

# DEFECT OF PROJECTIVE HYPERSURFACES WITH ISOLATED SINGULARITIES

SEUNG-JO JUNG AND MORIHIKO SAITO

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $X$  be a hypersurface with isolated singularities defined by  $f$  in  $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  with  $n > 1$ . The difference  $\text{def}(X) := h^{n+1}(X) - h^{n-1}(X)$  is called the defect of  $X$  (for self-duality of the cohomology of  $X$ ). It is known that its vanishing is closely related to  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factoriality of  $X$  in the rational singularity case with  $n = 3$ . This number coincides with the dimension of the cokernel of the inclusion  $H^{n-1}(X) \rightarrow \text{IH}^{n-1}(X)$ , the rank of the morphism from the vanishing cohomologies of  $X$  to  $H^{n+1}(X)$  for a one-parameter smoothing of  $X$  with total space smooth, and also with the dimension of the unipotent monodromy part of the Milnor fiber cohomology of  $f$  with degree  $n$ . In the case  $X$  has only weighted homogeneous isolated singularities, the defect  $\text{def}(X)$  is then given by the  $E_2$ -term of the spectral sequence of the double complex with differentials  $df \wedge$  and  $d$  by the  $E_2$ -degeneration of the pole order spectral sequence. It can be calculated explicitly using a computer even for analogues of the Hirzebruch quintic threefold with more than one hundred ordinary double points found by B. van Geemen and J. Werner in a compatible way with their computation. We give also an example with  $\text{def}(X) > 0$  and  $|\text{Sing } X| = 1$  where  $n = 3$ .

## Introduction

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$  be a hypersurface with *isolated* singularities with  $n \geq 2$ . The *defect*  $\text{def}(X)$  is the difference  $h^{n+1}(X) - h^{n-1}(X)$ , where  $h^k(X) := \dim H^k(X)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  (which might be called rather the Poincaré number). This measures partly a failure of self-duality. Note that the pairing on the middle cohomology may be degenerate and the total failure is given by the link cohomology, see Remark 1.2 below. The cohomology groups in this paper are with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients unless otherwise stated.

Let  $\{\mathcal{X}_c\}_{c \in \Delta}$  be a one-parameter smoothing of  $X = \mathcal{X}_0$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$  such that the total space and the  $\mathcal{X}_c$  are smooth for  $c \in \Delta^*$ , where  $\Delta$  is a sufficiently small disk. This can be obtained by choosing a smooth hypersurface of the same degree intersecting  $X$  only at smooth points. Applying also the weak Lefschetz theorem, we then get the equalities

$$(1) \quad h^k(X) = h^k(\mathcal{X}_c) (= 0 \text{ or } 1) \text{ for any } k \neq n, n+1, c \in \Delta^*,$$

(depending on the parity of  $k$ ) together with the exact sequence of mixed Hodge structures

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^n(X) \rightarrow H^n(\mathcal{X}_\infty) \xrightarrow{\rho} V \xrightarrow{\sigma} H^{n+1}(X) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(\mathcal{X}_\infty) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here  $H^\bullet(\mathcal{X}_\infty)$  denotes the limit mixed Hodge structure of the one-parameter degeneration, and  $V := \bigoplus_x V_x$  with  $V_x$  the vanishing cohomology at  $x \in \text{Sing } X$ , see [St 76], [St 77], [Sa 90].

Let  $\text{IH}^k(X)$  be the intersection cohomology. We have the canonical isomorphisms

$$(3) \quad \iota^k : H^k(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{IH}^k(X) \text{ for any } k \neq n-1, n,$$

together with the exact sequence of mixed Hodge structures

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^{n-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\iota^{n-1}} \text{IH}^{n-1}(X) \rightarrow {}^N V_1(1) \rightarrow H^n(X) \xrightarrow{\iota^n} \text{IH}^n(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here  $V_1 \subset V$  denotes the unipotent monodromy part,  ${}^N V_1 := \text{Ker } N \subset V_1$ , and  $N := \log T_u$  with  $T = T_s T_u$  the Jordan decomposition of the monodromy (and (1) is a Tate twist).

Let  $H^\bullet(F_f)_1$  be the unipotent monodromy part of the Milnor fiber cohomology with  $f$  a defining polynomial of  $X$ . The following theorem and corollaries generalize some assertions in the literature (see for instance [NaSt 95], [vGeWe 89]).

---

This work was partially supported by National Research Foundation of Korea NRF-2021R1C1C1004097.

**Theorem 1.** *There are equalities*

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{def}(X) &= \dim \operatorname{Coker} \iota^{n-1} \\ &= \dim \operatorname{Coker} \rho = \dim \operatorname{Im} \sigma = \dim H^n(F_f)_1. \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 1.** *For  $c \in \Delta^*$  we have*

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim \operatorname{IH}^n(X) \\ = \dim H^n(\mathcal{X}_c) - \dim V - \dim {}^N V_1 + 2 \operatorname{def}(X). \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 2.** *Assume  $n = 3$  and 1 is not a spectral number of any singular point of  $X$  or equivalently  $V_1$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of  $\mathbb{Q}(-2)$  (for instance  $X$  has only rational singularities). Then for  $c \in \Delta^*$  we have*

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Gr}_F^2 \operatorname{IH}^3(X)_{\mathbb{C}} \\ = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Gr}_F^2 H^3(\mathcal{X}_c)_{\mathbb{C}} - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Gr}_F^2 V_{\mathbb{C}} - \dim V_1 + 2 \operatorname{def}(X), \end{aligned}$$

where  $_{\mathbb{C}}$  after a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space means the scalar extension by  $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

The last assertion about  $\dim H^n(F_f)_1$  in Theorem 1 would be well known to specialists although it might be forgotten to mention explicitly in the literature, see for instance [Di 92]. The proofs of Corollaries 1 and 2 easily follow from Theorem 1 using the exact sequences (2) and (4) together with the invariance of  $\dim \operatorname{Gr}_F^p$  under the passage to the limit mixed Hodge structure. (The last invariance follows from the assertion that the Hodge filtration can be extended to a filtration of the Deligne extension whose graded quotients are locally free even after a unipotent base change.) Note that  $\sum_j (-1)^j \dim K^j = 0$  for a bounded acyclic complex  $K^\bullet$ . Recall also that  $X$  has an rational singularity at  $x \in \operatorname{Sing} X$  if and only if the minimal spectral number at  $x$  is strictly bigger than 1 (see [Sa 93]), and we have the symmetry of spectral numbers at  $x$  whose center is 2 in the case  $n = 3$ . By Theorem 1 and the exact sequence (2) we have for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  (for instance  $p = 2$  when  $n = 3$ ) the inequality

$$(8) \quad \operatorname{def}(X) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Gr}_F^p V_{\mathbb{C}} - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Gr}_F^p H^n(\mathcal{X}_c)_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Note that  $H^n(\mathcal{X}_{\infty})_{\neq 1} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\neq 1}$  with  $_{\neq 1}$  denoting the non-unipotent monodromy part.

The Euler and primitive Hodge numbers  $\chi(\mathcal{X}_c)$  and  $\dim \operatorname{Gr}_F^p H_{\text{prim}}^n(\mathcal{X}_c)$  for  $c \in \Delta^*$  can be obtained respectively as the coefficients of  $t^{n+1}$  and  $t^{(p+1)d}$  (using the Hodge symmetry) of the formal power series

$$(9) \quad dt(1+t)^{n+2}/(1+dt), \quad ((t-t^d)/(1-t))^{n+2} \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]],$$

where  $d = \deg f$ , see [Hi 66], [Na 80], [Gri 69] (and also [MSS 20, Remark at the end of Section 2]). If all the singularities of  $X$  are ordinary double points with  $n$  odd, we have  $V = V_1 = {}^N V_1$ , and their dimension is  $s := |\operatorname{Sing} X|$ . The equality (6) for  $n = 3$  is then equivalent to a formula in the introduction of [vGeWe 89] (where the dependence of  $\operatorname{def}(X)$  on the position of ordinary double points is shown, see also Examples 3.1 and 3.2 below) via the decomposition theorem for the resolution of  $X$  by blowups at singular points.

The Milnor fiber cohomology  $H^n(F_f)_1$  can be calculated by using the pole order spectral sequence whose converging filtration is the pole order filtration, and it degenerates at  $E_2$  if the singularities of  $X$  are isolated and weighted homogeneous, see [Sa 25]. It is essentially the spectral sequence of the double complex with differentials  $df \wedge, d$ , and the dimensions of the  $E_2$ -terms can be calculated effectively using a computer, see [DiSt 20], [Sa 20], and also Section 3 below.

