

# A NOTE ON THE HYPERGEOMETRIC DATUM $((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}), (1, 1))$ AND SYMMETRIC SQUARES OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

PENGCHENG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. This is an expository note on a mod  $p$  congruence relating the truncated hypergeometric sums associated to  $((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}), (1, 1))$  to symmetric squares of elliptic curves.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries on elliptic curves	2
3. Reinterpretation of $a_p(E)^2$	3
4. The case when $(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{p}) = 1$	6
5. The case when $(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{p}) = -1$	7
References	11

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this short note, we will prove a mod  $p$  congruence relating the truncated hypergeometric sums associated to  $((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}), (1, 1))$  to symmetric squares of elliptic curves. Though this congruence should follow from previous works on hypergeometric functions, the result does not appear very well-documented (see Remark 1.2). This note thus serves mostly as an exposition which aims at proving the congruence in a self-contained way.

We first make some definitions. Given a hypergeometric datum  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \\ \beta &= (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1},\end{aligned}$$

the associated hypergeometric function is defined as

$${}_nF_{n-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{matrix}; z \right] := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_m (\alpha_2)_m \cdots (\alpha_n)_m}{(\beta_1)_m (\beta_2)_m \cdots (\beta_{n-1})_m} \cdot \frac{z^m}{m!}$$

and the truncated hypergeometric sum is defined as

$${}_nF_{n-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{matrix}; z \right]_r := \sum_{m=0}^r \frac{(\alpha_1)_m (\alpha_2)_m \cdots (\alpha_n)_m}{(\beta_1)_m (\beta_2)_m \cdots (\beta_{n-1})_m} \cdot \frac{z^m}{m!},$$

where  $(a)_m := \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (a+j)$ . In general, for a power series

Let  $L$  be a number field and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of  $L$ . Let  $N(\mathfrak{p})$  denote the ideal norm of  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$  denote the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic valuation on  $L$ , and  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  denote the residue field at  $\mathfrak{p}$ . For  $a \in L$  with  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) \geq 0$ , define

$$\left( \frac{a}{\mathfrak{p}} \right) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \text{ is a square in } \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}, \\ -1 & \text{if } a \text{ is not a square in } \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}, \\ 0 & \text{if } a = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{cases}$$

When  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 2$ , it is easy to check that

$$\left(\frac{a}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = a^{\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}{2}}$$

as elements in  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

Now, for an elliptic curve  $E/L$  and a good prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $E$ , define

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E) := N(\mathfrak{p}) + 1 - |E(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})|.$$

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $L$  be a number field,  $E/L$  be an elliptic curve with  $j(E) \notin \{0, 1728\}$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of  $L$  that is a good prime of  $E$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 6$  and  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(j(E)) = 0 = v_{\mathfrak{p}}((j(E) - 1728))$ . Then,*

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E)^2 \equiv \left(\frac{1 - 1728/j(E)}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \cdot {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6} \\ 1, 1 \end{matrix}; \frac{1728}{j(E)}\right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

*Remark 1.2.* We make several remarks on the relating works and references.

- (1) An analogue of this congruence (at least over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) is known for hypergeometric character sums by [HLLT25, Theorem 1] where one obtains an equality between  $a_p(E)^2 - p$ , i.e., the trace of Frobenius at  $p$  on  $\text{Sym}^2 H_{\text{ét}}^1(E, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$ , and the product of  $(\frac{1-1728/j(E)}{p})$  and the hypergeometric character sum associated to  $((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}), (1, 1))$ . Here one should be able to relate hypergeometric character sums to truncated hypergeometric sums (see [Lon20, Theorem 4], [AGLT25, Lemma 5.9], [HLLT25, Section 4.5], and [BRS<sup>+</sup>25, Section 7.2]).
- (2) In the CM case, it is expected that the congruence should hold modulo  $\mathfrak{p}^2$ , namely, the phenomenon of *supercongruences* arises, if one replaces  $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E)^2$  by  $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E)^2 - 2N(\mathfrak{p})$ . Here we refer to [Mor05, Theorem 4], [Sun12, Theorem 1.3], [BRS<sup>+</sup>25, Section 5 and Section 6], and [Beu25, Theorem 1.26] for relevant results on supercongruences over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we refer to [Zud18, Observation 4] for some explicit observations on the supercongruences in the  ${}_3F_2$  case, and we refer to [RV03, RRV19] for general discussions on hypergeometric supercongruences.
- (3) The result by Asakura [Asa25, Corollary 0.2] may be viewed as some analogue of this congruence from the viewpoint of Galois representations.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank Ling Long, Danylo Radchenko, Fernando Rodriguez Villegas, Fang-Ting Tu, and Wadim Zudilin for useful discussions on hypergeometric functions. Moreover, the author is especially grateful to Chi Hong Chow for his vital idea on proving Proposition 5.3.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES ON ELLIPTIC CURVES

