

# A nonlinear instability result to the Navier-Stokes equations with Navier slip boundary conditions

Tien-Tai Nguyen

Email: [nttai.hus@vnu.edu.vn](mailto:nttai.hus@vnu.edu.vn)

VNU University of Science,  
334 Nguyen Trai street, Hanoi, Vietnam

January 1, 2026

## Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the instability of the trivial steady states to the incompressible viscous fluid with Navier-slip boundary conditions. For the linear instability, the existence of infinitely many normal mode solutions to the linearized equations is shown via the operator method of Lafitte and Nguyen (2022). Hence, we prove the nonlinear instability by adapting the framework of Desjardins and Grenier (2003) studying some classes of viscous boundary layers to obtain two separated solutions at escaping time. Our work performs a different approach from that of Ding, Li and Xin (2018).

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Formulation of the problem

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be the usual 1D torus and let us consider a horizontal slab domain  $\Omega = 2\pi L\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1)$ , with the length of periodicity  $L > 0$ . In this paper, we consider an incompressible fluid, governed by the Navier-Stokes equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p - \mu \Delta u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \ t \geq 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \ t \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $u(x_1, x_2, t) = (u_1(x_1, x_2, t), u_2(x_1, x_2, t))$  and  $p(x_1, x_2, t)$  are the velocity and pressure of the flow respectively.

The Navier-Stokes equations are frequently studied with Dirichlet boundary condition (see e.g. [29]), i.e. the fluid does not slip along the boundary, formulated by Stokes in 1845.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A15, 35P05, 76D05, 76E99

*Keywords.* Navier-Stokes equations, Navier-slip boundary conditions, nonlinear instability.

There are also other types of boundary conditions in physical phenomena. For instance, the Navier-slip boundary conditions is proposed by Navier [24] since 1827, that allows the fluid to slip and that will be considered in this paper. Another type of boundary conditions for (1.1) is the diffusive-free boundary conditions, recently studied by Lin and Kerswell [23] and Dormy and Gerard-Varet [12].

Let  $\Sigma_{\pm} = 2\pi L\mathbb{T} \times \{x_2 = \pm 1\}$ , the Navier-slip boundary conditions are given on  $\Sigma_{\pm}$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} u \cdot n &= 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_+ \cup \Sigma_-, \\ [(-pI + \mu(\nabla u + \nabla^T u)) \cdot n] \cdot \tau &= \xi(x)u \cdot \tau, \quad \text{on } \Sigma_+ \cup \Sigma_-, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where the superscript  $T$  means matrix transposition,  $I$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix,  $n$  is the outward unit normal vector and  $\tau$  is the corresponding tangent vector of the boundary. In (1.2), for simplicity, let  $\xi(x)$  be a scalar function describing the slip effect on the boundary, only taking constant values  $\xi_{\pm}$  on  $\Sigma_{\pm}$ , respectively. We refer to other papers [19, 13, 20], where the authors consider variable  $\xi$ .

One of the first study on the well-posedness of Navier–Stokes equations with Navier boundary conditions was due to Solonnikov and Ščadilov [27] for the stationary equations, see also some papers of Amrouche and his collaborators [4, 3, 2]. For analytical study on the time-dependent Navier–Stokes equations in a bounded domain or on the half-space, we refer to Clopeau et al. [8], or to da Veiga [30], showing the existence of the regular solution. Let us mention also other problems related to the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations with Navier-slip boundary conditions, the control problem studied by Coron [9] and the inviscid limit problem, studied by Iftimie and Sueur [18]. For numerical study, we refer to [1, 5, 6, 15, 16, 17, 26, 28].

## 1.2 The goal of this paper

Our main interest here is to study the nonlinear instability of the steady state solution  $(0, p_s)$  ( $p_s$  is a constant) to this boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2), revisiting the previous result of Ding, Li and Xin [10] in a slab domain. In that paper, the authors obtain a threshold of viscosity depending on slip length, that separates the regimes of nonlinear stability and nonlinear instability. We also refer to another paper [22] solving the case of bounded domain. In this paper, we aim at showing the nonlinear instability of the state  $(0, p_s)$  in the subcritical regime  $\mu < \mu_c$  by an alternative method, inspired by Lafitte and the author [21] and by Desjardins and Grenier [11].

To prove the linear instability, we study the spectral analysis following normal mode ansatz of Chandrasekhar [7]. Precisely, for any horizontal spatial frequency  $k \in L^{-1}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , we define the  $k$ -subcritical regime of the viscosity coefficient  $\mu < \mu_c(k, \Xi)$  (see  $\mu_c(k, \Xi)$  in Proposition 2.1). Thus we prove that there exists an infinite sequence of normal mode solutions to the linearized equations thanks to the operator method of Lafitte and the author. This is stated in Theorem 2.1.

