

# ADJOINT $L_\infty$ -ACTIONS AND CONSERVED CHARGES IN GR

CHANGSUN CHOI AND RYAN E. GRADY

ABSTRACT. In this work we compute the conserved currents and charges associated to the action of an infinitesimal isometry (Killing field) in Einstein–Cartan–Palatini gravity. We offer a new approach to these quantities through the formalism of  $L_\infty$ -algebras and the work of Ćirić, Giotopoulos, Radovanović, and Szabo, and Costello and Gwilliam. We demonstrate our approach by computing the entropy of the Schwarzschild black hole. Along the way, we prove a purely algebraic result about the existence and utility of a higher (a full  $\infty$ ) version of the adjoint action of an  $L_\infty$ -algebra.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Acknowledgements	3
2. Preliminaries I: Local $L_\infty$ -algebras	3
2.1. Local $L_\infty$ -algebras and Classical Field Theory	4
2.2. Chains and Cochains of an $L_\infty$ -algebra	7
3. Preliminaries II: Actions of Local $L_\infty$ -algebras	9
3.1. Action Complexes	11
3.2. Equivariant Action Functionals	13
3.3. Quick Comparison to Others	15
4. The Infinity Adjoint Action	15
4.1. Definition of Infinity Adjoint Action	16
4.2. Properties of the Infinity Adjoint	18
5. Conserved Currents and Charges from $L_\infty$ -actions	19
6. The Ćirić–Giotopoulos–Radovanović–Szabo $L_\infty$ -algebra	21
7. Conserved Charges from the CGRS $L_\infty$ -algebra: Black Hole Entropy	24
7.1. Proving the Theorem	24
7.2. Computations for Schwarzschild Spacetime	25
References	27

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is organized around computing the conserved currents and charges associated to the action of an infinitesimal isometry (Killing vector field) in Einstein gravity. This problem is well studied and has appeared in textbooks for 50+ years. Our main contribution is more the use of the formalism and classical Noether Theorem (Theorem 12.4.1) of Costello and Gwilliam [9]. Thereby providing both a novel example of the machinery and setting the stage for further applications of the Costello–Gwilliam formalism to (perturbative) gravity.

The input for Costello and Gwilliam’s theorem is the action of a local  $L_\infty$ -algebra on a classical BV theory presented by another local cyclic  $L_\infty$ -algebra. That gravity can be presented as such is a result of Ćirić, Giotopoulos, Radovanović, and Szabo [11], coupled with a few minor observations of our own (see Section 6). Einstein gravity can be presented in the Einstein–Cartan–Palatini (ECP) framework, where dynamic metrics are replaced by dynamic tetrads (coframes) and spin connections. Building on previous work of Cattaneo and Schiavina, e.g., [5], Ćirić–Giotopoulos–Radovanović–Szabo construct an  $L_\infty$  presentation for the BV extension of ECP. We show that this  $L_\infty$ -algebra acts on itself via a higher form of the adjoint action. So too, acts any subalgebra, in particular vector fields. To this end, our first main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.1** (Theorem 7.1). *Let  $\mathcal{M}_{ECP}$  be the local cyclic  $L_\infty$ -algebra presenting Einstein–Cartan–Palatini gravity on the four manifold  $M$ . Let  $\xi \in \text{Vect}(M)$  be a Killing vector field. If  $\Lambda = 0$ , then on shell, the current associated to  $\xi$  is the map*

$$J[\xi]: \Omega_M^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{loc}(\mathcal{M})[-1], \quad \beta \mapsto \beta \wedge d\mathcal{Q}[\xi],$$

where

$$\mathcal{Q}[\xi] = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} (\iota_\xi \omega \wedge e \wedge e).$$

While the expression for the conserved current/charge is well known, our method, which utilizes equivariant action functionals derived from  $L_\infty$ -actions have not been applied in this area before. As an explicit demonstration we compute the black hole entropy for Schwarzschild spacetime. A different approach that utilizes  $L_\infty$ -algebras in a different way to compute charges in gravity, using them to define homotopy moment maps, is in the work of Blohmann [3].

Further, while  $\text{Vect}(M)$  is an ordinary Lie algebra, the  $L_\infty$ -formalism is essential to connect with the formalism of [9] and provide a non-trivial example of their classical Noether Theorem. Moreover, our observations at the level of the full  $L_\infty$ -algebra provide a link between the work of Cattaneo–Schiavina ([5], [7]), Ćirić–Giotopoulos–Radovanović–Szabo ([11]), and the setting of the Costello–Gwilliam [8], [9].

Along the way, we define and prove properties of a fully  $L_\infty$  version of the adjoint action. We call this the *infinity adjoint action* and it is a strict generalization of the constructions of Mehta–Zambon [23] and Vitagliano [24]. See Section 3.3 for an explicit comparison. More specifically, we prove the following.

**Theorem 1.2** (Theorem 4.1). *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be a cyclic  $L_\infty$  algebra. There is an action of  $\mathcal{M}$  on itself, the infinity adjoint action, such that*

- (a) *The action is compatible with the pairing (symplectic) on  $\mathcal{M}$ ;*
- (b) *The action is Hamiltonian (inner); and*
- (c) *The equivariant action is of the form  $S^{tot} = S^{\mathcal{L}} + S^{\mathcal{M}}$ , with  $S^{\mathcal{M}}$  encoding the  $L_\infty$ -structure on  $\mathcal{M}$ . In particular,  $S^{tot}$  recovers the underlying classical action defined by  $\mathcal{M}$  when the background fields are set to zero.*

The present work is another step towards utilizing *factorization algebras* in classical (and perturbative quantum) gravity; see also the recent work of Dul on linearized gravity [12]. In a somewhat complimentary direction, from an AQFT perspective, there is a good amount of related work by Rejzner, e.g., joint with Gwilliam [16], [17] and Benini and Schenkel (with collaborators) [2], [1].

Since one of our goals is to provide an interesting example of the connection between several mathematical approaches to (classical) field theory and the Batalin–Vilkovisky (BV) formalism, and as the foundational source material is spread across more than 1000 pages of literature, including the nearly 800 page two volume set [8], [9] (which, thankfully, is well written and readable), we have chosen to present rather extended preliminary sections. We hope that these two preliminary sections (and later, Sections 5 and 6) might prove useful to others. Sections 4 and 7 are genuinely new contributions (though likely not surprising to some subset of experts).

**1.1. Acknowledgements.** The authors thank Michele Schiavina and Filip Dul for several helpful correspondences. They also thank Neil Cornish for many useful interactions and support as CC’s co-advisor. REG is supported by the Simons Foundation under Travel Support/Collaboration 9966728, he additionally thanks Damien Calaque for many discussions about this material during a visit to Montpellier which was supported by a OCCIMATH mini-grant.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES I: LOCAL $L_\infty$ -ALGEBRAS

Note that throughout we use cohomological grading and shift conventions, e.g.,  $V[-1]$  is a shift of the cochain complex  $V$  “one to the right.” We adopt the Koszul sign rule, i.e.,

$u \otimes v = (-1)^{|u||v|}v \otimes u$ . The notation  $V^\vee$  will denote the graded dual. We also use the symbol  $\epsilon$  in two ways to indicate signs:  $\epsilon(\sigma)$  will denote the sign of a permutation (or of a  $(p, q)$ -shuffle considered as a permutation) and  $\epsilon_{ijkl}$  will denote the Levi–Civita symbol. The Einstein summation convention is also employed, so we will sum over repeated indices of tensors.

Finally, recall that for a graded vector space (cochain complex, dg module, etc.),

$$\text{Sym}(V) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Sym}^n(V)$$

is a cocommutative coalgebra with coproduct

$$\Delta(v_1 \cdots v_n) = \sum \epsilon(\sigma) v_{\sigma(1)} \cdots v_{\sigma(p)} \otimes v_{\sigma(p+1)} \cdots v_{\sigma(n)}$$

where the sum is over all  $(p, q)$ -shuffles  $\sigma$  with  $p+q = n$ . The symmetric algebra also has the structure of a (graded) commutative algebra, as does its completed version. On ( $\mathbb{R}$ -linear) duals, the latter will be defined by

$$\widehat{\text{Sym}}(V^\vee) := \prod_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}((V^\vee)^{\otimes n}, \mathbb{R})_{S_n},$$

where the subscript denotes coinvariants with respect to the symmetric group and the right is equipped with the situation appropriate topology.

For sections of vector bundles over a manifold, we will also mean  $\otimes$  to indicate the completed projective tensor product.

**2.1. Local  $L_\infty$ -algebras and Classical Field Theory.** As opposed to working over a general ground ring,  $R$ , which is a commutative algebra over a characteristic zero field, we will be concrete and fix  $R = \mathbb{R}$ . Our application in later sections is over  $\mathbb{R}$  anyway.

**Definition 2.1.** A  $L_\infty$ -algebra consists of a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space,  $\mathcal{L}$ , and a collection of  $k$ -ary multilinear maps  $\{\ell_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ ,

$$\ell_k: \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$$

such that

- (a) For each  $k \geq 1$ , the map  $\ell_k$  is graded anti-symmetric and of degree  $k - 2$ , and
- (b) The maps  $\{\ell_k\}$  satisfy the *generalized Jacobi identities*, i.e., for each  $n \geq 2$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}(k, n-k)} \epsilon(\sigma) \ell_{n-k+1}(\ell_k(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k)}), v_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)}) = 0.$$

Any graded Lie algebra is an  $L_\infty$ -algebra as is any differential graded Lie algebra (dsla). In the former case, only  $\ell_2$  is nonzero, while in the latter, there can be nonzero  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$ . In general, the multilinear maps  $\{\ell_k\}$  are referred to as “(higher) brackets.”

Following [9], we will be interested in a particular type of sheaf of  $L_\infty$ -algebras parametrized by a spacetime manifold  $M$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $M$  be a manifold. An  $L_\infty$ -algebra,  $(\mathcal{L}, \{\ell_k\})$ , is *local (on  $M$ )* if

- (a)  $\mathcal{L}$  is the space of sections of a graded vector bundle  $L$  on  $M$ ; and
- (b) All brackets  $\ell_k: \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  are given by poly-differential operators.

