

# QUANTUM INVARIANTS AND FIBEREDNESS

PAUL ORLAND, TOBY SAUNDERS-A'COURT, LARA SAN MARTÍN SUÁREZ,  
AND JOSEF SVOBODA

ABSTRACT. We explore the topological significance of the knot two-variable series  $F_K$ , proposed by Gukov–Manolescu and defined by Park for a class of ‘nice’ knots. We show that the leading coefficient of  $F_K$  is a monomial and express its exponent in terms of the Hopf invariant for all homogeneous braid knots and fibered knots up to 12 crossings. As an application, we deduce an explicit formula for the Hopf invariant in terms of colored Jones polynomials.

For non-fibered strongly quasipositive knots, we study a relation between  $F_K$  and the stability series of the colored Jones function, and explore similarities between  $F_K$  and knot Floer homology. Finally, we propose a slope conjecture for  $F_K$ , relating it to the boundary slopes of the knot.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

What do quantum invariants say about the topology and geometry of manifolds? To name just a few examples, the Jones polynomial [35] helped resolve several questions in knot theory, including the famous Tait conjectures on alternating knots [47, 29, 70]. Its colored version [62] is related to hyperbolic invariants of knots and 3-manifolds, and to boundary slopes of essential surfaces [17]. Khovanov homology [37], a categorification of the Jones polynomial, has led to numerous topological applications, including Piccirillo’s proof that the Conway knot is not slice [60].

The colored Jones polynomials have a 3-manifold analog: the Witten–Reshetikhin–Turaev (WRT) invariants, defined at roots of unity [72, 62]. Ever since Khovanov’s categorification of the Jones polynomial, the quest for a theory categorifying the WRT invariants has been a major open problem in quantum topology. In order to tackle this question, multiple new quantum invariants have appeared recently; among them the Gukov–Putrov–Vafa–Pei (GPPV) invariants [27], which are analytic functions on the unit disc, recovering the WRT invariants as radial limits [46]. GPPV invariants were originally defined for closed negative definite plumbed 3-manifolds. In a search for a more general definition, Gukov and Manolescu in [25] proposed a new knot invariant  $F_K$ , as a knot-theoretic counterpart of GPPV invariants, and defined  $F_K$  for certain plumbed knots. In [59, 58], Park extended the  $F_K$  invariant to certain classes of hyperbolic knots using quantum groups and Verma modules. The key idea is his *inverted state sum*, which uses an extension of the  $R$ -matrix, along with certain additional data on a braid representative of the knot.

The goal of this paper is to demonstrate that  $F_K$  detects interesting topological quantities such as fiberedness, the Hopf invariant of fibered knots, and boundary slopes of essential surfaces, and explore the relations between  $F_K$  and other knot invariants. Our findings suggest that  $F_K$  behaves quite distinctly from the colored Jones polynomial, and in fact, inherits some characteristics similar to knot Floer homology. This suggests that the (hypothetical)

---

*Date:* December 30, 2025.

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA.

Emails: [porland@caltech.edu](mailto:porland@caltech.edu), [tsaunder@caltech.edu](mailto:tsaunder@caltech.edu), [lsanmart@caltech.edu](mailto:lsanmart@caltech.edu), [svo@caltech.edu](mailto:svo@caltech.edu).

categorification of  $F_K$  and GPPV invariants could have interesting properties and lead to new topological applications.

**1.1. GM series and colored Jones function.** In this paper, we consider the quantum invariants associated with the quantum group  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  (of root system  $A_1$ ). The *colored Jones function*  $J_K$  of a knot  $K$  is a sequence of Laurent polynomials  $J_n^K$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . They are defined as the Reshetikhin–Turaev invariants associated to the  $n$ -dimensional representation of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ . Recall two fundamental properties of the colored Jones function  $J_K$ :

- $J_K$  is  $q$ -holonomic, i.e., it satisfies a  $q$ -recurrence relation defined by the *noncommutative A-polynomial*  $\hat{A}$  of the knot  $K$  [19], which is conjecturally given by the quantization of the classical A-polynomial; cf. [15, 24]. More specifically, the sequence  $J_n^K$  is annihilated by an element  $\hat{A}$  of the Weyl algebra  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]\langle Q, E \rangle / EQ - qQE$ , where the operator  $Q$  multiplies  $J_n^K$  by  $q^n$  and the operator  $E$  shifts the index  $n$  by 1.
- $J_K$  admits the Melvin–Morton–Rozansky (MMR) expansion near  $q = 1$ , whose coefficients are rational functions, with denominators given by powers of the Alexander polynomial of the knot  $K$  [44, 6, 63].

The GM series, or  $F_K$  invariant, of a knot  $K$  in  $S^3$  is a formal series of the form

$$F_K(x, q) = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(q) x^{d_K - 1 + n},$$

where  $f_n(q)$  are Laurent power series with integer coefficients, with  $f_0(q) \neq 0$  and  $d_K \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The existence of the  $F_K$  invariant is still conjectural in general. However, it was defined by Park for a large class of knots, called *nice* (see Theorem 2.5), which includes homogeneous braid knots. For nice knots, Park proved that  $F_K$  satisfies the above properties of the colored Jones function  $J_K$ , with important differences:

- The coefficient sequence  $(f_n(q))_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies a  $q$ -recurrence given by the ‘transpose’ of the noncommutative A-polynomial, i.e., by  $\hat{A}$  with  $E$  replaced by  $E^{-1}$ .
- The  $F_K$  admits an expansion at  $q = 1$  whose coefficients are rational functions in  $x$ . This series coincides with the MMR expansion of  $J_K$ , but rational functions are expanded at  $x = 0$ , rather than at  $x = 1$ .

This is as far as the similarities between  $J_K$  and  $F_K$  go. Despite the fact that the  $F_K$  invariant was largely motivated by  $J_K$  and WRT invariants (and GPPV invariants), it seems that the properties of the  $F_K$  invariant are quite different and we are at the beginning of a completely new and rich theory.

**1.2. Main results.** Our first result states that the minimal coefficient  $f_0$  of a nice knot  $K$  is a monomial. More significantly, for a large class of fibered knots—including all homogeneous braid knots [67] and all fibered knots up to 12 crossings—the exponent of  $q$  in  $f_0$  is determined by the genus  $g(K)$  and the *Hopf invariant*  $\lambda(K)$  of the fibered surface.

The Hopf invariant, introduced by Rudolph as ‘enhanced Milnor number’ [64], is computed from the contact structure supported by the fibered surface in  $S^3$ . It detects information beyond classical invariants and, in particular, it can distinguish fibered knots with the same genus and Alexander polynomial. Our result therefore identifies the Hopf invariant as an essential geometric ingredient controlling the leading behavior of  $F_K$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *For a nice knot  $K$ , the coefficient of the leading term of  $F_K$  is a monomial in  $q$ . In other words, we can write*

$$(1.1) \quad F_K = x^{\frac{1}{2}} (q^{\ell(K)} x^{d_K - 1} + \text{higher order terms in } x),$$

where  $\ell(K)$  is an integer-valued invariant of the knot  $K$ . For a homogeneous braid knot, or a fibered knot of at most 12 crossings,

$$(1.2) \quad \ell(K) = g(K) - \lambda(K).$$

This result was motivated by a recent theorem of López Neumann and van der Veen in [42], which showed that Hopf invariant appears in the top coefficient of the Akutsu–Deguchi–Ohtsuki (ADO) polynomials [2, 45] (see Theorem 4.1). At the same time, ADO polynomials are conjectured to be the radial limits of the  $F_K$  series at roots of unity [28] (see Theorem 4.2).

Habiro in [30] proved the existence of the ‘cyclotomic expansion’ of the colored Jones function:

$$(1.3) \quad J_K(y, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^K(q) \prod_{i=1}^n (y + 2 - q^i - q^{-i})$$

with  $a_n^K(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ . Colored Jones polynomials are obtained as specializations of the form  $J_K(q^n, q)$ . Similarly, the sequence of *Habiro coefficients*  $a_n^K(q)$  is uniquely determined by the sequence of colored Jones polynomials. From Theorem 1.1, together with the relation between the expansions of  $J_K$  and  $F_K$  at  $q = 1$  (Fig. 5), we find an explicit formula for the Hopf invariant in terms of the Alexander polynomial and Habiro coefficients, or, equivalently, colored Jones polynomials:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $K$  be a homogeneous braid knot or a fibered knot of at most 12 crossings. Then,*

$$(1.4) \quad \lambda(K) = g(K) - \sum_{i=0}^{2g(K)-1} \frac{1}{i!} \frac{\partial^i \Delta_K^3(y)}{\partial y^i} \Big|_{y=0} \frac{\partial a_{2g(K)-i}^K(q)}{\partial q} \Big|_{q=1}.$$

For example, the Hopf invariant of the knot  $8_{10}$  is 4 and its genus is 3, while the sum (1.4) gives

$$4 = 3 - (2030 - 8505 + 14076 - 11508 + 4662 - 756).$$

This result is perhaps surprising. Indeed, López Neumann and van der Veen in [42] write:

*[Their Theorem 1] is the first theorem relating quantum link invariants to fiberedness. No relation seems to be known for the ‘semisimple’ link polynomials, such as colored Jones (...).*

Our work shows that the distinction between the semisimple and the nonsemisimple quantum invariants becomes less important when they are all connected via the new quantum invariant  $F_K$ . Naturally, we conjecture the following:


**Conjecture 1.3.** *The formulas (1.2) and (1.4) hold for all fibered knots.*

A knot  $K$  is nice if it admits a braid representative equipped with some additional decoration, called *inversion datum*. Roughly, an inversion datum is a choice of a multicycle in the braid allowing certain jumps at each crossing, as in Fig. 1. (see Section 2.1 for definitions). We performed an extensive computer search and found braids with inversion data for all 1,246 fibered knots up to 12 crossings, extending Park’s previous result for knots up to 10 crossings.

**Theorem 1.4.** *All fibered knots up to 12 crossings are nice.*

This theorem provides evidence to the ‘if’ part of the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.5.** *A knot  $K$  is nice if and only if it is fibered.*

FIGURE 1. Inversion datum on a braid representative of  $12n_{423}$ .

For the ‘only if’ part, we note that no inversion data have been found for non-fibered knots. We expect that the  $F_K$  of non-fibered knots is an infinite series in both  $x$  and  $q$  with integer coefficients [26, 27, 59]. However, for a knot to be nice, each  $x$ -coefficient of  $F_K$  must be a Laurent polynomial in  $q$ . For example, the knot  $K = 12n_{801}$  is ‘close’ to being fibered, in the sense that its Alexander polynomial is monic with degree equal to the genus of  $K$ ; but the first coefficient of (a natural candidate for) its  $F_K$  series is infinite:

$$(1.5) \quad q^{-2}(1 + 2q + q^2 + q^3 + 2q^4 + 2q^5 + 2q^6 + 2q^7 + q^8 + 3q^9 + \cdots)$$

**1.3. Non-fibered knots.** Park constructed the  $F_K$  series for a family of twist knots, as well as a handful of other examples, using a ‘stratified’ state sum. We extend the variety of examples and demonstrate two interesting phenomena. The first is a relation of the  $F_K$  series with the *stability series* of the colored Jones function. While this relation was previously noted by Gukov and Manolescu for negative torus knots, we check that it is valid in greater generality.

A reader who is not comfortable with the (sometimes ill-defined) state sum may take the stability series as a temporary definition of  $F_K$  for strongly quasinegative knots, with the caveat that the overall  $q$ -degree is shifted by  $-g(K)$ . This obviously requires that this stability series exists (for general knots, this is not always the case [38]).

**Conjecture 1.6.** *Let  $K$  be a strongly quasinegative knot. Then the colored Jones function admits a stability series.*

If we accept the stability series as a natural candidate for the  $F_K$  invariant of strongly quasinegative knots, we find examples of knots for which  $F_K$  no longer recovers the MMR expansion, with an example being (1.5). In physics terminology, it seems possible that this is the point where the  $F_K$  invariant captures truly non-perturbative effects, and becomes too distinct from perturbative Chern–Simons theory.

**1.4. Relation to knot Floer homology.** The knot Floer homology  $\widehat{HFK}(K)$  of a knot  $K$  is a doubly graded homology theory categorifying the Alexander polynomial [52, 61]. It decomposes as

$$\widehat{HFK}(K) = \bigoplus_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{HFK}_m(K, n),$$

where  $n$  denotes the *Alexander grading* and  $m$  is the *Maslov (homological) grading*.

Knot Floer homology provides the concordance invariant  $\tau(K)$ , which bounds the smooth 4-ball genus of the knot  $K$  [54].

Knot Floer homology detects the genus of the knot  $g(K) = g$  [55]:

$$(1.6) \quad \max \{a \mid \widehat{HFK}(K, a) \neq 0\} = g.$$

Most notably, knot Floer homology also detects fiberedness [21, 48]:

$$(1.7) \quad \dim \widehat{HFK}(K, g) = 1 \iff K \text{ is fibered.}$$

In this case, the Maslov grading gives the Hopf invariant  $\lambda(K) = \lambda$  [51]:

$$(1.8) \quad \widehat{HFK}_m(K, g) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } m = \lambda, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For homogeneous braid knots and the first thousand fibered knots, Theorem 1.1 tells us that  $\ell$  appears as a (shifted) Maslov grading in knot Floer homology. Motivated to find a connection that may hold in more generality, we studied the GM series and the knot Floer homology of non-fibered strongly quasipositive knots.

The knot Floer homology of strongly quasipositive knots has received a lot of attention because of its relation to lens space surgeries ( $L$ -space knots are fibered and strongly quasipositive) [48, 56, 31, 53, 4]. Livingston computed the  $\tau$  invariant of strongly quasipositive knots in [39]. For the mirrors of these knots, the  $F_K$  invariant has as the minimal  $q$ -exponent of its leading coefficient  $-g$ . Based on the relation between  $\ell$  and knot Floer homology for nice knots and observation on the knot Floer homology of strongly quasipositive knots, we make the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.7.** *Let  $K$  be a strongly quasipositive knot with genus  $g$ . Then the minimal Maslov grading of the top Alexander piece of  $\widehat{HFK}$  is zero:*

$$(1.9) \quad \min \{m \mid \widehat{HFK}_m(K, g) \neq 0\} = 0.$$

We verified the conjecture for all 22,009 non-alternating strongly quasipositive knots with at most 16 crossing, tabulated by Stoimenow [68]. Standard results on knot Floer homology [50, 51] show that the conjecture holds for fibered or alternating strongly quasipositive knots.

As a consequence, we expect that the following holds for either fibered or strongly quasinegative knots:

$$(1.10) \quad \ell = g - \lambda = g - \min \{m \mid \widehat{HFK}_m(K, g) \neq 0\}$$

In particular, using knot Floer homology, we are able to provide a potential explanation for the general behaviour of  $\ell$ .

Interestingly, the  $F_K$  invariant appears to be sensitive to more than just the minimal Maslov grading in knot Floer homology. In particular, for strongly quasinegative knots, we observed the following pattern: Whenever the Maslov support of  $\widehat{HFK}(K, g)$  is 1-dimensional,  $F_K$  (or the stability series) recovers the MMR expansion. However, if  $\widehat{HFK}(K, g)$  is supported in more than one Maslov grading, we may still take  $F_K$  to be the stability series, but it fails to recover the MMR expansion. Equivalently, the obstruction for recovering the MMR expansion arises precisely when the top coefficient of the Alexander polynomial is realized in knot Floer homology after cancellations between different Maslov gradings. For a more detailed discussion and explicit examples, we refer the reader to Section 7.

**1.5. Slopes.** In [17], Garoufalidis proposed a conjecture relating the growth of minimal  $q$ -powers in the colored Jones function to boundary slopes of essential surfaces in the knot complement. It is natural to expect that a similar statement holds for the  $F_K$  invariant.

As the coefficient sequence  $f_n(q)$  of  $F_K(x, q)$  is  $q$ -holonomic, the sequence of minimal  $q$ -degrees of  $f_n(q)$  is a quadratic quasi-polynomial for large enough  $n$  [16]:

$$(1.11) \quad \deg_q(f_n) = a(n)n^2 + b(n) + c(n) \text{ for } n \gg 0,$$

where  $a(n)$ ,  $b(n)$  and  $c(n)$  are periodic functions. Consider the finite collection of the  $F_K$ -slopes of the knot  $K$ :

$$(1.12) \quad \text{fs}(K) := \left\{ \frac{1}{a(n)} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Let  $\text{bs}(K)$  be the collection of boundary slopes of essential surfaces in  $S^3 \setminus K$ .

**Conjecture 1.8** (Slope conjecture for  $F_K$ ).  *$F_K$ -slopes are boundary slopes.*

$$\text{fs}(K) \subset \text{bs}(K).$$

As in the case of the colored Jones function, we have observed that the leading coefficient  $a(n)$  is a constant, so that each knot determines a single slope. One may likewise study the linear terms and the common period of  $b(n)$  and  $c(n)$ . On the other hand, in contrast with the Jones slopes,  $a(n)$  is mostly fractional for small knots, and therefore the period is usually larger than 1. Moreover, the  $F_K$  slopes appear to be very different than the Jones slopes. As for the Jones slopes, the following problem is quite mysterious, even for alternating knots:

**Problem 1.9.** Understand the *Selection principle* which select the  $F_K$  slopes from the set of all boundary slopes.