It is known that the defect  $\operatorname{def}(X)$  is closely related to factoriality in the rational singularity case with  $n = 3$ , see Section 4 below.

In Section 1 we review some basics of vanishing cycles and intersection complexes. In section 2 we give the proof of Theorem 1. In Section 3 we explain how to calculate the defect for some examples. In Section 4 we give a new proof of the *topological* formula of S.G. Park and M. Popa for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality defect generalizing an argument of Y. Namikawa and J.H.M. Steenbrink.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Vanishing cycles and intersection complexes	3
2. Proof of the main theorem	4
3. Explicit computation of defects	5
4. $\mathbf{Q}$ -factoriality defect	8
References	12

## 1. Vanishing cycles and intersection complexes

In this section we review some basics of vanishing cycles and intersection complexes, see also [FPS 25, 2.3], [KLS 22, 2.2].

Let  $q: \mathcal{X} = \bigsqcup_{c \in \Delta} \mathcal{X}_c \rightarrow \Delta$  be a one-parameter degeneration in the introduction. We have a short exact sequence of (analytic) mixed Hodge modules on  $X$ :

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{h,X}[n] \rightarrow \psi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n] \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \varphi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n] \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n+1]$  is a polarizable Hodge module of weight  $n+1$  whose underlying  $\mathbb{Q}$ -complex is  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n+1]$ , and  $\mathbb{Q}_{h,X}[n] := \mathcal{H}^{-1} i_X^* (\mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n+1])$  with  $i_X: X = \mathcal{X}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  the inclusion, see [Sa 88], [Sa 90]. The last inclusion can be identified locally with the inclusion  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$  at each singular point of  $X$ . (Note that  $\psi_q[-1]$ ,  $\varphi_q[-1]$  preserve mixed Hodge modules.)

Taking an appropriate compactification of a local Milnor fibration around each  $x \in \text{Sing } X$ , one can verify that the vanishing cycle Hodge module  $\varphi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n+1]$  is identified with the direct sum of the direct images  $(i_x)_* V_x$  with  $i_x: \{x\} \hookrightarrow X$  the inclusion. The equality (1) and the exact sequence (2) then follow from (1.1) by taking the direct image by  $a_X: X \rightarrow pt$ , see [Sa 90].

The dual exact sequence of (1.1) is identified with

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \varphi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}(1)[n] \xrightarrow{\text{var}} \psi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Q}_{h,X}(n)[n]) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathbb{D}$  is the dual functor, see [Sa 89]. Since the composition  $\text{var} \circ \text{can}$  coincides with

$$N: \psi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n] \rightarrow \psi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}(-1)[n].$$

we then get the isomorphisms

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbb{Q}_{h,X}[n] = \text{Ker } N, \quad \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Q}_{h,X}(n)[n]) = (\text{Coker } N)(1),$$

$$(1.4) \quad \varphi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}(1)[n] = \text{Coim } N.$$

Let  $W$  be the weight filtration on  $\psi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n]$ ,  $\varphi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n]$ . This is given by the monodromy filtration shifted by  $n$  and  $n+1$  respectively so that there are isomorphisms

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} N^j: \text{Gr}_{n+j}^W(\psi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n]) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gr}_{n-j}^W(\psi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n])(-j), \\ N^j: \text{Gr}_{n+1+j}^W(\varphi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n]) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gr}_{n+1-j}^W(\varphi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n])(-j), \end{aligned}$$

These imply the  $N$ -primitive decompositions

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \bigoplus_j \text{Gr}_j^W(\psi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n]) &= \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \bigoplus_{k=0}^j N^k {}_P\text{Gr}_{n+j}^W(\psi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n])(k), \\ \bigoplus_j \text{Gr}_j^W(\varphi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n]) &= \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \bigoplus_{k=0}^j N^k {}_P\text{Gr}_{n+1+j}^W(\varphi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n])(k), \end{aligned}$$

where the  $N$ -primitive part is defined by

$${}_P\text{Gr}_{n+j}^W(\psi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n]) := \text{Ker } N^{j+1} \subset \text{Gr}_{n+j}^W(\psi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n]),$$

and similarly for  ${}_P\text{Gr}_{n+1+j}^W(\varphi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n])$  with  $n$  replaced by  $n+1$ . Combined with (1.4), we then get the isomorphism of mixed Hodge modules

$$(1.7) \quad {}_P\text{Gr}_{n+j}^W(\psi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n]) = \begin{cases} {}_P\text{Gr}_{n+j}^W(\varphi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,\mathcal{X}}[n]) & \text{if } j > 0 \\ \text{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h & \text{if } j = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h$  denotes the intersection complex Hodge module. The case  $j = 0$  follows from (1.3) and [Sa 90, (4.5.9)] (using algebraic mixed Hodge modules, since  $X$  is algebraic), see also [FPS 25, 2.3], [KLS 22, 2.2].

Combined with (1.3) and (1.4), these imply the following (which is well known for the underlying  $\mathbb{Q}$ -complexes).

**Theorem 1.1.** *We have the short exact sequence of mixed Hodge modules*

$$(1.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_x (i_x)_* {}^N V_{x,1}(1) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{h,X}[n] \rightarrow \mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\bigoplus_x {}^N V_{x,1} = {}^N V_1$ .

*Proof.* The kernel filtration  $K_i$  on  $\psi_{q,1} \mathbb{Q}_{h,X}[n]$  is defined by  $\mathrm{Ker} K^{i+1}$  so that we have the inclusion  $N : \mathrm{Gr}_1^K \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_0^K$  giving the one in (1.8).  $\square$

**Remark 1.1.** The isomorphisms in (3) and the exact sequence (4) follow from (1.8).

**Remark 1.2.** The kernel of  $\iota^n$  in (4) expresses the failure of the self-duality of  $H^n(X)$  for the canonical self-pairing. So the link cohomology, which is isomorphic to  ${}^N V_1$  (see [Mi 68]), gives the failure of the self-duality of the cohomology of  $X$ .

**Remark 1.3.** It is well known that a complex variety  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology manifold if and only if the canonical morphism  $\mathbb{Q}_X[\dim X] \rightarrow \mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}$  is an isomorphism, where the last condition is equivalent to that the canonical morphism  $\mathbb{Q}_X[\dim X] \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Q}_X(\dim X)[\dim X])$  is a quasi-isomorphism (using [Sa 90, (4.5.6–7 and 9)]).

## 2. Proof of the main theorem

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.

*Proof for  $\dim \mathrm{Coker} \iota^{n-1}$ .* By self-duality of the intersection cohomology of  $X$  (see for instance [BBD 82]) we have

$$(2.1) \quad \dim \mathrm{IH}^{n-1}(X) = \dim \mathrm{IH}^{n+1}(X).$$

So the assertion follows from (3) and (4).

*Proof for  $\dim \mathrm{Coker} \rho$  and  $\dim \mathrm{Im} \sigma$ .* The morphism  $\sigma$  induces the quotient morphism

$$\sigma' : {}_N V_1 := V_1 / N V_1 \rightarrow H^{n+1}(X) = \mathrm{IH}^{n+1}(X),$$

(see (3)), since  $\sigma$  is compatible with the action of the monodromy. In view of the argument in Section 1 it is induced by the short exact sequence of mixed Hodge modules

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Q}_{h,X}(n)[n]) \rightarrow \bigoplus_x (i_x)_* {}_N V_{x,1} \rightarrow 0,$$

which is the dual of (1.8). So the assertion follows.

*Proof for  $\dim H^n(F_f)_1$ .* Set  $Y := \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ ,  $U := Y \setminus X$ . It is known that there are isomorphisms

$$(2.3) \quad H^k(F_f)_1 = H^k(U) \quad (\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

(see for instance [Di 92], [BuSa 10, 1.3]) together with the local cohomology sequence

$$(2.4) \quad \rightarrow H^k(Y) \rightarrow H^k(U) \rightarrow H_X^{k+1}(Y) \rightarrow H^{k+1}(Y) \rightarrow .$$

We have moreover the isomorphisms

$$(2.5) \quad H_X^{k+1}(Y) = H_{2n-k+1}(X)(-n-1) \quad (\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

since

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbf{R}\Gamma_X \mathbb{Q}_Y = \mathbf{R}\Gamma_X(\mathbb{D}\mathbb{Q}_Y)(-n-1)[-2n-2] = (\mathbb{D}\mathbb{Q}_X)(-n-1)[-2n-2].$$

So the assertion follows using (1).

