

ON THE 1-LEG DONALDSON-THOMAS $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -VERTEX

YIJIE LIN

ABSTRACT. We introduce a notion of restricted pyramid configurations for computing the 1-leg Donaldson-Thomas $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex. We study a special type of restricted pyramid configurations with the prescribed 1-leg partitions, and find one unique class of them satisfying the symmetric interlacing property. This leads us to obtain an explicit formula for a class of 1-leg Donaldson-Thomas $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex through establishing its connection with 1-leg Donaldson-Thomas \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex using the vertex operator methods of Okounkov-Reshetikhin-Vafa and Bryan-Young.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Vertex operators	4
2.2. Pyramid partitions	6
2.3. The orbifold topological vertex	8
3. Restricted pyramid configurations	10
3.1. Restricted pyramid configurations	10
3.2. Restricted pyramid configurations with symmetric interlacing property	19
3.3. Other restricted pyramid configurations with symmetric interlacing property	30
4. The 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex	31
4.1. Vertex operator products, topological vertices and restricted pyramid configurations	32
4.2. 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex and restricted pyramid configurations	39
4.3. 1-leg DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex and restricted pyramid configurations	42
4.4. 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex and 1-leg DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex	46
4.5. An explicit formula for a class of 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex	46
5. Future work	49
References	49

1. INTRODUCTION

The topological vertex arising from the duality relating open string theory with Chern-Simons theory has attracted a lot of attention for computing invariants in enumerative geometry [1]. The mathematical theories for variant topological vertices have been established in several branches of counting theories such as (orbifold) Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory [7, 8, 9, 14, 2, 15]. This paper is concerned with the orbifold DT topological vertex developed by Bryan, Cadman and Young [2]. They define the orbifold DT G -vertex $V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^G$, which is a generating function of 3D partitions asymptotic to a triple of partitions (λ, μ, ν) colored by irreducible representations of a finite Abelian group G , see [2, Equation (3)]. It is well known from the classification of polyhedral groups that a finite Abelian subgroup G of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ is either \mathbb{Z}_n ($n \geq 2$) or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. The closed formula for $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ is obtained by using the vertex operator method, while the explicit formula for $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ is obtained by applying some additional properties of the 3D combinatorial objects called pyramid partitions [3]. Moreover, an explicit formula for $V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ with any triple of partitions (λ, μ, ν) has been derived in terms of Schur functions, see [2, Theorem 12]. It is natural and expected to have an explicit

formula for the full DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex. But actually it is still open even for an explicit formula of the 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex, i.e., $V_{\lambda\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$, $V_{\emptyset\mu\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ with nonempty partitions λ, μ, ν .

We will consider the 1-leg case of the DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex in this paper. Now let us briefly recall the occurrence of pyramid partitions in enumerative invariants and the proof of the explicit formula for $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ by Bryan-Young. The non-commutative DT invariants of the conifold first appear in Szendroi's definition of invariants counting framed cyclic A -modules [16]. By torus localization, he conjectures some formulas for generating functions of noncommutative DT invariants involving the notion of pyramid partitions, which are proved by Young using the dimer shuffling algorithm [17] and interpreted by Nagao-Nakajima as a result of wall-crossing phenomena [10]. One may refer to the non-commutative DT theory in [16, 2, 10, 11, 12, 5, 6, 18] for more details. The notion of pyramid partitions is refined and described as the finite set of bricks by some algebraic definition [3, Section 5]. Let \mathfrak{P} be the set of all pyramid partitions as mentioned above and $Z_{\mathfrak{P}}$ be the generating function of pyramid partitions, denoted by the notation Z_{pyramid} in [3, Definition 6.1]. Then the explicit formula for $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ is derived by Bryan-Young through the following steps:

(I) $Z_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is computed in two different ways. One is counting pyramid partitions along their antidiagonal slices and denote $Z_{\mathfrak{P}}$ also by $Z_{\mathfrak{P}+}$ in this way. The other is to count pyramid partitions along their diagonal slices and write $Z_{\mathfrak{P}}$ also by $Z_{\mathfrak{P}-}$. Here $Z_{\mathfrak{P}} = Z_{\mathfrak{P}+} = Z_{\mathfrak{P}-}$.

(II) Compute $Z_{\mathfrak{P}-}$ directly by the vertex operator method of Okounkov-Reshetikhin-Vafa [14].

(III) Establish a connection between $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $Z_{\mathfrak{P}+}$ using the vertex operator method as above together with introducing some new vertex operators such as $E_{\pm}(x)$ in [3, Definition 7.4].

Actually every pyramid partition has the symmetric interlacing property of type \emptyset (see Remark 3.7), i.e., the same interlacing properties (3) and (4) along its diagonal and antidiagonal slices, which ensure that $Z_{\mathfrak{P}+} = Z_{\mathfrak{P}-}$ in Step (I) by Remark 2.16. However, when it comes to computing the 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex, the notion of pyramid partitions is not suitable for describing the interlacing property induced by the leg partitions. Therefore, we need a new notion of 3D combinatorial objects to describe the desired interlacing property.

We introduce a notion of restricted pyramid configurations, which are constructed from pyramid partitions by removing some finite bricks. We construct the special class of restricted pyramid configurations of antidiagonal (resp. diagonal) type (ν, l) for any leg partition $\nu \neq \emptyset$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, denoted by $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu, l)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu, l)$), see Section 3.1 and 3.3 for the definition. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$. Every restricted pyramid configuration $\tilde{\wp}_{\pi}(\nu, l)$ (resp. $\wp_{\pi}(\nu, l)$) in $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu, l)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu, l)$) can be shown to have the desired interlacing property along antidiagonal (resp. diagonal) slices induced by the 1-leg partition ν . Let $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu, l)}$ (resp. $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu, l)}$) be the generating function of restricted pyramid configurations of antidiagonal (resp. diagonal) type (ν, l) where both of them are shown to be independent of l , see Remark 4.5. Then we are only concerned with $l = 0$, and take the simplified notations $\tilde{\wp}_{\pi}(\nu) = \tilde{\wp}_{\pi}(\nu, 0)$, $\wp_{\pi}(\nu) = \wp_{\pi}(\nu, 0)$, $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu) = \mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu, 0)$, and $\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu) = \mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu, 0)$, see also Remark 3.31.

With the above construction, one would naturally study the relation between $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}$ and $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$ where $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu) = \{\tilde{\wp}_{\pi}(\nu) \mid \pi \in \mathfrak{P}\}$ and $\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu) = \{\wp_{\pi}(\nu) \mid \pi \in \mathfrak{P}\}$. We first show and find the following equivalent condition (see Proposition 3.27)

$$\tilde{\wp}_{\pi}(\nu) = \wp_{\pi}(\nu) \text{ for any } \pi \in \mathfrak{P} \text{ if and only if } \nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1) \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}.$$

This means that $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)$ (or $\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)$) is the unique class of restricted pyramid configurations of antidiagonal (or diagonal) type $(\nu, 0)$ with the symmetric interlacing property of type ν (see Definition 3.6 and Lemma 3.12) only when $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ for any $m \geq 1$. This uniqueness can be generalized to the case for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, see Proposition 3.36 and Remark 3.37. With this special choice of leg partitions, we have $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu) = \mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)$ and hence $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} = Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$. One can refer to the discussion for the other choices of ν in Section 5.

As in step (III), one can relate 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ with $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}$ through comparing their vertex operator product expressions, see Remark 4.6. On the other hand, there is an observation that the generating function $Z_{\mathfrak{P}-}$ in Step (II) can be alternatively computed by comparing to 1-leg DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$ with their variables identified as in Section 4.1 due to their interlacing properties and

color assignments, see Remark 4.31. Using the vertex operator methods of Okounkov-Reshetikhin-Vafa and Bryan-Young, we can derive the explicit formula of $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ if $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ through the following steps:

- (\mathcal{J}_1) Establish a connection between $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}$ by the vertex operator methods.
- (\mathcal{J}_2) Establish a connection between $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$ and $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$ by the vertex operator methods.
- (\mathcal{J}_3) Establish a connection between $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$ using the equality $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} = Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$, and then apply the explicit formula of Bryan-Cadman-Young in [2, Theorem 12] for $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$.

To present the explicit formula for 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ for $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we introduce some notations. For $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and x, q are commutative variables, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}(x, q) &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-xq^n)^n}, \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(x, q) &= \mathbf{M}(x, q) \cdot \mathbf{M}(x^{-1}, q), \\ \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(x, q) &= \left(\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(x, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(-x, q) \right)^{-1}, \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_0(x, q; l) &= \frac{\mathbf{M}(xq^l, q)}{\mathbf{M}(x, q) \cdot (qx^{-1}; q)_\infty^l}, \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_1(x, q; l) &= \frac{\mathbf{M}(x^{-1}q^l, q)}{\mathbf{M}(x^{-1}, q) \cdot (x; q)_\infty^l}, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_0(x, q; l) &= \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_0(x, q; l) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_0(-x, q; l), \\ \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1(x, q; l) &= \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_1(x, q; l) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_1(-x, q; l), \end{aligned}$$

where the first two functions are called MacMahon and MacMahon tilde functions in [3, Definition 1.1], and for any variable a , we take the following standard q -series

$$(a; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k).$$

Although two notations $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_0(x, q; l)$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_1(x, q; l)$ satisfy

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_1(qx^{-1}, q; l+1) = \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_0(x, q; l) \cdot \frac{(x; q)_\infty}{(qx^{-1}; q)_\infty},$$

we will use them simultaneously for unifying the presentation of formulas. For any $p \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\lfloor p \rfloor$ denote the greatest integer less than or equal to p and $\{p\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$ be the fractional part of p .

We first derive a connection between $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}$ for Step (\mathcal{J}_1), see Lemma 4.23, and then obtain a connection between $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$ and $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$ for Step (\mathcal{J}_2), see Lemma 4.30. Then we have the following connection between 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex and 1-leg DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex for Step (\mathcal{J}_3).

Theorem 1.1. (see Theorem 4.32) *Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$. Then we have*

(i) if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_c, q_a, q_b) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_a, q_b, q_c) \cdot \Phi(q_c, q_a, q_b, q; m), \\ V_{\emptyset\nu\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_c, q_a, q_b) \cdot \Phi(q_b, q_c, q_a, q; m), \\ V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_a, q_b, q_c) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) \cdot \Phi(q_a, q_b, q_c, q; m), \end{aligned}$$

(ii) if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_c, q_a, q_b) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_b, q_a, q_0, q_c) \cdot \Phi(q_c, q_a, q_b, q; m), \\ V_{\emptyset\nu\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_a, q_c, q_0, q_b) \cdot \Phi(q_b, q_c, q_a, q; m), \\ V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_a, q_b, q_c) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_c, q_b, q_0, q_a) \cdot \Phi(q_a, q_b, q_c, q; m). \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(q_a, q_b, q_c, q; m) \\ &= \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_a, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_b, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_c, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_a q_b q_c, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_a q_b, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_a q_b, q; 2\lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor)}{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_a, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_b, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{1-2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_c, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_a q_b q_c, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combined with the explicit formula of Bryan-Cadman-Young for the DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex, we have the following explicit formula for 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex.

Theorem 1.2. (see Theorem 4.35) *Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_c, q_a, q_b) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_c, q_a, q_b) \cdot \Upsilon(q_c, q_a, q_b, q; m), \\ V_{\emptyset\nu\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) \cdot \Upsilon(q_b, q_c, q_a, q; m), \\ V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_a, q_b, q_c) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_a, q_b, q_c) \cdot \Upsilon(q_a, q_b, q_c, q; m), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \Upsilon(q_a, q_b, q_c, q; m) \\ &= \frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_a q_b, q; 2\lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor) \cdot \left(\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{1-2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(-q_c, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor)\right)^{-1}}{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(-q_a, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(-q_b, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(-q_a q_b q_c, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor)}. \end{aligned}$$

and the explicit formula of Bryan-Young for $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_a, q_b, q_c)$ is presented in Theorem 2.20.

As a consequence, we have the explicit formula for $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} = Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$ relating to $Z_{\mathfrak{P}}$ when $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, see Corollary 4.36. So far we have applied a class of restricted pyramid configurations with symmetric interlacing property to derive the explicit formula for a class of 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex. It remains to consider other cases of 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex through the study of the classes of restricted pyramid configurations with non-symmetric interlacing property. We will investigate this issue in the future, see Section 5 for the brief discussion.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recollect vertex operators and pyramid partitions together with their relevant properties, which are important for computing the DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex and \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex. We also recall the known explicit formulas for these two types of orbifold DT vertices. In Section 3, we introduce a notion of restricted pyramid configurations, and study a special type of restricted pyramid partitions which is suitable for computing the 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex. And we find a unique class of restricted pyramid configurations with symmetric interlacing property along their diagonal and antidiagonal slices induced by some particular 1-leg partitions. In Section 4, with this special 1-leg partitions, we establish a connection between 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex and 1-leg DT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex to obtain an explicit formula for this class of 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex. In Section 5, we discuss some further direction for computing the 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex with other leg partitions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will first recall vertex operators and their properties, which are important in formulating the orbifold topological vertex. Then we recollect pyramid partitions and their relevant results for the further definition of restricted pyramid configurations. And we recall the known explicit formulas for the orbifold DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex and \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex used in Section 4.

2.1. Vertex operators. We briefly recall the relevant formulas for vertex operators in [3] in this subsection as follows. See also [13, Appendix A] for more details.

Let $\lambda \subset (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2$ be a partition (or a 2D Young diagram) with its conjugate denoted by λ' . Let $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots)$ and $\mu = (\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots)$ be two partitions. We call λ interlaces with μ , denoted by $\lambda \succ \mu$ or $\mu \prec \lambda$, if the row lengths λ_i, μ_i satisfy

$$\lambda_0 \geq \mu_0 \geq \lambda_1 \geq \mu_1 \geq \dots$$

Let $\lambda \succ' \mu$ or $\mu \prec' \lambda$ denote the interlacing relation $\lambda' \succ \mu'$ if the column lengths λ'_i, μ'_i satisfy

$$\lambda'_0 \geq \mu'_0 \geq \lambda'_1 \geq \mu'_1 \geq \dots$$

Any 3D Young diagram (or plane partition) has the following important property.

Lemma 2.1. ([3, Definition 6]) *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\lambda \succ \mu$;
- (ii) $\lambda'_i - \mu'_i = 0$ or 1, for any $i \geq 1$
- (iii) λ and μ are two adjacent diagonal slices of some 3D Young diagram.

Let \mathcal{Y} be the set of all partitions. First, we have the Γ_{\pm} -operators acting on elements of \mathcal{Y} .

Definition 2.2. ([3, Section 3]) *Let x be any variable. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_+(x)\lambda &= \sum_{\mu \prec \lambda} x^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} \mu; & \Gamma_-(x)\lambda &= \sum_{\lambda \prec \mu} x^{|\mu|-|\lambda|} \mu; \\ \Gamma'_+(x)\lambda &= \sum_{\mu \prec' \lambda} x^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} \mu; & \Gamma'_-(x)\lambda &= \sum_{\lambda \prec' \mu} x^{|\mu|-|\lambda|} \mu. \end{aligned}$$

They satisfy the following commutation relations.

Lemma 2.3. ([3, Lemma 3.3]) *Let x and y be two commuting variables, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} [\Gamma_+(x), \Gamma'_-(y)] &= 1 + xy, & [\Gamma'_+(x), \Gamma_-(y)] &= 1 + xy; \\ [\Gamma_+(x), \Gamma_-(y)] &= \frac{1}{1 - xy}, & [\Gamma'_+(x), \Gamma'_-(y)] &= \frac{1}{1 - xy}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, there are two type of weight operators acting on elements of \mathcal{Y} .

Definition 2.4. ([3, Definitions 3.4 and 7.1]) *For $g \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $h, h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, define*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_g \lambda &= \tilde{q}_g^{|\lambda|} \lambda, & Q_h \lambda &= q_h^{|\lambda|} \lambda, \\ Q_{h_1 h_2} \lambda &= q_{h_1}^{|\{(i,j) \in \lambda \mid i \equiv j \pmod{2}\}|} \cdot q_{h_2}^{|\{(i,j) \in \lambda \mid i \not\equiv j \pmod{2}\}|} \cdot \lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where q_h, q_{h_1}, q_{h_2} and \tilde{q}_g are variables corresponding to colors $h, h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $g \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.

Let α_{-n} ($n > 0$) be the operator acting on a 2D Young diagram η by adding any possible border strip of length n onto η with sign $(-1)^{\hat{h}+1}$. Here, \hat{h} is the height of the border strip. Similarly the operator α_n ($n > 0$) is defined by deleting border strips with signs, which is adjoint to α_{-n} . Now we have the following two new vertex operators.

Definition 2.5. ([3, Definition 7.4]) *Let x be any variable. Define*

$$E_{\pm}(x) = \exp \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{x^{2k}}{k} \alpha_{\pm 2k} \right).$$

The following useful property follows from the definition of $\alpha_{\pm n}$ that

$$(1) \quad \left\langle \emptyset \middle| E_-(x) \right\rangle = \left\langle \emptyset \middle| \right\rangle,$$

$$(2) \quad \left. E_+(x) \right| \emptyset \rangle = \left| \emptyset \right\rangle.$$

And we have the following important properties for E_{\pm} -operators.

Lemma 2.6. ([3, Lemma 7.5]) *Let x and y be two commuting variables, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\pm}(x) &= \Gamma'_{\pm}(x) E_{\pm}(x), \\ [E_{\pm}(x), \Gamma_{\pm}(y)] &= 0; \\ [E_{\pm}(x), \Gamma'_{\pm}(y)] &= 0; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_+(x)\Gamma_-(y) &= \frac{1}{1-(xy)^2}\Gamma_-(y)E_+(x); \\ \Gamma_+(x)E_-(y) &= \frac{1}{1-(xy)^2}E_-(y)\Gamma_+(x); \\ \Gamma'_+(x)E_-(y) &= (1-(xy)^2)E_-(y)\Gamma'_+(x). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.7. ([3, Lemma 7.6]) Let $g, h \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and x be any variable. Then we have


$$\begin{aligned} E_-(x\sqrt{q_g q_h})Q_{gh} &= Q_{gh}E_-(x); \\ Q_{gh}E_+(x\sqrt{q_g q_h}) &= E_+(x)Q_{gh}. \end{aligned}$$

By the similar argument in the proof of [3, Lemma 7.6], we have

Lemma 2.8. Let $g \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and x be any variable. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_-(x\tilde{q}_g)Q_g &= Q_gE_-(x); \\ Q_gE_+(x\tilde{q}_g) &= E_+(x)Q_g. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Pyramid partitions. We will follow [3] to give the definition of pyramid partitions and recollect some known results. Let $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, a, b, c\}$. Let P be a quiver with vertices $\{0, a, b, c\}$ and edges labelled by $\{v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2\}$ with directions shown as follows:



Definition 2.9. ([3, Section 5]) (i) A word in P is defined to be the concatenation of the edge labels of some directed path in P , with the starting vertex of this path as the base.

(ii) If B_1, B_2 are two words in P , define the multiplication B_1B_2 by concatenating B_1 and B_2 if the result is a well-defined word in P , otherwise B_1B_2 is defined to be zero. Then the set $\mathbb{C}P$ spanned by all words in P forms a path algebra.

(iii) Define the noncommutative quotient ring $A = \mathbb{C}P/\mathcal{I}$ where

$$\mathcal{I} = \langle v_1\omega_i v_2 - v_2\omega_i v_1, \omega_1 v_j \omega_2 - \omega_2 v_j \omega_1 \rangle, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

A brick is an element $[B]$ of $\mathbb{C}P/\mathcal{I}$, where B is a word in $\mathbb{C}P$ based at the vertex 0. Denote by \mathfrak{B} the set of all bricks.

(iv) A pyramid partition π is a finite subset of \mathfrak{B} such that if $[B] \in \pi$, then every prefix of B also represents a brick in π . Denote by \mathfrak{P} the set of all pyramid partitions.

In [3, Section 5], the edge labels are interpreted as vectors in \mathbb{Z}^3 by setting

$$v_1 = (-1, 0, 1); \quad v_2 = (1, 0, 1); \quad \omega_1 = (0, -1, 1); \quad \omega_2 = (0, 1, 1).$$

Then the position of a brick $[B]$ in \mathbb{Z}^3 is defined to be the sum of vectors corresponding to the edge labels in $[B]$. Here, the position of the brick corresponding to the empty word $[]$ is defined as $(0, 0, 0)$. One can refer to [3, Figure 4] for some visualized bricks and pyramid partitions.

The color of any brick is given by

Definition 2.10. ([3, Definition 5.5]) The coloring on \mathfrak{B} is defined as the map

$$K_{\mathfrak{P}} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$$

by setting $K_{\mathfrak{P}}([B])$ to be the final vertex of any path whose word is B . We call $K_{\mathfrak{P}}([B])$ the color of the brick $[B]$.

The bricks have the following relations.

