

Quantum Dilogarithms and New Integrable Lattice Models in Three Dimensions.

Vladimir V. Bazhanov¹, Rinat M. Kashaev², Vladimir V. Mangazeev¹
and Sergey M. Sergeev^{1,3}

¹*Department of Fundamental & Theoretical Physics, Research School of Physics,
Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia.*

²*Section de Mathématiques Université de Genève,
1211 Genève 4, Suisse.*

³*Faculty of Science & Technology,
University of Canberra, Bruce, ACT 2617, Australia.*

Abstract

In this paper we introduce a new class of integrable 3D lattice models, possessing continuous families of commuting layer-to-layer transfer matrices. Algebraically, this commutativity is based on a very special construction of local Boltzmann weights in terms of quantum dilogarithms satisfying the inversion and pentagon identities. We give three examples of such quantum dilogarithms, leading to integrable 3D lattice models. The partition function per site in these models can be exactly calculated in the limit of an infinite lattice by using the functional relations, symmetry and factorization properties of the transfer matrix. The results of such calculations for 3D models associated with the Faddeev modular quantum dilogarithm are briefly presented.

1 Introduction

The Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equation (ZTE) [1, 2] is the three-dimensional analogue of the Yang–Baxter equation. It implies the commutativity of layer-to-layer transfer matrices for three-dimensional lattice models [3] and, thereby, generalizes the most fundamental integrability structure of exactly solvable lattice models in two dimensions [4]. The first solution of the tetrahedron equation was presented by Zamolodchikov [1, 2] and later proven by Baxter [5]. Subsequently, many other interesting results in this field have been obtained by various authors, see [6–25].

Obviously, any integrable three-dimensional model on a cubic lattice can be viewed as a two-dimensional model on a square lattice, where the additional third dimension is treated as an internal degree of freedom. Therefore every solution of the tetrahedron equation provides an infinite sequence of solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation differing by the size of this “hidden third dimension”. In this way the tetrahedron equation becomes connected to the Yang–Baxter equation associated with quantized (affine) Lie algebras (see, e.g., [9, 21, 22, 26] for various examples of such connections). As a result, the tetrahedron equation becomes connected to the theory of Quantum Groups, but, nevertheless, its underlying algebraic structure still appears to be not entirely understood. Therefore, it is important to explore alternative approaches to integrability in three dimensions, which are based on other algebraic relations, simpler than the tetrahedron equation.

In this paper we continue to develop one such scheme, originally introduced in [7] and further extended in [10, 16, 27]. Consequently, we present a new class of integrable three-dimensional lattice models. These models admit various equivalent formulations, in particular, as “vertex models” with fluctuating “spin variables” (or just “spins”) placed at the edges of the cubic lattice and local Boltzmann weights assigned to the lattice vertices. Alternatively, the same models can be reformulated as “interaction-round-a-cube” (IRC) models with spins placed at the lattice sites and local Boltzmann weights assigned to the corner spin configurations surrounding elementary lattice cubes. In both cases the associated Boltzmann weights have very special form, so that the models can be viewed as Ising-type models with two- and three-spin interactions only. These elementary interactions obey a number of special relations, which ensure the commutativity of the layer-to-layer transfer matrices and the exact integrability of the model. The most important among these relations is the “restricted star-triangle relation” (RSTR) of Bazhanov and Baxter [10], which relates the two- and three-spin weights. Remarkably, the very same relation¹ serves as the five-term (or the “pentagon”) identity for the quantum dilogarithm introduced by Faddeev and Kashaev [28]. Therefore, each example of the quantum dilogarithm leads to a new 3D integrable lattice model. This is the main connection, which we will exploit and develop in the present paper.

The five-term identity implies a number of subsidiary relations, including the “3D star-star” relation [9–11], and the tetrahedron equation, which both lead to the commutativity of transfer matrices. Moreover, due to the special structure of the Boltzmann weights, the partition function per site for, at least, some of these models can be exactly calculated in the limit of an infinite lattice by using the symmetry and factorization properties, as it was originally done by Baxter [7] for the Zamolodchikov model. Therefore, the five-term identity (or the restricted star-triangle relation) can

¹To be more precise, the restricted star-triangle relation of [10] is slightly more general than the pentagon identity of [28], and sometimes referred to as the “parameter-dependent pentagon identity” (see [29] more details).

be regarded as a primary integrability condition for this class of 3D lattice models.

In this paper we consider three-dimensional models associated with different examples of the quantum dilogarithm. The lattice spin variables in each of these models run over some specific (model dependent) set of values \mathcal{S} . In particular, we present new models, where the spins take their values

- (a) on the real line $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}$ (associated with the Faddeev dilogarithm [30]),
- (b) on the direct product $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_N$, where \mathbb{Z}_N is the set of integers *modulo N* (associated with Andersen–Kashaev dilogarithm [31]),
- (c) on the direct product $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}$, where \mathbb{T} is the unit circle and \mathbb{Z} is the set of all integers (associated with Woronowicz dilogarithm [32]).

More generally, we give universal formulae expressing solutions of the Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equation in terms of quantum dilogarithms (both for the “interaction-round-a-cube” and the vertex-type forms of the equation).

The organisation of the paper is as follows. In Sect.2 we introduce the quantum dilogarithms and describe their main properties required for construction of integrable 3D lattice models. For each example of the quantum dilogarithm we present three different, but related, solutions of the Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equation (of the vertex and interaction-round-a-cube forms). Next in Sect.3 we review the three examples of the quantum dilogarithm, mentioned above. Finally, in Sect.4 we present results of exact calculation of partition functions for 3D lattice models, associated with the Faddeev dilogarithm. The details of all derivations will be published separately [29, 33].

2 Solvable lattice models and quantum dilogarithms

In this paper we consider a particular class of integrable models on three-dimensional cubic lattices. These models admit several equivalent formulations, revealing different aspects of their algebraic structure. We start with the *vertex models*, where the local Boltzmann weights are associated with edge spin configurations around the vertices of the lattice. Next, we will also present an equivalent *interaction-round-a-cube* (IRC) formulation of the same 3D models.