### 3. Explicit computation of defects

In this section we explain how to calculate the defect for some examples.

Let  $\Omega^\bullet$  be the complex of graded algebraic differential forms on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$  whose components are finite free graded modules over  $R := \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}]$ . Here the  $x_i$  are the coordinates of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ , which have degree 1 as well as the  $dx_i$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have the microlocal *pole order spectral sequence*

$$(3.1) \quad E_1^{p,q}(f)_k = H_{df\wedge}^{p+q}(\Omega^\bullet)_{qd+k} \implies \tilde{H}^{p+q-1}(F_f, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)},$$

converging to the pole order filtration  $P$  up to shift, where  $\mathbf{e}(-k/d)$  denotes the  $\mathbf{e}(-k/d)$ -eigenspace of the monodromy with  $\mathbf{e}(\alpha) := e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ , see [DiSa 24], [Sa 20]. Set

$$M := H_{df\wedge}^n(\Omega^\bullet), \quad N := H_{df\wedge}^{n-1}(\Omega^\bullet)(-d),$$

$$M^{(2)} := H_d^n(H_{df\wedge}^\bullet(\Omega^\bullet)), \quad N^{(2)} := H_d^{n-1}(H_{df\wedge}^\bullet(\Omega^\bullet))(-d).$$

Here  $(m)$  denotes the shift of grading by  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $G(m)_k = G_{k+m}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) for a graded module  $G$ , and  $M, N$  are also denoted as  $M^{(1)}, N^{(1)}$ . For  $r = 1, 2$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad E_r^{p,q}(f)_k = \begin{cases} M_{qd+k}^{(r)} & \text{if } p+q = n, \\ N_{(q+1)d+k}^{(r)} & \text{if } p+q = n-1, \end{cases}$$

and  $E_r^{p,q}(f)_k = 0$  otherwise, since  $\dim \text{Sing } f^{-1}(0) \leq 1$ , see [DiSa 04]. We have the following.

**Theorem 3.1** ([Sa 25]). *If the singularities of  $X$  are isolated and weighted homogeneous, this spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_2$ .*

We can verify that the rank of the differential  $d_1 : N_{(k+d)/d} \rightarrow M_{k/d}$  coincides with

$$(3.3) \quad \text{rk } \phi_k - (\text{rk } \text{Gr}_0^G \phi_k + \text{rk } \text{Gr}_1^G \phi_k).$$

Here the morphism

$$V := \Omega_{k-n-1}^{n+1} \oplus \Omega_{k-d-n-1}^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\phi_k} V' := \Omega_{k+d-n-2}^{n+2} \oplus \Omega_{k-n-2}^{n+2}$$

is defined by

$$\phi_k(\omega, \omega') := (df \wedge \omega, d\omega + df \wedge \omega'),$$

and the increasing filtration  $G$  is given so that the  $\text{Gr}_i^G \phi_k$  for  $i = 0, 1$  is induced by  $df \wedge$ .

**Example 3.1.** A sample code for Singular [DGPS 23] to calculate the dimension of  $N_{3d}^{(2)}$  is as below. Here the hypothesis of Theorem 2 is practically assumed in order that this dimension coincide with the defect (although this can be avoided by modifying the code so that  $\dim N_{2d}^{(2)}$  is also computed, where the Hodge symmetry and [DiSt 20, Proposition 2.2] are used). We first determine the coefficients of the input polynomial on the first line, which comes from [vGeWe 89, Proposition 2.3] and is an analogue of the one in [Hi 87].

```
ring R=0,(x,y,z,u,v),ds;poly f,g; g=(x+6*z)*(y^2-x^2)*(5*y^2-4*(x+z)^2);f=g-subst(g,x,u,y,v);
int a1,a2,a3,b1,b2,b3,i,j,k,l,d,p,q,m,n,s,k0,k1,maxn,rk1,rk2,rk3,gam; intmat F[200][6];
intmat psum[2][2]; intmat siz[2][2]; d=deg(f); n=0; for(i=0; i<=d; i++){for(j=0; j<=d-i;
j++){for(k=0; k<=d-i-j; k++){for(l=0; l<=d-i-j-k; l++){g=f; for(p=1; p<=i; p++)
{g=diff(g,x)/p;} for(p=1; p<=j; p++){g=diff(g,y)/p;} for(p=1; p<=k; p++){g=diff(g,z)/p;}
for(p=1; p<=l; p++){g=diff(g,u)/p;} g=subst(g,x,0,y,0,z,0,u,0,v,1); if (g!=0)
{printf("%s (%s,%s,%s,%s,%s)",g,i,j,k,l,d-i-j-k-l); n++; F[n,1]=i; F[n,2]=j; F[n,3]=k;
F[n,4]=l; F[n,5]=d-i-j-k-l; F[n,6]=int(g);}}}} maxn=n;
int nn; list I; proc rn() {nn=(I[1]-I[2]+3)*(I[1]-I[2]+2)*(I[1]-I[2]+1)*(I[1]-I[2]) div
24+ (I[1]-I[2]-I[3]+2)*(I[1]-I[2]-I[3]+1)*(I[1]-I[2]-I[3]) div 6+(I[1]-I[2]-I[3]-I[4]+1)*
(I[1]-I[2]-I[3]-I[4]) div 2+ I[1]-I[2]-I[3]-I[4]-I[5]+1;}
g=((x^d-x)/(x-1))^5; for(p=1; p<=2*d; p++) {g=diff(g,x)/p;} gam=int(subst(g,x,0));
int mu2, mu3, nu3; intmat siz[2][2]; intmat psum[2][3]; psum[1,1]=0; psum[2,1]=0;
for (s=1; s<=2; s++) {k0=s*d-4; k1=(s+1)*d-5; siz[1,s]=(k0+1)*(k0+2)*(k0+3)*(k0+4) div
24; siz[2,s]=(k1+1)*(k1+2)*(k1+3)*(k1+4) div 24; psum[1,s+1]=psum[1,s]+siz[1,s];
psum[2,s+1]=psum[2,s]+siz[2,s];} a1=5*siz[1,1]; a2=5*siz[1,2]; a3=5*psum[1,3]; b1=
siz[2,1]; b2=siz[2,2]; b3=psum[2,3]; intmat A[a1][b1]; intmat B[a2][b2]; intmat C[a3][b3];
```

Here the procedure (or function) `rn` is for numbering of monomials of a given degree. We then calculate the coefficients of the matrix corresponding to  $\phi_{2d}$ .

```
for (s=1; s<=2; s++) {k0=s*d-4; for (i = 0; i <= k0; i++) {for (j = 0; i+j <= k0; j++) {for (k = 0; i+j+k <= k0; k++) {for (l = 0; i+j+k+l <= k0; l++) {I=k0,i,j,k,l; rn(); p=nn+5*psum[1,s]; k1=(s+1)*d-5; m=siz[1,s]; for (n = 1; n <= maxn; n++) {if (F[n,1] > 0) {I=k1,i+F[n,1]-1,j+F[n,2],k+F[n,3],l+F[n,4]; rn(); q=nn+psum[2,s]; C[p,q]=C[p,q]+F[n,1]*F[n,6];} if (F[n,2] > 0) {I=k1,i+F[n,1],j+F[n,2]-1,k+F[n,3],l+F[n,4]; rn(); q=nn+psum[2,s]; C[p+m,q]=C[p+m,q]+F[n,2]*F[n,6];} if (F[n,3] > 0) {I=k1,i+F[n,1],j+F[n,2],k+F[n,3]-1,l+F[n,4]; rn(); q=nn+psum[2,s]; C[p+2*m,q]=C[p+2*m,q]+F[n,3]*F[n,6];} if (F[n,4] > 0) {I=k1,i+F[n,1],j+F[n,2],k+F[n,3],l+F[n,4]-1; rn(); q=nn+psum[2,s]; C[p+3*m,q]=C[p+3*m,q]+F[n,4]*F[n,6];} if (F[n,5] > 0) {I=k1,i+F[n,1],j+F[n,2],k+F[n,3],l+F[n,4]; rn(); q=nn+psum[2,s]; C[p+4*m,q]=C[p+4*m,q]+F[n,5]*F[n,6];}} if (s > 1) {k1=s*d-5; if (i > 0) {I=k1,i-1,j,k,l; rn(); q=nn; C[p,q]=C[p,q]+i;} if (j > 0) {I=k1,i,j-1,k,l; rn(); q=nn; C[p+m,q]=C[p+m,q]+j;} if (k > 0) {I=k1,i,j,k-1,l; rn(); q=nn; C[p+2*m,q]=C[p+2*m,q]+k;} if (l > 0) {I=k1,i,j,k,l-1; rn(); q=nn; C[p+3*m,q]=C[p+3*m,q]+l;} if (i+j+k+l < k0) {I=k1,i,j,k,l; rn(); q=nn; C[p+4*m,q]=C[p+4*m,q]+k0-i-j-k-l;}}}} for(i=1; i<=a1; i++) {for(j=1; j<=b1; j++) {A[i,j]=C[i,j];} for(i=1; i<=a2; i++) {for(j=1; j<=b2; j++) {B[i,j]=C[i+a1,j+b1];}}}
```

