

A NOTE ON THE HYPERGEOMETRIC DATUM $((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}), (1, 1))$ AND SYMMETRIC SQUARES OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

PENGCHENG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. This is an expository note on a mod p congruence relating the truncated hypergeometric sums associated to $((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}), (1, 1))$ to symmetric squares of elliptic curves.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries on elliptic curves	2
3. Reinterpretation of $a_p(E)^2$	3
4. The case when $(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{p}) = 1$	6
5. The case when $(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{p}) = -1$	7
References	11

1. INTRODUCTION

In this short note, we will prove a mod p congruence relating the truncated hypergeometric sums associated to $((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}), (1, 1))$ to symmetric squares of elliptic curves. Though this congruence should follow from previous works on hypergeometric functions, the result does not appear very well-documented (see Remark 1.2). This note thus serves mostly as an exposition which aims at proving the congruence in a self-contained way.

We first make some definitions. Given a hypergeometric datum (α, β) with

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \\ \beta &= (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1},\end{aligned}$$

the associated hypergeometric function is defined as

$${}_nF_{n-1} \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{matrix}; z \right] := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_m (\alpha_2)_m \cdots (\alpha_n)_m}{(\beta_1)_m (\beta_2)_m \cdots (\beta_{n-1})_m} \cdot \frac{z^m}{m!}$$

and the truncated hypergeometric sum is defined as

$${}_nF_{n-1} \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{matrix}; z \right]_r := \sum_{m=0}^r \frac{(\alpha_1)_m (\alpha_2)_m \cdots (\alpha_n)_m}{(\beta_1)_m (\beta_2)_m \cdots (\beta_{n-1})_m} \cdot \frac{z^m}{m!},$$

where $(a)_m := \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (a+j)$. In general, for a power series

Let L be a number field and let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of L . Let $N(\mathfrak{p})$ denote the ideal norm of \mathfrak{p} , $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the \mathfrak{p} -adic valuation on L , and $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the residue field at \mathfrak{p} . For $a \in L$ with $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) \geq 0$, define

$$\left(\frac{a}{\mathfrak{p}} \right) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \text{ is a square in } \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}, \\ -1 & \text{if } a \text{ is not a square in } \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}, \\ 0 & \text{if } a = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{cases}$$

When $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 2$, it is easy to check that

$$\left(\frac{a}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = a^{\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}{2}}$$

as elements in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Now, for an elliptic curve E/L and a good prime \mathfrak{p} of E , define

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E) := N(\mathfrak{p}) + 1 - |E(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})|.$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let L be a number field, E/L be an elliptic curve with $j(E) \notin \{0, 1728\}$, and \mathfrak{p} be a prime of L that is a good prime of E . Suppose that $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 6$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(j(E)) = 0 = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(j(E) - 1728)$. Then,*

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E)^2 \equiv \left(\frac{1 - 1728/j(E)}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \cdot {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 \end{matrix}; \frac{1728}{j(E)}\right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Remark 1.2. We make several remarks on the relating works and references.

- (1) An analogue of this congruence (at least over \mathbb{Q}) is known for hypergeometric character sums by [HLLT25, Theorem 1] where one obtains an equality between $a_p(E)^2 - p$, i.e., the trace of Frobenius at p on $\text{Sym}^2 H_{\text{ét}}^1(E, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$, and the product of $(\frac{1-1728/j(E)}{p})$ and the hypergeometric character sum associated to $((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}), (1, 1))$. Here one should be able to relate hypergeometric character sums to truncated hypergeometric sums (see [Lon20, Theorem 4], [AGLT25, Lemma 5.9], [HLLT25, Section 4.5], and [BRS⁺25, Section 7.2]).
- (2) In the CM case, it is expected that the congruence should hold modulo \mathfrak{p}^2 , namely, the phenomenon of *supercongruences* arises, if one replaces $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E)^2$ by $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E)^2 - 2N(\mathfrak{p})$. Here we refer to [Mor05, Theorem 4], [Sun12, Theorem 1.3], [BRS⁺25, Section 5 and Section 6], and [Beu25, Theorem 1.26] for relevant results on supercongruences over \mathbb{Q} , we refer to [Zud18, Observation 4] for some explicit observations on the supercongruences in the ${}_3F_2$ case, and we refer to [RV03, RRV19] for general discussions on hypergeometric supercongruences.
- (3) The result by Asakura [Asa25, Corollary 0.2] may be viewed as some analogue of this congruence from the viewpoint of Galois representations.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Ling Long, Danylo Radchenko, Fernando Rodriguez Villegas, Fang-Ting Tu, and Wadim Zudilin for useful discussions on hypergeometric functions. Moreover, the author is especially grateful to Chi Hong Chow for his vital idea on proving Proposition 5.3.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON ELLIPTIC CURVES