2.1 Vertex interaction models

Consider a simple cubic lattice \mathcal{L} of the size $M \times M \times M$ with the periodic boundary conditions in each direction. At each edge of \mathcal{L} place a spin variable x , taking some set of values $x \in \mathcal{S}$, and allow all possible interactions of the spins at each vertex of the lattice. The partition function reads

$$Z^{(\text{vert})} = \sum_{\text{spins}} \prod_{\text{vertices}} \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 | \mathbf{R} | x'_1, x'_2, x'_3 \rangle, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 | \mathbf{R} | x'_1, x'_2, x'_3 \rangle$ denotes the Boltzmann weight corresponding to the configuration of six edge spins, arranged as in (2.2) below

$$\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 | \mathbf{R} | x'_1, x'_2, x'_3 \rangle = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram showing six edge spins } x_1, x_2, x_3 \text{ and } x'_1, x'_2, x'_3 \text{ arranged in a hexagonal-like pattern.} \\ \text{The spins are labeled as follows: } x_1 \text{ (bottom), } x_2 \text{ (right), } x_3 \text{ (top-right), } x'_1 \text{ (bottom), } x'_2 \text{ (right), } x'_3 \text{ (top-left).} \end{array} \quad (2.2)$$

Evidently, we cannot solve the model (2.1) for an arbitrary Boltzmann weight function. But what we, apparently, can do is to solve a restricted class of models whose layer-to-layer transfer matrices form continuous commuting families. In all known cases such commutativity condition can always be reformulated as a relation for the local Boltzmann weights. In two dimensions the corresponding relation is well known: it is the Yang-Baxter equation [4]. A generalization of this relation to a 3D lattice is also known [3]: it is the Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equation [1, 2]. Here we construct new solutions of this complicated equation by reducing it to much simpler algebraic relations, satisfied by the quantum dilogarithm. To describe these results we need to introduce new notions and notations.

2.2 Quantum dilogarithm

Below we introduce 3D lattice models where the spins take infinitely many values. In particular, we will consider three different cases, where the edge spins $x \in \mathcal{S}$ take their values in

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathcal{S} = \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_N. \quad (2.3)$$

Here \mathbb{R} denotes the real line, \mathbb{T} the unit circle, \mathbb{Z} the set of all integers and \mathbb{Z}_N ($N \geq 2$) denotes the set of integers *modulo* N . It is useful to note, that the above sets (2.3) are examples of the *Pontryagin self-dual locally compact Abelian (LCA) groups*, which we simply call as Pontryagin groups (see [34] for additional details).

Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathcal{S})$ denote the Hilbert space of quadratically integrable (complex valued) functions on \mathcal{S} ,

$$\Psi \in L^2(\mathcal{S}) : \quad \int_{\mathcal{S}} |\Psi(x)|^2 dx < \infty, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (2.4)$$

where the integral sign $\int_{\mathcal{S}}$ stands for a (normalized) sum over discrete components of the variable $x \in \mathcal{S}$ and an integral over its continuous components (detailed conventions for each case of \mathcal{S} are given in Sect.3). It will be convenient to use the Dirac bra-ket notations for the elements of \mathcal{S} ,

$$\langle x | \Psi(x) = \langle x | \Psi(x), \quad \langle x | x' \rangle = \delta(x - x'), \quad 1 = \int_{\mathcal{S}} |x\rangle dx \langle x|, \quad x, x' \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (2.5)$$

where we have introduced the coordinate operator \mathbf{x} acting diagonally on the basis vectors. As usual, $\delta(x)$ denotes the delta-function. Note, that since every considered set \mathcal{S} is an Abelian group

with respect to addition, the sum of two elements $x, x' \in \mathcal{S}$ (or their difference) also belongs to the same set $x \pm x' \in \mathcal{S}$.

Next, we need to define the Fourier transformation, which maps the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{S})$ to itself. There is a unitary Fourier transform operator \mathbf{F} which can be defined for each \mathcal{S} in (2.3)

$$\langle x|\Psi\rangle = \Psi(x), \quad \langle x|\mathbf{F}\Psi\rangle = \tilde{\Psi}(x) = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{f}(x, y) \Psi(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}, \quad \forall \Psi \in L^2(\mathcal{S}), \quad (2.6)$$

such, that the integral kernel of this transform

$$\langle x|\mathbf{F}|y\rangle = \mathbf{f}(x, y) = \mathbf{f}(y, x), \quad \mathbf{f}(x, y + z) = \mathbf{f}(x, y) \mathbf{f}(x, z), \quad (2.7)$$

satisfies the conditions

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{f}(x, y) dy = \delta(x), \quad \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \mathbf{f}(x, y) dx dy = 1. \quad (2.8)$$

The last step is to introduce the Gaussian exponent $\mathbf{G}(x)$, satisfying the functional relations,

$$\mathbf{G}(x) = \mathbf{G}(-x), \quad \mathbf{G}(x + y) = \mathbf{f}(x, y) \mathbf{G}(x) \mathbf{G}(y), \quad (2.9)$$

with the Fourier kernel $\mathbf{f}(x, y)$. By elementary manipulations with (2.7) and (2.9) it is easy to deduce, that

$$\mathbf{f}(x, 0) = \mathbf{f}(0, x) = \mathbf{G}(0) = 1, \quad \mathbf{f}(x, x) = \mathbf{G}(x)^2, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (2.10)$$

We can now define a quantum dilogarithm over \mathcal{S} as a complex valued function $\varphi(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{S}$, which satisfies the following relations [31, 35]

(i) inversion relation

$$\varphi(x)\varphi(-x) = \varphi(0)^2 \mathbf{G}(x), \quad \varphi(0) \neq 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (2.11)$$

(ii) the quantum five-term identity

$$\varphi(z - x) \tilde{\varphi}(x - y) \varphi(y - z) = \varphi(0)^2 \int_{\mathcal{S}} \tilde{\varphi}(x - w) \mathbf{G}(w - z) \tilde{\varphi}(w - y) dw \quad (2.12)$$

where the tilde mark in $\tilde{\varphi}$ stands for the Fourier transformation,

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{f}(x, y) \varphi(y) dy. \quad (2.13)$$

Remembering the definition of the coordinate operator \mathbf{x} in (2.5) and using (2.7) and (2.9), it is easy to rewrite the five-term identity (2.12) in the operator form

$$(\mathbf{F} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{F}^{-1}) \varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x})^{-1} \mathbf{F} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x})) (\mathbf{F} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{F}^{-1}), \quad (2.14)$$

Indeed, calculating the matrix elements of both sides of the last relation between the states $\langle x|$ and $|y\rangle$ and using (2.11), one immediately obtains (2.12) with $z = 0$.