We collect the slopes for fibered Montesinos knots up to 10 crossings in Section C.

**1.6. Conventions.** Let  $q$  be an indeterminate. For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ , we define

$$\{n\} = q^{\frac{n}{2}} - q^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \quad [n] = \frac{\{n\}}{\{1\}}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[n] \cdots [n-k+1]}{[k] \cdots [1]}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = q^{\frac{(n-k)k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}.$$

We also use the  $q$ -Pochhammer symbol  $(x; q)_b = (1-x)(1-qx) \cdots (1-q^{b-1}x)$ , in terms of which we have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q^n; q^{-1})_k}{(q; q)_k}.$$

Throughout the paper, let  $x$  and  $y$  be two indeterminates related by

$$y = x + x^{-1} - 2.$$

Let  $\Delta_K(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x + x^{-1}]$  be the (symmetrized) Alexander polynomial of a knot  $K$ . We abuse notation and write  $\Delta_K(y)$  for the same polynomial  $\Delta_K(x)$  expressed in terms of the variable  $y$ . In other words,  $\Delta_K(y)$  is the Alexander–Conway polynomial evaluated at  $y^{1/2}$ . For example, the Alexander polynomial of trefoil knot is

$$\Delta_{3_1}(x) = x - 1 + x^{-1}$$

and we have  $\Delta_{3_1}(y) = -1 + y$ . We adopt similar convention for other polynomials that show the symmetry  $x \leftrightarrow x^{-1}$ .

Given a series  $f \in A((x^{1/2}))$ , where  $A$  is any coefficient ring, we denote by  $\text{lt}(f)$  the leading term of  $f$ , which is the monomial with minimal  $x$ -power. The *degree* of  $f$ , denoted by  $\deg_x(f)$ , is the minimal  $x$ -power. For example,  $\text{lt}((1+q^2)x^{-1} + 1 + qx^{1/2}) = (1+q^2)x^{-1}$  and  $\deg_x(\Delta_{3_1}(x)) = -1$ . We apply this in particular to polynomials  $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x + x^{-1}]$ , so that  $P(x) \in x^{\deg_x(P(x))}\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . The degree  $\deg_x$  defines a valuation on the ring  $\mathbb{Q}(q)((x))$  of Laurent series whose coefficients are rational functions of  $q$ , as well as on  $\mathbb{Q}(x, q)$  via the embedding

$$\mathbb{Q}(x, q) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}(q)((x))$$

given by the Laurent expansion at  $x = 0$ .




FIGURE 2. The figure-eight knot  $4_1$  represented as a closure of the braid  $\beta = \sigma_2 \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_2 \sigma_1^{-1}$ .

For  $n \geq 0$ , we denote by  $J_n^K(q)$  the  $n$ -th colored Jones polynomial of  $K$ , normalized so that  $J_n^U(q) = [n+1]$ . We follow the conventions of [25, 30]—the variable  $q$  is  $A^4$  where  $A$  is the Kauffman bracket variable. It is opposite to the convention of most knot theory textbooks and Khovanov homology literature, where  $q = A^{-4}$ . We also denote by  $\tilde{J}_n^K(q)$  the unnormalized  $n$ -th colored Jones polynomial  $J_n^K(q)/[n+1]$ , such that  $\tilde{J}_n^U(q) = 1$ . For right-handed (positive) trefoil  $3_1^r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1^{3_1^r}(q) &= q^{-\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{3}{2}} + q^{-\frac{5}{2}} - q^{-\frac{9}{2}} \\ &= (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}})(q^{-1} + q^{-3} - q^{-4}) = [2] \tilde{J}_1^{3_1^r}(q). \end{aligned}$$

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank Dror Bar-Natan, Sergei Gukov and Sunghyuk Park for useful discussions and Davide Passaro for his help with computer calculations. Josef Svoboda was supported by the Simons Collaboration grant New Structures in Low-Dimensional Topology. Lara San Martín Suárez received the support of a fellowship from “la Caixa” Foundation (ID 100010434), with fellowship code LCF/BQ/EU23/12010094.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Inverted state sum.** We recall the inverted state sum and the definition of the  $F_K$  invariant from [58]. Let  $B_n$  be the braid group on  $n$  strands and denote by  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$  its usual (Artin) generators. Every knot  $K$  can be represented as a closure of some braid  $\beta$ , as depicted in Fig. 2. For the rest of this section, we fix a braid  $\beta$  which represents a knot  $K$ .

From a braid  $\beta$  we define a pair of sets  $(V_\beta, E_\beta)$ , where  $V_\beta$  is the set of crossings in  $\beta$  and  $E_\beta$  the set of segments connecting the crossings. By “closing the braid” we identify the very top segments with the very bottom (Fig. 4), such that every segment in  $E_\beta$  is associated to exactly two crossings in  $V_\beta$ . In Fig. 3 we represent an element of  $V_\beta$  as a vertex and the associated segments in  $E_\beta$  as directed edges.

### 2.1.1. Inversion data.






FIGURE 3. Every crossing  $c \in V_\beta$  is connected to four adjacent segments in  $E_\beta$ , denoted by  $e_{BR}$ ,  $e_{BL}$ ,  $e_{TR}$  and  $e_{TL}$  (bottom/top, right/left). The components of a state at a crossing  $c$  are denoted by  $i, j, i', j'$ .

**Definition 2.1.** An *inversion datum*  $\iota$  on a braid diagram  $\beta$  is an assignment of a  $+$  or  $-$  sign to each segment of  $\beta$ :

$$\iota : E_\beta \rightarrow \{+, -\}.$$

It must satisfy the following conditions: At each crossing, the allowed signs in the segments adjacent to the crossing (the images  $\iota(e_{BR})$ ,  $\iota(e_{BL})$ ,  $\iota(e_{TR})$  and  $\iota(e_{TL})$  as in Fig. 3) are

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{cccccc} -+ & +- & -- & -+ & ++ \\ +- & -+ & -- & -+ & ++ \end{array} & \text{for a positive crossing,} \\ \begin{array}{cccccc} -+ & +- & -- & +- & ++ \\ +- & -+ & -- & +- & ++ \end{array} & \text{for a negative crossing.} \end{array}$$

In particular, at every crossing the number of incoming and outgoing adjacent segments with a  $-$  sign coincides.

In formulas involving  $\iota$ , we identify  $+$  with  $+1$  and  $-$  with  $-1$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A *state*  $s$  is an assignment of an integer to each segment of the braid

$$s : E_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

which satisfies the conservation property  $s(e_{BL}) + s(e_{BR}) = s(e_{TL}) + s(e_{TR})$  at every crossing.

For a state  $s$  and a crossing  $c$ , it is convenient to denote the values of a state  $s$  on the adjacent edges by  $i, i', j, j'$  as in Fig. 3 (bottom left, top left, bottom right and top right segments). The dependence on the state  $s$  is implicit.

**2.1.2. *R-matrices.*** Denote by  $\text{sgn}(c) \in \{1, -1\}$  the sign of the crossing  $c$  (positive or negative). For a given crossing  $c \in V_\beta$ , an inversion datum  $\iota$ , and a state  $s$ , Park associated a rational function, the *extended R-matrix*  $R^{\text{sgn}(c)}$ , dependent on the values of  $s$  on the adjacent edges to  $c$  (see Table 1). We consider  $F_K$  as a power series in  $x$ , as opposed to  $x^{-1}$ , which leads to the opposite signs in the  $x$ -exponent compared to [58, eq. 2].

**2.1.3. *Valid states.***

**Definition 2.3.** Given an inversion datum  $\iota$ , we say that a state  $s$  is *valid* if

- (1) the sign of each  $s(e)$  coincides with  $\iota(e)$  for every  $e \in E_\beta$ , with the convention that the sign of 0 is  $+$ ,
- (2) there is no crossing  $c \in V_\beta$  at which  $R^{\text{sgn}(c)}(s) = 0$ .

We denote by  $\Omega(\iota)$  the set of all valid states.

Of particular importance is the unique valid state only consisting of 0 and  $-1$ , which we call the *ground state* and denote by  $s_0$ . Explicitly, for any  $e \in E_\beta$ , we have

$$(2.2) \quad s_0(e) := \frac{-1 + \iota(e)}{2} \in \{0, -1\}.$$

Clearly, for any valid state  $s \in \Omega(\iota)$ ,  $|s(e)| \geq |s_0(e)|$  for every  $e \in E_\beta$ .



extended $R$ -matrix	condition	inv. datum
$R^{+1}(s) = \delta_{i+j}^{i'+j'} q^{jj'} (qx)^{\frac{j+j'+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ i-j' \end{bmatrix}_q (q^{j+1}x; q)_{i-j'}$	$i \geq j' \geq 0$ $0 > i \geq j'$	$++$ , $-+$ $++$ , $+-$ $--$ , $+-$ $--$ , $+-$
$R^{+1}(s) = \delta_{i+j}^{i'+j'} q^{jj'} (qx)^{\frac{j+j'+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j' \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{1}{(q^jx; q^{-1})_{j'-i}}$	$j' \geq 0 > i$	$-+$ $-+$
$R^{-1}(s) = \delta_{i+j}^{i'+j'} q^{-ii'} (qx)^{-\frac{i+i'+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ j-i' \end{bmatrix}_{q^{-1}} (q^{-i-1}x^{-1}; q^{-1})_{j-i'}$	$j \geq i' \geq 0$ $0 > j \geq i'$	$++$ , $+-$ $++$ , $-+$ $--$ , $-+$ $--$ , $+-$
$R^{-1}(s) = \delta_{i+j}^{i'+j'} q^{-ii'} (qx)^{-\frac{i+i'+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i' \end{bmatrix}_{q^{-1}} \frac{1}{(q^{-i}x^{-1}; q)_{i'-j}}$	$i' \geq 0 > j$	$+-$ $+-$

TABLE 1. The formula for extended  $R$ -matrices depending on the value of the indices  $i, j, i', j'$ , also denoted by  $(R^{\pm 1})_{i,j}^{i',j'}$ . The  $R$ -matrix is zero if none of the conditions is satisfied.

2.1.4. *State sum.* The product of the extended  $R$ -matrices over  $V_\beta$  associates to any valid state  $s \in \Omega(\iota)$  a rational function of  $x$  and  $q$ :

$$(2.3) \quad P: \Omega(\iota) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(x, q).$$

defined via

$$(2.4) \quad P(s) = \prod_{j=2}^n x^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{-\frac{1}{2}-s(b_j)} \prod_{c \in V_\beta} R^{\text{sgn}(c)}(s).$$

In the first factor of (2.4),  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  denote the segments of the braid that are associated to the very bottom (and very top) segments of  $\beta$ , as in Fig. 4. This factor appears when taking the quantum trace over the closed strands, and the product of extended  $R$ -matrices corresponds to the matrix elements of the map we are tracing over. As is customary in state sum models over braid presentations, we leave the leftmost strand open (see Fig. 4), and for this reason the first product starts at  $j = 2$ .

To each inversion datum one can associate an integer  $\mathbf{s}$ ; see Theorem 2.7 or [58, Thm. 2] for its definition.

**Definition 2.4** ([57]). Given an inversion datum  $\iota$ , the *inverted state sum* is the sum

$$(2.5) \quad Z^{\text{inv}}(\beta) = (-1)^{\mathbf{s}} \sum_{s \in \Omega(\iota)} P(s),$$

where each term in the sum is understood as the Laurent expansion of the rational function  $P(s)$  at  $x = 0$ .

The minimal  $x$ -degrees of the extended  $R$ -matrices are

$$(2.6) \quad \deg_x((R^{\pm 1})_{i,j}^{i',j'}) = \pm \frac{j + j' + 1}{2}$$




FIGURE 4. (a) The segments  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  at the bottom (and top) of the braid diagram  $\beta$ . (b) Inversion datum associated to a braid. (c) Each inversion datum can be encoded as an oriented simple multicycle in the braid (in green), allowing jumps from the top to the bottom strands.

Therefore, the minimal  $x$ -degree of  $P(s)$  is the sum

$$(2.7) \quad \deg_x(P(s)) = -\frac{n-1}{2} + \sum_{c \in V_\beta} \text{sgn}(c) \frac{j + j' + 1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We remark that  $\deg_x(P(s))$  can be thought as an affine function in the variables  $s(e)$  for  $e \in E_\beta$ .

Based on degree considerations, Park defined a class of knots for which  $Z^{\text{inv}}(\beta)$  gives a well-defined element of  $\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][[x]]$ , independently of the order of summation.

**Definition 2.5.** A knot  $K$  is *nice* if there is a braid representative  $\beta$  and an inversion datum  $\iota$ , such that the following are satisfied:

- (1) The minimal  $x$ -degrees of all valid states are nonnegative:

$$\deg_x(P(s)) \geq 0, \quad s \in \Omega(\iota).$$

- (2) Only finitely many states contribute to a given  $x$ -degree in the inverted state sum<sup>1</sup>. In other words, for every  $M \geq 0$ , we have that

$$|\{s \in \Omega(\iota) \mid \deg_x(P(s)) \leq M\}| < \infty.$$

For a nice knot  $K$ , the  $F_K$  invariant is defined as

$$(2.8) \quad F_K(x, q) := (x^{1/2} - x^{-1/2}) Z^{\text{inv}}(\beta)$$

We also write  $\tilde{F}_K(x, q) := Z^{\text{inv}}(\beta)$  (the ‘unnormalized’  $F_K$  invariant). The normalization of  $F_K$  is useful in surgery formulas [25], while  $\tilde{F}_K$  is best suitable for the comparison with the Melvin–Morton–Rozansky expansion (see Section 5).

<sup>1</sup>Park calls the inverted state sum ‘absolute convergent’ in this case.

$\times$		$--$	$+- -+$	$-+ ++$	$\times$		$++$	$+- -+$	$+- --$
		$--$	$-+,+-$	$-+,++$			$++$	$-+,+-$	$+-,--$
$R(s_0)$		$q^{\frac{1}{2}}x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$	1	$q^{\frac{1}{2}}x^{\frac{1}{2}}$	$R^{-1}(s_0)$		$q^{-\frac{1}{2}}x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$	1	$q^{-\frac{1}{2}}x^{\frac{1}{2}}$

TABLE 2.  $R^{\text{sgn}(c)}$  evaluated at the state  $s_0$ , in terms of the value of  $\iota$  at a crossing  $c$ .

*Remark 2.6.* Definition 2.5 extends to the case of links as well. In this paper, we only consider knots for simplicity.

*Remark 2.7.* Every inversion datum can be interpreted as an oriented, simple multicycle in a braid, where at each crossing we allow jumps from the incoming top segment to the outgoing bottom segment (see Figs. 1 and 4 for examples and [58] for further details). From this point of view, the integer  $\mathbf{s}$  in (2.5) is the number of closed components of the associated multicycle. This perspective explains why the signs  $-\frac{+}{+}$  are allowed whereas  $\frac{+-}{+-}$  are not in a positive crossing, and it plays a key role in Park's proof of Theorem 5.1.

### 3. THE LEADING TERM

**3.1. The leading term and the ground state.** We write the  $F_K$  series in the form

$$(3.1) \quad F_K(x, q) = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( f_0(q)x^{d_K-1} + f_1(q)x^{d_K} + \cdots \right), \quad f_0(q) \neq 0.$$

By the *leading term* of  $F_K$ , we mean the term  $f_0(q)x^{d_K-\frac{1}{2}}$  associated with the smallest  $x$ -degree  $d_K - \frac{1}{2}$ , where  $d_K \in \mathbb{N}$  is a nonzero integer. The following proposition describes the states which contribute to it:

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $K$  be a nice knot with a choice of braid diagram  $\beta$  and inversion datum  $\iota$ . Then, the ground state is the only state contributing to the leading term of the inverted state sum.*

The proof of this proposition involves applying techniques of linear optimization theory and is deferred to Section A. As a consequence, we get the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.2.** *For a nice knot  $K$ , the coefficient of the leading term of  $F_K$  is a monomial in  $q$ . In other words, we can write*

$$F_K = x^{\frac{1}{2}}(q^{\ell(K)}x^{d_K-1} + \text{higher order terms in } x),$$

where  $\ell(K)$  is an integer-valued invariant of the knot  $K$ .