We start by recalling some well-known preliminary results on elliptic curves for completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *Let L be a number field and let*

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 : y^2 &= x^3 + A_1x + B_1 \\ E_2 : y^2 &= x^3 + A_2x + B_2 \end{aligned}$$

be two elliptic curves over L . Suppose that $j(E_1) = j(E_2) \notin \{0, 1728\}$. Let $\gamma = \frac{A_1/B_1}{A_2/B_2}$. Then, E_1 and E_2 are isomorphic over $L(\sqrt{\gamma})$, and they are isomorphic over L if and only if $\gamma \in (L^{\times})^2$.

Proof. This is exactly [Sil09, Chapter X, Exercise 10.21, p. 360]. We will give a proof of the first part, which is essentially the same proof of [Sil09, Chapter III, Proposition 1.4 (b), p. 47].

Since $j(E_1) = j(E_2)$, we have

$$\frac{(4A_1)^3}{4A_1^3 + 27B_1^2} = \frac{(4A_2)^3}{4A_2^3 + 27B_2^2},$$

and hence

$$(A_1/A_2)^3 = (B_1/B_2)^2.$$

Here all A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 are nonzero since $j(E_1) = j(E_2) \notin \{0, 1728\}$. Thus, over $L(\sqrt{\gamma})$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &\xrightarrow{\sim} E_2 \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto ((A_2/A_1)^{1/2}x, (B_2/B_1)^{1/2}y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *Let L be a number field and E_1, E_2 be two elliptic curves over L . Suppose that $j(E_1) = j(E_2) \notin \{0, 1728\}$. Then, $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_1)^2 = a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_2)^2$ for all good primes \mathfrak{p} of both E_1 and E_2 .*

Proof. Fix a good prime \mathfrak{p} of both E_1 and E_2 and fix a rational prime ℓ with $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \ell$. Let $\rho_i : \text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ be the ℓ -adic Galois representation attached to E_i . By Lemma 2.1, there exists a quadratic extension L'/L such that $\rho_1|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L')} \cong \rho_2|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L')}$. As $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}^2 \in \text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L')$ since L'/L is quadratic, we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\rho_1(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}))^2 &= \text{tr}(\rho_1(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}^2)) + 2 \det(\rho_1(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})) = \text{tr}(\rho_2(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}^2)) + 2 \det(\rho_2(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})) \\ &= \text{tr}(\rho_2(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}))^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we also use that $\det(\rho_i(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})) = N(\mathfrak{p})$. The result now follows from the fact that $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_i) = \text{tr}(\rho_i(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$. □

3. REINTERPRETATION OF $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E)^2$

Now, we will do a first reinterpretation of $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E)^2$. Since $j(E) \notin \{0, 1728\}$, by Lemma 2.2, we may replace E/L by a different elliptic curve with the same j -invariant. Let

$$j_0 := j(E) \in L - \{0, 1728\} \quad \text{and} \quad z_0 = 1 - 1728/j_0 \in L - \{0, 1\}.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} E_0/L : \quad y^2 &= x^3 - \frac{1}{48z_0^3}x + \frac{1}{864z_0^4} \\ E_1/L(\sqrt{z_0}) : y^2 + xy &= x^3 - \frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{864}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $j(E_0) = j(E_1) = j_0$, $\text{disc}(E_0) = j_0^8 \cdot (j_0 - 1728)^{-9}$, and $\text{disc}(E_1) = j_0^{-1}$. We will thus replace E in Theorem 1.1 by E_0 and give a reinterpretation of $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2$.

Lemma 3.1. *The two elliptic curves E_0 and E_1 are isomorphic over $L(\sqrt[4]{z_0})$, and they are isomorphic over $L(\sqrt{z_0})$ if and only if $L(\sqrt{z_0}) = L(\sqrt[4]{z_0})$.*

Proof. Writing $(x_1, y_1) = (x, y + \frac{1}{2}x)$, we obtain that E_1 is isomorphic to

$$y_1^2 = x_1^3 + \frac{1}{4}x_1^2 - \frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{864}.$$

Writing $(x_2, y_2) = (x_1 + \frac{1}{12}, y_1)$, we obtain that E_1 is isomorphic to

$$E'_1 : y_2^2 = x_2^3 - \frac{1}{48}x_2 + \frac{\sqrt{z_0}}{864}.$$

Now, one computes that

$$\frac{-1/(48z_0^3)}{1/(864z_0^4)} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{z_0}/864}{-1/48} = z_0^{3/2}$$

and applies Lemma 2.1 to E_0 (over $L(\sqrt{z_0})$) and E'_1 . The result then follows. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of L with $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 6$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(j_0(j_0 - 1728)) = 0$. Let \mathfrak{P} be a prime of $L(\sqrt{z_0})$ that lies above \mathfrak{p} and let p be the rational prime that lies below \mathfrak{p} . Then,*