One of the most significant examples of a local  $L_\infty$ -algebra is the dsla of Lie algebra valued forms on an oriented  $n$ -manifold,  $M$ ,  $\mathcal{L} = \Omega^*(M, \mathfrak{g})$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an ordinary Lie algebra and the grading corresponds to form degree. The underlying vector bundle is  $L = \Lambda^* \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ , where  $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the trivial bundle with fiber  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Note that if  $M$  is a closed 3-manifold and  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semi-simple, then we have a degree -3 pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle_L: L \otimes L \rightarrow \text{Dens}_M[-3], \quad \alpha \otimes \beta \mapsto \text{Tr}(\alpha \wedge \beta),$$

where  $\text{Tr}$  is induced by the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\text{Dens}_M$  is the density line bundle on  $M$  (which in this case is trivialized via the orientation). Moreover, this pairing is *non-degenerate* and *invariant*. That is, the pairing induces a vector bundle isomorphism

$$(\text{Non-Degeneracy}) \quad L \rightarrow L^\vee \otimes \text{Dens}_M[-3],$$

and for each  $n$  and all sections  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}$ , the induced pairing on sections (which we simply denote by  $\langle -, - \rangle$  with no subscript) is *compatible* with the  $L_\infty$  brackets, i.e., the map

$$(\text{Invariance}) \quad \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha_n \otimes \alpha_{n+1} \mapsto \langle \ell_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \alpha_{n+1} \rangle$$

is graded anti-symmetric in all the  $\alpha_i$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a local  $L_\infty$ -algebra on  $M$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  is *cyclic of degree  $k$*  if it is equipped with a degree  $k$  non-degenerate symmetric pairing of vector bundles

$$\langle -, - \rangle_L: L \otimes L \rightarrow \text{Dens}_M[k]$$

such that the induced pairing on compactly supported sections  $\langle -, - \rangle: \mathcal{L}_c \otimes \mathcal{L}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an invariant pairing.

In what follows, all of our cyclic algebras will have pairings of degree -3.

Given a cyclic, local  $L_\infty$ -algebra,  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$ , (on the manifold  $M$ , with finitely many nonzero brackets) there is an associated *Lagrangian density* on compactly supported

sections. (We are denoting our  $L_\infty$ -algebra by  $\mathcal{M}$  at this point as later there will be two  $L_\infty$ -algebras and they play slightly different roles; this notation will be consistent with later sections.) Indeed, for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_c$ ,

$$\mathsf{L}(\alpha) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \langle \alpha, \ell_k(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) \rangle_L \in \text{Dens}_M.$$

Correspondingly there is an action functional  $S: \mathcal{M}_c \rightarrow R$ , given by

$$S_{\mathcal{M}}(\alpha) = \int_M \mathsf{L}(\alpha) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \langle \alpha, \ell_k(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) \rangle.$$

**Definition 2.4.** A local, cyclic  $L_\infty$ -algebra,  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$ , (on the manifold  $M$ , with finitely many nonzero brackets) *presents a classical field theory* with space of fields  $\mathcal{M}$  and action  $S_{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{M}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

In the case from before,  $\mathcal{M} := \Omega^*(M, \mathfrak{g})$ , with  $M$  a closed oriented 3-manifold and  $\mathfrak{g}$  semi-simple, the  $L_\infty$  algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  is a presentation for a version of perturbative Chern–Simons theory. Indeed, for  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  a local, cyclic  $L_\infty$  algebra, the Euler–Lagrange equations for  $S_{\mathcal{M}}$  are the (generalized) Maurer–Cartan equations

$$\delta S_{\mathcal{M}}(\alpha) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k!} \ell_k(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) = 0.$$

Hence, we see that the Euler–Lagrange locus intersect with the dynamic fields (those of degree one before the standard [1] shift, after which they are of degree 0) in  $\mathcal{M} = \Omega^*(M, \mathfrak{g})$  exactly correspond to flat connections on the trivial  $G$ -bundle  $M$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of  $G$ .

The structure of homotopy algebras in classical (and quantum) field theory, e.g.,  $A_\infty$  and  $L_\infty$ -algebras, is well documented, especially theories in the formalism of Batalin and Vilkovisky (BV). In this article, we are interested in a particular presentation of a particular theory, so we won't discuss issues of existence, equivalence, etc. Such issues (under varying hypotheses and conventions) are well discussed in Chapter 4 of [9], [18], [20], and [4].

Note that in BV theory, the classical action should satisfy a *master equation*:  $\{S, S\} = 0$ . Indeed, the action  $S_{\mathcal{M}}$  associated to a local, cyclic  $L_\infty$ -algebra,  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$ , does satisfy the master equation in the algebra of local functionals. In the formalism of [9], this follows from the discussion in Sections 3.5 and 4.4. As one would expect, the invariant pairing on fields induces a Poisson bracket on functionals. We will return to this, and a related point, in a later section when we discuss *equivariant actions*.

**Remark 2.5.** As opposed to assuming only finitely many nonzero brackets, we could instead insist that our  $L_\infty$ -algebra is nilpotent. While this is not the case in our theory of interest, this condition is common (and useful) in field theory, especially  $\sigma$ -models, e.g., [15].

**Remark 2.6.** In many cases, e.g., the definition of classical field theory in [9], one asks that  $(\mathcal{L}, \ell_1)$  is actually an *elliptic complex*. For the de Rham complex (and generally, complexes obtained from the de Rham complex), ellipticity holds for Riemannian manifolds, but generally fails for Lorentzian manifolds. When the complex of fields is elliptic, one has a rich structure on the algebra local observables, e.g., a  $P_0$ -factorization algebra. As our interest is in constructing specific observables (and only at the classical level), not the whole algebra, the lack of ellipticity won't be an issue.

**2.2. Chains and Cochains of an  $L_\infty$ -algebra.** Given an  $L_\infty$ -algebra,  $(\mathcal{L}, \{\ell_k\})$ , there is a well-defined definition of Lie algebra homology complex,  $C_*(\mathcal{L})$ , which generalizes that of the Chevalley–Eilenberg homology complex of an ordinary (differential graded) Lie algebra.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $(\mathcal{L}, \{\ell_k\})$  be an  $L_\infty$ -algebra. The *Lie algebra homology complex*, denoted  $C_*(\mathcal{L})$ , is the differential graded cocommutative coalgebra  $\text{Sym}(\mathcal{L}[1])$  equipped with the degree one coderivation  $\delta$  whose restriction to cogenerators are the brackets  $\{\ell_k\}$ , i.e., for each  $k \geq 0$  the map

$$\delta_k: \text{Sym}^k(\mathcal{L}[1]) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}[1]$$

is given by  $\ell_k$ .

By construction the data of the coderivation is the same as the data of the brackets, and that  $\delta^2 = 0$  is equivalent to the generalized Jacobi relation. Indeed,  $L_\infty$ -algebras are sometimes defined as graded vector spaces with such a square zero coderivation on the total symmetric algebra, see [22]. As such, definitions for  $L_\infty$ -algebra adjacent constructions are often given in terms of the dg coalgebra  $C_*(\mathcal{L})$ .

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  be  $L_\infty$ -algebras. A *map of  $L_\infty$ -algebras*,  $F: \mathcal{L}_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{L}_2$  is given by a map  $F: C_*(\mathcal{L}_1) \rightarrow C_*(\mathcal{L}_2)$  of dg coalgebras. A map  $F: \mathcal{L}_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{L}_2$  is *strict* if it is determined by the linear map  $F_1: \mathcal{L}_1[1] = \text{Sym}^1(\mathcal{L}_1[1]) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2[1]$ .

Below, we will formulate *action complexes* in terms of the algebras of cochains on local  $L_\infty$ -algebras, so we recall those definitions next. Further details are provided in Sections 3.4 and 3.5 of [9].

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a local  $L_\infty$ -algebra over the manifold  $M$ . Define the *Lie algebra cohomology complex of  $\mathcal{L}$*  to be the dg algebra

$$C^*(\mathcal{L}) := \prod_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}((\mathcal{L}[1])^{\otimes n}, \mathbb{R})_{S_n},$$

where the right hand side is the  $S_n$ -coinvariants of continuous linear maps from the completed projective  $n$ -fold tensor product of  $\mathcal{L}$  (shifted) with itself.

Note that an  $L_\infty$  map  $F: \mathcal{L}_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{L}_2$  determines a map of dg algebras  $C^*(\mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{L}_1)$  (and vice-versa under appropriate finiteness assumptions). Often times we simply write  $C^*(\mathcal{L}) = \widehat{\text{Sym}}((\mathcal{L}^\vee[1])^\vee)$ , which is correct, if a bit ambiguous. The definition above specifies in which category and with respect to which topology we are completing the symmetric algebra. The differential on  $C^*(\mathcal{L})$  is the “usual” Chevalley–Eilenberg differential which is dual to the coderivation given in the definition of  $C_*(\mathcal{L})$ . Next, we will kill constant functions.

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a local  $L_\infty$ -algebra over the manifold  $M$ . The *reduced Lie algebra cohomology complex of  $\mathcal{L}$*  is given by

$$C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{L}) := \ker(C^*(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}),$$

where the map on the right hand side is the projection to the  $n = 0$  factor (also known as the augmentation map).

We would also like a version of Lie algebra cohomology which corresponds to *local functionals* not just all continuous linear maps. To accomplish this we will consider infinite jet bundles, so if  $L$  is (graded) vector bundle, over  $M$ , then

$$J(L)^\vee := \text{Hom}_{C_M^\infty}(J(L), C_M^\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{red}} := \prod_{n > 0} \text{Hom}_{C_M^\infty}(J(L)^{\otimes n}, C_M^\infty)_{S_n},$$

are (pre)sheaves on  $M$ . Actually, they are more, as the algebra of differential operators on  $M$ ,  $D_M$ , naturally acts on both of these objects.