**Corollary 3.3.**  $d_K$  is the half-degree of the Alexander polynomial.

*Proof.* Follows from the previous theorem and Theorem 5.1. See Section 5 for the specific details.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* By Theorem 3.1, the ground state  $s_0 \in \Omega(\iota)$  is the unique state contributing to the leading term of the state sum. Moreover, for every crossing  $c$ ,  $R^{\text{sgn}(c)}(s_0)$  is a monomial in  $q$  and  $x$  (see Tables 1 and 2). Therefore,  $P(s_0)$  is a monomial in  $q$  and  $x$ , and so is  $F_K$ .  $\square$

**3.2. Formula for the leading coefficient.** Define  $r_q^{\text{sgn}(c),\iota} \in \{\pm\frac{1}{2}\}$  as the  $q$ -exponents summarized in Table 2, which depend on the value of  $\iota$  on two out of the four segments adjacent to a crossing  $c$ :

$$(3.2) \quad r_q^{\text{sgn}(c),\iota} := \text{sgn}(c) \frac{1 + \iota(e_{BR})\iota(e_{TR})}{4} \in \left\{\pm\frac{1}{2}\right\}.$$

Then, with the notation of Section 2.1, we can express  $\ell(K)$  in terms of  $\beta$  and  $\iota$  as

$$(3.3) \quad \ell(K) = -\sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\iota(b_j)}{2} + \sum_{c \in V_\beta} r_q^{\text{sgn}(c),\iota}$$

Here we used (2.2) to write

$$\sum_{j=2}^n \left(-\frac{1}{2} - s_0(b_j)\right) = -\sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\iota(b_j)}{2}$$

The formula (3.3) simplifies in the case of homogeneous braid knots. Recall that a braid  $\beta \in B_n$  is *homogeneous* if it is given by a word in  $\{\sigma_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}^{\varepsilon_{n-1}}\}$  for fixed values of  $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . A *homogeneous braid knot* is a knot  $K$  that admits a homogeneous braid representative. The *writhe* of  $\beta$  is  $w(\beta) = n_+(\beta) - n_-(\beta)$ , the difference between the number of positive and negative crossings in  $\beta$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $K$  be a knot represented by a homogeneous braid  $\beta \in B_n$ . Then,*

$$\ell(K) = \frac{w(\beta)}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{2}.$$

*Proof.* A homogeneous braid  $\beta$  admits a natural inversion datum  $\iota$ , given as follows [58]:

- (1)  $\iota(e_{BR}) = \iota(e_{TR}) = \text{sgn}(c)$  for both edges adjacent to a crossing  $c$  on the right.
- (2)  $\iota$  takes a constant value on the edges adjacent on the left to all crossings corresponding to  $\sigma_1$  ('leftmost column'),  $e_{TL}$  and  $e_{BL}$ . Here there are two choices, depending on whether we assign  $+$  or  $-$  sign to the leftmost column.

For this inversion datum, the signs on the segments around a positive crossing are either  $++$  or  $-+$ , and both contribute  $+\frac{1}{2}$  to the  $q$ -exponent. For a negative crossing, the signs are  $+-$  or  $--$ , and contribute  $-\frac{1}{2}$  (see Table 2). The formula (3.3) takes the form:

$$\ell(K) = -\sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\iota(b_j)}{2} + \sum_{c \in V_\beta} r_q^{\text{sgn}(c),\iota} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{2} + \sum_{c \in V_\beta} \frac{\text{sgn}(c)}{2} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{2} + \frac{w(\beta)}{2}. \quad \square$$

**Remark 3.5.** For homogeneous braid knots,  $\ell(K) = \frac{s(K)}{2} = \tau(K)$ , where  $s$  denotes the Rasmussen's  $s$ -invariant and  $\tau$  denotes the Ozsváth–Szabó's  $\tau$ -invariant [41, 36]. This is not true for general fibered knots. In particular,  $\ell$  is not a concordance invariant, since  $|\ell(10_{153})| = 1$  for the slice knot  $10_{153}$ .

**Example 3.6.** The  $F_K$  series of the figure-eight knot  $4_1$ , with braid representative  $\beta = \sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}$ , is

$$F_{4_1}(x, q) = x^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + 2x + (q^{-1} + 3 + q)x^2 + x^3(2q^{-2} + 2q^{-1} + 5 + 2q + 2q^2) + \dots)$$

In this case  $d_{4_1} = 1$ . We have  $w(\beta) = 0, \varepsilon_1 = 1, \varepsilon_2 = -1$ , hence  $\ell(4_1) = 0$ .

## 4. NICE AND FIBERED KNOTS

**4.1. Inversion data of fibered knots.** From the relation between  $F_K$  and the Alexander polynomial (Fig. 5 or, more explicitly, Theorem 5.1), it immediately follows that the Alexander polynomial of a nice knot (Theorem 2.5) must be monic, just as is the case for fibered knots. It is therefore natural to compare these two families. Park demonstrated that every fibered knot with at most 10 crossings is nice. Here we explain, how we obtained Theorem 1.4 extending Park’s result to fibered knots up to 12 crossings.

This proof was established by a laborious, computer-assisted search. For many knots, their tabulated braid representations do not admit inversion data, so the main challenge for us was to enumerate a variety of new braid representations to test. We focused on obtaining minimal length braid representatives, given that state sum models grow exponentially with the number of crossings.

Our starting point was the list of the 1,246 fibered knots up to 12 crossings, along with one of their minimal length braid representatives, in the KnotInfo database [40]. For each braid of length  $n$ , there are  $2n$  segments and thus  $2^{2n}$  ways to label its segments with a  $+$  or  $-$  sign. We tested the subset of these labelings for which the  $R$ -matrices were non-zero and satisfy the criteria of Table 1 at each crossing. These are in 1-to-1 correspondence with the number of simple multicycles in the braid, when we allow jumps from the overstrand to the understrand (Theorem 2.7). Given these constraints, for each braid of length  $n$  there are  $2^n$  ways to label its segments. An exhaustive test of segment labelings for each braid in KnotInfo revealed that some of them admitted an inversion datum, but many did not.

For the braids in KnotInfo that do not admit an inversion datum, we used braid moves (braid group relations and Markov moves) to search for different braid presentations. Our most successful search strategy was to start with a known braid and carry out random sequences of braid moves. Each resulting terminal braid in the sequence was normalized by performing obvious reductions and conjugating to the lexicographically smallest braid word. By iterating this search from new braids, we found an expanding list of minimal length braids for a given knot, and the normalization ensured that each new braid we found was sufficiently distant in the search space from our existing list to avoid redundancy. For fibered knots up to 12 crossings, this approach typically yielded a minimal length braid with an inversion datum after at most  $10^6$  iterations, each consisting of  $10^3$  random braid moves.

A handful of knots were resistant to this approach, including  $12n_{423}$ , which appears to have a minimum length braid with 14 crossings. To find more braid representatives of  $12n_{423}$ , we created an exhaustive list of braids of length 14, such that any braid of length 14 would normalize to a braid in our list, a mirror image of a braid in our list, or a shorter braid. Among our  $\sim 10^9$  braids of length 14, we computed with SnapPy [12] that  $\sim 10^3$  of them matched  $12n_{423}$  on several invariants, including  $\widehat{HFK}$  and the Jones polynomial. SnapPy’s manifold identification tool recognized a few hundred of these braids as  $12n_{423}$  or its mirror, among which we found multiple braids admitting inversion data (an example shown in Fig. 1).

While our search for inversion data involved lots of experimentation and ad-hoc tuning of search parameters, the results were straightforward to test. Our resulting data set is a list of a braid and an inversion datum for each fibered knot up to 12 crossings, and these are verifiable with a separate computation that takes only a few minutes.

Further, every fibered knot up to 12 crossings has a braid which does *not* admit an inversion datum. It appears that such braids can be obtained in a systematic way, as follows. For each knot  $K$  and braid representative  $\beta$  admitting an inversion datum in our data set, we found a modified braid  $\beta'$  of the same knot  $K$  with no inversion datum. The modified braid  $\beta'$  is

obtained by two stabilizations (Reidemeister I twists) followed by adding a canceling pair of the last generator and its inverse. In other words,  $\beta'$  is a connected sum of  $\beta$  with an unknot, represented by  $\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_2\sigma_2^{-1}$ .

Finally, we have not found any braid admitting more than two inversion data (up to flipping the value of  $\iota$  on the open strand).

The braids with inversion data are attached in a `.tsv` file. The signs of the inversion data are ordered by following the braid from the bottom end of the open strand to its top end.

**4.2. Relation to the Hopf invariant.** Recently, López Neumann and van der Veen in [42] studied the nonsemisimple quantum invariants of Akutsu–Deguchi–Ohtsuki (ADO) and found that the Hopf invariant appears in the top coefficient of the ADO polynomials for fibered links. We formulate their main theorem for knots for simplicity:

**Theorem 4.1** ([42, Thm. 1]). *Let  $K \subset S^3$  be a fibered knot. Then, for any  $p \geq 2$ , the associated ADO polynomial  $N_p(K, x)$  has degree  $2g(K)(p-1)$  and its top coefficient is given by*

$$(4.1) \quad (\zeta_p)^{g(K)-\lambda(K)},$$

where  $\zeta_p = e^{2i\pi/p}$  and  $\lambda(K)$  is the Hopf invariant of  $K$ .

On the other hand, the polynomials  $N_p(K, x)$  conjecturally arise as radial limits  $q \nearrow \zeta_p$  of the  $F_K$  series. We formulate the conjecture for nice knots, as some examples suggest that it does not hold in general (see Section 6.5):

**Conjecture 4.2** ([28, Conj. 3]). *For a nice knot  $K$ ,*

$$\left. \frac{F_K(x, q)}{x^{1/2} - x^{-1/2}} \right|_{q=\zeta_p} = \frac{N_p(K, x)}{\Delta_K(x^p)}.$$

As a direct consequence of these results and Theorem 3.2, we formulate the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 4.3.** *Let  $K$  be a fibered knot. Then,  $K$  is nice and*

$$(4.2) \quad \ell(K) = g(K) - \lambda(K),$$

where  $\lambda(K)$  is the Hopf invariant of  $K$ . In other words,

$$(4.3) \quad \text{lt}(F_K) = q^{g(K)-\lambda(K)} x^{g(K)-1/2}$$

This conjecture elevates Theorem 4.1 from roots of unity to generic  $q$ . We prove it for a certain subclass of fibered knots and demonstrate its validity for the first thousand fibered knots.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Theorem 4.3 is true for all homogeneous braid knots, and for fibered knots with at most 12 crossings.*

*Proof.* The homogeneous braid case follows from a direct comparison of Theorem 3.4 and [42, Corollary 4.15]. To check for all fibered knots up to 12 crossings, we computed their  $\ell(K)$  and compared with their knot Floer homology.  $\square$

In particular, we know that the class of knots for which Theorem 4.3 holds is bigger than just homogeneous braid knots, as there are many fibered knots under 12 crossings that are not homogeneous. The first example is the knot  $8_{20}$  [1].

We interpret the fact that the  $F_K$  invariant reads the Hopf invariant of fibered knots as additional support for Theorem 1.5, and it suggests that the inversion datum may have a

topological interpretation. In particular, it can detect the fiber surface, which cannot be obtained from the diagram by Seifert's algorithm.

Interestingly, Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 have direct consequences on the structure of the Melvin–Morton–Rozansky expansion in terms of the Hopf invariant. As we discuss in the next section, this also has consequences on the structure of the colored Jones polynomials or the Habiro series.

## 5. EXPANSIONS AT ROOTS OF UNITY

**5.1. Melvin–Morton–Rozansky expansion.** Throughout this section, we implicitly use the embedding  $\mathbb{Q}(x) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}((x))$  given by the Laurent series expansion at  $x = 0$ , and the embedding

$$(5.1) \quad \mathbb{Q}[(1+h)^{\pm 1}] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[h]]$$

given by the power series expansion at  $h = 0$ . We naturally extend the latter to  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}][[x]]$ , where we identify  $q$  with  $1 + h$ . We review the relation between the  $F_K$  invariant and the MMR expansion of a knot  $K$ .

**Theorem 5.1** ([58, Thm. 2]). *Let  $K$  be a nice knot. Then the expansion of the (unnormalized)  $F_K(x, q)$  series at  $q = 1$  coincides with the Melvin–Morton–Rozansky expansion of the colored Jones polynomial at  $x = 0$ :*

$$(5.2) \quad \tilde{F}_K(x, 1+h) = \frac{1}{\Delta_K(x)} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{P_K^{(j)}(x)}{\Delta_K^{2j+1}(x)} h^j.$$

Both the Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(x)$  and the polynomials  $P_K^{(j)}(x)$  are elements of  $\mathbb{Z}[x + x^{-1}]$  (see [30, Section 7.2]). Using Theorem 3.2, we can derive conditions on the degree and leading coefficient of  $P_K^{(j)}$  in terms of  $\ell(K)$  for a nice knot  $K$ . Recall that, in our convention, the degree of  $P_K^{(j)}$  refers to its minimal  $x$ -exponent (Section 1.6).

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $K$  be a nice knot with  $\ell(K) \geq 0$ . Then, for  $0 \leq j \leq \ell(K)$ , we have that  $\deg_x P_K^{(j)}(x) = -2d_K j$  with leading coefficient  $\binom{\ell(K)}{j}$ , and for  $j > \ell(K)$ , we have  $\deg_x P_K^{(j)}(x) > -2d_K j$ .*

*Proof.* According to Theorem 3.2, the leading term of the series  $F_K(x, q)$  is of the form

$$(5.3) \quad \text{lt}(\tilde{F}_K(x, q)) = q^{\ell(K)} x^{d_K},$$

which expanded in  $h = q - 1$  around 0 gives

$$(5.4) \quad \text{lt}(\tilde{F}_K(x, 1+h)) = (1+h)^{\ell(K)} x^{d_K} = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell(K)} \binom{\ell(K)}{k} h^k x^{d_K}.$$

If we compare this with the MMR expansion (5.2) when  $h \equiv 0$ , we deduce that  $d_K$  must be the half-degree of Alexander polynomial of  $K$ , which shows Theorem 3.3. Moreover, using

$$\text{lt}(\Delta_K^{2j+1}(x)) = x^{-(2j+1)d_K},$$

we obtain that

$$\text{lt} \left( \frac{P_K^{(j)}(x)}{\Delta_K^{2j+1}(x)} \right) = x^{(2j+1)d_K} \text{lt}(P_K^{(j)}(x)) = \binom{\ell(K)}{j} x^{d_K},$$



which must agree with the  $h^j$  coefficient of (5.4). Therefore,

$$\text{lt}(P_K^{(j)}(x)) = \binom{\ell(K)}{j} x^{-2d_K j}$$

for  $0 \leq j \leq \ell(K)$  and  $\deg_x P_K^{(j)}(x) > -2d_K j$  for  $j > \ell(K)$ .  $\square$

We can do a similar analysis when  $\ell(K) < 0$ . In this case, all the polynomials on the right-hand side of (5.2) have the minimal possible  $x$ -degree.

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $K$  be a nice knot with  $\ell(K) < 0$ . Then  $\deg_x P_K^{(j)}(x) = -2d_K j$  for every  $j \geq 0$ , with leading coefficient  $(-1)^j \binom{-\ell(K)+j-1}{j}$ .*

*Proof.* The expansion of  $\tilde{F}_K(x, q)$  at  $h = q - 1 = 0$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lt}(\tilde{F}_K(x, 1+h)) &= (1+h)^{-|\ell(K)|} x^{d_K} \\ (5.5) \quad &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{-\ell(K)+k-1}{k} h^k x^{d_K}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof carries similarly to the proof of Theorem 5.2.  $\square$

As a special case of Propositions 5.2 and 5.3, we obtain:

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $K$  be a nice knot. Then  $\ell(K)$  is the coefficient of  $x^{2d_K}$  in  $P_K^{(1)}(x)$ .*

Note that the bound  $\deg P_K^{(1)} \geq -2g(K)$  has already been shown in the literature for all knots [49]. Theorem 5.4 provides a refinement to this bound for nice knots, by showing exactly when it is sharp in terms of  $\ell(K)$ .

*Remark 5.5.* Recently, the authors of [8] defined a polynomial time invariant  $\rho_1(K, x)$ , conjecturally equivalent to  $P_K^{(1)}(x)$ . In [9, Theorem 54] it was proven that  $\deg \rho_1(K, x) \geq -2g(K)$ , by relating  $\rho_1$  to the Seifert surface of the knot. If all fibered knots are nice, Theorem 4.3 provides a geometric interpretation for the degree bound of  $P_K^{(j)}$ , and conjecturally  $\rho_1$ , in terms of the genus and the Hopf invariant of the fibered surface. We verified that this is the case for homogeneous braid knots, as well as all fibered knots up to 12 crossings. It would be interesting to study how the Hopf invariant may arise in the invariant  $\rho_1$  using Seifert surface arguments.

*Remark 5.6.* Following a suggestion of Bar-Natan, we looked at the  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$  analogue of  $\rho_1$ , denoted by  $\theta$  in [7]. Conjecturally, it agrees with the two-variable polynomial appearing as the numerator of the first order term in the  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$  MMR expansion (cf. [23, Theorem 1.1]). [7, Conjecture 19] predicts that for a fibered knot  $K$ , the coefficient of  $T_2^{2g}$  in  $\theta(T_1, T_2)$  is a shifted Alexander polynomial multiplied by a certain integer  $s(K)$ . Motivated by Theorem 5.4, we verified that

$$s(K) = \ell(K) = g(K) - \lambda(K)$$

for all fibered knots up to 12 crossings.

$$J_K(y, 1+h) \xleftarrow[x \rightarrow 1]{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta_K(y)} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{P_K^{(j)}(y)}{\Delta_K^{2j+1}(y)} h^j \xrightarrow[x \rightarrow 0]{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_K(x, 1+h)}{x^{1/2} - x^{-1/2}}$$

FIGURE 5. The MMR expansion of a nice knot  $K$  can be obtained as expansions of both the Habiro series and the  $F_K$  invariant at  $q - 1 = h \rightarrow 0$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_K^{(j)}(y) = a_0 y^0 + a_1 y^1 + \cdots + a_{n-1} y^{n-1} + a_n y^n \\ \xrightarrow{J_K} & & \xleftarrow{F_K} \end{array}$$

FIGURE 6.  $J_K$  and  $F_K$  read  $P_K^{(j)}$  from opposite sides.