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv \begin{cases} a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1)^2 & \text{if } (\frac{z_0}{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1 \\ -(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}})a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) & \text{if } (\frac{z_0}{\mathfrak{p}}) = -1 \end{cases} \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. First, suppose that $\left(\frac{z_0}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = 1$, i.e., z_0 is a square in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$. Then, $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$. By Lemma 3.1, the reduction of E_0 at \mathfrak{p} and the reduction of E_1 at \mathfrak{P} are isomorphic over $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\sqrt[4]{z_0})$. By the same argument as in Lemma 2.2, one obtains that

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 = a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1)^2.$$

Now, suppose that $\left(\frac{z_0}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = -1$, i.e., z_0 is not a square in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$. Then, $L \neq L(\sqrt{z_0})$, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P}$ is inert in $L(\sqrt{z_0})$, and $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}} = \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\sqrt{z_0})$. We first take a digression and discuss when $\sqrt{z_0}$ is a square in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}$. Note that the polynomial $X^2 - \sqrt{z_0}$ has a root in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ if and only if $\sqrt{z_0}^{(N(\mathfrak{P})-1)/2} = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$, i.e., $z_0^{(N(\mathfrak{p})^2-1)/4} = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since \mathfrak{p} is inert in $L(\sqrt{z_0})$, we have $z_0^{(N(\mathfrak{p})-1)/2} = -1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This means that $X^2 - \sqrt{z_0}$ has a root in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ if and only if $(-1)^{(N(\mathfrak{p})+1)/2} = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. That is, $\sqrt{z_0}$ is a square in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}$ if and only if $\left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = -1$.

We now return to the proof. Let $E'_0/L(\sqrt{z_0})$ be the base change of E_0/L to $L(\sqrt{z_0})$. Then, by interpreting $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)$ and $a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E'_0)$ as traces of Frobeniuses, one obtains that

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E'_0) \pmod{p}.$$

Suppose first that E'_0 and E_1 are already isomorphic over $L(\sqrt{z_0})$. Then, $L(\sqrt{z_0}) = L(\sqrt[4]{z_0})$ by Lemma 3.1. In particular, $\sqrt{z_0}$ is a square in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}$, so $\left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = -1$. Then,

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E'_0) \equiv a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) \equiv -\left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) \pmod{p}.$$

Now, suppose that E'_0 and E_1 are not isomorphic over $L(\sqrt{z_0})$. Let χ denote the quadratic character associated to the quadratic extension $L(\sqrt[4]{z_0})/L(\sqrt{z_0})$, i.e., for a prime \mathfrak{Q} of $L(\sqrt{z_0})$ that is unramified in $L(\sqrt[4]{z_0})/L(\sqrt{z_0})$,

$$\chi(\mathfrak{Q}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{Q} \text{ splits in } L(\sqrt[4]{z_0}), \\ -1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{Q} \text{ is inert in } L(\sqrt[4]{z_0}). \end{cases}$$

Since E'_0 and E_1 are isomorphic over $L(\sqrt[4]{z_0})$ but not over $L(\sqrt{z_0})$, by turning to the Galois representations of E'_0 and E_1 , one obtains that

$$a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E'_0) = \chi(\mathfrak{P})a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1).$$

Now, by the assumptions on \mathfrak{p} and j_0 (and hence z_0), \mathfrak{P} splits in $L(\sqrt[4]{z_0})$ if and only if $\sqrt{z_0}$ is a square in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}$. Combined with our digression, this implies that $\chi(\mathfrak{P}) = -\left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$. Hence,

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E'_0) \equiv a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) \equiv -\left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) \pmod{p}.$$

□

To finish this section, we will give a hypergeometric interpretation of $a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1)$. We first prove a lemma on factorials.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $p \nmid 6$ be a rational prime and let $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then, for all $0 \leq r \leq \frac{p^l-1}{6}$,*

$$4^{3r} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{p^l-1}{2}\right)!}{r!(2r)!\left(\frac{p^l-1}{2} - 3r\right)!} \equiv (-1)^{3r} \cdot \frac{(6r)!}{r!(2r)!(3r)!} \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Note that

$$4^{3r} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{p^l-1}{2}\right)!}{\left(\frac{p^l-1}{2} - 3r\right)!} = 2^{3r} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{3r} (p^l - 6r + 2i - 1)$$

and that

$$(-1)^{3r} \cdot \frac{(6r)!}{(3r)!} = (-2)^{3r} \cdot \frac{(6r)!}{\prod_{i=1}^{3r} (2i)} = 2^{3r} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{3r} (-6r + 2i - 1).$$

