

# Node-Kayles on Trees

Nuttanon Songsuwan<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Faculty of Science at Sriracha, Kasetsart University, Sriracha Campus, Thailand*

[nuttanon.son@ku.th](mailto:nuttanon.son@ku.th)

---

## Abstract

Node-Kayles is a well-known impartial combinatorial game played on graphs, where players alternately select a vertex and remove it along with its neighbors. By the SpragueGrundy theorem, every position of an impartial game corresponds to a non-negative integer called its Grundy value. In this paper, we investigate the Grundy value sequences of  $n$ -regular trees as well as graphs formed by joining two  $n$ -regular trees with a path of length  $k$ . We derive explicit formulas and recursive relations for the associated Grundy value sequences. Furthermore, we prove that these sequences are eventually periodic and determine both their preperiod lengths and their periods.

**Keywords:** Combinatorial game, Grundy value, Impartial game, Node-Kayles

**Mathematics Subject Classification :** 05C57, 91A05, 91A46

---

## 1. Introduction

Impartial combinatorial games form a central object of study in combinatorial game theory. They are two-player, perfect-information, finite games without chance, in which the set of legal moves from any position is the same for both players and the winner is determined under the normal-play convention by making the last move [6, 7, 2]. Classic examples include Nim, subtraction and taking-and-breaking games, and various graph-based games such as Node-Kayles [6, 2, 1]. The unifying mathematical framework for such games is provided by the SpragueGrundy theorem, developed independently by Sprague [16] in 1936 and Grundy [13] in 1939 and popularized in the work of Conway and of Berlekamp, Conway, and Guy [7, 2].

The SpragueGrundy theorem asserts that every impartial game position  $G$  is equivalent, under normal play, to a single Nim heap of some nonnegative integer size, called its Grundy value or Grundy value  $\mathcal{G}(G)$ . The terminal position has Grundy value 0, and a position has Grundy value 0 if and only if it is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -position, i.e., a second-player win with perfect play. The Grundy value of position is defined recursively by the minimum excluded value (mex) rule: if  $O(G)$  is the set of options (positions reachable in one move) from  $G$  and  $S = \{\mathcal{G}(H) : H \in O(G)\}$  is the set

---

Received: xx xxxxx 20xx, Accepted: xx xxxxx 20xx.

of Grundy values of these options, then

$$\mathcal{G}(G) = \text{mex}(S),$$

where  $\text{mex}(S)$  is the least nonnegative integer not in  $S$  [6, 4]. This maximum-excluded (mex) rule gives a purely combinatorial procedure for computing Grundy values from the game graph.

A second pillar of SpragueGrundy theory is the behavior of impartial games under disjoint sum. When a game decomposes into independent components  $G = G_1 + G_2 + \dots + G_k$  (each move affects exactly one component), the Grundy value of  $G$  is the nim-sum (bitwise XOR) of the Grundy values of its components:

$$\mathcal{G}(G) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{G}(G_i) = \mathcal{G}(G_1) \oplus \mathcal{G}(G_2) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{G}(G_k).$$

Here  $\oplus$  denotes binary addition without carry [6, 1, 4]. This rule reduces the analysis of complex disjoint unions to that of their connected components and makes Grundy values a powerful algebraic invariant of impartial games.

Node-Kayles, also known as the domination game in some graph-theoretic contexts [9], is a canonical impartial game played on a simple graph  $G = (V, E)$ . In the normal version of Node-Kayles, players alternate choosing a vertex  $v \in V$ ; a move removes  $v$ , all its neighbors  $N_G(v)$ , and all incident edges from the graph, leaving the induced subgraph  $G_v = G \setminus N_G[v]$ , where  $N_G[v] = \{v\} \cup N_G(v)$  is the closed neighborhood of  $v$ . The player who first has no legal move loses. The game is impartial because both players have the same set of moves from any given position, and it is finite under normal play. Node-Kayles has been studied from both complexity-theoretic and structural perspectives: deciding the winner on general graphs is PSPACE-complete [5, 12], while polynomial-time algorithms exist for various restricted graph classes such as graphs of bounded asteroidal number, cocomparability graphs, cographs, and others [14, 4].