Lemma 2.11. *Let B_1 and B_2 be two arbitrary words in P , then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} [B_1(v_1\omega_i v_2)B_2] &= [B_1(v_2\omega_i v_1)B_2], \\ [B_1(\omega_1 v_j \omega_2)B_2] &= [B_1(\omega_2 v_j \omega_1)B_2], \\ [B_1(v_i \omega_j)^s (v_k \omega_l)^t B_2] &= [B_1(v_k \omega_l)^t (v_i \omega_j)^s B_2], \\ [B_1(v_i \omega_j)^s (v_j \omega_i)^s B_2] &= [B_1(v_i \omega_i)^s (v_j \omega_j)^s B_2], \\ [B_1 v_n (\omega_i v_j)^s (\omega_k v_l)^t B_2] &= [B_1(v_j \omega_i)^s (v_l \omega_k)^t v_n B_2], \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq i, j, k, l, n \leq 2$ and $s, t \geq 0$.

Proof. The first two relations follow from Definition 2.9 (iii), and the other equations follow from repeated applications of the first two relations. \square

For a pyramid partition π , let π_k be the k -th diagonal slice of π , i.e. the set of all bricks in π whose position (x, y, z) satisfying $x - y = k$.

Lemma 2.12. *([3, Lemmas 5.8 and 5.9]) For $k \geq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{-2k} &= \{[(v_1\omega_2)^k W]\} \cap \pi, \\ \pi_{2k} &= \{[(v_2\omega_1)^k W]\} \cap \pi, \end{aligned}$$

where W runs over all words in $v_1\omega_1$ and $v_2\omega_2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{-2k-1} &= \{[(v_1\omega_2)^k v_1 W']\} \cap \pi, \\ \pi_{2k+1} &= \{[(v_2\omega_1)^k v_2 W']\} \cap \pi, \end{aligned}$$

where W' runs over all words in $\omega_1 v_1$ and $\omega_2 v_2$. Moreover, the bricks of π_k ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) form a 2D Young diagram and its slices are single-colored as follows

$$K_{\mathfrak{P}}(\pi_k) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k = 0 \pmod{4}, \\ b, & \text{if } k = 1 \pmod{4}, \\ c, & \text{if } k = 2 \pmod{4}, \\ a, & \text{if } k = 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for $k \geq 0$ we have the following interlacing properties

$$\pi_{2k} \succ \pi_{2k+1}, \quad \pi'_{-2k} \succ \pi'_{-2k-1}, \quad \pi'_{2k+1} \succ \pi'_{2k+2}, \quad \pi_{-2k-1} \succ \pi_{-2k-2}$$

where $\pi_{\pm k}$ is identified with its corresponding 2D Young diagram (or partition) and $\pi'_{\pm k}$ denotes the transposition of 2D Young diagram $\pi_{\pm k}$.

Remark 2.13. *The interlacing properties for a pyramid partition π in Lemma 2.12 are equivalent to*

$$(3) \quad \cdots \prec \pi_{-5} \prec' \pi_{-4} \prec \pi_{-3} \prec' \pi_{-2} \prec \pi_{-1} \prec' \pi_0 \succ \pi_1 \succ' \pi_2 \succ \pi_3 \succ' \pi_4 \succ \pi_5 \succ' \cdots.$$

In the proof of [3, Lemma 5.8], we have the following correspondence between bricks of $\pi_{\pm k}$ and boxes of 2D Young diagram $\pi_{\pm k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$:

- (i) the brick $[(v_1\omega_2)^k (v_1\omega_1)^j (v_2\omega_2)^i]$ in π_{-2k} \longleftrightarrow (i, j) -box in 2D Young diagram π_{-2k} ;
- (ii) the brick $[(v_2\omega_1)^k (v_1\omega_1)^j (v_2\omega_2)^i]$ in π_{2k} \longleftrightarrow (i, j) -box in 2D Young diagram π_{2k} ;
- (iii) the brick $[(v_1\omega_2)^k v_1 (\omega_1 v_1)^j (\omega_2 v_2)^i]$ in π_{-2k-1} \longleftrightarrow (i, j) -box in 2D Young diagram π_{-2k-1} ;
- (iv) the brick $[(v_2\omega_1)^k v_2 (\omega_1 v_1)^j (\omega_2 v_2)^i]$ in π_{2k+1} \longleftrightarrow (i, j) -box in 2D Young diagram π_{2k+1} , where $v_1\omega_1$ and $v_2\omega_2$ (resp. $\omega_1 v_1$ and $\omega_2 v_2$) commute in $\mathbb{C}P/\mathcal{I}$.

For a pyramid partition π , let $\tilde{\pi}_k$ be the k -th antidiagonal slice of π , i.e. the set of all bricks in π whose position (x, y, z) satisfying $x + y = k$. Similarly, it is implicitly shown in [3, Lemma 7.3] that

Lemma 2.14. *For $k \geq 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\pi}_{-2k} &= \{[(v_1\omega_1)^k \tilde{W}]\} \cap \pi, \\ \tilde{\pi}_{2k} &= \{[(v_2\omega_2)^k \tilde{W}]\} \cap \pi,\end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{W} runs over all words in $v_1\omega_2$ and $v_2\omega_1$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\pi}_{-2k-1} &= \{[(v_1\omega_1)^k v_1 \tilde{W}']\} \cap \pi, \\ \tilde{\pi}_{2k+1} &= \{[(v_2\omega_2)^k v_2 \tilde{W}']\} \cap \pi,\end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{W}' runs over all words in ω_2v_1 and ω_1v_2 . Moreover, the bricks of $\tilde{\pi}_k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) form a 2D Young diagram and we have the following interlacing properties for $k \geq 0$,

$$\tilde{\pi}_{2k} \succ \tilde{\pi}_{2k+1}, \quad \tilde{\pi}'_{-2k} \succ \tilde{\pi}'_{-2k-1}, \quad \tilde{\pi}'_{2k+1} \succ \tilde{\pi}'_{2k+2}, \quad \tilde{\pi}_{-2k-1} \succ \tilde{\pi}_{-2k-2}.$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_{\pm k}$ is identified with its corresponding 2D Young diagram (or partition) and $\tilde{\pi}'_{\pm k}$ denotes the transposition of 2D Young diagram $\tilde{\pi}_{\pm k}$.

Remark 2.15. *The interlacing properties for a pyramid partition π in Lemma 2.14 are equivalent to*

$$(4) \quad \cdots \prec \tilde{\pi}_{-5} \prec' \tilde{\pi}_{-4} \prec \tilde{\pi}_{-3} \prec' \tilde{\pi}_{-2} \prec \tilde{\pi}_{-1} \prec' \tilde{\pi}_0 \succ \tilde{\pi}_1 \succ' \tilde{\pi}_2 \succ \tilde{\pi}_3 \succ' \tilde{\pi}_4 \succ \tilde{\pi}_5 \succ' \cdots.$$

As in Remark 2.13, we have the following correspondence between bricks of $\tilde{\pi}_{\pm k}$ and boxes of 2D Young diagram $\tilde{\pi}_{\pm k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$:

- (i) the brick $[(v_1\omega_1)^k (v_1\omega_2)^j (v_2\omega_1)^i]$ in $\tilde{\pi}_{-2k}$ \longleftrightarrow (i, j) -box in 2D Young diagram $\tilde{\pi}_{-2k}$;
- (ii) the brick $[(v_2\omega_2)^k (v_1\omega_2)^j (v_2\omega_1)^i]$ in $\tilde{\pi}_{2k}$ \longleftrightarrow (i, j) -box in 2D Young diagram $\tilde{\pi}_{2k}$;
- (iii) the brick $[(v_1\omega_1)^k v_1 (\omega_2v_1)^j (\omega_1v_2)^i]$ in $\tilde{\pi}_{-2k-1}$ \longleftrightarrow (i, j) -box in 2D Young diagram $\tilde{\pi}_{-2k-1}$;
- (iv) the brick $[(v_2\omega_2)^k v_2 (\omega_2v_1)^j (\omega_1v_2)^i]$ in $\tilde{\pi}_{2k+1}$ \longleftrightarrow (i, j) -box in 2D Young diagram $\tilde{\pi}_{2k+1}$,

where $v_1\omega_2$ and $v_2\omega_1$ (resp. ω_2v_1 and ω_1v_2) commute in \mathbb{CP}/\mathcal{I} .

It is shown in [3, Section 7] that any k -th antidiagonal slice $\tilde{\pi}_k$ is checkerborder colored. One can refer to [3, Figure 6] for the coloring scheme.

Remark 2.16. *Let $\{\eta_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a sequence of partitions satisfying the interlacing properties (3) (resp. (4)), and $\pi = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_k$ be identified with the corresponding bricks in \mathfrak{P} by Remark 2.13 (resp.*

Remark 2.15). Then π is a pyramid partition since for each brick $[B]$ in any η_k , every prefix of B is also a brick in π due to the interlacing properties (3) (resp. (4)) as proved in [3, Lemma 5.9].

2.3. The orbifold topological vertex. In this subsection, we will briefly recollect the definition of the DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex and its known explicit formulas, and then recall the explicit formula for the DT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex ($n \geq 2$), see [3, Section 1] and [2, Section 3] for more details.

Definition 2.17. ([3, Section 1]) *The \mathbb{Z}_n -coloring is defined by a homomorphism of additive monoids $K_{\mathbb{Z}_n} : (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}K_{\mathbb{Z}_n}(1, 0, 0) &= 1, \\ K_{\mathbb{Z}_n}(0, 1, 0) &= -1, \\ K_{\mathbb{Z}_n}(0, 0, 1) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

The $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -coloring is defined by a homomorphism of additive monoids $K_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} : (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}K_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(1, 0, 0) &= a, \\ K_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(0, 1, 0) &= b, \\ K_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(0, 0, 1) &= c,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, a, b, c\}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ be the set of all 3D partitions π asymptotic to (λ, μ, ν) defined in [2, Definition 4]. The three legs of $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ are defined with respect to λ, μ, ν respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \{(i, j, k) \mid (j, k) \in \lambda\} &\subset \pi, \\ \{(i, j, k) \mid (k, i) \in \mu\} &\subset \pi, \\ \{(i, j, k) \mid (i, j) \in \nu\} &\subset \pi, \end{aligned}$$

and we call λ, μ, ν three leg partitions. For any $(i, j, k) \in \pi$, set

$$\xi_\pi(i, j, k) = 1 - \text{the number of legs containing } (i, j, k).$$

With the definition of the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -coloring (see also the definition of K_G in [3, Page 117]), we have

Definition 2.18. ([2, Equation (3)]) *The DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex is defined by*

$$V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_a, q_b, q_c) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} q_0^{\|\pi\|_0} q_a^{\|\pi\|_a} q_b^{\|\pi\|_b} q_c^{\|\pi\|_c}$$

where for $l = 0, a, b, c$,

$$\|\pi\|_l = \sum_{\substack{(i, j, k) \in \pi \\ ia + jb + kc = l}} \xi_\pi(i, j, k)$$

is called the renormalized number of boxes $(i, j, k) \in \pi$ with color $l \in \{0, a, b, c\} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Remark 2.19. *It can be shown that the DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex has the following symmetries:*

$$V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_a, q_b, q_c) = V_{\nu\lambda\mu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_c, q_a, q_b) = V_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a).$$

Then we have the following explicit formula for the DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex without legs.

Theorem 2.20. ([3, Theorem 1.5]) *Let $q = q_0 q_a q_b q_c$. Then*

$$V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_a, q_b, q_c) = \mathbf{M}(1, q)^4 \cdot \frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_a q_b, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_a q_c, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_b q_c, q)}{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(-q_a, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(-q_b, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(-q_c, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(-q_a q_b q_c, q)}.$$

Next, we employ the notations in [2, Section 3.4] as follows. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_0 = 1$. For $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_t$ is defined recursively by $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_t = \tilde{q}_t \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{t-1}$. Then

$$\{\cdots, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{-2}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{-1}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_2, \cdots\} = \{\cdots, \tilde{q}_0^{-1} \tilde{q}_{-1}^{-1}, \tilde{q}_0^{-1}, 1, \tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_1 \tilde{q}_2, \cdots\}.$$

For any partition $\eta = (\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2, \cdots)$, let

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{\bullet-\eta} = \{\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{-\eta_0}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{1-\eta_1}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{2-\eta_2}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{3-\eta_3}, \cdots\}.$$

Let $\tilde{q} = \tilde{q}_0 \tilde{q}_1 \cdots \tilde{q}_{n-1}$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and partitions λ and ν , let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}^{-A_\lambda} &:= \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \tilde{q}_k^{-A_\lambda(k, n)}, \\ A_\lambda(k, n) &:= \sum_{(i, j) \in \lambda} \left\lfloor \frac{i+k}{n} \right\rfloor, \end{aligned}$$

$$|\nu|_{\bar{k}} = |\{(i, j) \in \nu \mid i - j \equiv k \pmod{n}\}|,$$

$$h_\nu^k(i, j) = \text{the number of boxes of color } \bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_n \text{ in the } (i, j)\text{-hook of } \nu.$$

Now we have the following explicit formula of Bryan-Cadman-Young for the DT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex.

Theorem 2.21. ([2, Theorem 12]) *The formula for the DT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex $V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_n}(\tilde{q}_0, \tilde{q}_1, \cdots, \tilde{q}_{n-1})$ is*

$$V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_n} = V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_n} \cdot \tilde{q}^{-A_\lambda} \cdot \overline{\tilde{q}^{-A_\mu}} \cdot H_\nu \cdot O_\nu \cdot \sum_{\eta} \tilde{q}_0^{-|\eta|} \cdot \overline{s_{\lambda'/\eta}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{\bullet-\nu'})} \cdot s_{\mu/\eta}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{\bullet-\nu})$$

where $s_{\xi/\eta}$ is the skew Schur function associated to partitions $\eta \subset \xi$ ($s_{\xi/\eta} = 0$ if $\eta \not\subset \xi$), the overline denotes the exchange of variables $\tilde{q}_k \leftrightarrow \tilde{q}_{-k}$ with subscripts in \mathbb{Z}_n , and

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_n} &= \mathbf{M}(1, \tilde{q})^n \prod_{0 < a \leq b < n} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(\tilde{q}_a \cdots \tilde{q}_b, \tilde{q}), \\ H_\nu &= \prod_{(i,j) \in \nu} \frac{1}{1 - \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \tilde{q}_k^{h_\nu^k(i,j)}}, \\ O_\nu &= \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_n}(\tilde{q}_k, \tilde{q}_{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{q}_{n+k-1})^{-2|\nu|_{\overline{k}} + |\nu|_{\overline{k+1}} + |\nu|_{\overline{k-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

3. RESTRICTED PYRAMID CONFIGURATIONS

In this section, we will introduce a notion of restricted pyramid configurations constructed from pyramid partitions. Let $\nu \neq \emptyset$ be a partition and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We are interested in a special type of them called a class of restricted pyramid configurations $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu, l)$ of antidiagonal type (ν, l) , which are the natural 3D combinatorial objects for computing the 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex with the leg partition ν . We also define a class of restricted pyramid configurations $\wp_\pi(\nu, l)$ of diagonal type (ν, l) , which is closely related to the 1-leg DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$. See Definition 3.33 and Remark 3.31 for notations. We first show that $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu, 0) = \wp_\pi(\nu, 0)$ for any pyramid partition π if and only if $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ for any $m \geq 1$ in Section 3.2. In other word, among restricted pyramid configurations of antidiagonal (or diagonal) type $(\nu, 0)$ for all partitions $\nu \neq \emptyset$, a class of them with $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ is the unique class with the same interlacing property along their diagonal and antidiagonal slices. In Section 3.3, we generalize this uniqueness for restricted pyramid configurations of antidiagonal (or diagonal) type (ν, l) for any fixed $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

3.1. Restricted pyramid configurations. We propose a notion of restricted pyramid configurations and define some classes of them according to the prescribed interlacing properties. And we show a sequence of partitions satisfying some interlacing property can be realized as a sequence of slices of a restricted pyramid configuration.

Definition 3.1. For any pyramid partition $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$, we call any finite subset $\wp \subseteq \pi$ a restricted pyramid configuration.

Actually a restricted pyramid configuration is constructed from some pyramid partition π by removing some finite bricks in π . Notice a pyramid partition is also a restricted pyramid configuration, but a restricted pyramid configuration is not necessary a pyramid partition.

Given any restricted pyramid configuration $\wp \subseteq \pi$ for some $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$, let

$$\wp_k := \wp \cap \pi_k$$

be the k -th diagonal slice of \wp , i.e., the set of all bricks in \wp whose position (x, y, z) satisfying $x - y = k$ and let

$$\tilde{\wp}_k := \wp \cap \tilde{\pi}_k$$

be the k -th antidiagonal slice of π , i.e., the set of all bricks in \wp whose position (x, y, z) satisfying $x + y = k$.

To describe the interlacing property induced by the 1-leg partition ν , we take the definition of the edge sequence of a partition ν in [2, Section 7.1]. The edge sequence of ν is defined for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\nu(t) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } t \in S(\nu), \\ -1, & \text{if } t \notin S(\nu). \end{cases}$$

where

$$S(\nu) = \{\nu_j - j - 1 \mid j \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark 3.2. It is obvious that for a given partition ν , we have $\nu(t) = -1$ for $t \gg 0$ and $\nu(t) = 1$ for $t \ll 0$. For a given partition ν , we set

$$S(\nu)_+ = S(\nu) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{<0}, \quad S(\nu)_- = \mathbb{Z}_{<0} \setminus S(\nu).$$

Then we have

$$|S(\nu)_+| = |\{t \mid \nu(t) = 1, t \geq 0\}|, \quad |S(\nu)_-| = |\{t \mid \nu(t) = -1, t < 0\}|.$$

It is well known that $|S(\nu)_+| = |S(\nu)_-|$ for any partition ν , which means the edge sequence $\nu(t)$ has charge zero, see [2, Section 7.3] or [13, Appendix A].

Definition 3.3. ([2, Definition 31]) Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be two partitions and $\tau = \pm 1$, define

$$\xi_1 \underset{\tau}{\prec\succ} \xi_2 = \begin{cases} \xi_1 \prec \xi_2, & \text{if } \tau = +1, \\ \xi_1 \succ \xi_2, & \text{if } \tau = -1. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\xi_1 \underset{\tau}{\prec\succ}' \xi_2 = \begin{cases} \xi_1 \prec' \xi_2, & \text{if } \tau = +1, \\ \xi_1 \succ' \xi_2, & \text{if } \tau = -1. \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.4. Let ν be a partition. We say that a sequence of partitions $\{\eta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies the interlacing property of the first type ν if the following interlacing relations hold:

$$\cdots \underset{\nu'(-5)}{\prec\succ} \eta_4 \underset{\nu'(-4)}{\prec\succ} \eta_3 \underset{\nu'(-3)}{\prec\succ} \eta_2 \underset{\nu'(-2)}{\prec\succ} \eta_1 \underset{\nu'(-1)}{\prec\succ} \eta_0 \underset{\nu'(0)}{\prec\succ} \eta_{-1} \underset{\nu'(1)}{\prec\succ} \eta_{-2} \underset{\nu'(2)}{\prec\succ} \eta_{-3} \underset{\nu'(3)}{\prec\succ} \eta_{-4} \underset{\nu'(4)}{\prec\succ} \cdots$$

or equivalently

$$\eta_k \underset{\nu'(-k)}{\prec\succ} \eta_{k-1}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We say that a sequence of partitions $\{\eta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies the interlacing property of the second type ν , if the following interlacing relations hold:

$$\cdots \underset{\nu'(-5)}{\prec\succ} \eta_4 \underset{\nu'(-4)}{\prec\succ}' \eta_3 \underset{\nu'(-3)}{\prec\succ} \eta_2 \underset{\nu'(-2)}{\prec\succ}' \eta_1 \underset{\nu'(-1)}{\prec\succ} \eta_0 \underset{\nu'(0)}{\prec\succ}' \eta_{-1} \underset{\nu'(1)}{\prec\succ} \eta_{-2} \underset{\nu'(2)}{\prec\succ}' \eta_{-3} \underset{\nu'(3)}{\prec\succ} \eta_{-4} \underset{\nu'(4)}{\prec\succ}' \cdots$$

or equivalently

$$\eta_{2k} \underset{\nu'(-2k)}{\prec\succ}' \eta_{2k-1} \text{ and } \eta_{2k+1} \underset{\nu'(-2k-1)}{\prec\succ} \eta_{2k}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Here we use the edge sequence ν' .

The interlacing property of the second type ν describes two kinds of restricted pyramid configurations with respect to ν as follows.

Definition 3.5. Let ν be a partition. For any pyramid partition $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$, we say that a restricted pyramid configuration $\varphi \subseteq \pi$ is of antidiagonal (resp. diagonal) type ν if the induced sequence $\{\tilde{\varphi}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ (resp. $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$) satisfies the interlacing property of the second type ν .

A restricted pyramid configuration with the same interlacing property along its diagonal and antidiagonal slices with respect to ν is defined as follows.

Definition 3.6. We say that a restricted pyramid configuration φ has the symmetric interlacing property of type ν if φ is both of antidiagonal type ν and of diagonal type ν .

Remark 3.7. Since $\emptyset(t) = -1$ for $t \geq 0$ and $\emptyset(t) = 1$ for $t < 0$, it follows from Remarks 2.13 and 2.15 that any pyramid partition $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$ is both of diagonal type \emptyset and of antidiagonal type \emptyset , that is, any pyramid partition $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$ has the symmetric interlacing property of type \emptyset .