The above relations (2.11) and (2.12) are the main properties defining the notion of the quantum dilogarithm. For some applications (e.g., for constructing the solution (2.19) of the tetrahedron equation (2.22)) no further properties are required. However, below we will use quantum dilogarithms possessing an additional property, which will be called as

(iii) Fourier transform self-duality

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \int_{\mathcal{S}} f(x, y) \varphi(y) dy = \gamma \varphi(0)^2 G(x)^{-1} \varphi(x + \eta), \quad \gamma = \int_{\mathcal{S}} G(x) dx, \quad (2.15)$$

where $\varphi(0)$, η and γ are \mathcal{S} -dependent constants, which are constrained as²

$$\gamma^2 \varphi(0)^6 G(\eta) = 1. \quad (2.16)$$

Using the last relation and (2.11) one can write (2.15) in an equivalent form

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(x - \eta)} = \gamma \varphi(0)^2 G(x)^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{dy}{f(x, y) \varphi(y)} \quad (2.17)$$

Note, that in general the constant η does not belong to the set \mathcal{S} . Therefore, the “shifted functions” $\varphi(x \pm \eta)$ in (2.15) and (2.17) should be understood as an analytic continuation of $\varphi(x)$ from $x \in \mathcal{S}$. Actually, any of these equations could be thought as a definition of the constant η , which we will sometimes call as the “crossing parameter”.

Using (2.11) and (2.15) in the five-term identity (2.12) and then replacing $x \rightarrow x - y - \eta$, $y \rightarrow \eta$, $z \rightarrow -z$ and $w \rightarrow w + x$, one obtains another convenient form of (2.12),

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{\varphi(w + x)}{\varphi(w + y)} f(z, w) dw = \frac{\gamma \varphi(0)^2}{f(z, y + \eta)} \frac{\varphi(x - y - \eta) \varphi(z + \eta)}{\varphi(x - y + z - \eta)}. \quad (2.18a)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{\varphi(w + x)}{\varphi(w + y)} f(z, w) dw = \frac{f(z, \eta - x)}{\gamma \varphi(0)^2} \frac{\varphi(-x + y - z + \eta)}{\varphi(-x + y + \eta) \varphi(-z - \eta)}. \quad (2.18b)$$

It should be stressed, that, even though we will be considering the spin sets (2.3) as our main examples, all the above reasonings leading to (2.14), (2.17) and (2.18) are rather general and equally apply to any set \mathcal{S} , as long as it allows to define the quantum dilogarithm possessing the properties (2.11)-(2.16).

The reader might have noted, that the relation (2.12) strongly resembles the Onsager’s star-triangle relation (see, e.g., [4]). Actually, it is a particular case of the so-called restricted star-triangle relation [10], which has appeared as an integrability condition for the N -state generalization [9] of the 3D Zamolodchikov model [1, 2]. We will further discuss this connection in our next paper [29].

²Eq. (2.16) is a necessary constraint arising as a consistency condition of the Fourier transformation formula (2.15) with the inversion relation (2.11).

2.3 Vertex-type solutions of the Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equations

With the above definitions of the quantum dilogarithms we could now finalize the formulation of our 3D vertex model (2.1). Consider the following general expression for the Boltzmann weights (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 | \mathbf{R} | x'_1, x'_2, x'_3 \rangle &= \rho \delta(x_2 + x_3 - x'_2 - x'_3) \frac{G(\lambda_1) G(x_2)}{G(\lambda_3) G(x'_2)} \frac{f(x_1, x_3)}{f(x'_1, x'_3)} \times \\ &\times f(x'_1 - x_2 - \lambda_2, \lambda_1 - \lambda_3) \frac{\varphi(\lambda_2 - x'_1 + x'_2)}{\varphi(\lambda_1 + x_1 - x_2)} \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + x'_1 - x_2) \bar{\tilde{\varphi}}(x'_2 - x_1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \int f(x, y) \varphi(y) dy, \quad \bar{\tilde{\varphi}}(x) = \int \frac{dy}{f(x, y) \varphi(y)}. \quad (2.20)$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathcal{S}$ are arbitrary parameters and $\rho = \rho(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ is a scalar normalization factor, introduced for the later convenience. All other notations, including those for the Fourier kernel $f(x, y)$, the Gaussian exponent $G(x)$ and the quantum dilogarithm $\varphi(x)$ were defined in the previous subsection. Note, that the definition (2.19) only requires the basic properties (i) and (ii) of the dilogarithm $\varphi(x)$, given by (2.11) and (2.12).

Following common tradition we will call the expression (2.19) as an **R**-matrix. This quantity could conveniently be associated with a linear operator

$$\mathbf{R}_{123}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) : \quad \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}, \quad (2.21)$$

acting in the tensor product of three Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathcal{S})$, such that the pairs of indices (x_1, x'_1) , (x_2, x'_2) and (x_3, x'_3) serve as matrix indices in the first, second and third spaces, respectively. Similarly, the parameters $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathcal{S}$, entering (2.19), are also associated, respectively, with the first, second and third Hilbert spaces in (2.21).

We claim, that the **R**-matrix (2.19) satisfies the vertex-type Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{123}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \mathbf{R}_{145}(\lambda_1, \lambda_4, \lambda_5) \mathbf{R}_{246}(\lambda_2, \lambda_4, \lambda_6) \mathbf{R}_{356}(\lambda_3, \lambda_5, \lambda_6) &= \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{356}(\lambda_3, \lambda_5, \lambda_6) \mathbf{R}_{246}(\lambda_2, \lambda_4, \lambda_6) \mathbf{R}_{145}(\lambda_1, \lambda_4, \lambda_5) \mathbf{R}_{123}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3), \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

containing six independent spectral parameters $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_6$. The above equation involves operators acting in the tensor product $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 6}$ of six identical infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathcal{S})$, where the i -th space is associated with the corresponding parameter λ_i . The operator $\mathbf{R}_{ijk}(\lambda_i, \lambda_j, \lambda_k)$ acts nontrivially in the i -th, j -th and k -th spaces, and acts as the identity operator in the other three spaces. As is well known [3] the equation (2.22) implies the commutativity of layer-to-layer transfer matrices for the vertex model (2.1).

The proof of the tetrahedron equation (2.22) is given in [29]. It does not require a specialization to a particular set of spin variables in (2.3). It is only based on the basic properties of the quantum dilogarithms, given by (2.11) and (2.12).

Note, that for the Fourier self-dual dilogarithms, possessing the additional property (2.15), the expression (2.19) could be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 | \mathbf{R} | x'_1, x'_2, x'_3 \rangle &= \rho_1 \delta(x_2 + x_3 - x'_2 - x'_3) f(x'_3 - \lambda_1, x_1 - x'_1) \times \\ &\times f(\lambda_3 - \eta, x_2 - x'_1) \frac{\varphi(-\lambda_1 - x_1 + x_2) \varphi(\lambda_2 - x'_1 + x'_2)}{\varphi(-\eta - x_1 + x'_2) \varphi(-\eta - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - x'_1 + x_2)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where the parameter η is defined by (2.15) and ρ_1 is a scalar factor

$$\rho_1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = \rho(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) G(\eta) f(\eta, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2) f(\lambda_2, \lambda_3 - \lambda_1) / G(\lambda_3), \quad (2.24)$$

which is proportional to the factor ρ , introduced in (2.19). Looking ahead to the consideration of the symmetry properties of the model in our next paper [29], it is convenient to set the following normalization of the vertex weights (2.23)

$$\rho_1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = (f(\eta - \lambda_3, \eta + \lambda_1 - \lambda_2) / f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.25)$$

Note, that some particular cases of the solution (2.23) were previously obtained in [36] (for the Faddeev dilogarithm), as well as in [16] and [37] for the cyclic and compact quantum dilogarithms (the latter two are not considered here).