From the SpragueGrundy viewpoint, each simple graph  $G$  has an associated Grundy value  $\mathcal{G}(G)$ , defined recursively by

$$\mathcal{G}(G) = \text{mex}\{\mathcal{G}(G_v) : v \in V(G)\},$$

where  $\mathcal{G}(\emptyset) = 0$ , and for a disjoint union  $G = H \cup K$ ,

$$\mathcal{G}(G) = \mathcal{G}(H) \oplus \mathcal{G}(K).$$

To illustrate these definitions, consider Node-Kayles on some simple graphs and compute their respective Grundy values. Let  $K_n$  denote a complete graph with  $n$  vertices. Since the closed neighborhood of complete graph is  $V(K_n)$  itself, so the only move leaves the empty graph. Thus

$$\mathcal{G}(K_n) = \text{mex}\{\mathcal{G}(\emptyset)\} = \text{mex}\{0\} = 1.$$

for every integer  $n$ . Let  $P_n$  denote a path on  $n$  vertices. For  $P_1$ , the only vertex  $v$  has closed neighborhood  $N[v] = V(P_1)$ , so the only move also leaves the empty graph. Thus

$$\mathcal{G}(P_1) = \text{mex}\{\mathcal{G}(\emptyset)\} = \text{mex}\{0\} = 1.$$

For  $P_2$ , either endpoint has closed neighborhood equal to all of  $P_2$ , so any move again leaves the empty graph, and

$$\mathcal{G}(P_2) = \text{mex}\{\mathcal{G}(\emptyset)\} = 1.$$

For  $P_3$  with vertices  $v_1, v_2$  and  $v_3$ , playing at  $v_2$  removes all vertices and leaves empty graph (Grundy value of 0), while playing at  $v_1$  or  $v_3$  leaves a single isolated vertex  $P_1$  (Grundy value of 1). Hence the set of option Grundy values from  $P_3$  is  $\{0, 1\}$ , and

$$\mathcal{G}(P_2) = \text{mex}\{0, 1\} = 2.$$

If  $G$  is a graph in Figure 1, then as seen in Figure 2, the induced subgraphs  $G_{v_1}$  and  $G_{v_2}$  are isomorphic to  $P_1 \cup K_3$ , while the induced subgraph  $G_{v_4}$  and  $G_{v_5}$  are isomorphic to  $P_1 \cup P_1$  and  $G_{v_3}$  and  $G_{v_6}$  are isomorphic to  $P_1$  and  $P_3$ , respectively.




Figure 1: A simple graph  $G$




Figure 2: The induced subgraphs  $G_{v_1}, G_{v_2}, G_{v_3}, G_{v_4}, G_{v_5}$  and  $G_{v_6}$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(G) &= \text{mex}\{\mathcal{G}(G_{v_i}) : i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} \\ &= \text{mex}\{\mathcal{G}(P_1 \cup K_3), \mathcal{G}(P_1 \cup P_1), \mathcal{G}(P_1), \mathcal{G}(P_3)\} \\ &= \text{mex}\{\mathcal{G}(P_1) \oplus \mathcal{G}(K_3), \mathcal{G}(P_1) \oplus \mathcal{G}(P_1), \mathcal{G}(P_1), \mathcal{G}(P_3)\} \\ &= \text{mex}\{1 \oplus 1, 1 \oplus 1, 1, 2\} \\ &= \text{mex}\{0, 1, 2\} = 3. \end{aligned}$$

This simple example shows how the mex rule and disjoint-union rule ( $\oplus$ ) combine to yield Grundy values in practice.

Octal digit	Binary (bits)	Allowed actions for a removal of $i$ counters
0	000	No legal move of size $i$ (the player cannot remove exactly $i$ counters).
1	001	Take-all only. The player may remove exactly $i$ counters and the heap becomes empty.
2	010	Reduce only. The player may remove exactly $i$ counters and leave a single smaller heap (the remainder is kept as one heap).
3	011	Take-all or Reduce. Both options are permitted for a removal of $i$ counters.
4	100	Split only. After removing $i$ counters the remainder must be divided into two nonempty heaps.
5	101	Takeall or Split.
6	110	Reduce or Split.
7	111	Takeall, Reduce, or Split

Table 1: Interpretation of Octal game code

Node-Kayles is a generalization of Kayles [3], independently introduced by Dudeney [10] and Loyd [15]. Playing Node-Kayles on a path is equivalent to a particular *Take-and-Break* game introduced by Dawson [8], and now known as *Dawson's chess*, which corresponds to the octal game .137 (see [3, 7, 11] for more details). This consists of removing 1, 2 or 3 counters with certain options to shorten or split the heap, see Table 1. In that sense, .137 means: one single vertex can only be removed if it has no outgoing edges (anymore). Two vertices can only be removed if they form one connected component or if after their removal the remaining part of that connected component is still connected. For three vertices we have more possibilities. We are allowed to remove them if the remaining vertices are left in at most two connected components.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The Grundy value sequence  $(\mathcal{G}(P_n) : n \in \mathbb{N})$  is eventually periodic sequence with preperiod of length 51 and period 34.*