For each $1 \leq i \leq 3r \leq \frac{p^l-1}{2}$, we have $6r - 2i + 1 \leq p^l - 1$, so

$$v_p(-6r + 2i - 1) = v_p(p^l - 6r + 2i - 1) =: \lambda_i < l$$

and hence

$$\frac{-6r + 2i - 1}{p^{\lambda_i}} \equiv \frac{p^l - 6r + 2i - 1}{p^{\lambda_i}} \pmod{p}.$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^{3r} (p^l - 6r + 2i - 1) &= p^{\sum_{i=1}^{3r} \lambda_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{3r} \frac{p^l - 6r + 2i - 1}{p^{\lambda_i}} \\ &\equiv p^{\sum_{i=1}^{3r} \lambda_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{3r} \frac{-6r + 2i - 1}{p^{\lambda_i}} = \prod_{i=1}^{3r} (-6r + 2i - 1) \pmod{p^{1+\sum_{i=1}^{3r} \lambda_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$\lambda = v_p \left(4^{3r} \cdot \frac{(\frac{p^l-1}{2})!}{(\frac{p^l-1}{2} - 3r)!} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{3r} \lambda_i = v_p \left((-1)^{3r} \cdot \frac{(6r)!}{(3r)!} \right)$$

and

$$\mu = v_p(r!(2r)!).$$

Then, $\mu \leq \lambda$ (e.g. by the integrality of $\frac{(6r)!}{r!(2r)!(3r)!}$) and

$$4^{3r} \cdot \frac{(\frac{p^l-1}{2})!}{r!(2r)!(\frac{p^l-1}{2} - 3r)!} \equiv (-1)^{3r} \cdot \frac{(6r)!}{r!(2r)!(3r)!} \pmod{p^{1+\lambda-\mu}}.$$

The result then follows. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of L with $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 6$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(j_0(j_0 - 1728)) = 0$. Let \mathfrak{P} be a prime of $L(\sqrt{z_0})$ that lies above \mathfrak{p} . Then,*

$$a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) \equiv {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; \frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{2} \right]_{\lfloor \frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{6} \rfloor} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}.$$

Proof. Let p be the rational prime that lies below \mathfrak{p} (and \mathfrak{P}). Note that

$$|E_1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}})| = 1 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}} \left(\left(\frac{x^3 + \frac{1}{4}x^2 - \frac{1-\sqrt{z_0}}{864}}{\mathfrak{P}} \right) + 1 \right),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) &= N(\mathfrak{P}) + 1 - |E_1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}})| = - \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}} \left(\frac{x^3 + \frac{1}{4}x^2 - \frac{1-\sqrt{z_0}}{864}}{\mathfrak{P}} \right) \\ &\equiv - \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}} \left(x^3 + \frac{1}{4}x^2 - \frac{1-\sqrt{z_0}}{864} \right)^{\frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{2}} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}} x^i \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (N(\mathfrak{P}) - 1) \nmid i \text{ or } i = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } (N(\mathfrak{P}) - 1) \mid i \text{ and } i \neq 0 \end{cases}$, we obtain that

$$a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) \equiv \sum_{\substack{r_3+r_2+r_0=(N(\mathfrak{P})-1)/2 \\ 3r_3+2r_2=N(\mathfrak{P})-1}} \binom{\frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{2}}{r_3, r_2, r_0} \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^{r_2} \left(-\frac{1-\sqrt{z_0}}{864} \right)^{r_0} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}.$$

By the constraints, it is easy to see that $r_3 = 2r_0$ and $r_2 = \frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{2} - 3r_0$. Hence,

$$a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) \equiv \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{6} \rfloor} 4^{3r} \cdot \binom{\frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{2}}{r, 2r, \frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{2} - 3r} \cdot \left(-\frac{1-\sqrt{z_0}}{864} \right)^r \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}.$$

The result now follows from Lemma 3.3 and the fact that $\frac{(\frac{1}{6})_r (\frac{5}{6})_r}{(r!)^2} = 432^{-r} \frac{(6r)!}{r!(2r)!(3r)!}$. \square