We now compute the rank of the matrices

```
sprintf("Calculating the rank of a matrix of size %s%s",a1,b1); rk1=rank(A); rk1;
sprintf("Calculating the rank of a matrix of size %s%s",a2,b2); rk2=rank(B); rk2;
sprintf("Calculating the rank of a matrix of size %s%s",a3,b3); rk3=rank(C); rk3;
mu3=(3*d-1)*(3*d-2)*(3*d-3)*(3*d-4) div 24-rk2; nu3=mu3-gam; mu2=(2*d-1)*(2*d-2)*(2*d-3)*(2*d-4) div 24-rk1;
sprintf("mu_2=%s, mu_3=%s, nu_3=%s, rk d_1=%s, h^3_f=%s", mu2, mu3, nu3, rk3-rk2-rk1, nu3-rk3+rk2+rk1);
```

Here  $\dim \text{Gr}_F^2 H^3(\mathcal{X}_c)_{\mathbb{C}} = 101$ ,  $s = 118$ ,  $\text{def}(X) = 19$ , where  $c \in \Delta^*$  (this is the same for the examples below). Note that  $\mu_2, \mu_3, \nu_3$  mean  $\mu_2, \mu_3, \nu_3$  respectively.

**Example 3.2.** For a polynomial coming from [vGeWe 89, Proposition 2.1], one may replace  $g$  with

$$g=(x+z)*(3*y^2-(x-2*z)^2)*(5*x^2+5*y^2-8*z^2);$$

Here  $\dim \text{Gr}_F^2 H^3(\mathcal{X}_c)_{\mathbb{C}} = 101$ ,  $s = 118$ , but  $\text{def}(X) = 18$ .

**Example 3.3.** For a polynomial in [vSt 93], one can replace  $f$  with

$$\text{poly } w=-x-y-z-u-v; f=-(x^5+y^5+z^5+u^5+v^5+w^5)+10*(x*y*z*u*v+x*y*z*u*w+x*y*z*v*w+x*y*u*v*w+x*z*u*v*w+y*z*u*v*w);$$

Here  $\dim \text{Gr}_F^2 H^3(\mathcal{X}_c)_{\mathbb{C}} = 101$ ,  $s = 130$ ,  $\text{def}(X) = 29$ .

**Example 3.4.** For a polynomial in [Che 10], one may put

$$\text{int } b=4; f=x*(x^b+y^b+z^b+u^b+v^b)+y*(x^b-2*y^b+3*z^b-4*u^b+5*v^b);$$

Here  $\dim \text{Gr}_F^2 H^3(\mathcal{X}_c)_{\mathbb{C}} = 101$ ,  $s = 16$ ,  $\text{def}(X) = 1$ .

**Example 3.5.** For the Segre cubic hypersurface, one can set

$$f=(x+y+z+u+v)^3-(x^3+y^3+z^3+u^3+v^3);$$

Here  $\dim \text{Gr}_F^2 H^3(\mathcal{X}_c)_{\mathbb{C}} = 5$ ,  $s = 10$ ,  $\text{def}(X) = 5$ .

**Example 3.6.** For an example with  $\text{def}(X) > 0$  and  $|\text{Sing } X| = 1$  (see also [Li 16]), put

$$f=(y^2-2*x*z)^2+(y^2-2*x*z)*x^2+x^4+u^4+v^4;$$

Here  $\dim \text{Gr}_F^2 H^3(\mathcal{X}_c)_{\mathbb{C}} = 30$ ,  $\dim V_1 = \text{def}(X) = 7$ , and  $X$  has only one singular point, which is defined analytic-locally by  $y^2 + x^8 + u^4 + v^4 = 0$ , see also [Sa 25, Remark 8.5]. This is a rational singularity, since the minimal spectral number is  $\frac{9}{8}$ . (It seems quite difficult to find a non-rational example for  $d = 4$ .)