The periodic part of the sequence for  $n \equiv 0, 1, 2, \dots, 33 \pmod{34}$  are

$$8, 1, 1, 2, 0, 3, 1, 1, 0, 3, 3, 2, 2, 4, 4, 5, 5, 9, 3, 3, 0, 1, 1, 3, 0, 2, 1, 1, 0, 4, 5, 3, 7, 4.$$

The Grundy value sequence  $(\mathcal{G}(P_n) : 0 \leq n \leq 441)$  can be seen in Table 3 and coincides with OEIS sequence [A002187](#). This analysis has been extended to a wide range of Node-Kayles graph families, including powers and variants of paths, lattice graphs, prism graphs, chained and linked cliques, linked cycles and diamonds, hypercubes, and generalized Petersen graphs, often yielding explicit or recursive descriptions of their Grundy value sequences [6, 12, 14].

In this work we focus on the Grundy value sequences of Node-Kayles on rooted trees and on new graph operations that systematically build larger graphs from rooted components. We introduce a rooted grafting operation that joins two rooted graphs by a path connecting their distinguished roots, producing a new graph. Using the Sprague-Grundy framework, we derive recursive descriptions of the Grundy value of these rooted graftings in terms of the Grundy values of the constituent rooted trees and the length of the connecting path. We then investigate

the resulting Grundy value sequences for infinite families of rooted trees  $(T_{\{n\}} : n \in \mathbb{N})$  and  $(T_{\{n,m\}} : n, m \in \mathbb{N})$  in Section 2. We then present Grundy value sequence on the rooted graftings  $\left(T_{\{m\}} \cdot \overset{k}{\dots} \cdot T_{\{n\}} : k, n, m \in \mathbb{N}\right)$  through the computation, and we prove that all of these sequences are eventually periodic in Section 3. Our results thus provide new structured examples where periodicity of Grundy value sequences can be established rigorously, extending the catalog of Node-Kayles graph families with known Grundy value behavior and contributing evidence and techniques relevant to periodicity questions in octal and graph-based impartial games.

## 2. Node-Kayles on rooted tree

In this section, we investigate the Grundy value associated with Node-Kayles played on  $n$ -regular trees.

**Definition 1.** The  $n$ -regular tree  $T_{\{a_i\}_{i=0}^n}$  is a rooted tree of depth  $n + 1$ , where each vertex at level  $i$  (with the root at level 0) has exactly  $a_i$  children, for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . The branching factor at each level is determined by the sequence  $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *The Grundy value sequence  $(\mathcal{G}(T_{\{n\}}) : n \in \mathbb{N})$  are given by the following:*

$$\mathcal{G}(T_{\{n\}}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

*Proof.* By definition,

$$\mathcal{G}(T_{\{n\}}) = \text{mex} \left\{ \mathcal{G}(\emptyset), \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{G}(\cdot) \right\}$$

with  $\mathcal{G}(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{G}(\cdot) = 1$ . By the definition of disjoint union,

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{G}(\cdot) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{G}(T_{\{n\}}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

□

**Theorem 2.2.** *The Grundy value sequence  $(\mathcal{G}(T_{\{n,m\}}) : n, m \in \mathbb{N})$  are given by the following:*

$$\mathcal{G}(T_{\{n,m\}}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m \text{ is even;} \\ 2, & \text{if } n, m \text{ are odd;} \\ 3, & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

*Proof.* The move of the first player is consist of 3 action

- play at the root:  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n \cdot m} \mathcal{G}(\cdot)$
- play at the first level:  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{G}(T_{\{m\}})$
- play at the second level:  $\mathcal{G}(T_{\{n-1,m\}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{G}(\cdot)$

By the definition,

$$\mathcal{G}(T_{\{n,m\}}) = \text{mex} \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n \cdot m} \mathcal{G}(\cdot), \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{G}(T_{\{m\}}), \mathcal{G}(T_{\{n-1,m\}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{G}(\cdot) \right\}$$

Suppose  $m$  is even. It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{G}(T_{\{1,m\}}) = \mathcal{G}(T_{\{m+1\}}) = 1$ ,  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n \cdot m} \mathcal{G}(\cdot) = 0$  and

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{G}(T_{\{m\}}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

By using induction,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(T_{\{n,m\}}) &= \text{mex} \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n \cdot m} \mathcal{G}(\cdot), \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{G}(T_{\{m\}}), \mathcal{G}(T_{\{n-1,m\}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{G}(\cdot) \right\} \\ &= \text{mex} \{0, (0 \text{ or } 2), \mathcal{G}(T_{\{n-1,m\}}) \oplus 1\} \\ &= \text{mex} \{0, (0 \text{ or } 2), 1 \oplus 1\} \\ &= \text{mex} \{0, (0 \text{ or } 2)\} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