4. THE CASE WHEN $\left(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{p}\right) = 1$

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1 when $\left(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{p}\right) = 1$, i.e., when $z_0 = 1 - 1728/j_0$ is a square in \mathbb{F}_p^\times . We first start by showing some congruences of factorials and truncated hypergeometric sums that will be needed for the proof.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $p \nmid 6$ be a rational prime and $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then, for $\frac{p^l-1}{6} < r \leq p^l - 1$,*

$$(1) \quad \frac{\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)_r \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)_r}{(r!)^2} = 432^{-r} \frac{(6r)!}{r!(2r)!(3r)!} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Suppose first that $p^l \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. Consider the term $\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)_r / r!$. Note that

$$v_p\left(\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)_r\right) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \#\{0 \leq i \leq r-1 \mid \tfrac{1}{6} + i \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}\}.$$

As $\frac{p^l-1}{6} < r \leq p^l - 1$ and $\frac{1}{6} + \frac{p^l-1}{6} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^l}$,

$$\#\{0 \leq i \leq r-1 \mid \tfrac{1}{6} + i \equiv 0 \pmod{p^l}\} \geq 1,$$

so

$$v_p(r!) = \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} \left\lfloor \frac{r}{p^s} \right\rfloor \leq \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} \#\{0 \leq i \leq r-1 \mid \tfrac{1}{6} + i \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}\} < v_p\left(\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)_r\right).$$

Hence, $v_p\left(\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)_r / r!\right) \geq 1$. Now, suppose that $p^l \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$. Then, a similar argument shows that $v_p\left(\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)_r / r!\right) \geq 1$. The result then follows. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $p \nmid 6$ be a rational prime and $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then, for $\frac{p^l-1}{6} < r \leq p^l - 1$,*

$$(2) \quad \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_r \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)_r \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)_r}{(r!)^3} = 1728^{-r} \frac{(6r)!}{(3r)!(r!)^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. The result follows from the same argument as in Lemma 4.1 and that $v_p\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_r / r!\right) \geq 0$. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let $p \nmid 6$ be a rational prime and $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then,*

$$(3) \quad {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t\right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor}^2 \equiv {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 \end{matrix}; 4t(1-t)\right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor} \pmod{p}$$

as polynomials in t .¹

Proof. Throughout this proof, for a power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n$, we write

$$\text{Trun}_{m,t}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n\right) := \sum_{n=0}^m a_n t^n.$$

Note that the usual Clausen's formula gives that

$${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t\right]^2 = {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 \end{matrix}; 4t(1-t)\right]$$

¹One should also see [CDL⁺13, Lemma 18] for a mod p^2 version of the truncated Clausen's formula with truncation at $p-1$.

as power series in t . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trun}_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/3 \rfloor, t} \left({}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t \right]^2 \right) &= \text{Trun}_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/3 \rfloor, t} \left({}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t \right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/3 \rfloor}^2 \right) \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \text{Trun}_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/3 \rfloor, t} \left({}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t \right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor}^2 \right) \\ &\equiv {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t \right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor}^2 \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trun}_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/3 \rfloor, t} \left({}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 \end{matrix}; 4t(1-t) \right] \right) &= \text{Trun}_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/3 \rfloor, t} \left({}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 \end{matrix}; 4t(1-t) \right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/3 \rfloor} \right) \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} \text{Trun}_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/3 \rfloor, t} \left({}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 \end{matrix}; 4t(1-t) \right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor} \right) \\ &\equiv {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 \end{matrix}; 4t(1-t) \right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

The result then follows. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1 in the case when $(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{p}) = 1$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of L with $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 6$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(j_0(j_0 - 1728)) = 0$. Suppose that $(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{p}) = 1$. Then,*

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 \end{matrix}; 1728/j_0 \right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Proof. Write $z_0 = 1 - 1728/j_0$ as before. By Corollary 3.2, Proposition 3.4, and that $(\frac{z_0}{p}) = 1$,

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1)^2 \equiv {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; \frac{1-\sqrt{z_0}}{2} \right]_{\lfloor \frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{6} \rfloor}^2 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}},$$

where \mathfrak{P} is some prime in $L(\sqrt{z_0})$ that lies above \mathfrak{p} . It then follows from Proposition 4.3 with $t = (1 - \sqrt{z_0})/2$ and $p^l = N(\mathfrak{P})$ that

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 \end{matrix}; 1728/j_0 \right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}.$$

The result now follows since both sides are in L . \square

5. THE CASE WHEN $(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{p}) = -1$

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1 in the case when $(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{p}) = -1$, i.e., when $z_0 = 1 - 1728/j_0$ is not a square in \mathbb{F}_p^\times . As before, we will first show more congruences that will be needed for the proof.

Lemma 5.1. *Let p be a rational prime and let $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, let $[a]_0$ be the least nonnegative integer such that $a \equiv [a]_0 \pmod{p^l}$ and let $a' = p^{-l}(a + [-a]_0)$.² Then, for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and $0 \leq m \leq p^l - 1$,*

$$\frac{(a)_{mp^l}}{(mp^l)!} \equiv \frac{(a')_m}{m!} \pmod{p}.$$

²One should be cautious that in the theory of hypergeometric functions, the notations $[a]_0$ and a' are usually defined with respect to p but not to p^l .