**Remark 3.1.** For an example with  $d = 6$  (or Example 3.3) it may be better to use the following code written by C. (Note that this uses an experimental method to calculate the rank of a matrix via mod  $p$  reduction.) It must be copied to a text file with name `c.c` for instance, and must be compiled by `gcc`, etc. Here `Return` must be added after `.h>` (two places). Some character might be modified, for instance `'` might be affected depending on the viewer, and this should be replaced by a character from a keyboard using a text editor.

```

#include<stdio.h> #include<stdlib.h> static const int primes[] = {32633, 32647, 32653, 32687,
32693, 32707, 32713, 32717, 32719, 32749}; int A[3000][3000], B[3000][3000], F[300][7],
siz[2][5], psum[2][6]; int g1[5*15], g2[5*15], g3[5*15], gam[5*15]; int c, d, i, j, k, k0,
k1, l, m, rk1, rk2, rk3, rk4, maxn, Prim, mu2, mu3, nu3; FILE *fp1; void rank(int a, int b,
int b2); int rn(int mm, int ii, int jj, int kk, int ll); void nextc(void); int main(void)
{int a, b, cc, n, p, q, r, s; if ((fp1 = fopen("Inp.txt", "r")) == NULL) printf
("Input file name must be Inp.txt\n"), exit(1); printf("Prime Number "); cc=getchar(); if
('0'<=cc && cc<='9') Prim=primes[9-(cc-'0')]; else Prim=primes[9]; printf("Prime %d\n",Prim);
for (i=j=0; c!=EOF && c!='/' && i<=300;) {nextc(); if (c=='-') {F[i][6]=-1; c=fgetc(fp1);
nextc();} if ('0'<=c && c<='9') {for (F[i][j]=0; '0'<=c && c<='9'; c=fgetc(fp1)) F[i][j]=
F[i][j]*10+c-'0'; nextc(); j++;} if (i==0 && j==6) d=F[i][1]+F[i][2]+F[i][3]+F[i][4]+F[i][5];
if (j==6 && F[i][1]+F[i][2]+F[i][3]+F[i][4]+F[i][5]!=d && i>0) {printf("Degree error\n");
exit(1);} if (j==6) {if (F[i][6]<0) F[i][0]=F[i][0]*F[i][6]; i++; j=0;} if (i>300) printf
("Too many monomials\n"), exit(2); maxn=i; fclose(fp1); for (i=0; i<=5*d; i++) {if (i>0&&i<d)
g1[i]=1; else g1[i]=0;} for (i=0; i<=5*d; i++) for (g2[i]=j=0; j<=i; j++) g2[i]=g2[i]+g1[j]*
g1[i-j]; for (i=0; i<=5*d; i++) for (g3[i]=j=0; j<=i; j++) g3[i]=g3[i]+g1[j]*g2[i-j]; for
(i=0; i<=5*d; i++) for (gam[i]=j=0; j<=i; j++) gam[i]=gam[i]+g2[j]*g3[i-j]; psum[0][0]=0;
psum[1][0]=0; for (s=0; s<=1; s++) {k0=(s+1)*d-4; k1=(s+2)*d-5; if (k0>=0) siz[0][s]=(k0+1)*
(k0+2)*(k0+3)*(k0+4)/24; else siz[0][s]=0; if (k1>=0) siz[1][s]=(k1+1)*(k1+2)*(k1+3)*(k1+4)/
24; else siz[1][s]=0; psum[0][s+1]=psum[0][s]+siz[0][s]; psum[1][s+1]=psum[1][s]+siz[1][s];}
a=5*psum[0][1+1]; b=psum[1][1+1];
for (s=0; s<=1; s++) {k0=(s+1)*d-4; for (i = 0; i <= k0; i++) {for (j = 0; i+j <= k0; j++)
{for (k = 0; i+j+k <= k0; k++) {for (l = 0; i+j+k+l <= k0; l++) {p=rn(k0,i,j,k,l)+5*
psum[0][s]; k1=(s+2)*d-5; m=siz[0][s]; for (n = 0; n < maxn; n++) {if (F[n][1] > 0) {q=rn(k1,
i+F[n][1]-1,j+F[n][2],k+F[n][3],l+F[n][4])+psum[1][s]; A[p][q]=A[p][q]+F[n][1]*F[n][0];} if
(F[n][2] > 0) {q=rn(k1,i+F[n][1],j+F[n][2]-1,k+F[n][3],l+F[n][4])+psum[1][s]; A[p+m][q]=
A[p+m][q]+F[n][2]*F[n][0];} if (F[n][3] > 0) {q=rn(k1,i+F[n][1],j+F[n][2],k+F[n][3]-1,l+
F[n][4])+psum[1][s]; A[p+2*m][q]= A[p+2*m][q]+F[n][3]*F[n][0];} if (F[n][4] > 0) {q=rn(k1,
i+F[n][1],j+F[n][2],k+F[n][3],l+F[n][4]-1)+ psum[1][s]; A[p+3*m][q]= A[p+3*m][q]+F[n][4]*
F[n][0];} if (F[n][5] > 0) {q=rn(k1,i+F[n][1],j+F[n][2],k+F[n][3],l+F[n][4])+ psum[1][s];
A[p+4*m][q]= A[p+4*m][q]+F[n][5]*F[n][0];} if (s > 0) {k1=(s+1)*d-5; if (i > 0) {q=rn(k1,
i-1,j,k,l)+psum[1][s-1]; A[p][q]=A[p][q]+i; if (j > 0) {q=rn(k1,i,j-1,k,l)+psum[1][s-1];
A[p+m][q]=A[p+m][q]+j; if (k > 0) {q=rn(k1,i,j,k-1,l)+psum[1][s-1]; A[p+2*m][q]=
A[p+2*m][q]+k; if (l > 0) {q=rn(k1,i,j,k,l-1)+psum[1][s-1]; A[p+3*m][q]=A[p+3*m][q]+l; if
(i+j+k+l < k0) {q=rn(k1,i,j,k,l)+psum[1][s-1]; A[p+4*m][q]=A[p+4*m][q]+k0-i-j-k-l;}}}}}}
for(i=0; i<5*siz[0][0]; i++) for(j=0; j<siz[1][0]; j++) B[i][j]=A[i][j]; printf
("calculating a matrix of size %dx%d,%d:\n",a,b,siz[1][0]); rank(a,b,siz[1][0]); printf
("%d, %d\n",rk1,rk2); mu3=(3*d-1)*(3*d-2)*(3*d-3)*(3*d-4)/24-rk2; nu3=mu3-gam[3*d]; rk3=
rk1-rk2; rk4=rk2; for(i=0; i<5*siz[0][0]; i++) for(j=0; j<siz[1][0]; j++) A[i][j]=B[i][j];
printf("calculating a matrix of size %dx%d:\n",5*siz[0][0],siz[1][0]); rank(5*siz[0][0],
siz[1][0],0); printf("%d\n",rk1); mu2=(2*d-1)*(2*d-2)*(2*d-3)*(2*d-4)/24-rk1; printf
("mu_2=%d, mu_3=%d, nu_3=%d, rk_d_1=%d, h^3_f=%d\n", mu2, mu3, nu3, rk3-rk1, nu3-rk3+rk1);}
void rank(int a, int b, int b2) {int i, i0, j, p, rank, minc, numnz, minnz, tmp[3000]; if
(a>=3000 || b>=3000) {printf("Too big matrix!\n"), exit(1);} rank=rk2=0; while (b>0) {for
(i=minc=minnz=0, i0=-1; i<a; i++) {if (A[i][b-1]!=0) {if (i0==-1 || abs(A[i][b-1])<=minc)
{for (p=numnz=0; p<b-1 && numnz<minnz; p++) {if (A[i][p]!=0) numnz++;} if (i0==-1 || abs(
A[i][b-1])<minc || numnz<minnz) {i0=i; minnz=numnz; minc=abs(A[i][b-1]);}} if (i0==-1)
{b--;} else {for (j=0; j<b; j++) tmp[j]=A[i0][j]; for (j=0; j<b-1; j++) {for (i=0; i<i0;
i++) A[i][j] = (A[i][j]*tmp[b-1]-A[i][b-1]*tmp[j]) % Prim; for (i=i0+1; i<a; i++)
A[i-1][j]=(A[i][j]*tmp[b-1]-A[i][b-1]*tmp[j]) % Prim;} a--; b--; rank++;} if (b==b2) rk2=
rank;} rk1=rank;} int rn(int mm, int ii, int jj, int kk, int ll) {return (mm-ii+3)*(mm-ii+
2)*(mm-ii+1)*(mm-ii)/24+ (mm-ii-jj+2)*(mm-ii-jj+1)*(mm-ii-jj)/6+ (mm-ii-jj-kk+1)*(mm-ii-
jj-kk)/2+ mm-ii-jj-kk-11;} void nextc(void) {while ((c<'0' || c>'9') && c!='-' && c!='/' &&
c!=EOF) c=fgetc(fp1);}

```

Before running `./a.out`, one has to prepare the input file `Inp.txt` by running the first eight lines of the above program for Singular, where the output on the screen (starting from 3 (0,0,0,2,4)) should be copied to `Inp.txt` using a text editor. This must be put in the same directory as `a.out`. When one runs `./a.out`, the computer will demand to choose a prime. Here any number between 0 and 9 should be typed together with Return, and one can press only Return in the case the prime corresponding to 0 is chosen. Concerning  $f$  in the code for Singular, it can be replaced for instance by

```
int b=2; int c=3; int a=b*c; poly f=(x^b+y^b+z^b+u^b+v^b)^c-(x^a+y^a+z^a+u^a+v^a);
```

Here we have  $\dim \text{Gr}_F^2 H^3(\mathcal{X}_c)_{\mathbb{C}} = 255$ ,  $s = 285$ ,  $\text{def}(X) = 40$ , and  $X$  has only ordinary double points. If we set

```
int b=3; int c=2; int a=b*c; poly f=3*(x^a+y^a+z^a+u^a+v^a)-(x^b+y^b+z^b+u^b+v^b)^c;
```

we have  $\dim \text{Gr}_F^2 H^3(\mathcal{X}_c)_{\mathbb{C}} = 255$ ,  $\dim V_1 = \frac{1}{2} \dim V = 180$ ,  $\text{def}(X) = 30$  with ninety singular points, which are analytic-locally defined by  $x^3 + y^3 + u^2 + v^2 = 0$  with Milnor number 4.

#### 4. $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality defect

In this section we give a new proof of the *topological* formula of S.G. Park and M. Popa for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality defect generalizing an argument of Y. Namikawa and J.H.M. Steenbrink.

Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety of dimension  $n \geq 2$  (whose singularities may be non-isolated). We denote by  $\text{Div}(X)$  the free abelian group of Weil divisors on  $X$ , which is freely generated by irreducible reduced divisors. Let  $\text{CDiv}(X)$  be the *subgroup* consisting of Cartier divisors, that is, Zariski-locally principal Weil divisors, on  $X$  (since  $X$  is normal). When we consider the divisors modulo linear equivalence, that is, if we divide these abelian groups by the image of the divisor map from the function field of  $X$ , they are denoted by  $\text{Div}(X)_{\sim \text{lin}}$ ,  $\text{CDiv}(X)_{\sim \text{lin}}$ . Recall that the latter is identified with the Picard group  $\text{Pic}(X) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ . The rank of  $\text{Div}(X)/\text{CDiv}(X)$  is denoted by  $\sigma(X)$  if it is finite. It is sometimes called the  *$\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality defect*.

Set  $\Sigma := \text{Sing } X$ . Take a sufficiently small *contractible* Stein open neighborhood  $U_x$  for each  $x \in \Sigma$  (by intersecting  $X$  with a sufficiently small ball  $B_x$  in an ambient smooth space). Let  $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a desingularization such that  $E := \pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$  is a divisor with simple normal crossings. Let  $E_i$  be the irreducible components of  $E$ . Set  $\tilde{U}_x := \pi^{-1}(U_x)$ . We have the following (which generalizes [NaSt 95, (3.1)]).