If  $m$  is odd, then  $\mathcal{G}(T_{\{1,m\}}) = \mathcal{G}(T_{\{m+1\}}) = 2$ ,

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n \cdot m} \mathcal{G}(\cdot) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{G}(T_{\{m\}}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

We left to show that  $\mathcal{G}(T_{\{2,m\}}) = 3$ . The Grundy value of  $\mathcal{G}(T_{\{2,m\}})$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(T_{\{2,m\}}) &= \text{mex} \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2m} \mathcal{G}(\cdot), \mathcal{G}(T_{\{m\}}), \mathcal{G}(T_{\{1,m\}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{G}(\cdot) \right\} \\ &= \text{mex} \{0, 1, 2 \oplus 0\} \\ &= \text{mex} \{0, 1, 2\} \\ &= 3 \end{aligned}$$

We are ready to finish our proof by strong induction. Assume that for some integer  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\mathcal{G}(T_{\{i,m\}}) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } i \text{ are odd;} \\ 3, & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

for all integers  $1 \leq i < n$ . If  $n$  is odd, we get


$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(T_{\{n,m\}}) &= \text{mex} \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n \cdot m} \mathcal{G}(\cdot), \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{G}(T_{\{m\}}), \mathcal{G}(T_{\{n-1,m\}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{G}(\cdot) \right\} \\ &= \text{mex} \{1, 0, 3 \oplus 0\} \\ &= \text{mex} \{0, 1, 3\} \\ &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

In the similar way for  $n$  is even,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(T_{\{n,m\}}) &= \text{mex} \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n \cdot m} \mathcal{G}(\cdot), \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{G}(T_{\{m\}}), \mathcal{G}(T_{\{n-1,m\}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{G}(\cdot) \right\} \\ &= \text{mex} \{0, 1, 2 \oplus 0\} \\ &= \text{mex} \{0, 1, 2\} \\ &= 3 \end{aligned}$$

□

The technique in the proof of Theorem 2.2 is to recursively compute the Grundy values of induced subgraphs. This technique is efficient since the combination of the graph of the same families with the one that we already known their Grundy value. Unfortunately, many induced subgraphs of  $n$ -regular trees  $T_{\{a_i\}_{i=0}^n}$  are not a  $n$ -regular trees when  $n$  is greater than 2. As a result, this method is far too tedious to be applied to larger graphs. For example, to determine the Grundy value of the Node-Kayles game on  $T_{\{2,2,3\}}$  we need to first find the Grundy value of the following graph



before we find the Grundy value of  $T_{\{2,2,3\}}$ .

The complexity of this process drastically increases when we try to determine  $\mathcal{G}(T_{\{a_i\}_{i=0}^n})$ . Hence, in order to study the Grundy value sequence of the Node-Kayles game on  $n$ -regular trees in general, we need to adopt another approach.

Despite from its complexity, we can conclude some properties of its Grundy value.

**Theorem 2.3.** *The Grundy value  $\mathcal{G}(T_{\{a_i\}_{i=0}^n})$  is positive if  $a_0$  or  $a_1$  is even.*

*Proof.* If the first player choose to play at root of the graph, the result will be the  $a_0 \cdot a_1$  copies of the graph  $T_{\{a_i\}_{i=2}^n}$ . If  $a_0$  or  $a_1$  is even, the Grundy value of this induced subgraph will always be 0. Therefore, the maximum excluded value of this graph will always be positive. □




Figure 3:  $n$ -regular tree  $T_{\{2,2,3\}}$

### 3. Rooted grafting of rooted tree

In this section, we investigate the Grundy value associated with Node-Kayles played on the graph construct by connected 2 1-regular tree together by a path.

**Definition 2.** Let  $G$  and  $H$  be a rooted graph and  $P_k$  be a path of length  $k$ . The *rooted grafting graph*  $G \overset{k}{\cdot} \cdot \cdot H$  is construct by identifying a root of graph  $G$  to one end of the path  $P_{k+1}$  and root of graph  $H$  to the other end.




Figure 4: The rooted grafting graph  $G \overset{3}{\cdot} \cdot \cdot H$

Next, we give the observation to on the rooted grafting graph  $T_{\{n\}} \overset{k}{\cdot} \cdot \cdot$  for the initial case when  $n$  or  $k$  is equal to 1.