Let $\nu \neq \emptyset$ be a partition. Next, we will focus on constructing a class of restricted pyramid configurations of antidiagonal type ν and a class of restricted pyramid configurations of diagonal type ν from pyramid partitions. We first introduce some notations. For $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) &= \begin{cases} [(v_1\omega_1)^{-k}(v_1\omega_2)^j(v_2\omega_1)^i], & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ [(v_2\omega_2)^k(v_1\omega_2)^j(v_2\omega_1)^i], & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) &= \begin{cases} [(v_1\omega_1)^{-k}v_1(\omega_2v_1)^j(\omega_1v_2)^i], & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ [(v_2\omega_2)^{k-1}v_2(\omega_2v_1)^j(\omega_1v_2)^i], & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{S}_{2k}(i, j) &= \begin{cases} [(v_1\omega_2)^{-k}(v_1\omega_1)^j(v_2\omega_2)^i], & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ [(v_2\omega_1)^k(v_1\omega_1)^j(v_2\omega_2)^i], & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{S}_{2k-1}(i, j) &= \begin{cases} [(v_1\omega_2)^{-k}v_1(\omega_1v_1)^j(\omega_2v_2)^i], & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ [(v_2\omega_1)^{k-1}v_2(\omega_1v_1)^j(\omega_2v_2)^i], & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

The above bricks have the following relations.

Lemma 3.8. *If $k \leq 0$, we have*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{S}_{-2(j-i)}(i, i-k), & \text{if } j \geq i \geq 0, \\ \mathbf{S}_{2(i-j)}(j, j-k), & \text{if } i \geq j \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{S}_{-2(j-i)-1}(i, i-k), & \text{if } j \geq i \geq 0, \\ \mathbf{S}_{2(i-j)-1}(j, j-k+1), & \text{if } i > j \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

If $k > 0$, we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{S}_{-2(j-i)}(i+k, i), & \text{if } j \geq i \geq 0, \\ \mathbf{S}_{2(i-j)}(j+k, j), & \text{if } i \geq j \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{S}_{-2(j-i-1)-1}(i+k, i), & \text{if } j > i \geq 0, \\ \mathbf{S}_{2(i-j+1)-1}(j+k-1, j), & \text{if } i \geq j \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $k \leq 0$ and $j \geq i \geq 0$, then by Lemma 2.11 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) &= [(v_1\omega_1)^{-k}(v_1\omega_2)^j(v_2\omega_1)^i] \\ &= [(v_1\omega_2)^j(v_2\omega_1)^i(v_1\omega_1)^{-k}] \\ &= [(v_1\omega_2)^{j-i}(v_1\omega_2)^i(v_2\omega_1)^i(v_1\omega_1)^{-k}] \\ &= [(v_1\omega_2)^{j-i}(v_1\omega_1)^{i-k}(v_2\omega_2)^i] \\ &= \mathbf{S}_{-2(j-i)}(i, i-k)\end{aligned}$$

If $k \leq 0$ and $i > j \geq 0$, by Lemma 2.11 again we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) &= [(v_1\omega_1)^{-k}v_1(\omega_2v_1)^j(\omega_1v_2)^i] \\ &= [(v_1\omega_1)^{-k}(v_1\omega_2)^j(v_2\omega_1)^i v_1] \\ &= [(v_2\omega_1)^i(v_1\omega_2)^j(v_1\omega_1)^{-k} v_1] \\ &= [(v_2\omega_1)^{i-j}(v_2\omega_1)^j(v_1\omega_2)^j(v_1\omega_1)^{-k} v_1] \\ &= [(v_2\omega_1)^{i-j}(v_1\omega_1)^{j-k}(v_2\omega_2)^j v_1] \\ &= [(v_2\omega_1)^{i-j-1}(v_2\omega_1)(v_1\omega_1)^{j-k}(v_2\omega_2)^j v_1] \\ &= [(v_2\omega_1)^{i-j-1}(v_2\omega_1)v_1(\omega_1v_1)^{j-k}(\omega_2v_2)^j]\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [(v_2\omega_1)^{i-j-1}v_2(\omega_1v_1)^{j-k+1}(\omega_2v_2)^j] \\
&= \mathbf{S}_{2(i-j)-1}(j, j-k+1).
\end{aligned}$$

The other cases are similar. \square

To define a class of restricted pyramid configurations with the expected interlacing property, we also need to employ the following notations. For a fixed partition ν , let

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_1(\nu; i) &= \sum_{t=0}^i \frac{\nu'(2t) + 1}{2}, \\
\varepsilon_2(\nu; i) &= \sum_{t=0}^i \frac{\nu'(2t+1) + 1}{2}, \\
\varepsilon_3(\nu; j) &= \sum_{t=1}^j \frac{1 - \nu'(-2t)}{2}, \\
\varepsilon_4(\nu; j) &= \sum_{t=1}^j \frac{1 - \nu'(-2t+1)}{2},
\end{aligned}$$

where if $i < 0$, define $\varepsilon_i(\nu; i) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ and if $j < 1$, define $\varepsilon_j(\nu; j) = 0$ for $j = 3, 4$. It is obvious that for $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 3, 4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \varepsilon_i(\nu; i+1) - \varepsilon_i(\nu; i) &\leq 1, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}; \\
0 \leq \varepsilon_j(\nu; j+1) - \varepsilon_j(\nu; j) &\leq 1, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}.
\end{aligned}$$

For a given partition ν , we have $\nu'(t) = -1$ for $t \gg 0$ and $\nu'(t) = 1$ for $t \ll 0$, and hence there exists a positive integer $\varrho(\nu)$ such that for $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 3, 4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \varepsilon_i(\nu; i) &\leq \varepsilon_i(\nu; \varrho(\nu)), \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}; \\
0 \leq \varepsilon_j(\nu; j) &\leq \varepsilon_j(\nu; \varrho(\nu)), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}.
\end{aligned}$$

Define $\varepsilon_i(\nu; +\infty) = \varepsilon_i(\nu; \varrho(\nu))$ and $\varepsilon_j(\nu; +\infty) = \varepsilon_j(\nu; \varrho(\nu))$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 3, 4$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_1(\nu) &= \max \{ \varepsilon_2(\nu; +\infty), \varepsilon_4(\nu; +\infty) \}; \\
\rho_2(\nu) &= \max \{ \varepsilon_1(\nu; +\infty), \varepsilon_3(\nu; +\infty) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\rho_1(\nu) \geq \varepsilon_{l_1}(\nu; k) \geq 0$ and $\rho_2(\nu) \geq \varepsilon_{l_2}(\nu; k) \geq 0$ for any $l_1 \in \{2, 4\}$, $l_2 \in \{1, 3\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 3.9. *It is easy to show that $\nu = \emptyset$ if and only if $\varepsilon_1(\nu; +\infty) = \varepsilon_2(\nu; +\infty) = \varepsilon_3(\nu; +\infty) = \varepsilon_4(\nu; +\infty) = 0$, if and only if $\rho_1(\nu) = \rho_2(\nu) = 0$.*

For a given partition ν , we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) &= \begin{cases} \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid i \geq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_2(\nu; -k-1), j \geq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k-1) \right\}, & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid i \geq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_4(\nu; k), j \geq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3(\nu; k) \right\}, & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases} \\
\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k-1}(\nu) &= \begin{cases} \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid i \geq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_2(\nu; -k-1), j \geq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k) \right\}, & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid i \geq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_4(\nu; k), j \geq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3(\nu; k-1) \right\}, & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{2k}(\nu) = \begin{cases} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2k}(i, j) \mid i \geq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_2(\nu; -k-1), j \geq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k-1) \right\}, & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2k}(i, j) \mid i \geq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_4(\nu; k), j \geq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3(\nu; k) \right\}, & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(\nu) = \begin{cases} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid i \geq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_2(\nu; -k-1), j \geq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k) \right\}, & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid i \geq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_4(\nu; k), j \geq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3(\nu; k-1) \right\}, & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the bricks of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_k(\nu)$) are all locating in k -th antidiagonal (resp. diagonal) slice positions by Lemma 2.14 (resp. Lemma 2.12).

Remark 3.10. If $\nu = \emptyset$, by Remark 3.9, we have for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\emptyset) = \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_k(i, j) \mid i \geq 0, j \geq 0 \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_k(\emptyset) = \left\{ \mathbf{S}_k(i, j) \mid i \geq 0, j \geq 0 \right\}.$$

For any subset $A \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{a(i, j) \mid (i, j) \in A\}$, define

$$\mathcal{A}^c = \{a(i, j) \mid (i, j) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2 \setminus A\}.$$

And we take convention that $\mathcal{B} = \{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid b_1 \leq j \leq b_2\} = \emptyset$ whenever integers b_1, b_2 satisfy $b_1 > b_2$.

In the following, we define two restricted pyramid configurations by removing bricks in $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu))^c$ (resp. $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_{2k}(\nu))^c$) from any pyramid partition π .

Definition 3.11. Let ν be a partition. For any pyramid partition $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu) &= \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \cap \tilde{\pi}_k; \\ \wp_\pi(\nu) &= \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{S}_k(\nu) \cap \pi_k. \end{aligned}$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_k &:= \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu) \cap \tilde{\pi}_k = \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \cap \tilde{\pi}_k; \\ \wp_\pi(\nu)_k &:= \wp_\pi(\nu) \cap \pi_k = \mathcal{S}_k(\nu) \cap \pi_k. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that the bricks in $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_k$ (resp. $\wp_\pi(\nu)_k$) also form a 2D Young diagram by the definition of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_k(\nu)$) together with Remarks 2.13 and 2.15. And we also identify $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_k$ (resp. $\wp_\pi(\nu)_k$) with its corresponding 2D Young diagram (or partition). Then we have two sequences of partitions $\{\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{\wp_\pi(\nu)_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$. They satisfy the following interlacing properties.

Lemma 3.12. Let ν be a partition. For any pyramid partition $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$, the restricted pyramid configuration $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)$ (resp. $\wp_\pi(\nu)$) is of antidiagonal (resp. diagonal) type ν .

Proof. We will prove that $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)$ is of antidiagonal type ν , the case for $\wp_\pi(\nu)$ of diagonal type ν is similar. One needs to show the following interlacing relations:

$$\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k} \underset{\nu'(-2k)}{\prec} \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k+1} \underset{\nu'(-2k-1)}{\prec} \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For simplicity of presentation, we take the following notations for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$(5) \quad \hat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; i) = \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; i); \quad \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; i) = \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_2(\nu; i);$$

$$(6) \quad \hat{\varepsilon}_3(\nu; j) = \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3(\nu; j); \quad \hat{\varepsilon}_4(\nu; j) = \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_4(\nu; j).$$

Let \tilde{R}_k^j and $\tilde{R}_{k,i}$ be the set of bricks in the j -th column and i -th row of $\tilde{\pi}_k$ respectively. Set $|\tilde{R}_k^j| = \tilde{\ell}_k^j$ and $|\tilde{R}_{k,i}| = \tilde{\ell}_{k,i}$. That is, we have for any $i, j \geq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_k^j &= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_k(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < \tilde{\ell}_k^j \right\}, \\ \tilde{R}_{k,i} &= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_k(i, j) \mid 0 \leq j < \tilde{\ell}_{k,i} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $k \leq 0$, by Lemma 2.14 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k} &= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid i \geq \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1), j \geq \hat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k-1) \right\} \cap \tilde{\pi}_{2k} \\ &= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid i \geq \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1), \hat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k-1) \leq j < \tilde{\ell}_{2k,i} \right\}, \\ \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k-1} &= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid i \geq \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1), j \geq \hat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k) \right\} \cap \tilde{\pi}_{2k-1} \\ &= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid i \geq \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1), \hat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k) \leq j < \tilde{\ell}_{2k-1,i} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.14, we have $\tilde{\pi}_{2k} \succ' \tilde{\pi}_{2k-1}$, which means that $\tilde{\ell}_{2k,i} - \tilde{\ell}_{2k-1,i} \in \{0, 1\}$ for any $i \geq 0$.

For any $i \geq \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1)$, if $\nu'(-2k) = 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[\tilde{\ell}_{2k-1,i} - \hat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k)] - [\tilde{\ell}_{2k,i} - \hat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k-1)] \\ &= \frac{\nu'(-2k) + 1}{2} - (\tilde{\ell}_{2k,i} - \tilde{\ell}_{2k-1,i}) \\ &= [1 - (\tilde{\ell}_{2k,i} - \tilde{\ell}_{2k-1,i})] \in \{0, 1\}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k} \prec' \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k-1}$ by Lemma 2.1. If $\nu'(-2k) = -1$, then we have

$$[\tilde{\ell}_{2k,i} - \hat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k-1)] - [\tilde{\ell}_{2k-1,i} - \hat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k)] = (\tilde{\ell}_{2k,i} - \tilde{\ell}_{2k-1,i}) \in \{0, 1\},$$

which implies that $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k} \succ' \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k-1}$. Then we prove $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k} \underset{\nu'(-2k)}{\prec \succ'} \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k-1}$ for $k \leq 0$.

Similarly, if $k < 0$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k} &= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1) \leq i < \tilde{\ell}_{2k}^j, j \geq \hat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k-1) \right\}, \\ \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k+1} &= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k+1}(i, j) \mid \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-2) \leq i < \tilde{\ell}_{2k+1}^j, j \geq \hat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k-1) \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.14, we have $\tilde{\pi}_{2k+1} \succ \tilde{\pi}_{2k}$, which means that $\tilde{\ell}_{2k+1}^j - \tilde{\ell}_{2k}^j \in \{0, 1\}$ for any $j \geq 0$.

For any $j \geq \hat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k-1)$, if $\nu'(-2k-1) = 1$, then

$$[\tilde{\ell}_{2k}^j - \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1)] - [\tilde{\ell}_{2k+1}^j - \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-2)] = [1 - (\tilde{\ell}_{2k+1}^j - \tilde{\ell}_{2k}^j)] \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Hence $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k+1} \prec \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k}$. If $\nu'(-2k-1) = -1$, then

$$[\tilde{\ell}_{2k+1}^j - \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-2)] - [\tilde{\ell}_{2k}^j - \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1)] = \tilde{\ell}_{2k+1}^j - \tilde{\ell}_{2k}^j \in \{0, 1\}.$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k+1} \succ \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k}$. Then $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k+1} \underset{\nu'(-2k-1)}{\prec \succ'} \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k}$ holds for $k < 0$.

The other cases for $k \geq 0$ are similar. \square

Remark 3.13. For the later generalization, we also call $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)$ (resp. $\wp_\pi(\nu)$) a restricted pyramid configuration of antidiagonal (resp. diagonal) type $(\nu, 0)$, see Definition 3.33 and Remark 3.31.

Now, we define the following two classes of restricted pyramid configurations as mentioned above.

Definition 3.14. Let ν be a partition. Define two sets of restricted pyramid configurations

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu) &= \left\{ \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu) \mid \pi \in \mathfrak{P} \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu) &= \left\{ \wp_\pi(\nu) \mid \pi \in \mathfrak{P} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

And we call $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)$) a class of restricted pyramid configurations of antidiagonal (resp. diagonal) type ν .

Remark 3.15. We also call $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)$) a class of restricted pyramid configurations of antidiagonal (resp. diagonal) type $(\nu, 0)$, see Definition 3.33 and Remark 3.31.

Remark 3.16. When $\nu = \emptyset$, we have $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu) = \wp_\pi(\nu) = \pi$ by Remark 3.10 and hence $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\emptyset) = \mathfrak{RP}_-(\emptyset) = \mathfrak{P}$. Then \mathfrak{P} is a class of restricted pyramid configurations of antidiagonal (resp. diagonal) type \emptyset . Notice that if $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathfrak{P}$ and $\pi_1 \neq \pi_2$, it is still possible to have $\tilde{\wp}_{\pi_1}(\nu) = \tilde{\wp}_{\pi_2}(\nu)$ or $\wp_{\pi_1}(\nu) = \wp_{\pi_2}(\nu)$ when $\nu \neq \emptyset$.

To establish the relation between 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex (resp. 1-leg DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex) and restricted pyramid configurations $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)$) later, we need the following

Lemma 3.17. Let ν be a partition. Let $\{\eta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be any sequence of partitions satisfying the interlacing property of the second type ν and $\eta_k = \emptyset$ for all $|k| \gg 0$, then there exists a pyramid partition $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$ such that $\eta_k = \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_k$ (as the same 2D Young diagrams) for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, there exists a pyramid pyramid partition $\vartheta \in \mathfrak{P}$ such that $\eta_k = \wp_\vartheta(\nu)_k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We will prove the first statement, the second one is similar. The statement holds when $\nu = \emptyset$ by Remark 2.16, it remains to consider the case when $\nu \neq \emptyset$. The strategy is to construct a pyramid partition π by adding certain bricks to the partition η_k for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where each η_k is viewed as the k -th diagonal slice of the expected restricted pyramid configuration $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)$ below.

Since $\eta_k = \emptyset$ for all $|k| \gg 0$, $\nu'(k) = -1$ for $k \gg 0$, and $\nu'(k) = 1$ for $k \ll 0$, there is an positive even integer \hbar depending on ν such that $\eta_k = \emptyset$ for all $|k| \geq \hbar$, $\nu'(k) = -1$ for $k \geq \hbar$, and $\nu'(k) = 1$ for $k \leq -\hbar$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{\{\eta_*\}} &:= \max \{(\eta_k)^0 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \\ \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}} &:= \max \{(\eta_k)_0 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\},\end{aligned}$$

where $(\eta_k)_i$ is the i -th row length of η_k and $(\eta_k)^j$ is the j -th column length of η_k for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Explicitly, the desired pyramid partition π is constructed by defining its k -th antidiagonal slices for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and then verifying the interlacing property (4) as follows.

(i) If $-\frac{\hbar}{2} \leq k \leq 0$, set

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\pi}_{2k}(\nu) &:= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k - 1), 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k - 1) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i - \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k - 1) < \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}, 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k - 1) + (\eta_{2k})_{i - \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k - 1)} \right\}, \\ \tilde{\pi}_{2k-1}(\nu) &:= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k - 1), 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i - \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k - 1) < \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}, 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k) + (\eta_{2k-1})_{i - \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k - 1)} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $-\frac{\hbar}{2} - \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}} \leq k < -\frac{\hbar}{2}$, set

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\pi}_{2k}(\nu) &:= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < \hat{\varepsilon}_2\left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1\right), 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_1\left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1\right) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}} + k + \frac{\hbar}{2} + 1 \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i - \hat{\varepsilon}_2\left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1\right) < \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}, 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_1\left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1\right) \right\}, \\ \tilde{\pi}_{2k-1}(\nu) &:= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < \hat{\varepsilon}_2\left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1\right), 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_1\left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1\right) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}} + k + \frac{\hbar}{2} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i - \hat{\varepsilon}_2\left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1\right) < \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}, 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_1\left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1\right) \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

(iii) If $-\frac{\hbar}{2} - \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}} - \hat{\varepsilon}_1\left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1\right) \leq k < -\frac{\hbar}{2} - \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}$, set

$$\tilde{\pi}_{2k}(\nu) := \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < \hat{\varepsilon}_2\left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1\right) + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}, 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_1\left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1\right) + k + \frac{\hbar}{2} + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}} + 1 \right\},$$

$$\tilde{\pi}_{2k-1}(\nu) := \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < \hat{\varepsilon}_2 \left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1 \right) + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}, 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_1 \left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1 \right) + k + \frac{\hbar}{2} + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}} \right\}.$$

(iv) If $k < -\frac{\hbar}{2} - \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}} - \hat{\varepsilon}_1 \left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1 \right)$, set $\tilde{\pi}_{2k-1}(\nu) = \tilde{\pi}_{2k}(\nu) := \emptyset$.

(v) If $0 < k \leq \frac{\hbar}{2}$, set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}_{2k}(\nu) &:= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < \hat{\varepsilon}_4(\nu; k), 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_3(\nu; k) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i - \hat{\varepsilon}_4(\nu; k) < \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}, 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_3(\nu; k) + (\eta_{2k})_{i - \hat{\varepsilon}_4(\nu; k)} \right\}, \\ \tilde{\pi}_{2k-1}(\nu) &:= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < \hat{\varepsilon}_4(\nu; k), 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_3(\nu; k - 1) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i - \hat{\varepsilon}_4(\nu; k) < \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}, 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_3(\nu; k - 1) + (\eta_{2k-1})_{i - \hat{\varepsilon}_4(\nu; k)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(vi) If $\frac{\hbar}{2} < k \leq \frac{\hbar}{2} + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}$, set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}_{2k}(\nu) &:= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < \hat{\varepsilon}_4 \left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} \right), 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_3 \left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i - \hat{\varepsilon}_4 \left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) < \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}} - k + \frac{\hbar}{2}, 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_3 \left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) \right\} \\ \tilde{\pi}_{2k-1}(\nu) &:= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < \hat{\varepsilon}_4 \left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} \right), 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_3 \left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i - \hat{\varepsilon}_4 \left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) < \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}} - k + \frac{\hbar}{2}, 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_3 \left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(vii) If $\frac{\hbar}{2} + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}} < k \leq \frac{\hbar}{2} + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}} + \hat{\varepsilon}_4 \left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} \right)$, set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}_{2k}(\nu) &:= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < \hat{\varepsilon}_4 \left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) - k + \frac{\hbar}{2} + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}, 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_3 \left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}} \right\}, \\ \tilde{\pi}_{2k-1}(\nu) &:= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < \hat{\varepsilon}_4 \left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) - k + \frac{\hbar}{2} + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}, 0 \leq j < \hat{\varepsilon}_3 \left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(viii) If $k > \frac{\hbar}{2} + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}} + \hat{\varepsilon}_4 \left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} \right)$, set $\tilde{\pi}_{2k-1}(\nu) = \tilde{\pi}_{2k}(\nu) := \emptyset$.