The second part is to prove the nonlinear instability in the subcritical regime

$$\mu < \mu_c(\Xi) = \sup_{k \in L^{-1}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \mu_c(k, \Xi). \tag{1.3}$$

Following Desjardins and Grenier [11], where they studied the nonlinear instability of some classes of boundary layers to the incompressible rotating fluid, we prove the nonlinear instability in the sense of [11, Theorem 2.3]. Thanks to the existence of infinitely many normal mode solutions to the linearized equations (2.3), we intend to construct two separated solutions at the escaping time. The statement will be shown in Theorem 2.2.

## 2 Main results

Denote the perturbation by

$$u = u - 0, \quad q = \pi - \pi_s.$$

Hence,  $(u, q)$  satisfies the nonlinear equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla q - \mu \Delta u = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

In our setting,  $n = (0, 1)$  on  $\Sigma_+$  and  $n = (0, -1)$  on  $\Sigma_-$ , while  $\tau = (1, 0)$  on both  $\Sigma_{\pm}$ . Hence, the boundary conditions (1.2) in the slab domain can be written under the form

$$\begin{cases} u_2 = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_+ \cup \Sigma_-, \\ \mu \partial_2 u_1 = \xi_+ u_1 & \text{on } \Sigma_+, \\ \mu \partial_2 u_1 = -\xi_- u_1 & \text{on } \Sigma_-. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

From now on, we move to study the instability of trivial state to (2.1)-(2.2).

Linearizing (2.1)-(2.2) around the trivial steady state yields the linearized equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \nabla q - \mu \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_2 = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_+ \cup \Sigma_-, \\ \mu \partial_2 u_1 = \xi_+ u_1 & \text{on } \Sigma_+, \\ \mu \partial_2 u_1 = -\xi_- u_1 & \text{on } \Sigma_-. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

We will solve problem (2.3) by the standard normal mode analysis, see [7]. That means, we look for  $u$  and  $q$  of the form

$$\begin{cases} u_1(t, x_1, x_2) = e^{\lambda t} \sin(kx_1) \psi(x_2), \\ u_2(t, x_1, x_2) = e^{\lambda t} \cos(kx_1) \phi(x_2), \\ q(t, x_1, x_2) = e^{\lambda t} \cos(kx_1) \pi(x_2), \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

where  $k \in L^{-1}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  is called the wavenumber and  $\lambda = \lambda(k) \in \mathbb{C}$  is called the characteristic values of the linearized equations (after [7]). Since we are interested in the linear instability in this section, we look for  $\lambda$  with positive real part. Substituting (2.4) into (2.3), we obtain the following system of ODEs

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \psi - k\pi - \mu(k^2 \psi - \psi'') = 0, \\ \lambda \phi + \pi' - \mu(k^2 \phi - \phi'') = 0, \\ k\psi + \phi' = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

with boundary conditions

$$\phi(\pm 1) = 0, \quad \mu\psi'(1) = \xi_+\psi(1), \quad \mu\psi'(-1) = -\xi_-\psi(-1). \quad (2.6)$$

Eliminating  $\pi$  from (2.5)<sub>1</sub> and  $\psi$  from (2.5)<sub>3</sub> gives us a fourth order ODE for  $\phi$ , that is

$$\lambda(k^2\phi - \phi'') + \mu(\phi^{(4)} - 2k^2\phi'' + k^4\phi) = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\phi(\pm 1) = 0, \quad \mu\phi''(1) = \xi_+\phi'(1), \quad \mu\phi''(-1) = -\xi_-\phi'(-1). \quad (2.8)$$

Hence, solving the system (2.5) with boundary conditions (2.6) is reduced to study the ODE (2.7) with boundary conditions (2.8).

Let  $k$  be fixed, we aim at solving a solution  $\phi \in H^4((-1, 1))$  to (2.7)–(2.8) with positive  $\lambda$ . In this paper, we utilize an operator approach initiated by Lafitte and the author [21] to prove the existence of *infinitely many* characteristic values  $\lambda$  to the linearized equations (2.3). The line of investigation is similar to that one of viscous Rayleigh-Taylor instability to incompressible fluid with Navier-slip boundary conditions [25]. That means, we will place ourselves in the  $k$ -subcritical regime of the viscosity coefficient  $\mu < \mu_c(k, \Xi)$  with  $\Xi = (\xi_-, \xi_+)$

To state the linear results, we recall the properties of  $\mu_c(k, \Xi)$  and  $\mu_c(\Xi)$  (see (1.3)) from [25, Proposition 3.1].