**Observation 1.**  $\mathcal{G} \left( T_{\{n\}} \overset{1}{\cdot} \cdot \cdot \right) = \mathcal{G} \left( T_{\{n+1\}} \right)$

**Observation 2.**  $\mathcal{G} \left( T_{\{1\}} \overset{k}{\cdot} \cdot \cdot \right) = \mathcal{G} \left( P_{k+2} \right)$

To determine the Grundy value sequence  $\left( \mathcal{G} \left( T_{\{n\}} \overset{k}{\cdot} \cdot \cdot \right) : n, k \in \mathbb{N} \right)$ , we will use the induction to classify the type of the graph.

**Theorem 3.1.** For every positive integers  $k$  and  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\mathcal{G} \left( T_{\{n\}} \overset{k}{\cdot} \cdot \cdot \right) = \mathcal{G} \left( T_{\{n-2\}} \overset{k}{\cdot} \cdot \cdot \right).$$

k	$P_k$	$\mathcal{G}(P_{k+2})$	$T_{\{n\}} \cdot \overset{k}{-} \cdot$	$\mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{n\}} \cdot \overset{k}{-} \cdot\right)$	
				n is odd	n in even
-3	$\emptyset$	0	$\cdot \times n - 1$	0	1
-2	$\emptyset$	0	$\emptyset$	0	0
-1	$\emptyset$	0	$\cdot \times n$	1	0
0	$\emptyset$	0	$T_{\{n\}}$	1	2
1	.	1	$T_{\{n+1\}}$	2	1

Table 2: Extended definition of  $T_{\{n\}} \cdot \overset{k}{-} \cdot$  and  $P_k$  for  $-3 \leq k \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* Before we proceed with the induction proof, it is convenient to extend the definitions of  $T_{\{n\}} \cdot \overset{k}{-} \cdot$  and  $P_k$  to  $-3 \leq k \leq 1$  and determine the corresponding Grundy values as follows in Table 2. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{n\}} \cdot \overset{k}{-} \cdot\right) &= \text{mex} \left( \left\{ \mathcal{G}(P_{i-2}) \oplus \mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{n\}} \cdot \overset{k-1-i}{-} \cdot\right) : 1 \leq i \leq k+2 \right\} \right) \\
&= \text{mex} \left( \left\{ \mathcal{G}(P_{i-2}) \oplus \mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{n-2\}} \cdot \overset{k-1-i}{-} \cdot\right) : 1 \leq i \leq k+2 \right\} \right) \\
&= \mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{n-2\}} \cdot \overset{k}{-} \cdot\right)
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 3.1.** *For every positive integer  $n, k$ ,*

$$\mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{2n-1\}} \cdot \overset{k}{-} \cdot\right) = \mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{1\}} \cdot \overset{k}{-} \cdot\right) = \mathcal{G}(P_{k+2}).$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{2n\}} \cdot \overset{k}{-} \cdot\right) = \mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{2\}} \cdot \overset{k}{-} \cdot\right).$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *The Grundy value sequence  $\left(\mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{2\}} \cdot \overset{k}{-} \cdot\right) : k \in \mathbb{N}\right)$  is eventually periodic sequence with preperiod lenght 310 and period 34.*

*Proof.* Let  $T(k) = \mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{2\}} \cdot \overset{k}{-} \cdot\right)$  and  $P(k) = \mathcal{G}(P_k)$  be the Grundy value of the game  $T_{\{2\}} \cdot \overset{k}{-} \cdot$  and  $P_k$ , respectively. By definition,

$$T(k) = \text{mex}(\{P(i-2) \oplus T(k-1-i) : 1 \leq i \leq k+2\})$$




Figure 5: Proof of Theorem

With the initial conditions in Table 2, we can compute the values of sequence  $(T(k) : 1 \leq k \leq 441)$ , which can be seen in Table 4. The sequence is periodic with period 34 when  $311 \leq k \leq 441$ . Assume that for some  $k \geq 442$ ,  $T(i) = T(i - 34)$  for all  $345 \leq i < k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
T(k) &= \text{mex}(\{P(i-2) \oplus T(k-1-i) : 1 \leq i \leq k+2\}) \\
&= \text{mex}(\{P(i-2) \oplus T(k-1-i) : 1 \leq i \leq k-346\} \\
&\quad \cup \{P(i-2) \oplus T(k-1-i) : k-345 \leq i \leq k+2\}) \\
&= \text{mex}(\{P(i-2) \oplus T(k-35-i) : 1 \leq i \leq k-346\} \\
&\quad \cup \{P(i-36) \oplus T(k-1-i) : k-345 \leq i \leq k+2\}) \\
&= \text{mex}(\{P(i-2) \oplus T(k-35-i) : 1 \leq i \leq k-32\}) \\
&= T(k-34),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes our induction.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** For every positive integers  $m, n$ , let  $a = 2 - (m \bmod 2)$  and  $b = 2 - (n \bmod 2)$ ; thus  $a = 1$  if  $m$  is odd and 2 if  $m$  is even (similarly for  $b$ ). Then

$$\mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{m\}} \cdot \frac{k}{-} \cdot T_{\{n\}}\right) = \mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{a\}} \cdot \frac{k}{-} \cdot T_{\{b\}}\right).$$