Proof. The congruence is trivially true for $m = 0$, so suppose that $1 \leq m \leq p^l - 1$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (a)_{mp} &= \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} \prod_{i=0}^{p^l-1} (a + i + rp^l) = \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} (a + [-a]_0 + rp^l) \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq p^l-1 \\ i \neq [-a]_0}} (a + i + rp^l) \\ &= p^{lm} \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} (a' + r) \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq p^l-1 \\ i \neq [-a]_0}} (a + i + rp^l). \end{aligned}$$

For $0 \leq i \leq p^l - 1$ with $i \neq [-a]_0$, we have for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\lambda_{a,i} := v_p([a]_0 + i) = v_p(a + i) = v_p(a + i + rp^l) < l$$

and hence

$$\frac{[a]_0 + i}{p^{\lambda_{a,i}}} \equiv \frac{a + i + rp^l}{p^{\lambda_{a,i}}} \pmod{p}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq p^l-1 \\ i \neq [-a]_0}} \frac{a + i + rp^l}{p^{\lambda_{a,i}}} &\equiv \prod_{i=0}^{[-a]_0-1} \frac{[a]_0 + i + rp^l}{p^{\lambda_{a,i}}} \cdot \prod_{i=[-a]_0+1}^{p^l-1} \frac{([a]_0 + i - p^l) + (r+1)p^l}{p^{\lambda_{a,i}}} \\ &\equiv p^{-\sum_i \lambda_{a,i}} \cdot (p^l - 1)! \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

where \sum_i is taken over $0 \leq i \leq p^l - 1$ with $i \neq [-a]_0$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \lambda_{a,i} &= v_p \left(\prod_i ([a]_0 + i) \right) = \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} \#\{0 \leq i \leq p^l - 1 \mid i \neq [-a]_0 \text{ and } [a]_0 + i \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}\} \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} (p^{l-s} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

so $\lambda := \sum_i \lambda_{a,i}$ is independent of a . In particular,

$$p^{-\lambda} \cdot \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq p^l-1 \\ i \neq [-a]_0}} (a + i + rp^l) \equiv p^{-\lambda} \cdot (p^l - 1)! \equiv p^{-\lambda} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{p^l-2} (1 + i + rp^l) \pmod{p}.$$

Hence, for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and $1 \leq m \leq p^l - 1$,

$$\frac{(a)_{mp^l}}{(mp^l)!} = \frac{\prod_{r=0}^{m-1} (a' + r) \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq p^l-1 \\ i \neq [-a]_0}} (a + i + rp^l)}{\prod_{r=0}^{m-1} (1 + r) \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} \prod_{i=0}^{p^l-2} (1 + i + rp)} \equiv \frac{(a')_m}{m!} \pmod{p}.$$

□

Lemma 5.2. Let $p \nmid 6$ be a rational prime and let $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then,

$$(4) \quad {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t \right]_{p^{2l-1}} \equiv {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t \right]_{p^{l-1}} \cdot {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t^{p^l} \right]_{p^{l-1}} \pmod{p}$$

as polynomials in t .

Proof. Fix the rational prime $p \nmid 6$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and adopt the notations $[a]_0$ and a' in Lemma 5.1 with respect to p^l . Then, by Lemma 5.1, for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and $0 \leq m, n \leq p^l - 1$,

$$\frac{(a)_{mp^l+n}}{(mp^l+n)!} = \frac{(a)_{mp^l}(a+mp^l)_n}{(mp^l)!(1+mp^l)_n} \equiv \frac{(a')_m(a)_n}{m! \cdot n!} \pmod{p}.$$

In our case, we see that $((\frac{1}{6})', (\frac{5}{6})') = (\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6})$ or $(\frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{6})$ depending on $p^l \pmod{6}$. Hence, for $0 \leq m, n \leq p^l - 1$

$$\frac{(\frac{1}{6})_{mp^l+n}(\frac{5}{6})_{mp^l+n}}{((mp^l+n)!)^2} \equiv \frac{(\frac{1}{6})_m(\frac{5}{6})_m}{(m!)^2} \cdot \frac{(\frac{1}{6})_n(\frac{5}{6})_n}{(n!)^2} \pmod{p}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t \right]_{p^{2l-1}} &= \sum_{m,n=0}^{p^l-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{6})_{mp^l+n}(\frac{5}{6})_{mp^l+n}}{((mp^l+n)!)^2} \cdot t^{mp^l+n} \\ &\equiv \left(\sum_{m=0}^{p^l-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{6})_m(\frac{5}{6})_m}{(m!)^2} \cdot (t^{p^l})^m \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p^l-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{6})_n(\frac{5}{6})_n}{(n!)^2} \cdot t^n \right) \\ &\equiv {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t \right]_{p^{l-1}} \cdot {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t^{p^l} \right]_{p^{l-1}} \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 5.3. *Let $p \nmid 6$ be a rational prime and let $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then,*