We start by recalling some well-known preliminary results on elliptic curves for completeness.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $L$  be a number field and let*

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 : y^2 &= x^3 + A_1 x + B_1 \\ E_2 : y^2 &= x^3 + A_2 x + B_2 \end{aligned}$$

*be two elliptic curves over  $L$ . Suppose that  $j(E_1) = j(E_2) \notin \{0, 1728\}$ . Let  $\gamma = \frac{A_1/B_1}{A_2/B_2}$ . Then,  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are isomorphic over  $L(\sqrt{\gamma})$ , and they are isomorphic over  $L$  if and only if  $\gamma \in (L^{\times})^2$ .*

*Proof.* This is exactly [Sil09, Chapter X, Exercise 10.21, p. 360]. We will give a proof of the first part, which is essentially the same proof of [Sil09, Chapter III, Proposition 1.4 (b), p. 47].

Since  $j(E_1) = j(E_2)$ , we have

$$\frac{(4A_1)^3}{4A_1^3 + 27B_1^2} = \frac{(4A_2)^3}{4A_2^3 + 27B_2^2},$$

and hence

$$(A_1/A_2)^3 = (B_1/B_2)^2.$$

Here all  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$  are nonzero since  $j(E_1) = j(E_2) \notin \{0, 1728\}$ . Thus, over  $L(\sqrt{\gamma})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &\xrightarrow{\sim} E_2 \\ (x, y) &\mapsto ((A_2/A_1)^{1/2}x, (B_2/B_1)^{1/2}y). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $L$  be a number field and  $E_1, E_2$  be two elliptic curves over  $L$ . Suppose that  $j(E_1) = j(E_2) \notin \{0, 1728\}$ . Then,  $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_1)^2 = a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_2)^2$  for all good primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  of both  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a good prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of both  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  and fix a rational prime  $\ell$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \ell$ . Let  $\rho_i : \text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  be the  $\ell$ -adic Galois representation attached to  $E_i$ . By Lemma 2.1, there exists a quadratic extension  $L'/L$  such that  $\rho_1|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L')} \cong \rho_2|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L')}$ . As  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}^2 \in \text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L')$  since  $L'/L$  is quadratic, we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\rho_1(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}))^2 &= \text{tr}(\rho_1(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}^2)) + 2 \det(\rho_1(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})) = \text{tr}(\rho_2(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}^2)) + 2 \det(\rho_2(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})) \\ &= \text{tr}(\rho_2(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}))^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we also use that  $\det(\rho_i(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})) = N(\mathfrak{p})$ . The result now follows from the fact that  $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_i) = \text{tr}(\rho_i(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ . □

### 3. REINTERPRETATION OF $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E)^2$

Now, we will do a first reinterpretation of  $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E)^2$ . Since  $j(E) \notin \{0, 1728\}$ , by Lemma 2.2, we may replace  $E/L$  by a different elliptic curve with the same  $j$ -invariant. Let

$$j_0 := j(E) \in L - \{0, 1728\} \quad \text{and} \quad z_0 = 1 - 1728/j_0 (\in L - \{0, 1\}).$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} E_0/L : \quad y^2 &= x^3 - \frac{1}{48z_0^3}x + \frac{1}{864z_0^4} \\ E_1/L(\sqrt{z_0}) : \quad y^2 + xy &= x^3 - \frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{864}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that  $j(E_0) = j(E_1) = j_0$ ,  $\text{disc}(E_0) = j_0^8 \cdot (j_0 - 1728)^{-9}$ , and  $\text{disc}(E_1) = j_0^{-1}$ . We will thus replace  $E$  in Theorem 1.1 by  $E_0$  and give a reinterpretation of  $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *The two elliptic curves  $E_0$  and  $E_1$  are isomorphic over  $L(\sqrt[4]{z_0})$ , and they are isomorphic over  $L(\sqrt{z_0})$  if and only if  $L(\sqrt{z_0}) = L(\sqrt[4]{z_0})$ .*

*Proof.* Writing  $(x_1, y_1) = (x, y + \frac{1}{2}x)$ , we obtain that  $E_1$  is isomorphic to

$$y_1^2 = x_1^3 + \frac{1}{4}x_1^2 - \frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{864}.$$

Writing  $(x_2, y_2) = (x_1 + \frac{1}{12}, y_1)$ , we obtain that  $E_1$  is isomorphic to

$$E'_1 : y_2^2 = x_2^3 - \frac{1}{48}x_2 + \frac{\sqrt{z_0}}{864}.$$

Now, one computes that

$$\frac{-1/(48z_0^3)}{1/(864z_0^4)} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{z_0}/864}{-1/48} = z_0^{3/2}$$

and applies Lemma 2.1 to  $E_0$  (over  $L(\sqrt{z_0})$ ) and  $E'_1$ . The result then follows. □

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of  $L$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 6$  and  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(j_0(j_0 - 1728)) = 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a prime of  $L(\sqrt{z_0})$  that lies above  $\mathfrak{p}$  and let  $p$  be the rational prime that lies below  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then,*