In the above construction of $\{\tilde{\pi}_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, we take the notations in (5) and (6).

Let $\pi = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\pi}_k$. It is clear that $\tilde{\pi}_k$ is a partition for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Remark 2.16, to show π is a pyramid partition, one only needs to show it satisfies the following interlacing property along its antidiagonal slices:

$$(7) \quad \tilde{\pi}_{2k}(\nu) \succ' \tilde{\pi}_{2k-1}(\nu) \text{ and } \tilde{\pi}_{2k-1}(\nu) \succ \tilde{\pi}_{2k-2}(\nu), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0},$$

$$(8) \quad \tilde{\pi}_{2k}(\nu) \prec' \tilde{\pi}_{2k-1}(\nu) \text{ and } \tilde{\pi}_{2k-1}(\nu) \prec \tilde{\pi}_{2k-2}(\nu), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$

By assumption, we have

$$\eta_{2k} \prec'_{\nu'(-2k)} \eta_{2k-1} \text{ and } \eta_{2k+1} \prec'_{\nu'(-2k-1)} \eta_{2k}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Suppose for any $i, j \geq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_k^j(\nu) &= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_k(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < \tilde{\ell}_k^j(\nu) \right\}, \\ \tilde{R}_{k,i}(\nu) &= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_k(i, j) \mid 0 \leq j < \tilde{\ell}_{k,i}(\nu) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{R}_k^j(\nu)$ (resp. $\tilde{R}_{k,i}(\nu)$) is the set of bricks in the j -th column (resp. i -th row) of $\tilde{\pi}_k(\nu)$, and $|\tilde{R}_k^j(\nu)| = \tilde{\ell}_k^j(\nu)$ (resp. $|\tilde{R}_{k,i}(\nu)| = \tilde{\ell}_{k,i}(\nu)$).

If $-\frac{\hbar}{2} \leq k \leq 0$, then we have

$$\tilde{\ell}_{2k,i}(\nu) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k-1) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq i < \widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1), \\ \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k-1) + (\eta_{2k})_{i-\widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1)}, & \text{if } \widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1) \leq i < \widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1) + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{\ell}_{2k-1,i}(\nu) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq i < \widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1), \\ \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k) + (\eta_{2k-1})_{i-\widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1)}, & \text{if } \widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1) \leq i < \widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1) + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}. \end{cases}$$

Combined with $\eta_{2k} \prec'_{\nu'(-2k)} \eta_{2k-1}$, one can show that $\tilde{\ell}_{2k,i}(\nu) - \tilde{\ell}_{2k-1,i}(\nu) \in \{0, 1\}$ for any $0 \leq i < \widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1) + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}$, which implies $\tilde{\pi}_{2k}(\nu) \succ' \tilde{\pi}_{2k-1}(\nu)$. On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}_{2k}(\nu) : &= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq j < \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k-1), 0 \leq i < \widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1) + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq j - \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k-1) < \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}, 0 \leq i < \widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1) + (\eta_{2k})^{j-\widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k-1)} \right\}, \\ \tilde{\pi}_{2k-1}(\nu) : &= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq j < \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k), 0 \leq i < \widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1) + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq j - \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k) < \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}, 0 \leq i < \widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1) + (\eta_{2k-1})^{j-\widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then if $-\frac{\hbar}{2} < k \leq 0$, we have

$$\tilde{\ell}_{2k-2}^j(\nu) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k) + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq j < \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k), \\ \widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k) + (\eta_{2k-2})^{j-\widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k)}, & \text{if } \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k) \leq j < \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{\ell}_{2k-1}^j(\nu) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1) + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq j < \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k), \\ \widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k-1) + (\eta_{2k-1})^{j-\widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k)}, & \text{if } \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k) \leq j < \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}. \end{cases}$$

Using $\eta_{2k-1} \prec'_{\nu'(-2k+1)} \eta_{2k-2}$, one can show $\tilde{\ell}_{2k-1}^j(\nu) - \tilde{\ell}_{2k-2}^j(\nu) \in \{0, 1\}$ for any $0 \leq j < \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}$. Then $\tilde{\pi}_{2k-1}(\nu) \succ \tilde{\pi}_{2k-2}(\nu)$. Now the interlacing property (7) of $\tilde{\pi}_k$ holds when $-\hbar-1 \leq k \leq 0$.

Similary, one can show the interlacing property (7) of $\tilde{\pi}_k$ holds for the following cases:

- (a) $-\hbar-2\Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}-1 \leq k \leq -\hbar-2$,
- (b) $-\hbar-2\Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}-2\widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2}-1)-1 \leq k \leq -\hbar-2\Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}-2$,
- (c) $k \leq -\hbar-2\Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}-2\widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2}-1)-2$,

and the interlacing property (8) of $\tilde{\pi}_k$ holds for the following cases:

- (d) $1 \leq k \leq \hbar$,
- (e) $\hbar+1 \leq k \leq \hbar+2\Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}$,
- (f) $\hbar+2\Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}+1 \leq k \leq \hbar+2\Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}+2\widehat{\varepsilon}_4(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2})$,
- (g) $k \geq \hbar+2\Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}+2\widehat{\varepsilon}_4(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2})+1$.

To prove that π is a pyramid partition, it remains to show the following interlacing relations:

- (A) $\tilde{\pi}_{-\hbar-1}(\nu) \succ \tilde{\pi}_{-\hbar-2}(\nu)$; $\tilde{\pi}_{-\hbar-2\Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}-1}(\nu) \succ \tilde{\pi}_{-\hbar-2\Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}-2}(\nu)$; $\tilde{\pi}_{-\hbar-2\Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}-2\widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2}-1)-1}(\nu) \succ \emptyset$;
- (B) $\tilde{\pi}_0(\nu) \succ \tilde{\pi}_1(\nu)$; $\tilde{\pi}_\hbar(\nu) \succ \tilde{\pi}_{\hbar+1}(\nu)$; $\tilde{\pi}_{\hbar+2\Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}}(\nu) \succ \tilde{\pi}_{\hbar+2\Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}+1}(\nu)$; $\tilde{\pi}_{\hbar+2\Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}+2\widehat{\varepsilon}_4(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2})}(\nu) \succ \emptyset$.

We only deal with $\tilde{\pi}_{-\hbar-1}(\nu) \succ \tilde{\pi}_{-\hbar-2}(\nu)$ and $\tilde{\pi}_0(\nu) \succ \tilde{\pi}_1(\nu)$, the other cases are similar. It can be shown that

$$\tilde{\ell}_{-\hbar-1}^j(\nu) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\varepsilon}_2\left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2}-1\right) + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq j < \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2}), \\ \widehat{\varepsilon}_2\left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2}-1\right) + (\eta_{-\hbar-1})^{j-\widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2})}, & \text{if } \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2}) \leq j < \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2}) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}. \end{cases}$$

$$\underline{\ell}_{-\hbar-2}^j(\nu) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\varepsilon}_2\left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1\right) + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq j < \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1), \\ \widehat{\varepsilon}_2\left(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1\right), & \text{if } \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1) \leq j < \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2}) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\ell}_0^j(\nu) &= \begin{cases} \rho_1(\nu) + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq j < \rho_2(\nu), \\ \rho_1(\nu) + (\eta_0)^{j-\rho_2(\nu)}, & \text{if } \rho_2(\nu) \leq j < \rho_2(\nu) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}. \end{cases} \\ \underline{\ell}_1^j(\nu) &= \begin{cases} \rho_1(\nu) - \frac{1 - \nu'(-1)}{2} + \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq j < \rho_2(\nu), \\ \rho_1(\nu) - \frac{1 - \nu'(-1)}{2} + (\eta_1)^{j-\rho_2(\nu)}, & \text{if } \rho_2(\nu) \leq j < \rho_2(\nu) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then $\underline{\ell}_{-\hbar-1}^j(\nu) - \underline{\ell}_{-\hbar-2}^j(\nu) = 0$ for any $0 \leq j < \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2}) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}$ since $\eta_{-\hbar-1} = \emptyset$ and $\widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2}) = \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; \frac{\hbar}{2} - 1) = \rho_2(\nu) - \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; +\infty)$. This implies that $\underline{\pi}_{-\hbar-1}(\nu) \succ \underline{\pi}_{-\hbar-2}(\nu)$. And $\underline{\ell}_0^j(\nu) - \underline{\ell}_1^j(\nu) \in \{0, 1\}$ for any $0 \leq j < \rho_2(\nu) + \Xi_{\{\eta_*\}}$ due to the assumption $\eta_1 \underset{\nu'(-1)}{\prec} \eta_0$. Then $\underline{\pi}_0(\nu) \succ \underline{\pi}_1(\nu)$.

With the pyramid partition $\pi = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \underline{\pi}_k$, we have if $-\frac{\hbar}{2} \leq k \leq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k} &= \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \cap \widetilde{\pi}_{2k}(\nu) \\ &= \left\{ \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i - \widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k - 1) < \Theta_{\{\eta_*\}}, 0 \leq j - \widehat{\varepsilon}_1(\nu; -k - 1) < (\eta_{2k})_{i-\widehat{\varepsilon}_2(\nu; -k - 1)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which has the same 2D Young diagram as η_{2k} , that is, $\eta_{2k} = \widetilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_{2k}$. The other cases are similar. \square

3.2. Restricted pyramid configurations with symmetric interlacing property. Let $\nu \neq \emptyset$ be a partition. In this subsection, we investigate a class of restricted pyramid configurations $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)$ (or $\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)$) of antidiagonal (or diagonal) type $(\nu, 0)$, see Definition 3.14 and Remark 3.15. And we show $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)$ (or $\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)$) is the unique class of restricted pyramid configurations of antidiagonal (or diagonal) type $(\nu, 0)$ with the symmetric interlacing property of type ν if $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ for some $m \geq 1$, see Proposition 3.27. Now let us start with the case when $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and then show $\widetilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu) = \wp_\pi(\nu)$ for any pyramid partition π . We need the following

Lemma 3.18. *Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then we have*

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_{2k}(\nu))^c.$$

Proof. We will show the case when m is even, the other case is similar. One can show

$$\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c = \begin{cases} \left\{ \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid i \geq 0, 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1 \right\}, & \text{if } k \leq -\frac{m}{2}, \\ \left\{ \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid i \geq 0, 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1 \text{ or } 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2} + k - 1, j \geq \frac{m}{2} \right\}, & \text{if } -\frac{m}{2} < k \leq 0, \\ \left\{ \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1, j \geq 0 \text{ or } i \geq \frac{m}{2}, 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2} - k - 1 \right\}, & \text{if } 0 < k \leq \frac{m}{2}, \\ \left\{ \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1, j \geq 0 \right\}, & \text{if } k > \frac{m}{2}. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu)\right)^c = \begin{cases} A_{k,-}(\nu) \cup A_{k,+}(\nu), & \text{if } k \leq -\frac{m}{2}, \\ A_{k,-}(\nu) \cup A_{k,+}(\nu) \cup B_{k,-}(\nu), & \text{if } -\frac{m}{2} < k \leq 0, \\ A_{k,-}(\nu) \cup A_{k,+}(\nu) \cup B_{k,+}(\nu), & \text{if } 0 < k \leq \frac{m}{2}, \\ A_{k,-}(\nu) \cup A_{k,+}(\nu), & \text{if } k > \frac{m}{2}. \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k,-}(\nu) &= \begin{cases} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2(j-i)}(i, i-k) \mid 0 \leq i \leq j, 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1 \right\}, & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2(j-i)}(i+k, i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1, j \geq i \right\}, & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases} \\ A_{k,+}(\nu) &= \begin{cases} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2(i-j)}(j, j-k) \mid i > j, 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1 \right\}, & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2(i-j)}(j+k, j) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1, 0 \leq j < i \right\}, & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases} \\ B_{k,-}(\nu) &= \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2(j-i)}(i, i-k) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2} + k - 1, j \geq \frac{m}{2} \right\}, & \text{if } -\frac{m}{2} < k \leq 0, \\ B_{k,+}(\nu) &= \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2(i-j)}(j+k, j) \mid i \geq \frac{m}{2}, 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2} - k - 1 \right\}, & \text{if } 0 < k \leq \frac{m}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu)\right)^c = \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A_{k,-}(\nu) \cup A_{k,+}(\nu) \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{-\frac{m}{2} < k \leq 0} B_{k,-}(\nu) \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{0 < k \leq \frac{m}{2}} B_{k,+}(\nu) \right).$$

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{k \leq 0} A_{k,-}(\nu) &= \bigcup_{0 \leq l < \frac{m}{2}} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2l}(i, i-k) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1 - l, k \leq 0 \right\}, \\ \bigcup_{k > 0} A_{k,-}(\nu) &= \bigcup_{l \geq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2l}(i+k, i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1, k > 0 \right\}, \\ \bigcup_{-\frac{m}{2} < k \leq 0} B_{k,-}(\nu) &= \bigcup_{1 \leq l < \frac{m}{2}} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2l}(i, i-k) \mid \frac{m}{2} - l \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2} + k - 1, 1 - l \leq k \leq 0 \right\} \\ &\quad \bigcup_{l \geq \frac{m}{2}} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2l}(i, i-k) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2} + k - 1, -\frac{m}{2} < k \leq 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{k \leq 0} A_{k,+}(\nu) &= \bigcup_{l \geq 1} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2l}(j, j-k) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1, k \leq 0 \right\} \\ \bigcup_{k > 0} A_{k,+}(\nu) &= \bigcup_{1 \leq l < \frac{m}{2}} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2l}(j+k, j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1 - l, k > 0 \right\} \\ \bigcup_{0 < k \leq \frac{m}{2}} B_{k,+}(\nu) &= \bigcup_{2 \leq l \leq \frac{m}{2}} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2l}(j+k, j) \mid \frac{m}{2} - l \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2} - k - 1, 0 < k \leq l - 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\bigcup_{l>\frac{m}{2}} \bigcup \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2l}(j+k, j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2} - k - 1, 0 < k \leq \frac{m}{2} \right\},$$

then one can show

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A_{k,-}(\nu) \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{-\frac{m}{2} < k \leq 0} B_{k,-}(\nu) \right) \\ &= \left(\bigcup_{l \leq -\frac{m}{2}} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2l}(i, j) \mid i \geq 0, j \geq \frac{m}{2} \right\}^c \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{-\frac{m}{2} < l \leq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2l}(i, j) \mid i \geq \frac{m}{2} + l, j \geq \frac{m}{2} \right\}^c \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A_{k,+}(\nu) \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{0 < k \leq \frac{m}{2}} B_{k,+}(\nu) \right) \\ &= \left(\bigcup_{l > \frac{m}{2}} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2l}(i, j) \mid i \geq \frac{m}{2}, j \geq 0 \right\}^c \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{0 < l \leq \frac{m}{2}} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2l}(i, j) \mid i \geq \frac{m}{2}, j \geq \frac{m}{2} - l \right\}^c \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now the proof follows from the definition of $\mathcal{S}_{2k}(\nu)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ when $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$. \square

Similarly, we have

Lemma 3.19. *Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then we have*

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k-1}(\nu) \right)^c = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(\nu))^c.$$

Proof. For the convenience of readers, we present the proof of the case when m is odd. The other case is similar. Actually, one can show

$$\left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k-1}(\nu) \right)^c = \begin{cases} \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-1}{2}, j \geq 0 \right\}, & \text{if } k \leq -\frac{m+1}{2}, \\ \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-1}{2}, j \geq 0 \text{ or } i \geq \frac{m+1}{2}, 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m-3}{2} + k \right\}, & \text{if } -\frac{m+1}{2} < k \leq 0, \\ \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid i \geq 0, 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m-1}{2} \text{ or } 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-1}{2} - k, j \geq \frac{m+1}{2} \right\}, & \text{if } 0 < k \leq \frac{m+1}{2}, \\ \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid i \geq 0, 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m-1}{2} \right\}, & \text{if } k > \frac{m+1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k-1}(\nu) \right)^c = \begin{cases} C_{k,-}(\nu) \cup C_{k,+}(\nu), & \text{if } k \leq -\frac{m+1}{2}, \\ C_{k,-}(\nu) \cup C_{k,+}(\nu) \cup D_{k,+}(\nu), & \text{if } -\frac{m+1}{2} < k \leq 0, \\ C_{k,-}(\nu) \cup C_{k,+}(\nu) \cup D_{k,-}(\nu), & \text{if } 0 < k \leq \frac{m+1}{2}, \\ C_{k,-}(\nu) \cup C_{k,+}(\nu), & \text{if } k > \frac{m+1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

where

$$C_{k,-}(\nu) = \begin{cases} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2(j-i)-1}(i, i-k) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m+1}{2}, j \geq i \right\}, & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2(j-i-1)-1}(i+k, i) \mid 0 \leq i < j, 0 \leq j < \frac{m+1}{2} \right\}, & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{k,+}(\nu) &= \begin{cases} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2(i-j)-1}(j, j-k+1) \mid 0 \leq i < \frac{m+1}{2}, 0 \leq j < i \right\}, & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2(i-j+1)-1}(j+k-1, j) \mid i \geq j, 0 \leq j < \frac{m+1}{2} \right\}, & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases} \\
D_{k,-}(\nu) &= \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2(j-i-1)-1}(i+k, i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m+1}{2} - k - 1, j \geq \frac{m+1}{2} \right\}, \quad \text{if } 0 < k \leq \frac{m+1}{2}, \\
D_{k,+}(\nu) &= \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2(i-j)-1}(j, j-k+1) \mid i \geq \frac{m+1}{2}, 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m-1}{2} + k - 1 \right\}, \quad \text{if } -\frac{m+1}{2} < k \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k-1}(\nu) \right)^c = \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C_{k,-}(\nu) \cup C_{k,+}(\nu) \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{0 < k \leq \frac{m+1}{2}} D_{k,-}(\nu) \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{-\frac{m+1}{2} < k \leq 0} D_{k,+}(\nu) \right).$$

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigcup_{k \leq 0} C_{k,-}(\nu) &= \bigcup_{l \geq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2l-1}(i, i-k) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-1}{2}, k \leq 0 \right\} \\
\bigcup_{k > 0} C_{k,-}(\nu) &= \bigcup_{0 \leq l \leq \frac{m-3}{2}} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2l-1}(i+k, i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-3}{2} - l, k > 0 \right\} \\
\bigcup_{0 < k \leq \frac{m+1}{2}} D_{k,-}(\nu) &= \bigcup_{1 \leq l \leq \frac{m-1}{2}} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2l-1}(i+k, i) \mid \frac{m-1}{2} - l \leq i \leq \frac{m-1}{2} - k, 0 < k \leq l \right\} \\
&\quad \bigcup_{l \geq \frac{m+1}{2}} \bigcup_{0 < k \leq \frac{m+1}{2}} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2l-1}(i+k, i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-1}{2} - k, 0 < k \leq \frac{m+1}{2} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigcup_{k \leq 0} C_{k,+}(\nu) &= \bigcup_{1 \leq l \leq \frac{m-1}{2}} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2l-1}(j, j-k+1) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m-1}{2} - l, k \leq 0 \right\} \\
\bigcup_{k > 0} C_{k,+}(\nu) &= \bigcup_{l \geq 1} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2l-1}(j+k-1, j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m-1}{2}, k > 0 \right\} \\
\bigcup_{-\frac{m+1}{2} < k \leq 0} D_{k,+}(\nu) &= \bigcup_{2 \leq l \leq \frac{m+1}{2}} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2l-1}(j, j-k+1) \mid \frac{m+1}{2} - l \leq j \leq \frac{m-3}{2} + k, 2 - l \leq k \leq 0 \right\} \\
&\quad \bigcup_{l > \frac{m+1}{2}} \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq \frac{m+1}{2}} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2l-1}(j, j-k+1) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m-3}{2} + k, -\frac{m+1}{2} < k \leq 0 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C_{k,-}(\nu) \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{0 < k \leq \frac{m+1}{2}} D_{k,-}(\nu) \right) \\
&= \left(\bigcup_{l \leq -\frac{m+1}{2}} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2l-1}(i, j) \mid i \geq \frac{m+1}{2}, j \geq 0 \right\}^c \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{-\frac{m+1}{2} < l \leq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2l-1}(i, j) \mid i \geq \frac{m+1}{2}, j \geq \frac{m-1}{2} - l \right\}^c \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C_{k,+}(\nu) \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{-\frac{m+1}{2} < k \leq 0} D_{k,+}(\nu) \right)$$

$$= \left(\bigcup_{l \geq \frac{m+1}{2}} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2l-1}(i, j) \mid i \geq 0, j \geq \frac{m+1}{2} \right\}^c \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{0 < l < \frac{m+1}{2}} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2l-1}(i, j) \mid i \geq \frac{m+1}{2} - l, j \geq \frac{m+1}{2} \right\}^c \right)$$

Then the proof is completed by combining all the above results with the definition of $\mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(\nu)$. \square

Lemma 3.20. *Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then we have*

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \right)^c = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_k(\nu))^c.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.18 and Lemma 3.19. \square

Then we have

Lemma 3.21. *Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. For any pyramid partition $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$, we have $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu) = \wp_\pi(\nu)$. Hence we have $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu) = \mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)$.*

Proof. Since for any pyramid partition $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu) &= \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \cap \tilde{\pi}_k \subseteq \pi, \\ \wp_\pi(\nu) &= \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{S}_k(\nu) \cap \pi_k \subseteq \pi. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.20, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \right)^c \cap \tilde{\pi}_k &= \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \right)^c \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\pi}_k \right) \\ &= \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_k(\nu))^c \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi_k \right) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_k(\nu))^c \cap \pi_k. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$[B] \in \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu) \implies [B] \notin \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \right)^c \cap \tilde{\pi}_k \implies [B] \notin \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_k(\nu))^c \cap \pi_k \implies [B] \in \wp_\pi(\nu).$$

where $[B]$ is any brick in $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)$ and the last implication can be proved by negation. Then $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu) \subseteq \wp_\pi(\nu)$. Similarly, we have $\wp_\pi(\nu) \subseteq \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)$. Then the proof is completed. \square

Next, we will show if $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu) = \wp_\pi(\nu)$ holds for any pyramid partition $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$ where $\nu \neq \emptyset$, then we must have $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. We need the following steps.