**5.2. Hopf invariant from colored Jones polynomials.** An interesting corollary of Theorem 5.4 is a formula for  $\ell(K)$  in terms of the Alexander polynomial and the colored Jones polynomials of  $K$ . In order to formulate it, we recall the *Habiro series* ([30, Thm. 4.5]) of the knot  $K$ . It is a series of the form

$$(5.6) \quad J_K(y, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^K(q) \prod_{i=1}^n (y + 2 - q^i - q^{-i}).$$

The *Habiro coefficients*  $a_n^K(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$  of  $K$  are uniquely determined by the colored Jones polynomials.

$$(5.7) \quad a_n^K(q) = \frac{1}{\{2n+1\}_{2n}} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \frac{[2i+2]}{[n+i+2]} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ n+1+i \end{bmatrix} J_n^K(q).$$

Likewise, the colored Jones polynomials are specializations of the Habiro series.

$$(5.8) \quad J_n^K(q) = [n+1] J_K(q^n + q^{-n} - 2, q).$$

The Habiro series maps to Rozansky's integral version of the Melvin–Morton expansion of the Jones polynomial, via a natural homomorphism (see [30, Section 7.2]).

Therefore, the MMR expansion appears both in the expansions of the Habiro series and the  $F_K$  series, after identifying  $y$  with  $x + x^{-1} - 2$  (Fig. 5). In particular, we can compute the polynomials  $P_K^{(j)}$  from both invariants. The important difference is illustrated in Fig. 6: the Habiro series reads  $P_K^{(j)}$  ‘from the left’, that is, it first determines the constant coefficient in  $y$ , then the linear, etc.; whereas  $F_K$  reads the coefficients of  $P_K^{(j)}$  from the right, i.e., starting with the leading coefficient.

This observation, together with Theorem 5.4, gives us the following corollary:

**Corollary 5.7.** *Let  $K$  be a nice knot. Then,*

$$(5.9) \quad \ell(K) = \sum_{i=0}^{2d_K-1} \frac{1}{i!} \frac{\partial^i \Delta_K^3(y)}{\partial y^i} \Big|_{y=0} \frac{\partial a_{2d_K-i}^K(q)}{\partial q} \Big|_{q=1}.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\prod_{i=1}^j (y + 2 - (1+h)^i - (1+h)^{-i}) = y^j + O(h^2)$  and

$$a_j^K(1+h) = a_j^K(1) + h \frac{\partial a_j^K(q)}{\partial q} \Big|_{q=1} + O(h^2).$$

Therefore, the Habiro series expanded at  $h = 0$  takes the form

$$J_K(y, 1+h) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} y^j \left( a_j^K(1) + h \frac{\partial a_j^K(q)}{\partial q} \Big|_{q=1} \right) + O(h^2).$$

From the relation between the Habiro series and the MMR expansion, illustrated in Fig. 5, we deduce that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} y^j \frac{\partial a_j^K(q)}{\partial q} \Big|_{q=1} = \frac{P_K^{(1)}(y)}{\Delta_K^3(y)}.$$

By Theorem 5.4, the coefficient of  $y^{2d_K}$  in  $P_K^{(1)}(y)$  is  $\ell(K)$ , where we identify  $y$  with  $x+x^{-1}-2$ . Therefore,  $\ell(K)$  is the coefficient of  $y^{2d_K}$  in

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Delta_K^3(y) \frac{\partial a_j^K(q)}{\partial q} \Big|_{q=1} y^j.$$

Extracting the  $y^{2d_K}$  coefficient in the series above gives the desired result.  $\square$

If we combine the results of this section with Theorem 4.4, we obtain an explicit formula for Hopf invariant in terms of the Habiro coefficients and the Alexander polynomial.

**Theorem 5.8.** *Let  $K$  be a homogeneous braid knot, or a fibered knot of at most 12 crossings. Then,  $\lambda(K)$  can be obtained from the Habiro coefficients of  $K$  via*

$$(5.10) \quad \lambda(K) = g(K) - \sum_{i=0}^{2g(K)-1} \frac{1}{i!} \frac{\partial^i \Delta_K^3(y)}{\partial y^i} \Big|_{y=0} \frac{\partial a_{2g(K)-i}^K(q)}{\partial q} \Big|_{q=1}.$$

Note that Theorem 4.3 would imply the formula (5.10) for all fibered knots.

**5.3. General roots of unity.** Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{C}$  denote the set of all rational roots of unity. Habiro conjectured a version of the MMR expansion at any root of unity:

**Conjecture 5.9.** [30, Conjecture 7.4] *Let  $\omega$  be a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity for  $p \geq 1$ . Let*

$$\gamma_\omega : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\omega][[y, q - \omega]]$$

*be the natural homomorphism induced by  $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}, y] \subset \mathbb{Z}[\omega][q, q^{-1}, y]$ . Then, we have that*

$$\gamma_\omega(J_K) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{P_{K,\omega}^{(j)}(x)}{\Delta_K(x^p)^{2j+1}} (q - \omega)^j$$

*for some polynomials  $P_{K,\omega}^{(j)}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega][y]$ .*

We expect an analogical statement for the  $F_K$  series of nice knots.

**Conjecture 5.10.** *Let  $\omega$  be a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity for  $p \geq 1$  and let  $K$  be a nice knot. Then the series  $F_K(x, q)$  admits an expansion at  $q = \omega$  of the form*

$$(5.11) \quad \tilde{F}_K(x, h + \omega) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{P_{K,\omega}^{(j)}(x)}{\Delta_K^{2j+1}(x^p)} h^j,$$

*where  $P_{K,\omega}^{(j)}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega][x + x^{-1}]$  coincide with the polynomials in Theorem 5.9. Both sides of (5.11) are understood as power series in  $x$  and  $h$ .*

We write  $P_{K,p}^{(j)}(x)$  for  $P_{K,\zeta_p}^{(j)}(x)$ , where  $\zeta_p = e^{2\pi i/p}$ .

*Remark 5.11.* Habiro's conjecture 5.9 is valid at order 0 in  $h = q - \omega$ , by work of Willetts and Beliakova–Hikami [71, 10]. More specifically, they proved that

$$(5.12) \quad P_{K,p}^{(0)}(x) = N_p(K, x),$$

where  $N_p(K, x)$  are the ADO polynomials. Therefore, Theorem 5.10 is a generalization of Theorem 4.2 to higher orders of  $h$ .

Conjecture 5.10 implies statements analogous to Theorem 5.4, and Propositions 5.2 and 5.3, for the polynomials  $P_{K,\omega}^{(j)}(x)$  (cf. [42, Theorem 1] in the case  $j = 0$ ):

**Proposition 5.12.** *Assuming Theorem 5.10 for a nice knot  $K$ , we have*

- (1) *If  $\ell(K) \geq 0$ , then for  $0 \leq j \leq g(K)$ ,*

$$\deg_x P_{K,\omega}^{(j)}(x) = -((2j+1)p - 1)d_K$$

*with leading coefficient  $\binom{\ell(K)}{j} \omega^{\ell(K)-j}$ , and for  $j > \ell(K)$ ,*

$$\deg_x P_{K,\omega}^{(j)}(x) > -((2j+1)p - 1)d_K.$$

- (2) *If  $\ell(K) < 0$ , then for all  $j \geq 0$ ,*

$$\deg_x P_{K,\omega}^{(j)}(x) = -((2j+1)p - 1)d_K$$

*with leading coefficient  $(-1)^j \binom{-\ell(K)+j-1}{j} \omega^{\ell(K)-j}$ .*

*In particular,  $\ell(K)$  is the modulus of the coefficient of  $x^{2(3p-1)d_K}$  in  $P_{K,\omega}^{(1)}(x)$ .*

*Proof.* We take the expansion of the  $F_K$  invariant near the root of unity  $w$  and consider the leading term in  $x$ :

$$\text{lt}(\tilde{F}_K(x, h + \omega)) = (h + \omega)^{\ell(K)} x^{d_K}$$

from which we derive that

$$(5.13) \quad \text{lt}(\tilde{F}_K(x, h + \omega)) = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\ell(K)} \binom{\ell(K)}{j} \omega^{\ell(K)-j} h^j \right) x^{d_K}$$

when  $\ell(K) \geq 0$ , and

$$(5.14) \quad \text{lt}(\tilde{F}_K(x, h + \omega)) = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \binom{-\ell(K)+j-1}{j} \omega^{\ell(K)-j} h^j \right) x^{d_K}$$

when  $\ell(K) < 0$ . The claim follows by comparing (5.13) and (5.14) with (5.11), as in the proofs of Propositions 5.2 and 5.3.  $\square$

We end this section with two examples of  $P_{K,p}^{(1)}(x)$ , for trefoil (Table 3) and figure-eight knot (Table 4). For examples of  $P_{K,p}^{(0)}(x)$ , see [28, 11]. We only write terms with nonnegative  $x$ -powers. We verified that these polynomials appear in the expansions of both  $F_K$  and  $J_K$ .

For trefoil, we have  $\ell(3_1^r) = 1$  and  $g(3_1^r) = 1$ , so the  $x$ -degree of  $P_{3_1^r,p}^{(1)}$  is  $-(3p+1)$ , with the leading coefficient  $\ell(3_1^r) \zeta_p^{\ell(3_1^r)-1} = 1$ , in agreement with Theorem 5.12. The figure-eight knot  $4_1$  is amphichiral, hence  $\ell(4_1) = 0$ . As  $\ell(4_1) = 0$  and  $g(4_1) = 1$ , the degree of the polynomial

$p$	$P_{3_1,p}^{(1)}(x)$ with $\zeta = \zeta_p$ (nonnegative part)
3	$(-3 + 6\zeta) - 8x - 8x^2 + (-5 + \zeta)x^3 + (1 + \zeta)x^4 + (1 + \zeta)x^5 + (1 - 2\zeta)x^6 + x^7 + x^8$
4	$(-20 + 12\zeta) - (15 - 12\zeta)x - 15x^2 - (15 - 2\zeta)x^3 - (8 - 2\zeta)x^4 + (1 + 2\zeta)x^5 + (1 + 4\zeta)x^6 + (1 - 2\zeta)x^7 + (4 - 2\zeta)x^8 + (1 - 2\zeta)x^9 + x^{10} + x^{11}$
5	$(-12 + 19\zeta + 21\zeta^2) + (-24 + 19\zeta + 21\zeta^2)x + (-24 + 19\zeta)x^2 + (-24 + 4\zeta)x^3 + (-24 + 4\zeta + \zeta^2)x^4 + (-12 + 4\zeta + \zeta^2)x^5 + (4 + 4\zeta + \zeta^2)x^6 + (4 + 4\zeta + 5\zeta^2)x^7 + (4 - 2\zeta + 5\zeta^2)x^8 + (4 - 2\zeta - 3\zeta^2)x^9 + (1 - 2\zeta - 3\zeta^2)x^{10} + (1 - 2\zeta - 3\zeta^2)x^{11} + (1 - 2\zeta)x^{12} + x^{13} + x^{14}$

TABLE 3. Polynomials  $P_{3_1,p}^{(1)}$  of the right-handed trefoil.

$p$	$P_{4_1,p}^{(1)}(x)$ with $\zeta = \zeta_p$ (nonnegative part)
3	$(8 - 8\zeta) + (-1 + \zeta)x + (3 - 3\zeta)x^2 + (-5 + 5\zeta)x^3 + (-1 + \zeta)x^5 + (1 - \zeta)x^6$
4	$54 + 18x + 8x^2 - 32x^4 - 12x^5 - 4x^6 - 2x^7 + 6x^8 + 2x^9$
5	$(44 + 33\zeta - 33\zeta^2 - 44\zeta^3) + (35 + 33\zeta - 33\zeta^2 - 35\zeta^3)x + (17 - 3\zeta + 3\zeta^2 - 17\zeta^3)x^2 + (17\zeta - 17\zeta^2)x^3 + (-16 - 15\zeta + 15\zeta^2 + 16\zeta^3)x^4 + (-24 - 18\zeta + 18\zeta^2 + 24\zeta^3)x^5 + (-19 - 21\zeta + 21\zeta^2 + 19\zeta^3)x^6 + (-9 - \zeta + \zeta^2 + 9\zeta^3)x^7 + (-2 - 6\zeta + 6\zeta^2 + 2\zeta^3)x^8 + (2 + 2\zeta - 2\zeta^2 - 2\zeta^3)x^9 + (4 + 3\zeta - 3\zeta^2 - 4\zeta^3)x^{10} + (3 + 4\zeta - 4\zeta^2 - 3\zeta^3)x^{11} + (1 - \zeta^3)x^{12}$

TABLE 4. Polynomials  $P_{4_1,p}^{(1)}$  of the figure-eight knot.

$P_{4_1,p}^{(1)}$  is strictly larger than  $-3p + 1$ , since  $\ell(4_1)\zeta_p^{\ell(4_1)-1} = 0$ , in agreement with Theorem 5.12.

## 6. NON-FIBERED KNOTS

Currently, there is no working definition of the  $F_K$  invariant for non-fibered knots, so the results of this section are more experimental. For non-fibered knots, the coefficients of the  $F_K$  series are generally expected to be infinite  $q$ -series [59]. This is motivated by the expected relation to the Alexander polynomial (5.2), whose inverse may have rational coefficients when expanded near  $x = 0$ . Assuming the integrality of  $F_K$  in  $x$  and  $q$ , its coefficients cannot be Laurent polynomials; instead, we expect  $F_K$  to be an element of  $x^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{Z}((q))[[x]]$ , with the radial limit  $q \nearrow 1$ , when it exists, yielding rational numbers.

Park noticed that the state sum model gives a series in  $x$  with coefficients power series in  $q$  for certain strongly quasipositive knots. Independently, he also proposed an explicit formula for  $F_K$  for some infinite families of strongly quasipositive double twist knots, based on formulas for their colored Jones polynomial from [43].

**6.1. Strongly quasipositive knots.** We recall the notion of strongly quasipositive knots. For  $u, v \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  with  $u \leq v$ , we consider the element

$$\sigma_{u,v} = (\sigma_v \dots \sigma_{u+1})\sigma_u(\sigma_v \dots \sigma_{u+1})^{-1} \in B_n,$$

commonly known as *band generator*. It corresponds to a braid that switches the  $u$  and  $v$  positions positively (see Fig. 7). In our convention,  $\sigma_{u,u}$  is the usual Artin generator  $\sigma_u$ . A

knot is *strongly quasipositive*, if it can be written as the closure of a braid  $\beta$  composed from band generators:

$$\beta = \prod_k \sigma_{u_k, v_k}.$$

In this section, we consider mirror images of strongly quasipositive knots, which we call *strongly quasinegative*. This is necessary due to our conventions on Jones polynomial. In particular, if one uses the opposite convention (as in [18]), this section would apply to strongly quasipositive knots.

**6.2. Stratified state sum.** In [59], Park constructed a candidate for the  $F_K$  series of some non-fibered, strongly quasinegative knots, using the notion of the *stratified* state sum, which we now review. Consider a braid  $\beta$  representing the knot  $K$ , and equip  $\beta$  with a constant inversion datum  $\iota \equiv -1$ . Define the *weight*  $\eta(s) \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$  of a state  $s \in \Omega(\iota)$  as

$$(6.1) \quad \eta(s) = -n + \sum_{j=1}^n |s(b_j)|,$$

where the edges  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  label the extremal segments of the braid (Fig. 2). The purpose of the shift by  $-n$  is for the ground state  $s_0$  to have weight 0. Note that  $\eta(s) > \eta(s_0) = 0$  for any state  $s \neq s_0$ .

The weight function gives a decomposition (stratification) of the set of valid states  $\Omega$ :

$$\Omega(\iota) = \bigcup_{w=0}^{\infty} \Omega_w(\iota)$$

where  $\Omega_w(\iota) = \{s \in \Omega(\iota) \mid \eta(s) = w\}$ . The *stratified state sum* of  $\beta$  is defined as

$$(6.2) \quad Z^{\text{str}}(\beta) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 1} \left( \sum_{w=0}^{\infty} y^{-w} \sum_{s \in \Omega_w(\iota)} P(s) \right).$$

Whenever  $Z^{\text{str}}(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}((q))[[x]]$ , we define  $F_K$  to be

$$(6.3) \quad F_K = (x^{\frac{1}{2}} - x^{-\frac{1}{2}}) Z^{\text{str}}(\beta).$$


In this case, the leading term of  $F_K$  is no longer a monomial—in fact, its coefficient is observed to be an infinite  $q$ -series. We may still define  $\ell(K)$  as the minimal  $q$ -exponent of the leading term of  $F_K$ :

$$\text{lt}(F_K(x, q)) = (q^{\ell(K)} + O(q^{\ell(K)+1})) x^{d_K - 1/2}.$$

**6.2.1. Minimal contribution.** For nice knots, the only contribution to the leading term of the inverted state sum is given by the ground state by Theorem 3.1. In this case, we also know that the coefficients of  $x$ -powers are Laurent  $q$ -polynomials, by construction. In contrast, for non-nice strongly quasinegative knots, we expect the leading term of the stratified state sum to be an infinite  $q$ -series, with infinitely many contributing states. Nevertheless, we still expect that the ground state  $s_0$  will be the unique state that simultaneously minimizes both the  $x$  and  $q$ -exponents.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $K$  be a strongly quasinegative knot with braid representative  $\beta \in B_n$ . Then,*

$$(6.4) \quad P(s_0) = q^{-g(K)} x^{g(K)}.$$

FIGURE 7. The braid  $\sigma_{u,v}$  and its labels.