2.4 Interaction-round-a-cube models

The vertex model, defined by (2.1) and (2.23), could be equivalently reformulated as an “interaction-round-a-cube” (IRC) model with spins assigned to the sites of the dual lattice and the local Boltzmann weights attributed to configurations of spins $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathcal{S}$ around each elementary cube, arranged as shown below.³

$$W(a|e, f, g|b, c, d|h) = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram of a cube with vertices labeled: top-front-left (b), top-front-right (g), top-back-left (f), top-back-right (a), bottom-front-left (d), bottom-front-right (e), bottom-back-left (h), bottom-back-right (c). Solid lines represent edges, dashed lines represent diagonals.} \\ \end{array} \quad (2.26)$$

The partition function is defined as

$$Z^{(\text{IRC})} = \sum_{\text{spins}} \prod_{\text{cubes}} W(a|e, f, g|b, c, d|h). \quad (2.27)$$

³Note, that our arrangement of the corner spins a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h is slightly different from that used in [7, 9, 10]. The two arrangements are obtained from each other by a reflection about the plane passing through the corners a, e, h, b . Fortunately, this only affects the visual appearance, but does not influence the associated formulae.

where the Boltzmann weights

$$W(a|e, f, g|b, c, d|h; \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = \rho_W f(\eta + \lambda_1, c - h) f(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, b - g) \times \\ \times {}_2F_2 \left(\begin{array}{cc} d - e & b - g - \lambda_1 + \lambda_3 \\ h - c - \lambda_1 & f - a + \lambda_3 \end{array} \middle| e + g - a - c - \lambda_2 \right), \quad (2.28)$$

are expressed through an appropriate analog of the bilateral hypergeometric series

$${}_2F_2 \left(\begin{array}{cc} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{array} \middle| c \right) = \int_{\mathcal{S}} dx f(x, c - \eta) \frac{\varphi(x + a_1) \varphi(x + a_2)}{\varphi(x + b_1 - \eta) \varphi(x + b_2 - \eta)}. \quad (2.29)$$

The weights W depend on the eight corner spins and also on the parameters $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathcal{S}$. The scalar factor ρ_W is defined as

$$\rho_W(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = (f(\lambda_1, \lambda_3) f(\eta + \lambda_2, \eta + \lambda_1 - \lambda_3))^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.30)$$

The connection of (2.28) with (2.23) is based on a 3D generalization [29] of Baxter's "propagation through the vertex" technique [38], which allows one to relate the partition functions (2.1) and (2.27). In particular, if the normalization of the IRC weights is set by (2.28) and (2.30), while the normalization of the vertex weights is set by (2.23) and (2.25), then for the periodic boundary conditions both partition functions exactly coincide. The details of calculations are given in [33].

Another important consequence of the above connection between the vertex and IRC models is that the weights W satisfy the tetrahedron equation in the "interaction-round-a-cube" form [3, 5],

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{S}} dz W(z|a_3, a_2, a_1|c_5, c_6, c_4|b_4) W'(a_4|z, c_3, c_2|b_3, a_1, a_2|c_5) \\ & \times W''(c_1|a_3, a_4, b_2|c_2, c_6, z|a_1) W'''(b_1|c_4, c_3, c_1, |a_4, a_3, a_2|z) = \\ & = \int_{\mathcal{S}} dz W'''(z|b_4, b_3, b_2|c_2, c_6, c_5|a_1) W''(b_1|c_4, c_3, z|b_3, b_4, a_2|c_5) \\ & \times W'(c_1|a_3, b_1, b_2|z, c_6, c_4|b_4) W(a_4|c_1, c_3, c_2|b_3, b_2, b_1|z), \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

where, apart from the explicitly indicated spin arguments, the weights W, W', W'', W''' depend on different sets of spectral parameters

$$\begin{aligned} W(\dots) &= W(\dots; \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3), & W'(\dots) &= W(\dots; \lambda_1, \lambda_4, \lambda_5), \\ W''(\dots) &= W(\dots; \lambda_2, \lambda_4, \lambda_6), & W'''(\dots) &= W(\dots; \lambda_3, \lambda_5, \lambda_6), \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

The equation (2.31) holds for arbitrary values of all six parameters $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_6 \in \mathcal{S}$. The proof is based on transformation identities for the generalised hypergeometric series.

It is worth noting, that there are simple equivalence transformations of the weights W which do not change the partition function (2.27). For instance, if we multiply $W(a, \dots, h)$ by $z(a, g, b, f)/z(e, c, h, d)$ then each horizontal face of the lattice acquires a z -factor from the cube below it, and a canceling $(1/z)$ factor from the cube above. This is an example of a "face-factor" transformation. Similarly, one could apply "edge-factor" and "site-factor" transformations that leave the partition function (2.27) unchanged.

2.5 A vertex-type R-matrix for the IRC model

Interestingly, the W weights (2.28) could be simply converted into a new **R**-matrix (different from that given by (2.23)), which satisfies the vertex-type tetrahedron equation. This means, that the IRC model (2.27) can be reformulated as yet another vertex model without using the “propagation through the vertex” transformation. Such a connection was explained in [23]. The new vertex **R**-matrix reads

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3}^{\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \sigma'_3} &= \delta(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \sigma'_1 - \sigma'_2) \delta(\sigma_2 + \sigma_3 - \sigma'_2 - \sigma'_3) \sqrt{\frac{\varphi(\sigma'_1)\varphi(\sigma'_2)\varphi(\sigma'_3)}{\varphi(\sigma_1)\varphi(\sigma_2)\varphi(\sigma_3)}} \times \\ &\times \frac{f(\sigma_1, \sigma_3)^{1/2}}{f(\eta, \sigma_2 - \sigma'_2)^{1/4}} {}_2F_2 \left(\begin{array}{cc} (\eta + \sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2 & (\eta - \sigma_1 + \sigma_3)/2 \\ (\eta - \sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2 & (\eta + \sigma'_1 + \sigma'_3)/2 \end{array} \middle| -\sigma'_2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

where $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \sigma'_3 \in \mathcal{S}$ denote the edge spins. To relate the new **R**-matrix with the W weights, let us make the substitution