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\tilde{H}^s((-1, 1)) = \{\phi \in H^s((-1, 1)), \phi(\pm 1) = 0\}$  with  $s \geq 1$ . The following results hold.*

1. *For any  $k > 0$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_c(k, \Xi) &= \max_{\phi \in \tilde{H}^2((-1, 1))} \frac{\xi_-(\phi'(-1))^2 + \xi_+(\phi'(1))^2}{\int_{-1}^1 ((\phi'')^2 + 2k^2(\phi')^2 + k^4\phi^2) dx_2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4k \sinh^2(2k)} \left( \frac{(\sinh(2k) \cosh(2k) - 2k)(\xi_+ + \xi_-)}{\left( (\sinh(2k) - 2k \cosh(2k))^2 (\xi_+ + \xi_-)^2 \right.} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sinh^2(2k)(\sinh^2(2k) - 4k^2)(\xi_+ - \xi_-)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

2.  *$\mu_c(k, \Xi)$  is a decreasing function in  $k \in \mathbf{R}_+$  and satisfies*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_c(k, \Xi) = 0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \mu_c(k, \Xi) = \sup_{k > 0} \mu_c(k, \Xi) =: \mu_c(\Xi). \quad (2.10)$$

3. *We have*

$$\mu_c(\Xi) = \max_{\phi \in \tilde{H}^2((-1, 1))} \frac{\xi_-(\phi'(-1))^2 + \xi_+(\phi'(1))^2}{\int_{-1}^1 (\phi'')^2 dx_2} = \frac{1}{3} \left( \xi_+ + \xi_- + \sqrt{\xi_+^2 - \xi_+\xi_- + \xi_-^2} \right). \quad (2.11)$$

Hence, in the subcritical regime  $\mu < \mu_c(\Xi)$ , we show the following theorems.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $k$  be fixed and  $\mu \in (0, \mu_c(k, \Xi))$ . There exists an infinitely sequence  $(\lambda_n, \phi_n)_{n \geq 1}$  with  $\lambda_n(k) > 0$  increasing towards infinity as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and nontrivial function  $\phi_n \in H^4((-1, 1))$  satisfying (2.7)–(2.8) as  $\lambda = \lambda_n$ .*

Once the linear instability is proven, we move to prove the nonlinear instability in the spirit of Desjardins-Grenier's framework [11]. The authors in [10] follow the approach of Guo-Strauss [14] to obtain an exponentially unstable solution under  $L^2$ -norm. Instead of that, we will formulate a linear combination of finitely many normal mode solutions, found in Theorem 2.1, to approximate the nonlinear equations (2.1). That helps us to obtain two solutions that diverge at exponentially escaping time.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Assume that  $\mu \in (0, \mu_c(\Xi))$ . The trivial state of (2.1)–(2.2) is nonlinearly unstable in the following sense: there exist two positive constants  $\delta_0$  and  $\varepsilon_0$  sufficiently small such that for  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ , Eq. (2.1)–(2.2) admits two solutions  $u^{1,\delta}$  and  $u^{2,\delta}$  satisfying*

$$\|u^{1,\delta}(0)\|_{H^2} + \|u^{2,\delta}(0)\|_{H^2} \leq \delta,$$

and

$$\|u^{1,\delta}(T^\delta) - u^{2,\delta}(T^\delta)\|_{L^2} \geq m_0 \varepsilon_0 > 0$$

for some positive time  $T^\delta$ , where  $m_0 > 0$  is fixed and independent of  $\delta$ , and  $T^\delta$  goes to 0 as  $\delta$  goes to 0.

## 3 The linear instability

### 3.1 Auxiliary operators

In this section, we study the ODE (2.7)–(2.8). Of importance is to construct a continuous and coercive bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}_k$  for fixed  $k$  on the functional space  $\tilde{H}^2((-1, 1))$ , so that the finding of a solution  $\phi \in H^4((-1, 1))$  of Eq. (2.7)–(2.8) on  $(-1, 1)$  is equivalent to finding a weak solution  $\phi \in \tilde{H}^2((-1, 1))$  to the variational problem

$$\lambda \int_{-1}^1 (k^2 \phi \theta + \phi' \theta') dx_2 = \mathcal{B}_k(\phi, \theta) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \tilde{H}^2((-1, 1)), \quad (3.1)$$

and thus improving the regularity of that weak solution  $\phi$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose that  $\mu < \mu_c(k, \Xi)$ , the followings hold.*

1. *The bilinear form*

$$\mathcal{B}_k(\vartheta, \varrho) = \xi_+ \vartheta'(1) \varrho'(1) + \xi_- \vartheta'(-1) \varrho'(-1) - \mu \int_{-1}^1 (\vartheta'' \varrho'' + 2k^2 \vartheta' \varrho' + k^4 \vartheta \varrho) dx_2 \quad (3.2)$$

*is continuous and coercive on  $\tilde{H}^2((-1, 1))$ .*

2. *There exists a unique operator  $Y_k$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_k(\vartheta, \varrho) = \langle Y_k \vartheta, \varrho \rangle$  for all  $\varrho \in \tilde{H}^2((-1, 1))$ . In a weak sense, we have that*

$$Y_k \phi = -\mu(\phi^{(4)} - 2k^2 \phi'' + k^4 \phi).$$

3. Let  $f \in L^2((-1, 1))$  be given, there exists a unique solution  $\phi \in H^4((-1, 1))$  satisfying the boundary conditions (2.8) such that  $Y_k \phi = f$ .