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $L$  be a graded vector bundle over the manifold  $M$ . The *space of local functionals on  $L$*  is given by

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(L) := \text{Dens}_M \otimes_{D_M} \mathcal{O}_{\text{red}}(J(L)).$$

The space of local functionals,  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(L)$ , is a quotient of the perhaps more familiar space of Lagrangian densities  $\text{Dens}_M \otimes_{C_M^\infty} \mathcal{O}_{\text{red}}(J(L))$  where two densities which differ by a total derivative are identified.

Now, if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a local  $L_\infty$ -algebra over  $M$ , then  $J(L)$  also has the structure of an  $L_\infty$ -algebra (in the category of  $D_M$  modules with symmetric monoidal structure given by tensoring over  $C_M^\infty$ ). Hence, the dg algebras  $C^*(J(L))$  and  $C_{\text{red}}^*(J(L))$  exist.

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a local  $L_\infty$ -algebra over the manifold  $M$ . The *local Lie algebra cohomology complex* of  $\mathcal{L}$  is given by

$$C_{\text{red},\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L}) := \text{Dens}_M \otimes_{D_M} C_{\text{red}}^*(J(L)).$$

It follows from our earlier discussion that if  $\mathcal{L}_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{L}_2$  is a map of local  $L_\infty$ -algebras which is an inclusion at the level of vector bundles, then there is a map of cochain complexes  $C_{\text{red},\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow C_{\text{red},\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L}_1)$ . (The process of taking the tensor product  $\text{Dens}_M \otimes_{D_M} -$  can fail to preserve algebra structures, so these are only cochain complexes.)

**Proposition 2.13** (Lemma 3.5.4 of [9]). *If  $M$  is oriented and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a local  $L_\infty$ -algebra on  $M$ , then there is a natural quasi-isomorphism*

$$C_{\text{red},\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L}) \cong \Omega^*(M, C_{\text{red}}^*(J(L)))[\dim M].$$

### 3. PRELIMINARIES II: ACTIONS OF LOCAL $L_\infty$ -ALGEBRAS

The material of this section is a (mild) repackaging of Part 3 of [9].

There are two complimentary motivations for the definitions and constructions of this section. The first is the observation that if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a module for a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then there is an extension of Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g},$$

where  $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$  has underlying vector space  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}$  and Lie bracket

$$[(X_1, m_1), (X_2, m_2)]_\ltimes := ([X_1, X_2]_{\mathfrak{g}}, X_1 \cdot m_2 + X_2 \cdot m_1).$$

One can verify that this construction can actually be enhanced to an equivalence between  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules and certain Lie algebra extensions of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Further, this notion of action as extension makes sense for dglas and  $L_\infty$ -algebras, and, as we discuss at the end of the section, has appeared in the literature for some time. The definition we recall below will enhance this existent definition by 1) extending the domain of definition to include local  $L_\infty$ -algebras, 2) incorporate cyclic structure, and 3) allow the module  $\mathfrak{m}$  to be equipped with its own bracket structure.

Secondarily, if  $(X, \omega)$  is a symplectic manifold and  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie algebra, an action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $X$  compatible with  $\omega$  is a map of Lie algebras  $\alpha: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{SympVect}(X, \omega)$ , where  $\text{SympVect}(X, \omega)$  are vector fields preserving  $\omega$ , i.e., the Lie derivative of  $\omega$  along such a vector field vanishes. The action defined by  $\alpha$  is *Hamiltonian* if it actually factors through  $C_X^\infty$ , and hence contained

in the image of Hamiltonian vector fields. In this latter case, the map  $\tilde{\alpha}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow C_X^\infty$  is the dual to the moment map if the Lie algebra action came from a Hamiltonian group action.

In our setting of interest, the object being acted upon is not a symplectic manifold, but rather a cyclic local  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ . To such an object there is a “generalized” space,  $B\mathcal{M}$ , whose algebra of functions are given by  $C^*(\mathcal{M})$ . This perspective is used throughout [9], see also [13] for a more concrete description and relationship to Lie algebroids. Hence, we will phrase actions of Lie algebras on  $\mathcal{M}$  as maps to vector fields/functions on  $B\mathcal{M}$ , so the latter is a map  $\tilde{\alpha}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{M})$ .

Our discussion of extensions leads to the following definition.

**Definition 3.1** (Definition 12.2.1 of [9]). Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be a local cyclic  $L_\infty$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{L}$  a local  $L_\infty$ -algebra over the same manifold  $M$ . An *action of  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$*  is an  $L_\infty$ -algebra structure on  $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M}$  which fits into an exact sequence of sheaves of  $L_\infty$ -algebras

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0.$$

As the authors note, one consequence is that  $\mathcal{M}$  is both an  $L_\infty$ -subalgebra and an ideal for the  $L_\infty$ -structure on  $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M}$ . Hence, for  $n \geq 1$ , the the brackets on  $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\{\ell_k^\times\}$ , determine maps (and are determined by maps), which we abusively continue to denote by

$$\ell_k^\times: \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(k-n)} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}.$$

We can further ask that the action is compatible with/invariance of the pairing (cyclic structure) on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Following our discussion in Section 2.1 we give the following definition.

**Definition 3.2.** An action  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  is *compatible with the pairing* if for all  $s \geq 1$  and all compactly supported sections  $\{X_1, \dots, X_r\}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\{m_1, \dots, m_s, m_{s+1}\}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , the expression

$$\langle \ell_{r+s}^\times(X_1, \dots, X_r, m_1, \dots, m_s), m_{s+1} \rangle$$

is graded anti-symmetric in all arguments.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be a cyclic local  $L_\infty$ -algebra. Let  $\mathcal{M}^\sharp$  be the cyclic local  $L_\infty$ -algebra which has the same underlying graded vector space and pairing, but for which all brackets are zero, i.e., trivial differential and (higher) Lie structure. Then  $\mathcal{M}$  acts on  $\mathcal{M}^\sharp$  via the *adjoint* action where the brackets are given by

$$\ell_{r+s}^\times: \mathcal{M}^{\otimes r} \otimes (\mathcal{M}^\sharp)^{\otimes s} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\sharp, \quad \ell_{r+s}^\times(m_1, \dots, m_r, m'_1, \dots, m'_s) = \ell_{r+s}(m_1, \dots, m_r, m'_1, \dots, m'_s),$$

for  $r \geq 1$  ( $s \geq 1$  is already a hypothesis from above) and zero if  $r = 0$ . This is a compatible action.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be a cyclic local  $L_\infty$ -algebra. Let  $\mathcal{M}^\perp$  be the cyclic local  $L_\infty$ -algebra which has the same underlying graded vector space, differential and pairing, but for which all (higher) brackets are zero, i.e.,  $\mathcal{M}^\perp$  is simply a cochain complex with a pairing. Then  $\mathcal{M}$  acts on  $\mathcal{M}^\perp$  via the *dg-adjoint* action with brackets

$$\ell_{r+s}^\times: \mathcal{M}^{\otimes r} \otimes (\mathcal{M}^\perp)^{\otimes s} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\perp, \quad \ell_{r+s}^\times(m_1, \dots, m_r, m'_1, \dots, m'_s) = \ell_{r+s}(m_1, \dots, m_r, m'_1, \dots, m'_s),$$

for  $r \geq 1$  and one additional bracket when  $r = 0$

$$\ell_1^\times: \mathcal{M}^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\perp, \quad \ell_1^\times(m') = \ell_1(m').$$

Again, this action is a compatible action.

**3.1. Action Complexes.** As a warmup, let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be an Abelian Lie algebra (a vector space) with a pairing (we will ignore degree for a moment). The Lie algebra cochains,  $C^*(\mathfrak{g})$ , is equipped with a Lie bracket coming from the pairing. Indeed, the pairing induces an isomorphism  $\Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}^\vee \cong \Lambda^{k-1} \mathfrak{g}^\vee \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ . So given  $f, g \in \Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^\vee$ , we view them as functionals  $f, g: \Lambda^{\bullet-1} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ . We then define

$$(f \circ g) = \sum_{i=1}^k f \circ_i g, \quad (f \circ_i g)(X_1, \dots, X_n) = f(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, g(X_i, \dots, X_{i+k}), X_{i+k+1}, \dots, X_n),$$

where  $g$  has arity  $k$  and  $f$  has arity  $n+1$ . Then define  $[f, g] = f \circ g - g \circ f$ . Finally, note that an element  $\ell: \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $[\ell, \ell] = 0$  is precisely a Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}$  which is compatible with the pairing  $\langle -, - \rangle$ .

Under appropriate grading conventions,  $\ell$  from above corresponds to a Maurer–Cartan element. *The appropriate shift is  $[2 + \deg(\langle -, - \rangle)]$ . In what follows, we will restrict to the case that the pairing has degree  $-3$ , so there will be many shifts to the right by 1 (a  $[-1]$  shift).* The situation for other degree pairings is similar with slightly different degree shifts.

Taking care with grading, signs, and internal differentials, the preceding paragraph can be replicated in the setting of  $L_\infty$ -algebras. In particular, if  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  is a cyclic  $L_\infty$  algebra, then  $C^*(\mathcal{M})[-1]$  is a differential graded Lie algebra. Further, if  $\mathcal{M}$  is local, then  $C_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{M})[-1]$  (and its reduced version) are also dgla.

We have already seen that an action of a local  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  consists, in part, of a  $L_\infty$ -structure on  $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M}$ . If we further require the action to be compatible with the pairing on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then such an action defines a Maurer–Cartan element

$$\alpha \in C_{\text{red}, \text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M})[-1] \subset C^*(\mathcal{L}) \otimes C_{\text{red}, \text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{M})[-1].$$

(In the local setting the tensor product appearing is the completed projective tensor product.) The appearance of reduced cochains is explained by the fact that we don't want curved actions with constant components.