*Proof.* The values of the ground state on all edges are  $-1$ . It follows from Table 2 that

$$r_q^{\text{sgn}(c), -1} = -r_x^{\text{sgn}(c), -1} = \frac{\text{sgn}(c)}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$(6.5) \quad P(s_0) = \prod_{j=2}^n x^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{-\frac{1}{2} - s(b_j)} \prod_{c \in V_\beta} q^{\frac{\text{sgn}(c)}{2}} x^{-\frac{\text{sgn}(c)}{2}} = q^{\frac{n-1}{2} + \frac{w(\beta)}{2}} x^{-\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{w(\beta)}{2}}.$$

where we have used that  $s(b_j) = -1$  for all  $j = 2, \dots, n$ .

Denote by  $\bar{\beta}$  the mirror braid of  $\beta$ , defined by flipping the sign of every crossing. Then, the closure of  $\bar{\beta}$  is a strongly quasipositive knot. The number of strands  $n$ , writhe  $w$  of any braid, and the genus of the braid's closure are related by Bennequin's inequality. For quasipositive braids, the inequality is saturated [34, Obs. 1.2.]; i.e.,

$$g(K) = -\frac{n-1}{2} + \frac{w(\bar{\beta})}{2}.$$

Since  $w(\bar{\beta}) = -w(\beta)$ , the result follows.  $\square$

Motivated by Theorem 6.1, we expect that

$$(6.6) \quad \ell(K) = -g(K)$$

for any strongly quasinegative knot  $K$ . If  $K$  is also fibered, (6.6) together with Theorem 4.3 would imply

$$(6.7) \quad \lambda(K) = -g(K).$$

This relation was conjectured by Rudolph [66, p. 103].

**6.3. Stability series.** The colored Jones polynomial  $J_n^K(q)$  of a knot  $K$  often stabilizes to a Laurent  $q$ -series, after normalization so that its minimal  $q$ -exponent is zero. We call this series the *tail* of the knot  $K$ . The tail was first studied in [13], and in [18] the authors give a combinatorial formula for the tail of alternating knots.

The stratified sum does not always converge in a  $(x, q)$ -Laurent power series for strongly quasinegative knots. However, for all knots up to 10 crossings, the coefficient of the minimal  $x$ -exponent in the stratified sum appears to give a well-defined Laurent power series in  $q$ . We propose the following conjecture:



$\text{lc } \Delta_K$	$\pm q^{-\ell(K)} f_{K,0}(q)$	$K$
1	1	fibered, incl. <b>8</b> <sub>19</sub> , <b>10</b> <sub>139,145,152,154,161</sub>
1	$1 + 2q + q^2 + q^3 + 2q^4 + 2q^5 + 2q^6 + \dots$	<b>12n</b> <sub>801</sub>
2	$1 - q + q^3 - q^6 + q^{10} - q^{15} + q^{21} - q^{28} + \dots$	5 <sub>2</sub> , 7 <sub>3</sub> , 7 <sub>5</sub> , 9 <sub>3,6,9,16</sub> , <b>10</b> <sub>128,134,142</sub>
2	$1 + q + q^4 + q^9 + q^{16} + q^{25} + q^{36} + \dots$	<b>12n</b> <sub>293,321,750,830</sub>
3	$1 - q + q^5 - q^8 + q^{16} - q^{21} + q^{33} + \dots$	7 <sub>2</sub> , 9 <sub>47</sub> , <b>12n</b> <sub>581</sub>
3	$1 - 2q + q^2 + 3q^3 - 2q^4 + \dots$	8 <sub>15</sub> , <b>9</b> <sub>49</sub> , 10 <sub>66</sub>
3	$1 - 2q + q^2 + 3q^3 - 2q^4 - 2q^5 + \dots$	10 <sub>49,80</sub>
4	$1 - 2q + q^2 + 2q^3 - 2q^4 - q^6 + \dots$	7 <sub>4</sub> , 9 <sub>10,13,18,23</sub>
5	$1 - 3q + 3q^2 + 4q^3 - 8q^4 - 2q^5 + 2q^6 + \dots$	9 <sub>38</sub>
5	$1 - 2q + q^2 + q^3 - q^4 + 2q^5 - 2q^6 + \dots$	10 <sub>55,63</sub>
6	$1 - 2q + q^2 + q^3 + \dots$	9 <sub>5</sub>
6	$1 - 3q + 3q^2 + 3q^3 - 7q^4 + \dots$	10 <sub>53</sub>
7	$1 - 2q + q^2 + 3q^5 - 3q^6 - q^7 - q^8 + \dots$	9 <sub>35</sub>
7	$1 - 3q + 3q^2 + 2q^3 - 6q^4 + 4q^5 + \dots$	10 <sub>101</sub>
8	$1 - 4q + 6q^2 + 3q^3 - 17q^4 + \dots$	10 <sub>120</sub>

TABLE 5. Leading term of  $F_K$ , normalized to start at 1, and the leading coefficient of  $\Delta_K$  for some strongly quasinegative knots, including all up to 10 crossings except 9<sub>2</sub>. Non-alternating knots are written in bold.

**Conjecture 6.2.** *Let  $K$  be a strongly quasinegative knot. Then, the colored Jones function of  $K$  admits a stability series. Moreover, the stratified state sum converges in the first nonzero  $x$ -coefficient and gives*

$$(6.8) \quad -q^{-g(K)}(1-q)\Phi_{K,0}(q),$$

where  $\Phi_{K,0}(q)$  denotes the tail of  $K$ .

The factor  $(1-q)$  is due to the different normalizations of the colored Jones polynomial and the  $F_K$  invariant. More interesting is the overall  $q$ -power, which distinguishes the  $f_0(q)$  of knots with the same tail. This conjecture would further imply that the tail of a fibered strongly quasinegative knot is a monomial, which seems to be the case for knots up to 12 crossings.

We checked that the conjecture holds on the first couple of terms for almost all non-fibered strongly quasinegative knots up to 10 crossings and a handful of 12-crossing knots (see Table 5). We skipped the knot 9<sub>2</sub> due to the length of its strongly quasinegative braid representative. If true, it provides an efficient way to compute the tail for this class of knots. In fact, for some strongly quasinegative knots, we obtain (in certain cases new) closed formulas of their tail using the stratified state sum. We gather some examples in Table 6.

A generalization of the tail of a knot  $K$  gives rise to the *stability series*<sup>2</sup> of  $K$  [18]. This is a Laurent power series in  $q$  and  $x$  of the form

$$\Phi_K(x, q) = \Phi_{K,0}(q) + \Phi_{K,1}(q)x + \Phi_{K,2}(q)x^2 + \dots$$

where the first term  $\Phi_{K,0}$  is the tail. [18] showed that alternating knots have a stability series. Note that the idea of stability series may be applied to other quantum invariants, including the coefficients of  $F_K$  (see [69, Sec. 6.1] for an example).

<sup>2</sup>We use the letter  $\Phi$  instead of original  $F$  to avoid confusion with the GM series.

$K$	$\Phi_{K,0}$	Function
$5_2, 7_{3,5}, 9_{3,6,9,16}, 10_{128,134,142}$	$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$	$\psi_2$
$7_2, 9_4, 9_7$	$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^n q^{-m(n-m)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_{q^{-1}}$	$\psi_3$
$8_{15}, 9_{49}, 10_{66}$	$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^n q^{-m(n-m)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q$	?
$12n_{293,321,750,830}$	$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n^2}$	

TABLE 6. Closed formulas for tails of various strongly quasinegative knots.

The stability series exists for negative torus knots (positive in conventions of [18]) and it coincides with the  $F_K$  series for this class [25]. For example,  $F_K$  of left-handed (negative) trefoil is

$$F_{3_1^l}(x, q) = -q^{-1}x^{1/2}(1 - q^{-1}x^2 - q^{-2}x^3 + q^{-5}x^5 + \dots) = (1 - q) \left( -q^{-1}x^{1/2}\Phi_{3_1^l}(x, q) \right),$$

where  $\Phi_{3_1^l}(x, q)$  is the stability series of negative trefoil [18, p. 11].

**Conjecture 6.3.** *Let  $K$  be a strongly quasinegative knot. Assume that the stratified sum converges to a power series  $F_K \in x^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{Z}((q))[[x]]$ . Then,*

$$(6.9) \quad F_K(x, q) = -(1 - q)q^{-g(K)}x^{g(K)-1/2}\Phi_K(x, q)$$

where  $\Phi_K(x, q)$  is the stability series of the knot  $K$ .

This conjecture recovers Theorem 6.2 when we look at the leading term on both sides of (6.9), assuming the convergence of the stratified state sum. We checked (6.9) for the first few terms on both sides for the knots:

$$5_2, 7_3, 7_5, 9_3, 9_6, 9_9, 9_{16}, 9_{38}, 9_{49}, 10_{63}, 10_{128}, 10_{134}, 10_{142}.$$

*Remark 6.4.* If we use the stability series  $\tilde{\Phi}(x, q)$  of the unnormalized colored Jones  $\tilde{J}_n(q)$ , and the unnormalized invariant  $\tilde{F}_K(x, q)$ , (6.9) is equivalent to

$$(6.10) \quad \tilde{F}_K(x, q) = (q^{-1}x)^{g(K)} \tilde{\Phi}_K(x, q) \in \mathbb{Z}((q))[[x]].$$

**Example 6.5.** Consider the non-alternating knot  $K = 9_{49}$ . The first coefficients of  $F_K$  are

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} f_0(q) &= q^{-2}(-1 + 2q - q^2 - 3q^3 + 2q^4 + 2q^5 + 3q^6 - 3q^7 - 4q^8 + \dots) \\ f_1(q) &= q^{-2}(-2 + 4q + q^2 - 8q^3 - 2q^4 + 4q^5 + 13q^6 + 4q^7 - 8q^8 + \dots) \\ f_2(q) &= q^{-3}(1 - 5q + 7q^2 + 7q^3 - 11q^4 - 14q^5 - 8q^6 + 25q^7 + 28q^8 + \dots) \end{aligned}$$

and  $d_{9_{49}} = 2$ . We checked, for the first few  $q$ -terms and the first three terms in the stability series, that<sup>3</sup>

$$F_{9_{49}}(x, q) = (1 - q) \left( -q^{-2}x^{3/2}\Phi_{9_{49}}(x, q) \right).$$

<sup>3</sup>We used the KnotTheory Mathematica package [5].




FIGURE 8. (a) The double twist links  $C_{m,n}$ . (b) Negative double twist knot  $C_{5,-2}$  or  $7_2$  [40].

**6.4. Double twist knots.** We denote by  $C_{m,n}$  the double twist links with  $m$  and  $n$  half twists, as depicted in Fig. 8. They are two-bridge and, in particular, alternating links. The link  $C_{m,n}$  is a knot if and only if  $m \cdot n$  is even.

For  $m, n > 0$ , the depicted diagrams of double twist knots  $C_{2m,2n}$  and  $C_{2m+1,-2n}$  have only negative crossings, so those knots are negative, and hence strongly quasinegative [65]. For example,  $C_{2,2}$  is the negative (right-handed) trefoil. Park proposed an explicit formula for the  $F_K$  invariant for these families, based on formulas for their colored Jones polynomials [59, Eqs. 34–39]. If we use these formulas, we can easily extract the minimal powers.

**Proposition 6.6.** *For  $m, n > 0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(C_{2m,2n}) &= -1 = -g(C_{2m,2n}), \\ \ell(C_{2m+1,-2n}) &= -n = -g(C_{2m+1,-2n}).\end{aligned}$$

It is natural to ask whether the same  $F_K$  series can be obtained using the stratified sum. For the simplest non-fibered example, the negative  $5_2$  knot  $C_{4,2}$ , the stratified sum converges and gives the same answer.

**Example 6.7.** For the next double-twist knot,  $C_{5,-2}$  ( $7_2$  in Rolfsen’s table), depicted in Fig. 8, the situation is more complicated. Park’s explicit formula gives

$$\begin{aligned}f_0(q) &= -q^{-1} + 1 - q^4 + q^7 - q^{15} + q^{20} - q^{32} + q^{39} + q^{55} - q^{64} + q^{84} - \dots \\ f_1(q) &= -q^{-1} + 1 + q^3 - q^4 - q^5 - q^6 + q^7 + q^8 + q^{13} + q^{14} - q^{15} - q^{16} + \dots\end{aligned}$$

These series coincide (up to a normalization factor) with  $\Phi_{K,0}$  and  $\Phi_{K,1}$ , as expected. If we now consider the stratified state sum, the first coefficient converges to the series  $f_0$  above. However, the second coefficient oscillates for odd and even weight, and eventually stabilizes to two different  $q$ -series:

$$\begin{aligned}f_1^{\text{even}}(q) &= q^{-1} + 7 + 12q + 24q^2 + 43q^3 + 69q^4 + 113q^5 + 177q^6 + 271q^7 + 403q^8 + \dots \\ f_1^{\text{odd}}(q) &= -3q^{-1} - 5 - 12q - 24q^2 - 41q^3 - 71q^4 - 115q^5 - 179q^6 - 269q^7 - 401q^8 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the stratified sum does not converge. However, we noticed that

$$f_1^{\text{even}} + f_1^{\text{odd}} = 2f_1.$$

In other words, the *average* of  $f_1^{\text{odd}}$  and  $f_1^{\text{even}}$  does give the expected series  $f_1$  above. This suggests that the state sum may converge and give a sensible answer for  $7_2$ , but we may need to choose a different stratification of the space of states, other than by the total weight.

*Remark 6.8.* The behavior of the  $F_K$  invariant under mirroring is not known. As explained above, for  $C_{4,2} = 5_2$ , we have  $\ell(5_2) = -g(5_2) = -1$ . In [57], Park proposed two candidates for the  $F_K$  series of the mirror knot  $C_{-4,-2}$ , one based on the  $C$ -polynomial recurrence, and another based on a certain regularization of the state sum. The first coefficient  $f_0$  for both proposals are

$$-q^2 + q^4 - q^5 + 2q^6 - 2q^7 + q^8 - 3q^{10} + \dots,$$

and

$$q^2 + 3q^3 + 6q^4 + 13q^5 + 23q^6 + 44q^7 + 74q^8 + \dots,$$

respectively. If either of the two were the correct  $F_K$ , we would have  $\ell(\overline{5_2}) = 2$ , breaking the symmetry  $\ell(\overline{K}) = -\ell(K)$  that holds for nice knots (Theorem B.1). It would also violate the formula (1.10) relating  $\ell$  to a grading appearing in knot Floer homology.

**6.5. Radial limits.** We have checked that the expansion at  $q = 1$  of some strongly quasinegative knots recovers the MMR expansion. In particular, for all knots of at most 10 crossings appearing in Table 5, we have checked numerically that

$$(6.12) \quad \lim_{q \nearrow 1} f_0(q) \simeq \frac{1}{\text{lc } \Delta_K}.$$

**Example 6.9.** The Melvin–Morton–Rozansky expansion (in  $y = x + x^{-1} - 2$ ) of  $5_2$  is

$$(6.13) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_{5_2}^{(j)}(y)}{\Delta_{5_2}^{2j+1}(y)} h^j$$

with  $\Delta_{5_2}(y) = 1 + 2y$  and

$$(6.14) \quad \begin{aligned} P^{(1)}(y) &= 6y + 5y^2, \\ P^{(2)}(y) &= 2 - 7y + 36y^2 + 54y^3 + 23y^4, \\ P^{(3)}(y) &= 4 - 83y + 140y^2 - 156y^3 - 467y^4 - 358y^5 - 103y^6. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding (6.13) at  $x = 0$  gives

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{5h}{2^3} + \frac{23h^2}{2^5} - \frac{103h^3}{2^7} + \dots \right) x + \left( \frac{3}{2^2} - \frac{17h}{2^4} + \frac{85h^2}{2^6} - \frac{407h^3}{2^8} + \dots \right) x^2 \\ &+ \left( \frac{5}{2^3} - \frac{15h}{2^4} + \frac{169h^2}{2^7} - \frac{117h^3}{2^6} + \dots \right) x^3 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

which numerically agrees with

$$(6.16) \quad \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \left( \lim_{q \nearrow 1} \frac{d^k f_j}{dq^k} \right) \frac{h^k}{k!} x^j$$

In particular, the coefficient  $f_0(q)$ , which coincides with the tail of  $5_2$  up to a  $q$ -power, is

$$(6.17) \quad f_0(q) = q^{-1} \psi_2(q) = q^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}},$$

and one may easily check that

$$(6.18) \quad \lim_{q \nearrow 1} f_0(q) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \lim_{q \nearrow 1} \frac{df_0(q)}{dq} = -\frac{5}{8}, \quad \lim_{q \nearrow 1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 f_0(q)}{dq^2} = \frac{23}{32}.$$

Nevertheless, the relation of  $F_K$  to the MMR expansion does not persist for all strongly quasinegative knots. Indeed, Theorem 6.1 shows that the ground state in the stratified state sum detects the genus of the knot, whereas the Alexander polynomial appearing in the MMR expansion does not necessarily do so. The strongly quasinegative knots up to 12 crossings for which this happens are

$$12n_{293}, 12n_{321}, 12n_{750}, 12n_{830}.$$

Moreover, the  $F_K$  of these knots does not recover the structure in Theorem 5.10 either. In particular, the limit  $q = \omega$ , when  $\omega$  is a primitive root of unity, does not recover the ADO polynomial.