*Proof.* By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{m\}} \cdot \frac{k}{-} \cdot T_{\{n\}}\right) &= \text{mex}\left(\left\{\mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{m\}} \cdot \frac{i-4}{-} \cdot \cdot\right) \oplus \mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{n\}} \cdot \frac{k-i}{-} \cdot \cdot\right) : 1 \leq i \leq k+3\right\}\right) \\
&= \text{mex}\left(\left\{\mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{a\}} \cdot \frac{i-4}{-} \cdot \cdot\right) \oplus \mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{b\}} \cdot \frac{k-i}{-} \cdot \cdot\right) : 1 \leq i \leq k+3\right\}\right) \\
&= \mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{a\}} \cdot \frac{k}{-} \cdot T_{\{b\}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** For every positive integers  $m, n, k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{2m-1\}} \cdot \frac{k}{-} \cdot T_{\{2n-1\}}\right) &= \mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{1\}} \cdot \frac{k}{-} \cdot T_{\{1\}}\right) = \mathcal{G}(P_{k+3}), \\ \mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{2m\}} \cdot \frac{k}{-} \cdot T_{\{2n-1\}}\right) &= \mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{2\}} \cdot \frac{k}{-} \cdot T_{\{1\}}\right) = \mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{2\}} \cdot \frac{k+1}{-} \cdot \right)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{2m\}} \cdot \frac{k}{-} \cdot T_{\{2n\}}\right) = \mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{2\}} \cdot \frac{k}{-} \cdot T_{\{2\}}\right).$$

**Theorem 3.4.** The Grundy value sequence  $\left(\mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{2\}} \cdot \frac{k}{-} \cdot T_{\{2\}}\right) : k \in \mathbb{N}\right)$  is eventually periodic sequence with preperiod lenght 640 and period 34.

*Proof.* Let  $T(k) = \mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{2\}} \cdot \frac{k}{-} \cdot \right)$  and  $T_2(k) = \mathcal{G}\left(T_{\{2\}} \cdot \frac{k}{-} \cdot T_{\{2\}}\right)$  be the Grundy value of the game  $\left(T_{\{2\}} \cdot \frac{k}{-} \cdot \right)$  and  $\left(T_{\{2\}} \cdot \frac{k}{-} \cdot T_{\{2\}}\right)$ , respectively. By definition,

$$T_2(k) = \text{mex}(\{T(i-4) \oplus T(k-i) : 1 \leq i \leq k+3\})$$

With the initial conditions in Table 2, we can compute the values of sequence  $(T_2(k) : 1 \leq k \leq 747)$  which can be seen in Table 5. The sequence is periodic with period 34 when  $641 \leq k \leq 747$ . From Theorem 3.2,  $T(i) = T(i-34)$  for all  $i \geq 345$ . Then for  $k \geq 748$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}T_2(k) &= \text{mex}(\{T(i-4) \oplus T(k-i) : 1 \leq i \leq k+3\}) \\ &= \text{mex}(\{T(i-4) \oplus T(k-i) : 1 \leq i \leq k-345\} \\ &\quad \cup \{T(i-4) \oplus T(k-i) : k-344 \leq i \leq k+3\}) \\ &= \text{mex}(\{T(i-4) \oplus T(k-i-34) : 1 \leq i \leq k-345\} \\ &\quad \cup \{T(i-38) \oplus T(k-i) : k-344 \leq i \leq k+3\}) \\ &= \text{mex}(\{T(i-4) \oplus T(k-i-34) : 1 \leq i \leq k-31\}) \\ &= T_2(k-34).\end{aligned}$$

which completes our induction.  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

Write support, acknowledgment, dedicatory, and grants here.




