

DIAMOND LIFT OF HIROSE–SATO’S FORMULA INVOLVING THE HOFFMAN BASIS

SHIN-ICHIRO SEKI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give a new proof of Hirose–Sato’s formula for the expansion of

$$\zeta(\{2\}^{a_1-1}, 3, \dots, \{2\}^{a_r-1}, 3, \{2\}^{c-1}, 1, \{2\}^{b_1}, \dots, 1, \{2\}^{b_s})$$

in the Hoffman basis, using the drop 1 relation.

1. INTRODUCTION

For positive integers k_1, \dots, k_r with $k_r \geq 2$, the *multiple zeta value* (MZV) $\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ is defined by

$$\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_r) := \sum_{0 < n_1 < \dots < n_r} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} \dots n_r^{k_r}}.$$

Linear relations among MZVs have been studied for many years. For each positive integer c , the relation

$$(1.1) \quad \zeta(3, \{2\}^{c-1}, 1, 2) = \zeta(\{2\}^{c+2}) + 2\zeta(3, 3, \{2\}^{c-1})$$

is known as *Hoffman’s conjectural identity* and had remained open for about seventeen years. Here the notation $\{2\}^n$ denotes the string $2, \dots, 2$ in which the entry 2 is repeated n times. Charlton proved in [3] that there exists a rational number q_c such that $\zeta(3, \{2\}^{c-1}, 1, 2) = q_c \zeta(\{2\}^{c+2}) + 2\zeta(3, 3, \{2\}^{c-1})$, and Hirose and Sato proved not only Hoffman’s conjectural identity but also the following more general theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Hirose–Sato [5]). *For positive integers a, b , and c , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta(\{2\}^{a-1}, 3, \{2\}^{c-1}, 1, \{2\}^b) \\ &= \zeta(\{2\}^{a+b+c}) + \zeta(\{2\}^{a-1}, 3, \{2\}^{b-1}, 3, \{2\}^{c-1}) + \zeta(\{2\}^{b-1}, 3, \{2\}^{a-1}, 3, \{2\}^{c-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Their proof can be viewed as showing that the above identity is a consequence of the *confluence relation* later formulated in [6].

Hirose and Sato gave a further generalization in [7], and we set up notation in order to state their result. Let $\mathcal{H} := \mathbb{Z}\langle x, y \rangle$ be the non-commutative polynomial ring over \mathbb{Z} in two variables x and y . Let $z_k := yx^{k-1}$ for each positive integer k . Subrings $\mathcal{H}^{2,3} \subset \mathcal{H}^0 \subset \mathcal{H}$ are defined by $\mathcal{H}^{2,3} := \mathbb{Z}\langle z_2, z_3 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{H}^0 := \mathbb{Z} + y\mathcal{H}x$, respectively. A \mathbb{Z} -linear map $Z: \mathcal{H}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $Z(z_{k_1} \dots z_{k_r}) = \zeta(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ and $Z(1) = 1$. A product \star on $\mathcal{H}^{2,3}$ is defined inductively by

- $w \star 1 = 1 \star w = w$,
- $wz_2 \star w' = w \star w'z_2 = (w \star w')z_2$,
- $wz_3 \star w'z_3 = (w \star w'z_3)z_3 + (wz_3 \star w')z_3 + (w \star w')z_2^3$

Key words and phrases. Multiple zeta values, Finite multiple zeta values, Hoffman basis, Drop 1.

This research was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP21K13762.

for any words w and w' in $\mathcal{H}^{2,3}$, and then extended by \mathbb{Z} -bilinearity. Let τ be an anti-automorphism on \mathcal{H} defined by $\tau(x) = y$ and $\tau(y) = x$. Using this notation, we can now state the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (Hirose–Sato [7, Proposition 14]). *For $w, w' \in \mathcal{H}^{2,3}$, we have*

$$Z(w_1\tau(w_2)) = Z(w_1 \star w_2).$$

By specializing Theorem 1.2 to $w_1 = z_2^{a-1}z_3z_2^{c-1}$ and $w_2 = z_2^{b-1}z_3$, we recover Theorem 1.1. Hirose and Sato derived Theorem 1.2 from their *block shuffle identity* ([7, Theorem 10]).