*Proof.* It can be seen from (2.9) that  $\mathcal{B}_k$  is coercive if and only if  $\mu < \mu_c(k, \Xi)$ . As  $\mathcal{B}_k$  is a coercive form on  $\tilde{H}^2((-1, 1))$ , we have that  $\sqrt{\mathcal{B}_k(\cdot, \cdot)}$  is a norm on  $\tilde{H}^2((-1, 1))$ . Hence, the proof of other parts is straightforward thanks to Riesz's representation theorem and a bootstrap argument, so we omit the details.  $\square$

Thanks to Proposition 3.1, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.2.** 1. The operator  $Q_k \phi = -\phi'' + k^2 \phi$  from  $\tilde{H}^2((-1, 1))$  to  $L^2((-1, 1))$  is symmetric and positive.

2. The operator  $S_k := Q_k^{1/2} Y_k^{-1} Q_k^{1/2}$  is compact and self-adjoint from  $\tilde{H}^1((-1, 1))$  to itself.

*Proof.* The proof of Part 1 is obvious. Let us focus on Part 2.

From Part 1, the operator  $Q_k$  has an orthogonal basis of  $\tilde{H}^1$  with the eigenvalues  $\nu_n \in \mathbf{R}_+$ . Hence, the operator  $Q_k^{1/2}$  is a symmetric operator with eigenvalues  $\sqrt{\nu_n}$  and  $Q_k^{1/2}$  is a closed operator because of Sobolev embedding  $H^2 \hookrightarrow \tilde{H}^1 \hookrightarrow L^2$ . The von Neumann theory suggests that  $Q_k^{1/2}$  is a self-adjoint operator.

Proposition 3.1 helps us to define the inverse operator  $Y_k^{-1}$  of  $Y_k$ , from  $L^2((-1, 1))$  to a subspace  $H^4((-1, 1))$  requiring all elements satisfy (2.8). As a result, we deduce that  $S_k$  sends  $\tilde{H}^1((-1, 1))$  to  $\tilde{H}^3((-1, 1))$ . Composing  $S_k$  with the continuous injection  $H^p \hookrightarrow H^q$  for  $p > q \geq 0$ , we obtain the compactness and self-adjointness of  $S_k$ . The proof of Proposition 3.2 is complete.  $\square$

## 3.2 Normal mode solutions

We are in situation to demonstrate Theorem 2.1.

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* As a result of the spectral theory of compact and self-adjoint operators, the point spectrum of  $S_k$  is discrete, i.e. is a sequence  $\{\gamma_n(k)\}_{n \geq 1}$  of eigenvalues of  $S_k$ , associated with normalized orthogonal eigenfunctions  $\{\varpi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  in  $L^2((-1, 1))$ . That means

$$S_k \varpi_n = Q_k^{1/2} Y_k^{-1} Q_k^{1/2} \varpi_n = \gamma_n(k) \varpi_n.$$

So that  $\phi_n = Y_k^{-1}(Q_k^{1/2} \varpi_n)$  belongs to  $H^4((-1, 1))$  and satisfies (2.2). One thus has

$$\gamma_n(k) Y_k \phi_n = Q_k \phi_n \tag{3.3}$$

and  $\phi_n$  satisfies (2.2). Eq. (3.3) also tells us that  $\gamma_n(k) > 0$  for all  $n$ . Indeed, we obtain

$$\gamma_n(k) \mathcal{B}_{k,\mu}(\phi_n, \phi_n) = \gamma_n(k) \int_{-1}^1 (Y_k \phi_n) \phi_n dx_2 = \int_{-1}^1 [(\phi_n')^2 + k^2 \phi_n^2] dx_2 > 0.$$

Hence, by reordering, we have that  $\{\gamma_n(k)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a positive sequence decreasing towards 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For each  $n$ , set  $\lambda_n = \frac{1}{\gamma_n}$ , we complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

Let us finish this section by proving the variational formulation of  $\lambda_1(k)$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *There holds*

$$\lambda_1 = \max_{\phi \in \tilde{H}^2((-1,1))} \frac{\xi_-(\phi'(-1))^2 + \xi_+(\phi'(1))^2 - \mu \int_{-1}^1 ((\phi'')^2 + 2k^2(\phi')^2 + k^4\phi^2) dx_2}{\int_{-1}^1 ((\phi')^2 + k^2\phi^2) dx_2} \quad (3.4)$$