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv \begin{cases} a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1)^2 & \text{if } \left(\frac{z_0}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = 1 \\ -\left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) & \text{if } \left(\frac{z_0}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = -1 \end{cases} \pmod{p}.$$

*Proof.* First, suppose that  $(\frac{z_0}{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$ , i.e.,  $z_0$  is a square in  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ . Then,  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ . By Lemma 3.1, the reduction of  $E_0$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  and the reduction of  $E_1$  at  $\mathfrak{P}$  are isomorphic over  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\sqrt[4]{z_0})$ . By the same argument as in Lemma 2.2, one obtains that

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 = a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1)^2.$$

Now, suppose that  $(\frac{z_0}{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$ , i.e.,  $z_0$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ . Then,  $L \neq L(\sqrt{z_0})$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P}$  is inert in  $L(\sqrt{z_0})$ , and  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}} = \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\sqrt{z_0})$ . We first take a digression and discuss when  $\sqrt{z_0}$  is a square in  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}$ . Note that the polynomial  $X^2 - \sqrt{z_0}$  has a root in  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$  if and only if  $\sqrt{z_0}^{(N(\mathfrak{P})-1)/2} = 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ , i.e.,  $z_0^{(N(\mathfrak{p})^2-1)/4} = 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is inert in  $L(\sqrt{z_0})$ , we have  $z_0^{(N(\mathfrak{p})-1)/2} = -1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . This means that  $X^2 - \sqrt{z_0}$  has a root in  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$  if and only if  $(-1)^{(N(\mathfrak{p})+1)/2} = 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . That is,  $\sqrt{z_0}$  is a square in  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}$  if and only if  $(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}) = -1$ .

We now return to the proof. Let  $E'_0/L(\sqrt{z_0})$  be the base change of  $E_0/L$  to  $L(\sqrt{z_0})$ . Then, by interpreting  $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)$  and  $a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E'_0)$  as traces of Frobeniuses, one obtains that

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E'_0) \pmod{p}.$$

Suppose first that  $E'_0$  and  $E_1$  are already isomorphic over  $L(\sqrt{z_0})$ . Then,  $L(\sqrt{z_0}) = L(\sqrt[4]{z_0})$  by Lemma 3.1. In particular,  $\sqrt{z_0}$  is a square in  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}$ , so  $(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}) = -1$ . Then,

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E'_0) \equiv a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) \equiv -(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}})a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) \pmod{p}.$$

Now, suppose that  $E'_0$  and  $E_1$  are not isomorphic over  $L(\sqrt{z_0})$ . Let  $\chi$  denote the quadratic character associated to the quadratic extension  $L(\sqrt[4]{z_0})/L(\sqrt{z_0})$ , i.e., for a prime  $\mathfrak{Q}$  of  $L(\sqrt{z_0})$  that is unramified in  $L(\sqrt[4]{z_0})/L(\sqrt{z_0})$ ,

$$\chi(\mathfrak{Q}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{Q} \text{ splits in } L(\sqrt[4]{z_0}), \\ -1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{Q} \text{ is inert in } L(\sqrt[4]{z_0}). \end{cases}$$

Since  $E'_0$  and  $E_1$  are isomorphic over  $L(\sqrt[4]{z_0})$  but not over  $L(\sqrt{z_0})$ , by turning to the Galois representations of  $E'_0$  and  $E_1$ , one obtains that

$$a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E'_0) = \chi(\mathfrak{P})a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1).$$

Now, by the assumptions on  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $j_0$  (and hence  $z_0$ ),  $\mathfrak{P}$  splits in  $L(\sqrt[4]{z_0})$  if and only if  $\sqrt{z_0}$  is a square in  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}$ . Combined with our digression, this implies that  $\chi(\mathfrak{P}) = -(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Hence,

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E'_0) \equiv a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) \equiv -(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}})a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) \pmod{p}.$$

□

To finish this section, we will give a hypergeometric interpretation of  $a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1)$ . We first prove a lemma on factorials.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $p \nmid 6$  be a rational prime and let  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then, for all  $0 \leq r \leq \frac{p^l-1}{6}$ ,*

$$4^{3r} \cdot \frac{(\frac{p^l-1}{2})!}{r!(2r)!(\frac{p^l-1}{2} - 3r)!} \equiv (-1)^{3r} \cdot \frac{(6r)!}{r!(2r)!(3r)!} \pmod{p}.$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$4^{3r} \cdot \frac{(\frac{p^l-1}{2})!}{(\frac{p^l-1}{2} - 3r)!} = 2^{3r} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{3r} (p^l - 6r + 2i - 1)$$

and that

$$(-1)^{3r} \cdot \frac{(6r)!}{(3r)!} = (-2)^{3r} \cdot \frac{(6r)!}{\prod_{i=1}^{3r} (2i)} = 2^{3r} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{3r} (-6r + 2i - 1).$$