Hoffman conjectured in [8] that any multiple zeta value can be expressed as a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of the elements of

$$\{\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_r) \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, k_1, \dots, k_r \in \{2, 3\}\}.$$

This conjecture was proved by Brown in [2]. Although the linear independence of these elements remains open, they are called the *Hoffman basis*. Theorem 1.2 provides a family for which the expansion in the Hoffman basis can be described explicitly, and, even more remarkably, it shows that the expansion can be taken with *integer coefficients*. In general, the coefficients are not integral; for instance,

$$\zeta(3, 1, 4) = -\frac{2048}{4125}\zeta(2, 2, 2, 2) - \frac{17}{275}\zeta(2, 3, 3) + \frac{2}{275}\zeta(3, 2, 3) + \frac{113}{275}\zeta(3, 3, 2).$$

In contrast, Hirose, Maesaka, Seki, and Watanabe recently proved in [4], together with an explicit algorithm, that any multiple zeta value can be expressed as a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of

$$\{\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_r) \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 2\}.$$

Their explicit expansions give rise to a family of relations among MZVs, which we refer to as the *drop 1 relation*. Since their expansions have integer coefficients, it is natural to ask whether Theorem 1.2 can be viewed as an expansion arising from the drop 1 relation. However, in general, expansions coming from the drop 1 relation involve MZVs with entries greater than 3, so it is not obvious whether the expansion in Theorem 1.2, in which only 2 and 3 appear, can be obtained from the drop 1 relation. The main result of this paper is that this is indeed the case.

A subring $\mathcal{H}^{\geq 2} \subset \mathcal{H}^0$ is defined by $\mathcal{H}^{\geq 2} := \mathbb{Z} + z_2\mathbb{Z}\langle x, z_2 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}\langle z_2, z_3, z_4, \dots \rangle$. A linear map $\mathcal{D}: \mathcal{H}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\geq 2}$, called the *drop 1 operator*, is defined in Definition 2.1, and its properties are summarized in Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 1.3. *For $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{2,3}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{D}(w_1\tau(w_2)) = w_1 \star w_2.$$

Not only does this theorem yield a new proof of Theorem 1.2, but it also implies, by Theorem 2.2 (i), that the same relations hold for *multiple zeta diamond values*. We omit the definition of $\zeta^\diamond(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ here; see [4, Definition 2.3]. A \mathbb{Z} -linear map $Z^\diamond: \mathcal{H}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\mathbb{N}$ is defined by $Z^\diamond(z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_r}) = \zeta^\diamond(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ and $Z^\diamond(1) = 1$.

Corollary 1.4. *For $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{2,3}$, we have*

$$Z^\diamond(w_1\tau(w_2)) = Z^\diamond(w_1 \star w_2).$$

For example, the relation corresponding to (1.1),

$$\zeta^\diamond(3, \{2\}^{c-1}, 1, 2) = \zeta^\diamond(\{2\}^{c+2}) + 2\zeta^\diamond(3, 3, \{2\}^{c-1})$$

means that, for any positive integer N ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_c < n_{c+1} < n_{c+2} < N} \frac{1}{n_1^3 n_2^2 \dots n_c^2 n_{c+1} n_{c+2}^2} \\
& + \sum_{0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_c < n_{c+1} \leq n_{c+2} < N} \frac{1}{n_1^3 n_2^2 \dots n_c^2 (N - n_{c+1}) n_{c+2}^2} \\
& = \sum_{0 < n_1 < \dots < n_{c+2} < N} \frac{1}{n_1^2 \dots n_{c+2}^2} + 2 \sum_{0 < n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots < n_{c+1} < N} \frac{1}{n_1^3 n_2^3 n_3^2 \dots n_{c+1}^2}
\end{aligned}$$

holds. Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ yields (1.1). On the other hand, if we take $N = p$ for a prime p and take modulo p , then we have the following relation among *finite multiple zeta values* (FMZVs):

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(3, \{2\}^{c-1}, 3) + 2\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(3, 3, \{2\}^{c-1}) = 0.$$

Here, for positive integers k_1, \dots, k_r , the FMZV $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ is defined as an element of $\mathcal{A} := \prod_p \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} / \bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \dots, k_r) := (\zeta_p(k_1, \dots, k_r) \bmod p)_p,$$

where p runs over all prime numbers, and

$$\zeta_p(k_1, \dots, k_r) := \sum_{0 < n_1 < \dots < n_r < p} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} \dots n_r^{k_r}}.$$

This definition is due to Kaneko and Zagier ([9]). We have used a well-known formula: $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(\{2\}^{c+2}) = 0$.