The knots  $12n_{293,321,750,830}$  have the same tail. The leading term of the stratified sum is

$$(6.19) \quad (q^{-1}x)^{g(K)} \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{n^2} = (q^{-1}x)^{g(K)} (1 + q + q^4 + q^9 + q^{16} + \cdots).$$

At  $q \nearrow 1$ , the series grows asymptotically as

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{-\log q}} \right).$$

These knots also satisfy that  $P_K^{(1)}$  provides a better bound for the genus than the Alexander polynomial<sup>4</sup>. Therefore, the MMR expansion at  $x = 0$  is not in  $\mathbb{Q}[[x, h]]$ , as would be expected if we take radial limits of  $F_K$ , but in  $\mathbb{Q}((x))[[h]]$ .

**Example 6.10.** The MMR of  $12n_{293}$  in the variable  $y$  is

$$(6.20) \quad \frac{1}{1+2y} + h \frac{(-2y + 5y^2 + 12y^3 + 2y^4)}{(1+2y)^3} + O(h^2).$$

If we expand it in the variable  $x$  at  $x = 0$ , we get

$$(6.21) \quad \frac{x}{2} + \frac{3x^2}{4} + \frac{5x^3}{8} + h \left( \frac{1}{4}x^{-1} + \frac{5}{8} - x - \frac{7x^2}{4} - \frac{69x^3}{64} \right) + O(h^2).$$

The appearance of negative exponents of  $x$  is a consequence of  $P^{(1)}$  detecting the genus of the knot, but not the Alexander polynomial; i.e.

$$(6.22) \quad \deg \Delta_{12n_{293}}(x) = -1 > -g(12n_{293}) = -2, \quad \deg P_{12n_{293}}^{(1)}(x) = -2g(12n_{293}) = -4.$$

These are not the only examples for which the relation to the MMR expansion fails. For the knot  $12n_{801}$ , the leading term of the stratified sum reads

$$(6.23) \quad f_0(q) = q^{-2}(1 + 2q + q^2 + q^3 + 2q^4 + 2q^5 + 2q^6 + 2q^7 + q^8 + 3q^9 + \cdots).$$

Approaching  $q = 1$ ,  $f_0$  appears to grow as

$$f_0(q) \sim \exp \left( -\frac{1}{5 \log q} \right) \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{-\log q}}.$$

The Alexander polynomial of  $12n_{801}$  is monic and its degree coincides with the genus. Still, the first coefficient of the  $F_K$  series is an infinite series. We view it as evidence that the finiteness

<sup>4</sup>We checked this fact using the code in [8].

of the  $F_K$  coefficients could be *equivalent* to the fiberedness of the knot  $K$  (Park conjectured one direction in [58, Conj. 1]). Consequently, the  $F_K$  invariant could detect fiberedness, as opposed to the colored Jones function and its associated MMR expansion.

## 7. SIMILARITIES WITH KNOT FLOER HOMOLOGY

The knot Floer homology  $\widehat{HFK}(K)$  of a knot  $K$ , defined by Ozsváth and Szabó [52], is a doubly-graded homology theory which categorifies the Alexander polynomial. It decomposes as

$$\widehat{HFK}(K) = \bigoplus_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{HFK}_m(K, n),$$

where  $n$  denotes the *Alexander grading* and  $m$  is the *Maslov (homological) grading*.

For fibered knots,  $\widehat{HFK}(K, g)$  is one-dimensional, and the unique Maslov grading is given by the Hopf invariant. On the other hand, we saw in Section 4.2 that the Hopf invariant appears as the minimal  $q$ -power  $\ell$  of the leading coefficient of  $F_K$  (Theorem 4.4, Theorem 4.3). In the previous section, we also found a definition of  $\ell(K)$  for non-fibered knots. This raises the question of whether there is a global relation between  $F_K$  and  $\widehat{HFK}(K)$  that extends beyond fibered knots.

We can reformulate Theorem 4.3 as follows:

$$(7.1) \quad \ell(K) = g(K) - \min\{m \mid \widehat{HFK}_m(K, g) \neq 0\}.$$

For strongly quasinegative knots, we have  $\ell(K) = -g(K)$ . Since the knot Floer homology of fibered and alternating knots is supported in a single Maslov grading, the choice of selecting the minimal Maslov grading in (7.1) comes from the following observation about the knot Floer homology of strongly quasipositive knots:

**Conjecture 7.1.** *Let  $K$  be a strongly quasipositive knot with genus  $g$ . Then the minimal Maslov grading of the top Alexander piece of  $\widehat{HFK}$  is zero:*

$$(7.2) \quad \min\{m \mid \widehat{HFK}_m(K, g) \neq 0\} = 0.$$

We checked the conjecture for all 22,009 non-alternating strongly quasipositive knots up to 16 crossings, tabulated by Stoimenow [68]. The conjecture follows for strongly quasipositive knots that are fibered or alternating, using standard results of their knot Floer homology [50, 51].

The connection of  $F_K$  invariant and knot Floer homology seems to go further than just determining the  $\ell$  invariant. In Section 6.5, we mention five knots for which the stratified sum diverges at  $q = 1$  and does not recover the MMR expansion. Four of these knots,  $12n_{293,321,750,830}$ , were identified using  $d_K < g(K)$ . These four knots have the same leading term in  $F_K$  (up to an overall  $x$  and  $q$  power), and their knot Floer homology satisfies

$$(7.3) \quad \widehat{HFK}_m(K; g) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } m = 0 \text{ or } 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For  $12n_{801}$ , we have

$$(7.4) \quad \widehat{HFK}_m(K; g) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } m = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}^2 & \text{if } m = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

These five knots are the only strongly quasinegative knots up to 12 crossings (that we have identified) for which both the  $F_K$  invariant diverges at  $q = 1$ , and their knot Floer homology is

not supported in a single Maslov grading. Moreover, even though  $12n_{801}$  has monic Alexander polynomial, the  $F_K$  series behaves very differently than that of a fibered knot. It seems that  $F_K$ , like knot Floer homology, is reading more refined information than the Alexander polynomial. This might be why knot Floer homology seems to help in discerning when  $F_K$  and the Alexander polynomial (and consequently MMR) start to diverge from each other.

*Remark 7.2.* We also observed that, for strongly quasipositive knots up to 16 crossings,

$$(7.5) \quad \widehat{HFK}_m(K, n) \neq 0 \implies n - g(K) + 2 \geq m \geq n - g(K).$$

While we do not see any reason for the upper bound to hold in general, the lower bound could somehow reflect the positivity condition.

## 8. SLOPES

Slopes of the  $F_K$  invariants are collected in a table in Section C. Here we give a detailed example of slopes for the  $F_K$  invariant of the  $12n_{242}$  knot.

**Example 8.1.** Let  $K$  be the  $(-2, 3, 7)$ -pretzel knot ( $12n_{242}$  in DT notation). The  $F_K$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} & -q^5x^4 + q^7x^7 + q^9x^9 - q^{10}x^{10} + q^{11}x^{11} - q^{13}x^{12} + q^{14}x^{13} - (q^{15} + q^{16})x^{14} \\ & + (q^{17} + q^{18})x^{15} - 2q^{19}x^{16} + (q^{20} + q^{21} + q^{22})x^{17} - (q^{22} + q^{23} + q^{24})x^{18} \\ & + (2q^{25} + q^{26})x^{19} - (q^{26} + 2q^{27} + q^{28} + q^{29})x^{20} + (q^{28} + q^{29} + 2q^{30} + q^{31})x^{21} \\ & - (q^{31} + 3q^{32} + q^{33} + q^{34})x^{22} + (q^{33} + 2q^{34} + 2q^{35} + q^{36} + q^{37})x^{23} \\ & - (q^{35} + q^{36} + 2q^{37} + 2q^{38} + 2q^{39})x^{24} + (q^{38} + q^{39} + 4q^{40} + 2q^{41} + q^{42} + q^{43})x^{25} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The sequence of maximal  $q$ -degrees, starting at  $x^{10}$ , is

$$\delta_{\max} : 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 29, 31, 34, 37, 39, 43, 46, 49, 53, 56, 60, 64, 67, 72, \dots,$$

whose differences are

$$\Delta\delta_{\max} : 1, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1, 3, 2, 2, 3, 2, 3, 3, 2, 4, 3, 3, 4, 3, 4, 4, 3, 5, \dots$$

and

$$\Delta^2\delta_{\max} : 1, -1, 1, 0, -1, 2, -1, 0, 1, -1, 1, 0, -1, 2, -1, 0, 1, -1, 1, 0, -1, 2, \dots$$

We observe that this sequence is periodic. We take its generating function, and using [17, Lemma 4.4], we obtain the generating function for the original sequence  $\delta_{\max}$ :

$$\frac{t \frac{-1+t-t^2+t^4-2t^5+t^6}{-1+t^8} + 1}{1-t} + 10 = \frac{-10 + 9t - t^2 + t^3 - t^4 + t^6 - 2t^7 + 11t^8 - 9t^9}{(-1+t)^3(1+t+t^2+t^3+t^4+t^5+t^6+t^7)}.$$

From the generating function, we deduce that the slope is 16 using [17, Cor 4.3].

Similarly, the sequence of minimal  $q$ -degrees is

$$\delta_{\min} : 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 22, 25, 26, 28, 31, 33, 35, 38, 41, 43, 46, 50, 52, 55, 59, 62, 65, \dots$$

and we find their generating function

$$\frac{-11 + 9t + t^2 - t^4 + t^6 - t^7 - t^8 + 2t^9 + 10t^{10} - 10t^{11}}{(-1+t)^3(1+t+t^2+t^3+t^4+t^5+t^6+t^7+t^8+t^9)},$$

from which we read off the slope 20.

The  $(-2, 3, 7)$ -pretzel knot admits many exceptional surgeries, namely 16, 17,  $37/2$ , 18, 19 and 20. The  $F_K$  slopes are exactly the minimal and maximal exceptional slope, while the Jones slopes are 0 and  $37/2$ . The slopes 16,  $37/2$  and 20 are all toroidal.



*Remark 8.2.* Positive Montesinos knots have nonnegative boundary slopes [33]. The knot  $10_{145}$  is strongly quasi-positive, but not positive. Interestingly,  $F_K$  detects the negative slope  $-2$ , witnessing non-positivity, while the Jones slope is 0.

*Remark 8.3.* One may ask what are the slopes of the inverted Habiro coefficients  $a_{-n}(q)$  defined by Park, which conjecturally extend the usual Habiro coefficients  $a_n(q)$  to negative integers. Note that the coefficients  $a_{-n}(q)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  are equivalent to the coefficients  $f_j(q)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  [58]. The coefficients  $a_{-n}(q)$  should correspond to a slope of the Newton polygon of the  $C$ -polynomial, defined in [20]. Interestingly, for most fibered knots we considered, the leading term of the growth of minimal powers of  $a_{-n}(q)$  is  $-1/2$ . This is in particular true for all knots in KnotInfo (up to 13 crossings) whose braid representative is homogeneous (except the figure-eight knot  $4_1$ , for which  $a_{-n} = 1$  for all  $n$ ).

## 9. FURTHER QUESTIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

We end with some additional questions and directions for future work.

### Questions:

- (1) Does every fibered knot admit a braid with inversion datum and is there an algorithm to find it? Is the inversion datum always unique, up to obvious symmetries? Does the inversion datum have a geometric interpretation?
- (2) The Hopf invariant can be computed combinatorially both from the inversion datum on a braid (see (3.3)) and from a grid diagram using grid homology. Can we find inversion data on braids using grid homology?
- (3) What is special about  $F_K$  when  $K$  is alternating? Does it satisfy  $\deg_q(f_0) = \deg_q(f_1)$ ? If  $K$  is also fibered, is the coefficient  $f_1(q)$  a monomial (this holds up to 12 crossings)?
- (4) Is there a relation between the  $F_K$  slopes and the exceptional slopes?

### Future directions:

- (1) Prove Theorem 4.2 for nice fibered knots, perhaps by similar methods as Theorem 5.1.
- (2) Prove the formula for the Hopf invariant in terms of colored Jones polynomials in Theorem 1.3.
- (3) Find a general way to define a convergent state sum for strongly quasinegative knots. Can it be combined with the inverted state sum to include more general classes of knots?
- (4) Extend the results of this paper to links and to the  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$   $F_K$  invariant [22, 23].

## APPENDIX A. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.1

In this section we prove Theorem 3.1. The main ingredient is Farkas' lemma.

**Lemma A.1** ([14, Lem. 1, p. 318]). *Let  $C \in M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then, exactly one of the following two assertions is true:*

- (1) *There exists an  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $C^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$ .*
- (2) *There exists a  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $C\mathbf{y} \geq 0$  and  $\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} < 0$ .*

For our purposes, we need the following integral modification of Farkas' lemma:

**Lemma A.2.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be an affine function. Let  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and let  $L$  be a collection of ordered tuples  $L \subset [1, n] \times [1, n]$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  satisfying*

$$a_1, \dots, a_s \geq 0, \quad a_{s+1}, \dots, a_n \leq 0, \quad a_j - a_k \geq 0 \text{ for every } (j, k) \in L.$$

*Assume that  $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \geq 0$  for every  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then, there exist  $\alpha_i, \gamma_{j,k} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$  such that*

$$(A.1) \quad f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i |a_i| + \sum_{(j,k) \in L} \gamma_{j,k} (a_j - a_k) + \beta$$

*for all  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{S}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume first that  $f$  is linear ( $f(0, \dots, 0) = \beta = 0$ ) and write  $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{a} = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i a_i$ , for some vector  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The set  $\mathcal{S}$  consists of vectors  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  that satisfy  $C\mathbf{a} \geq 0$ , where  $C$  is the matrix of size  $n \times (n + |L|)$  of the form:

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & -1 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & -1 \\ 1 & \dots & -1 & & \dots & \\ & & & \dots & & \end{pmatrix}$$

The first  $n$  rows of  $C$  form a diagonal matrix, with diagonal value  $+1$  for the first  $s$  rows and  $-1$  for the rest. The other rows are given by tuples in  $L$  in an obvious way. As  $C$  contains a diagonal submatrix of size  $n$ ,  $C\mathbf{a} = 0$  for  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  if and only if  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$ .

The condition  $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \geq 0$  for every  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{S}$  is satisfied if and only if there is no  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying both  $C\mathbf{a} \geq 0$  and  $\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{a} < 0$ . In order to apply Theorem A.1, we need to show that there is no such  $\mathbf{a}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

First, any such  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  would give an integral solution of the inequalities by clearing the denominators. Now assume that there is an  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying both  $C\mathbf{a} \geq 0$  and  $\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{a} < 0$ . Actually, we must have that  $C\mathbf{a} > 0$ , since  $C\mathbf{a} = 0$  would imply that  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore, there is an  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying both  $C\mathbf{a} > 0$  and  $\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{a} < 0$ . As this is an open condition, we can find some  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  satisfying it, obtaining again a contradiction.

We can now apply Farkas' lemma. By Theorem A.1 there exist  $\lambda_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n + |L|$  such that  $\mathbf{b} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+|L|} \lambda_i \mathbf{c}_i$ , where  $\mathbf{c}_i$  are the rows of  $C$ . The result follows. If  $f(\mathbf{0}) \neq 0$ , we can follow a similar argument as above and apply Farkas' lemma in its affine form, see e.g. [3].

□

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Enumerate the edges in  $E_\beta$  by  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  with  $m = |E_\beta|$ . By (2.7),  $\deg_x P(s)$  can be thought as an affine function in variables  $s(e_1), \dots, s(e_m)$ . We want to apply Theorem A.2 on  $\deg_x(P(s))$  to deduce that the ground state  $s_0$  minimizes  $\deg_x(P(s))$  over the valid states, and it is a unique such state.

For any valid state  $s$ , the values  $s(e)$  for  $e \in E_\beta$  satisfy the following relations: For every crossing  $c$  such that  $\iota(e_{BR}) = \iota(e_{TL})$  and  $\iota(e_{BL}) = \iota(e_{TR})$ ,

$$(A.2) \quad \text{sgn}(c) (s(e_{BR}) - s(e_{TL})) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{sgn}(c) (s(e_{BL}) - s(e_{TR})) \geq 0.$$

or in the notation  $i, j, i', j'$  in Fig. 3,

$$(A.3) \quad \text{sgn}(c) (i - j') \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{sgn}(c) (i' - j) \geq 0.$$

Denote by  $L$  the set of ordered tuples  $(r, t) \in \{1, \dots, m\}^2$  such that  $s(e_r) - s(e_t) \geq 0$  for every  $s \in \Omega(\iota)$  as described above. Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{(s(e_1), \dots, s(e_m)) \mid s \in \Omega(\iota)\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Setting  $a_k = s(e_k)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$  consists of all vectors  $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  satisfying

- $a_k \geq 0$  if  $\iota(e_k) = +1$ ,
- $a_k < 0$  if  $\iota(e_k) = -1$ ,
- $a_r - a_t \geq 0$  for  $(r, t) \in L$ .