$$(5) \quad {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t \right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor} \equiv (-1)^{(p^l-1)/2} \cdot {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; 1-t \right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor} \pmod{p}$$

as polynomials in t .³

Proof. As before, fix the rational prime $p \nmid 6$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and adopt the notation $[a]_0$ in Lemma 5.1 with respect to p^l . Using the similar argument as in Lemma 5.1, it is easy to show that for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and $0 \leq r \leq [-a]_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^r \cdot \frac{(a)_r}{r!} &= \frac{(-a)(-a-1) \cdots (-a-r+1)}{r!} \equiv \frac{([-a]_0)([-a]_0-1) \cdots ([-a]_0-r+1)}{r!} \\ &= \binom{[-a]_0}{r} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

In our case, it is easy to check that

$$[-\frac{1}{6}]_0 + [-\frac{5}{6}]_0 = p^l - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \min \{ [-\frac{1}{6}]_0, [-\frac{5}{6}]_0 \} = \lfloor \frac{p^l-1}{6} \rfloor.$$

Let $A = [-\frac{1}{6}]_0$ and $B = [-\frac{5}{6}]_0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t \right]_{\lfloor \frac{p^l-1}{6} \rfloor} &= \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor} \frac{(\frac{1}{6})_r}{r!} \cdot \frac{(\frac{5}{6})_r}{r!} \cdot t^r \\ &\equiv \sum_{r=0}^{\min\{A,B\}} \binom{A}{r} \binom{B}{r} \cdot t^r \\ &\equiv \text{the constant term of } (1+tX)^A \cdot (1+X^{-1})^B \text{ with respect to } X \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

³One should also see [MP22, Theorem 4.2] and [BRS⁺25, Lemma 7.2], both of which imply a mod p^2 version of the result with truncation at $p-1$.

Since $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^l}^\times} x^i \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (p^l - 1) \nmid i \\ -1 & \text{if } (p^l - 1) \mid i \end{cases}$, we obtain that

$${}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t \right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor} = - \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^l}^\times} (1+tx)^A \cdot (1+x^{-1})^B = - \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^l} \setminus \{0, -1\}} \left(\frac{x(1+tx)}{1+x} \right)^A$$

as elements in $\mathbb{F}_{p^l}[t]$, where we use that $A + B = p^l - 1$ and that $(1+x^{-1})^{p^l-1} = 1$ for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^l} \setminus \{0, -1\}$. Now, let

$$h(X, t) = \frac{X(1+tX)}{1+X}.$$

Then,

$$h\left(\frac{1+tX}{t-1}, 1-t\right) = \frac{\frac{1+tX}{t-1} \cdot (1 - (1+tX))}{1 + \frac{1+tX}{t-1}} = \frac{(1+tX)(-tX)}{t+tX} = -h(X, t).$$

Hence, plugging in $t = t_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^l} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t_0 \right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor} &= - \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^l} \setminus \{0, -1\}} h(x, t_0)^A = -(-1)^A \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^l} \setminus \{0, -1\}} h\left(\frac{1+t_0x}{t_0-1}, 1-t_0\right)^A \\ &= (-1)^A \cdot {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; 1-t_0 \right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the bijectivity of the map $x \mapsto \frac{1+t_0x}{t_0-1}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{p^l} \setminus \{-1\}$. In particular, the two polynomials ${}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t \right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor}$ and $(-1)^A \cdot {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; 1-t \right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor}$ agree on $\mathbb{F}_{p^l} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, so their difference is divisible by $\sum_{i=0}^{p^l-2} t^i$. As both polynomials are of degree $\leq \lfloor \frac{p^l-1}{6} \rfloor$, they must agree. The result then follows by noting that $(-1)^A = (-1)^{(p^l-1)/2}$. \square

Corollary 5.4. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of L with $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 6$. Let $z_0 \in L$ be such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(z_0) = 0$ and $\left(\frac{z_0}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = -1$. Then,*

$$(6) \quad {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; \frac{1-\sqrt{z_0}}{2} \right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})^2-1} \equiv \left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}} \right) \cdot {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; \frac{1-\sqrt{z_0}}{2} \right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}^2 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}},$$

where \mathfrak{P} is the unique prime in $L(\sqrt{z_0})$ that lies above \mathfrak{p} .