*Proof.* Set

$$\beta = \max_{\phi \in \tilde{H}^2((-1,1))} \frac{\xi_-(\phi'(-1))^2 + \xi_+(\phi'(1))^2 - \mu \int_{-1}^1 ((\phi'')^2 + 2k^2(\phi')^2 + k^4\phi^2) dx_2}{\int_{-1}^1 ((\phi')^2 + k^2\phi^2) dx_2},$$

we prove that  $\lambda_1 \leq \beta$ . Let us consider the Lagrangian functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\phi, \beta) &= \xi_-(\phi'(-1))^2 + \xi_+(\phi'(1))^2 - \mu \int_{-1}^1 ((\phi'')^2 + 2k^2(\phi')^2 + k^4\phi^2) dx_2 \\ &\quad - \beta \left( \int_{-1}^1 ((\phi')^2 + k^2\phi^2) dx_2 - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the Lagrange multiplier theorem, the extrema of the quotient

$$\frac{\xi_-(\phi'(-1))^2 + \xi_+(\phi'(1))^2 - \mu \int_{-1}^1 ((\phi'')^2 + 2k^2(\phi')^2 + k^4\phi^2) dx_2}{\int_{-1}^1 ((\phi')^2 + k^2\phi^2) dx_2}$$

are necessarily the stationary points  $(\beta, \phi_\star)$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ , which satisfy

$$\int_{-1}^1 ((\phi'_\star)^2 + k^2\phi_\star^2) dx_2 = 1 \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi_-\phi'_\star(-1)\theta'(-1) + \xi_+\phi'_\star\theta'(1) - \mu \int_{-1}^1 (\phi''_\star\theta'' + 2k^2\phi'_\star\theta' + k^4\phi_\star\theta_\star) dx_2 \\ &= \beta \int_{-1}^1 (\phi'_\star\theta' + k^2\phi_\star\theta) dx_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

for all  $\theta \in \tilde{H}^2((-1,1))$ . Restricting  $\theta \in C_0^\infty((-1,1))$ , one deduces from (3.6) that  $\phi_\star$  has to satisfy  $Y_k\phi_\star = \beta Q_k\phi_\star$  in a weak sense. We further get that  $\phi_\star \in H^4((-1,1))$  and satisfies (3.5) and the boundary conditions (2.2) after a bootstrap argument. Hence,  $\frac{1}{\beta}$  is an eigenvalue of the compact and self-adjoint operator  $S_k$  from  $\tilde{H}^1((-1,1))$  to itself, with  $Y_k^{-1}Q_k^{1/2}\phi_\star$  being an associated eigenfunction. That implies  $\lambda_1 \leq \beta$ .

Now, we prove the reverse inequality  $\lambda_1 \geq \beta$ . Since  $S_k$  is a self-adjoint and positive operator, we have that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1} = \gamma_1 = \max_{\omega \in \tilde{H}^1((-1,1))} \frac{\langle S_k\omega, \omega \rangle}{\|\omega\|_{\tilde{H}^1((-1,1))}}.$$

Hence, let  $\tilde{\omega} \in \tilde{H}^1((-1, 1))$  be an extremal function, there exists  $\tilde{\phi} = Y_k^{-1} Q_k^{1/2} \tilde{\omega} \in H^4((-1, 1))$  and we have  $\langle Y_k \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi} \rangle = \langle S_k \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\omega} \rangle$ . It yields

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1} \langle Y_k \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi} \rangle = \frac{\langle S_k \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\omega} \rangle^2}{\|\tilde{\omega}\|_{\tilde{H}^1((-1, 1))}^2} = \|S_k \tilde{\omega}\|_{\tilde{H}^1((-1, 1))}^2 = \langle Q_k \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi} \rangle.$$

Hence,  $\lambda_1 \langle Q_k \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi} \rangle = \langle Y_k \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi} \rangle$ . As a result  $\lambda_1 \leq \beta$ . The reverse inequality helps us to complete the proof of Proposition 3.3.  $\square$

As a consequence of Proposition 3.3, let

$$\Lambda = \max_{k \in L^{-1}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \lambda_1(k) < +\infty. \quad (3.7)$$

The following property of  $\Lambda$  was proven in [10, Proposition 4.2].

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in H_\sigma^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ , then it holds that*

$$-\mu \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 dx + \xi_+ \int_{2\pi L\mathbb{T}} |w_1(x_1, 1)|^2 dx_1 + \xi_- \int_{2\pi L\mathbb{T}} |w_1(x_1, -1)|^2 dx_1 \leq \Lambda \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}|^2 dx, \quad (3.8)$$

where  $\Lambda$  is defined in (3.7).

## 4 The nonlinear instability

In this section, the constant  $C$  is a generic constant depending physical parameters.