Figure 6: Proof of Theorem

## References

- [1] M. Albert, R. Nowakowski, and D. Wolfe. *Lessons in play: an introduction to combinatorial game theory*. AK Peters/CRC Press, 2019.
- [2] E. R. Berlekamp, J. H. Conway, and R. K. Guy. *Winning ways for your mathematical plays, volume 1*. AK Peters/CRC Press, 2001.
- [3] E. R. Berlekamp, J. H. Conway, and R. K. Guy. *Winning ways for your mathematical plays, volume 2*. AK Peters/CRC Press, 2003.
- [4] H. L. Bodlaender and D. Kratsch. Kayles and nimbers. *Journal of Algorithms*, 43(1):106–119, 2002.
- [5] H. L. Bodlaender, D. Kratsch, and S. T. Timmer. Exact algorithms for kayles. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 562:165–176, 2015.
- [6] S. Brown, S. Daugherty, E. Fiorini, B. Maldonado, D. Manzano-Ruiz, S. Rainville, R. Waechter, and T. Wong. Nimber sequences of node-kayles games. *Journal of integer sequences*, 23, 2020.
- [7] J. H. Conway. *On numbers and games*. AK Peters/CRC Press, 2000.
- [8] T. R. Dawson. *Caissa's Wild Roses*. Number 1. TR Dawson, 1935.
- [9] E. Duchene, S. Gravier, and M. Mhalla. Combinatorial graph games. *Ars Combin*, 90:33–44, 2009.
- [10] H. E. Dudeney. *The Canterbury Puzzles*. Courier Corporation, 2002.
- [11] A. Flammenkamp. Sprague-grundy values of octal-games, 2000. Last updated 05 May 2021.
- [12] R. Fleischer and G. Trippen. Kayles on the way to the stars. In *International Conference on Computers and Games*, pages 232–245. Springer, 2004.

- [13] P. M. Grundy. Mathematics and games. *Eureka*, 2:6–8, 1939.
- [14] A. Guignard and É. Sopena. Compound node–kayles on paths. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 410(21-23):2033–2044, 2009.
- [15] S. Loyd. *Sam Loyd's cyclopedia of 5000 puzzles, tricks and conundrums with answers*. The Lamb Publishing Company, 1976.
- [16] R. Sprague. Über mathematische kampfspiele. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal, First Series*, 41:438–444, 1935.

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
0	0	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	0	3	3	2	2	4	0	5	2	2	3	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	1	0	4	5	2	7	4
1	0	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	0	3	3	2	2	4	4	5	5	2	3	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	1	0	4	5	3	7	4
2	8	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	0	3	3	2	2	4	4	5	5	9	3	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	1	0	4	5	3	7	4
3	8	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	0	3	3	2	2	4	4	5	5	9	3	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	1	0	4	5	3	7	4
4	8	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	0	3	3	2	2	4	4	5	5	9	3	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	1	0	4	5	3	7	4
5	8	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	0	3	3	2	2	4	4	5	5	9	3	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	1	0	4	5	3	7	4
6	8	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	0	3	3	2	2	4	4	5	5	9	3	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	1	0	4	5	3	7	4
7	8	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	0	3	3	2	2	4	4	5	5	9	3	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	1	0	4	5	3	7	4
8	8	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	0	3	3	2	2	4	4	5	5	9	3	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	1	0	4	5	3	7	4
9	8	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	0	3	3	2	2	4	4	5	5	9	3	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	1	0	4	5	3	7	4
10	8	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	0	3	3	2	2	4	4	5	5	9	3	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	1	0	4	5	3	7	4
11	8	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	0	3	3	2	2	4	4	5	5	9	3	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	1	0	4	5	3	7	4
12	8	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	0	3	3	2	2	4	4	5	5	9	3	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	1	0	4	5	3	7	4

Table 3: Grundy value of  $P_k$

14

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
0	2	1	3	0	0	1	1	4	0	5	1	1	0	0	3	1	2	0	0	1	2	2	3	3	5	2	4	3	3	2	2	1	0	0
1	2	1	3	0	0	1	7	4	4	6	5	7	0	0	3	1	2	0	0	1	2	2	4	3	5	6	4	7	3	6	2	1	0	0
2	2	1	3	0	8	1	9	4	2	8	5	9	4	0	3	1	2	0	0	1	2	2	4	8	5	6	4	7	8	6	9	1	0	0
3	2	1	3	0	8	1	9	4	4	8	5	9	4	0	8	1	2	0	0	1	2	2	4	8	5	9	4	12	8	6	9	9	0	0
4	2	1	3	0	8	1	9	4	4	14	5	13	4	0	8	1	2	0	0	1	2	2	4	8	5	9	4	12	8	6	9	9	0	0
5	2	1	3	0	8	1	9	4	4	14	5	13	4	0	8	1	2	4	0	1	2	2	4	8	5	9	4	12	8	6	9	9	0	8
6	2	9	3	0	8	1	9	4	4	14	5	13	4	0	8	1	2	4	0	1	2	2	4	8	5	9	4	12	8	6	9	9	0	8
7	2	9	3	0	8	1	9	4	4	14	5	13	4	0	8	1	2	4	0	1	2	2	4	8	5	9	4	12	8	6	9	9	0	8
8	2	9	3	0	8	1	9	4	4	14	5	13	4	0	8	1	2	4	0	5	2	2	4	8	5	9	4	12	8	6	9	9	0	8
9	2	9	3	15	8	1	9	4	4	14	5	13	4	0	8	1	2	4	8	5	13	2	4	8	5	9	4	12	8	6	9	9	0	8
10	2	9	3	15	14	1	9	4	4	14	5	13	4	0	8	1	2	4	8	5	13	2	4	8	5	9	4	12	8	6	9	9	0	8
11	2	9	3	15	14	1	9	4	4	14	5	13	4	0	8	1	2	4	8	5	13	2	4	8	5	9	4	12	8	6	9	9	0	8
12	2	9	3	15	14	1	9	4	4	14	5	13	4	0	8	1	2	4	8	5	13	2	4	8	5	9	4	12	8	6	9	9	0	8