Similarly, if we expect to have a short exact sequence (extension) of local  $L_\infty$ -algebras as in the previous section, we need  $\mathcal{M}$  to sit inside of the  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M}$  as a subalgebra (and an ideal). In particular, the  $L_\infty$ -structure restricts to the one already on  $\mathcal{M}$ . This is imposed by asking that our Maurer–Cartan element is in the kernel of the natural map  $\rho: C_{\text{red},\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M})[-1] \rightarrow C_{\text{red},\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{M})[-1]$ .

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be a local cyclic (of degree -3)  $L_\infty$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{L}$  a local  $L_\infty$ -algebra over the same manifold  $M$ . The *Hamiltonian action complex* of  $\mathcal{L}$  acting on  $\mathcal{M}$  is given by

$$\text{Ham}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}) := \ker \left( C_{\text{red},\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M})[-1] \xrightarrow{\rho} C_{\text{red},\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{M})[-1] \right).$$

Note that Costello and Gwilliam refer to this complex as *the complex of “inner” actions* and denote it  $\text{InnerAct}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$ . The proposition that follows is one justification for our notational change. Additionally, there are silly examples of Lie algebra actions, e.g., (algebraic) vector fields acting on the Abelian Lie algebra  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}[x, y] \oplus \mathbb{R}[x^\vee, y^\vee][-3]$ , which are Hamiltonian but not inner in a naive sense.

Note that  $C_{\text{red},\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L})[-1]$  is a subcomplex of  $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  which is contained in the center with respect to the Lie bracket.

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be a local cyclic (of degree -3)  $L_\infty$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{L}$  a local  $L_\infty$ -algebra over the same manifold  $M$ . The *symplectic action complex* of  $\mathcal{L}$  acting on  $\mathcal{M}$  is given by

$$\text{Act}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}) := \text{Ham}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}) / C_{\text{red},\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L}).$$

The discussion to this point (and Section 12.2 of [9]) can be summarized as the following.

**Proposition 3.7** (Lemma 12.2.5 of [9]). *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be a local cyclic (of degree -3)  $L_\infty$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{L}$  a local  $L_\infty$ -algebra over the same manifold  $M$ . A compatible action of  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  is equivalent to a Maurer–Cartan element in  $\text{Act}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$ .*

As  $\text{Act}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  is a quotient of  $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$ , Maurer–Cartan elements of  $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  map to Maurer–Cartan elements of  $\text{Act}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$ ; as one would expect, since Hamiltonian actions are in particular symplectic actions. Lifting a Maurer–Cartan element of  $\text{Act}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  to one in  $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  is obstructed by a class in  $H^1(C_{\text{red},\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L}))$ .

Let us (briefly) explain how the work of this section is connected to the second point of motivation articulated in the previous section. The punchline is that, suppressing shifts,

a Maurer–Cartan element  $S^\mathcal{L} \in \text{Act}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  determines a map  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \text{SympVect}(B\mathcal{M}) \cong C_{\text{red}, \text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{M})$ , while a Maurer–Cartan element  $\widetilde{S}^\mathcal{L} \in \text{Ham}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  is a map  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(B\mathcal{M}) \cong C_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{M})$ .

The key to the preceding paragraph is a form of Koszul duality (see Section 11.2 of [9]). Recall that a map of (ordinary) Lie algebras  $F: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  is the same as a map of dg algebras  $F^*: C^*(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathfrak{g})$  which in turn can be realized as (and realizes) a Maurer–Cartan element  $S^F \in C^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ , where  $C^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathfrak{h}$  is the dgla which combines the dg algebra structure on  $C^*(\mathfrak{g})$  with the Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{h}$  entirely analogously to how we previously described the structure of the dgla of forms valued in a Lie algebra  $\Omega^*(M, \mathfrak{g})$ . Also, note in this setting there is no difference between Maurer–Cartan elements in  $C^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathfrak{h}$  and  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ , the latter is more useful moving forward.

This equivalence just described persists to the level of  $L_\infty$ -algebras. Suppose the base manifold  $M$  is a point. Now,  $\text{SympVect}(B\mathcal{M}) \cong C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{M})[-1]$  is a dgla (as we discussed at the beginning of the subsection), so a map of  $L_\infty$ -algebras  $\mathcal{L} \rightsquigarrow C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{M})[-1]$  is a Maurer–Cartan element in

$$C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{L}) \otimes C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{M})[-1] \cong (C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{L}) \otimes C^*(\mathcal{M})[-1]) / C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{L})[-1] \cong \text{Act}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}).$$

Next, at the level of cochain complexes (but not dgglas), we have

$$\text{Act}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}) \cong C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M})[-1] / (C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{L})[-1] \oplus C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{M})[-1]),$$

so lifting the map  $\mathcal{L} \rightsquigarrow \text{SympVect}(B\mathcal{M})$  to a map  $\mathcal{L} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{O}(B\mathcal{M})$  (still at the level of complexes) should just be a lift of the Maurer–Cartan element to a Maurer–Cartan element in  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M})[-1] / (C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{L})[-1])$ . Unfortunately, while morally correct, these identifications don't hold at the dgla level as  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{M})[-1]$  is not an ideal of  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M})[-1]$ . To fix this technicality, we construct  $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  first as a kernel (so subalgebra, not quotient) and then construct the map to  $\text{Act}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$ . Finally, to move to the more general setting where  $M$  is not necessarily a point, we just need to use local cochains.

**3.2. Equivariant Action Functionals.** Previously, in Section 2.1, we saw that local cyclic  $L_\infty$ -algebras presented classical field theories. We will now see that compatible actions of  $L_\infty$ -algebras on such objects lead to equivariant actions/theories. This just boils down to an interpretation of the work in the previous subsection.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a local  $L_\infty$  algebra acting (compatibly) on a local cyclic (of degree -3)  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$ . So via Proposition 3.7, the action is encoded by a Maurer–Cartan element

$S^{\mathcal{L}} \in \text{Act}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$ . Now, let<sup>1</sup>

$$S \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{M}[1]) \subset C_{\text{red}, \text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{M})[-1] \subset C_{\text{red}, \text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M})[-1],$$

be the classical action on  $\mathcal{M}[1]$  or equivalently, the Maurer–Cartan element that encodes the local  $L_{\infty}$ -algebra structure of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Consider the element

$$S^{\text{tot}} := S^{\mathcal{L}} + S \in C_{\text{red}, \text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M})[-1]/(C_{\text{red}, \text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L})[-1]).$$

That  $S^{\text{tot}}$  is a Maurer–Cartan element, with respect to  $d_{\mathcal{L}} + d_{\mathcal{M}}$  and the bracket induced by the pairing on  $\mathcal{M}$ , follows from  $S$  and  $S^{\mathcal{L}}$  being Maurer–Cartan elements. Indeed, since  $d_{\mathcal{M}} = \{S, -\}$ , we have that

$$d_{\mathcal{L}} S^{\text{tot}} + \frac{1}{2} \{S^{\text{tot}}, S^{\text{tot}}\} = d_{\mathcal{L}} S^{\mathcal{L}} + d_{\mathcal{M}} S^{\mathcal{L}} + \frac{1}{2} \{S^{\mathcal{L}}, S^{\mathcal{L}}\} + \frac{1}{2} \{S, S\},$$

where the last summand is zero as  $S$  satisfies the original CME (equivalently, actually defines an  $L_{\infty}$ -structure).

To summarize, if  $\mathcal{L}$  acts (compatibly) on  $\mathcal{M}$  with corresponding Maurer–Cartan element  $S^{\mathcal{L}}$ , and  $S \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{M}[1])$  is our original action functional/ $L_{\infty}$ -structure, then  $S^{\text{tot}} = S^{\mathcal{L}} + S$  is an action functional on the fields  $\mathcal{L}[1] \oplus \mathcal{M}[1]$  and satisfies an equivariant CME. Since Hamiltonian actions are in particular compatible actions, similarly they define equivariant action functionals as well.

**Example 3.8.** Let us return to the setting of Examples 3.3 and 3.4, and let us again specialize to the cyclic dgla  $\mathcal{M} := \Omega^*(M, \mathfrak{g})$ , where  $M$  is a closed oriented 3-manifold and  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a (finite dimensional) Lie algebra equipped with invariant pairing, e.g.,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semi-simple and we consider the Killing form. Our classical action is that of perturbative Chern–Simons, so

$$S(A) = \int_M \frac{1}{2} \langle A, dA \rangle + \frac{1}{6} \langle A, [A, A] \rangle.$$

Now, in the “old fashioned” adjoint action we consider the Abelian cyclic  $L_{\infty}$  algebra  $\mathcal{M}^{\sharp}$ , so the corresponding action functional  $S^{\sharp} \equiv 0$ . Now, the additional functional coming from the action of  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $\mathcal{M}^{\sharp}$  is the functional

$$S^{\mathcal{L}}(B, A) = \int_M \frac{1}{6} \langle A, [B, A] \rangle,$$

---

<sup>1</sup>One should be careful if  $M$  is not compact and take compactly supported sections at this point, but this causes no further difficulty so we suppress this issue.

where  $B \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{M}^\sharp$ . (We use the notation  $S^\mathcal{L}$  to reduce confusion and match the previous notation.) Hence, our total action is given as

$$S_{\text{Adj}}^{\text{tot}}(B, A) = S^\mathcal{L}(B, A) + S^\sharp(A) = S^\mathcal{L}(B, A) + 0 = \int_M \frac{1}{6} \langle A, [B, A] \rangle.$$

Next, considering the dg-adjoint case, where our classical theory is just given by  $\mathcal{M}^\perp$  the cyclic  $L_\infty$ -algebra with only one nonzero bracket, the differential  $\ell_1 = d$ . Therefore, our action is

$$S^\perp(A) = \int_M \frac{1}{2} \langle A, dA \rangle.$$

As the mixed brackets of our  $L_\infty$ -structure on  $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp$  are the same as before, our  $S^\mathcal{L}$  doesn't change. Hence, our total action is given as

$$S_{\text{dgAdj}}^{\text{tot}}(B, A) = S^\mathcal{L}(B, A) + S^\perp(A) = \int_M \frac{1}{2} \langle A, dA \rangle + \frac{1}{6} \langle A, [B, A] \rangle.$$

**3.3. Quick Comparison to Others.** As mentioned previously, there has been a notion of action by an  $L_\infty$ -algebra on different types of objects for more than 30 years. Most relevantly, by considering extensions similar to above, Mehta and Zambon [23] gave a definition  $L_\infty$ -algebra action which subsumed the original definition of  $L_\infty$ -modules of Lada and Markl [21]. These definitions worked well for (finite dimensional) dg-modules and their Proposition 6.1 introduced the dg-adjoint action of Example 3.4. Next, Vitagliano [24] gave a definition of representation of Lie–Rhinehart algebras that generalized Mehta–Zambon and the notion of representation up to homotopy of a Lie algebroid. While finite dimensionality was not imposed, the algebras were not cyclic, nor local. (Vitagliano's construction is compatible with the formalism of [13] which relates Lie algebroids and local  $L_\infty$ -algebras.) The definition we give above from Costello–Gwilliam is for local cyclic  $L_\infty$  algebras with no assumptions of finite dimensionality and strictly generalizes the previous definitions.