**Proposition 4.1.** *There is an isomorphism*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Div}(X)/\text{CDiv}(X) \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Im}(\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \prod_{x \in \Sigma} \text{Pic}(\tilde{U}_x) / (\sum_i \mathbb{Z}[E_i \cap \tilde{U}_x])). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\text{Pic}(\tilde{U}_x) := H^1(\tilde{U}_x, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}_x}^*)$ , and  $[E_i \cap \tilde{U}_x]$  denotes the class of the line bundle associated with the divisor  $E_i \cap \tilde{U}_x \subset \tilde{U}_x$  (corresponding to the invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}_x}(E_i \cap \tilde{U}_x)$ ).

*Proof.* We have the pullback  $\text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \text{Div}(\tilde{X})$  taking the proper transform, and its left inverse is given by the direct image by  $\pi$ , whose kernel is freely generated by the  $E_i$ . If the image of  $\xi \in \text{Div}(X)$  in the target of the morphism  $\alpha$  on the right-hand side of (4.1) vanishes, then the image in  $\text{Pic}(X^\circ)$  of its restriction to  $X^\circ := X \setminus \Sigma$  can be extended to an element of  $\text{Pic}(X)$  using GAGA and applying Nakayama's lemma to the direct image by  $\pi$  of the invertible sheaf corresponding to the line bundle. Here we replace the invertible sheaf on  $\tilde{X}$  by its tensor product with  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(mE)$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  sufficiently large, and then take the direct image by  $\pi$ . Note that on each  $\tilde{U}_x$ , the tensor product with  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}_x}(D_x)$  for some divisor supported on  $\tilde{U}_x \cap E$  is trivial after passing to the corresponding analytic sheaves. We use also the open direct image by the inclusion  $X^\circ \hookrightarrow X$  together with the Hartogs-type lemma for normal schemes, since  $\text{codim}_X \Sigma \geq 2$ . (Note that factoriality is not Zariski-local, since we have to pass to modulo linear equivalence.)  $\square$

We now assume that  $X$  has only *rational singularities* so that

$$\mathbf{R}\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Using the exponential sequence

$$0 \rightarrow 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\tilde{U}_x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}_x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}_x}^* \rightarrow 1,$$

we can then verify the isomorphisms

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Pic}(\tilde{U}_x) = H^2(\tilde{U}_x, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(\pi^{-1}(x), \mathbb{Z}),$$

since the  $U_x$  are Stein and contractible (in a compatible way with the stratification by the topological cone theorem). So the isomorphism (4.1) becomes

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Div}(X)/\text{CDiv}(X) \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Im}(H^{1,1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \Gamma(\Sigma, R^2\pi'_* \mathbb{Z}_E / (\sum_i \mathbb{Z}[E_i]_{\pi'}))). \end{aligned}$$



Here  $H^{1,1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}) := \text{Ker}(H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}))$ ,  $\pi' := \pi|_E$ , and  $[E_i]_{\pi'}$  denotes the section of  $R^2\pi'_*\mathbb{Z}_E$  defined by the restrictions of the Chern class of  $[E_i]$  to  $\pi'^{-1}(x)$  for  $x \in \Sigma$ . To show that we get a section of the constructible sheaf, we can replace  $U_{x'}$  for  $x' \in U_x$  so that  $U_{x'} \subset U_x$ . (Here one can use a  $t$ -structure as in [Sa 90, Remark 4.6.2].)

Set

$$L^k := \mathcal{H}^k(\text{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}[-n]) \quad \text{for } k \in [1, n-1],$$

and  $L^k = 0$  otherwise. We have the following.

**Proposition 4.2.** *We have the isomorphisms*

$$(4.4) \quad L^2 = R^2\pi'_*\mathbb{Q}_E / (\sum_i \mathbb{Q}[E_i]_{\pi'}), \quad L^1 = R^1\pi'_*\mathbb{Q}_E.$$

*Proof.* Let  $i: \Sigma \hookrightarrow X$ ,  $j: X^\circ := X \setminus \Sigma \hookrightarrow X$  be natural inclusions. Setting

$$\mathcal{C}^\bullet := C(\mathbb{Q}_{h,X}[n] \rightarrow \text{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h),$$

and applying the functor  $i^*$  (where the direct image  $i_*$  before  $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$  is omitted), we get

$$\mathcal{C}^\bullet = C(\mathbb{Q}_{h,\Sigma}[n] \rightarrow i^*\text{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h).$$

On the other hand we have the distinguished triangle

$$i^!\text{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h \rightarrow i^*\text{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h \rightarrow i^*j_*\mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n] \xrightarrow{[1]},$$

with

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} i^!\text{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h[1] &= \tau^{\geq 0} i^* j_*(\mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n]), \\ i^*\text{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h &= \tau_{<0} i^* j_*(\mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n]). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed,  $H^k i^!\text{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h = 0$  for  $k \leq 0$  (considering the local cohomology of  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules), where  $H^\bullet: D^b\text{MHM}(X) \rightarrow \text{MHM}(X)$  denotes the *standard* cohomology functor. For  $H^k i^*$ , one can apply  $i^* = \mathbb{D} \circ i^! \circ \mathbb{D}$  with  $\mathbb{D}$  the dual functor. We thus get

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{C}^\bullet = C(\mathbb{Q}_{h,\Sigma}[n] \rightarrow \tau_{<0} i^* j_*(\mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n])).$$

Let  $\tilde{i}: E \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$ ,  $\tilde{j}: X^\circ \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$  be natural inclusions. We have the diagram of the octahedral axiom

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \tilde{i}^* \tilde{j}_* \mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n] & & \\ & \nearrow & & \nwarrow & \\ \tilde{i}^* \mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n] & & & & \tilde{i}^! \mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n] \\ & \nwarrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & \tilde{j}_! \mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n] & & \tilde{j}_* \mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n] \\ & \nearrow & & \nwarrow & \\ & & \mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n] & & \end{array}$$

(Arrows are labeled with  $[1]$  where appropriate, indicating shifts.)

This can be used to get the weight spectral sequence for  $i^* j_* \mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n] = \pi'_* \tilde{i}^* \tilde{j}_* \mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n]$ . We have for instance

$$(4.8) \quad \text{Gr}_k^{W \tilde{i}^* \tilde{j}_*}(\mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n]) = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i \neq j} \mathbb{Q}_{h,E_i \cap E_j}[n-1] & \text{if } k = n-1, \\ \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Q}_{h,E_i}[n] & \text{if } k = n, \\ \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Q}_{h,E_i}(-1)[n-1] & \text{if } k = n+1, \\ \bigoplus_{i \neq j} \mathbb{Q}_{h,E_i \cap E_j}(-2)[n-2] & \text{if } k = n+2, \end{cases}$$

where the factorization  $\tilde{i}^! \mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n] \rightarrow \tilde{i}^* \mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n]$  is useful to see the  $E_1$ -differential. Note that the support of  $\tau^{\geq 0} i^* j_*(\mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n])$  has codimension at least 3 in  $X$ . This can be verified by taking an iterated hyperplane section of dimension 2 and reducing to the

case  $n = 2$ , where Mumford's negative definiteness of the intersection matrix of exceptional divisors [Mu 61] imply the vanishing of the right-hand side of the first isomorphism of (4.4). (This implies Theorem 4.1 below in the case  $n = 2$ .) The assertion then follows from the top distinguished triangle in (4.7) comparing the weight spectral sequences for  $\pi'_*\tilde{i}^!\mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n]$ ,  $\pi'_*\tilde{i}^*\mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n]$ ,  $\pi'_*\tilde{i}^*j_*\mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n]$ .  $\square$

Recall that the stalks  $L_x^k$  have weights at most  $k$  for  $x \in \Sigma$ , see [Sa 90, (4.5.2)] and also Remark 4.6.1]. We can show the following.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Assume  $X$  has only rational singularities. The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure  $L_x^2$  has type  $(1, 1)$  and  $L_x^1 = 0$  for any  $x \in \Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* It is known that rational singularities are du Bois, see [Ko 99], [Sa 00, Theorem 5.4]. For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we then get

$$(4.9) \quad \mathrm{Gr}_F^0 H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = H^k(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^k(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = \mathrm{Gr}_F^0 \mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{C}),$$

where the last isomorphism follows from Kollár's torsion-freeness in the Hodge module theory (see for instance [Sa 91]) combined with the self-duality of the direct image  $\pi_*\mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n]$ , since the direct factors of  $\pi_*\mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n]$  are supported on  $\Sigma \subset X$  except  $\mathrm{IC}_X\mathbb{Q}_h$ .