Table 4: Grundy value of  $T_{\{2\}} \cdot \overset{k}{-}$ .

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
0	2	1	1	0	2	2	2	3	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	1	0	5	5	2	4	4	0	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	0	3	3
1	2	2	4	3	5	2	2	3	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	1	0	6	5	3	4	4	6	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	0	3	3
2	5	2	4	7	5	6	4	4	8	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	7	0	6	5	3	4	4	6	5	5	2	0	3	1	1	0	8	3
3	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	8	0	6	1	3	0	2	1	7	0	6	5	3	7	4	6	1	5	2	0	3	1	1	0	12	3
4	5	4	4	7	5	6	4	7	8	0	6	1	3	0	2	1	7	7	6	5	3	4	4	6	5	5	2	0	3	1	1	4	12	3
5	5	4	4	7	5	6	4	7	8	0	6	1	3	0	2	1	7	7	6	5	3	4	4	6	5	5	2	4	3	5	9	4	12	3
6	5	6	4	4	5	5	16	7	15	0	6	7	3	8	2	1	7	7	6	11	3	7	4	6	13	5	2	4	3	5	9	4	12	3
7	5	6	4	4	11	5	16	7	15	6	6	7	3	8	2	14	7	7	6	11	3	7	4	6	13	5	2	4	3	13	9	4	12	3
8	5	6	4	4	11	5	16	7	15	6	6	7	3	3	2	14	7	7	6	11	3	7	4	6	13	5	2	4	3	13	9	4	4	3
9	5	6	4	4	11	5	16	7	15	6	6	7	3	3	2	14	7	7	6	11	3	7	4	6	13	5	2	4	3	13	9	4	4	3
10	5	6	4	4	11	5	16	7	15	6	6	7	3	3	2	14	7	7	6	11	3	7	4	6	13	5	2	4	3	13	9	4	4	3
11	5	6	4	4	11	5	16	7	15	6	6	9	3	3	2	2	15	7	12	14	3	7	4	6	13	5	2	4	3	13	9	4	4	3
12	5	6	4	4	11	5	16	7	15	6	6	9	3	3	2	2	15	7	12	14	3	15	4	6	13	5	2	4	3	13	9	4	4	3
13	5	6	4	4	11	5	16	7	15	6	6	9	3	3	2	2	15	7	12	14	3	15	4	6	13	5	2	4	3	13	9	4	4	14
14	5	6	4	4	11	5	16	7	15	6	6	9	3	3	2	2	15	7	12	14	3	15	4	6	13	5	2	4	3	13	9	4	4	14
15	5	6	4	4	11	5	16	7	15	6	6	9	3	3	2	2	15	7	12	14	3	15	4	6	13	5	2	4	3	13	9	4	4	14
16	5	6	4	4	11	5	16	7	15	6	6	9	3	3	2	2	15	7	12	14	3	15	4	6	13	5	2	4	3	13	9	4	4	14
17	5	6	4	4	11	5	16	7	15	6	6	9	3	3	2	2	15	7	12	14	3	15	4	6	13	5	2	4	3	13	9	4	4	14
18	5	6	4	4	11	5	16	7	15	6	6	9	3	3	2	2	15	7	12	14	3	15	4	6	13	5	2	4	3	13	9	4	4	14
19	5	6	4	4	11	5	16	7	15	6	6	9	3	3	2	2	15	7	12	14	3	15	4	6	13	5	2	4	8	13	9	4	4	14
20	5	6	4	4	11	5	16	7	15	6	6	9	3	3	2	2	15	7	12	14	3	15	4	6	13	5	2	4	8	13	9	4	4	14
21	5	6	4	4	11	5	16	7	15	6	6	9	3	3	2	2	15	7	12	14	3	15	4	6	13	5	2	4	8	13	9	4	4	14

Table 5: Grundy value of  $T_{\{2\}} \cdot \overline{\cdot}^k T_{\{2\}}$