For each  $1 \leq i \leq 3r \leq \frac{p^l-1}{2}$ , we have  $6r - 2i + 1 \leq p^l - 1$ , so

$$v_p(-6r + 2i - 1) = v_p(p^l - 6r + 2i - 1) =: \lambda_i < l$$

and hence

$$\frac{-6r + 2i - 1}{p^{\lambda_i}} \equiv \frac{p^l - 6r + 2i - 1}{p^{\lambda_i}} \pmod{p}.$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^{3r} (p^l - 6r + 2i - 1) &= p^{\sum_{i=1}^{3r} \lambda_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{3r} \frac{p^l - 6r + 2i - 1}{p^{\lambda_i}} \\ &\equiv p^{\sum_{i=1}^{3r} \lambda_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{3r} \frac{-6r + 2i - 1}{p^{\lambda_i}} = \prod_{i=1}^{3r} (-6r + 2i - 1) \pmod{p^{1+\sum_{i=1}^{3r} \lambda_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$\lambda = v_p \left( 4^{3r} \cdot \frac{(\frac{p^l-1}{2})!}{(\frac{p^l-1}{2} - 3r)!} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{3r} \lambda_i = v_p \left( (-1)^{3r} \cdot \frac{(6r)!}{(3r)!} \right)$$

and

$$\mu = v_p(r!(2r)!).$$

Then,  $\mu \leq \lambda$  (e.g. by the integrality of  $\frac{(6r)!}{r!(2r)!(3r)!}$ ) and

$$4^{3r} \cdot \frac{(\frac{p^l-1}{2})!}{r!(2r)!(\frac{p^l-1}{2} - 3r)!} \equiv (-1)^{3r} \cdot \frac{(6r)!}{r!(2r)!(3r)!} \pmod{p^{1+\lambda-\mu}}.$$

The result then follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of  $L$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 6$  and  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(j_0(j_0 - 1728)) = 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a prime of  $L(\sqrt{z_0})$  that lies above  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then,*

$$a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) \equiv {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; \frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{2} \right]_{\lfloor \frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{6} \rfloor} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be the rational prime that lies below  $\mathfrak{p}$  (and  $\mathfrak{P}$ ). Note that

$$|E_1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}})| = 1 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}} \left( \left( \frac{x^3 + \frac{1}{4}x^2 - \frac{1-\sqrt{z_0}}{864}}{\mathfrak{P}} \right) + 1 \right),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) &= N(\mathfrak{P}) + 1 - |E_1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}})| = - \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}} \left( \frac{x^3 + \frac{1}{4}x^2 - \frac{1-\sqrt{z_0}}{864}}{\mathfrak{P}} \right) \\ &\equiv - \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}} \left( x^3 + \frac{1}{4}x^2 - \frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{864} \right)^{\frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{2}} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}} x^i \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (N(\mathfrak{P}) - 1) \nmid i \text{ or } i = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } (N(\mathfrak{P}) - 1) \mid i \text{ and } i \neq 0 \end{cases}$ , we obtain that

$$a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) \equiv \sum_{\substack{r_3+r_2+r_0=(N(\mathfrak{P})-1)/2 \\ 3r_3+2r_2=N(\mathfrak{P})-1}} \binom{\frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{2}}{r_3, r_2, r_0} \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^{r_2} \left( -\frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{864} \right)^{r_0} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}.$$

By the constraints, it is easy to see that  $r_3 = 2r_0$  and  $r_2 = \frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{2} - 3r_0$ . Hence,

$$a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) \equiv \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{6} \rfloor} 4^{3r} \cdot \binom{\frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{2}}{r, 2r, \frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{2} - 3r} \cdot \left( -\frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{864} \right)^r \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}.$$

The result now follows from Lemma 3.3 and the fact that  $\frac{(\frac{1}{6})_r (\frac{5}{6})_r}{(r!)^2} = 432^{-r} \frac{(6r)!}{r!(2r)!(3r)!}$ .  $\square$

4. THE CASE WHEN  $(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{p}) = 1$ 

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1 when  $(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{p}) = 1$ , i.e., when  $z_0 = 1 - 1728/j_0$  is a square in  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ . We first start by showing some congruences of factorials and truncated hypergeometric sums that will be needed for the proof.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $p \nmid 6$  be a rational prime and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then, for  $\frac{p^l-1}{6} < r \leq p^l - 1$ ,*

$$(1) \quad \frac{(\frac{1}{6})_r (\frac{5}{6})_r}{(r!)^2} = 432^{-r} \frac{(6r)!}{r!(2r)!(3r)!} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $p^l \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ . Consider the term  $(\frac{1}{6})_r/r!$ . Note that

$$v_p((\frac{1}{6})_r) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \#\{0 \leq i \leq r-1 \mid \frac{1}{6} + i \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}\}.$$