Lemma 3.22. *Let $\nu \neq \emptyset$ be a partition. Assume that*

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_{2k}(\nu))^c,$$

then we have $\rho_1(\nu) = \rho_2(\nu) \geq 1$.

Proof. Assume that $\rho_1(\nu) \neq \rho_2(\nu)$. Without loss of generality, we suppose $\rho_2(\nu) > \rho_1(\nu)$, the other case takes the similar argument. Let

$$\rho_2(\nu) = \rho_1(\nu) + \ell, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$$

By assumption and Remark 3.10, we have

$$(9) \quad (\mathcal{S}_0(\nu))^c = \mathcal{S}_0(\emptyset) \cap \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c \right).$$

Since if $k \leq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu)\right)^c &= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid i \geq 0, 0 \leq j \leq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k - 1) - 1 \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_2(\nu; -k - 1) - 1, j \geq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k - 1) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and if $k > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu)\right)^c &= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid i \geq 0, 0 \leq j \leq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3(\nu; k) - 1 \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_4(\nu; k) - 1, j \geq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3(\nu; k) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

then by Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (10) \quad &\mathcal{S}_0(\emptyset) \cap \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c \right) \\ &= \bigcup_{k \leq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_0(j, j - k) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k - 1) - 1 \right\} \\ &\quad \bigcup \bigcup_{k \leq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_0(j, j - k) \mid \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k - 1) \leq j \leq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_2(\nu; -k - 1) - 1 \right\} \\ &\quad \bigcup \bigcup_{k > 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_0(j + k, j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3(\nu; k) - 1 \right\} \\ &\quad \bigcup \bigcup_{k > 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_0(j + k, j) \mid \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3(\nu; k) \leq j \leq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_4(\nu; k) - 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad &(\mathcal{S}_0(\nu))^c = \left\{ \mathbf{S}_0(i, j) \mid i \geq \rho_1(\nu), j \geq \rho_2(\nu) \right\}^c \\ &= \bigcup_{k < -\ell} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_0(j, j - k) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \rho_1(\nu) - 1 \right\} \\ &\quad \bigcup \bigcup_{-\ell \leq k \leq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_0(j, j - k) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \rho_2(\nu) + k - 1 \right\} \\ &\quad \bigcup \bigcup_{k > 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_0(j + k, j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \rho_2(\nu) - 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (9), (10) and (11), one can show by induction on $k \leq 0$ and $k > 0$ that

$$\text{either } \begin{cases} \varepsilon_1(\nu; i - 1) = i, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq \ell, \\ \varepsilon_1(\nu; +\infty) = \ell, \\ \varepsilon_3(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{or } \begin{cases} \varepsilon_1(\nu; i - 1) = i, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq \ell, \\ \varepsilon_1(\nu; +\infty) \geq \ell + 1, \\ \varepsilon_2(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_3(\nu; +\infty) = 0. \end{cases}$$

For the first case, we have $\rho_2(\nu) = \ell$ and $\rho_1(\nu) = 0$, and hence $\varepsilon_2(\nu; +\infty) = \varepsilon_4(\nu; +\infty) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{cases} \nu'(t) = 1, & \forall t < 0, \\ \nu'(2t + 1) = -1, & \forall t \geq 0, \\ \nu'(2t) = 1, & \forall 0 \leq t \leq \ell - 1, \\ \nu'(2t) = -1, & \forall t \geq \ell, \end{cases}$$

which implies $|S(\nu')_+| = \ell = \rho_2(\nu)$ and $|S(\nu')_-| = 0 = \rho_1(\nu)$, which contradicts $|S(\nu')_+| = |S(\nu')_-|$ by Remark 3.2. Similarly, for the second case, we have $|S(\nu')_+| = \rho_2(\nu)$ and $|S(\nu')_-| = \rho_1(\nu)$, which again contradicts $|S(\nu')_+| = |S(\nu')_-|$ by Remark 3.2. Now the proof is completed by Remark 3.9. \square

The second step is

Lemma 3.23. *Let $\nu \neq \emptyset$ be a partition. Assume that*

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_{2k}(\nu))^c,$$

then

$$\text{either } \begin{cases} \varepsilon_1(\nu; +\infty) \geq 1, \\ \varepsilon_2(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_3(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_4(\nu; +\infty) \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \varepsilon_1(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_2(\nu; +\infty) \geq 1, \\ \varepsilon_3(\nu; +\infty) \geq 1, \\ \varepsilon_4(\nu; +\infty) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.22, we have $\rho_1(\nu) = \rho_2(\nu) \geq 1$. Now we have

$$(12) \quad (\mathcal{S}_0(\nu))^c = \bigcup_{k \leq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_0(j, j-k) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \rho_1(\nu) - 1 \right\} \bigcup_{k > 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_0(j+k, j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \rho_2(\nu) - 1 \right\}.$$

Then the proof follows from $\rho_1(\nu) = \rho_2(\nu) \geq 1$ and (9), (10), (12) by induction on $k \leq 0$ and $k > 0$. \square

The third step is

Lemma 3.24. *Let $\nu \neq \emptyset$ be a partition. Assume that*

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_{2k}(\nu))^c,$$

then we have

$$\text{either } \begin{cases} \varepsilon_1(\nu; 0) = 1, \\ \varepsilon_2(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_3(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_4(\nu; 1) = 1. \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \varepsilon_1(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_2(\nu; 0) = 1, \\ \varepsilon_3(\nu; 1) = 1, \\ \varepsilon_4(\nu; +\infty) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By assumption and Remark 3.10, we have

$$(13) \quad (\mathcal{S}_{-2}(\nu))^c = \mathcal{S}_{-2}(\emptyset) \bigcap \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c \right),$$

and

$$(14) \quad (\mathcal{S}_2(\nu))^c = \mathcal{S}_2(\emptyset) \bigcap \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c \right).$$

Since if $k \leq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c &= \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_2(\nu; -k-1) - 1, j \geq 0 \right\} \\ &\bigcup \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid i \geq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_2(\nu; -k-1), 0 \leq j \leq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k-1) - 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and if $k > 0$,

$$\left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c = \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_4(\nu; k) - 1, j \geq 0 \right\}$$

$$\bigcup \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid i \geq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_4(\nu; k), 0 \leq j \leq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3(\nu; k) - 1 \right\},$$

then by Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(15) \quad & \mathcal{S}_{-2}(\emptyset) \cap \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c \right) \\
= & \bigcup_{k \leq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2}(i, i - k) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_2(\nu; -k - 1) - 1 \right\} \\
& \bigcup \bigcup_{k \leq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2}(i, i - k) \mid \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_2(\nu; -k - 1) \leq i \leq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k - 1) - 2 \right\} \\
& \bigcup \bigcup_{k > 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2}(i + k, i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_4(\nu; k) - 1 \right\} \\
& \bigcup \bigcup_{k > 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2}(i + k, i) \mid \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_4(\nu; k) \leq i \leq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3(\nu; k) - 2 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(\mathcal{S}_{-2}(\nu))^c = \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2}(i, j) \mid i \geq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_2(\nu; 0), j \geq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; 0) \right\}^c$$

Notice $\rho_1(\nu) = \rho_2(\nu) \geq 1$ by Lemma 3.22 and $\varepsilon_1(\nu; 0), \varepsilon_2(\nu; 0) \in \{0, 1\}$. Then there are 3 cases:

(i) If $\varepsilon_1(\nu; 0) = \varepsilon_2(\nu; 0) = 0$, then

$$(16) (\mathcal{S}_{-2}(\nu))^c = \bigcup_{k \leq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2}(i, i - k) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \rho_1(\nu) - 1 \right\} \bigcup \bigcup_{k > 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2}(i + k, i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \rho_2(\nu) - 1 \right\}$$

By (13), (15) and (16), one can show by induction on $k \leq 0$ and $k > 0$ that

$$\varepsilon_2(\nu; +\infty) = 0; \quad \varepsilon_4(\nu; +\infty) = 0.$$

Then we have $\rho_1(\nu) = 0$, which is a contradiction to Lemma 3.22.

(ii) If $\varepsilon_1(\nu; 0) = 1$ and $\varepsilon_2(\nu; 0) = 0$, then

$$(17) (\mathcal{S}_{-2}(\nu))^c = \bigcup_{k \leq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2}(i, i - k) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \rho_1(\nu) - 1 \right\} \bigcup \bigcup_{k > 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2}(i + k, i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \rho_2(\nu) - 2 \right\}$$

By Lemma 3.23, we have $\varepsilon_2(\nu; +\infty) = 0$ and $\varepsilon_3(\nu; +\infty) = 0$ in this case since $\varepsilon_1(\nu; +\infty) \geq 1$. Then it follows from (13), (15) and (17) by induction on $k \leq 0$ and $k > 0$ that $\varepsilon_4(\nu; 1) = 1$.

(iii) If $\varepsilon_1(\nu; 0) = 0$ and $\varepsilon_2(\nu; 0) = 1$, then

$$(18) (\mathcal{S}_{-2}(\nu))^c = \bigcup_{k < 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2}(i, i - k) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \rho_1(\nu) - 2 \right\} \bigcup \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{-2}(i + k, i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \rho_2(\nu) - 1 \right\}$$

By Lemma 3.23, we have $\varepsilon_1(\nu; +\infty) = 0$ and $\varepsilon_4(\nu; +\infty) = 0$ in this case since $\varepsilon_2(\nu; +\infty) \geq 1$. Notice that one can not obtain the value of $\varepsilon_3(\nu; 1)$ from (13), (15) and (18) by induction on $k \leq 0$ and $k > 0$. Therefore it follows from the above argument that

$$\text{either } \begin{cases} \varepsilon_1(\nu; 0) = 1, \\ \varepsilon_2(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_3(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_4(\nu; 1) = 1. \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \varepsilon_1(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_2(\nu; 0) = 1, \\ \varepsilon_4(\nu; +\infty) = 0. \end{cases}$$

In addition, we need to consider the equation (14). It follows from the similar argument above that

$$\text{either } \begin{cases} \varepsilon_3(\nu; 1) = 1, \\ \varepsilon_4(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_1(\nu; +\infty) = 0. \end{cases} \quad \text{or } \begin{cases} \varepsilon_3(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_4(\nu; 1) = 1 \\ \varepsilon_1(\nu; 0) = 1, \\ \varepsilon_2(\nu; +\infty) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Now the proof follows from the above results. \square

The fourth step is

Lemma 3.25. *Let $\nu \neq \emptyset$ be a partition. Assume that*

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_{2k}(\nu))^c,$$

then for any $1 \leq b \leq \rho_1(\nu) = \rho_2(\nu)$, we have

$$\text{either } \begin{cases} \varepsilon_1(\nu; b-1) = b, \\ \varepsilon_2(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_3(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_4(\nu; b) = b. \end{cases} \quad \text{or } \begin{cases} \varepsilon_1(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_2(\nu; b-1) = b, \\ \varepsilon_3(\nu; b) = b, \\ \varepsilon_4(\nu; +\infty) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\rho(\nu) := \rho_1(\nu) = \rho_2(\nu)$. Since the statement holds for $b = 1$ or $\rho(\nu) = 1$ by Lemma 3.24, we will complete the proof by induction on b for $\rho(\nu) > 1$. Assume that the statement holds for $1 \leq b \leq \tilde{\ell} \leq \rho(\nu) - 1$, i.e.,

$$\text{either } \begin{cases} \varepsilon_1(\nu; b-1) = b, \\ \varepsilon_2(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_3(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_4(\nu; b) = b, \end{cases} \quad \forall 1 \leq b \leq \tilde{\ell}, \quad (*) \quad \text{or } \begin{cases} \varepsilon_1(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_2(\nu; b-1) = b, \\ \varepsilon_3(\nu; b) = b, \\ \varepsilon_4(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \end{cases} \quad \forall 1 \leq b \leq \tilde{\ell}, \quad (**)$$

we will prove that the statement also holds for $b = \tilde{\ell} + 1$. By assumption and Remark 3.10, we have

$$(19) \quad \left(\mathcal{S}_{2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(\nu) \right)^c = \mathcal{S}_{2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(\emptyset) \bigcap \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c \right).$$

and

$$(20) \quad \left(\mathcal{S}_{-2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(\nu) \right)^c = \mathcal{S}_{-2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(\emptyset) \bigcap \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c \right),$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}_{2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(\emptyset) \bigcap \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c \right) \\ &= \bigcup_{k \leq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{s}_{2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(j, j-k) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k-1) - 1 \right\} \\ & \quad \bigcup_{k \leq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{s}_{2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(j, j-k) \mid \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k-1) \leq j \leq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_2(\nu; -k-1) - \tilde{\ell} - 2 \right\} \\ & \quad \bigcup_{k > 0} \left\{ \mathbf{s}_{2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(j+k, j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3(\nu; k) - 1 \right\} \\ & \quad \bigcup_{k > 0} \left\{ \mathbf{s}_{2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(j+k, j) \mid \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3(\nu; k) \leq j \leq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_4(\nu; k) - \tilde{\ell} - 2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case (*), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(21) \quad & \mathcal{S}_{2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(\emptyset) \cap \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c \right) \\
&= \bigcup_{k \leq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(j, j-k) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k-1) - 1 \right\} \\
&\quad \bigcup \bigcup_{k \leq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(j, j-k) \mid \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k-1) \leq j \leq \rho_1(\nu) - \tilde{\ell} - 2 \right\} \\
&\quad \bigcup \bigcup_{k > 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(j+k, j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \rho_2(\nu) - 1 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(22) \quad \left(\mathcal{S}_{2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(\nu) \right)^c = \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(i, j) \mid i \geq \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_4(\nu; \tilde{\ell}+1), j \geq \rho_2(\nu) \right\}^c$$

where $\varepsilon_4(\nu; \tilde{\ell}+1) = \tilde{\ell}$ or $\varepsilon_4(\nu; \tilde{\ell}+1) = \tilde{\ell}+1$ since $\varepsilon_4(\nu; \tilde{\ell}) = \tilde{\ell}$.

If $\varepsilon_4(\nu; \tilde{\ell}+1) = \tilde{\ell}$, then it follows from (19), (21), (22) by induction on $k \leq 0$ and $k > 0$ that $\varepsilon_1(\nu; +\infty) = \tilde{\ell}$. Then we have $\rho_2(\nu) = \tilde{\ell}$ which contradicts the assumption $\tilde{\ell} \leq \rho_2(\nu) - 1$. Hence we have $\varepsilon_4(\nu; \tilde{\ell}+1) = \tilde{\ell}+1$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(23) \quad \left(\mathcal{S}_{2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(\nu) \right)^c &= \bigcup_{k \leq -\tilde{\ell}-1} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(j, j-k) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \rho_1(\nu) - \tilde{\ell} - 2 \right\} \\
&\quad \bigcup \bigcup_{-\tilde{\ell} \leq k \leq 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(j, j-k) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \rho_1(\nu) + k - 1 \right\} \\
&\quad \bigcup \bigcup_{k > 0} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2(\tilde{\ell}+1)}(j+k, j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \rho_2(\nu) - 1 \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from (19), (21), (23) by induction on $k \leq 0$ and $k > 0$ that $\varepsilon_1(\nu; \tilde{\ell}) = \tilde{\ell}+1$. Then in the case (*), we have

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon_1(\nu; \tilde{\ell}) = \tilde{\ell}+1, \\ \varepsilon_2(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_3(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_4(\nu; \tilde{\ell}+1) = \tilde{\ell}+1. \end{cases}$$

Next, we consider the case (**). It follows from the similar argument above that

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon_1(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_3(\nu; \tilde{\ell}+1) = \tilde{\ell}+1, \\ \varepsilon_4(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where the value of $\varepsilon_2(\nu; \tilde{\ell})$ can not be determined by the equation (19). As in Lemma 3.24, we should take into account the equation (20) further. Applying the similar argument as above in case (**), it follows from (20) that $\varepsilon_2(\nu; \tilde{\ell}) = \tilde{\ell}+1$. Then the statement is true for $\flat = \tilde{\ell}+1$, and hence the proof is completed. \square

Remark 3.26. The result in Lemma 3.25 is compatible with the following condition

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k-1}(\nu) \right)^c = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(\nu))^c.$$

Now we have

Proposition 3.27. Let $\nu \neq \emptyset$ be a partition. Then $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu) = \wp_\pi(\nu)$ holds for any pyramid partition $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$ if and only if $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Proof. Assume that $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu) = \wp_\pi(\nu)$ for any $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu) &= \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \cap \tilde{\pi}_k = \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\pi}_k \right) = \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \right) \cap \pi \subseteq \pi, \\ \wp_\pi(\nu) &= \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{S}_k(\nu) \cap \pi_k = \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{S}_k(\nu) \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi_k \right) = \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{S}_k(\nu) \right) \cap \pi \subseteq \pi, \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$(24) \quad \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \right)^c \right) \cap \pi = \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_k(\nu))^c \right) \cap \pi, \quad \text{for any } \pi \in \mathfrak{P}.$$

Let $[B]$ be any brick in $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \right)^c$. One can choose a pyramid partition π such that $[B] \in \pi$, then $[B] \in \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \right)^c \right) \cap \pi$. Combined with (24), we have $[B] \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_k(\nu))^c$. Then we have $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \right)^c \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_k(\nu))^c$. Similarly, we have $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_k(\nu))^c \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \right)^c$. Hence

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \right)^c = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_k(\nu))^c.$$

By Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \right)^c = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_k(\nu))^c \iff \begin{cases} \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu) \right)^c = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_{2k}(\nu))^c, \\ \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k-1}(\nu) \right)^c = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(\nu))^c. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.25, we have either

$$(25) \quad \begin{cases} \varepsilon_1(\nu; \flat - 1) = \flat, \\ \varepsilon_2(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_3(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_4(\nu; \flat) = \flat. \end{cases} \quad \iff \quad \begin{cases} \nu'(2t) = 1, & 0 \leq t \leq \rho(\nu) - 1 \\ \nu'(2t) = -1, & t \geq \rho(\nu) \\ \nu'(2t + 1) = -1, & t \geq 0 \\ \nu'(-2t) = 1, & t \geq 1 \\ \nu'(-2t + 1) = -1, & 1 \leq t \leq \rho(\nu) \\ \nu'(-2t + 1) = 1, & t \geq \rho(\nu) + 1 \end{cases} \quad \iff \quad \nu = (2\rho(\nu) - 1, 2\rho(\nu) - 2, \dots, 2, 1)$$

or

$$(26) \quad \begin{cases} \varepsilon_1(\nu; +\infty) = 0, \\ \varepsilon_2(\nu; b-1) = b, \\ \varepsilon_3(\nu; b) = b, \\ \varepsilon_4(\nu; +\infty) = 0. \end{cases} \quad \begin{aligned} &\iff \begin{cases} \nu'(2t) = -1, t \geq 0 \\ \nu'(2t+1) = 1, 0 \leq t \leq \rho(\nu) - 1 \\ \nu'(2t+1) = -1, t \geq \rho(\nu) \\ \nu'(-2t) = -1, 1 \leq t \leq \rho(\nu) \\ \nu'(-2t) = 1, t \geq \rho(\nu) + 1 \\ \nu'(-2t+1) = 1, t \geq 1 \end{cases} \\ &\iff \nu = (2\rho(\nu), 2\rho(\nu) - 1, \dots, 2, 1) \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho(\nu) = \rho_1(\nu) = \rho_2(\nu) \geq 1$. This implies that $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Therefore, together with Lemma 3.21, the proof is completed. \square

Remark 3.28. By Remark 3.16, Proposition 3.27 also includes the trivial case when $\nu = \emptyset$ or equivalently $m = 0$.