We first show the assertion for  $L_x^1$ . Let  $Z$  be the set of  $x \in \Sigma$  with  $L_x^1 \neq 0$ . It contains a Zariski-locally closed subset  $Z'$  of  $\Sigma$  whose closure contains  $Z$ . This can be verified by using the decomposition theorem applied to  $\pi'_*\mathrm{Gr}_k^W\mathbb{Q}_{h,E}$ . Taking a sufficiently general hyperplane section, we may assume  $\dim Z' = 0$  if  $Z \neq \emptyset$ . The assertion for  $L_x^1$  then follows from the exact sequence

$$(4.10) \quad H^k(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{IH}^k(X) \xrightarrow{\gamma^k} \Gamma(\Sigma, L^k) \rightarrow H^{k+1}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{IH}^{k+1}(X),$$

with  $k = 1$ , since these imply that  $\mathrm{Gr}_F^0 L_x^1 = 0$ . (Note that  $L^k = 0$  for  $k \leq 0$ .) Here it is not trivial that the composition (4.9) is induced by the canonical morphism in (4.10), since the decomposition in the decomposition theorem for mixed Hodge modules [Sa 90, (4.5.4)] is noncanonical. To *avoid* this problem, one can consider the commutative diagram

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Q}_{h,X}[n] & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{Q}_{h,X}[n] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_*\mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{IC}_X\mathbb{Q}_h \end{array}$$

using [Sa 90, (4.5.6–9)] (where the bottom horizontal morphism is induced by choosing a decomposition), and extend this to a diagram of distinguished triangles generalizing the nine lemma as in [BBD 82, Proposition 1.1.11].

The argument is similar for  $L^2$  (using  $L^1 = 0$ ). The set of  $x \in \Sigma$  such that the stalk  $L_x^2$  is not type  $(1, 1)$  contains a Zariski-locally closed subset whose closure contains it.  $\square$

Using the functorial morphism  $\mathrm{id} \rightarrow (i'_x)_*i'^x_*$  with  $i'_x : \{x\} \hookrightarrow \Sigma$  the inclusion for  $x \in \Sigma$ , we can get a similar assertion for  $\Gamma(\Sigma, L^2)$ . By the *semisimplicity* of polarizable  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structures, we then get the following.

**Corollary 4.1.** *The rank of the right-hand side of the isomorphism (4.3) does not change by replacing the source  $H^{1,1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$  of the morphism  $\beta$  with  $H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ .*

The above arguments imply the following *topological* formula for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality defect by generalizing an argument in [NaSt 95] where the assertion was shown in the rational isolated hypersurface singularity case with  $n = 3$  and  $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.1** ([PaPo 25, Theorem A]). *Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety of dimension  $n \geq 3$  having only rational singularities. We have*

$$(4.12) \quad \sigma(X) = h^{2n-2}(X) - h^2(X).$$

*Proof.* This is proved by using the long exact sequence (4.10) together with the self-duality of the intersection cohomology and Proposition 4.3, since the latter implies that  $H^k(\Sigma, \mathcal{C}^\bullet) = 0$  for  $k \geq 2n-4$ . (Note that  $\text{codim}_X \text{supp } L^k \geq k+1$  for  $k \in [1, n-1]$ , since  $H^k \mathcal{C}^\bullet = 0$  for  $k \geq 0$ .) Here one technical problem is to show that the morphism  $\gamma^2$  in (4.10) for  $k=2$  is induced by  $\beta$  in (4.3) (since the decomposition in the decomposition theorem is non-canonical). This is verified by using (4.6) and (4.7). We consider the functorial morphism  $i_! i^! \rightarrow \text{id}$  to remove the image of the direct factor supported on  $\Sigma$ . The morphism  $\gamma_k$  in (4.10) is essentially induced by  $\text{id} \rightarrow i_* i^*$ , and these are closely related to the corresponding morphisms in the diagram of octahedral axiom (4.7) by taking the direct image under  $\pi$  and considering a similar diagram with  $\mathbb{Q}_{h, \tilde{X}}[n], \tilde{i}, \tilde{j}$  replaced respectively by  $\pi_* \mathbb{Q}_{h, \tilde{X}}[n], i, j$  or  $\text{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h, i, j$ , where we have the base change isomorphisms  $\pi'_* \circ \tilde{i}^* = i^* \circ \pi_*$ ,  $\pi'_* \circ \tilde{i}^! = i^! \circ \pi_*$ , see [Sa 90, (4.4.3)]. There is a morphism from the right triangle to the top triangle, where the needed commutativity is assured by the octahedral axiom. We then get the commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} R^2 \pi'_* \mathbf{R}\Gamma_E \mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{X}} & \longrightarrow & R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{X}} & \longrightarrow & R^2 \pi_* \mathbf{R}\tilde{j}_* \mathbb{Q}_{X^\circ} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R^2 \pi'_* \mathbf{R}\Gamma_E \mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{X}} & \longrightarrow & R^2 \pi'_* \mathbb{Q}_E & \longrightarrow & R^2 \pi'_* \tilde{i}^* \mathbf{R}\tilde{j}_* \mathbb{Q}_{X^\circ} \end{array}$$

So the assertion follows using the isomorphism (4.6) together with the functorial morphisms  $\text{id} \rightarrow (i'_x)_* i'^x_*$  for  $x \in \Sigma$ , since it is enough to show the coincidence of the images in  $L_x^2$  for each  $x \in \Sigma$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}_{\Sigma, x}$  contributes only to the vanishing of  $L_x^0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** Theorem 4.1 implies that for a projective variety  $X$  as in the theorem, we have  $\sigma(X) = 0$  in the case there is a non-negative integer  $r$  with  $H^k(\mathbb{Q}_{p, X}[n]) = 0$  for any  $k \notin [-r, 0]$  (for instance if  $X$  is a local complete intersection with  $r=0$ ) and  $c := \text{codim}_X \text{Sing } X \geq r+4$ . Indeed,  $H^k \mathcal{C}^\bullet = 0$  if  $k \notin [-r-1, -1]$ , hence  $H^k(\Sigma, \mathcal{C}^\bullet) = 0$  if  $k \notin [-n+c-r-1, n-c-1]$ .

**Remark 4.2.** Proof of Theorem 4.1 in [PaPo 25] uses linear independence of the topological cycle classes of exceptional divisors of a desingularization of  $X$  (among others). This can be reduced to negative definiteness of the intersection matrix of exceptional divisors (see [Mu 61, p. 6] where only an outline of proof is indicated; a converse assertion is shown in [Gra 62, p. 367]) by taking a general hyperplane section of  $\tilde{X}$  or the pullback of one for  $X$  depending on the coefficients of a linear dependence. In the first case it seems rather difficult to proceed by induction (finding an appropriate blow-down); it might be simpler to consider an iterated hyperplane section of dimension 2 together with the normalization of its image in  $X$  forgetting the iterated hyperplane sections of exceptional divisors whose images in  $X$  are not 0-dimensional.

**Remark 4.3.** There are many examples with  $L^1 \neq 0$  even in the isolated singularity case. For instance, assume  $X$  is a projective cone of a smooth projective variety  $Y$  with vertex 0 and  $H^1(Y) \neq 0$ . Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the blow-up of  $X$  along 0, which is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $Y$ . We then get

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} H^k(\tilde{X}) &= H^k(Y) \oplus H^{k-2}(Y)(-1), \\ H^k(X) &= \begin{cases} H^{k-2}(Y)(-1) & \text{if } k \neq 0, \\ \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } k = 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

using the long exact sequence  $H_c^k(X \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow H^k(X) \rightarrow H^k(\{0\}) \rightarrow$ . (These isomorphisms hold without assuming the smoothness of  $Y$ .) Here the  $L_0^k$  are given by the primitive part of  $H^k(Y)$ , and the non-primitive part gives the direct factor of the direct image  $\pi_* \mathbb{Q}_{h, \tilde{X}}[n]$  supported on 0. This follows from the Thom-Gysin sequence, see for instance [RSW 21]. We then see that  $h^1(X) \neq h^{2n-1}(X)$  if  $h^1(Y) \neq 0$ , see [PaPo 25, Theorem A].