As  $\frac{p^l-1}{6} < r \leq p^l - 1$  and  $\frac{1}{6} + \frac{p^l-1}{6} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^l}$ ,

$$\#\{0 \leq i \leq r-1 \mid \frac{1}{6} + i \equiv 0 \pmod{p^l}\} \geq 1,$$

so

$$v_p(r!) = \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} \left\lfloor \frac{r}{p^s} \right\rfloor \leq \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} \#\{0 \leq i \leq r-1 \mid \frac{1}{6} + i \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}\} < v_p((\frac{1}{6})_r).$$

Hence,  $v_p((\frac{1}{6})_r/r!) \geq 1$ . Now, suppose that  $p^l \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ . Then, a similar argument shows that  $v_p((\frac{5}{6})_r/r!) \geq 1$ . The result then follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $p \nmid 6$  be a rational prime and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then, for  $\frac{p^l-1}{6} < r \leq p^l - 1$ ,*

$$(2) \quad \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_r (\frac{1}{6})_r (\frac{5}{6})_r}{(r!)^3} = 1728^{-r} \frac{(6r)!}{(3r)!(r!)^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

*Proof.* The result follows from the same argument as in Lemma 4.1 and that  $v_p((\frac{1}{2})_r/r!) \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $p \nmid 6$  be a rational prime and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then,*

$$(3) \quad {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; t\right]_{\lfloor(p^l-1)/6\rfloor}^2 \equiv {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix}; 4t(1-t)\right]_{\lfloor(p^l-1)/6\rfloor} \pmod{p}$$

as polynomials in  $t$ .<sup>1</sup>

*Proof.* Throughout this proof, for a power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n$ , we write

$$\text{Trun}_{m,t}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n\right) := \sum_{n=0}^m a_n t^n.$$

Note that the usual Clausen's formula gives that

$${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; t\right]_{\lfloor(p^l-1)/6\rfloor}^2 = {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix}; 4t(1-t)\right]$$

<sup>1</sup>One should also see [CDL<sup>+</sup>13, Lemma 18] for a mod  $p^2$  version of the truncated Clausen's formula with truncation at  $p-1$ .

as power series in  $t$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trun}_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/3 \rfloor, t} \left( {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; t \right]^2 \right) &= \text{Trun}_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/3 \rfloor, t} \left( {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; t \right]^2 \Big|_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/3 \rfloor} \right) \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \text{Trun}_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/3 \rfloor, t} \left( {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; t \right]^2 \Big|_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor} \right) \\ &\equiv {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; t \right]^2 \Big|_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor} \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trun}_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/3 \rfloor, t} \left( {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix}; 4t(1-t) \right] \right) &= \text{Trun}_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/3 \rfloor, t} \left( {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix}; 4t(1-t) \right] \Big|_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/3 \rfloor} \right) \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} \text{Trun}_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/3 \rfloor, t} \left( {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix}; 4t(1-t) \right] \Big|_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor} \right) \\ &\equiv {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix}; 4t(1-t) \right] \Big|_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

The result then follows.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1 in the case when  $(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of  $L$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 6$  and  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(j_0(j_0 - 1728)) = 0$ . Suppose that  $(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$ . Then,*

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix}; 1728/j_0 \right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

*Proof.* Write  $z_0 = 1 - 1728/j_0$  as before. By Corollary 3.2, Proposition 3.4, and that  $(\frac{z_0}{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$ ,

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1)^2 \equiv {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; \frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{2} \right]^2_{\lfloor \frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{6} \rfloor} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}},$$

where  $\mathfrak{P}$  is some prime in  $L(\sqrt{z_0})$  that lies above  $\mathfrak{p}$ . It then follows from Proposition 4.3 with  $t = (1 - \sqrt{z_0})/2$  and  $p^l = N(\mathfrak{P})$  that

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix}; 1728/j_0 \right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}.$$

The result now follows since both sides are in  $L$ .  $\square$

## 5. THE CASE WHEN $(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{\mathfrak{p}}) = -1$

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1 in the case when  $(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{\mathfrak{p}}) = -1$ , i.e., when  $z_0 = 1 - 1728/j_0$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ . As before, we will first show more congruences that will be needed for the proof.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $p$  be a rational prime and let  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . For  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ , let  $[a]_0$  be the least nonnegative integer such that  $a \equiv [a]_0 \pmod{p^l}$  and let  $a' = p^{-l}(a + [-a]_0)$ .<sup>2</sup> Then, for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$  and  $0 \leq m \leq p^l - 1$ ,*

$$\frac{(a)_{mp^l}}{(mp^l)!} \equiv \frac{(a')_m}{m!} \pmod{p}.$$

<sup>2</sup>One should be cautious that in the theory of hypergeometric functions, the notations  $[a]_0$  and  $a'$  are usually defined with respect to  $p$  but not to  $p^l$ .