More generally, applying the same procedure to Corollary 1.4 (see Section 4 for more details), we have the following. For a word $w = z_{k_1} \dots z_{k_r}$, we set $\overleftarrow{w} := z_{k_r} \dots z_{k_1}$, and $\text{wt}(w)$ denotes the total degree of w , that is, $\text{wt}(w) = k_1 + \dots + k_r$. A \mathbb{Z} -linear map $Z_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathbb{Z} + y\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{Z}\langle z_1, z_2, \dots \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is defined by $Z_{\mathcal{A}}(z_{k_1} \dots z_{k_r}) = \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ and $Z_{\mathcal{A}}(1) = 1$.

Corollary 1.5. *For words $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{2,3}$, we have*

$$(-1)^{\text{wt}(w_2)} Z_{\mathcal{A}}(w_1 \overleftarrow{w_2}) = Z_{\mathcal{A}}(w_1 \star w_2).$$

This is a family of relations among FMZVs in which only 2 and 3 occur as entries. Since the shuffle relation for FMZVs $(-1)^{\text{wt}(w_2)} Z_{\mathcal{A}}(w_1 \overleftarrow{w_2}) = Z_{\mathcal{A}}(w_1 \sqcup w_2)$ holds (see [9, 11]), Corollary 1.5 can also be rewritten as

$$Z_{\mathcal{A}}(w_1 \sqcup w_2 - w_1 \star w_2) = 0$$

which is of the form of a certain double shuffle relation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Professor Minoru Hirose for kindly answering his questions about the previous works by Hirose and Sato. This research was inspired by a question that came to mind when the author got married, and the author would like to thank his wife, Yui, for marrying him.

2. THE DROP 1 OPERATOR

We introduce the following notation to define the main operator. Let t be a positive integer, let $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_{2t})$ be a tuple of positive integers, and let $S \subset \mathbb{Z}$.

- $\#A$ denotes the cardinality of a finite set A .
- $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. $[0] = \emptyset$.
- $[2t]_{\mathbf{c}}^1 := \{i \in [2t] \mid c_i = 1\}$.
- $[2t]_{\mathbf{c}}^{>1} := \{i \in [2t] \mid c_i > 1\}$.
- $\mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}(S) := \{A \subset S \mid i \in A \cap 2\mathbb{Z} \iff i+1 \in A \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}\}$.
- $\mathcal{P}^{\text{oe}}(S) := \{A \subset S \mid i \in A \setminus 2\mathbb{Z} \iff i+1 \in A \cap 2\mathbb{Z}\}$.
- $\mathcal{P}^{\text{oe}}_{\text{red}}(S) := \{A \subset S \mid i \in A \cap 2\mathbb{Z} \implies i+1 \notin A \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}\}$.
- $\mathcal{P}^{\text{oe}}_{\text{red}}(S) := \{A \subset S \mid i \in A \setminus 2\mathbb{Z} \implies i+1 \notin A \cap 2\mathbb{Z}\}$.
- $\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} := (c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_r})$, where $[2t] \setminus A = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ with $i_1 < \dots < i_r$, for $A \subset [2t]$.
- $\mathbf{c}_{(-\emptyset)} = \mathbf{c}$, $\mathbf{c}_{(-[2t])} = \emptyset$.
- $\delta_{i \in B} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in B, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ for a set B .
- $\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \delta_B := (c_{i_1} - \delta_{i_1 \in B}, \dots, c_{i_r} - \delta_{i_r \in B})$ for the above $\mathbf{c}_{(-A)}$ and $B \subset [2t]$.