3.3. Other restricted pyramid configurations with symmetric interlacing property. Let ν be a partition and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In this subsection, we construct some restricted pyramid configurations of antidiagonal (resp. diagonal) type (ν, l) with symmetric interlacing property, which actually generalize those of antidiagonal (resp. diagonal) type $(\nu, 0)$ in Section 3.1 and 3.2.

For an integer $l \geq 0$, define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_k(l) = \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_k(i, j) \mid i \geq l, j \geq l \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}_k(l) = \left\{ \mathbf{S}_k(i, j) \mid i \geq l, j \geq l \right\}.$$

Lemma 3.29. Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then we have

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_k(l))^c = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{T}_k(l))^c.$$

Proof. It follows from the similar argument in the proof of Lemma 3.18 and Lemma 3.19. \square

Remark 3.30. It is natural to consider more general notions, that is, for any $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_k(l_1, l_2) = \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_k(i, j) \mid i \geq l_1, j \geq l_2 \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_k(l_1, l_2) = \left\{ \mathbf{S}_k(i, j) \mid i \geq l_1, j \geq l_2 \right\}.$$

However, we have $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_k(l_1, l_2))^c \neq \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{R}_k(l_1, l_2))^c$ if $l_1 \neq l_2$, which can not be used for extracting the restricted pyramid configurations with symmetric interlacing property in our construction.

Let ν be a partition and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k}(\nu, l) &= \begin{cases} \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid i \geq l + \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_2(\nu; -k-1), j \geq l + \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k-1) \right\}, & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k}(i, j) \mid i \geq l + \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_4(\nu; k), j \geq l + \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3(\nu; k) \right\}, & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2k-1}(\nu, l) &= \begin{cases} \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid i \geq l + \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_2(\nu; -k-1), j \geq l + \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k) \right\}, & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid i \geq l + \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_4(\nu; k), j \geq l + \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3(\nu; k-1) \right\}, & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{2k}(\nu, l) = \begin{cases} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2k}(i, j) \mid i \geq l + \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_2(\nu; -k-1), j \geq l + \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k-1) \right\}, & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2k}(i, j) \mid i \geq l + \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_4(\nu; k), j \geq l + \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3(\nu; k) \right\}, & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(\nu, l) = \begin{cases} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid i \geq l + \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_2(\nu; -k-1), j \geq l + \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1(\nu; -k) \right\}, & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{2k-1}(i, j) \mid i \geq l + \rho_1(\nu) - \varepsilon_4(\nu; k), j \geq l + \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3(\nu; k-1) \right\}, & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

Define the following two classes of restricted pyramid configurations

$$\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu, l) = \left\{ \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu, l) \mid \pi \in \mathfrak{P} \right\}, \quad \mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu, l) = \left\{ \wp_\pi(\nu, l) \mid \pi \in \mathfrak{P} \right\}.$$

where any pyramid partition $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$,

$$\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu, l) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu, l) \cap \tilde{\pi}_k; \quad \wp_\pi(\nu, l) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{S}_k(\nu, l) \cap \pi_k.$$

Remark 3.31. *It is easy to see that*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu, 0) &= \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu), \quad \mathcal{S}_k(\nu, 0) = \mathcal{S}_k(\nu), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\emptyset, l) = \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_k(l), \quad \mathcal{S}_k(\emptyset, l) = \mathcal{T}_k(l), \\ \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu, 0) &= \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu), \quad \wp_\pi(\nu, 0) = \wp_\pi(\nu), \quad \mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu, 0) = \mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu), \quad \mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu, 0) = \mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu). \end{aligned}$$

We have the following generalization of Lemma 3.12 by the similar argument.

Lemma 3.32. *Let ν be a partition and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. For any pyramid partition $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$, the restricted pyramid configuration $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu, l)$ (resp. $\wp_\pi(\nu, l)$) is of antidiagonal (resp. diagonal) type ν .*

Due to Lemmas 3.12, 3.32, Remark 3.31 and Definition 3.14, we unify some definitions as follows.

Definition 3.33. *Let ν be a partition and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We call $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu, l)$ (resp. $\wp_\pi(\nu, l)$) a restricted pyramid configurations of antidiagonal (resp. diagonal) type (ν, l) . And we say $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu, l)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu, l)$) a class of restricted pyramid configurations of antidiagonal (resp. diagonal) type (ν, l) .*

It follows from the similar argument in the proof of Lemma 3.17 that

Lemma 3.34. *Let ν be a partition and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $\{\eta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be any sequence of partitions satisfying the interlacing property of the second type ν and $\eta_k = \emptyset$ for all $|k| \gg 0$, then there exists a pyramid partition $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$ such that $\eta_k = \tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu, l)_k$ (as the same 2D Young diagrams) for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, there exists a pyramid pyramid partition $\vartheta \in \mathfrak{P}$ such that $\eta_k = \wp_\vartheta(\nu, l)_k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Notice that $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1) = \emptyset$ is equivalent to $m = 0$. By the similar argument in Section 3.2 together with Lemma 3.29 and Remark 3.31, we have the following two results.

Lemma 3.35. *Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then we have*

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu, l) \right)^c = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_k(\nu, l))^c.$$

Proposition 3.36. *Let ν be a partition and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then for any fixed l , the equality $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu, l) = \wp_\pi(\nu, l)$ holds for any pyramid partition $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$ if and only if $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In particular, if $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu, l) = \mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu, l)$ for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.*

Remark 3.37. *It follows from Proposition 3.36 for any fixed $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ that $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu, l)$ (or $\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu, l)$) is the unique class of restricted pyramid configurations of antidiagonal (or diagonal) type (ν, l) with the symmetric interlacing property of type ν only when $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ for any $m \geq 1$.*

4. THE 1-LEG DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -VERTEX

In Section 4.1, we define and show generating functions of two classes of restricted pyramid configurations $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu, l)}$ and $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu, l)}$ are both independent of l and present their vertex operator expressions for any partition ν when $l = 0$. They are equal when $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. With this special 1-leg partition ν , we obtain the more explicit vertex operator product expressions for

$V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$, $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}$, $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$, and $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$. And we derive the relations between $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}$ (resp. $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$) and 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ (resp. 1-leg DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$) in Section 4.2 (resp. Section 4.3) to establish a connection between $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$ in Section 4.4. An explicit formula for this special class of 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ is presented in Section 4.5.

4.1. Vertex operator products, topological vertices and restricted pyramid configurations. We start with describing vertex operator product expression for 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex as follows. According to the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -coloring in Definition 2.17 and 1-leg partition ν , we introduce operators

$$(27) \quad \tilde{Q}_{k,\nu} = \begin{cases} Q_{0c}, & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } |\nu|_k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}; \\ Q_{c0}, & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } |\nu|_k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}; \\ Q_{ab}, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, k > 0 \text{ and } |\nu|_k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}; \\ Q_{ab}, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, k < 0 \text{ and } |\nu|_k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}; \\ Q_{ba}, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, k > 0 \text{ and } |\nu|_k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}; \\ Q_{ba}, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, k < 0 \text{ and } |\nu|_k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

where $|\nu|_k = |\{(i, j) \in \nu \mid i - j = k\}|$. Let $\overrightarrow{\prod}_{t \in \mathbb{S}} \Psi_t$ denote the product of operators Ψ_t with the order such that $t \in \mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ increases from left to right. Set $\Gamma_{\pm 1}(x) = \Gamma_{\pm}(x)$ for any variable x .

Lemma 4.1. *For any partition ν , we have*

$$V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} = \left\langle \emptyset \left| \overrightarrow{\prod}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{Q}_{-t,\nu} \Gamma_{\nu'(t)}(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle.$$

Proof. It follows from the similar argument in the proof of [2, Proposition 8] with the correct $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -coloring in Definition 2.17 and interlacing property of the first type ν in Definition 3.4. \square

As in [3, Definition 6.1], we define the following two generating functions.

Definition 4.2. *For any partition ν , define*

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} &= \sum_{\wp \in \mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} \prod_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} q_g^{|\wp|_g}, \\ Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)} &= \sum_{\wp \in \mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)} \prod_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} q_g^{|\wp|_g}, \end{aligned}$$

where $|\wp|_g := |K_{\wp}^{-1}(g) \cap \wp|$ is the number of bricks colored g in \wp .

Remark 4.3. *It is clear that the above two generating functions generalizes Z_{pyramid} in [3, Definition 6.1] since we have $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\emptyset)} = Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\emptyset)} = Z_{\wp} = Z_{\text{pyramid}}$ by Remark 3.16.*

According the coloring of bricks in the antidiagonal slices of elements $\tilde{\wp}_{\pi}(\nu)$ in $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)$, we define

$$(28) \quad \overline{Q}_{k,\nu} = \begin{cases} Q_{0c}, & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } \mathcal{U}(k, \nu) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}; \\ Q_{c0}, & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } \mathcal{U}(k, \nu) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}; \\ Q_{ba}, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, k > 0, \mathcal{U}(k, \nu) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}; \\ Q_{ba}, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, k < 0, \mathcal{U}(k, \nu) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}; \\ Q_{ab}, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, k > 0, \mathcal{U}(k, \nu) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}; \\ Q_{ab}, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, k < 0, \mathcal{U}(k, \nu) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

where

$$U(k, \nu) = \begin{cases} \rho_1(\nu) + \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1 \left(\nu; -\frac{k}{2} - 1 \right) - \varepsilon_2 \left(\nu; -\frac{k}{2} - 1 \right), & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } k \leq 0; \\ \rho_1(\nu) + \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_1 \left(\nu; -\frac{k+1}{2} \right) - \varepsilon_2 \left(\nu; -\frac{k+1}{2} - 1 \right), & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } k \leq 0; \\ \rho_1(\nu) + \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3 \left(\nu; \frac{k}{2} \right) - \varepsilon_4 \left(\nu; \frac{k}{2} \right), & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } k > 0; \\ \rho_1(\nu) + \rho_2(\nu) - \varepsilon_3 \left(\nu; \frac{k+1}{2} - 1 \right) - \varepsilon_4 \left(\nu; \frac{k+1}{2} \right), & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

is employed to determine the color of the origin of the 2D Young diagram $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_k$. Now we have the following vertex operator product expressions for $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}$ and $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$.

Lemma 4.4. *For any partition ν , we have*

$$Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} = \left\langle \emptyset \left| \overrightarrow{\prod}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \overrightarrow{Q}_{-2t, \nu} \Gamma'_{\nu'(2t)}(1) \overrightarrow{Q}_{-2t-1, \nu} \Gamma_{\nu'(2t+1)}(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle,$$

$$Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)} = \left\langle \emptyset \left| \overrightarrow{\prod}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_0 \Gamma'_{\nu'(4t)}(1) Q_a \Gamma_{\nu'(4t+1)}(1) Q_c \Gamma'_{\nu'(4t+2)}(1) Q_b \Gamma_{\nu'(4t+3)}(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.12, Lemma 3.17, and Lemma 3.12 by using the interlacing property of slices $\{\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ (resp. $\{\wp_\pi(\nu)_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$) and the color assignments of bricks in $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu)_k$ (resp. $\wp_\pi(\nu)_k$) for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Remark 4.5. *By Lemma 3.32 and Lemma 3.34, the interlacing properties for slices of elements $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu, l)$ in $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu, l)$ (resp. $\wp_\pi(\nu, l)$ in $\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu, l)$) are independent of l . Define*

$$Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu, l)} = \sum_{\wp \in \mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu, l)} \prod_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} q_g^{|\wp|_g}, \quad Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu, l)} = \sum_{\wp \in \mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu, l)} \prod_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} q_g^{|\wp|_g},$$

as in Definition 4.2. By the color assignment of bricks in $\tilde{\wp}_\pi(\nu, l)_k$ (resp. $\wp_\pi(\nu, l)_k$) for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, one can show by comparing their vertex operator product expressions that

$$Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu, l)} = Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu, l)} = Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)} \quad \text{for any } l \geq 0.$$

where $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} = Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu, 0)}$ and $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)} = Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu, 0)}$ by Remark 3.31.

Remark 4.6. *By Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.4, we have for any partition $\nu \neq \emptyset$,*

$$V_{\emptyset \emptyset \nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} = \left\langle \emptyset \left| \overrightarrow{\prod}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{Q}_{-2t, \nu} \Gamma_{\nu'(2t)}(1) \tilde{Q}_{-2t-1, \nu} \Gamma_{\nu'(2t+1)}(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle,$$

$$Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} = \left\langle \emptyset \left| \overrightarrow{\prod}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \overrightarrow{Q}_{-2t, \nu} \Gamma'_{\nu'(2t)}(1) \overrightarrow{Q}_{-2t-1, \nu} \Gamma_{\nu'(2t+1)}(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle.$$

In general, the connection between $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}$ and $V_{\emptyset \emptyset \nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ follows from comparing $\overrightarrow{Q}_{k, \nu}$ with $\tilde{Q}_{k, \nu}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and applying the relations $\Gamma_\pm(1) = \Gamma'_\pm(1)E_\pm(1)$ in Lemma 2.6 using the vertex operator methods of Okounkov-Reshetikhin-Vafa and Bryan-Young.

Next, we will focus on $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}$ and $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$ below. Notice that $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$ is a generating function with variables q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a while $V_{\emptyset \emptyset \nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$ is a generating function with variables $\tilde{q}_0, \tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2, \tilde{q}_3$. To reveal the relation between $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$ and $V_{\emptyset \emptyset \nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$, taking into account the color of k -th diagonal slice of a pyramid partition (or a restricted pyramid configuration) in Lemma 2.12, it is natural and convenient to identify their variables by

$$\tilde{q}_0 = q_0, \quad \tilde{q}_1 = q_b, \quad \tilde{q}_2 = q_c, \quad \tilde{q}_3 = q_a$$

together with identifying operators Q_0, Q_b, Q_c, Q_a ($\{0, b, c, a\} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$) with operators Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 ($\{0, 1, 2, 3\} = \mathbb{Z}_4$) respectively. With this convention, we define the following weight operators

$$\widehat{Q}_k = \begin{cases} Q_0, & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\ Q_b, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ Q_c, & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \\ Q_a, & \text{if } k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

for counting the \mathbb{Z}_4 -colored 3D Young diagrams. It is shown in [2, Proposition 8] that the 1-leg DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex has the following vertex operator product expression:

$$\begin{aligned} (29) \quad V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) &= \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{Q}_{-t} \Gamma_{\nu'(t)}(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_0 \Gamma_{\nu'(4t)}(1) Q_a \Gamma_{\nu'(4t+1)}(1) Q_c \Gamma_{\nu'(4t+2)}(1) Q_b \Gamma_{\nu'(4t+3)}(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.7. As in Remark 4.6, by Lemma 4.4 and Equation (29), one can connect $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}$ with $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$ by applying relations $\Gamma_{\pm}(1) = \Gamma'_{\pm}(1)E_{\pm}(1)$ in Lemma 2.6.

One way to establish the connection between $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$ follows from the following result.

Lemma 4.8. Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then

$$Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} = Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}.$$

Proof. It follows from Definition 4.2 and Lemma 3.21. \square

Remark 4.9. If $\nu \neq \emptyset$ and $\nu \neq (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ for any $m \geq 1$, then by Proposition 3.27 we have

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \right)^c \neq \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_k(\nu))^c.$$

Then there exists a brick $[B]$ such that $[B] \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \right)^c$ and $[B] \notin \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_k(\nu))^c$, or $[B] \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_k(\nu))^c$ and $[B] \notin \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\nu) \right)^c$. In the first case, for any pyramid partition π containing $[B]$, one can show $\wp_{\pi}(\nu) \in \mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)$ and $\wp_{\pi}(\nu) \notin \mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)$ since $[B] \in \wp_{\pi}(\nu)$ but any element in $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)$ does not contain $[B]$. The second case is similar. Then $\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu) \neq \mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)$. In such a case, I have no idea how to establish the connection between $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}$ and $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$.

In the following, we will concentrate on the 1-leg partitions $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. To compare $\overline{Q}_{k,\nu}$ with $\widetilde{Q}_{k,\nu}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we start with the following

Lemma 4.10. Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then

$$U(k, \nu) - |\nu|_k \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{2}, & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ or } m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}; \\ 1 \pmod{2}, & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ or } m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$, it follows from the direct computation that

$$(30) \quad |\nu|_k = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{|k|}{2} \right\rfloor, & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } -m \leq k \leq m; \\ 0, & \text{if } |k| > m; \\ \frac{m+1}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{1+|k|}{2} \right\rfloor, & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } -m \leq k \leq m. \end{cases}$$

and

$$(31) \quad \mathcal{U}(k, \nu) = \begin{cases} m - \left\lfloor \frac{|k|}{2} \right\rfloor, & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } -m \leq k \leq m; \\ \frac{m}{2}, & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } |k| > m; \\ m + 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{1 + |k|}{2} \right\rfloor, & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } -m \leq k \leq m; \\ \frac{m + 1}{2}, & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } |k| > m. \end{cases}$$

Now the proof follows from (30) and (31). \square

Actually we have

Lemma 4.11. *Assume $\nu = (m, m - 1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \text{ if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ and } -m \leq k \leq m, \quad \tilde{Q}_{k, \nu} &= \begin{cases} Q_{0c}, & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\ Q_{ab}, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ Q_{c0}, & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \\ Q_{ba}, & \text{if } k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \\ (ii) \text{ if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and } -m \leq k \leq m, \quad \tilde{Q}_{k, \nu} &= \begin{cases} Q_{c0}, & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\ Q_{ab}, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ Q_{0c}, & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \\ Q_{ba}, & \text{if } k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \\ (iii) \text{ if } m \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } -m \leq k \leq m, \quad \tilde{Q}_{k, \nu} &= \begin{cases} Q_{c0}, & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\ Q_{ba}, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ Q_{0c}, & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \\ Q_{ab}, & \text{if } k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \\ (iv) \text{ if } m \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and } -m \leq k \leq m, \quad \tilde{Q}_{k, \nu} &= \begin{cases} Q_{0c}, & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\ Q_{ba}, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ Q_{c0}, & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \\ Q_{ab}, & \text{if } k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (v) \text{ if } k > m, \quad \tilde{Q}_{k, \nu} &= \begin{cases} Q_{0c}, & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}; \\ Q_{ab}, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \\ (vi) \text{ if } k < -m, \quad \tilde{Q}_{k, \nu} &= \begin{cases} Q_{0c}, & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}; \\ Q_{ba}, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from (27) together with (30) by the direct computation. \square

Corollary 4.12. Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\overline{Q}_{k,\nu} = \begin{cases} \tilde{Q}_{k,\nu} \Big|_{a \leftrightarrow b}, & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\ \tilde{Q}_{k,\nu} \Big|_{0 \leftrightarrow c}, & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ \tilde{Q}_{k,\nu} \Big|_{0 \leftrightarrow c}, & \text{if } m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \\ \tilde{Q}_{k,\nu} \Big|_{a \leftrightarrow b}, & \text{if } m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{Q}_{k,\nu} \Big|_{a \leftrightarrow b}$ denotes the exchange of a and b in the expression of $\tilde{Q}_{k,\nu}$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.10 and Lemma 4.11 by comparing (27) with (28). \square

Next, we will present the vertex operator product expressions for $V_{\emptyset \emptyset \nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$, $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}$, $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$, and $V_{\emptyset \emptyset \nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$ when $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ as follows.