*Proof.* The congruence is trivially true for  $m = 0$ , so suppose that  $1 \leq m \leq p^l - 1$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (a)_{mp} &= \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} \prod_{i=0}^{p^l-1} (a + i + rp^l) = \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} (a + [-a]_0 + rp^l) \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq p^l-1 \\ i \neq [-a]_0}} (a + i + rp^l) \\ &= p^{lm} \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} (a' + r) \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq p^l-1 \\ i \neq [-a]_0}} (a + i + rp^l). \end{aligned}$$

For  $0 \leq i \leq p^l - 1$  with  $i \neq [-a]_0$ , we have for all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\lambda_{a,i} := v_p([a]_0 + i) = v_p(a + i) = v_p(a + i + rp^l) < l$$

and hence

$$\frac{[a]_0 + i}{p^{\lambda_{a,i}}} \equiv \frac{a + i + rp^l}{p^{\lambda_{a,i}}} \pmod{p}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq p^l-1 \\ i \neq [-a]_0}} \frac{a + i + rp^l}{p^{\lambda_{a,i}}} &\equiv \prod_{i=0}^{[-a]_0-1} \frac{[a]_0 + i + rp^l}{p^{\lambda_{a,i}}} \cdot \prod_{i=[-a]_0+1}^{p^l-1} \frac{([a]_0 + i - p^l) + (r+1)p^l}{p^{\lambda_{a,i}}} \\ &\equiv p^{-\sum_i \lambda_{a,i}} \cdot (p^l - 1)! \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum_i$  is taken over  $0 \leq i \leq p^l - 1$  with  $i \neq [-a]_0$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \lambda_{a,i} &= v_p \left( \prod_i ([a]_0 + i) \right) = \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} \#\{0 \leq i \leq p^l - 1 \mid i \neq [-a]_0 \text{ and } [a]_0 + i \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}\} \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} (p^{l-s} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

so  $\lambda := \sum_i \lambda_{a,i}$  is independent of  $a$ . In particular,

$$p^{-\lambda} \cdot \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq p^l-1 \\ i \neq [-a]_0}} (a + i + rp^l) \equiv p^{-\lambda} \cdot (p^l - 1)! \equiv p^{-\lambda} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{p^l-2} (1 + i + rp^l) \pmod{p}.$$

Hence, for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  and  $1 \leq m \leq p^l - 1$ ,

$$\frac{(a)_{mp^l}}{(mp^l)!} = \frac{\prod_{r=0}^{m-1} (a' + r) \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq p^l-1 \\ i \neq [-a]_0}} (a + i + rp^l)}{\prod_{r=0}^{m-1} (1 + r) \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} \prod_{i=0}^{p^l-2} (1 + i + rp^l)} \equiv \frac{(a')_m}{m!} \pmod{p}.$$

□

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $p \nmid 6$  be a rational prime and let  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then,*

$$(4) \quad {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t \right]_{p^{2l}-1} \equiv {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t \right]_{p^l-1} \cdot {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; t^{p^l} \right]_{p^l-1} \pmod{p}$$

as polynomials in  $t$ .

*Proof.* Fix the rational prime  $p \nmid 6$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and adopt the notations  $[a]_0$  and  $a'$  in Lemma 5.1 with respect to  $p^l$ . Then, by Lemma 5.1, for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  and  $0 \leq m, n \leq p^l - 1$ ,

$$\frac{(a)_{mp^l+n}}{(mp^l+n)!} = \frac{(a)_{mp^l}(a+mp^l)_n}{(mp^l)!(1+mp^l)_n} \equiv \frac{(a')_m(a)_n}{m! \cdot n!} \pmod{p}.$$

In our case, we see that  $((\frac{1}{6})', (\frac{5}{6})') = (\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6})$  or  $(\frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{6})$  depending on  $p^l \pmod{6}$ . Hence, for  $0 \leq m, n \leq p^l - 1$

$$\frac{(\frac{1}{6})_{mp^l+n}(\frac{5}{6})_{mp^l+n}}{((mp^l+n)!)^2} \equiv \frac{(\frac{1}{6})_m(\frac{5}{6})_m}{(m!)^2} \cdot \frac{(\frac{1}{6})_n(\frac{5}{6})_n}{(n!)^2} \pmod{p}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; t\right]_{p^{2l}-1} &= \sum_{m,n=0}^{p^l-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{6})_{mp^l+n}(\frac{5}{6})_{mp^l+n}}{((mp^l+n)!)^2} \cdot t^{mp^l+n} \\ &\equiv \left( \sum_{m=0}^{p^l-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{6})_m(\frac{5}{6})_m}{(m!)^2} \cdot (t^{p^l})^m \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{n=0}^{p^l-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{6})_n(\frac{5}{6})_n}{(n!)^2} \cdot t^n \right) \\ &\equiv {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; t\right]_{p^l-1} \cdot {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; t^{p^l}\right]_{p^l-1} \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $p \nmid 6$  be a rational prime and let  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then,*