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= c + d - e - h + \lambda_1, & \sigma'_1 &= f + g - a - b + \lambda_1, \\ \sigma_2 &= f + h - b - d + \lambda_2, & \sigma'_2 &= a + c - e - g + \lambda_2, \\ \sigma_3 &= b + c - g - h + \lambda_3, & \sigma'_3 &= e + f - a - d + \lambda_3, \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

expressing the edge spins via the corner spins a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h arranged as in (2.26) (note, that with this substitution the δ -function constraints in (2.33) are automatically satisfied). Then, it is not difficult to show that the **R**-matrix (2.33) (with omitted δ -functions) can be written as

$$R_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3}^{\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \sigma'_3} = \Phi_0 W(a|e, f, g|b, c, d|h; \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3). \quad (2.35)$$

where the weights $W(\dots)$ are given by (2.28) and $\Phi_0 = \Phi_0(a|e, f, g|b, c, d|h; \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ is an equivalence transformation factor which cancels out from the partition function (2.27) (see the end of Sect.2.4 for an example of such factor).

Note, that the **R**-matrix (2.33) does not have any spectral parameters, however, it could be generalized by introducing the “external fields”, $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3 \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3}^{\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \sigma'_3} &\rightarrow \tilde{R}_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3}^{\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \sigma'_3}(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3) = \\ &= f\left(\frac{\eta}{4} - \phi_1, \sigma_1 + \sigma'_1\right) f\left(\frac{\eta}{4} - \phi_2, -\sigma_2 - \sigma'_2\right) f\left(\frac{\eta}{4} - \phi_3, \sigma_3 + \sigma'_3\right) R_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3}^{\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \sigma'_3}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

which also lead to a continuous (three-parameter) family of the commuting transfer matrices. Indeed, the tetrahedron equation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_{123}(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3) \tilde{R}_{145}(\phi'_1, \phi'_2, \phi'_3) \tilde{R}_{246}(\phi''_1, \phi''_2, \phi'_3 - \phi_3) \tilde{R}_{356}(\phi''_1 - \phi'_1, \phi''_2 - \phi_1, \phi'_2 - \phi_2) \\ = \tilde{R}_{356}(\phi''_1 - \phi'_1, \phi''_2 - \phi_1, \phi'_2 - \phi_2) \tilde{R}_{246}(\phi''_1, \phi''_2, \phi'_3 - \phi_3) \tilde{R}_{145}(\phi'_1, \phi'_2, \phi'_3) \tilde{R}_{123}(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3), \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

holds for arbitrary values of ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 and $\phi'_1, \phi'_2, \phi'_3$. Finally, define the field-dependent partition function

$$Z^{(\text{field})} = \sum_{\text{spins}} \prod_{\text{vertices}} \tilde{R}_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3}^{\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \sigma'_3}(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3). \quad (2.38)$$

Note, that particular cases of the solutions (2.28) and (2.33) with the Faddeev quantum dilogarithm were previously obtained in [23, 37].

3 Examples of the quantum dilogarithms

The notion of the quantum dilogarithm was introduced by Faddeev and Kashaev [28] and further refined in [31, 35, 39]. In this section we review a few examples of quantum dilogarithms in order to facilitate their application to the construction of integrable lattice models in three dimensions.

3.1 Faddeev dilogarithm

In this case Pontryagin group coincides with the real line

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}, \quad \int_{\mathcal{S}} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx. \quad (3.1)$$

The Faddeev [30] quantum dilogarithm $\Phi_b(x)$ is a meromorphic function in the complex plane $x \in \mathbb{C}$ defined by the product formula

$$\Phi_b(x) = \frac{(-q e^{2\pi x b}; q^2)_\infty}{(-\tilde{q} e^{2\pi x b^{-1}}; \tilde{q}^2)_\infty}, \quad q = e^{i\pi b^2}, \quad \tilde{q} = e^{-i\pi b^{-2}}, \quad \Im m b^2 > 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where b is a free parameter and

$$(x; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^k x), \quad (3.3)$$

denotes the q -Pochhammer symbol. There are also integral representations, in particular, the one given by Faddeev [30], with the integral over the real line \mathbb{R} ,

$$\Phi_b(x) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}+i0} \frac{e^{-2ixz}}{\sinh(bz) \sinh(b^{-1}z)} \frac{dz}{z} \right\}, \quad (3.4)$$

which is convenient for the analytic continuation of $\Phi_b(x)$ to real values of b .

The definition (3.2) implies that $\Phi_b(x)$ is meromorphic function of x with simple zeroes at $x = -(\eta_b + imb + inb^{-1})$ and simple poles at $x = \eta_b + imb + inb^{-1}$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and

$$\eta_b = i(b + b^{-1})/2. \quad (3.5)$$

It has the following asymptotics

$$\Phi_b(x) \simeq 1, \quad \Re e(x) \rightarrow -\infty; \quad \Phi_b(x) \simeq e^{i\pi x^2 - i\pi(1-2\eta_b^2)/6} \quad \Re e(x) \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\Im m(x)$ is kept finite.

In line with the general notation of Sect.2.2, let us now set the quantum dilogarithm and the matrix elements of the Fourier transform operator and the Gaussian exponent, defined in (2.7) and (2.9),

$$\varphi(x) = \Phi_b(x), \quad f(x, y) = e^{2\pi i x y}, \quad G(x) = e^{i\pi x^2}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.7a)$$

as well as the related constants

$$\varphi(0)^2 = \Phi_b(0)^2 = e^{-i\pi\eta_b^2/3-i\pi/6}, \quad \gamma = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi x^2} dx = e^{i\pi/4}, \quad \eta = \eta_b. \quad (3.7b)$$

Note, that these constants satisfy the relation (2.16). Now, one can check, that the Faddeev dilogarithm satisfies all the general relations (2.11)-(2.18) for the Fourier self-dual quantum dilogarithm with $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}$. An analytical proof of the quantum five-term identity (2.18) could be found in [40]. Note, that in the quasiclassical limit $b \rightarrow 0$ this identity reduces to the classical five-term identity for the Rogers dilogarithm, see, e.g., [41].