Definition 2.1 (Hirose–Maesaka–Seki–Watanabe [4]). We define the *drop 1 operator* $\mathcal{D}: \mathcal{H}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\geq 2}$ to be a \mathbb{Z} -linear map given as follows. It suffices to define $\mathcal{D}(w)$ for each word $w \in \mathcal{H}^0$. For $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_{2t})$, we write $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}) = \mathcal{D}(y^{c_1}x^{c_2} \dots y^{c_{2t-1}}x^{c_{2t}})$. The image $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c})$ is defined inductively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}) = & \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([2t]_{\mathbf{c}}^1) \\ B \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{oe}}_{\text{red}}([2t]_{\mathbf{c}}^{>1}) \\ \#A + \#B \geq 1}} (-1)^{\#B-1} \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \delta_B) x^{\#A + \#B} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([2t]_{\mathbf{c}}^1) \\ B \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{oe}}_{\text{red}}([2t]_{\mathbf{c}}^{>1}) \\ \#A + \#B \geq 2}} (-1)^{\#B-1} \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \delta_B) z^{\#A + \#B} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{oe}}([2t]_{\mathbf{c}}^1) \\ B \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{oe}}_{\text{red}}([2t]_{\mathbf{c}}^{>1}) \\ \#A + \#B \geq 2}} (-1)^{\#B} \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \delta_B) z^{\#A + \#B}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the initial condition is $\mathfrak{D}(\emptyset) = \mathcal{D}(1) = 1$.

Theorem 2.2 (Hirose–Maesaka–Seki–Watanabe [4]). *The drop 1 operator \mathcal{D} satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) $\{w - \mathcal{D}(w) \mid w \in \mathcal{H}^0\} = \text{Ker}(Z^\diamond) \subset \text{Ker}(Z)$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{D}(w) = w$ for $w \in \mathcal{H}^{\geq 2}$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{D}(w) = \mathcal{D}(\tau(w))$ for $w \in \mathcal{H}^0$.

In particular, the identity $Z(w) = Z(\mathcal{D}(w))$ implies that any MZV can be expanded as a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of $\{\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_r) \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 2\}$. Theorem 2.2 (iii) is not mentioned explicitly in [4], but it follows easily by induction from the definition; it can also be proved as follows. As noted in [4, the last sentence of Section 3], one has $w - \tau(w) \in \text{Ker}(Z^\diamond)$. Hence, by (i), there exists $v \in \mathcal{H}^0$ such that $w - \tau(w) = v - \mathcal{D}(v)$. Therefore, by (ii), we have

$\mathcal{D}(w - \tau(w)) = \mathcal{D}(v - \mathcal{D}(v)) = 0$. Although it is beyond the scope of this paper, we state the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2.3. *For words w, w' in \mathcal{H}^0 , if $\mathcal{D}(w) = \mathcal{D}(w')$, then either $w' = w$ or $w' = \tau(w)$.*

The corresponding statement with \mathcal{D} replaced by Z was conjectured by Borwein, Bradley, Broadhurst, and Lisoněk in [1]. By (i), if their conjecture is true, then the above conjecture is also true.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Proof of Theorem 1.3. It suffices to consider the case where w_1 and w_2 are words, and we prove the claim by induction on $\text{wt}(w_1) + \text{wt}(w_2)$. If $w_1 = 1$ or $w_2 = 1$, then the claim is clear from Theorem 2.2 (ii) and (iii). For non-negative integers r, s , and positive integers $a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_s, c$, it suffices to consider the case

$$w_1 \tau(w_2) = z_2^{a_1-1} z_3 \cdots z_2^{a_r-1} z_3 z_2^{c-1} y z_2^{b_1} \cdots y z_2^{b_s},$$

without loss of generality. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c} &:= [a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_s; c] \\ &:= (\{1\}^{2a_1-1}, 2, \dots, \{1\}^{2a_r-1}, 2, \{1\}^{2c-2}, 2, \{1\}^{2b_1-1}, \dots, 2, \{1\}^{2b_s-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{D}(w_1 \tau(w_2)) = \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c})$ holds. When $r = 0$, read as

$$\mathbf{c} = [\emptyset; b_1, \dots, b_s; c] = (\{1\}^{2c-2}, 2, \{1\}^{2b_1-1}, \dots, 2, \{1\}^{2b_s-1});$$

similarly for $s = 0$. For a set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and an integer l , we use the notation $A+l := \{a+l \mid a \in A\}$. Let $l_1 := a_1, l_2 := a_1 + a_2, \dots, l_r := a_1 + \cdots + a_r, m_1 := b_1, m_2 := b_1 + b_2, \dots, m_s := b_1 + \cdots + b_s$, and $t := l_r + m_s + c - 1$. When $r = 0$ (resp. $s = 0$), $l_r := 0$ (resp. $m_s := 0$). Since we are considering the induction step, we may assume that $t > 0$. Note that $\text{wt}(w_1 \tau(w_2))$ equals $2t + r + s$, not t . In this situation, $[2t]_c^{\geq 1} = \{2l_1, 2l_2, \dots, 2l_r\} \cup (\{0, 2m_1, 2m_2, \dots, 2m_{s-1}\} + (2l_r + 2c - 1))$ and $\mathcal{P}^{\text{oe}}([2t]_c^{\geq 1}) = \mathcal{P}([2t]_c^{\geq 1})$ hold, where $\mathcal{P}([2t]_c^{\geq 1})$ denotes the power set of $[2t]_c^{\geq 1}$.