To our knowledge the full infinity adjoint action which we describe next has not been explicitly appeared in the literature.

#### 4. THE INFINITY ADJOINT ACTION

In Example 3.8, we saw the equivariant action functionals associated to an  $L_\infty$ -algebra acting on itself via the adjoint and the dg-adjoint action. These actions were functionals on two copies of fields and we typically view the fields coming from the  $L_\infty$ -algebra which is doing the action as “background fields.” When we charge our system with respect to a zero background field, we would like to recover our original action coming from the cyclic  $L_\infty$ -algebra which is being acted upon. Neither the adjoint nor the dg-adjoint action accomplishes this wish, but there is a third action which does: the *infinity adjoint action*.

Returning to our running example where  $\mathcal{M} := \Omega^*(M, \mathfrak{g})$ , for  $M$  a closed oriented 3-manifold and  $\mathfrak{g}$  a semi-simple Lie algebra, for the infinity adjoint action, we will have an equivariant action functional

$$S_{\infty\text{Adj}}^{\text{tot}}(B, A) = S^{\mathcal{L}}(B, A) + S^{\mathcal{M}}(A) = \int_M \frac{1}{6} \langle A, [B, A] \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle A, dA \rangle + \frac{1}{6} \langle A, [A, A] \rangle.$$

Our aim of the present section is to prove the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be a cyclic  $L_\infty$  algebra. There is an action of  $\mathcal{M}$  on itself, the infinity adjoint action, such that*

- (a) *The action is compatible with the pairing on  $\mathcal{M}$ ;*
- (b) *The action is Hamiltonian; and*
- (c) *The equivariant action is of the form  $S^{\text{tot}} = S^{\mathcal{L}} + S^{\mathcal{M}}$ , with  $S^{\mathcal{M}}$  encoding the  $L_\infty$ -structure on  $\mathcal{M}$ . In particular,  $S^{\text{tot}}$  recovers the underlying classical action defined by  $\mathcal{M}$  when the background fields are set to zero.*

We immediately obtain the following corollary. While the corollary seems a bit redundant, as BV theories already contain their gauge symmetries, we will see that it is useful for computing conserved currents and charges.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  present a classical BV theory. Then,  $\mathcal{M}$  acts on this BV theory by classical symmetries, as does any subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

**4.1. Definition of Infinity Adjoint Action.** We will start with the case of an ordinary  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \{\ell_i\})$ . Let us fix some notation: for  $p_i = (X_i, u_i) \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$  a homogenous element, and  $N \subset \mathbb{N}$  a finite subset,  $A \subset N$  a proper subset, denote

$$p_i^A = \begin{cases} X_i & i \in A \\ u_i & i \notin A. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \{\ell_k\})$  be an  $L_\infty$ -algebra. The *infinity adjoint action* of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on itself is given by the brackets

$$\ell_k^{\times}(p_1, \dots, p_k) := \left( \ell_k(X_1, \dots, X_k), \sum_{A \subset \{1, \dots, k\}} \ell_k(p_1^A, \dots, p_k^A) \right),$$

for  $k \geq 1$ , which sits in the short exact sequence of  $L_\infty$ -algebras

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$$

with  $i$  and  $\pi$  be the natural inclusion (into the second summand) and projection (onto the first factor).

**Lemma 4.4.** *The brackets  $\{\ell_k^\times\}$  on  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$  define an  $L_\infty$ -algebra.*

*Proof.* By construction,  $i$  and  $\pi$  are strict maps of  $L_\infty$ -algebras, each  $\ell_k^\times$  is multilinear, graded anti-symmetric and of degree  $2 - k$ . Hence, for the  $L_\infty$ -structure to be well defined, we need only check the generalized Jacobi identities. Let  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}(k, n-k)} \epsilon(\sigma) \ell_{n-k+1}^\times (\ell_k^\times(p_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(k)}), p_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(n)}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}(k, n-k)} \epsilon(\sigma) \ell_{n-k+1}^\times \left( \left( \ell_k(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(k)}), \sum_{A \subset \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k)\}} \ell_k(p_{\sigma(1)}^A, \dots, p_{\sigma(k)}^A) \right), p_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(n)} \right) \\ &= (X, U), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$X = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}(k, n-k)} \epsilon(\sigma) \ell_{n-k+1} (\ell_k(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(k)}), X_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(n)}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U = & \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}(k, n-k)} \epsilon(\sigma) \left[ \sum_{A \subset \{\sigma(k+1), \dots, \sigma(n)\}} \ell_{n-k+1} (\ell_k(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(k)}), p_{\sigma(k+1)}^A, \dots, p_{\sigma(n)}^A) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{B \subseteq \{\sigma(k+1), \dots, \sigma(n)\}} \ell_{n-k+1} \left( \sum_{C \subset \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k)\}} \ell_k(p_{\sigma(1)}^C, \dots, p_{\sigma(k)}^C), p_{\sigma(k+1)}^B, \dots, p_{\sigma(n)}^B \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $X = 0$  as it is precisely a Jacobi identity for our original  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . While,

$$U = \sum_{D \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}(k, n-k)} \epsilon(\sigma) \ell_{n-k+1} (\ell_k(p_{\sigma(1)}^D, \dots, p_{\sigma(k)}^D), p_{\sigma(k+1)}^D, \dots, p_{\sigma(n)}^D).$$

So,  $U = 0$  too, as it is a sum of Jacobi relations for our original  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  $\square$

From the definition of the infinity adjoint action, it follows that we can restrict the infinity adjoint action to an  $L_\infty$ -subalgebra. The proof is just repeated use of the verification in the case of an ordinary Lie algebra.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \{\ell_k\})$  be an  $L_\infty$ -algebra and  $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  a subalgebra. The infinity adjoint action restricts to an  $L_\infty$ -action of  $\mathfrak{h}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .*

The extension of the infinity adjoint action to local  $L_\infty$  algebras is clear.

**Definition 4.6.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\})$  be a local  $L_\infty$ -algebra. The *infinity adjoint action* of  $\mathcal{M}$  on itself is given by the short exact sequence of sheaves of  $L_\infty$ -algebras

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $i$  and  $\pi$  are induced by the inclusion and projection maps of the underlying bundles, i.e.,  $i$  is inclusion into the second factor of the Whitney sum of bundles and  $\pi$  is projection onto the first factor. The  $L_\infty$ -structure on  $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}$  is given by the infinity adjoint structure previously defined for non-local  $L_\infty$ -algebras.

There is actually one thing to check for the infinity adjoint action of *local*  $L_\infty$  algebras to be well-defined: that the  $L_\infty$ -structure on  $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}$  is *local*. That is, we need the brackets  $\{\ell_k^\times\}$  to be given by poly-differential operators. This is a straightforward check, e.g., one could use Peetre's Theorem and properties of infinite jet bundles under Whitney sum.

**Lemma 4.7.** *The infinity adjoint action of a local  $L_\infty$ -algebra on itself is local. Thus, the infinity adjoint action of local  $L_\infty$ -algebras is well-defined.*

**4.2. Properties of the Infinity Adjoint.** We now prove various properties of the infinity adjoint action, thereby proving Theorem 4.1. That the equivariant action functional induced by the infinity adjoint action restricts to the underlying classical action functional when the background fields are set to zero follows directly from the construction of the action, so part (c) of the theorem is proved. We still need to check parts (a) and (b) regarding compatibility (Definition 3.2) and that the action is Hamiltonian (that it defines a Mauer–Cartan element in  $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  from Definition 3.5).

While Hamiltonian implies symplectic, so (b) implies (a), we find it illustrative to prove compatibility first on its own. The proof is immediate, with the only subtlety arising from keeping track of the two copies of the  $L_\infty$ -algebra.

