.01177](https://arxiv.org/abs/1504.01177).

[18] Ramanathan, A., *Moduli for principal bundles over algebraic curves. I*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math. Sci. 106/3 (1996), 301–328. doi: [10.1007/bf02867438](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02867438).

[19] Ramanathan, A., *Moduli for principal bundles over algebraic curves. II*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math. Sci. 106/4 (1996), 421–449. doi: [10.1007/bf02837697](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02837697).

[20] Romagny, M., *Group actions on stacks and applications*, Mich. Math. J. 53/1 (2005), 209–236. doi: [10.1307/mmj/1114021093](https://doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1114021093), arXiv: [math/0305243](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0305243).

[21] *The Stacks project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/>.

Chenjing Bu

bucj@mailbox.org

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford OX2 6GG, United Kingdom.