$$(5) \quad {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; t\right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor} \equiv (-1)^{(p^l-1)/2} \cdot {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; 1-t\right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor} \pmod{p}$$

as polynomials in  $t$ .<sup>3</sup>

*Proof.* As before, fix the rational prime  $p \nmid 6$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and adopt the notation  $[a]_0$  in Lemma 5.1 with respect to  $p^l$ . Using the similar argument as in Lemma 5.1, it is easy to show that for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  and  $0 \leq r \leq [-a]_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^r \cdot \frac{(a)_r}{r!} &= \frac{(-a)(-a-1) \cdots (-a-r+1)}{r!} \equiv \frac{([-a]_0)([-a]_0-1) \cdots ([-a]_0-r+1)}{r!} \\ &= \binom{[-a]_0}{r} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

In our case, it is easy to check that

$$[-\frac{1}{6}]_0 + [-\frac{5}{6}]_0 = p^l - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \min\{[-\frac{1}{6}]_0, [-\frac{5}{6}]_0\} = \lfloor \frac{p^l-1}{6} \rfloor.$$

Let  $A = [-\frac{1}{6}]_0$  and  $B = [-\frac{5}{6}]_0$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; t\right]_{\lfloor \frac{p^l-1}{6} \rfloor} &= \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor} \frac{(\frac{1}{6})_r}{r!} \cdot \frac{(\frac{5}{6})_r}{r!} \cdot t^r \\ &\equiv \sum_{r=0}^{\min\{A, B\}} \binom{A}{r} \binom{B}{r} \cdot t^r \\ &\equiv \text{the constant term of } (1+tX)^A \cdot (1+X^{-1})^B \text{ with respect to } X \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>3</sup>One should also see [MP22, Theorem 4.2] and [BRS<sup>+</sup>25, Lemma 7.2], both of which imply a mod  $p^2$  version of the result with truncation at  $p-1$ .

Since  $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^l}^\times} x^i \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (p^l - 1) \nmid i \\ -1 & \text{if } (p^l - 1) \mid i \end{cases}$ , we obtain that

$${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; t\right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor} = - \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^l}^\times} (1+tx)^A \cdot (1+x^{-1})^B = - \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^l} \setminus \{0, -1\}} \left(\frac{x(1+tx)}{1+x}\right)^A$$

as elements in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^l}[t]$ , where we use that  $A + B = p^l - 1$  and that  $(1+x^{-1})^{p^l-1} = 1$  for  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^l} \setminus \{0, -1\}$ . Now, let

$$h(X, t) = \frac{X(1+tX)}{1+X}.$$

Then,

$$h\left(\frac{1+tX}{t-1}, 1-t\right) = \frac{\frac{1+tX}{t-1} \cdot (1-(1+tX))}{1+\frac{1+tX}{t-1}} = \frac{(1+tX)(-tX)}{t+tX} = -h(X, t).$$

Hence, plugging in  $t = t_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^l} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; t_0\right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor} &= - \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^l} \setminus \{0, -1\}} h(x, t_0)^A = -(-1)^A \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^l} \setminus \{0, -1\}} h\left(\frac{1+t_0x}{t_0-1}, 1-t_0\right)^A \\ &= (-1)^A \cdot {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; 1-t_0\right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the bijectivity of the map  $x \mapsto \frac{1+t_0x}{t_0-1}$  on  $\mathbb{F}_{p^l} \setminus \{-1\}$ . In particular, the two polynomials  ${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; t\right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor}$  and  $(-1)^A \cdot {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; 1-t\right]_{\lfloor (p^l-1)/6 \rfloor}$  agree on  $\mathbb{F}_{p^l} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ , so their difference is divisible by  $\sum_{i=0}^{p^l-2} t^i$ . As both polynomials are of degree  $\leq \lfloor \frac{p^l-1}{6} \rfloor$ , they must agree. The result then follows by noting that  $(-1)^A = (-1)^{(p^l-1)/2}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of  $L$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 6$ . Let  $z_0 \in L$  be such that  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(z_0) = 0$  and  $(\frac{z_0}{\mathfrak{p}}) = -1$ . Then,*

$$(6) \quad {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; \frac{1-\sqrt{z_0}}{2}\right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})^2-1} \equiv \left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \cdot {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; \frac{1-\sqrt{z_0}}{2}\right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}^2 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}},$$

where  $\mathfrak{P}$  is the unique prime in  $L(\sqrt{z_0})$  that lies above  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

*Proof.* This simply follows from applying Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.3 with  $t = (1-\sqrt{z_0})/2$  and  $p^l = N(\mathfrak{p})$ , where we make use of the fact that  $((1-\sqrt{z_0})/2)^{N(\mathfrak{p})} \equiv (1+\sqrt{z_0})/2 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$  since  $(\frac{z_0}{\mathfrak{p}}) = -1$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1 in the case when  $(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{\mathfrak{p}}) = -1$ .