**Proposition 4.8.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be a cyclic  $L_\infty$  algebra. The infinity adjoint action of  $\mathcal{M}$  on itself is compatible with the pairing  $\langle -, - \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* This result is not dependent on the local structure, so it is enough to prove the claim for an ordinary  $L_\infty$ -algebra equipped with an invariant pairing. Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be such an  $L_\infty$  algebra and let  $\{\ell_k^\times\}$  denote the brackets for the infinity adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on itself. We need that for all  $r \geq 0$ ,  $s \geq 1$ , and tuples  $\{X_1, \dots, X_r\}$  from  $\mathfrak{g}$  (the copy doing the acting) and  $\{m_1, \dots, m_{s+1}\}$  from  $\mathfrak{g}$  (the copy being acted upon

$$\langle \ell_{r+s}^\times(X_1, \dots, X_r, m_1, \dots, m_s), m_{s+1} \rangle$$

is graded anti-symmetric in all arguments. But this is clear as the bracket  $\ell_{r+s}^\times$  is application of the original bracket  $\ell_{r+s}$  from  $\mathfrak{g}$  after the “concatenation” map  $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes r} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes s} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes(r+s)}$ , and the  $L_\infty$ -algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  itself is compatible with the pairing by hypothesis.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be a cyclic  $L_\infty$  algebra. The infinity adjoint action of  $\mathcal{M}$  on itself defines a Maurer–Cartan element in  $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ , i.e., the infinity adjoint action is Hamiltonian.*

*Proof.* We will restrict to the case that the pairing has degree -3; the result follows for other degrees by carefully tracking various degree shifts. The proof will follow from some general considerations, see Lemma 12.2.3.4 of [9]. To begin, for any compatible action of  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ , there is a short exact sequence of dg Lie algebras

$$0 \rightarrow C_{\text{red}, \text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L})[-1] \rightarrow \text{Ham}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Act}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Given a Maurer–Cartan element (so a compatible action)  $S^\mathcal{L} \in \text{Act}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  there is a naive lift  $\widetilde{S}^\mathcal{L} \in \text{Ham}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  coming from the fact that  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow C^*(\mathcal{M})$ . The obstruction to  $\widetilde{S}^\mathcal{L}$  being a Maurer–Cartan element in  $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  can be viewed as an element

$$\left( d_{\mathcal{L}} \widetilde{S}^\mathcal{L} + d_{\mathcal{M}} \widetilde{S}^\mathcal{L} + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \widetilde{S}^\mathcal{L}, \widetilde{S}^\mathcal{L} \right\} \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{L}[1])} \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{L}[1]).$$

Such a functional always vanishes (for type reasons, see Lemma 12.2.3.4 of [9]) for non-curved  $L_\infty$ -actions. In the present, we are only considering  $L_\infty$ -algebras and actions without curving. So, in particular, the naive lift  $\widetilde{S}^\mathcal{L}$  of the infinity adjoint action is indeed a Maurer–Cartan element in  $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.10.** Curved  $L_\infty$ -algebras and actions show up often in field theory when one tries to model  $\sigma$ -models via  $L_\infty$ -spaces, see for instance [15]. Obstructions to Hamiltonian actions are also common when performing renormalization and/or quantization procedures, see Section 14.1.2 [9] for a simple example.

## 5. CONSERVED CURRENTS AND CHARGES FROM $L_\infty$ -ACTIONS

We now pivot and recall the Noether Theorem for local  $L_\infty$ -actions as articulated in [9]. See also Sections 4 and 5 of [10] and Section 3 of [14]. More specifically we will outline the following result which is really an amalgamation of the work done in previous sections and Section 12.5 of [9].

**Proposition 5.1** (Classical Noether Theorem of [9]). *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be a local cyclic (of degree -3)  $L_\infty$ -algebra over  $M$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  an  $L_\infty$ -algebra (over a point). Further let  $\mathfrak{g}$  act on  $\mathcal{M}$  via a Hamiltonian action. Then, for each infinitesimal symmetry  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , there is an associated conserved current  $J[X]$  of the classical field theory presented by  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

Traditionally, if our classical theory lives over the manifold  $M$  of dimension  $d$ , then the conserved current  $J[X]$  is  $(d - 1)$ -form valued in Lagrangians. Integration over a (closed)

codimension 1 submanifold  $N \subset M$  is a *charge* associated to the symmetry  $X$ . These quantities (and the statement of Noether's Theorem) are expressed via the *variational bicomplex*. We will instead outline how to recover the same data in the setting of local  $L_\infty$ -algebras.

To begin, we need to resolve/extend the action of the (ordinary)  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  to that of a local  $L_\infty$ . The following shows that there is essentially a unique (up to homotopy) way to do this.

**Lemma 5.2** (Lemma 12.2.4.2 of [9]). *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be a local cyclic (of degree -3)  $L_\infty$ -algebra over  $M$  and let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be an  $L_\infty$ -algebra. There is a canonical homotopy equivalence (of simplicial sets) describing*

- (a) *Actions of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on the classical field theory presented by  $\mathcal{M}$ , and*
- (b) *Hamiltonian actions of the local  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\Omega_M^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

So if  $\mathfrak{g}$  acts via infinitesimal symmetries, then we have a Hamiltonian action of  $\mathcal{L} := \Omega_M^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  and correspondingly we obtain an equivariant action functional  $S^{\text{tot}}$  which is a function of fields on  $\mathcal{M}$  and our background fields  $\mathcal{L}$ . We can use this equivariant action to extract a *current map*

$$J: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{M})[-1], \quad X \mapsto \frac{\delta S^{\text{tot}}}{\delta X}.$$

(Passing to compactly supported sections of  $\mathcal{L}$ , we obtain an observable  $J[X] \in \text{Obs}^{\text{cl}}$  via integration.)

Now let  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathbb{R}_X := \mathbb{R}\langle X \rangle$  the Abelian Lie algebra of symmetries it generates. We then obtain a map

$$J[X]: \Omega_M^* \cong \Omega_M^* \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{M})[-1].$$

Let  $N \subset M$  be a compact oriented submanifold codimension 1 and  $\eta_N \in \Omega_M^1$  its Poincaré dual. The local functional  $J[X](\eta_N)$  can be identified with the a current evaluated on  $N$  in the traditional sense, see Section 12.5 of [9], where it is shown that this current is also *conserved*.

**Definition 5.3.** Let  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  be an infinitesimal symmetry of the classical field theory presented by the local cyclic  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\ell_k\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$ .

- (a) The *current associated to  $X$*  is the map

$$J[X]: \Omega_M^* \cong \Omega_M^* \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{M})[-1].$$

- (b) If  $N \subset M$  is a compact oriented submanifold, then the *associated charge* is

$$\mathcal{Q}[X; N] := \int_M J[X](\eta_N),$$

where  $\eta_N$  is the Poincaré dual form to the submanifold  $N$ .

**Remark 5.4.** In [9], Proposition 5.1 actually arises from several richer and more elegant mathematical constructions. Most notably, we have chosen not to talk about the full structure present on the algebra of classical observables. Had we recalled this structure in detail, we could have then stated Theorem 12.4.1 of [9] which proves that the action  $\mathcal{L}$  actually determines a map of presheaves of  $L_\infty$ -algebras  $\mathcal{L} \rightsquigarrow \text{Obs}^{\text{cl}}$ . While Theorem 12.4.1.2 articulates how this map is compatible with (shifted) Poisson structures. Moreover, Chapter 13 of [9] is an extension of these results to the quantum realm (given a quantization of the classical field theory).

## 6. THE ĆIRIĆ–GIOTOPOULOS–RADOVANOVIĆ–SZABO $L_\infty$ -ALGEBRA

The Einstein–Cartan–Palatini (ECP) field theory is an approach to classical gravity. In three and four dimensions, it is equivalent to the Einstein–Hilbert field theory, see [6], [7]. Cattaneo and Schiavina gave a BV extension of ECP theory in [5] (actually they did more and provided a BV–BFV extension which allows for spacetimes with boundary). Ćirić, Giotopoulos, Radovanović, and Szabo [11] then translated ECP into the language of  $L_\infty$ -algebras in dimensions three and four. In this section, we recall the CGRS  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ECP}}$ , focusing on the four dimensional case with cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  (Section 8 of [11]). We also show that it defines a cyclic local  $L_\infty$ -algebra as we have defined above; the salient details are already in [11], we simply put them together carefully.

Throughout we will make repeated use of the standard isomorphism

$$\Phi: \mathfrak{so}(1, 3) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^{1,3}, \quad \Phi(A)_{\mu\nu} = \eta(Au_\mu, u_\nu),$$

where  $A \in \mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$  is a matrix with regards to the standard basis,  $\{u_\nu\}$ , of Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$  and  $\eta$  is the Minkowski metric. Our four dimensional spacetime,  $M$ , will be a submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$  and we (occasionally) use global coordinates.

As a graded vector space the  $L_\infty$ -algebra is concentrated in four degrees

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{ECP}} := \mathcal{M}^0 \oplus \mathcal{M}^1 \oplus \mathcal{M}^2 \oplus \mathcal{M}^3,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^0 &:= \text{Vect}(M) \oplus \Omega^0(M, \mathfrak{so}(1, 3)), \\ \mathcal{M}^1 &:= \Omega^1(M, \mathbb{R}^{1,3}) \oplus \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{so}(1, 3)), \\ \mathcal{M}^2 &:= \Omega^3(M, \Lambda^3 \mathbb{R}^{1,3}) \oplus \Omega^3(M, \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^{1,3}), \\ \mathcal{M}^3 &:= \Omega^1(M, \text{Dens}_M) \oplus \Omega^4(M, \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^{1,3}). \end{aligned}$$

There are only three non-zero brackets:  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3$ . These brackets can be read off by decomposing the BV action of [5] by polynomial degree in the fields. (See also [18] for a general strategy.)