We consider the case $c > 1$. Then $\mathcal{P}^{\text{oe}}([2t]_c^{\geq 1}) = \mathcal{P}([2t]_c^{\geq 1})$ holds. For $A \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([2t]_c^1)$, decompose it as $A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$, where

$$A_1 := A \cap [2l_r - 1], \quad A_2 := A \cap ([2l_r + 2c - 2] \setminus [2l_r]), \quad A_3 := A \setminus [2l_r + 2c - 1].$$

We define a map $\iota_1: \mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([2t]_c^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\text{oe}}([2t]_c^1)$ by

$$\iota_1(A) := (A_1 - 1) \cup (A_2 - 1) \cup \{2l_r + 2c - 3, 2l_r + 2c - 2\} \cup (A_3 + 1)$$

and a map $\iota_2: \mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([2t]_c^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\text{oe}}([2t]_c^1)$ by

$$\iota_2(A) := (A_1 - 1) \cup (A_2 - 1) \cup (A_3 + 1).$$

A bijection $\mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([2t]_c^1) \sqcup \mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([2t]_c^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\text{oe}}([2t]_c^1)$ is obtained by sending an element A in the first copy of $\mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([2t]_c^1)$ to $\iota_1(A)$, and an element A in the second copy of $\mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([2t]_c^1)$ to $\iota_2(A)$. For $A \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([2t]_c^1)$, we have $\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} = \mathbf{c}_{(-\iota_2(A))}$. Therefore, if we compute the defining recurrence for the drop 1 operator using this bijection, every term in the second sum is canceled by a term in the third sum, and we are left with

$$\mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}) = \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([2t]_c^1) \\ B \in \mathcal{P}([2t]_c^{\geq 1}) \\ \#A + \#B \geq 1}} (-1)^{\#B-1} \left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \boldsymbol{\delta}_B) - \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-\iota_1(A))} - \boldsymbol{\delta}_B) z_2 \right) x^{\#A + \#B}$$

$$+ \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-\{2l_r+2c-3, 2l_r+2c-2\})})z_2.$$

For $A \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([2t]_c^1)$ or $\mathcal{P}^{\text{oe}}([2t]_c^1)$, there exist $a'_1, \dots, a'_r, b'_1, \dots, b'_s$, and c' such that

$$\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} = [a'_1, \dots, a'_r; b'_1, \dots, b'_s; c'].$$

Here $a'_1 \in [a_1], \dots, a'_r \in [a_r], b'_1 \in [b_1], \dots, b'_s \in [b_s], c' \in [c]$, and $a'_1 + \dots + a'_r + b'_1 + \dots + b'_s + c' = t + 1 - \#A/2$. Moreover, upon adding $-\delta_B$, addition occurs between the corresponding components; for example, when

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c} &= [2, 1, 3; 4, 1, 1, 2; 3] \\ &= (1, 1, 1, \overset{4}{2}, \overset{5}{1}, \overset{6}{2}, \overset{7}{1}, \overset{8}{1}, \overset{9}{1}, \overset{10}{1}, \overset{11}{1}, \overset{12}{2}, \overset{13}{1}, \overset{14}{1}, \overset{15}{1}, \overset{16}{1}, \overset{17}{2}, \overset{18}{1}, \overset{19}{1}, \overset{20}{1}, \overset{21}{1}, \overset{22}{1}, \overset{23}{1}, \overset{24}{1}, \overset{25}{2}, \overset{26}{1}, \overset{27}{2}, \overset{28}{1}, \overset{29}{2}, \overset{30}{1}, \overset{31}{1}, \overset{32}{1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$A = \{2, 3, 8, 9, 14, 15, 18, 19, 22, 23, 30, 31\} \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([32]_{[2,1,3;4,1,1,2;3]}^1),$$