### 4.1 Linear combination of normal modes

For any  $\mu \in (0, \mu_c(\Xi))$ , it follows from Proposition 2.1(2) that there exists a critical wavenumber  $k_c \in L^{-1}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$\mu < \mu_c(k, \Xi) < \mu_c(\Xi) \quad \text{for } |k| < |k_c|, \quad \text{and } \mu > \mu_c(k, \Xi) \quad \text{for } |k| > |k_c|,$$

We now fix a wavenumber  $k \in L^{-1}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $|k| < |k_c|$ . Thanks to Theorem 2.1, we obtain an infinite sequence  $(\lambda_n, \phi_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that non trivial function  $\phi_n \in H^4((-1, 1))$  is a solution of (2.1)-(2.2) as  $\lambda = \lambda_n(k)$ . That helps us to find a solution to the system (2.5) as  $\lambda = \lambda_n$ . Hence, we define

$$\psi_n = -\frac{\phi_n'}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_n = \frac{1}{k} (\lambda_n \psi_n - \mu(k^2 \psi_n - \psi_n'')),$$

and obtain that

$$e^{\lambda_n(k)t} (u_{n,1}, u_{n,2}, q_n)^T(k, x) = e^{\lambda_n(k)t} \begin{pmatrix} \sin(kx_1) \psi_n(k, x_2) \\ \cos(kx_1) \phi_n(k, x_2) \\ \cos(kx_1) \pi_n(k, x_2) \end{pmatrix}$$



is a real-valued solution to the linearized equations (2.3). Due to (3.7) and the decrease in  $k$  of  $\lambda_1$  (see [10, Proposition 3.7], we have that  $\lambda_1(k) > \frac{\Lambda}{2}$  after decreasing  $k$  if necessary. With that  $k$ , let us split the sequence of characteristic values

$$\frac{\Lambda}{2} < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_N < \Lambda < \lambda_{N+1} < \dots \quad (4.1)$$

We formulate two linear combination of normal mode solutions

$$\begin{pmatrix} u^N \\ p^N \end{pmatrix} (t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j e^{\lambda_j t} \begin{pmatrix} u_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix} (x), \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}^N \\ \tilde{p}^N \end{pmatrix} (t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} c_j e^{\lambda_j t} \begin{pmatrix} u_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix} (x) \quad (4.2)$$

Let  $\delta > 0$ , using  $\delta v^N(0, x)$  as the initial datum, the nonlinear equations (2.1)-(2.2) admits a local solution  $(v^\delta, r^\delta) \in C([0, T^{\max}), H^2(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega))$ , with  $(v, r) = (u, p)$  or  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{p})$ .

Let  $0 < \varepsilon_0 \ll 1$  be fixed later and

$$F_N(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N |c_j| e^{\lambda_j t}.$$

Hence, there is a unique  $T^\delta$  such that  $\delta F_N(T^\delta) = \varepsilon_0$ . Let  $C_1 = \|u^N(0)\|_{H^2}$ , we define

$$T^* = \sup\{t \in (0, T^{\max}) \mid \|u^\delta(t)\|_{H^2} + \|\tilde{u}^\delta(t)\|_{H^2} \leq 2C_1\delta_0\}, \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$T^{**} = \sup\{t \in (0, T^{\max}) \mid \|u^\delta(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\tilde{u}^\delta(t)\|_{L^2} \leq 3C_1\delta F_N(t)\}. \quad (4.4)$$

Note that

$$\|u^\delta(0)\|_{H^2} + \|\tilde{u}^\delta(0)\|_{H^2} \leq 2C_1\delta < 2C_1\delta_0,$$

thus  $T^* > 0$  is well-defined. Similarly, we have  $T^{**} > 0$ .

For any  $t \leq \min\{T^*, T^{**}, T^\delta\}$ , it follows from [10, Proposition 4.1] that (for  $v = u$  or  $\tilde{u}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \|v^\delta(t)\|_{H^2} + \|\partial_t v^\delta(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq C\|v^\delta(0)\|_{H^2} + C \int_0^t \|v^\delta(s)\|_{L^2} ds \\ &\leq C\|v^\delta(0)\|_{H^2} + C\delta \int_0^t F_N(s) ds \\ &\leq C\delta + C\delta \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{|c_j|}{\lambda_j} (e^{\lambda_j t} - 1) \\ &\leq C_2\delta F_N(t). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

## 4.2 The difference function

Still let  $(v, r) = (u, p)$  or  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{p})$ . Denote

$$v^d = v^\delta - \delta v^N, \quad r^d = r^\delta - \delta r^N,$$

that solve the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v^d + \nabla r^d - \mu \Delta v^d = -v^\delta \cdot \nabla v^\delta, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} v^d = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v_2^d = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_+ \cup \Sigma_-, \\ \mu \partial_2 v_1^d = \xi_+ v_1^d & \text{on } \Sigma_+, \\ \mu \partial_2 v_1^d = -\xi_- v_1^d & \text{on } \Sigma_-, \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

with the initial datum  $v^d(0) = 0$ .