Lemma 4.13. Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then we have

(i) if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$,

$$(32) \quad V_{\emptyset \emptyset \nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} = \left\langle \emptyset \Big| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{0c} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{ab} \Gamma_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} Q_{0c} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{ba} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{c0} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{ab} \Gamma_+(1) \right. \\ \left. \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{0c} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{ba} \Gamma_-(1) \Big| \emptyset \right\rangle,$$

(ii) if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$,

$$(33) \quad V_{\emptyset \emptyset \nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} = \left\langle \emptyset \Big| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{ab} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{0c} \Gamma_+(1) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} Q_{ab} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{c0} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{ba} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{0c} \Gamma_+(1) \right) \right. \\ \left. \cdot Q_{ab} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{c0} \Gamma_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{ba} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{0c} \Gamma_-(1) \Big| \emptyset \right\rangle.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.11, together with the two latter equivalences in Equation (25) and Equation (26). \square

Lemma 4.14. Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then we have

(i) if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$,

$$(34) \quad Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} = \left\langle \emptyset \Big| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{0c} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{ba} \Gamma_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} Q_{0c} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{ab} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{c0} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{ba} \Gamma_+(1) \right. \\ \left. \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{0c} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{ab} \Gamma_-(1) \Big| \emptyset \right\rangle,$$

(ii) if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,

$$(35) \quad Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} = \left\langle \emptyset \Big| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{ab} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{c0} \Gamma'_+(1) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} Q_{ab} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{0c} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{ba} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{c0} \Gamma'_+(1) \right) \right. \\ \left. \cdot Q_{ab} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{0c} \Gamma'_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{ba} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{c0} \Gamma'_-(1) \Big| \emptyset \right\rangle,$$

(iii) if $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} &= \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{c0} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{ab} \Gamma_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} Q_{c0} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{ba} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{0c} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{ab} \Gamma_+(1) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{c0} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{ba} \Gamma_-(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

(iv) if $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} &= \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{ba} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{0c} \Gamma'_+(1) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} Q_{ba} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{c0} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{ab} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{0c} \Gamma'_+(1) \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \cdot Q_{ba} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{c0} \Gamma'_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{ab} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{0c} \Gamma'_-(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Combined with the two latter equivalences in Equation (25) and Equation (26), the proof follows from Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.11 and Corollary 4.12. \square

Lemma 4.15. *Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then we have*

(i) if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (36) \quad Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)} &= \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_0 \Gamma'_+(1) Q_a \Gamma_+(1) Q_c \Gamma'_+(1) Q_b \Gamma_+(1) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} Q_0 \Gamma'_-(1) Q_a \Gamma_+(1) Q_c \Gamma'_-(1) Q_b \Gamma_+(1) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_0 \Gamma'_-(1) Q_a \Gamma_-(1) Q_c \Gamma'_-(1) Q_b \Gamma_-(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (37) \quad V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) &= \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_0 \Gamma_+(1) Q_a \Gamma_+(1) Q_c \Gamma_+(1) Q_b \Gamma_+(1) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} Q_0 \Gamma_-(1) Q_a \Gamma_+(1) Q_c \Gamma_-(1) Q_b \Gamma_+(1) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_0 \Gamma_-(1) Q_a \Gamma_-(1) Q_c \Gamma_-(1) Q_b \Gamma_-(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

(ii) if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (38) \quad Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)} &= \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_b \Gamma_+(1) Q_0 \Gamma'_+(1) Q_a \Gamma_+(1) Q_c \Gamma'_+(1) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} Q_b \Gamma_-(1) Q_0 \Gamma'_+(1) Q_a \Gamma_-(1) Q_c \Gamma'_+(1) \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \cdot Q_b \Gamma_-(1) Q_0 \Gamma'_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_a \Gamma_-(1) Q_c \Gamma'_-(1) Q_b \Gamma_-(1) Q_0 \Gamma'_-(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$(39) \quad V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) = \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_b \Gamma_+(1) Q_0 \Gamma_+(1) Q_a \Gamma_+(1) Q_c \Gamma_+(1) \right. \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} Q_b \Gamma_-(1) Q_0 \Gamma_+(1) Q_a \Gamma_-(1) Q_c \Gamma_+(1) \right) \\ & \cdot Q_b \Gamma_-(1) Q_0 \Gamma_+(1) \cdot \left. \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_a \Gamma_-(1) Q_c \Gamma_-(1) Q_b \Gamma_-(1) Q_0 \Gamma_-(1) \right| \emptyset \Bigg\}, \end{aligned}$$

(iii) if $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)} &= \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_c \Gamma'_+(1) Q_b \Gamma_+(1) Q_0 \Gamma'_+(1) Q_a \Gamma_+(1) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} Q_c \Gamma'_-(1) Q_b \Gamma_+(1) Q_0 \Gamma'_-(1) Q_a \Gamma_+(1) \\ &\quad \cdot \left. \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_c \Gamma'_-(1) Q_b \Gamma_-(1) Q_0 \Gamma'_-(1) Q_a \Gamma_-(1) \right| \emptyset \Bigg\rangle, \\ V_{\emptyset \emptyset \nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4} (q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) &= \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_c \Gamma_+(1) Q_b \Gamma_+(1) Q_0 \Gamma_+(1) Q_a \Gamma_+(1) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} Q_c \Gamma_-(1) Q_b \Gamma_+(1) Q_0 \Gamma_-(1) Q_a \Gamma_+(1) \\ &\quad \cdot \left. \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_c \Gamma_-(1) Q_b \Gamma_-(1) Q_0 \Gamma_-(1) Q_a \Gamma_-(1) \right| \emptyset \Bigg\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

(iv) if $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)} &= \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_a \Gamma_+(1) Q_c \Gamma'_+(1) Q_b \Gamma_+(1) Q_0 \Gamma'_+(1) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} Q_a \Gamma_-(1) Q_c \Gamma'_+(1) Q_b \Gamma_-(1) Q_0 \Gamma'_+(1) \right) \\ &\quad \cdot Q_a \Gamma_-(1) Q_c \Gamma'_+(1) \cdot \left. \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_b \Gamma_-(1) Q_0 \Gamma'_-(1) Q_a \Gamma_-(1) Q_c \Gamma'_-(1) \right| \emptyset \Bigg\rangle, \\ V_{\emptyset \emptyset \nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4} (q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) &= \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_a \Gamma_+(1) Q_c \Gamma_+(1) Q_b \Gamma_+(1) Q_0 \Gamma_+(1) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} Q_a \Gamma_-(1) Q_c \Gamma_+(1) Q_b \Gamma_-(1) Q_0 \Gamma_+(1) \right) \\ &\quad \cdot Q_a \Gamma_-(1) Q_c \Gamma_+(1) \cdot \left. \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_b \Gamma_-(1) Q_0 \Gamma_-(1) Q_a \Gamma_-(1) Q_c \Gamma_-(1) \right| \emptyset \Bigg\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Combined with the two latter equivalences in Equation (25) and Equation (26), the proof follows from Lemma 4.4 and Equation (29). \square

For simplicity of presentation in next subsections, we introduce the following notations. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_0(x, q) &= \mathbf{M}(x, q) \cdot \mathbf{M}(-x, q), \\ \mathbf{M}_1(x, y, q) &= \frac{\mathbf{M}(x, q)}{\mathbf{M}(xy, q)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{M}_2(x, q; l) &= \frac{\mathbf{M}(xq^l, q)}{\mathbf{M}(x, q) \cdot (x; q)_\infty^l}, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1(x, y, q) &= \mathbf{M}_1(x, y, q) \cdot \mathbf{M}_1(-x, y, q), \\ \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2(x, q; l) &= \mathbf{M}_2(x, q; l) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2(-x, q; l),\end{aligned}$$

where $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, and x, y, q are commutative variables.

Remark 4.16. For commutative variables q and x , we have

$$(40) \quad \mathbf{M}(x, q) = \mathbf{M}(xq^{-1}, q) \cdot (x; q)_\infty,$$

which is an identity used for simplification in the next subsections.

4.2. 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex and restricted pyramid configurations. We will present the relation between the 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ and the generating function of restricted pyramid configurations $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}$ in this subsection. Following the vertex operator method of Okounkov-Reshetikhin-Vafa and Bryan-Young as in [3, Lemma 7.2], we first need the following

Lemma 4.17. Assume $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\} = \{0, a, b, c\}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_+(1) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_+ \left(\sqrt{q^i q_{z_3} q_{z_4}} \right), \\ \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_+(1) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma'_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_+ \left(\sqrt{q^i} \right),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_-(1) &= \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_- \left(\sqrt{q^i q_{z_1} q_{z_2}} \right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_-(1), \\ \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_-(1) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} E_- \left(\sqrt{q^i} \right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma'_-(1).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7 by applying $\Gamma_\pm(1) = \Gamma'_\pm(1)E_\pm(1)$ in the suitable positions and then moving all E_+ -operators (resp. E_- -operators) to the right (resp. left) handside. \square

Lemma 4.18. Assume $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\} = \{0, a, b, c\}$, $k \in \{0, 1\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$ and x be a variable. Then

$$\begin{aligned}& \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_+ \left(x \sqrt{q^i} \right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_2 z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_4 z_3} \Gamma_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_+(1) \\ &= \mathbf{M}_1 \left(x^2 (q_{z_3} q_{z_4})^{-1}, q^{2l+k}, q \right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_2 z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_4 z_3} \Gamma_+(1) \\ & \quad \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_+ \left(x q^l \sqrt{q^{i+k}} \right)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}& \left(\prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_2 z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_4 z_3} \Gamma_+(1) \right) \cdot Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_+(1) \\ &= \mathbf{M}_2(q_{z_1} q_{z_2}, q; 2l) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{z_2 z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_4 z_3} \Gamma'_+(1) \right) \\ & \quad \cdot Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma'_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{2l} E_+ \left(\sqrt{q^i} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first equation follows from moving each $E_+(x\sqrt{q^i})$ to the right by Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7. The second equation follows from the similar argument in the proof of Lemma 4.17. \square

Lemma 4.19. *Assume $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\} = \{0, a, b, c\}$, $k \in \{0, 1\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$ and x be a variable. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_+(x\sqrt{q^i}) \cdot \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_-(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle \\ &= \mathbf{M}(x^2 (q_{z_3} q_{z_4})^{-1}, q) \cdot \mathbf{M}(x^2, q) \cdot \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_-(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from Equation (2), Lemmas 2.6, 2.7 by commuting all $E_+(x\sqrt{q^i})$ out to the right. \square

Lemma 4.20. *Assume $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\} = \{0, a, b, c\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_2 z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_4 z_3} \Gamma_+(1) \\ &= \mathbf{M}_2(q_{z_1} q_{z_2}, q; 2l-1) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{2l-1} E_-(\sqrt{q_{z_1} q_{z_2} q^i}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_2 z_1} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{z_4 z_3} \Gamma_+(1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from the similar argument in the proof of Lemma 4.17. \square

Lemma 4.21. *Assume $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\} = \{0, a, b, c\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$ and x be a variable. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_2 z_1} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{z_4 z_3} \Gamma_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_-(x\sqrt{q^i}) \\ &= \mathbf{M}_1(x^2 q^{-1}, q^{2l}, q) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_-(x q^i \sqrt{q^i}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_2 z_1} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{z_4 z_3} \Gamma_+(1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{z_2 z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_4 z_3} \Gamma'_+(1) \right) \cdot Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma'_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_-(x\sqrt{q^i}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{M}_1(x^2 q^{-1}, q^{2l+1}, q)} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_-(x q^i \sqrt{q^{i+1}}) \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{z_2 z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_4 z_3} \Gamma'_+(1) \right) \cdot Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma'_+(1) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof follows from moving each $E_-(x\sqrt{q^i})$ to the left by Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7. \square

Lemma 4.22. *Assume $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\} = \{0, a, b, c\}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$ and x be a variable. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_+(1) \right| \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_-(x\sqrt{q^i}) \right\rangle \\ &= \mathbf{M}_1(x^2 q^{-1}, q_{z_3} q_{z_4}, q) \cdot \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma_+(1) \right| \right\rangle, \\ & \quad \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1 z_2} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_3 z_4} \Gamma'_+(1) \right| \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_-(x\sqrt{q^i}) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mathbf{M}_1(x^2q^{-1}, q_{z_3}q_{z_4}, q)} \cdot \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1z_2}\Gamma_+(1)Q_{z_3z_4}\Gamma'_+(1) \right. \right\rangle.$$

Proof. It follows from Equation (1), Lemmas 2.6, 2.7 by commuting all $E_-(x\sqrt{q^i})$ out to the left. \square

Now we have

Lemma 4.23. *Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$. Then we have*

(i) *if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$,*

$$V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} = \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_a q_b, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}\left(q_a q_b, q; 2\left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \right\rfloor\right) \cdot \left(Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}\Big|_{q_a \leftrightarrow q_b}\right),$$

(ii) *if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$,*

$$V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} = \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_a q_b, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}\left(q_a q_b, q; 2\left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \right\rfloor\right) \cdot \left(Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}\Big|_{q_0 \leftrightarrow q_c}\right).$$

Proof. We first deal with the case when $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. In Equation (32), we apply $\Gamma_+(1) = \Gamma'_+(1)E_+(1)$ in the position corresponding to that of $\Gamma'_+(1)$ in Equation (34), and then moving all the appearance of E_+ -operators to the right by Lemmas 4.17, 4.18 and 4.19. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} &= C_1(\{q_\star\}; m) \cdot \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{0c}\Gamma'_+(1)Q_{ab}\Gamma_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} Q_{0c}\Gamma_-(1)Q_{ba}\Gamma_+(1)Q_{c0}\Gamma_-(1)Q_{ab}\Gamma_+(1) \right. \right. \\ (41) \quad &\quad \cdot \left. \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{0c}\Gamma_-(1)Q_{ba}\Gamma_-(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_1(\{q_\star\}; m) = \mathbf{M}_1(1, q^m, q) \cdot \mathbf{M}(q^m, q) \cdot \mathbf{M}(q^m q_a q_b, q).$$

In Equation (41), one applies $\Gamma_-(1) = \Gamma'_-(1)E_-(1)$ in the positions corresponding to those of $\Gamma'_-(1)$ in Equation (34), and then moving all the appearance of E_- -operators to the left by Lemmas 4.20, 4.17, 4.21 and 4.22. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} &= C_1(\{q_\star\}; m) \cdot C_2(\{q_\star\}; m) \\ &\quad \cdot \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{0c}\Gamma'_+(1)Q_{ab}\Gamma_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} Q_{0c}\Gamma'_-(1)Q_{ba}\Gamma_+(1)Q_{c0}\Gamma'_-(1)Q_{ab}\Gamma_+(1) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left. \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{0c}\Gamma'_-(1)Q_{ba}\Gamma_-(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle \\ &= C_1(\{q_\star\}; m) \cdot C_2(\{q_\star\}; m) \cdot \left(Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}\Big|_{q_a \leftrightarrow q_b}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_2(\{q_\star\}; m) = \mathbf{M}_2(q_0 q_c, q; m-1) \cdot \mathbf{M}_1((q_a q_b)^{-1}, q^m, q) \cdot \mathbf{M}_1((q_a q_b)^{-1}, q_a q_b, q).$$

By Equation (40), the proof is completed by the direct computation of $C_1(\{q_\star\}; m) \cdot C_2(\{q_\star\}; m)$.

Next, we treat the case when $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. In Equation (33), we substitute $\Gamma_+(1) = \Gamma'_+(1)E_+(1)$ into the positions corresponding to those of $\Gamma'_+(1)$ in Equation (35) and then move all E_+ -operators to the right by Lemmas 4.17, 4.18 and 4.19. Then we have

$$V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} = C_3(\{q_\star\}; m) \cdot \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{ab}\Gamma_+(1)Q_{0c}\Gamma'_+(1) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} Q_{ab}\Gamma_-(1)Q_{c0}\Gamma'_+(1)Q_{ba}\Gamma_-(1)Q_{0c}\Gamma'_+(1) \right) \right. \right\rangle$$

$$(42) \quad \cdot Q_{ab}\Gamma_-(1)Q_{c0}\Gamma'_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{ba}\Gamma_-(1)Q_{0c}\Gamma_-(1) \Big| \emptyset \Big\rangle$$

where

$$C_3(\{q_\star\}; m) = \mathbf{M}_1(q^{-1}q_a q_b, q^m, q) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2(q_a q_b, q; m-1) \cdot \mathbf{M}(q^{-1}(q_a q_b), q) \cdot \mathbf{M}(1, q).$$

In Equation (42), one applies $\Gamma_-(1) = \Gamma'_-(1)E_-(1)$ in the positions corresponding to that of $\Gamma'_-(1)$ in Equation (35), and then move all E_- -operators to the left by Lemmas 4.17, 4.21 and 4.22. By comparing with Equation (35), we have

$$V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} = C_3(\{q_\star\}; m) \cdot C_4(\{q_\star\}; m) \cdot \left(Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} \Big|_{q_0 \leftrightarrow q_c} \right)$$

where

$$C_4(\{q_\star\}; m) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{M}_1(1, q^m, q) \cdot \mathbf{M}_1(q^m, q_0 q_c, q)}.$$

Then the proof follows from the direct computation of $C_3(\{q_\star\}; m) \cdot C_4(\{q_\star\}; m)$ when $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

The other cases are similar, or can be simply proved just by comparing with the above two cases. \square

4.3. 1-leg DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex and restricted pyramid configurations. In this subsection, we will present the relation between the 1-leg DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$ and the generating function of restricted pyramid configurations $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$. Here we take the convention of identifying variables and operators mentioned in Section 4.1. As in Section 4.2, we first derive the following

Lemma 4.24. *Assume $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\} = \{0, a, b, c\}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1}\Gamma_+(1)Q_{z_2}\Gamma_+(1)Q_{z_3}\Gamma_+(1)Q_{z_4}\Gamma_+(1) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1}\Gamma'_+(1)Q_{z_2}\Gamma_+(1)Q_{z_3}\Gamma'_+(1)Q_{z_4}\Gamma_+(1) \right) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_+(q^i q_{z_4})E_+(q^i q_{z_2} q_{z_3} q_{z_4}), \\ & \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1}\Gamma_+(1)Q_{z_2}\Gamma_+(1)Q_{z_3}\Gamma_+(1)Q_{z_4}\Gamma_+(1) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1}\Gamma_+(1)Q_{z_2}\Gamma'_+(1)Q_{z_3}\Gamma_+(1)Q_{z_4}\Gamma'_+(1) \right) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_+(q^i)E_+(q^i q_{z_3} q_{z_4}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1}\Gamma_-(1)Q_{z_2}\Gamma_-(1)Q_{z_3}\Gamma_-(1)Q_{z_4}\Gamma_-(1) \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_-(q^i q_{z_1})E_-(q^i q_{z_1} q_{z_2} q_{z_3}) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1}\Gamma'_-(1)Q_{z_2}\Gamma_-(1)Q_{z_3}\Gamma'_-(1)Q_{z_4}\Gamma_-(1) \right), \\ & \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1}\Gamma_-(1)Q_{z_2}\Gamma_-(1)Q_{z_3}\Gamma_-(1)Q_{z_4}\Gamma_-(1) \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_-(q^i q_{z_1} q_{z_2})E_-(q^{i+1}) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1}\Gamma_-(1)Q_{z_2}\Gamma'_-(1)Q_{z_3}\Gamma_-(1)Q_{z_4}\Gamma'_-(1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from the similar argument in the proof of Lemma 4.17. \square

Lemma 4.25. Assume $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\} = \{0, a, b, c\}$, $k \in \{0, 1\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$ and x be a variable. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_+(xq^i) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_3} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_4} \Gamma_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k Q_{z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma_+(1) \\ = & \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1(xq^{-1}q_{z_1}, q^{l+k}, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1(xq_{z_4}^{-1}, q^l, q) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_3} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_4} \Gamma_+(1) \\ & \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k Q_{z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_+(xq^{l+i} q_{z_1}^k q_{z_2}^k) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_3} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_4} \Gamma_+(1) \right) \cdot Q_{z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma_+(1) \\ = & \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2(q_{z_1}, q; l) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2(qq_{z_2}^{-1}, q; l) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2(qq_{z_4}^{-1}, q; l-1) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2(q_{z_3}, q; l) \\ & \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{z_3} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_4} \Gamma'_+(1) \right) \cdot Q_{z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma'_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} E_+(q^i q_{z_1} q_{z_2}) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^l E_+(q^i) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from the similar argument in the proof of Lemma 4.18. \square

Lemma 4.26. Assume $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\} = \{0, a, b, c\}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$ and x be a variable. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_+(xq^i) \cdot \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_4} \Gamma_-(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle \\ = & \mathbf{M}_0(x, q) \cdot \mathbf{M}_0(xq_{z_4}^{-1}, q) \cdot \mathbf{M}_0(x(q_{z_3} q_{z_4})^{-1}, q) \cdot \mathbf{M}_0(xq^{-1} q_{z_1}, q) \\ & \cdot \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_3} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_4} \Gamma_-(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from the similar argument in the proof of Lemma 4.19. \square

Lemma 4.27. Assume $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\} = \{0, a, b, c\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_3} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_4} \Gamma_+(1) \\ = & \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2(q_{z_1}, q; l-1) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2(qq_{z_2}^{-1}, q; l-1) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2(qq_{z_4}^{-1}, q; l-1) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2(q_{z_3}, q; l) \\ & \cdot \prod_{i=1}^l E_-(q^{i-1} q_{z_1}) E_-(q^i q_{z_4}^{-1}) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_3} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{z_4} \Gamma_+(1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from the similar argument in the proof of Lemma 4.20. \square

Lemma 4.28. Assume $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\} = \{0, a, b, c\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$ and x be a variable. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_3} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{z_4} \Gamma_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_-(xq^i) \\ = & \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1(xq^{-1}, q^l, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1(x(q_{z_1} q_{z_2})^{-1}, q^l, q) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_-(xq^{l+i}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_3} \Gamma'_-(1) Q_{z_4} \Gamma_+(1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{z_3} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_4} \Gamma'_+(1) \right) \cdot Q_{z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma'_+(1) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_-(xq^i) \\
= & \frac{1}{\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1(xq^{-1}, q^{l+1}, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1(x(q_{z_3} q_{z_4})^{-1}, q^l, q)} \\
& \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_-(xq^{l+i} q_{z_1} q_{z_2}) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^l Q_{z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{z_3} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_4} \Gamma'_+(1) \right) \cdot Q_{z_1} \Gamma_-(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma'_+(1)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from the similar argument in the proof of Lemma 4.21. \square

Lemma 4.29. *Assume $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\} = \{0, a, b, c\}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$ and x be a variable. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_3} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{z_4} \Gamma_+(1) \right| \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_-(xq^i) \right\rangle \\
= & \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1(xq^{-1}, qq_{z_1}^{-1}, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1(x(q_{z_1} q_{z_2})^{-1}, q_{z_3}^{-1}, q) \cdot \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_3} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{z_4} \Gamma_+(1) \right| \right. \\
& \left. \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{z_3} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_4} \Gamma'_+(1) \right| \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_-(xq^i) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
= & \frac{1}{\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1(xq^{-1}, qq_{z_1}^{-1}, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1(x(q_{z_1} q_{z_2})^{-1}, q_{z_3}^{-1}, q)} \cdot \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{z_1} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_2} \Gamma'_+(1) Q_{z_3} \Gamma_+(1) Q_{z_4} \Gamma'_+(1) \right| \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from the similar argument in the proof of Lemma 4.22. \square