and

$$B = \{4, 17, 27\} \subset [32]_{[2,1,3;4,1,1,2;3]}^{>1} = \{4, 6, 12, 17, 25, 27, 29\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} [2, 1, 3; 4, 1, 1, 2; 3]_{(-\{2,3,8,9,14,15,18,19,22,23,30,31\})} &= [1, 1, 2; 2, 1, 1, 1; 2] \\ &= (1, \overset{4}{2}, \overset{5}{1}, \overset{6}{2}, \overset{7}{1}, \overset{8}{1}, \overset{9}{1}, \overset{10}{1}, \overset{11}{1}, \overset{12}{2}, \overset{13}{1}, \overset{14}{1}, \overset{15}{1}, \overset{16}{1}, \overset{17}{2}, \overset{18}{1}, \overset{19}{1}, \overset{20}{1}, \overset{21}{1}, \overset{22}{1}, \overset{23}{1}, \overset{24}{1}, \overset{25}{2}, \overset{26}{1}, \overset{27}{2}, \overset{28}{1}, \overset{29}{2}, \overset{30}{1}, \overset{31}{1}, \overset{32}{1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [2, 1, 3; 4, 1, 1, 2; 3]_{(-\{2,3,8,9,14,15,18,19,22,23,30,31\})} - \delta_{\{4,17,27\}} \\ &= [1 + 1, 2; 1 + 1, 1; 2 + 2] = [2, 2; 2, 1; 4] \\ &= (1, \overset{4}{1}, \overset{5}{1}, \overset{6}{2}, \overset{7}{1}, \overset{8}{1}, \overset{9}{1}, \overset{10}{1}, \overset{11}{1}, \overset{12}{2}, \overset{13}{1}, \overset{14}{1}, \overset{15}{1}, \overset{16}{1}, \overset{17}{1}, \overset{18}{1}, \overset{19}{1}, \overset{20}{1}, \overset{21}{1}, \overset{22}{1}, \overset{23}{1}, \overset{24}{1}, \overset{25}{2}, \overset{26}{1}, \overset{27}{1}, \overset{28}{1}, \overset{29}{2}, \overset{30}{1}, \overset{31}{1}, \overset{32}{1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any A, B with $\#A + \#B \geq 1$, the induction hypothesis implies that there exist words v_1, v_2 in $\mathcal{H}^{2,3}$ such that $\mathbf{c}_{(\iota_1(-A))} - \delta_B$ corresponds to $v_1\tau(v_2)$, and we have

$$\mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \delta_B) - \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-\iota_1(A))} - \delta_B)z_2 = \mathcal{D}(v_1z_2\tau(v_2)) - \mathcal{D}(v_1\tau(v_2))z_2 = v_1z_2\star v_2 - (v_1\star v_2)z_2 = 0.$$

Since we are in the case $c > 1$, we can write $w_1 = wz_2$ with a certain word w in $\mathcal{H}^{2,3}$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}) &= \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-\{2l_r+2c-3, 2l_r+2c-2\})})z_2 = \mathfrak{D}([a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_s; c-1])z_2 = \mathcal{D}(w\tau(w_2))z_2 \\ &= (w\star w_2)z_2 = wz_2\star w_2 = w_1\star w_2. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to consider the case $c = 1$. In this case, we have $\mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([2t]_c^{>1}) = \{B \in \mathcal{P}([2t]_c^{>1}) \mid \{2l_r, 2l_r + 1\} \not\subset B\}$. For $A \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([2t]_c^1)$, decompose it as $A = A_1 \cup A_3$, where

$$A_1 := A \cap [2l_r - 1], \quad A_3 := A \setminus [2l_r + 1].$$

We define a map $\iota_2: \mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([2t]_c^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\text{oe}}([2t]_c^1)$ by