Multiplying (4.6)<sub>1</sub> by  $v^d$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |v^d|^2 = \int_{2\pi L\mathbb{T}} (\xi_+ |v_1^1(x_1, 1)|^2 + \xi_- |v_1^1(x, -1)|^2) dx_1 - \mu \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v^d|^2 - \int_{\Omega} (v^\delta \cdot \nabla v^\delta) \cdot v^d.$$

Notice that

$$\int_{\Omega} v^\delta \cdot \nabla v^\delta \cdot v^d \leq \|v^\delta \cdot \nabla v^\delta\|_{L^2} \|v^d\|_{L^2} \leq C \|v^\delta\|_{H^2}^2 \|v^d\|_{L^2},$$

and that (see [10, Proposition 4.2])

$$\int_{2\pi L\mathbb{T}} (\xi_+ |v_1^1(x_1, 1)|^2 + \xi_- |v_1^1(x, -1)|^2) dx_1 - \mu \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v^d|^2 \leq \Lambda \int_{\Omega} |v^d|^2,$$

Combining the previous inequalities gives us that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v^d\|_{L^2} \leq \Lambda \|v^d\|_{L^2} + C \|v^\delta\|_{H^2}^2.$$

Making use of the preceding inequality with (4.5), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v^d\|_{L^2} \leq \Lambda \|v^d\|_{L^2} + C \delta^2 F_{\mathbf{N}}^2(t).$$

Thus, using the condition  $2\lambda_j - \Lambda > 0$ , it follows from the Gronwall inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^d(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq C \delta^2 e^{\Lambda t} \int_0^t e^{-\Lambda s} F_{\mathbf{N}}(2s) ds \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^N \delta^2 e^{\Lambda t} \int_0^t e^{(2\lambda_j - \Lambda)s} ds \\ &\leq C_3 \delta^2 F_{\mathbf{N}}^2(t). \end{aligned}$$

### 4.3 Proof of Theorem 2.2

We are in position to prove the main theorem. Note that (due to (4.1))

$$\varepsilon_0 = \delta \sum_{j=1}^N |\mathbf{c}_j| e^{\lambda_j T^\delta} \leq C_4 \delta |\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{N}}| e^{\lambda_{\mathbf{N}} T^\delta}.$$

Thus, we us show that

$$T^\delta = \min\{T^*, T^{**}, T^\delta\}, \quad \text{provided that } \varepsilon_0 < \min\left\{\frac{C_1\delta_0}{2C_2}, \frac{C_1}{C_3}, \frac{1}{4C_3C_4}\right\}. \quad (4.7)$$

In fact, if  $T^* < T^\delta$ , we have (due to (4.5))

$$\|(u^\delta, \tilde{u}^\delta)(T^\delta)\|_{H^2} \leq 2C_3\delta F_N(T^\delta) = 2C_2\varepsilon_0 < C_1\delta_0.$$

If  $T^{**} < T^\delta$ , we have (due to (4.7))

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u^\delta, \tilde{u}^\delta)(T^\delta)\|_{L^2} &\leq \delta\|(u^N, \tilde{u}^N)(T^\delta)\|_{L^2} + \|(u^d, \tilde{u}^d)(T^\delta)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq 2C_1\delta F_N(T^\delta) + C_3\delta^2 F_N^2(T^\delta) \\ &< 3C_1\delta F_N(T^\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Those inequalities contradict to the definition of  $T^*$  (4.3) and of  $T^{**}$  (4.4). That implies  $T^\delta = \min\{T^*, T^{**}, T^\delta\}$ .

Once we have that  $T^\delta \leq \min\{T^*, T^{**}\}$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^\delta(T^\delta) - \tilde{u}^\delta(T^\delta)\|_{L^2} &\geq \delta\|u^N(T^\delta) - \tilde{u}^N(T^\delta)\|_{L^2} - \|u^d(T^\delta)\|_{L^2} - \|\tilde{u}^d(T^\delta)\|_{L^2} \\ &\geq \delta|\mathbf{c}_N|e^{\lambda_N T^\delta} - 2C_3\delta^2 F_N^2(T^\delta) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{C_4}\varepsilon_0 - 2C_3\varepsilon_0^2 \geq \frac{1}{2C_4}\varepsilon_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Theorem 2.2 thus follows.

## Acknowledgements

## References

- [1] Y. ACHDOU, O. PIRONNEAU, F. VALENTIN, Effective boundary conditions for laminar flow over periodic rough boundaries. *J. Comput. Phys.* **147**, 187–218 (1998). [2](#)
- [2] P. ACEVEDO TAPIA, C. AMROUCHE AND C. CONCA, A. GHOSH, Stokes and Navier–Stokes equations with Navier boundary conditions, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **285** (2021), 258–320. [2](#)
- [3] C. AMROUCHE, A. REJAIBA,  $L^p$ -theory for Stokes and Navier–Stokes equations with Navier boundary condition, *J. Differ. Eqs.* **256** (2014), pp. 1515–1547. [2](#)
- [4] C. AMROUCHE, N.H. SELOULA, On the Stokes equations with the Navier-type boundary conditions, *Differ. Eqs. Appl.* **3**(4), pp. 581–607 (2011) [2](#)
- [5] E. BÄNSCH, Finite element discretization of the Navier–Stokes equations with free capillary surface, *Numer. Math.* **88**, 203–235 (2001) [2](#)