3.2 Andersen–Kashaev dilogarithm

In this case the Pontryagin group $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_N$ has the structure of a direct product

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_N, \quad x = (\xi, n) \in \mathcal{S}, \quad \int_{\mathcal{S}} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi, \quad (3.8)$$

where \mathbb{Z}_N is the set of integers modulo N ($N \geq 2$). The elements $x \in \mathcal{S}$ have two components $x = (\xi, n)$ where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. Similarly to (3.2) and (3.5) introduce the notations

$$q = e^{i\pi b^2}, \quad \tilde{q} = e^{-i\pi b^{-2}}, \quad \eta_b = i(b + b^{-1})/2, \quad (3.9)$$

where b is a free parameter. A solution of the defining relation (2.11)-(2.18) for this case was obtained by Andersen and Kashaev [31]

$$\varphi(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \Phi_b \left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{N}} + (1 - \frac{1}{N})\eta_b - i b^{-1} \frac{j}{N} - i b \left\{ \frac{j+n}{N} \right\} \right), \quad x = (\xi, n), \quad (3.10)$$

where the symbol $\{ \}$ denotes the positive fractional part, while $\Phi_b(\xi)$ is defined by (3.2). This formula implies the product representation,

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{(\omega^n e^{2\pi b(\xi\sqrt{N} + \eta_b)/N}; \omega q^{2/N})_\infty}{(\omega^{-n} e^{2\pi b^{-1}(\xi\sqrt{N} - \eta_b)/N}; \omega^{-1} \tilde{q}^{2/N})_\infty}, \quad x = (\xi, n), \quad \omega = e^{2\pi i/N}, \quad \Im m b^2 > 0. \quad (3.11)$$

The matrix elements of the operators \mathbf{F} and $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x})$ read

$$f(x, x') = e^{2\pi i \xi \xi'} e^{-2\pi i n n' / N}, \quad G(x) = e^{i\pi \xi^2} e^{-i\pi n(n+N)/N}, \quad x = (\xi, n), \quad x' = (\xi', n'), \quad (3.12)$$

and the other related constants are given by

$$\gamma = e^{i\pi N/4}, \quad \varphi(0)^2 = e^{-i\pi(N+2\eta_b^2/N)/6}, \quad \eta = \left(\frac{\eta_b}{\sqrt{N}}, 0 \right). \quad (3.13)$$

3.3 Woronowicz dilogarithm

In this case the Pontryagin group $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}$ also has the structure of direct product

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}, \quad x = (\theta, m), \quad \int_{\mathcal{S}} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta, \quad (3.14)$$

where \mathbb{T} denotes the unit circle and \mathbb{Z} denotes the set of all integers. The elements $x \in \mathcal{S}$ have two components $x = (\theta, m)$, where $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. A solution of (2.11)-(2.18) for this case was obtained by Woronowicz [32] (see also [42]),

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{(-q^{1-m}e^{i\theta}; q^2)_\infty}{(-q^{1-m}e^{-i\theta}; q^2)_\infty}, \quad q = -e^{i\eta_0}, \quad |q| < 1, \quad (3.15)$$

where η_0 is a free parameter. The matrix elements of the operators \mathbf{F} and $\mathbf{G}(x)$, defined in (2.7) and (2.9), are given by

$$\mathbf{f}(x, x') = e^{i\theta m' + i\theta' m}, \quad \mathbf{G}(x) = e^{i\theta m}, \quad x = (\theta, m), \quad x' = (\theta', m'). \quad (3.16)$$

and the relevant constants read

$$\gamma = 1, \quad \varphi(0)^2 = 1, \quad \eta = (\eta_0, 0). \quad (3.17)$$

4 Partition functions of 3D models

In this section we report the results of calculations [33] for the partition functions of the 3D models, associated with the Faddeev dilogarithm. Therefore, in what follows we will always assume the substitutions (3.7) for the quantum dilogarithm $\varphi(x) = \Phi_b(x)$ and all related quantities. Moreover, for definiteness, we will consider the regime when the parameter b is such that

$$\Im m b = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad |b| = 1, \quad (4.1)$$

with $\Re e b > 0$. In this case the quantum dilogarithm function $\varphi(x) = \Phi_b(x)$ becomes unimodular for real values of x ,

$$|\varphi(x)| = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.2)$$

4.1 The 3D vertex model

The partition function of the model on the $M \times M \times M$ cubic lattice is defined by (2.1). The vertex weights are given by (2.23) and (2.25) (with the substitutions (3.7)) with the edge spins taking real values $x_1, x'_1 \dots \in \mathbb{R}$. As before, we assume periodic boundary conditions in all three directions. The vertex weights (2.23) depend on three spectral parameters $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$z_\infty^{(\text{vert})}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} (Z^{(\text{vert})})^{1/M^3} \quad (4.3)$$

denote the partition function per site in the limit of an infinitely large lattice.

To concisely present the exact expression for the partition function (4.3) one needs to use the “angle parameterization” of the λ ’s in terms of elements of a spherical triangle. Let $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ denote the angles of a spherical triangle and a_1, a_2, a_3 denote the lengths of the sides (or the linear angles) of this triangle opposite to $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$, respectively. The two sets of angles are connected by the spherical cosine theorem,

$$\cos a_i = \frac{\cos \theta_i + \cos \theta_j \cos \theta_k}{\sin \theta_j \sin \theta_k}, \quad (i, j, k) = \text{perm}(1, 2, 3). \quad (4.4)$$

Define related variables

$$\beta_0 = \pi - \frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3}{2}, \quad \beta_j = \pi - \beta_0 - a_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (4.5)$$

and consider the case when $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ and $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ are all real and between 0 and π . We can now introduce the “angle parameterization”

$$e^{i\frac{\pi}{\eta}\lambda_1} = \tan^2 \frac{\theta_1}{2}, \quad e^{i\frac{\pi}{\eta}\lambda_2} = \cot^2 \frac{\theta_2}{2}, \quad e^{i\frac{\pi}{\eta}\lambda_3} = \tan^2 \frac{\theta_3}{2}. \quad (4.6)$$

or, equivalently, as

$$e^{i\frac{\pi}{\eta}\lambda_1} = \frac{\sin \beta_2 \sin \beta_3}{\sin \beta_0 \sin \beta_1}, \quad e^{i\frac{\pi}{\eta}\lambda_2} = \frac{\sin \beta_0 \sin \beta_2}{\sin \beta_1 \sin \beta_3}, \quad e^{i\frac{\pi}{\eta}\lambda_3} = \frac{\sin \beta_1 \sin \beta_2}{\sin \beta_0 \sin \beta_3}. \quad (4.7)$$

Note, that for the regime (4.1), the quantity $\eta = \eta_b$ is positive imaginary, and for real θ ’s the corresponding parameters λ ’s are real. With this parameterization, the partition function per site (4.3) can be written as [33],

$$\log z_\infty^{\text{vert}} = \frac{4\eta^2}{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^3 \left(\Lambda(\beta_j) + (\beta_j - \frac{\pi}{4}) \log(2 \sin \beta_j) \right), \quad \eta = i(b + b^{-1})/2 \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$\Lambda(\beta) = - \int_0^\beta \log(2 \sin x) dx, \quad (4.9)$$

is the Lobachevsky function. It is worth noting that the modular parameter b enters the quantum dilogarithm (3.4) and, hence, the Boltzmann weights (2.23), in a rather complicated way. Contrary to this, the partition function (4.8) depends on b in a very simple way: this parameter only influences the coefficient in front of the free energy.