$$\iota_2(A) := (A_1 - 1) \cup (A_3 + 1).$$

Since ι_2 is a bijection in this case, we compute

$$\mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}) = \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([2t]_c^1) \\ B \in \mathcal{P}([2t]_c^{>1}) \\ \{2l_r, 2l_r+1\} \not\subset B \\ \#A + \#B \geq 1}} (-1)^{\#B-1} \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \delta_B) x^{\#A + \#B}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{oe}}([2t]_c^1) \\ B \in \mathcal{P}([2t]_c^1) \\ \{2l_r, 2l_r+1\} \subset B}} (-1)^{\#B} \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \delta_B) z_{\#A+\#B} \\
& = \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{eo}}([2t]_c^1) \\ B \in \mathcal{P}([2t]_c^1) \\ 2l_r, 2l_r+1 \notin B \\ \#A+\#B \geq 1}} (-1)^{\#B-1} F(\mathbf{c}, A, B) x^{\#A+\#B} \\
& + \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c} - \delta_{\{2l_r\}})x + \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c} - \delta_{\{2l_r+1\}})x + \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c} - \delta_{\{2l_r, 2l_r+1\}})z_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\mathbf{c}, A, B) &:= \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \delta_B) - \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \delta_{B \cup \{2l_r\}})x - \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \delta_{B \cup \{2l_r+1\}})x \\
& - \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \delta_{B \cup \{2l_r, 2l_r+1\}})z_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since we may assume that $r \geq 1$ and $s \geq 1$, when $2l_r, 2l_r+1 \notin B$, the same argument as in the previous case shows that $\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \delta_B$ takes the form

$$\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \delta_B = [a'_1, \dots, a'_{r'}, b'_1, \dots, b'_{s'}; 1],$$

where $r', s', a'_1, \dots, a'_{r'}$, and $b'_1, \dots, b'_{s'}$ are suitable positive integers. Therefore, for any A, B with $2l_r, 2l_r+1 \notin B$ and $\#A + \#B \geq 1$, the induction hypothesis implies that there exist words v_1, v_2 in $\mathcal{H}^{2,3}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \delta_B) &= \mathcal{D}(v_1 z_3 \tau(v_2 z_3)) \\
&= v_1 z_3 \star v_2 z_3 \\
&= (v_1 \star v_2 z_3) z_3 + (v_1 z_3 \star v_2) z_3 + (v_1 \star v_2) z_2^3 \\
&= (v_1 z_2 \star v_2 z_3) x + (v_1 z_3 \star v_2 z_2) x + (v_1 z_2 \star v_2 z_2) z_2 \\
&= \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \delta_{B \cup \{2l_r\}})x + \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \delta_{B \cup \{2l_r+1\}})x \\
& + \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_{(-A)} - \delta_{B \cup \{2l_r, 2l_r+1\}})z_2,
\end{aligned}$$

that is, $F(\mathbf{c}, A, B) = 0$. Since we are in the case $c = 1$, $r \geq 1$, and $s \geq 1$, we can write $w_1 = w z_3$ and $w_2 = w' z_3$ with certain words w, w' in $\mathcal{H}^{2,3}$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}) &= \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c} - \delta_{\{2l_r\}})x + \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c} - \delta_{\{2l_r+1\}})x + \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c} - \delta_{\{2l_r, 2l_r+1\}})z_2 \\
&= \mathfrak{D}([a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}; b_1, \dots, b_s; a_r + 1])x + \mathfrak{D}([a_1, \dots, a_r; b_2, \dots, b_s; b_1 + 1])x \\
& + \mathfrak{D}([a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}; b_2, \dots, b_s; a_r + b_1 + 1])z_2 \\
&= \mathcal{D}(w z_2 \tau(w' z_3))x + \mathcal{D}(w z_3 \tau(w' z_2))x + \mathcal{D}(w z_2 \tau(w' z_2))z_2 \\
&= (w z_2 \star w' z_3)x + (w z_3 \star w' z_2)x + (w z_2 \star w' z_2)z_2 \\
&= (w \star w' z_3)z_3 + (w z_3 \star w')z_3 + (w \star w')z_2^3 \\
&= w z_3 \star w' z_3 = w_1 \star w_2.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

In relation to the main result, we can consider the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.1. *For any word w in \mathcal{H}^0 that cannot be written in the form $w_1 \tau(w_2)$ for words w_1, w_2 in $\mathcal{H}^{2,3}$, we have $\mathcal{D}(w) \notin \mathcal{H}^{2,3}$.*

Hirose and Sato conjectured in [7, Remark 15] that the expansion of an MZV in the Hoffman basis has integer coefficients only for the expansion provided by Theorem 1.2. If their conjecture is true, then Conjecture 3.1 also follows.

4. PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.5

For the definitions of ζ_N^\diamond , ζ_N^\flat , and $\zeta_N^{\diamond\flat}$, see [4, Definitions 2.3, 3.4, and 3.9]. Here we take $N = p$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let p be a prime and s be a positive integer. Let $a_1, \dots, a_s, b_1, \dots, b_s$ be positive integers. Set $l := a_1 + \dots + a_s - s$. Then, we have*

$$\zeta_p^\diamond(\{1\}^{a_1-1}, b_1 + 1, \dots, \{1\}^{a_s-1}, b_s + 1) \equiv (-1)^l \zeta_p(a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_s + b_s) \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. By [4, Corollary 3.10] and [10, Theorem 1.3] (= [4, Theorem 3.5]), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta_p^\diamond(\{1\}^{a_1-1}, b_1 + 1, \dots, \{1\}^{a_s-1}, b_s + 1) \\ &= \zeta_p^{\diamond\flat}(\{1\}^{a_1-1}, b_1 + 1, \dots, \{1\}^{a_s-1}, b_s + 1) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 < n_{i,1} \leq \dots \leq n_{i,a_i} \leq m_{i,1} \leq \dots \leq m_{i,b_i} < p \\ m_{i,b_i} < n_{i+1,1} \quad (i \in [s-1])}} \prod_{i \in [s]} \frac{1}{(p - n_{i,1}) \cdots (p - n_{i,a_i})} \frac{1}{m_{i,1} \cdots m_{i,b_i}} \\ &\equiv (-1)^l \sum_{\substack{0 < n_{i,1} \leq \dots \leq n_{i,a_i} \leq m_{i,1} \leq \dots \leq m_{i,b_i} < p \\ m_{i,b_i} < n_{i+1,1} \quad (i \in [s-1])}} \prod_{i \in [s]} \frac{1}{(p - n_{i,1}) n_{i,2} \cdots n_{i,a_i}} \frac{1}{m_{i,1} \cdots m_{i,b_i}} \pmod{p} \\ &= (-1)^l \zeta_p^\flat(a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_s + b_s) = (-1)^l \zeta_p(a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_s + b_s). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Corollary 1.5. Corollary 1.4 is an identity in $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$. By extracting the sequence of p -components over primes p and projecting it to an identity in \mathcal{A} , we have the desired equality. For this argument, it remains to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta_p^\diamond(\{2\}^{a_1-1}, 3, \dots, \{2\}^{a_r-1}, 3, \{2\}^{c-1}, 1, \{2\}^{b_1}, \dots, 1, \{2\}^{b_s}) \\ &\equiv (-1)^s \zeta_p(\{2\}^{a_1-1}, 3, \dots, \{2\}^{a_r-1}, 3, \{2\}^{c-1}, 3, \{2\}^{b_1-1}, \dots, 3, \{2\}^{b_s-1}) \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

This follows immediately from Lemma 4.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. Borwein, D. M. Bradley, D. J. Broadhurst, P. Lisoněk, *Special values of multiple polylogarithms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), 907–941.
- [2] F. Brown, *Mixed Tate motives over \mathbb{Z}* , Ann. of Math. **175** (2012), 949–976.
- [3] S. P. Charlton, *Identities arising from coproducts on multiple zeta values and multiple polylogarithms*, Durham theses, 2016.
- [4] M. Hirose, T. Maesaka, S. Seki, T. Watanabe, *The \mathbb{Z} -module of multiple zeta values is generated by ones for indices without ones*, preprint, arXiv:2505.07221.
- [5] M. Hirose, N. Sato, *Hoffman’s conjectural identity*, Int. J. Number Theory **15** (2019), 167–171.
- [6] M. Hirose, N. Sato, *Iterated integrals on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty, z\}$ and a class of relations among multiple zeta values*, Adv. Math. **348** (2019), 163–182.
- [7] M. Hirose, N. Sato, *Block shuffle identities for multiple zeta values*, preprint, arXiv:2206.03458.
- [8] M. Hoffman, *The algebra of multiple harmonic series*, J. Algebra **194** (1997), 477–495.
- [9] M. Kaneko, D. Zagier, *Finite multiple zeta values*, preprint.
- [10] T. Maesaka, S. Seki, T. Watanabe, *Deriving two dualities simultaneously from a family of identities for multiple harmonic sums*, preprint, arXiv:2402.05730.

- [11] M. Ono, *Finite multiple zeta values associated with 2-colored rooted trees*, J. Number Theory **181** (2017), 99–116.

NAGAHAMA INSTITUTE OF BIO-SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 1266, TAMURA, NAGAHAMA, SHIGA, 526-0829, JAPAN

Email address: `s_seki@nagahama-i-bio.ac.jp`