The differential,  $\ell_1$ , is nonzero on vectors of degree zero and two. For  $(\xi, \rho) \in \mathcal{M}^0$ ,  $(E, \Omega) \in \mathcal{M}^2$ ,

$$\ell_1(\xi, \rho) = (0, d\rho) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_1(E, \Omega) = (0, -d\Omega).$$

Adding  $(e, \omega) \in \mathcal{M}^1$  and  $(\chi, P) \in \mathcal{M}^3$  to the notation from the preceding paragraph, the binary bracket,  $\ell_2$ , is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_2((\xi_1, \rho_1), (\xi_2, \rho_2)) &= ([\xi_1, \xi_2], -[\rho_1, \rho_2] + \xi_1 \cdot \rho_2 - \xi_2 \cdot \rho_1), \\ \ell_2((\xi, \rho), (e, \omega)) &= (-\rho \cdot e + L_\xi e, -[\rho, \omega] + L_\xi \omega), \\ \ell_2((\xi, \rho), (E, \Omega)) &= (-\rho \cdot E + L_\xi E, -[\rho, \Omega] + L_\xi \Omega), \\ \ell_2((\xi, \rho), (\chi, P)) &= (dx^\mu \otimes \text{Tr}(\iota_\mu d\rho \wedge P) + L_\xi X, -[\rho, P] + L_\xi P), \\ \ell_2((e_1, \omega_1), (e_2, \omega_2)) &= -(e_1 \wedge d\omega_2 + e_2 \wedge d\omega_1, e_1 \wedge de_2 + e_2 \wedge de_1), \text{ and} \\ \ell_2((e, \omega), (E, \Omega)) &= (dx^\mu \otimes \text{Tr}(\iota_\mu de \wedge E - \iota_\mu d\omega \wedge \Omega - \iota_\mu e \wedge dE + \iota_\mu \omega \wedge d\Omega), \frac{3}{2} E \barwedge e + [\omega, \Omega]). \end{aligned}$$

These brackets contain a lot of notation, so let us recall some of it from Section 5 of [11]. First, the “double wedge” symbol,  $\wedge\!\!\wedge$ , is the map

$$\wedge\!\!\wedge: \Omega^{k_1}(M, \Lambda^{l_1}\mathbb{R}^{1,3}) \otimes \Omega^{k_2}(M, \Lambda^{l_2}\mathbb{R}^{1,3}) \rightarrow \Omega^{k_1+k_2}(M, \Lambda^{l_1+l_2}\mathbb{R}^{1,3}),$$

which wedges the differential forms and the exterior algebra components. In [11], this map is notated by  $\wedge$ , but we have chosen the double wedge symbol to emphasize its definition and to alleviate confusion for those of us with old eyeballs. (Many authors also simply use  $\wedge$  for this operation.)

The other wedge symbol,  $\wedge$ , is the exterior product of form components and the action of  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$  on exterior algebra components (“the multivector representation”), e.g., below there is a term of the form  $\omega \wedge e$ , this ends up in  $\Omega^2(M, \mathbb{R}^{1,3})$  as the form degrees add then the Lie algebra component of  $\omega$  acts on the vector representation component of  $e$ . There is one additional term:  $E \barwedge e$ , where  $E \in \Omega^3(M, \Lambda^3\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ ,  $e \in \Omega^1(M, \mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ , and where the result lives in  $\Omega^4(M, \Lambda^2\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ . This term is given by applying the Hodge dual (with respect to the Minkowski metric  $\eta$ ), which is an isomorphism  $\Lambda^3\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \cong \Lambda^{4-3}\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \cong \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ , to the exterior algebra component of  $E$  and then applying “ $\wedge\!\!\wedge$ ” with the form  $e$ .

Throughout, “.” denotes the appropriate action, e.g.,  $\xi \cdot \rho$  is the vector field  $\xi$  acting as a derivation of the vector-valued function  $\rho$ . As is standard,  $L_\xi$  denotes Lie derivative. The operator  $\iota_\mu$  is contraction with the coordinate vector field indexed by  $\mu$ . The trace map,  $\text{Tr}$ ,

is induced by the map  $\text{Tr}: \Lambda^4 \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}$  normalized by requiring that  $\text{Tr}(u_\nu \wedge u_\mu \wedge u_\gamma \wedge u_\beta) = \epsilon_{\nu\mu\gamma\beta}$ .

Finally, there is one 3-ary bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_3((e_1, \omega_1), (e_2, \omega_2), (e_3, \omega_3)) \\ = - (e_1 \wedge [\omega_2, \omega_3] + e_2 \wedge [\omega_1, \omega_3] + e_3 \wedge [\omega_2, \omega_1] + 3! \Lambda e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3, \\ e_1 \wedge (\omega_2 \wedge e_3) + (2 \leftrightarrow 3) + e_2 \wedge (\omega_1 \wedge e_3) + (1 \leftrightarrow 3) + e_3 \wedge (\omega_2 \wedge e_1) + (2 \leftrightarrow 1)) \end{aligned}$$

That the brackets  $\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3\}$  equip  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ECP}}$  with the structure of an  $L_\infty$ -algebra is shown using two methods in the appendices of [11]. First, the Jacobi relations are checked explicitly in Appendix A (in the three dimensional case, though the four dimensional case is structurally similar). Then, in Appendix B, the authors use a field theoretic (BRST–BV) argument to show that the  $L_\infty$ -structure is well-defined.

There is a pairing  $\langle -, - \rangle: \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree -3 given as follows

$$\langle (e, \omega), (E, \Omega) \rangle := \int_M \text{Tr}(e \wedge E + \Omega \wedge \omega), \quad (e, \omega) \in \mathcal{M}^1, (E, \Omega) \in \mathcal{M}^2,$$

and

$$\langle (\xi, \rho), (\chi, P) \rangle := \int_M \iota_\xi \chi + \int_M \text{Tr}(\rho \wedge P), \quad (\xi, \rho) \in \mathcal{M}^0, (\chi, P) \in \mathcal{M}^3.$$

All other combinations of fields are zero for degree reasons.

**Proposition 6.1.** *The  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $(\mathcal{M}_{\text{ECP}}, \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  is a cyclic local  $L_\infty$ -algebra.*

*Proof.* As  $\mathcal{M}$  is made from vector-valued tensor fields, it is clear that it arises as the sections of a graded vector bundle  $E_{\text{ECP}} \rightarrow M$ . Moreover, the brackets  $\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3\}$  are all built from poly-differential operators on  $E_{\text{ECP}}$ . The pairing on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ECP}}$  indeed arises from a non-degenerate pairing  $E_{\text{ECP}} \otimes E_{\text{ECP}} \rightarrow \text{Dens}_M$  induced by the fiberwise trace pairing on  $\Lambda^* \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$  and the canonical pairing between vector fields and 1-forms. The non-trivial check is that  $\langle -, - \rangle$  has the appropriate invariance/compatibility, but this is the content of Section 5.2 of [11].  $\square$

Following our discussion in Section 2.1, the data of  $(\mathcal{M}_{\text{ECP}}, \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  defines an action functional, where for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{ECP}}$

$$S_{\text{ECP}}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \alpha, \ell_1(\alpha) \rangle + \frac{1}{6} \langle \alpha, \ell_2(\alpha, \alpha) \rangle + \frac{1}{24} \langle \alpha, \ell_3(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \rangle.$$

As is shown in Section 8.2 of [11], restricting to dynamic fields  $(e, \omega) \in \mathcal{M}^1$ , there is an equality

$$S_{\text{ECP}}(e, \omega) = \int_M \text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{2} e \wedge e \wedge F_\omega + \frac{\Lambda}{4} e \wedge e \wedge e \wedge e \right).$$

That is,  $S_{\text{ECP}}$  recovers the classical Einstein–Cartan–Palatini functional.

## 7. CONSERVED CHARGES FROM THE CGRS $L_\infty$ -ALGEBRA: BLACK HOLE ENTROPY

We are continuing the conventions of the previous section. In particular,  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$  is a four-dimensional spacetime embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ , and  $(\mathcal{M}_{\text{ECP}}, \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3\}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  is the cyclic local  $L_\infty$ -algebra of [11]. The only exterior product is the one we denoted “ $\hat{\wedge}$ ” above, so as there is no possibility of confusion, we will follow the common convention and simply use “ $\wedge$ ” for this operation.

By Theorem 4.1, we know that  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ECP}}$  acts on itself by the infinity adjoint action. Moreover, this action restricts to any subalgebra. We will consider the subalgebra  $\text{Vect}(M) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\text{ECP}}$ . Following Section 5, we will compute the conserved current and charge of the infinitesimal symmetry encoded by a vector field.

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $\xi \in \text{Vect}(M)$  be a Killing vector and set  $\Lambda = 0$ . Then, on shell, the current associated to  $\xi$  is the map*

$$J[\xi]: \Omega_M^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{M})[-1], \quad \beta \mapsto \beta \wedge d\mathcal{Q}[\xi],$$

where

$$\mathcal{Q}[\xi] = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\iota_\xi \omega \wedge e \wedge e).$$

**Corollary 7.2.** *Let  $N \subset M$  be a compact oriented submanifold with boundary  $\Sigma := \partial N$ . Let  $\xi \in \text{Vect}(M)$ , on shell, the charge associated  $\xi$  is*

$$\mathcal{Q}[\xi, N] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \text{Tr}(\iota_\xi \omega \wedge e \wedge e).$$

After proving the theorem, we will compute the black hole entropy for the Schwarzschild Black Hole using the corollary. After applying the theorem/corollary, this reduces to the standard textbook computation. For us, it is not the result that is interesting, but rather that it can be done starting from the  $L_\infty$ -algebra formulation and applying the classical Noether Theorem of [9].

**7.1. Proving the Theorem.** To begin, we extend the action of  $\text{Vect}(M)$  to an action of  $\Omega_M^* \otimes \text{Vect}(M)$ . Lemma 5.2 asserts that any extension which satisfies the master equation is unique, so we will use the “minimal coupling.” Hence, for  $A_\xi \in \Omega_M^* \otimes \text{Vect}(M)$ , we have a total action

$$S^{\text{tot}}(A_\xi, e, \omega) = S_{\text{ECP}}(e, \omega) + \frac{1}{6} \int_M \text{Tr}(2e^2 \wedge A_\xi \cdot \omega - 2\omega \wedge e \wedge A_\xi \cdot e),$$

where the action of  $A_\xi$  is given by wedging the form component and acting via the Lie derivative by the vector field  $\xi$  (as dictated by the bracket  $\ell_2$ ).