Finally note, that at the symmetric point

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_3 = a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (4.10)$$

the partition function takes the form

$$z_\infty^{\text{vert}} = \exp\left(\frac{8\eta^2}{\pi} G\right), \quad (4.11)$$

where $G = 0.915965\dots$ is the Catalan constant. Here we have used the value $\Lambda(\frac{\pi}{4}) = \frac{1}{2}G$.

4.2 The interaction-round-a-cube (IRC) model

Consider now the IRC model on the $M \times M \times M$ cubic lattice with the Boltzmann weights $W(\dots)$ given by (2.28), (2.30) (with the substitutions (3.7)) and the partition function defined by (2.27). We assume the periodic boundary conditions for the site spins. Similarly to (4.3) define the partition function per site in the limit of the infinite lattice

$$z_\infty^{(\text{IRC})}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} (Z^{(\text{IRC})})^{1/M^3}. \quad (4.12)$$

As shown in [33] this partition function exactly coincides with that of the vertex model

$$z_\infty^{(\text{IRC})}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = z_\infty^{\text{vert}}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3). \quad (4.13)$$

presented in (4.8) of the previous subsection.

It is interesting to compare the above result with the partition function of the Zamolodchikov–Bazhanov–Baxter model (which is the generalization [9,10] of the original Zamolodchikov model [1,2] for $N \geq 2$ discrete spin states). Denoting this partition function per site as κ_N , one can write it in the form⁴

$$\log \kappa_N = \frac{2(N-1)}{N\pi} \sum_{j=0}^3 \left(\Lambda(\beta_j) + \frac{\beta_j}{2} \log(2 \sin \beta_j) - \frac{\pi}{2} \log(\sqrt{2} \cos(\beta_j/2)) \right), \quad (4.14)$$

which is, evidently, very similar to (4.8) (the parameters β_j are the same). Note also, that the number of spin states N only enters (4.14) through an overall factor in front of the free energy.

4.3 The vertex model with external fields

Consider now the vertex model with external fields, whose partition function Z^{field} is defined by (2.38) with the vertex weights given by (2.33) and (2.36). As before, we consider the model on the cubic lattice of the size $M \times M \times M$ with the periodic boundary conditions for the edge spins in all three directions.

Using the relations (2.34) and (2.35) one can express the partition function Z^{field} as an integral of a spectral parameter inhomogeneous version of the partition function of the IRC model (2.27) over a set of $(6M-3)$ spectral parameters. The connection is exact for a finite lattice. The details are presented in [33]. In the infinite lattice limit the integral can be taken by the saddle point method. The result is

$$z_{\infty}^{\text{field}} = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} (Z^{\text{field}})^{1/M^3} = \exp\left(\frac{4\eta^2}{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^3 \Lambda(\beta_j)\right), \quad (4.15)$$

where $\Lambda(\beta)$ is given by (4.9) and β 's are defined in (4.5) with the linear angles

$$a_i = \frac{2\pi\phi_i}{\eta}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (4.16)$$

determined by the external fields ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 entering the definitions (2.38) and (2.36).

5 Conclusion

In this paper we introduced new integrable 3D lattice models. Their construction is based on the algebraic properties of the quantum dilogarithms. In particular, we presented general formulae (2.19), (2.28) expressing a substantial class of solutions of the Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equation in terms of quantum dilogarithms, both for the “interaction-round-a-cube” (IRC) and the vertex-type models. A detailed proof of the Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equation for these solutions, as well as an explanation of the connection between the 3D vertex and IRC models is contained in our second paper [29] of this series. The proof presented there is rather general: it is only based on the basic properties of the quantum dilogarithms, given by (2.11) and (2.12). Three different examples of the quantum dilogarithm possessing these properties were reviewed above in Sect.3 of this paper.

⁴See eqs.(3.32)-(3.34) in [10]

The local spin variables in the corresponding lattice models run over some specific (model dependent) sets of values, identified with Pontryagin self-dual locally compact Abelian (LCA) groups. In the simplest case, associated with the Faddeev modular quantum dilogarithm, the spins take arbitrary real values. The corresponding vertex and IRC models contain a free modular parameter b ($\Im m b^2 \geq 0$) and three real spectral parameters $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$, which are conveniently parameterized (4.7) through the linear accesses $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ of the spherical triangle, defined in (4.5). The exact results for the partition functions per site for these models are presented in (4.8) and (4.15), whereas details of calculations are contained in our forthcoming paper [33] (the third paper in this series). Naturally, the appearance of the Lobachevsky function $\Lambda(\beta)$ (see (4.9)) in these results suggests connections to problems of geometry. Indeed, the quasi-classical (or the low-temperature) limit $b \rightarrow 0$ in the above lattice models is related to the problem of constructing of *circular quadrilateral lattices* in $3D$ space — lattices whose faces are planar quadrilaterals inscribable into a circle [43–46]. We intend to discuss this connection in our future articles [29, 33].

Acknowledgements

One of us (VVB) thanks S.L.Lukyanov and A.B.Zamolodchikov for important comments.

References

- [1] Zamolodchikov, A. B. Tetrahedra equations and integrable systems in three-dimensional space. Soviet Phys. JETP **52** (1980) 325–336.
- [2] Zamolodchikov, A. B. Tetrahedron equations and the relativistic S matrix of straight strings in (2+1)-dimensions. Commun. Math. Phys. **79** (1981) 489–505.
- [3] Bazhanov, V. V. and Stroganov, Y. G. On commutativity conditions for transfer matrices on multidimensional lattice. Theor. Math. Phys. **52** (1982) 685–691.
- [4] Baxter, R. J. *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics*. Academic, London, 1982.
- [5] Baxter, R. J. On Zamolodchikov’s solution of the tetrahedron equations. Commun. Math. Phys. **88** (1983) 185–205.
- [6] Bazhanov, V. V. and Stroganov, Y. G. Free Fermions on Three-dimensional Lattice and Tetrahedron Equations. Nucl. Phys. B **230** (1984) 435–454.
- [7] Baxter, R. J. The Yang-Baxter Equations and the Zamolodchikov Model. Physica **18D** (1986) 321–247.
- [8] Maillet, J. M. and Nijhoff, F. Integrability for multidimensional lattice models. Phys. Lett. **B224** (1989) 389.
- [9] Bazhanov, V. V. and Baxter, R. J. New solvable lattice models in three-dimensions. J. Statist. Phys. **69** (1992) 453–585.
- [10] Bazhanov, V. V. and Baxter, R. J. Star triangle relation for a three-dimensional model. J. Statist. Phys. **71** (1993) 839–864.