By the first condition in Theorem 2.5, the minimal  $x$ -degree  $\deg_x(P(s))$  of any valid state  $s$  is always non-negative. If we view  $\deg_x(P)$  as a function on  $a_k = s(e_k)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , instead of as a function on  $s \in \Omega(\iota)$ , the non-negative condition is equivalent to  $\deg_x(P) \geq 0$  in  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ . By Theorem A.2, there exist  $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\gamma_{r,t} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $\deg_x(P(s))$  can be expressed as

$$(A.4) \quad \deg_x(P(s)) = \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k |s(e_k)| + \sum_{(r,t) \in L} \gamma_{r,t} |s(e_r) - s(e_t)| + \beta.$$

Therefore, for every edge  $e_k \in E_\beta$  with  $\alpha_k > 0$ , the value of  $s(e_k)$  minimizing  $\deg_x(P(s))$  agrees with the value assigned by the ground state (2.2). Likewise, for every pair of edges  $\{e_r, e_t\}$  such that  $\gamma_{r,t} > 0$ , we must have that  $s(e_r) = s(e_t)$  (recall that  $(r, t) \in L$  only if  $\iota(e_r) = \iota(e_t)$ ). In particular, the ground state  $s_0$  minimizes  $\deg_x(P(s))$  over the valid states as desired.

Assume now for contradiction, that another state  $s \neq s_0$  minimizes  $\deg_x(P(s))$ . Since the values of the state at the edges  $e_k$  with  $\alpha_k > 0$  are uniquely determined, the state  $s$  must have  $|s(e_k)| > \frac{1-\iota(e_k)}{2}$  for some edge  $e_k$  with  $\alpha_k = 0$ . The state  $s$  cannot be valid: If it was, we could change the value of  $s(e_k)$  to an arbitrary number, obtaining infinitely many states with  $\deg_x(P(s)) = \deg_x(P(s_0))$ . This would contradict the second condition in Theorem 2.5. Therefore,  $s \notin \Omega(\iota)$ , and we are done. □

## APPENDIX B. MIRRORS AND CONNECTED SUMS

The  $F_K$  invariant for nice knots is expected to behave nicely under mirroring and connected sums. Namely, it is natural to expect

$$(B.1) \quad F_{\overline{K}}(x, q) = F_K(x, q^{-1})$$

and

$$(B.2) \quad F_{K_1 \# K_2}(x, q) = F_{K_1}(x, q) F_{K_2}(x, q).$$

for nice knots  $K, K_1, K_2$ .

Proving these properties seems cumbersome with the current definition of  $F_K$ . Instead, we include the proof for the leading term.

**Proposition B.1.** *Let  $K$  be a nice knot. Then, its mirror  $\overline{K}$  is also a nice knot satisfying*

$$(B.3) \quad \ell(\overline{K}) = -\ell(K).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\beta$  be a braid diagram of  $K$  that admits an inversion datum  $\iota$ . Let  $\overline{\beta}$  be the mirror image of  $\beta$  (all the signs of every crossing  $c$  in  $\beta$  are flipped). We can naturally identify  $E_\beta$  and  $E_{\overline{\beta}}$  and set  $\bar{\iota} := -\iota$  (all signs are flipped w.r.t  $\iota$ ). Then,  $\bar{\iota}$  is an inversion datum for  $\overline{\beta}$ , since flipping the signs ensures that (2.1) is satisfied.

Let us first show that  $(\overline{K}, \overline{\beta}, \bar{\iota})$  is a nice knot, as in Theorem 2.5. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of valid states  $\Omega(\iota)$  and  $\Omega(\bar{\iota})$ , given by  $\bar{s} = -s - 1$ , with  $s \in \Omega(\iota)$  and  $\bar{s} \in \Omega(\bar{\iota})$ . In particular, we have that, for  $s \in \Omega(\iota)$  and the corresponding  $\bar{s} \in \Omega(\bar{\iota})$ ,

$$\frac{\bar{s}(e_{BR}) + \bar{s}(e_{TR}) + 1}{2} = -\frac{s(e_{BR}) + s(e_{TR}) + 1}{2}.$$

Therefore, for a crossing  $c \in V_\beta$  and the corresponding  $\bar{c} \in V_{\overline{\beta}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_x(R^{\text{sgn}(\bar{c})}(\bar{s})) &= \text{sgn}(\bar{c}) \frac{\bar{s}(e_{BR}) + \bar{s}(e_{TR}) + 1}{2} \\ &= -\text{sgn}(c) \left( -\frac{s(e_{BR}) + s(e_{TR}) + 1}{2} \right) = \deg_x(R^{\text{sgn}(c)}(s)) \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that  $\deg_x(P(s)) = \deg_x(P(\bar{s}))$  for every valid state  $s \in \Omega(\iota)$  and its corresponding  $\bar{s} \in \Omega(\bar{\iota})$ . In particular, since  $(K, \beta, \iota)$  satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2.5,  $(\overline{K}, \overline{\beta}, \bar{\iota})$  do too, and  $\overline{K}$  is a nice knot.

Now we will use (3.3) to prove the statement. Notice that the signs of the  $b_i$  segments are flipped and  $r_q^{\text{sgn}(\bar{c}), \bar{\iota}} = -r_q^{\text{sgn}(c), \iota}$  (see Table 2). It follows that

$$\ell(\overline{K}) = -\sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\bar{\iota}(b_j)}{2} + \sum_{\bar{c} \in V_{\overline{\beta}}} r_q^{\text{sgn}(\bar{c}), \bar{\iota}} = \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\iota(b_j)}{2} - \sum_{c \in V_\beta} r_q^{\text{sgn}(c), \iota} = -\ell(K). \quad \square$$

**Proposition B.2.** *Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be two nice knots. Then, the connected sum  $K_1 \# K_2$  is also nice and satisfies*

$$(B.4) \quad \ell(K_1 \# K_2) = \ell(K_1) + \ell(K_2).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\beta_i$  be a braid representative of  $K_i$  with an inversion datum  $\iota_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  be the number of strands of  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ , respectively. Denote by  $\text{sh}_j: B_n \rightarrow B_{n+j}$  the homomorphism defined via  $\text{sh}_j(\sigma_i) = \sigma_{i+j}$ . Define  $m := \iota_2(b_{n_1+1}) \in \{-1, 1\}$  as the sign assigned to the bottom of the leftmost strand in  $\text{sh}_{n_1}(\beta_2)$ .

A braid representative of  $K_1 \# K_2$  is given by  $\beta_3 = \beta_1 \sigma_{n_1}^m \text{sh}_{n_1}(\beta_2)$ . Define  $\iota_3$  as the function on  $E_{\beta_3}$  induced by  $\iota_1$  and  $\iota_2$ , where we extend to the adjacent segments of  $\sigma_{n_1}^m$  the signs associated to  $b_{n_1}$  and  $b_{n_1+1}$  in  $\beta_1$  and  $\text{sh}_{n_1}(\beta_2)$  respectively. For example, in Fig. 9,  $\iota_3(e_{n_1}) = \iota_1(b_{n_1})$ .

First, let us show that  $(K_1 \# K_2, \beta_3, \iota_3)$  satisfies Theorem 2.5 and therefore is a nice knot. By definition, the signs on the segments adjacent to  $\sigma_{n_1}^m$  are either  $\begin{smallmatrix} ++ \\ ++ \end{smallmatrix}$  or  $\begin{smallmatrix} -+ \\ -+ \end{smallmatrix}$  if  $m = +1$  and  $\begin{smallmatrix} +- \\ +- \end{smallmatrix}$  or  $\begin{smallmatrix} -- \\ -- \end{smallmatrix}$  if  $m = -1$ . In particular, the minimal  $x$ -degree of the  $R$ -matrix evaluated in the segments adjacent to  $\sigma_{n_1}^m$ ,

$$m \frac{s(b_{n_1}) + s(b_{n_1+1}) + 1}{2},$$

is positive for every valid state  $s \in \Omega(\iota_3)$ .

For a valid state  $s \in \Omega(\iota_3)$ , denote by  $s_i \in \Omega(\iota_i)$  the associated state on  $\beta_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Denote by  $\deg_x(P_1(s_1))$  the minimal  $x$ -degree of  $(\beta_1, \iota_1)$  and by  $\deg_x(P_2(s_2))$  the minimal  $x$ -degree of  $(\beta_2, \iota_2)$  as defined in (2.7). Then, the minimal  $x$ -degree of  $P$  associated with  $(\beta_3, \iota_3)$  for an arbitrary state  $s$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_x(P(s)) &= \sum_{c \in V_{\beta_3}} \deg_x(R^{\text{sgn}(c)}(s)) \\ &= \sum_{c \in V_{\beta_1}} \deg_x(R^{\text{sgn}(c)}(s)) + \sum_{c \in V_{\beta_2}} \deg_x(R^{\text{sgn}(c)}(s)) + m \frac{s(b_{n_1}) + s(b_{n_1+1}) + 1}{2} \\ &= \deg_x(P_1(s_1)) + \deg_x(P_2(s_2)) + m \frac{s(b_{n_1}) + s(b_{n_1+1}) + 1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum_{c \in V_{\beta_1}} \deg_x(R^{\text{sgn}(c)}(s)) = \deg_x(P_1(s_1))$  since, if we follow the notation in Figure 9,  $s(e_{n_1}) = s(b_{n_1})$  for any  $s \in \Omega(\iota_3)$  (see Theorem 2.3). The fact that  $\sum_{c \in V_{\beta_2}} \deg_x(R^{\text{sgn}(c)}(s)) = \deg_x(P_2(s))$  can be argued analogously. Therefore, since  $(\beta_1, \iota_1)$  and  $(\beta_2, \iota_2)$  satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2.5,  $(\beta_3, \iota_3)$  does too, and  $K_1 \# K_2$  is nice.

Now we will use (3.3) to prove the statement. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{j=2}^{n_1+n_2} \frac{\iota_3(b_j)}{2} &= - \sum_{j=2}^{n_1} \frac{\iota_1(b_j)}{2} - \frac{\iota_3(b_{n_1+1})}{2} - \sum_{j=n_1+2}^{n_2} \frac{\iota_2(b_j)}{2} \\ &= - \sum_{j=2}^{n_1} \frac{\iota_1(b_j)}{2} - \frac{m}{2} - \sum_{j=n_1+2}^{n_2} \frac{\iota_2(b_j)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c \in V_{\beta_3}} r_q^{\text{sgn}(c), \iota} &= \sum_{c \in V_{\beta_1}} r_q^{\text{sgn}(c), \iota_1} + r_q^{\text{sgn}(\sigma_{n_1}^m), \iota_3} + \sum_{c \in V_{\beta_2}} r_q^{\text{sgn}(c), \iota_2} \\ &= \sum_{c \in V_{\beta_1}} r_q^{\text{sgn}(c), \iota_1} + \frac{m}{2} + \sum_{c \in V_{\beta_2}} r_q^{\text{sgn}(c), \iota_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the  $R$ -matrix contribution of the crossing  $\sigma_{n_1}^m$  is  $\frac{m}{2}$  (see Table 2). Altogether,

$$\ell(K_1 \# K_2) = - \sum_{j=2}^{n_1+n_2} \frac{\iota_3(b_j)}{2} + \sum_{c \in V_{\beta_3}} r_q^{\text{sgn}(c), \iota} = \ell(K_1) + \ell(K_2). \quad \square$$

### APPENDIX C. TABLE OF SLOPES

We give a table of slopes of the  $F_K$  invariant of fibered Montesinos knots up to 10 crossings, and Jones slopes for comparison.  $F_K$  slopes are in bold, the Jones slopes are underscored. We mark by asterisk the boundary of the interval where the exceptional slopes lie, whose existence was proved for Montesinos and alternating knots [32].

Name	Boundary Slopes, $\underline{J}_K$ , $\mathbf{F}_K$
$3_1 = K(1/3)$	<u>0</u> , <b>6</b>
$4_1 = K(2/5)$	<u>-4*</u> , 0, <b>4*</b>
$5_1 = K(1/5)$	<u>0</u> , <b>10</b>


$6_2 = K(4/11)$	$\underline{-4}, 0, 2, \underline{8}^*$
$6_3 = K(5/13)$	$\underline{-6}, -2, 0, 2, \underline{6}$
$7_1 = K(1/7)$	$\underline{0}, \underline{14}$
$7_6 = K(7/19)$	$\underline{-4}, 0, \underline{4}, 6, \underline{10}$
$7_7 = K(8/21)$	$\underline{-8}, -\underline{4}, 0, \underline{6}$
$8_2 = K(6/17)$	$\underline{-4}, 0, 6, \underline{12}^*$
$8_5 = K(1/3, 1/3, 1/2)$	$\underline{-4}, 0, 2, \underline{8}, 10, \underline{12}$
$8_7 = K(9/23)$	$\underline{-10}, -6, -2, 0, \underline{6}$
$8_9 = K(7/25)$	$\underline{-8}, -2, 0, 2, \underline{8}$
$8_{10} = K(1/3, 2/3, 1/2)$	$\underline{-10}, -8, -\underline{6}, 0, 2, \underline{6}$
$8_{12} = K(12/29)$	$\underline{-8}, -\underline{4}, 0, \underline{4}, \underline{8}$
$8_{19} = K(1/3, 1/3, -1/2)$	$\underline{0}, \underline{12}$
$8_{20} = K(1/3, 2/3, -1/2)$	$\underline{-10}, 0, \underline{8/3}$
$8_{21} = K(2/3, 2/3, -1/2)$	$\underline{-1}, 0, 2, 6, \underline{12}$
$9_1 = K(1/9)$	$\underline{0}, \underline{18}$
$9_{11} = K(14/33)$	$\underline{-14}, -10, -\underline{4}, 0, \underline{4}$
$9_{17} = K(14/39)$	$\underline{-8}, -\underline{4}, -2, 0, 4, \underline{10}$
$9_{20} = K(15/41)$	$\underline{-4}, 0, 2, \underline{6}, 8, \underline{14}$
$9_{22} = K(3/5, 1/3, 1/2)$	$\underline{-8}, -\underline{4}, -2, 0, 2, 4, \underline{6}, 8, \underline{10}$
$9_{24} = K(1/3, 2/3, 3/2)$	$\underline{-8}, -6, -\underline{4}, -2, 0, \underline{4}, 6, \underline{10}$
$9_{26} = K(18/47)$	$\underline{-12}, -8, -6, -\underline{4}, 0, \underline{6}$
$9_{27} = K(19/49)$	$\underline{-8}, -\underline{4}, -2, 0, 2, \underline{4}, 6, \underline{10}$
$9_{28} = K(2/3, 2/3, 3/2)$	$\underline{-6}, -\underline{4}, -2, 0, 2, \underline{6}, 8, \underline{12}$
$9_{30} = K(3/5, 2/3, 1/2)$	$\underline{-10}, -6, -\underline{4}, -2, 0, 2, 4, \underline{6}, \underline{8}$
$9_{31} = K(21/55)$	$\underline{-6}, -2, 0, 2, \underline{6}, \underline{12}$
$9_{36} = K(2/5, 1/3, 1/2)$	$\underline{-14}, -12, -10, -8, -6, -\underline{4}, -2, 0, \underline{4}$
$9_{42} = K(2/5, 1/3, -1/2)$	$\underline{-6}^*, -\underline{8/3}^*, 0, \underline{8}$
$9_{43} = K(3/5, 1/3, -1/2)$	$\underline{-4}, 0, 6, \underline{8}, \underline{32/3}$
$9_{44} = K(2/5, 2/3, -1/2)$	$\underline{-14/3}, -2, -\underline{1}, 0, 2, \underline{10}$
$9_{45} = K(3/5, 2/3, -1/2)$	$\underline{-14}, -10, -8, -\underline{4}, -2, 0, \underline{1}$
$9_{48} = K(2/3, 2/3, -1/3)$	$\underline{-11}, -8, -\underline{4}, 0, \underline{4}$
$10_2 = K(8/23)$	$\underline{-4}, 0, 10, \underline{16}^*$
$10_5 = K(13/33)$	$\underline{-14}, -10, -6, 0, \underline{6}$
$10_9 = K(11/39)$	$\underline{-8}, 0, 2, 6, \underline{12}$
$10_{17} = K(9/41)$	$\underline{-10}, -2, 0, 2, \underline{10}$
$10_{29} = K(26/63)$	$\underline{-8}, -\underline{4}, -2, 0, 2, \underline{4}, 8, \underline{12}$
$10_{41} = K(26/71)$	$\underline{-8}, -\underline{4}, 0, 2, \underline{4}, 6, 8, \underline{12}$
$10_{42} = K(31/81)$	$\underline{-10}, -6, -\underline{4}, -2, 0, 2, \underline{4}, 6, \underline{10}$
$10_{43} = K(27/73)$	$\underline{-10}, -6, -\underline{4}, 0, \underline{4}, 6, \underline{10}$
$10_{44} = K(30/79)$	$\underline{-8}, -\underline{4}, 0, 2, 4, \underline{6}, \underline{12}$
$10_{45} = K(34/89)$	$\underline{-10}, -6, -\underline{4}, -2, 0, 2, \underline{4}, 6, \underline{10}$
$10_{46} = K(1/5, 1/3, 1/2)$	$\underline{-4}, 0, 2, 6, \underline{8}, 12, 14, \underline{16}$
$10_{47} = K(1/5, 2/3, 1/2)$	$\underline{-14}, -12, -10, -\underline{6}, -\underline{4}, 0, 2, \underline{6}$