Then we have

Lemma 4.30. *Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$. Then we have*

(i) if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$,

$$Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)} = \frac{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_a, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_b, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_c, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_a q_b q_c, q)}{\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_a, q; \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_b, q; \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{1-2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_c, q; \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_a q_b q_c, q; \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor)},$$

(ii) if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$,

$$Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)} = \frac{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_a, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_b, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_0, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_a q_b q_0, q)}{\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_a, q; \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_b, q; \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{1-2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_0, q; \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_a q_b q_0, q; \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor)}.$$

Proof. As in Lemma 4.23, we first deal with the case when $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. In Equation (37), we substitute $\Gamma_+(1) = \Gamma'_+(1) E_+(1)$ into the positions corresponding to those of $\Gamma'_+(1)$ in Equation (36), and then move all E_+ -operators to the right by Lemmas 4.24, 4.25 and 4.26. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(43) \quad V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) = & C_5(\{q_{\star}\}; m) \cdot \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_0 \Gamma'_+(1) Q_a \Gamma_+(1) Q_c \Gamma'_+(1) Q_b \Gamma_+(1) \right. \right. \\
& \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} Q_0 \Gamma_-(1) Q_a \Gamma_+(1) Q_c \Gamma_-(1) Q_b \Gamma_+(1) \\
& \cdot \left. \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_0 \Gamma_-(1) Q_a \Gamma_-(1) Q_c \Gamma_-(1) Q_b \Gamma_-(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_5(\{q_{\star}\}; m) = \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1(1, q^{\frac{m}{2}}, q)^2 \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1(q_a q_c, q^{\frac{m}{2}}, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1((q_a q_c)^{-1}, q^{\frac{m}{2}}, q)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \mathbf{M}_0\left(q^{\frac{m}{2}} q_a q_b q_c, q\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_0\left(q^{\frac{m}{2}} q_a q_c, q\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_0\left(q^{\frac{m}{2}} q_a, q\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_0\left(q^{\frac{m}{2}}, q\right)^2 \\ & \cdot \mathbf{M}_0\left(q^{\frac{m}{2}} q_b, q\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_0\left(q^{\frac{m}{2}}(q_a q_c)^{-1}, q\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_0\left(q^{\frac{m}{2}} q_c^{-1}, q\right) \end{aligned}$$

In Equation (43), one substitutes $\Gamma_-(1) = \Gamma'_-(1)E_-(1)$ into the positions corresponding to those of $\Gamma'_-(1)$ in Equation (36), and then move all E_- -operators to the left by Lemmas 4.27, 4.24, 4.28 and 4.29. Then we have

$$V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) = C_5(\{q_\star\}; m) \cdot C_6(\{q_\star\}; m) \cdot Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_6(\{q_\star\}; m) = & \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2\left(q_0, q; \frac{m}{2} - 1\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2\left(q q_a^{-1}, q; \frac{m}{2} - 1\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2\left(q q_b^{-1}, q; \frac{m}{2} - 1\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2\left(q_c, q; \frac{m}{2}\right) \\ & \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(q_0 q^{-1}, q^{\frac{m}{2}}, q\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(q_a^{-1}, q^{\frac{m}{2}}, q\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(q_b^{-1}, q^{\frac{m}{2}}, q\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(q_c, q^{\frac{m}{2}}, q\right) \\ & \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(q_0 q^{-1}, q q_0^{-1}, q\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(q_a^{-1}, q_c^{-1}, q\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(q_b^{-1}, q q_0^{-1}, q\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(q_c, q_c^{-1}, q\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then the proof follows by the direct computation of $C_5(\{q_\star\}; m) \cdot C_6(\{q_\star\}; m)$ when $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

We also include the proof in the case when $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ as follows. As in the above case, comparing Equation (39) with Equation (38), and by Lemmas 4.24, 4.25 and 4.26, we first have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) = & C_7(\{q_\star\}; m) \cdot \left\langle \emptyset \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_b \Gamma_+(1) Q_0 \Gamma'_+(1) Q_a \Gamma_+(1) Q_c \Gamma'_+(1) \right. \right. \\ & \cdot \left. \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} Q_b \Gamma_-(1) Q_0 \Gamma'_-(1) Q_a \Gamma_-(1) Q_c \Gamma'_-(1) \right) \right. \\ & \cdot Q_b \Gamma_-(1) Q_0 \Gamma'_-(1) \cdot \left. \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_a \Gamma_-(1) Q_c \Gamma_-(1) Q_b \Gamma_-(1) Q_0 \Gamma_-(1) \right| \emptyset \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_7(\{q_\star\}; m) = & \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(q_b q^{-1}, q^{\frac{m+1}{2}}, q\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(q_c^{-1}, q^{\frac{m-1}{2}}, q\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(q_0^{-1}, q^{\frac{m+1}{2}}, q\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(q_a, q^{\frac{m-1}{2}}, q\right) \\ & \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2\left(q_b, q; \frac{m-1}{2}\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2\left(q q_0^{-1}, q; \frac{m-1}{2}\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2\left(q q_c^{-1}, q; \frac{m-1}{2}\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2\left(q_a, q; \frac{m-1}{2}\right) \\ & \cdot \mathbf{M}_0(1, q)^2 \cdot \mathbf{M}_0(q_0 q_b, q) \cdot \mathbf{M}_0(q_b, q) \cdot \mathbf{M}_0(q_c^{-1}, q) \\ & \cdot \mathbf{M}_0(q_0^{-1}, q) \cdot \mathbf{M}_0((q_0 q_b)^{-1}, q) \cdot \mathbf{M}_0(q^{-1} q_a, q) \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemmas 4.24, 4.28 and 4.29, we have

$$V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) = C_7(\{q_\star\}; m) \cdot C_8(\{q_\star\}; m) \cdot Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_8(\{q_\star\}; m) = & \left(\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left((q_0 q_b)^{-1}, q^{\frac{m+1}{2}}, q\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(1, q^{\frac{m-1}{2}}, q\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(1, q^{\frac{m+1}{2}}, q\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(q_0 q_b, q^{\frac{m-1}{2}}, q\right) \right)^{-1} \\ & \cdot \left(\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(q^{\frac{m-1}{2}}, q q_b^{-1}, q\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(q^{\frac{m-1}{2}} q_a q_c, q_a^{-1}, q\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(q^{\frac{m-1}{2}} q_0 q_b, q q_b^{-1}, q\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1\left(q^{\frac{m+1}{2}}, q_a^{-1}, q\right) \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

By the direct computation of $C_7(\{q_\star\}; m) \cdot C_8(\{q_\star\}; m)$, we complete the proof when $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

The other cases are similar, or can be simply proved just by comparing with the above two cases. \square

Remark 4.31. When $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, the argument in the proof of Lemma 4.30 also holds for $m = 0$ or equivalently $\nu = \emptyset$, and hence we have

$$Z_{\mathfrak{P}} = Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\emptyset)} = Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\emptyset)} = \mathbf{M}(1, q)^4 \cdot \frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_a q_c, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_b q_c, q)}{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(-q_a, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(-q_b, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(-q_c, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(-q_a q_b q_c, q)}$$

by Equation (44) and Remark 4.3, which can be viewed as an alternative proof of [3, Theorem 6.2].

4.4. 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex and 1-leg DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex. When the 1-leg partitions are chosen to be $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have the following relations between 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex and 1-leg DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex.

Theorem 4.32. *Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$. Then we have*

(i) if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_c, q_a, q_b) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_a, q_b, q_c) \cdot \Phi(q_c, q_a, q_b, q; m), \\ V_{\emptyset\nu\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_c, q_a, q_b) \cdot \Phi(q_b, q_c, q_a, q; m), \\ V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_a, q_b, q_c) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) \cdot \Phi(q_a, q_b, q_c, q; m), \end{aligned}$$

(ii) if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_c, q_a, q_b) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_b, q_a, q_0, q_c) \cdot \Phi(q_c, q_a, q_b, q; m), \\ V_{\emptyset\nu\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_a, q_c, q_0, q_b) \cdot \Phi(q_b, q_c, q_a, q; m), \\ V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_a, q_b, q_c) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_c, q_b, q_0, q_a) \cdot \Phi(q_a, q_b, q_c, q; m), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi(q_a, q_b, q_c, q; m) \\ &= \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_a, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_b, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_c, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_a q_b q_c, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_a q_b, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_a q_b, q; 2\lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor)}{\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_a, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_b, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{1-2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_c, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_a q_b q_c, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Notice that $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a)$ is a symmetric function in variables q_a and q_b by Lemma 4.34 and Equation (44). The proof follows from Lemma 4.8, Lemma 4.23, Lemma 4.30, and Remark 2.19. \square

Remark 4.33. *Since the DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex has more symmetries than DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex (see Remark 2.19 and [2, Section 3.1]), we relate each 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex only with the 1-leg DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\dots)$ in Theorem 4.32. Actually Theorem 4.32 also holds for $\nu = \emptyset$ or equivalently $m = 0$, i.e.,*

$$V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_a, q_b, q_c) = V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_a, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_b, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_c, q) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(q_a q_b q_c, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_a q_b, q).$$

4.5. An explicit formula for a class of 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex. To derive the explicit formula for 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ (resp. $V_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $V_{\emptyset\nu\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$) when $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we first need the following

Lemma 4.34. *Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$. Then*

(i) if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) \\ &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{1-2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}\left(q_c, q; \left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \right\rfloor\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}\left(q_a, q; \left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \right\rfloor\right) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}\left(q_b, q; \left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \right\rfloor\right) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}\left(q_a q_b q_c, q; \left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \right\rfloor\right), \end{aligned}$$

(ii) if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) \\ &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_c, q_a, q_0, q_b) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{1-2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}\left(q_0, q; \left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \right\rfloor\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}\left(q_a, q; \left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \right\rfloor\right) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}\left(q_b, q; \left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \right\rfloor\right) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}\left(q_a q_b q_0, q; \left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \right\rfloor\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.21, we have

$$V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) = V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) \cdot O_{\nu} \cdot H_{\nu}$$

where

$$O_\nu = \prod_{k=0}^3 V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\tilde{q}_k, \tilde{q}_{k+1}, \tilde{q}_{k+2}, \tilde{q}_{k+3})^{-2|\nu|_{\overline{k}} + |\nu|_{\overline{k+1}} + |\nu|_{\overline{k-1}}},$$

$$H_\nu = \prod_{(i,j) \in \nu} \frac{1}{1 - \prod_{k=0}^3 \tilde{q}_k^{h_\nu^k(i,j)}},$$

with $|\nu|_{\overline{k}} = |\{(i,j) \in \nu \mid i - j \equiv k \pmod{4}\}|$ and

$$\tilde{q}_k = \begin{cases} q_0, & \text{if } k = 0 \pmod{4}, \\ q_b, & \text{if } k = 1 \pmod{4}, \\ q_c, & \text{if } k = 2 \pmod{4}, \\ q_a, & \text{if } k = 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Since $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$, combined with Equation (30), one can compute O_ν as follows. If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then

$$O_\nu = \left(\frac{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) \cdot V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_c, q_a, q_0, q_b)}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_b, q_c, q_a, q_0) \cdot V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_a, q_0, q_b, q_c)} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}}.$$

If $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then

$$O_\nu = \left(\frac{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_b, q_c, q_a, q_0) \cdot V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_a, q_0, q_b, q_c)}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) \cdot V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_c, q_a, q_0, q_b)} \right)^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \cdot \frac{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_c, q_a, q_0, q_b)}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a)}.$$

If $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then

$$O_\nu = \left(\frac{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) \cdot V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_c, q_a, q_0, q_b)}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_b, q_c, q_a, q_0) \cdot V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_a, q_0, q_b, q_c)} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \cdot \frac{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_c, q_a, q_0, q_b)}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a)}.$$

If $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then

$$O_\nu = \left(\frac{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_b, q_c, q_a, q_0) \cdot V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_a, q_0, q_b, q_c)}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) \cdot V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_c, q_a, q_0, q_b)} \right)^{\frac{m+1}{2}}.$$

As is shown in the proof of [2, Proposition 9], there exists a bijection between the finite set

$$\text{Hook}_{\nu'} = \{(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid t_1 < t_2, \nu'(t_1) = -1, \nu'(t_2) = 1\}$$

with the set of hooks of ν' , where each pair (t_1, t_2) corresponds to two ends of the legs of a hook. Notice $\nu = \nu'$ in our case. With this bijection and the two latter equivalences in Equations (25), (26), one may compute H_ν by the length of each hook together with the color of its first box as follows. If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then

$$H_\nu = \mathbf{M}_2\left(q_a, q; \frac{m}{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2\left(q_b, q; \frac{m}{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2\left(q_a q_b q_c, q; \frac{m}{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2\left(q q_c^{-1}, q; \frac{m}{2} - 1\right).$$

If $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then

$$H_\nu = \mathbf{M}_2\left(q_0, q; \frac{m+1}{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2\left(q q_a^{-1}, q; \frac{m-1}{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2\left(q q_b^{-1}, q; \frac{m-1}{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2\left(q_c, q; \frac{m-1}{2}\right).$$

If $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then

$$H_\nu = \mathbf{M}_2\left(q_a, q; \frac{m}{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2\left(q_b, q; \frac{m}{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2\left(q_a q_b q_c, q; \frac{m}{2} - 1\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2\left(q q_c^{-1}, q; \frac{m}{2}\right).$$

If $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then

$$H_\nu = \mathbf{M}_2\left(q_0, q; \frac{m-1}{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2\left(qq_a^{-1}, q; \frac{m-1}{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2\left(qq_b^{-1}, q; \frac{m-1}{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2\left(q_c, q; \frac{m+1}{2}\right).$$

Since

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} & V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) \\ &= \mathbf{M}(1, q)^4 \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_a, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_b, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_c, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_a q_c, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_b q_c, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_a q_b q_c, q) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(x, q)}{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(qx^{-1}, q)} = \frac{(x; q)_\infty}{(qx^{-1}; q)_\infty},$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) \cdot V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_c, q_a, q_0, q_b)}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_b, q_c, q_a, q_0) \cdot V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}(q_a, q_0, q_b, q_c)} \\ &= \frac{(q_a; q)_\infty}{(q q_a^{-1}; q)_\infty} \cdot \frac{(q_b; q)_\infty}{(q q_b^{-1}; q)_\infty} \cdot \frac{(q q_c^{-1}; q)_\infty}{(q_c; q)_\infty} \cdot \frac{(q_a q_b q_c; q)_\infty}{(q(q_a q_b q_c)^{-1}; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the proof is completed by combining all the above results together with Equation (40). \square

Now we have the following

Theorem 4.35. Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_c, q_a, q_b) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_c, q_a, q_b) \cdot \Upsilon(q_c, q_a, q_b, q; m), \\ V_{\emptyset\nu\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_b, q_c, q_a) \cdot \Upsilon(q_b, q_c, q_a, q; m), \\ V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_a, q_b, q_c) &= V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(q_0, q_a, q_b, q_c) \cdot \Upsilon(q_a, q_b, q_c, q; m), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \Upsilon(q_a, q_b, q_c, q; m) \\ &= \frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(q_a q_b, q; 2\lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor) \cdot \left(\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{1-2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(-q_c, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor)\right)^{-1}}{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(-q_a, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(-q_b, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(-q_a q_b q_c, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.20, Theorem 4.32, Lemma 4.34, Equation (44) and Remark 2.19. \square

Finally, we present a relation between $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} = Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$ and $Z_{\mathfrak{P}}$ using Lemma 4.30, Lemma 4.34, Remark 4.31 and Equation (44) as follows.

Corollary 4.36. Assume $\nu = (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $q = q_a q_b q_c q_0$. Then

(i) if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$,

$$Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} = Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)} = \frac{Z_{\mathfrak{P}} \cdot \left(\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{1-2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(-q_c, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor)\right)^{-1}}{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(-q_a, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(-q_b, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(-q_a q_b q_c, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor)},$$

(ii) if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$,

$$Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)} = Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)} = \frac{\left(Z_{\mathfrak{P}}|_{q_0 \leftrightarrow q_c}\right) \cdot \left(\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{1-2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(-q_0, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor)\right)^{-1}}{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(-q_a, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(-q_b, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2\{\frac{m}{2}\}}(-q_a q_b q_0, q; \lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\rfloor)},$$

where

$$Z_{\mathfrak{P}} = Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\emptyset)} = Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\emptyset)} = \mathbf{M}(1, q)^4 \cdot \frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_a q_c, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(q_b q_c, q)}{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(-q_a, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(-q_b, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(-q_c, q) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(-q_a q_b q_c, q)}.$$

is the generating function for pyramid partitions Z_{pyramid} in [3, Theorem 6.2].

5. FUTURE WORK

By investigating a class of restricted pyramid configurations with symmetric interlacing property, we derive an explicit formula for a class of 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex. But it is still far from completing 1-leg case, on account of Remark 4.6, it is natural to consider restricted pyramid configurations with non-symmetric interlacing property in general. In such a case, we may not connect $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ with $V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$ through the relation between $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}$ and $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_-(\nu)}$, see Remark 4.9.

However, we can also further study $Z_{\mathfrak{RP}_+(\nu)}$ to figure out its corresponding generating function of some new pyramid configurations along the diagonal slices with legs as in 3D partitions, and then connect it to the DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex. Some computations and observations suggest that we may establish the connection between 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex with the leg partition ν and 2-leg DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex for some partitions $\nu \neq (m, m-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. We will study this interesting phenomenon in the future to extract more information for the 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex. Once the 1-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex is determined, one may generalize the graphical condensation recurrences in [4] to the DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex for deriving the explicit formula of the full 3-leg DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex. It is also interesting to investigate whether the explicit formula for 1-leg (or full 3-leg) DT $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -vertex can be completely determined by the DT \mathbb{Z}_4 -vertex of Bryan-Cadman-Young.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Mariño, C. Vafa, *The topological vertex*, Comm. Math. Phys. 254 (2005) 425–478.
- [2] J. Bryan, C. Cadman and B. Young, *The orbifold topological vertex*, Adv. Math. 229 (2012), no. 1, 531–595.
- [3] J. Bryan, B. Young, Generating functions for colored 3D Young diagrams and the Donaldson–Thomas invariants of orbifolds, Duke Math. J. 152 (1) (2010) 115–153.
- [4] H. Jenne, G. Webb and B. Young, *Double-Dimer condensation and the PT-DT correspondence*, arXiv:2109.11773
- [5] D. Joyce and Y. Song, *A theory of generalized Donaldson–Thomas invariants*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 217 (2012), no. 1020.
- [6] M. Kontsevich, Y. Soibelman, *Stability structures, motivic Donaldson–Thomas invariants and cluster transformations*, arXiv:0811.2435.
- [7] Jun Li, Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu, Kefeng Liu, and Jian Zhou, *A mathematical theory of the topological vertex*, Geom. Topol. 13 (2009), no. 1, 527–621.
- [8] Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu, Kefeng Liu, Jian Zhou, *A formula of two-partition Hodge integrals*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1) (2007) 149–184.
- [9] D. Maulik, A. Oblomkov, A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *Gromov–Witten/Donaldson–Thomas correspondence for toric 3-folds*, Invent. Math. 186, (2011), no. 2, 435–479.
- [10] K. Nagao and H. Nakajima, *Counting invariant of perverse coherent sheaves and its wall-crossing*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 17 (2011), 3885–3938.
- [11] K. Nagao, *Refined open noncommutative Donaldson–Thomas invariants for small crepant resolutions*, Pacific J. Math. 254 (2011), no. 1, 173–209.
- [12] K. Nagao, *Non-commutative Donaldson–Thomas theory and vertex operators*, Geom. Topol. 15 (2011), no. 3, 1509–1543.
- [13] A. Okounkov, *Infinite wedge and random partitions*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 7 (2001), 57–81.
- [14] A. Okounkov, N. Reshetikhin, C. Vafa, *Quantum Calabi–Yau and classical crystals*, from: “The unity of mathematics”, (P Etingof, V Retakh, IM Singer, editors), Progr. Math. 244, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA (2006) 597–618.
- [15] D. Ross, Localization and gluing of orbifold amplitudes: the Gromov–Witten orbifold vertex. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 366(3), 1587–1620 (2014).
- [16] B. Szendroi, *Non-commutative Donaldson–Thomas theory and the conifold*, Geom. Topol. 12 (2008), 1171–1202.

- [17] B. J. Young, *Computing a pyramid partition generating function with dimer shuffling*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 116 (2009), 334–350.
- [18] Jian Zhou, *Crepant resolutions, quivers and GW/NCDT duality*, arXiv:0907.0135.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, KEY LABORATORY OF ANALYTICAL MATHEMATICS AND APPLICATIONS (MINISTRY OF EDUCATION), FUJIAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, 350117 FUZHOU, P.R. CHINA

Email address: yjlin12@163.com