- [11] Kashaev, R. M., Mangazeev, V. V., and Stroganov, Y. G. Spatial symmetry, local integrability and tetrahedron equations in the Baxter-Bazhanov model. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **8** (1993) 587.
- [12] Korepanov, I. G. Tetrahedral Zamolodchikov algebras corresponding to Baxter's L -operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **154** (1993) 85–97.
- [13] Bazhanov, V. Inversion and symmetry relations for a three-dimensional solvable model. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **07** (1993) 3501–3515.
- [14] Hietarinta, J. Labeling schemes for tetrahedron equations and dualities between them. *J. Phys. A* **27** (1994) 5727–5748.
- [15] Kapranov, M. M. and Voevodsky, V. A. 2-categories and Zamolodchikov tetrahedra equations. *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* **56** (1994) 177–259.
- [16] Sergeev, S. M., Mangazeev, V. V., and Stroganov, Y. G. The vertex formulation of the Bazhanov-Baxter model. *J. Stat. Phys.* **82** (1996) 31–50.
- [17] Kashaev, R. M. On discrete three-dimensional equations associated with the local Yang-Baxter relation. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **38** (1996) 389–397.
- [18] Baxter, R. J. and Bazhanov, V. V. Two-layer Zamolodchikov model. In *XIIth International Congress of Mathematical Physics (ICMP '97) (Brisbane)*, pages 15–23. Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1999.
- [19] Sergeev, S. M. Solutions of the functional tetrahedron equation connected with the local Yang-Baxter equation for the ferro-electric condition. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **45** (1998) 113–119.
- [20] Kashaev, R. M. and Sergeev, S. M. On pentagon, ten-term, and tetrahedron relations. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **195** (1998) 309–319.
- [21] Kashaev, R. M. and Volkov, A. Y. From the tetrahedron equation to universal R -matrices. In *L. D. Faddeev's Seminar on Mathematical Physics*, volume 201 of *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, pages 79–89. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [22] Bazhanov, V. V. and Sergeev, S. M. Zamolodchikov's tetrahedron equation and hidden structure of quantum groups. *J. Phys. A* **39** (2006) 3295–3310.
- [23] Bazhanov, V. V., Mangazeev, V. V., and Sergeev, S. M. Quantum geometry of 3-dimensional lattices. *J. Stat. Mech.* (2008) P07004.
- [24] Sergeev, S. M. Super-tetrahedra and super-algebras. *J. Math. Phys.* **50** (2009) 083519.
- [25] Inoue, R., Kuniba, A., and Terashima, Y. Tetrahedron equation and quantum cluster algebras. *J. Phys. A* **57** (2024) 085202.
- [26] Kuniba, A., Okado, M., and Sergeev, S. Tetrahedron Equation and Quantum R Matrices for modular double of $U_q(D_{n+1}^{(2)})$, $U_q(A_{2n}^{(2)})$ and $U_q(C_n^{(1)})$. arXiv:1409.1986, 2014.
- [27] Sergeev, S. M. Quantum integrable models in discrete 2+1 dimensional space-time: auxiliary linear problem on a lattice, zero curvature representation, isospectral deformation of the Zamolodchikov-Bazhanov-Baxter model. *Particles and Nuclei* **35** (2004) 1051–1111.
- [28] Faddeev, L. D. and Kashaev, R. M. Quantum Dilogarithm. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **9** (1994) 427–434.

[29] Bazhanov, V. V., Kashaev, R. M., Mangazeev, V. V., and Sergeev, S. M. Quantum dilogarithms and new integrable lattice models in three dimensions. II. Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equation. to be published, 2026.

[30] Faddeev, L. D. Current - like variables in massive and massless integrable models. In *International School of Physics 'Enrico Fermi': 127th Course: Quantum Groups and Their Physical Applications*, pages 117–136, 1994.

[31] Andersen, J. E. and Kashaev, R. Complex Quantum Chern-Simons. arXiv:1409.1208, 2014.

[32] Woronowicz, S. L. Operator equalities related to the quantum $E(2)$ group. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **144** (1992) 417–428.

[33] Bazhanov, V. V., Kashaev, R. M., Mangazeev, V. V., and Sergeev, S. M. Quantum dilogarithms and new integrable lattice models in three dimensions. III. Partition function. to be published, 2026.

[34] Weil, A. *L'intégration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications*. Actual. Sci. Ind., no. 869. Hermann et Cie., Paris, 1940. [This book has been republished by the author at Princeton, N. J., 1941.].

[35] Kashaev, R. The Yang-Baxter relation and gauge invariance. *J. Phys. A* **49** (2016) 164001.

[36] Sergeev, S. M. Geometry of quadrilateral nets: Second Hamiltonian form. *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **59** (2009) 1150–1154.

[37] Sergeev, S. M. Quantum $2+1$ evolution model. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32** (1999) 5693–5714.

[38] Baxter, R. J. Eight-vertex model in lattice statistics and one-dimensional anisotropic Heisenberg chain. II. Equivalence to a generalized Ice-type lattice model. *Ann. Phys.* **76** (1973) 25–47.

[39] Garoufalidis, S. and Kashaev, R. Quantum dilogarithms over local fields and invariants of 3-manifolds. arXiv:2306.01331, 2023.

[40] Faddeev, L. D., Kashaev, R. M., and Volkov, A. Y. Strongly coupled quantum discrete Liouville theory. I. Algebraic approach and duality. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **219** (2001) 199–219.

[41] Kashaev, R. M. and Nakanishi, T. Classical and Quantum Dilogarithm Identities. *SIGMA* **7** (2011) 102.

[42] Dimofte, T., Gaiotto, D., and Gukov, S. 3-Manifolds and 3d Indices. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **17** (2013) 975–1076.

[43] Bazhanov, V. V., Mangazeev, V. V., and Sergeev, S. M. Quantum geometry of 3-dimensional lattices. *J. Stat. Mech.* **0807** (2008) P07004.

[44] Bobenko, A. I. Discrete conformal maps and surfaces. *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* **255** (1999) 97–108.

[45] Doliwa, A. and Santini, P. M. Multidimensional quadrilateral lattices are integrable. *Phys. Lett. A* **233** (1997) 365–372.

[46] Konopelchenko, B. G. and Schief, W. K. Three-dimensional integrable lattices in Euclidean spaces: conjugacy and orthogonality. *R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **454** (1998) 3075–3104.