Hence, the 3-form component of the current  $J[\xi]$  which we will denote by  $J_3[\xi]$  is given by

$$J_3[\xi] = \frac{1}{3}e^2 \wedge L_\xi \omega - \frac{1}{3}\omega \wedge e \wedge L_\xi e.$$

Note that by an application of the Leibniz rule

$$\omega \wedge e \wedge L_\xi e = \frac{1}{2}L_\xi(e^2 \wedge \omega) - \frac{1}{2}e^2 \wedge L_\xi \omega,$$

so if  $\xi$  is Killing, by invariance, we have

$$\omega \wedge e \wedge L_\xi e = -\frac{1}{2}e^2 \wedge L_\xi \omega.$$

Therefore,

$$J_3[\xi] = \frac{1}{3}e^2 \wedge L_\xi \omega - \frac{1}{3}\omega \wedge e \wedge L_\xi e = \frac{1}{3}e^2 \wedge L_\xi \omega + \frac{1}{6}e^2 \wedge L_\xi \omega = \frac{1}{2}e^2 \wedge L_\xi \omega.$$

The proof of the theorem is completed by the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.3.** *In the case that  $\Lambda = 0$  and when the equations of motion are satisfied (on shell), we have*

$$\frac{1}{2}e^2 \wedge L_\xi \omega = dQ[\xi],$$

where  $Q[\xi] = \iota_\xi \omega \wedge e^2$ .

*Proof.* This equality is actually familiar from the variation of the standard Lagrangian for ECP. Indeed if

$$L(e, \omega) = \frac{1}{2}e^2 \wedge \left( F_\omega + \frac{\Lambda}{2}e^2 \right),$$

then

$$\delta L = \delta e \wedge U(e, \omega) + \delta \omega \wedge V(e, \omega) + d\Theta,$$

with  $U(e, \omega)$  and  $V(e, \omega)$  being the expressions for the equations of motion. One typically is interested in the computing  $\Theta - \iota_\xi L$  to find the conserved current, during which one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta - \iota_\xi L &= \frac{1}{2}e^2 \wedge L_\xi \omega - \frac{1}{2}\iota_\xi(e^2 \wedge F_\omega) - \frac{\Lambda}{4}\iota_\xi(e^4) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}e^2 \wedge d_\omega(\iota_\xi \omega) - \iota_\xi e \wedge U \\ &= dQ[\xi] - \iota_\xi e \wedge U + \iota_\xi \omega \wedge V. \end{aligned}$$

So, on shell where we neglect terms involving  $U$  and  $V$ , and if  $\Lambda = 0$ , the first and last line yield the desired equality.  $\square$

**7.2. Computations for Schwarzschild Spacetime.** Recall that Schwarzschild spacetime is a static, rotationally symmetric, four dimensional, vacuum solution of Einstein's equations with a black hole region, or really a one-parameter family of such that depends on the

Schwarzschild radius  $r_S > 0$ . Fix such a radius  $r_S > 0$ . We will take the *maximal extension* of Schwarzschild space, so our four manifold is  $M = \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^3 - \{(0, 0, 0)\})$ . The boundary of the black hole region, the horizon, occurs at  $r = r_S$  (in spherical spatial coordinates), and is a *Killing horizon* with Killing field  $\xi = \frac{1}{c}\partial_t$ . The two sphere

$$\Sigma_{r_S} := \{t = 0, r = r_S\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^3 - \{(0, 0, 0)\})$$

is the space-like codimension 2 bifurcation surface.

The Schwarzschild radius and the mass  $\mathbf{m}$  are proportional via

$$r_S = \frac{2G\mathbf{m}}{c^2},$$

for physical constants  $G$ , gravitational constant, and  $c$ , the speed of light. In what follows we will also introduce two further constants: the Boltzmann constant  $k_B$  and the Planck length  $\hbar$ . Keeping track of these constants doesn't change the mathematics, but does allow us to compare to other computations of various physical quantities.

We will encode Schwarzschild space via the *static diagonal tetrad* and spin connection. Let  $f(r)$  be the positive square root of the equation

$$(f(r))^2 = 1 - \frac{2G\mathbf{m}}{c^2 r}.$$

Then, define a tetrad by

$$e^0 = cf(r)dt, \quad e^1 = \frac{1}{f(r)}dr, \quad e^2 = rd\theta, \quad e^3 = r\sin\theta d\phi.$$

With associated spin connection  $\omega$  given by

$$\omega^{01} = f'e^0, \quad \omega^{12} = -\frac{f}{r}e^2, \quad \omega^{13} = -\frac{f}{r}e^3, \quad \omega^{23} = -\frac{\cot\theta}{r}e^3,$$

and subject to  $\omega^{ab} = -\omega^{ba}$ , with all other components zero. One computes the surface gravity is  $\kappa = \frac{1}{r_S}$ .

Following Wald [25], for an asymptotically flat (stationary) black hole spacetime, we define the *black hole entropy* to be given by

$$\mathcal{S}_{BH} := \frac{2\pi c^3 k_B}{8\pi G\kappa\hbar} \mathcal{Q}[\xi, \Sigma].$$

For this class of spacetimes, the Wald entropy agrees with most (all?) other definitions of black hole entropy [19].

Continuing our computation for Schwarzschild (in the static diagonal tetrad), we have

$$\mathcal{Q}[\xi] = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\iota_\xi \omega \wedge e \wedge e) = f'(r)e^2 \wedge e^3 = (r^2 f'(r) \sin\theta) d\theta \wedge d\phi.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{Q}[\xi] = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (r^2 f'(r) \sin \theta) d\theta \wedge d\phi \Big|_{r=r_S} = r_S^4 f'(r_S).$$

Therefore, for the Schwarzschild black hole we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{BH} = \frac{2\pi k_B 4c^3}{32\pi G \hbar} 4\pi r_S^2 = \frac{k_B c^3}{4\pi G} \text{Area}(\Sigma),$$

in accordance with Bekenstein's Area Law.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Marco Benini, Victor Carmona, Alastair Grant-Stuart, and Alexander Schenkel. On the equivalence of aqfts and prefactorization algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.07318*, 2024.
- [2] Marco Benini, Giorgio Musante, and Alexander Schenkel. Quantization of Lorentzian free BV theories: factorization algebra vs algebraic quantum field theory. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 114(1):Paper No. 36, 38, 2024.
- [3] Christian Blohmann. The homotopy momentum map of general relativity. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (10):8212–8250, 2023.
- [4] Leron Borsten, Hyungrok Kim, Branislav Jurčo, Tommaso Macrelli, Christian Saemann, and Martin Wolf. Double copy from homotopy algebras. *Fortschr. Phys.*, 69(8-9):Paper No. 2100075, 100, 2021.
- [5] A. S. Cattaneo and M. Schiavina. BV-BFV approach to general relativity: Palatini-Cartan-Holst action. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 23(8):2025–2059, 2019.
- [6] A. S. Cattaneo, M. Schiavina, and I. Selliah. BV equivalence between triadic gravity and BF theory in three dimensions. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 108(8):1873–1884, 2018.
- [7] Alberto S. Cattaneo and Michele Schiavina. The reduced phase space of Palatini-Cartan-Holst theory. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 20(2):445–480, 2019.
- [8] Kevin Costello and Owen Gwilliam. *Factorization algebras in quantum field theory. Vol. 1*, volume 31 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017.
- [9] Kevin Costello and Owen Gwilliam. *Factorization algebras in quantum field theory. Vol. 2*, volume 41 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2021.
- [10] Kevin Costello and Owen Gwilliam. “Factorization algebra” for encyclopedia of mathematical physics. In *Encyclopedia of mathematical physics. Vol. 5. Analysis & quantum field theory*, pages 569–583. Academic Press, Amsterdam, [2025] ©2025.
- [11] Marija Dimitrijević Ćirić, Grigoris Giotopoulos, Voja Radovanović, and Richard J. Szabo.  $L_\infty$ -algebras of Einstein-Cartan-Palatini gravity. *J. Math. Phys.*, 61(11):112502, 65, 2020.
- [12] Filip Dul. Factorization algebras for linearized gravity. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2509.21458*, 2025.
- [13] Ryan Grady and Owen Gwilliam. Lie algebroids as  $L_\infty$  spaces. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 19(2):487–535, 2020.
- [14] Owen Gwilliam. Remarks on the locality of generalized global symmetries. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.05626*, 2025.
- [15] Owen Gwilliam and Ryan Grady. One-dimensional Chern-Simons theory and the  $\hat{A}$  genus. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 14(4):2299–2377, 2014.

- [16] Owen Gwilliam and Kasia Rejzner. Relating nets and factorization algebras of observables: free field theories. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 373(1):107–174, 2020.
- [17] Owen Gwilliam and Kasia Rejzner. The observables of a perturbative algebraic quantum field theory form a factorization algebra. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.08175*, 2022.
- [18] Olaf Hohm and Barton Zwiebach.  $L_\infty$  algebras and field theory. *Fortschr. Phys.*, 65(3-4):1700014, 33, 2017.
- [19] Vivek Iyer and Robert M. Wald. Comparison of the Noether charge and Euclidean methods for computing the entropy of stationary black holes. *Phys. Rev. D (3)*, 52(8):4430–4439, 1995.
- [20] Branislav Jurčo, Tommaso Macrelli, Lorenzo Raspollini, Christian Sämann, and Martin Wolf.  $L_\infty$ -algebras, the BV formalism, and classical fields. *Fortschr. Phys.*, 67(8-9, Special issue: Proceedings of the LMS/EPSRC Durham Symposium on Higher Structures in M-Theory):1910025, 17, 2019.
- [21] Tom Lada and Martin Markl. Strongly homotopy Lie algebras. *Comm. Algebra*, 23(6):2147–2161, 1995.
- [22] Jean-Louis Loday and Bruno Vallette. *Algebraic operads*, volume 346 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [23] Rajan Amit Mehta and Marco Zambon.  $L_\infty$ -algebra actions. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 30(6):576–587, 2012.
- [24] Luca Vitagliano. Representations of homotopy Lie-Rinehart algebras. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 158(1):155–191, 2015.
- [25] Robert M. Wald. Black hole entropy is the Noether charge. *Phys. Rev. D (3)*, 48(8):R3427–R3431, 1993.

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BOZEMAN 59717 USA

*Email address:* `changsunchoi@montana.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BOZEMAN 59717 USA

*Email address:* `ryan.grady1@montana.edu`