Proof. This simply follows from applying Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.3 with $t = (1 - \sqrt{z_0})/2$ and $p^l = N(\mathfrak{p})$, where we make use of the fact that $((1 - \sqrt{z_0})/2)^{N(\mathfrak{p})} \equiv (1 + \sqrt{z_0})/2 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ since $\left(\frac{z_0}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = -1$. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1 in the case when $\left(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = -1$.

Proposition 5.5. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of L with $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 6$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(j_0(j_0 - 1728)) = 0$. Suppose that $\left(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = -1$. Then,*

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv -{}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 \end{matrix}; 1728/j_0 \right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Proof. Write $z_0 = 1 - 1728/j_0$ as before. By Corollary 3.2, Proposition 3.4, and that $\left(\frac{z_0}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = -1$,

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv -\left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \cdot a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) \equiv -\left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \cdot {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; \frac{1-\sqrt{z_0}}{2} \right]_{\lfloor \frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{6} \rfloor} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}},$$

where \mathfrak{P} is the unique prime in $L(\sqrt{z_0})$ that lies above \mathfrak{p} . As $N(\mathfrak{P}) = N(\mathfrak{p})^2$, by Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.3 with $t = (1 - \sqrt{z_0})/2$ and $p^l = N(\mathfrak{p})$, and by Corollary 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \cdot {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; \frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{2}\right]_{\lfloor \frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{6} \rfloor} &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \cdot {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; \frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{2}\right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})^2-1} \\ &\stackrel{(6)}{=} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; \frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{2}\right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}^2 \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; \frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{2}\right]_{\lfloor \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}{6} \rfloor}^2 \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 \end{matrix}; 1 - z_0\right]_{\lfloor \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}{6} \rfloor} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv -{}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 \end{matrix}; 1728/j_0\right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}.$$

The result then follows since both sides are in L . \square

REFERENCES

- [AGLT25] Michael Allen, Brian Grove, Ling Long, and Fang-Ting Tu, *The explicit hypergeometric-modularity method I*, Adv. Math. **478** (2025), Paper No. 110411, 55.
- [Asa25] Masanori Asakura, *Zeta functions of certain K3 families: application of the formula of Clausen*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **77** (2025), no. 1, 33–53.
- [Beu25] Frits Beukers, *Supercongruences using modular forms*, preprint on arXiv, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.03301v3>, 2025.
- [BRS⁺25] Angelica Babei, Manami Roy, Holly Swisher, Bella Tobin, and Fang-Ting Tu, *Supercongruences arising from Ramanujan-Sato series*, Results Math. **80** (2025), no. 6, Paper No. 184, 52.
- [CDL⁺13] Sarah Chisholm, Alyson Deines, Ling Long, Gabriele Nebe, and Holly Swisher, *p-adic analogues of Ramanujan type formulas for $1/\pi$* , Mathematics **1** (2013), no. 1, 9–31.
- [HLLT25] Jerome W. Hoffman, Wen-Ching Winnie Li, Ling Long, and Fang-Ting Tu, *Traces of Hecke operators via hypergeometric character sums*, preprint on arXiv, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.02918v2>, 2025.
- [Lon20] Ling Long, *Some numeric hypergeometric supercongruences*, Vertex operator algebras, number theory and related topics, Contemp. Math., vol. 753, Amer. Math. Soc., [Providence], RI, [2020] ©2020, pp. 139–156.
- [Mor05] Eric Mortenson, *Supercongruences for truncated ${}_{n+1}F_n$ hypergeometric series with applications to certain weight three newforms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 2, 321–330.
- [MP22] Guo-Shuai Mao and Hao Pan, *Congruences corresponding to hypergeometric identities I. ${}_2F_1$ transformations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **505** (2022), no. 2, Paper No. 125527, 40.
- [RRV19] David P. Roberts and Fernando Rodriguez Villegas, *Hypergeometric supercongruences*, 2017 MATRIX Annals, MATRIX Book Series, Springer Cham, 2019, pp. 435–439.
- [RV03] Fernando Rodriguez Villegas, *Hypergeometric families of Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Calabi-Yau varieties and mirror symmetry (Toronto, ON, 2001), Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, pp. 223–231.
- [Sil09] Joseph H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 106, Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.
- [Sun12] Zhi-Wei Sun, *On sums involving products of three binomial coefficients*, Acta Arith. **156** (2012), no. 2, 123–141.
- [Zud18] Wadim Zudilin, *A hypergeometric version of the modularity of rigid Calabi-Yau manifolds*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **14** (2018), Paper No. 086, 16.

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY

Email address: pzhang@mpim-bonn.mpg.de