$10_{48} = K(4/5, 1/3, 1/2)$	$\underline{-10}, -6, -4, -2, 0, 2, \underline{6}, 8, \underline{10}$
$10_{59} = K(2/5, 3/5, 1/2)$	$\underline{-8}, -4, 0, 2, \underline{4}, 6, 8, 10, \underline{12}$
$10_{60} = K(3/5, 3/5, 1/2)$	$\underline{-12}, -8, -4, 0, 2, \underline{6}, \underline{8}$
$10_{62} = K(1/4, 1/3, 2/3)$	$\underline{-14}, -10, -8, -2, 0, \underline{6}$
$10_{64} = K(3/4, 1/3, 1/3)$	$\underline{-8}, -2, 0, 2, 4, 6, \underline{8}, \underline{12}$
$10_{69} = K(3/5, 2/3, 2/3)$	$\underline{-14}, -12, -10, -8, -6, -4, -2, 0, 2, \underline{6}$
$10_{70} = K(2/5, 1/3, 3/2)$	$\underline{-12}, -10, -8, -6, -4, -2, 0, 2, \underline{4}, \underline{8}$
$10_{71} = K(2/5, 2/3, 3/2)$	$\underline{-10}, -6, -4, -2, 0, 2, \underline{4}, 6, 8, \underline{10}$
$10_{73} = K(3/5, 2/3, 3/2)$	$\underline{-14}, -10, -8, -6, -4, -2, 0, 2, \underline{4}, \underline{6}$
$10_{75} = K(2/3, 2/3, 5/3)$	$\underline{-8}, -2, 0, \underline{4}, 8, \underline{12}$
$10_{78} = K(2/3, 2/3, 5/2)$	$\underline{-4}, -2, 0, \underline{4}, 6, 10, 12, \underline{16}$
$10_{124} = K(1/5, 1/3, -1/2)$	$\underline{0}, \underline{15}$
$10_{125} = K(1/5, 2/3, -1/2)$	$\underline{-32/5}, -4, 0, \underline{10}$
$10_{126} = K(4/5, 1/3, -1/2)$	$\underline{-14}, -8, -6, -4, 0, \underline{8/3}$
$10_{127} = K(4/5, 2/3, -1/2)$	$\underline{-1}, \underline{0}, 2, 6, \underline{8}, 10, \underline{16}$
$10_{132} = K(2/7, 1/3, -1/2)$	$\underline{-14}, -2^*, 0, \underline{3/2^*}$
$10_{133} = K(2/7, 2/3, -1/2)$	$\underline{-1/2}, \underline{0}, 2, 10/3, 6, \underline{16}$
$10_{139} = K(1/4, 1/3, -2/3)$	$\underline{0}, \underline{12^*}, 13^*, \underline{18}, \underline{20}$
$10_{140} = K(1/4, 1/3, -1/3)$	$\underline{-8/5^*}, 0^*, \underline{14}$
$10_{141} = K(1/4, 2/3, -1/3)$	$\underline{-9/2}, -2, 0, 2, 4, \underline{12}$
$10_{143} = K(3/4, 1/3, -1/3)$	$\underline{-14}, -8, -6, -2, 0, \underline{8/3}$
$10_{145} = K(2/5, 1/3, -2/3)$	$\underline{-18}, -6^*, -4^*, \underline{0}, \underline{2}$

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Abe. “Lee’s homology and Rasmussen invariant” (2010). <https://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~kyodo/kokyuroku/contents/pdf/1716-10.pdf>.
- [2] Y. Akutsu, T. Deguchi, and T. Ohtsuki. “Invariants of colored links”. *J. Knot Th. Ram.* 01.02 (1992), pp. 161–184. [10.1142/s0218216592000094](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1142/s0218216592000094).
- [3] C. Alias. *Farkas Lemma made easy*. 2019. [acohen.gitlabpages.inria.fr/impact/impact2020/papers/IMPACT](https://acohen.gitlabpages.inria.fr/impact/impact2020/papers/IMPACT2020-01.pdf)
- [4] J. A. Baldwin and S. Sivek. “L-space knots are fibered and strongly quasipositive”. *Open Book Series* 5.1 (2022), pp. 81–94. [10.2140/obs.2022.5.81](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.2140/obs.2022.5.81).
- [5] D. Bar-Natan. *KnotTheory Mathematica package*. [katlas.org](https://katlas.org).
- [6] D. Bar-Natan and S. Garoufalidis. “On the Melvin–Morton–Rozansky conjecture”. *Inv. Math.* 125.1 (1996), pp. 103–133. [10.1007/s002220050070](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1007/s002220050070).
- [7] D. Bar-Natan and R. van der Veen. *A Fast, Strong, Topologically Meaningful and Fun Knot Invariant*. 2025. [2509.18456](https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.18456).
- [8] D. Bar-Natan and R. van der Veen. “A polynomial time knot polynomial”. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 147.1 (2018), pp. 377–397. [10.1090/proc/14166](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1090/proc/14166).
- [9] D. Bar-Natan and R. van der Veen. *Perturbed Gaussian generating functions for universal knot invariants*. 2021. [2109.02057](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.02057).
- [10] A. Beliakova and K. Hikami. “Non-semisimple invariants and Habiro’s series”. *Topology and Geometry*. EMS Press, 2021, pp. 161–174. [10.4171/irma/33-1/10](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.4171/irma/33-1/10).
- [11] J. Chae. “Knot Complement, ADO Invariants and their Deformations for Torus Knots”. *SIGMA* (2020). [10.3842/sigma.2020.134](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.3842/sigma.2020.134).



FIGURE 9. Braid  $\beta_3$  for the connected sum of the closures of  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ .

- [12] M. Culler et al. *SnapPy, a computer program for studying the geometry and topology of 3-manifolds*. [snappy.computop.org](https://snappy.computop.org).
- [13] O. T. Dasbach and X.-S. Lin. “On the head and the tail of the colored Jones polynomial”. *Compositio Math.* 142.5 (2006), pp. 1332–1342. [10.1112/S0010437X06002296](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X06002296).
- [14] D. Gale, H. W. Kuhn, and A. W. Tucker. “Linear programming and the theory of games”. *Activity analysis of production and allocation* 13 (1951), pp. 317–335.
- [15] S. Garoufalidis. “On the characteristic and deformation varieties of a knot”. *Geometry and Topology Monographs*. Vol. 7. Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2005, pp. 291–309. [10.2140/gtm.2004.7](https://doi.org/10.2140/gtm.2004.7).
- [16] S. Garoufalidis. “The degree of a  $q$ -holonomic sequence is a quadratic quasi-polynomial”. *Electronic J. of Comb.* 18.2 (2011). [10.37236/2000](https://doi.org/10.37236/2000).
- [17] S. Garoufalidis. “The Jones slopes of a knot”. *Quantum Topol.* 2.1 (2011), pp. 43–69. [0911.3627](https://doi.org/10.911.3627).
- [18] S. Garoufalidis and T. T. Q. Lê. “Nahm sums, stability and the colored Jones polynomial”. *Res. Math. Sc.* 2.1 (2015). [10.1186/2197-9847-2-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/2197-9847-2-1).
- [19] S. Garoufalidis and T. T. Q. Lê. “The colored Jones function is  $q$ -holonomic”. *Geom. Topol.* 9.3 (2005), pp. 1253–1293. [10.2140/gt.2005.9.1253](https://doi.org/10.2140/gt.2005.9.1253).
- [20] S. Garoufalidis and X. Sun. “The C-polynomial of a knot”. *Alg. Geom. Topol.* 6 (2005), pp. 1623–1653. [api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:2145381](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:2145381).
- [21] P. Ghiggini. “Knot Floer homology detects genus-one fibred knots”. *Am. J. of Math.* 130.5 (2008), pp. 1151–1169. [10.1353/ajm.0.0016](https://doi.org/10.1353/ajm.0.0016).
- [22] A. Gruen. *The  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  Symmetrically Large Coloured R Matrix*. 2022. [2212.05222](https://doi.org/2212.05222).
- [23] A. Gruen and L. San Martín Suárez. *A large color R-matrix for  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$* . 2025. [2508.15171](https://doi.org/2508.15171).
- [24] S. Gukov. “Three-dimensional quantum gravity, Chern-Simons theory, and the A-polynomial”. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 255.3 (2005), pp. 577–627. [10.1007/s00220-005-1312-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-005-1312-y).
- [25] S. Gukov and C. Manolescu. “A two-variable series for knot complements”. *Quantum Topol.* 12.1 (2021), pp. 1–109. [10.4171/qt/145](https://doi.org/10.4171/qt/145). [1904.06057](https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.06057).
- [26] S. Gukov, P. Putrov, and C. Vafa. “Fivebranes and 3-manifold homology”. *JHEP* 2017.7 (2017). [10.1007/jhep07\(2017\)071](https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep07(2017)071).
- [27] S. Gukov et al. “BPS spectra and 3-manifold invariants”. *J. Knot Th. Ram.* 29.02 (2020). [10.1142/s0218216520400039](https://doi.org/10.1142/s0218216520400039).
- [28] S. Gukov et al. “Rozansky–Witten geometry of Coulomb branches and logarithmic knot invariants”. *J. Geom. Phys.* 168 (2021), pp. 104–311. [10.1016/j.geomphys.2021.104311](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2021.104311).
- [29] L. H. Kauffman. “State models and the Jones polynomial”. *Topology* 26.3 (1987), pp. 395–407. [10.1016/0040-9383\(87\)90009-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383(87)90009-7).

- [30] K. Habiro. “A unified Witten–Reshetikhin–Turaev invariant for integral homology spheres”. *Inv. Math.* 171.1 (2007), pp. 1–81. [10.1007/s00222-007-0071-0](#).
- [31] M. Hedden. “Notions of positivity and Ozsváth–Szabó invariant”. *J. Knot Th. Ram.* 19.05 (2010), pp. 617–629. [10.1142/s0218216510008017](#).
- [32] K. Ichihara and T. W. Mattman. *Boundary slopes (nearly) bound exceptional slopes*. 2025. [2309.09918](#).
- [33] K. Ichihara and S. Mizushima. *Boundary slopes and the numbers of positive/negative crossings for Montesinos knots*. 2008. [0809.4435](#).
- [34] T. Ito and K. Kawamuro. “The defect of Bennequin–Eliashberg inequality and Bennequin surfaces”. *Indiana U. Math. J.* (2017).
- [35] V. F. R. Jones. “A polynomial invariant for knots via von Neumann algebras”. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 12.1 (1985), pp. 103–111. [10.1090/s0273-0979-1985-15304-2](#).
- [36] T. Kawamura. “An estimate of the Rasmussen invariant for links and the determination for certain links”. *Top. Appl.* 196 (2015), pp. 558–574. [10.1016/j.topol.2015.05.034](#).
- [37] M. Khovanov. “A categorification of the Jones polynomial”. *Duke Mathematical Journal* 101.3 (2000), pp. 359–426. [10.1215/S0012-7094-00-10131-7](#).
- [38] C. R. S. Lee and R. van der Veen. “Colored Jones polynomials without tails”. *Alg. Geom. Topol.* 22.6 (2022), pp. 2857–2865. [10.2140/agt.2022.22.2857](#).
- [39] C. Livingston. “Computations of the Ozsváth–Szabó knot concordance invariant”. *Geom. Topol.* 8.2 (2004), pp. 735–742. [10.2140/gt.2004.8.735](#).
- [40] C. Livingston and A. H. Moore. *KnotInfo: Table of Knot Invariants*. 2025.
- [41] A. Lobb. “Computable bounds for Rasmussen’s concordance invariant”. *Compositio Math.* 147.2 (2010), pp. 661–668. [10.1112/s0010437x10005117](#).
- [42] D. López Neumann and R. van der Veen. “Non-semisimple  $sl_2$  quantum invariants of fibred links”. *Adv. in Math.* 480 (2025), p. 110494. [10.1016/j.aim.2025.110494](#).
- [43] J. Lovejoy and R. Osburn. “The colored Jones polynomial and Kontsevich–Zagier series for double twist knots”. *J. Knot Th. Ram.* 30.05 (2021), p. 2150031. [10.1142/s0218216521500310](#).
- [44] P. M. Melvin and H. R. Morton. “The coloured Jones function”. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 169.3 (1995), pp. 501–520. [10.1007/bf02099310](#).
- [45] H. Murakami and J. Murakami. “The colored Jones polynomials and the simplicial volume of a knot”. *Acta Math.* 186.1 (2001), pp. 85–104. [10.1007/bf02392716](#).
- [46] Y. Murakami. “A Proof of a Conjecture of Gukov–Pei–Putrov–Vafa”. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 405.11 (2024). [10.1007/s00220-024-05136-x](#).
- [47] K. Murasugi. “Jones polynomials and classical conjectures in knot theory”. *Topology* 26.2 (1987), pp. 187–194. [10.1016/0040-9383\(87\)90058-9](#).
- [48] Y. Ni. “Knot Floer homology detects fibred knots”. *Inv. Math.* 170.3 (2007), pp. 577–608. [10.1007/s00222-007-0075-9](#).
- [49] T. Ohtsuki. “On the 2-loop polynomial of knots”. *Geom. Topol.* 11.3 (2007), pp. 1357–1475. [10.2140/gt.2007.11.1357](#).
- [50] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó. “Heegaard Floer homology and alternating knots”. *Geom. Topol.* 7.1 (2003), pp. 225–254. [10.2140/gt.2003.7.225](#).
- [51] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó. “Heegaard Floer homology and contact structures”. *Duke Math. J.* 129.1 (2005). [10.1215/s0012-7094-04-12912-4](#).
- [52] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó. “Holomorphic disks and knot invariants”. *Adv. in Math.* 186.1 (2004), pp. 58–116. [10.1016/j.aim.2003.05.001](#).
- [53] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó. “Knot Floer homology and rational surgeries”. *Alg. Geom. Topol.* 11.1 (2010), pp. 1–68. [10.2140/agt.2011.11.1](#).

- [54] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó. “Knot Floer homology and the four-ball genus”. *Geometry & Topology* 7.2 (2003), pp. 615–639. [10.2140/gt.2003.7.615](https://doi.org/10.2140/gt.2003.7.615).
- [55] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó. “Link Floer homology and the Thurston norm”. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* 21.3 (2008), pp. 671–709. [10.1090/s0894-0347-08-00586-9](https://doi.org/10.1090/s0894-0347-08-00586-9).
- [56] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó. “On knot Floer homology and lens space surgeries”. *Topology* 44.6 (2005), pp. 1281–1300. [10.1016/j.top.2005.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.top.2005.05.001).
- [57] S. Park. “3-Manifolds, q-series, and topological strings”. PhD thesis. California Institute of Technology, 2022. [10.7907/M1FR-6038](https://doi.org/10.7907/M1FR-6038).
- [58] S. Park. *Inverted state sums, inverted Habiro series, and indefinite theta functions*. 2021. [2106.03942](https://doi.org/10.2106.03942).
- [59] S. Park. “Large color R-matrix for knot complements and strange identities”. *J. Knot Th. Ram.* 29 (2020). [10.1142/S0218216520500972](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218216520500972).
- [60] L. Piccirillo. “The Conway knot is not slice”. *Ann. of Math.* 191.2 (2020). [10.4007/annals.2020.191.2.5](https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2020.191.2.5).
- [61] J. Rasmussen. *Floer homology and knot complements*. 2003. [math/0306378](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-9829-2).
- [62] N. Reshetikhin and V. G. Turaev. “Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups”. *Inv. Math.* 103.1 (1991), pp. 547–597. [10.1007/BF01239527](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01239527).
- [63] L. Rozansky. “Higher order terms in the Melvin–Morton expansion of the colored Jones polynomial”. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 183.2 (1997), pp. 291–306. [10.1007/bf02506408](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02506408).
- [64] L. Rudolph. “Isolated critical points of mappings from  $R^4$  to  $R^2$  and a natural splitting of the Milnor number of a classical fibered link. Part I: Basic theory; examples”. *Comm. Math. Helvetici* 62.1 (1987), pp. 630–645. [10.1007/bf02564467](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02564467).
- [65] L. Rudolph. “Positive links are strongly quasipositive”. *Proceedings of the Kirbyfest*. Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 1999, pp. 555–562. [10.2140/gtm.1999.2.555](https://doi.org/10.2140/gtm.1999.2.555).
- [66] L. Rudolph. “Quasipositivity and new knot invariants.” *Rev. Mat. U. Complutense de Madrid* 2.1 (1989), pp. 85–109. [eudml.org/doc/43291](https://eudml.org/doc/43291).
- [67] J. R. Stallings. “Constructions of fibred knots and links”. *Algebraic and Geometric topology 2*. American Mathematical Society, 1978. [10.1090/pspum/032.2](https://doi.org/10.1090/pspum/032.2).
- [68] A. Stoimenow. *Knot data tables*. [stoimenov.net/stoimeno/homepage/ptab](https://stoimenov.net/stoimeno/homepage/ptab).
- [69] J. Svoboda. *Inverted Habiro series and its residues*. 2025. [2509.22610](https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.22610).
- [70] M. B. Thistlethwaite. “A spanning tree expansion of the Jones polynomial”. *Topology* 26.3 (1987), pp. 297–309. [10.1016/0040-9383\(87\)90003-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383(87)90003-6).
- [71] S. Willetts. “A unification of the ADO and colored Jones polynomials of a knot”. *Quantum Topol.* 13.1 (2022), pp. 137–181. [10.4171/qt/161](https://doi.org/10.4171/qt/161).
- [72] E. Witten. “Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial”. *Comm. in Math. Phys.* 121.3 (1989), pp. 351–399. [10.1007/bf01217730](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01217730).