- [6] G. BEAVERS, D. JOSEPH, Boundary conditions at a naturally permeable wall, *J. Fluid Mech.* **30** (1967), pp. 197–207. [2](#)
- [7] S. CHANDRASEKHAR, Hydrodynamics and Hydromagnetic Stability, Oxford University Press, London, 1961. [2](#), [3](#)
- [8] T. CLOPEAU, A. MIKELIC, R. ROBERT, On the vanishing viscosity limit for the 2D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with the friction type boundary conditions, *Nonlinearity* (1998) **11** 1625. [2](#)
- [9] J.-M. CORON, On the controllability of the 2-D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with the Navier slip boundary conditions, *ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations*, Volume 1 (1996), pp. 35–75. [2](#)
- [10] S. DING, Q. LI, Z. XIN, Stability analysis for the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations with Navier boundary conditions, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **20** (2018), pp. 603–629. [2](#), [5](#), [8](#), [9](#), [10](#)
- [11] B. DESJARDINS, E. GRENIER, Linear instability implies nonlinear instability for various types of viscous boundary layers, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **20** (2003), pp. 87–106. [2](#), [3](#), [5](#)
- [12] E. DORMY, D. GERARD-VARET, Diffusion-free boundary conditions for the Navier-Stokes equations, *preprint* arXiv:2506.17749, 2025. [2](#)
- [13] G. M. GIE, J. P. KELLIHER, Boundary layer analysis of the Navier–Stokes equations with generalized Navier boundary conditions, *J. Differ. Eqs.* **253** (2012), pp. 1862–1892. [2](#)
- [14] Y. GUO, W. STRAUSS, Instability of periodic BGK equilibria, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **48** (1995), pp. 861–894. [5](#)
- [15] W. JÄGER, A. MIKELIC, On the interface boundary condition of Beavers, Joseph, and Saffman, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **60**, pp. 1111–1127 (2000) [2](#)
- [16] W. JÄGER, A. MIKELIC, On the roughness-induced effective boundary conditions for an incompressible viscous flow, *J. Differ. Eqs.* **170**, pp. 96–122 (2001). [2](#)
- [17] V. JOHN, Slip with friction and penetration with resistance boundary conditions for the Navier–Stokes equation-numerical test and aspect of the implementation, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **147**, pp. 287–300 (2002). [2](#)
- [18] D. IFTIMIE, F. SUEUR, Viscous boundary layers for the Navier–Stokes equations with the Navier slip conditions, *Arch Rational Mech Anal* **199**, 145–175 (2011). [2](#)
- [19] J. P. KELLIHER, Navier–Stokes equations with Navier boundary conditions for a bounded domain in plane, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **38**(1) (2006), pp. 210–232. [2](#)

- [20] J. KOGANEMARU, I. TICE, Traveling wave solutions to the free boundary incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with Navier boundary conditions, *J. Differential Equations* **411** (2024), pp. 381–437. [2](#)
- [21] O. LAFITTE, T.-T. NGUYEN, Spectral analysis of the incompressible viscous Rayleigh-Taylor system, *Water Waves* **4** (2022), pp. 259–305. [2](#), [4](#)
- [22] F. LI, R. PAN, Z. ZHANG, Stability and instability of the 3D incompressible viscous flow in a bounded domain, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **61**(3):Paper No. 95, 26, 2022. [2](#)
- [23] Y. LIN, R. KERSWELL, Weakening the effect of boundaries: ‘diffusion-free’ boundary conditions as a ‘do least harm’ alternative to Neumann, *Geophysical & Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics*, 1–25. [2](#)
- [24] C. L. NAVIER, Sur les lois de l’équilibre et du mouvement des corps élastiques, *Mem. Acad. R. Sci. Inst. France* (1827), pp. 63–69. [2](#)
- [25] T.-T. NGUYEN, Linear and nonlinear analysis of the viscous Rayleigh-Taylor system with Navier-slip boundary conditions, *Calc. Var. and PDEs*, **63**: 41 (2024). [4](#)
- [26] T. QIAN, X. WANG, P. SHENG, Molecular scale contact line hydrodynamics of immiscible flows, *Phys. Rev. E* **68**, 016306 (2003). [2](#)
- [27] V. SOLONNIKOV, V. SCADILOV, A certain boundary value problem for the stationary system of Navier-Stokes equations. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **125**, pp.196–210 (1973); translation in *PROC. STEKLOV INST. MATH.* **125** (1973), pp. 186–199. [2](#)
- [28] J. SERRIN, *Mathematical principles of classical fluid mechanics*. In: Truesdell, C. (ed.) *Fluid Dynamics I. Encyclopedia of Physics*, pp. 125–263. Springer, Berlin (1959). [2](#)
- [29] R. TEMAM, *Navier–Stokes Equations*, Studies in Mathematics and its Applications 2. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1984) [1](#)
- [30] H. BEIRÃO DA VEIGA, On the regularity of flows with Ladyzhenskaya shear-dependent viscosity and slip or nonslip boundary conditions, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **LVIII** (2005), pp. 552–577. [2](#)