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of  $L$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 6$  and  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(j_0(j_0 - 1728)) = 0$ . Suppose that  $(\frac{1-1728/j_0}{\mathfrak{p}}) = -1$ . Then,*

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv -{}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix}; 1728/j_0\right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

*Proof.* Write  $z_0 = 1 - 1728/j_0$  as before. By Corollary 3.2, Proposition 3.4, and that  $(\frac{z_0}{\mathfrak{p}}) = -1$ ,

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv -\left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \cdot a_{\mathfrak{P}}(E_1) \equiv -\left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \cdot {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} \\ 1 & \end{matrix}; \frac{1-\sqrt{z_0}}{2}\right]_{\lfloor \frac{N(\mathfrak{P})-1}{6} \rfloor} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}},$$

where  $\mathfrak{P}$  is the unique prime in  $L(\sqrt{z_0})$  that lies above  $\mathfrak{p}$ . As  $N(\mathfrak{P}) = N(\mathfrak{p})^2$ , by Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.3 with  $t = (1 - \sqrt{z_0})/2$  and  $p^l = N(\mathfrak{p})$ , and by Corollary 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \cdot {}_2F_1\left[\frac{\frac{1}{6} \frac{5}{6}}{1}; \frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{2}\right]_{\lfloor \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}{6} \rfloor} &\stackrel{(1)}{\equiv} \left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \cdot {}_2F_1\left[\frac{\frac{1}{6} \frac{5}{6}}{1}; \frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{2}\right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})^2-1} \\ &\stackrel{(6)}{\equiv} {}_2F_1\left[\frac{\frac{1}{6} \frac{5}{6}}{1}; \frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{2}\right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}^2 \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{\equiv} {}_2F_1\left[\frac{\frac{1}{6} \frac{5}{6}}{1}; \frac{1 - \sqrt{z_0}}{2}\right]_{\lfloor \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}{6} \rfloor}^2 \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{\equiv} {}_3F_2\left[\frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} \frac{5}{6}}{1 1}; 1 - z_0\right]_{\lfloor \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}{6} \rfloor} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_0)^2 \equiv {}_3F_2\left[\frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} \frac{5}{6}}{1 1}; 1728/j_0\right]_{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}.$$

The result then follows since both sides are in  $L$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AGLT25] Michael Allen, Brian Grove, Ling Long, and Fang-Ting Tu, *The explicit hypergeometric-modularity method I*, Adv. Math. **478** (2025), Paper No. 110411, 55.
- [Asa25] Masanori Asakura, *Zeta functions of certain K3 families: application of the formula of Clausen*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **77** (2025), no. 1, 33–53.
- [Beu25] Frits Beukers, *Supercongruences using modular forms*, preprint on arXiv, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.03301v3>, 2025.
- [BRS<sup>+</sup>25] Angelica Baboi, Manami Roy, Holly Swisher, Bella Tobin, and Fang-Ting Tu, *Supercongruences arising from Ramanujan-Sato series*, Results Math. **80** (2025), no. 6, Paper No. 184, 52.
- [CDL<sup>+</sup>13] Sarah Chisholm, Alyson Deines, Ling Long, Gabriele Nebe, and Holly Swisher,  *$p$ -adic analogues of Ramanujan type formulas for  $1/\pi$* , Mathematics **1** (2013), no. 1, 9–31.
- [HLLT25] Jerome W. Hoffman, Wen-Ching Winnie Li, Ling Long, and Fang-Ting Tu, *Traces of Hecke operators via hypergeometric character sums*, preprint on arXiv, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.02918v2>, 2025.
- [Lon20] Ling Long, *Some numeric hypergeometric supercongruences*, Vertex operator algebras, number theory and related topics, Contemp. Math., vol. 753, Amer. Math. Soc., [Providence], RI, [2020] ©2020, pp. 139–156.
- [Mor05] Eric Mortenson, *Supercongruences for truncated  ${}_n+1F_n$  hypergeometric series with applications to certain weight three newforms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 2, 321–330.
- [MP22] Guo-Shuai Mao and Hao Pan, *Congruences corresponding to hypergeometric identities I.  ${}_2F_1$  transformations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **505** (2022), no. 2, Paper No. 125527, 40.
- [RRV19] David P. Roberts and Fernando Rodriguez Villegas, *Hypergeometric supercongruences*, 2017 MATRIX Annals, MATRIX Book Series, Springer Cham, 2019, pp. 435–439.
- [RV03] Fernando Rodriguez Villegas, *Hypergeometric families of Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Calabi-Yau varieties and mirror symmetry (Toronto, ON, 2001), Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, pp. 223–231.
- [Sil09] Joseph H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 106, Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.
- [Sun12] Zhi-Wei Sun, *On sums involving products of three binomial coefficients*, Acta Arith. **156** (2012), no. 2, 123–141.
- [Zud18] Wadim Zudilin, *A hypergeometric version of the modularity of rigid Calabi-Yau manifolds*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **14** (2018), Paper No. 086, 16.