In the case  $Y$  is an elliptic curve  $E \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  defined by  $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 - 3\lambda xyz = 0$  with  $\lambda^3 \neq 1$  for instance, we see that the divisor class  $[D_{e'}] - [D_e]$  is not a torsion if  $e' \in E$  is not a torsion point

(restricting to the zero section of the line bundle  $X \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow E$ ), where  $e \in E$  is the origin, and  $D_e, D_{e'}$  are the closures of the inverse images of  $e, e'$  by the projection  $X \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow E$ . (In general it does not seem clear whether the cone  $X$  is normal; it may be necessary to take the normalization which does not change the underlying topological space.)

**Remark 4.4.** There is an example of a normal projective hypersurface  $X$  with irrational singularities and  $h^1(X) = h^{2n-1}(X)$ , for instance  $X$  is the projective cone of the projective hypersurface  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  defined by  $x^2yz^2 + xy^4 + w^5 = 0$ , where  $X, Y$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology manifolds and  $h^k(Y) = 1$  if  $k \in [0, 4]$  is even, and 0 otherwise. (The normality of  $X$  follows from Serre's condition, see [Ha 77, p. 185].) The projective hypersurface  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  defined by  $x^2yz^2 + xy^4 = 0$  has two singular points whose spectral numbers are  $j/7$  with  $j \in \{4, \dots, 10\}$  and  $j/8$  with  $j \in \{5, 7, 8, 9, 11\}$ . These do not produce an integer by adding  $i/5$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$  to one of them (using the Thom-Sebastiani type theorem for spectrum). For the vertex of  $X$  we need the argument in Remark 4.3.

**Remark 4.5.** It is known that factoriality is equivalent to  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality in the case of normal complete complex algebraic varieties  $X$  having only isolated hypersurface singularities with  $\dim X \geq 3$ . An argument in Ann. Math. 127, p. 116 however seems to be misstated in an incredible way, since the cyclic covering is ramified over the divisor, and one has to take its *normalization*, which is étale over the complement of the isolated singularities (here taking an irreducible component does not seem enough). One can show the vanishing of the first cohomology of the link of the isolated hypersurface singularity with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  for any positive integer  $m$ . Indeed, this follows easy from Milnor's Bouquet theorem using the Wang sequence and Alexander duality (or the local cohomology) for the closed submanifold  $X \cap \partial B_x$  in the sphere  $\partial B_x$ , see [Mi 68] where the Milnor fibration is defined on  $\partial B_x \setminus X$ . (Note that this argument cannot be extended to the case  $n = 2$  even if 1 is not an eigenvalue of the Milnor monodromy  $T$ , since the variation  $T - \text{id}$  is not invertible with  $\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients.)

We then see that the normalization is étale over a Zariski-open neighborhood of a singular point. Here the cyclic covering is Zariski-locally written as  $t^m = g$  for some function  $g$  defining Zariski-locally the divisor multiplied by  $m$ , and we can consider the pullback of  $t (= g^{1/m})$  to an irreducible component of the normalization of the cyclic covering. However this implies only that the divisor is étale-locally principal, and the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.1 seems required (using a resolution of singularities such that the exceptional divisors have normal crossings together with GAGA, Nakayama's lemma, and the direct image of an appropriate invertible sheaf).

## References

- [BBD 82] Beilinson, A., Bernstein, J., Deligne, P., Faisceaux pervers, Astérisque 100 (1982).
- [BuSa 10] Budur, N., Saito, M., Jumping coefficients and spectrum of a hyperplane arrangement, Math. Ann. 347 (2010), 545–579.
- [Che 10] Cheltsov, I., Factorial threefold hypersurfaces, J. Alg. Geom. 19 (2010), 781–791.
- [DGPS 23] Decker, W., Greuel, G.-M., Pfister, G., Schönemann, H., SINGULAR 4.3.2 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations, available at <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de> (2023).
- [Di 92] Dimca, A., Singularities and Topology of Hypersurfaces, Springer, 1992.
- [DiSa 04] Dimca, A., Saito, M., Some consequences of perversity of vanishing cycles, Ann. Inst. Fourier 54 (2004), 1769–1792.
- [DiSa 24] Dimca, A., Saito, M., Koszul complexes and spectra of projective hypersurfaces with isolated singularities (arxiv:1212.0436v5).
- [DiSt 20] Dimca, A., Sticlaru, G., Computing Milnor fiber monodromy for some projective hypersurfaces, Contemp. Math. 742 (2020), 31–52.
- [FPS 25] Fernández de Bobadilla, J., Pallarés, I., Saito, M., Hodge modules and cobordism classes, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 27 (2025), 773–800.
- [vGeWe 89] van Geemen, B., Werner, J., Nodal quintics in  $\mathbb{P}^4$ , Lect. Notes Math. 1399, Springer (1989), 48–59.
- [Gra 62] Grauert, H., Über Modifikationen und exzeptionelle analytische Mengen, Math. Ann. 146 (1962), 331–368.

- [Gri 69] Griffiths, Ph., On the period of certain rational integrals I, II, Ann. Math. 90 (1969), 460–541.
- [Ha 77] Hartshorne, R., Algebraic Geometry, Springer, New York, 1977.
- [Hi 66] Hirzebruch, F., Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry, Springer, Berlin, 1966.
- [Hi 87] Hirzebruch, F., Some examples of threefolds with trivial canonical bundle, Collected papers II, Springer 1987, 757–770.
- [KLS 22] Kerr, M., Laza, R., Saito, M., Deformation of rational singularities and Hodge structure, Alg. Geom. 9 (2022), 476–501.
- [Ko 99] Kovács, S.J., Rational, log canonical, Du Bois singularities: on the conjectures of Kollár and Steenbrink, Compos. Math. 118 (1999), 123–133.
- [Li 16] Lindner, N., Hypersurfaces with defect, J. Algebra 555 (2020), 1–35 (see also arxiv:1610.04077).
- [Mi 68] Milnor, J., Singular Points of Complex Hypersurfaces, Princeton Univ. Press, 1968.
- [NaSt 95] Namikawa, Y., Steenbrink, J.H.M., Global smoothing of Calabi-Yau threefolds, Inv. Math. 122 (1995), 403–419.
- [MSS 20] Maxim, L., Saito, M., Schürmann, J., Spectral Hirzebruch-Milnor classes of singular hypersurfaces, Math. Ann. 377 (2020), 281–315.
- [Mu 61] Mumford, D., The topology of normal singularities of an algebraic surface and a criterion for simplicity, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 9 (1961), 5–22.
- [Na 80] Navarro Aznar, V., On the Chern classes and the Euler characteristic for nonsingular complete intersections, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 78 (1980), 143–148.
- [PaPo 25] Park, S.G., Popa, M.,  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality and Hodge-Du Bois theory (arxiv:2508.17748).
- [RSW 21] Reichelt, T., Saito, M., Walther, U., Dependence of Lyubeznik numbers of cones of projective schemes on projective embeddings, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 27 (2021), Paper No. 6, 22 pp.
- [Sa 88] Saito, M., Modules de Hodge polarisables, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 24 (1988), 849–995.
- [Sa 89] Saito, M., Duality for vanishing cycle functors, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 25 (1989), 889–921.
- [Sa 90] Saito, M., Mixed Hodge modules, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 26 (1990), 221–333.
- [Sa 91] Saito, M., On Kollár’s conjecture, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 52, Part 2, A.M.S. Providence, RI, 1991, pp 509–517.
- [Sa 00] Saito, M., Mixed Hodge complexes on algebraic varieties, Math. Ann. 316 (2000), 283–331.
- [Sa 93] Saito, M., On  $b$ -function, spectrum and rational singularity, Math. Ann. 295 (1993), 51–74.
- [Sa 20] Saito, M., Roots of Bernstein-Sato polynomials of certain homogeneous polynomials with two-dimensional singular loci, Pure Appl. Math. Q. 16 (2020), 1219–1280.
- [Sa 25] Saito, M., Bernstein-Sato polynomials for projective hypersurfaces with weighted homogeneous isolated singularities (arxiv:1609.04801v11).
- [St 76] Steenbrink, J.H.M., Limits of Hodge Structures, Inv. Math. 31 (1976), 229–257.
- [St 77] Steenbrink, J.H.M., Mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology, in Real and complex singularities, Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1977, pp. 525–563.
- [vSt 93] van Straten, D., A quintic hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^4$  with 130 nodes, Topology 32 (1993), 857–864.

S.-J. JUNG : DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, AND INSTITUTE OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, JEONBUK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, JEONJU, 54896, KOREA  
*Email address:* seungjo@jbnu.ac.kr

M. SAITO : RIMS KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502 JAPAN  
*Email address:* msaito@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp