

# NORMALIZED SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR MAGNETIC SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS ON METRIC GRAPHS

PIETRO D'AVENIA, ZHENTAO HE, AND CHAO JI

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we first establish the theory of a magnetic Sobolev space  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  on metric graphs  $\mathcal{G}$  and we prove the self-adjointness of its corresponding magnetic Schrödinger operator. Then, in this setting, we investigate the existence and multiplicity of normalized solutions to nonlinear magnetic Schrödinger equations on compact metric graphs and on noncompact metric graphs with localized nonlinearities or nonlinearities acting on whole metric graphs, covering the mass-subcritical, mass-critical, and mass-supercritical cases.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Second order nonlinear equations on metric graphs	2
1.2. Nonlinear magnetic Schrödinger equations on metric graphs	2
1.2.1. The functional setting	3
1.2.2. Our results	4
1.2.3. Further comments and remarks	7
1.2.4. Plan of the paper	7
2. Magnetic Sobolev space and self-adjointness of the magnetic Schrödinger operator	8
3. Preliminaries	16
3.1. Diamagnetic inequality	16
3.2. Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities	17
3.3. A non-existence result	18
4. Modified functionals	19
5. Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4	24
6. Proof of Theorem 1.5	26
7. Proofs of Theorems 1.6 and 1.7	29
Appendix A. Regularity of the critical points of $E$	33
Acknowledgements	34
References	34

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider a magnetic Schrödinger operator on connected metric graphs.

Quantum graphs (metric graphs equipped with differential operators) arise naturally as simplified models in mathematics, physics, chemistry, and engineering when one considers the propagation of waves of various nature through a quasi-one-dimensional (e.g., meso- or nano-scale) system that looks like a thin neighborhood of a graph. For further details on quantum graphs, one may refer to [9].

Let  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  be a connected metric graph, where  $E$  is the set of edges and  $V$  is the set of vertices. As usual, each bounded edge  $e \in E$  is identified with a closed and bounded interval  $I_e = [0, \ell_e]$  with  $\ell_e > 0$ , while each unbounded edge  $e$  is identified with a closed half-line  $I_e = [0, +\infty)$ . If  $\mathcal{G}$  is a metric

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35R02, 81Q35, 78M30, 35Q40.

*Key words and phrases.* Metric graphs, Magnetic fields, Spectral theory, Normalized solutions.

graph with a finite number of edges, its compact core  $\mathcal{K}$  is defined as the metric subgraph of  $\mathcal{G}$  consisting of all bounded edges of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Therefore, if  $\mathcal{G}$  is compact, then its compact core  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{G}$ .

Throughout the paper, we assume that  $\mathcal{G}$  has a finite number of edges and the compact core  $\mathcal{K}$  is non-empty, unless otherwise specified.

A connected metric graph has the natural structure of a locally compact metric space, and for any two points  $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$ , the metric  $\text{dist}(x, y)$  is given by the shortest distance along the edges. Moreover, a connected metric graph with a finite number of vertices is compact if and only if it contains no half-line.

**1.1. Second order nonlinear equations on metric graphs.** From a mathematical point of view, and, in particular from a variational point of view, several efforts have been devoted to the existence and multiplicity of normalized solutions for the following nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$-u'' - \lambda u = |u|^{p-2}u \quad (1.1)$$

on metric graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ .

In the mass-subcritical ( $2 < p < 6$ ) and mass-critical ( $p = 6$ ) cases, the energy functional associated with (1.1) is bounded below and coercive under the mass constraint (requiring the mass to be below a certain threshold when  $p = 6$ ). This allows to use minimization methods to obtain normalized ground states, i.e., solutions with minimal energy under the mass constraint. The existence of such normalized ground states has been established both on compact metric graphs [17, 20], and on noncompact metric graphs [1–5, 22, 37, 41]. In addition, the existence of local minimizers was studied in [42].

For any noncompact metric graph  $\mathcal{G}$  with a finite number of edges and a non-empty compact core  $\mathcal{K}$ , [27, 36] introduced the following modification of (1.1)

$$-u'' - \lambda u = \chi_{\mathcal{K}}|u|^{p-2}u, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\chi_{\mathcal{K}}$  is the characteristic function of the compact core  $\mathcal{K}$ , assuming that the nonlinearity affects only the non-empty compact core  $\mathcal{K}$ . For the existence or non-existence of normalized ground states for (1.2) in the mass-subcritical case, see [47, 49], and for the mass-critical case, see [23, 24]. Moreover, in the mass-subcritical case, Serra and Tentarelli [48], using genus theory, proved the existence of multiple bound states with negative energy.

In the mass-supercritical case ( $p > 6$ ), the energy functional is no longer bounded below on the mass constraint. Moreover, scaling techniques (commonly used in the analysis on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ) are no longer applicable, and the Pohozaev identity is not available on metric graphs. This creates additional difficulties when proving the boundedness of Palais-Smale sequences. Furthermore, the topological properties of the metric graphs add another layer of complexity. To address these challenges, several works have focused on the mass-supercritical case. In [12], Borthwick, Chang, Jeanjean, and Soave proved the existence of bounded Palais-Smale sequences carrying Morse index type information for functionals exhibiting a minimax geometry under the mass constraint. In [18], Chang, Jeanjean, and Soave proved the existence of normalized non-constant bound states for (1.1) on compact metric graphs when the prescribed mass is sufficiently small, using abstract critical point theory, Morse index type information, and blow-up analysis. Subsequently, in [11], for arbitrarily prescribed mass, Borthwick, Chang, Jeanjean, and Soave investigated the existence of normalized solutions for (1.2) on noncompact metric graphs. In [21], Dovetta, Jeanjean, and Serra studied the existence of normalized solutions for (1.1) on periodic metric graphs, as well as on noncompact metric graphs with finitely many edges under suitable topological assumptions.

**1.2. Nonlinear magnetic Schrödinger equations on metric graphs.** In several important applications, in particular those related to nanotubes, the magnetic Schrödinger operator on metric graphs arises in a natural way (see [28, 45]). These applications, together with the theoretical interest in the operator, have motivated its study and the investigation of its properties in various settings (see, for instance, [34]). From a mathematical point of view, research has mainly focused on spectral theory

(see [15, 30, 32, 33, 38, 39] and references therein), to the best of our knowledge, only a limited literature has been devoted to existence results for nonlinear equations involving this operator, especially from a variational point of view.

1.2.1. *The functional setting.* Inspired by [29], where a first attempt in our direction was made, we consider weaker smoothness assumptions on the magnetic potential  $A$  and weaker integrability conditions on both  $A$  and the electric potential  $V$ . We first develop the theory of the *magnetic Sobolev space* (and prove the self-adjointness of the associated *magnetic Schrödinger operator*) on locally finite connected metric graphs  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ , in the sense of the following definition.

**Definition 1.1.** *A connected metric graph  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  is locally finite if  $E$  is an at most countable set such that any bounded subset of the graph intersects at most finitely many edges; that is, for any bounded subset  $B \subset \mathcal{G}$ , the set  $\{e \in E : e \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite, where we regard edges  $e \in E$  as subsets of  $\mathcal{G}$ .*

It is clear that any connected metric graph with a finite number of edges is locally finite. Moreover, if a connected metric graph  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  with at most countably many edges satisfies  $\inf_{e \in E} \ell_e > 0$  and  $\deg(v) < +\infty$  for every  $v \in V$ , where  $\deg(v)$  is the total number of edges incident at the vertex  $v$ , then  $\mathcal{G}$  is locally finite. For more details on locally finite connected metric graphs, see [29].

These results are of independent interest and provide a solid foundation for the investigation of nonlinear magnetic Schrödinger equations on metric graphs.

Since a function  $u : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  can be identified with a family of functions  $u_e : I_e \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $e \in E$ , the usual  $L^p$  space on  $\mathcal{G}$  is defined by

$$L^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) := \bigoplus_{e \in E} L^p(I_e, \mathbb{C}),$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_p := \left( \sum_{e \in E} \|u_e\|_{L^p(I_e, \mathbb{C})}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \text{if } p \in [1, +\infty), \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_\infty := \sup_{e \in E} \|u_e\|_{L^\infty(I_e, \mathbb{C})}.$$

The inner product in  $L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is

$$(u, v)_2 = \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathcal{G}} u \bar{v} \, dx = \sum_e \operatorname{Re} \int_{I_e} u_e \bar{v}_e \, dx,$$

where  $\operatorname{Re}(w)$  denotes the real part of  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\bar{w}$  is its conjugate.

The Sobolev space  $H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is defined by

$$H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) := \{u \in C(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) : u' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \text{ and } u \in L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})\},$$

and consists of continuous functions  $u : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $u_e \in H^1([0, \ell_e], \mathbb{C})$  for every edge  $e \in E$  (note that  $\ell_e$  may be  $+\infty$ ). Its norm is defined as

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})} = \left[ \sum_{e \in E} \left( \|u'\|_{L^2(I_e, \mathbb{C})}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(I_e, \mathbb{C})}^2 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Assume that  $A \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $V \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$ , i.e., for every bounded subset  $B \subset \mathcal{G}$  we have  $A \in L^2(B, \mathbb{R})$  and  $V \in L^1(B, \mathbb{R})$ . We define the magnetic Sobolev space  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  by

$$H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) := \left\{ u \in C(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) : D_A u \in L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \text{ and } \int_{\mathcal{G}} V(x) |u|^2 \, dx = \sum_{e \in E} \int_{I_e} V_e(x) |u_e|^2 \, dx < +\infty \right\},$$

where  $D_A := \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dx} - A(x)$ . Its norm is

$$\|u\| := \left( \int_{\mathcal{G}} |D_A u|^2 + V(x)|u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and the inner product is

$$(u, v) := \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathcal{G}} (D_A u \overline{D_A v} + V(x) u \bar{v}) dx.$$

We will show in Lemma 2.1 that  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is a separable Hilbert space. Accordingly, we regard  $D_A^2 + V(x)$  as the unique self-adjoint operator associated with the quadratic form

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}} (D_A u \overline{D_A v} + V(x) u \bar{v}) dx, \quad u, v \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$$

(see Section 2).

**1.2.2. Our results.** We will study the existence and multiplicity of normalized solutions for the following nonlinear magnetic Schrödinger equations

$$\begin{cases} \left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dx} - A_e(x) \right)^2 u_e + V_e(x) u_e - \lambda u_e = |u_e|^{p-2} u_e, & \forall e \in E, \\ \sum_{e \succ v} \left( \frac{1}{i} u'_e(v) - A_e^\pm(v) u_e(v) \right) = 0, & \forall v \in V, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

on compact metric graphs  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  and

$$\begin{cases} \left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dx} - A_e(x) \right)^2 u_e + V_e(x) u_e - \lambda u_e = \chi_{\mathcal{K}} |u_e|^{p-2} u_e, & \forall e \in E, \\ \sum_{e \succ v} \left( \frac{1}{i} u'_e(v) - A_e^\pm(v) u_e(v) \right) = 0, & \forall v \in V, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

on noncompact metric graphs  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  with a finite number of edges and a non-empty compact core  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Here  $p > 2$  and  $A : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $V : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  are families of functions  $A_e : I_e \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $V_e : I_e \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ . Moreover, if  $e \in E$  and  $v \in V$ , the notation  $e \succ v$  means that the edge  $e$  is incident at  $v$ , and  $u'_e(v)$  (standing for  $u'_e(0)$  or  $-u'_e(\ell_e)$ ) is always the outward derivative, depending on whether the vertex  $v$  is identified with 0 or  $\ell_e$ . The magnetic potential  $A$  is a  $1d$  vector field (it changes sign when the orientation of the edge is reversed), and  $A_e^\pm(v)$  stands for  $A_e(0)$  or  $-A_e(\ell_e)$ , depending on whether the vertex  $v$  is identified with 0 or  $\ell_e$ .

The conditions in the second line of (1.3) and (1.4), known as *Kirchhoff magnetic boundary conditions*, were introduced in [40] (see also [28, 44, 45] for details and physical models).

Due to the variational structure of (1.3) and (1.4), we consider the energy functional  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G}) : H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$E(u, \mathcal{G}) := \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \Psi(u, \mathcal{G}),$$

where

$$\Psi(u, \mathcal{G}) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathcal{G}} |u|^p dx & \text{if } \mathcal{G} \text{ is compact,} \\ \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathcal{K}} |u|^p dx & \text{if } \mathcal{G} \text{ is noncompact with non-empty compact core } \mathcal{K}, \end{cases}$$

and we seek solutions as critical points of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$ . A first result in this direction is the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be any compact metric graph or any noncompact metric graph with a finite number of edges and a non-empty compact core  $\mathcal{K}$ , and let  $p > 2$ . Then  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  has infinitely many critical points  $\{u_n\} \subset H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} E(u_n, \mathcal{G}) = +\infty$ .*

Since the proof is standard (see, e.g., [50, Section 3.3]), we omit it.

Next, we investigate the existence and multiplicity of normalized solutions to (1.3) and (1.4) under the mass constraint

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}} |u|^2 dx = \mu, \quad \mu > 0,$$

and thus we study the existence and multiplicity of critical points of the functional  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on the  $L^2$ -sphere

$$H_\mu(\mathcal{G}) = \left\{ u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) : \int_{\mathcal{G}} |u|^2 dx = \mu \right\}.$$

To establish some of our existence results, we consider the following assumption.

(G)  $D_A^2 + V(x)$  admits a ground state that does not vanish identically on  $\mathcal{K}$ , i.e.,

$$\lambda_1 := \inf_{u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^2}{\|u\|_2^2} \quad (1.5)$$

is achieved by  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_1|_{\mathcal{K}} \not\equiv 0$ .

Moreover, since  $D_A^2 + V(x)$  is self-adjoint and  $V \geq 1$ , we have  $\sigma(D_A^2 + V(x)) \subset [1, +\infty)$ . Thus we will also consider the following assumption.

(G<sub>m</sub>) There exist  $m \geq 1$  (possibly  $+\infty$ ) distinct eigenvalues below  $\inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x))$  (if  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x)) = \emptyset$ , then we set  $\inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x)) = +\infty$ ), i.e.,  $D_A^2 + V(x)$  has an increasing sequence of  $m$  eigenvalues  $1 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_j < \dots$  such that, for every  $j$ ,  $\lambda_j < \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x))$ .

By the definition of essential spectrum (see, e.g., [10, 19, 43]), if  $\lambda < \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x))$  is an eigenvalue, then it has finite multiplicity. Our main results on the existence and multiplicity of normalized solutions to (1.3) and (1.4) are as follows.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be any compact metric graph or any noncompact metric graph with a finite number of edges and a non-empty compact core  $\mathcal{K}$ , and let  $p > 2$ . Assume that (G) or (G<sub>m</sub>) holds. Then, for any  $\mu > 0$ , one of the following two alternatives occurs:*

- (i) *either  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  has a critical point  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda \in (-\infty, \lambda_1]$  (and  $\lambda \in (-\infty, \lambda_1)$  if (G) holds);*
- (ii) *or, for every  $\lambda \in (-\infty, \lambda_1)$ ,  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  has a critical point  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  constrained on  $H_\nu(\mathcal{G})$  for some  $0 < \nu < \mu$  and the corresponding Lagrange multiplier is  $\lambda$ .*

**Theorem 1.4.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3, there exists  $\mu_0 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \mu \leq \mu_0$ , the first alternative of Theorem 1.3 occurs:  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  has a critical point  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_1]$  (and  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_1)$  if (G) holds). Moreover, if  $2 < p < 6$ , then for every  $\mu > 0$ ,  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  has a critical point  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda \in (-\infty, \lambda_1]$  (and  $\lambda \in (-\infty, \lambda_1)$  if (G) holds).*

By applying [50, Theorem 3.5], we obtain the following multiplicity results.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be any compact metric graph or any noncompact metric graph with a finite number of edges and a non-empty compact core  $\mathcal{K}$ , and let  $p > 2$ . Assume that (G<sub>m</sub>) holds. Then, for any  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  (if  $m = +\infty$ , then, for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ), there exists  $\mu_j > 0$  depending on  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $p$ ,  $A$ , and  $V$  such that, for any  $0 < \mu < \mu_j$ ,  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  has at least  $j$  distinct critical points  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_j$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$ . Moreover, if  $2 < p \leq 6$ ,  $m = +\infty$ , and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_j = +\infty$ , then, for any  $\mu > 0$  ( $0 < \mu \leq \mu_0$  if*

$p = 6$ ),  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  has infinitely many distinct critical points constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  whose energy levels tend to  $+\infty$ .

We observe that, for instance, if  $A$  is continuously differentiable on every edge  $e \in E$  and  $V$  is continuous on every edge  $e \in E$ , if  $u \in H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  is a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$ , then  $u$  is twice continuously differentiable on every edge  $e \in E$  and there exists a Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u$  is a solution of (1.3) or (1.4), respectively (see Appendix A for details).

Theorem 1.5 extends the multiplicity results for nonlinear Schrödinger equations on metric graphs in [20, 31] to nonlinear magnetic Schrödinger equations on compact metric graphs for all  $p > 2$ .

On compact metric graphs, in [20], Dovetta proved the existence of infinitely many normalized solutions to (1.1) in the mass-subcritical case, for every value of the mass, and in the mass-critical case for masses below a certain threshold (where normalized ground states exist if and only if the mass is less than or equal to this threshold). The author also characterized the relation between this threshold and the topology of the graph. In the mass-supercritical case, in [31], by an analysis of constant-sign solutions combined with a new type of linking and gradient flow techniques on the mass constraint, Jeanjean and Song proved the multiplicity of sign-changing normalized solutions to (1.1) when the prescribed mass is sufficiently small. The multiplicity arguments in [20, 31] rely on the compactness of the embedding  $H^1(\mathcal{G}) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathcal{G})$ .

In this paper, we prove the multiplicity of normalized solutions to (1.3) and (1.4) without using the compact embedding of  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  into  $L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . Moreover, due to the presence of a magnetic potential, the problem must be studied in complex-valued function spaces; therefore, the argument in [31] based on the signs of solutions is not directly applicable to (1.3) and (1.4). In addition, due to the presence of potentials, the relation between the range of masses for which the equations admit a normalized solution and the topology of the graph changes, and the study of the signs of normalized solutions becomes meaningless.

Finally, let  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  be any noncompact metric graph with a finite number of edges (whether its compact core is empty or non-empty). Under assumption (G<sub>m</sub>), we study the existence and multiplicity of normalized solutions for

$$\begin{cases} \left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dx} - A_e(x) \right)^2 u_e + V_e(x)u_e - \lambda u_e = |u_e|^{p-2}u_e, & \forall e \in E, \\ \sum_{e \ni v} \left( \frac{1}{i} u'_e(v) - A_e^\pm(v)u_e(v) \right) = 0, & \forall v \in V. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

Using the same notation as above for the energy functional with

$$\Psi(u, \mathcal{G}) := \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathcal{G}} |u|^p dx,$$

we obtain the following results.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be any noncompact metric graph with a finite number of edges, and let  $p > 2$ . Assume that (G<sub>m</sub>) holds. Then there exists  $\mu_0^* > 0$  depending on  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $p$ ,  $A$ , and  $V$  such that, for any  $0 < \mu \leq \mu_0^*$ ,  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  has a critical point  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$ , with Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_1)$ .*

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be any noncompact metric graph with a finite number of edges, and let  $p > 2$ . Assume that (G<sub>m</sub>) holds. Then, for any  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , there exists  $\mu_k^* > 0$  depending on  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $p$ ,  $A$ , and  $V$  such that, for any  $0 < \mu < \mu_k^*$ ,  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  has at least  $k$  distinct critical points  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$ .*

Theorem 1.7 extends the multiplicity results in [20, 31] to nonlinear magnetic Schrödinger equations on noncompact metric graphs under spectral assumptions for all  $p > 2$ . For this class of graphs, the main difficulty is the lack of compactness of the embedding  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ .

1.2.3. *Further comments and remarks.*

**Remark 1.8.** For any compact metric graph  $\mathcal{G}$ , since  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{G}$ , by Remark 2.2 below, the assumption (G) is satisfied.

**Remark 1.9.** If  $\mathcal{G}$  is a compact metric graph, or if  $\mathcal{G}$  is a noncompact metric graph with a finite number of edges and  $V$  also satisfies

$$\lim_{\text{dist}(x, x_0) \rightarrow +\infty} V(x) = +\infty \text{ for some } x_0 \in \mathcal{G},$$

then, by Remark 2.2 and, for noncompact graphs, arguing as in [8, Section 3], we obtain that  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is compactly embedded in  $L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . Thus, by [10, Theorem 4.22], the values  $\lambda_k$  defined in Theorem 2.7 are eigenvalues. Hence,

$$m = +\infty \text{ in (G}_m\text{), } \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_j = +\infty, \quad \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x)) = +\infty,$$

so that (G<sub>m</sub>) is satisfied and, therefore, when the compact core  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  is non-empty, Theorems 1.3–1.5 hold for  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$ .

Moreover, whether  $\mathcal{G}$  has a non-empty compact core or not, since the embedding  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is also compact (by Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality, see Lemma 3.2 below), Theorems 1.3–1.5 under assumption (G<sub>m</sub>) also hold for

$$E_{\mathcal{G}}(\cdot, \mathcal{G}) : H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad E_{\mathcal{G}}(u, \mathcal{G}) := \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathcal{G}} |u|^p dx.$$

**Remark 1.10.** For any metric graph  $\mathcal{G}$  with a finite number of edges and a non-empty compact subgraph  $\mathcal{K}^*$ , Theorems 1.3 (with  $\mathcal{K}$  in assumption (G) replaced by  $\mathcal{K}^*$ ), 1.4, and 1.5 hold for

$$E_{\mathcal{K}^*}(\cdot, \mathcal{G}) : H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad E_{\mathcal{K}^*}(u, \mathcal{G}) := \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathcal{K}^*} |u|^p dx.$$

**Remark 1.11.** Theorems 1.6 and 1.7 and Remarks 1.9 and 1.10 hold on any noncompact connected metric graph that has at most countably many edges and satisfies  $\inf_{e \in E} \ell_e > 0$  and  $\deg(v) < +\infty$  for every  $v \in V$ , since the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality holds (see [21, Section 2.3]).

**Remark 1.12.** For any  $V_q \in L^q(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$ , with  $1 \leq q \leq +\infty$ , and  $\nu > 0$  sufficiently large, the potential  $V$  can be replaced by  $V + V_q + \nu$  and Theorems 1.3–1.7 and Remarks 1.9–1.11 extend to the magnetic Schrödinger operator  $D_A^2 + (V(x) + V_q(x) + \nu)$  (see Section 3.2).

**Remark 1.13.** In view of [7], when (G<sub>m</sub>) holds,  $(\sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x))$  in (G<sub>m</sub>) could be replaced by  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x)) - K_2$ , where  $K_2$  is defined in [7, Section 1]) and the nonlinearity  $|u|^{p-2}u$  (or  $\chi_{\mathcal{K}}|u|^{p-2}u$ ) could be replaced by  $f(u)$  (or  $\chi_{\mathcal{K}}f(u)$ , respectively), where  $f$  satisfies the assumptions in [7, Section 6.2] with  $2^* = +\infty$ . All the results above (with respect to assumption (G<sub>m</sub>)) then hold with minor modifications.

**Remark 1.14.** For nonlinear magnetic Schrödinger equations, or for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with general potentials on noncompact graphs, arguments involving Morse index type information as in [11] fail due to the presence of potentials (in particular, due to the scaling arguments on half-lines used in [11, Lemma 3.2]).

1.2.4. *Plan of the paper.* The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we develop the theory of magnetic Sobolev spaces on metric graphs and establish the self-adjointness of the magnetic Schrödinger operator. In Section 3, we prove a Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality, introduce some modified functionals, and derive a nonexistence result that plays a crucial role in our approach. In Section 4, we prove the compactness of Palais-Smale sequences with energy below  $\mu \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x))/2$ . Section 5 is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, while Section 6 contains the proof of Theorem 1.5. Finally, in Section 7, we prove Theorems 1.6 and 1.7.

In the following, we denote by  $C$  a positive constant that may change from inequality to inequality.

## 2. MAGNETIC SOBOLEV SPACE AND SELF-ADJOINTNESS OF THE MAGNETIC SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR

In this section, we consider a locally finite connected metric graph  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  (see Definition 1.1) assuming that  $A : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $V : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  satisfy  $A \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $V \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$ .

Since here we are interested in general properties of the *magnetic Schrödinger operator*

$$D_A^2 + V(x) = \left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dx} - A(x) \right)^2 + V(x),$$

only in this section we will see

$$L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) := \left\{ u = (u_e)_{e \in E} : u_e \in L^2(I_e, \mathbb{C}) \text{ for every } e \in E \text{ and } \sum_{e \in E} \|u_e\|_{L^2(I_e, \mathbb{C})}^2 = \int_{\mathcal{G}} |u|^2 dx < +\infty \right\},$$

$$H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) := \{u \in C(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) : u' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \text{ and } u \in L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})\},$$

and

$$H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) := \left\{ u \in C(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) : D_A u \in L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \text{ and } \int_{\mathcal{G}} V(x) |u|^2 dx < +\infty \right\}$$

as complex Hilbert spaces.<sup>1</sup> Of course, in such a case, we have to consider on them different inner products<sup>2</sup> and so, as usual (see e.g. [13, Section 11.4]), we equip them with

$$(u, v)_2 := \int_{\mathcal{G}} u \bar{v} dx, \quad u, v \in L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}),$$

and

$$(u, v) := \int_{\mathcal{G}} (D_A u \overline{D_A v} + V(x) u \bar{v}) dx, \quad u, v \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$$

respectively, that actually define the same norms as before.

Considering each edge separately, for every  $e \in E$ , let us define

$$H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C}) := \left\{ u_e \in C(I_e, \mathbb{C}) : D_{A_e} u_e \in L^2(I_e, \mathbb{C}) \text{ and } \int_{I_e} V(x) |u_e|^2 dx < +\infty \right\},$$

where

$$D_{A_e} := \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dx} - A_e(x),$$

equipped with the inner product

$$(u_e, v_e)_{H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})} := \int_{I_e} (D_{A_e} u_e \overline{D_{A_e} v_e} + V_e(x) u_e \bar{v}_e) dx, \quad u_e, v_e \in H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C}).$$

The norm induced by this inner product is given by

$$\|u_e\|_{H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})} := \left[ \int_{I_e} |D_{A_e} u_e|^2 + V_e(x) |u_e|^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Observe that, if  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ , then, for every  $e \in E$ ,  $u_e \in H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ .

For  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  we have the following fundamental result.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that  $A : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $V : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  satisfy  $A \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $V \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$ . Then  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is a separable Hilbert space.*

<sup>1</sup>Observe that here  $\mathcal{G}$  is locally finite and so, with respect to the analogous definitions given in the introduction, we need, as additional condition, to require the finiteness of the sums.

<sup>2</sup>With an abuse of notation we will denote the *new* inner products in the same way as before.

*Proof.* First observe that, for any  $u \in H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  and  $e \in E$ , since  $A_e \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(I_e, \mathbb{R})$  and  $u_e \in L^2(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ , we know that  $u'_e = iD_{A_e}u_e + iA_e(x)u_e \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ , so that  $u_e \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ .

Now, let us show that, for every  $e \in E$ ,  $H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  is a Hilbert space. Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ . Then,  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{D_{A_e}(u_n)\}$  are Cauchy sequences in  $L^2(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ . It follows that  $\{u_n\}$  converges to some limit  $u$  and  $\{D_{A_e}(u_n)\}$  converges to some limit  $g$  in  $L^2(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ . Hence, by Fatou's Lemma, we know that

$$\int_{I_e} V_e(x)|u|^2 dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{I_e} V_e(x)|u_n|^2 dx < +\infty.$$

Moreover, since for all  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $A_e(x)\varphi \in L^2(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$\int_{I_e} u_n \bar{\varphi}' dx = - \int_{I_e} u'_n \bar{\varphi} dx = -i \int_{I_e} (D_{A_e}u_n \bar{\varphi} + A_e(x)u_n \bar{\varphi}) dx,$$

taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we get

$$\int_{I_e} u \bar{\varphi}' dx = -i \int_{I_e} (g \bar{\varphi} + A_e(x)u \bar{\varphi}) dx.$$

Then, by the definition of weak derivatives, we obtain  $u' = ig + iA_e(x)u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ . Thus, by [13, Theorem 8.2]  $u$  is continuous on  $I_e$ ,  $g = D_{A_e}u$ , and  $u \in H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ , which implies that  $H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  is a Hilbert space.

Now, as in [13, Proposition 8.1], we show that  $H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  is separable. It is clear that the operator  $B : H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L^2(I_e, \mathbb{C}) \times L^2(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  defined by  $Bu = (\sqrt{V_e(x)}u, D_{A_e}u)$  is an isometry. Since  $L^2(I_e, \mathbb{C}) \times L^2(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  is separable, then  $B(H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C}))$  is separable as well and so we can conclude.

Finally, define the space

$$\bigoplus_{e \in E} H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C}) := \left\{ u = (u_e)_{e \in E} : u_e \in H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C}) \text{ for every } e \in E \text{ and } \sum_{e \in E} \|u_e\|_{H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})}^2 < +\infty \right\}$$

equipped with the inner product

$$(u, v)_{\bigoplus_{e \in E} H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})} := \sum_{e \in E} (u_e, v_e)_{H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})}.$$

The norm induced by this inner product is given by

$$\|u\|_{\bigoplus_{e \in E} H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})} := \left( \sum_{e \in E} \|u_e\|_{H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[ \int_{\mathcal{G}} |D_Au|^2 + V(x)|u|^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

On one hand, assume that  $\{u_n\} \subset \bigoplus_{e \in E} H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  is a Cauchy sequence. Thus,  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $\bigoplus_{e \in E} H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ . Since, for any  $u \in \bigoplus_{e \in E} H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  and any  $e \in E$ ,  $\|u_e\|_{H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})} \leq \|u\|_{\bigoplus_{e \in E} H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})}$ , we know that  $\{u_{n,e}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence of  $H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ . Thus, for every  $e \in E$ ,  $\{u_{n,e}\}$  converges to some limit  $u_e$  in  $H^1_{A_e}(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ . Define  $u := (u_e)$ .

For simplicity, let  $E = \{e_m : m \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{e \in E} \|u_e\|_{H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})}^2 &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^+} \|u_{e_m}\|_{H_{A_{e_m}}^1(I_{e_m}, \mathbb{C})}^2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{m=1}^k \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_{n, e_m}\|_{H_{A_{e_m}}^1(I_{e_m}, \mathbb{C})}^2 \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{m=1}^k \|u_{n, e_m}\|_{H_{A_{e_m}}^1(I_{e_m}, \mathbb{C})}^2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{m=1}^k \|u_{n, e_m}\|_{H_{A_{e_m}}^1(I_{e_m}, \mathbb{C})}^2 \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^+} \|u_{n, e_m}\|_{H_{A_{e_m}}^1(I_{e_m}, \mathbb{C})}^2 = \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n\|_{\bigoplus_{e \in E} H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})}^2 < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

being  $\{u_n\}$  bounded in  $\bigoplus_{e \in E} H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ ; hence,  $u \in \bigoplus_{e \in E} H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ .

Arguing as above, we obtain

$$\|u_n - u\|_{\bigoplus_{e \in E} H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})}^2 \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n - u_k\|_{\bigoplus_{e \in E} H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})}^2.$$

Since  $\{u_n\} \subset \bigoplus_{e \in E} H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  is a Cauchy sequence, we conclude that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $\bigoplus_{e \in E} H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ . Therefore,  $\bigoplus_{e \in E} H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  is complete.

On the other hand, for every  $e \in E$ , since  $H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  is separable Hilbert space,  $H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  has a countable and dense subset  $B_e = \{b_{n,e}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+}$ . Let  $E = \{e_m : m \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ ,

$$B_k := \{b = (b_{e_m}) : b_{e_m} \in B_{e_m} \cup \{0\} \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}^+ \text{ and } b_{e_m} = 0 \text{ for all } m \geq k\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}^+,$$

and  $B := \bigcup_{k=1}^{+\infty} B_k$ . Since, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ,  $B_k$  is countable, then  $B$  is countable. Hence, to prove

$\bigoplus_{e \in E} H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  is separable, it suffices to show that  $B$  is dense in  $\bigoplus_{e \in E} H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ . Fix  $u = (u_{e_1}, u_{e_2}, \dots) \in$

$\bigoplus_{e \in E} H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists  $l \in \mathbb{N}^+$  such that  $\sum_{m=l+1}^{+\infty} \|u_{e_m}\|_{H_{A_{e_m}}^1(I_{e_m}, \mathbb{C})}^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , and,

for any  $m = 1, \dots, l$ , there exists  $\tilde{b}_{e_m} \in B_{e_m}$  such that  $\|\tilde{b}_{e_m} - u_{e_m}\|_{H_{A_{e_m}}^1(I_{e_m}, \mathbb{C})}^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{m+1}}$ . Let  $\tilde{b} := (\tilde{b}_{e_1}, \dots, \tilde{b}_{e_l}, 0, 0, \dots) \in B$ . Then

$$\|\tilde{b} - u\|_{\bigoplus_{e \in E} H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})}^2 = \sum_{m=1}^l \|\tilde{b}_{e_m} - u_{e_m}\|_{H_{A_{e_m}}^1(I_{e_m}, \mathbb{C})}^2 + \sum_{m=l+1}^{+\infty} \|u_{e_m}\|_{H_{A_{e_m}}^1(I_{e_m}, \mathbb{C})}^2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

Finally, to conclude applying [6, Theorem 1.22], we show that  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is a closed subspace of  $\bigoplus_{e \in E} H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ .

First observe that for any edge  $e \in E$ , for any  $u_e \in H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ , and any bounded interval  $I \subset I_e$ , we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_e\|_{W^{1,1}(I, \mathbb{C})} &= \|u'_e\|_{L^1(I, \mathbb{C})} + \|u_e\|_{L^1(I, \mathbb{C})} \\ &\leq \|D_{A_e} u_e\|_{L^1(I, \mathbb{C})} + \|A_e(x)u_e\|_{L^1(I, \mathbb{C})} + \|u_e\|_{L^1(I, \mathbb{C})} \\ &\leq C\|D_{A_e} u_e\|_{L^2(I, \mathbb{C})} + \|A_e\|_{L^2(I, \mathbb{C})}\|u_e\|_{L^2(I, \mathbb{C})} + C\|u_e\|_{L^2(I, \mathbb{C})}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is depending on  $I$ . Thus,  $H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  is continuously embedded in  $W^{1,1}(I, \mathbb{C})$  and so, by [13, Theorems 8.2 and 8.8], we conclude that  $H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  is continuously embedded in  $C(\bar{I}, \mathbb{C})$ . Thus, if  $\{u_n\} \subset \bigoplus_{e \in E} H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $\bigoplus_{e \in E} H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$u_e(v) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_{n,e}(v)$$

for any  $v \in V$  and  $e \succ v$ . Now, let  $\{u_n\} \subset H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $\bigoplus_{e \in E} H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ . Then, for any  $v \in V$  and  $e, f \succ v$ ,

$$u_e(v) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_{n,e}(v) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_{n,f}(v) = u_f(v)$$

and so  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  concluding the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** *In the proof of Lemma 2.1, we have shown that for any edge  $e \in E$  and any bounded interval  $I \subset I_e$ , we have that  $H_{A_e}^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  is continuously embedded in  $W^{1,1}(I, \mathbb{C})$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is locally finite and  $W^{1,1}(I, \mathbb{C})$  is compactly embedded in  $L^p(I, \mathbb{C})$  for all  $1 \leq p < +\infty$  (see [13, Theorem 8.8]), we conclude that for any bounded set  $B \subset \mathcal{G}$ ,  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is compactly embedded in  $L^p(B, \mathbb{C})$  for all  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ . Moreover, up to a subsequence,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  almost everywhere on  $\mathcal{G}$ .*

Now, define the quadratic form  $Q$  in  $L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \times L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  such that

$$Q(u, v) = (u, v), \quad \forall u, v \in \text{dom}(Q) := H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Denoting

$$C_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) := \{u = (u_e)_{e \in E} : u_e \in C_c^\infty(I_e, \mathbb{C}) \text{ for every } e \in E \text{ and } \text{supp } u \text{ is bounded}\}$$

and following [46], we have

**Theorem 2.3.** *Assume that  $A : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $V : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  satisfy  $A \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$ . Then there exists a unique self-adjoint operator  $T$  with domain  $\text{dom}(T) \subset H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  such that*

$$Q(u, v) = (Tu, v)_2, \quad \text{for every } u \in \text{dom}(T), v \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}).$$

*Proof.* By [46, Theorem 29.2] it is enough to show that, starting from the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ , the quadratic form  $Q$  is a densely defined, closed, semibounded, and symmetric quadratic form (see [46, Definition 29.1]).

It is clear that  $C_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \subset H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \subset L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . Let  $u \in L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite subset  $E_\varepsilon$  of  $E$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(E_\varepsilon^c, \mathbb{C})}^2 := \sum_{e \in E_\varepsilon^c} \|u_e\|_{L^2(I_e, \mathbb{C})}^2 < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}.$$

Then,

$$u_\varepsilon := (u_{\varepsilon,e}), \quad \text{where } u_{\varepsilon,e} := \begin{cases} u_e & \text{if } e \in E_\varepsilon, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

satisfies  $\|u - u_\varepsilon\|_2 < \varepsilon/2$ . Moreover, since by [13, Corollary 4.23],  $C_c^\infty(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  is dense in  $L^2(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ , for every edge  $e \in E$ , we know that there is  $\tilde{u}_e \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $\|\tilde{u}_e - u_e\|_2 < \varepsilon/2$ . Hence,  $\|\tilde{u}_e - u_e\|_2 < \varepsilon$  and so we obtain that  $C_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ , namely that the quadratic form  $Q$  is densely defined.

By the definition of  $Q$ , it is clear that the quadratic form  $Q$  is symmetric.

Being  $Q(u, u) \geq 0$  for all  $u \in \text{dom}(Q)$ , we know that the quadratic form  $Q$  is semibounded from below. Finally, since  $V \geq 1$ , we have that for every  $u \in \text{dom}(Q)$ ,  $Q(u, u) \geq \|u\|_2^2$ , then

$$\|u\|_Q := \sqrt{Q(u, u) + \|u\|_2^2}, \quad \forall u \in \text{dom}(Q)$$

is an equivalent norm on  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . Thus, from Lemma 2.1 it follows that the quadratic form  $Q$  is closed.  $\square$

Let now

$$H_{A,c}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) := \{u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) : \text{supp } u \text{ is bounded}\}.$$

Moreover, for  $A$  and  $V$  continuous on every edge  $e \in E$ , let us consider as domain of the magnetic Schrödinger operator  $D_A^2 + V(x)$  in  $L^2(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  the set

$$\tilde{H}_c^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) := \left\{ u = (u_e)_{e \in E} \in C(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) : \begin{array}{l} \text{supp } u \text{ is bounded, } u'' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}), u'_e \in C(I_e, \mathbb{C}) \forall e \in E, \\ \sum_{e \ni v} \left( \frac{1}{i} u'_e(v) - A_e^\pm(v) u_e(v) \right) = 0, \quad \forall v \in V \end{array} \right\}.$$

Using the following density result, we can clarify the relationship between  $T$  and  $D_A^2 + V(x)$ . The proof of the next lemma follows arguments from [29, 35].

**Lemma 2.4.** *Assume that  $A : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $V : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  satisfy  $A \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$ . Then  $H_{A,c}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is a dense subset of  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . Moreover, for  $A$  and  $V$  continuous on every edge  $e \in E$ ,  $\tilde{H}_c^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is a dense subset of  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ .*

*Proof.* We first show that  $H_{A,c}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is a dense subset of  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . Fix some  $x_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ . Take  $\chi \in C_c^\infty([0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ , with  $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$  and  $\chi \equiv 1$  in  $[0, 1]$ , and consider  $\chi_n(r) = \chi(r/n)$  for  $r \in [0, +\infty)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . Define the cut-off functions  $\psi_n : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  via

$$\psi_n(x) := \chi_n(\text{dist}(x_0, x)).$$

Then, for every  $e \in E$ ,  $\psi_{n,e} := \psi_n|_{I_e} \in W^{1,1}(I_e, \mathbb{R})$ . For any  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ , we have that  $\text{supp}(\psi_n u)$  is bounded. Moreover, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, for every  $e \in E$ ,  $u_e \in W^{1,1}(I_e \cap \text{supp}(\psi_n), \mathbb{C})$  and so, by [13, Corollary 8.10], we have that

$$D_A(\psi_n u) = \psi_n D_A u - i \psi'_n u$$

so that

$$\|D_A(\psi_n u)\|_2 \leq \|D_A u\|_2 + C(n) \|u\|_2 < +\infty$$

and

$$\|D_A(u - \psi_n u)\|_2 \leq \|(1 - \psi_n)D_A u\|_2 + \frac{1}{n} \sup_{r \in [0, +\infty)} |\chi'(r)| \|u\|_2.$$

Thus,  $\psi_n u \in H_{A,c}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  and by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem it follows that  $\psi_n u \rightarrow u$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ .

Next, we show that  $\tilde{H}_c^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is a dense subset of  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  for  $A, V$  continuous on every edge  $e \in E$ . Fix some  $x_0 \in V$ . For  $R > 0$ , set  $B_R := \{x \in \mathcal{G} : \text{dist}(x, x_0) < R\}$ . Since for every  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2}|z_1|^2 \leq |z_1 - z_2|^2 + |z_2|^2 \leq 2|z_1|^2 + 3|z_2|^2,$$

then, for any  $R > 0$  and  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  or  $u \in H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R} |u'|^2 dx \leq \int_{B_R} \left( \left| \left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dx} - A(x) \right) u \right|^2 + |A(x)|^2 |u|^2 \right) dx \leq \int_{B_R} (2|u'|^2 + 3|A(x)|^2 |u|^2) dx. \quad (2.1)$$

Hence, since  $\mathcal{G}$  is locally finite and  $A, V$  are continuous on every edge  $e \in E$ , we deduce that, for any  $u : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\text{supp } u \subset B_R$ ,  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  if and only if  $u \in H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . Thus,  $\tilde{H}_c^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \subset H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ .

Fix  $u \in H_{A,c}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  and fix some  $R_0 > 0$  such that  $\text{supp } u \subset B_{R_0}$ . Then, for any  $R_1 > R_0$ , by (2.1), we know that, if there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\text{supp } u_n \subset B_{R_1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , then  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ .

Let  $R_1 > R_0$  be such that, for any bounded edge  $e$  that intersects  $B_{R_0}$ , we have  $e \subset B_{R_1}$  (the existence of a such  $R_1$  is ensured by the local finiteness of  $\mathcal{G}$ ) and let us construct a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset \tilde{H}_c^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\text{supp } u_n \subset B_{R_1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

For any bounded edge  $e \in E$  such that  $e \cap B_{R_0} \neq \emptyset$ , by [26, Section 5.3.3], there exists a sequence  $\{\varphi_{n,e}\}_{n \geq 1} \subset C^\infty(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $\varphi_{n,e} \rightarrow u_e$  in  $H^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ , as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

For any unbounded edge  $e \in E$  such that  $e \cap B_{R_0} \neq \emptyset$ , tacitly identifying the edge  $e$  with  $[0, +\infty)$ , there is  $R_e^* > 0$  such that  $\text{supp } u_e \subset [0, R_e^*)$ . Let  $z$  be the endpoint of the edge  $e$ . Since  $x_0 \in V$ , for any  $x \in [0, +\infty)$ , we know  $\text{dist}(x, x_0) = \text{dist}(z, x_0) + x$ . Hence, if  $x \in B_{R_0}$ , then  $[0, x) \subset B_{R_0}$ . Thus, for  $x_e^* := \max\{x : x \in \text{supp } u_e\}$ , we have  $[0, x_e^*] \subset B_{R_0}$ . Then we can choose  $R_e^* > 0$  such that  $\text{supp } u_e \subset [0, x_e^*] \subset [0, R_e^*) \subset B_{R_0}$ . As in the proof of [13, Theorem 8.6], we can conclude that, for any unbounded edge  $e \in E$ , the extension by reflection

$$u_e^* : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ defined by } u_e^*(x) := \begin{cases} u_e(x) & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ u_e(-x) & \text{if } x < 0, \end{cases}$$

satisfies

$$u_e^* \in H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \text{ and } (u_e^*)' = u_e' \text{ in } (0, +\infty).$$

Then, by [13, Remark 14 in Section 8], we conclude that  $u_e^*|_{(-R_e^*, R_e^*)} \in H_0^1((-R_e^*, R_e^*), \mathbb{C})$  and there exists a sequence  $\{\varphi_{n,e}^*\}_{n \geq 1} \subset C_c^\infty((-R_e^*, R_e^*), \mathbb{C})$  such that  $\varphi_{n,e}^* \rightarrow u_e^*$  in  $H^1((-R_e^*, R_e^*), \mathbb{C})$ . If

$$\varphi_{n,e} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \varphi_{n,e}(x) := \begin{cases} \varphi_{n,e}^*(x) & \text{if } x \in [0, R_e^*), \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in [R_e^*, +\infty), \end{cases}$$

then  $\{\varphi_{n,e}\}_{n \geq 1} \subset C^\infty(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  satisfies  $\text{supp } \varphi_{n,e} \subset B_{R_0}$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $\varphi_{n,e} \rightarrow u_e$  in  $H^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$ , as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Let now

$$\varphi_n : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \varphi_{n,e} := \begin{cases} \varphi_{n,e} & \text{if } e \cap B_{R_0} \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{if } e \cap B_{R_0} = \emptyset \end{cases} \text{ for every } e \in E.$$

Then,  $\text{supp } \varphi_n \subset B_{R_1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $\varphi_{n,e} \rightarrow u_e$  in  $H^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  for every  $e \in E$ .

However,  $\varphi_n$ 's may not belong to  $H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  since they may not be continuous on some vertices  $v \in V$ . Nevertheless, we use  $\{\varphi_n\}$  to construct  $\{u_n\} \subset \tilde{H}_c^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  as follows. By [13, Theorems 8.2 and 8.8], we know that  $H^1(I_e, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow C(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  for every  $e \in E$ . Then, since  $\mathcal{G}$  is locally finite (so that  $\{e \in E : e \cap B_{R_0}\}$  is a finite set), we can find a subsequence  $\{\varphi_{\nu_n}\}$  such that for all  $e \in \{e \in E : e \cap B_{R_0} \neq \emptyset\}$ ,

$$\|u_e - \varphi_{\nu_n,e}\|_{C(I_e, \mathbb{C})} = \|u_e - \varphi_{\nu_n,e}\|_{L^\infty(I_e, \mathbb{C})} < \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, \quad (2.2)$$

For any  $v \in V$  and  $e \succ v$ , using the notation introduced for  $A_e^\pm(v)$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n,0,v,e} &:= u_e(v) - \varphi_{\nu_n,e}(v), \\ c_{n,1,v,e} &:= iA_e^\pm(v)u_e(v) - \varphi'_{\nu_n,e}(v) + 2nc_{n,0,v,e}, \\ \tilde{c}_{n,1,v,e} &:= \max \{n, |c_{n,1,v,e}|^3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for all  $v \in V$ , let  $x_v := \text{dist}(x, v)$  and consider

$$\psi_{n,0,v} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \psi_{n,0,v,e}(x) := \begin{cases} c_{n,0,v,e} (1 - nx_v)^2 & \text{if } e \succ v, x_v \leq 1/n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\psi_{n,1,v} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \psi_{n,1,v,e}(x) := \begin{cases} c_{n,1,v,e} x_v (1 - \tilde{c}_{n,1,v,e} x_v)^2 & \text{if } e \succ v, x_v \leq 1/\tilde{c}_{n,1,v,e}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that, for  $n$  large enough,  $\text{supp } \psi_{n,0,v}, \text{supp } \psi_{n,1,v} \subset B_{R_1}$ . Indeed, for any  $v \notin B_{R_1}$ , since  $\text{supp } \varphi_{\nu_n}, \text{supp } u \subset B_{R_1}$ , we have that, for all  $e \in E$  with  $e \succ v$ ,  $c_{n,0,v,e} = c_{n,1,v,e} = 0$  and so  $\psi_{n,0,v} = \psi_{n,1,v} = 0$ . On the other hand, for any  $v \in B_{R_1}$  and any  $e \succ v$ , since

$$x_v \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{c}_{n,1,v,e}} = \frac{1}{\max\{n, |c_{n,1,v,e}|^3\}} \leq \frac{1}{n},$$

it is enough to take  $n$  large enough such that  $\{x \in e : x_v \leq 1/n\} \subset B_{R_1}$ .

Moreover, by (2.2), we have

$$\|\psi_{n,0,v,e}\|_2^2 = \frac{|c_{n,0,v,e}|^2}{5n} < \frac{C}{2^{2n}n}, \quad \|\psi'_{n,0,v,e}\|_2^2 = \frac{4n|c_{n,0,v,e}|^2}{3} < C \frac{n}{2^{2n}},$$

and

$$\|\psi_{n,1,v,e}\|_2^2 \leq \frac{|c_{n,1,v,e}|^2}{5\tilde{c}_{n,1,v,e}^3} \leq \frac{C}{n^{\frac{7}{3}}}, \quad \|\psi'_{n,1,v,e}\|_2^2 \leq \frac{46|c_{n,1,v,e}|^2}{15\tilde{c}_{n,1,v,e}} \leq \frac{C}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}}.$$

Then, let

$$u_n := \varphi_{\nu_n} + \sum_{v \in V, v \in B_{R_1}} (\psi_{n,0,v} + \psi_{n,1,v}).$$

For  $n \geq 1$  large enough, namely such that

$$\frac{1}{n} < \min_{v \in B_{R_1}, e \succ v} \ell_e$$

and  $\{x \in e : x_v \leq 1/n\} \subset B_{R_1}$  for any  $v \in B_{R_1}$  and  $e \succ v$ , we have  $u_n \in \tilde{H}_c^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . Indeed:

- $\text{supp } u_n \subset B_{R_1}$  since, as observed above, the functions involved in the definition of  $u_n$  have support contained in  $B_{R_1}$ ;
- $u_n \in C(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  since, for any  $v \in V$  and  $e, f \succ v$ , if  $v \notin B_{R_1}$  then  $u_{n,e}(v) = u_{n,f}(v) = 0$ , if  $v \in B_{R_1}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n,e}(v) &= \varphi_{\nu_n,e}(v) + \sum_{w \in V, w \in B_{R_1}} (\psi_{n,0,w,e}(v) + \psi_{n,1,w,e}(v)) \\ &= \varphi_{\nu_n,e}(v) + \psi_{n,0,v,e}(v) + \psi_{n,1,v,e}(v) = \varphi_{\nu_n,e}(v) + c_{n,0,v,e} = u_e(v) \end{aligned}$$

and analogously  $u_{n,f}(v) = u_f(v)$ , so that, being  $u_e(v) = u_f(v)$ , we get  $u_{n,e}(v) = u_{n,f}(v)$ ;

- obviously  $u'' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  and  $u'_e \in C(I_e, \mathbb{C})$  for every  $e \in E$ ;
- if  $v \in V \cap B_{R_1}^c$ ,

$$\sum_{e \succ v} \left( \frac{1}{i} u'_{n,e}(v) - A_e^\pm(v) u_{n,e}(v) \right) = 0$$

and if  $v \in V \cap B_{R_1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{e \succ v} \left( \frac{1}{i} u'_{n,e}(v) - A_e^\pm(v) u_{n,e}(v) \right) &= \frac{1}{i} \sum_{e \succ v} \left( \varphi'_{\nu_n,e}(v) + \psi'_{n,0,v,e}(v) + \psi'_{n,1,v,e}(v) \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{e \succ v} A_e^\pm(v) \left( \varphi_{\nu_n,e}(v) + \psi_{n,0,v,e}(v) + \psi_{n,1,v,e}(v) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{i} \sum_{e \succ v} \left( \varphi'_{\nu_n,e}(v) + c_{n,1,v,e} - 2nc_{n,0,v,e} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{e \succ v} A_e^\pm(v) \left( \varphi_{\nu_n,e}(v) + c_{n,0,v,e} \right) \\ &= \sum_{e \succ v} \left( A_e^\pm(v) u_e(v) - A_e^\pm(v) u_e(v) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$\|u - u_n\|_{H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})} \leq \sum_{e \in E, e \cap B_{R_0} \neq \emptyset} (\|u_e - \varphi_{\nu_n, e}\|_{H^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})} + \|\psi_{n, 0, v, e} + \psi_{n, 1, v, e}\|_{H^1(I_e, \mathbb{C})}) \rightarrow 0$$

and so, by previous arguments,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ .

Finally, let  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $H_{A,c}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is a dense subset of  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ , there exists  $u_1 \in H_{A,c}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $\|u - u_1\| < \varepsilon/2$ . Moreover, by the previous arguments, there exists  $u_2 \in \tilde{H}_c^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $\|u_1 - u_2\| < \varepsilon/2$ . Hence,  $\|u - u_2\| < \varepsilon$ , concluding the proof.  $\square$

Now, let  $T$  be the unique self-adjoint operator associated with  $Q$  introduced in Theorem 2.3 and let  $\text{dom}(D_A^2 + V(x)) := \tilde{H}_c^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . Define the associated quadratic form of  $D_A^2 + V(x)$  by

$$Q_0(u, w) := ((D_A^2 + V(x))u, w)_2, \quad u, w \in \text{dom}(Q_0) := \text{dom}(D_A^2 + V(x)).$$

Recalling that the Friedrichs extension is a self-adjoint extension of a non-negative densely defined symmetric operator, we have

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be any locally finite connected metric graph. Let  $A : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuously differentiable on every edge  $e \in E$  and  $V : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  be continuous on every edge  $e \in E$ . Then the operator  $T$  is the Friedrichs extension of  $D_A^2 + V(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $C_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \subset \tilde{H}_c^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \subset L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  and  $C_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ , it is clear that  $D_A^2 + V(x)$  is densely defined in  $L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . We first show that  $D_A^2 + V(x)$  is symmetric and nonnegative. Let  $u, w \in \tilde{H}_c^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  and, for some fixed  $x_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ , let  $R > 0$  be large enough such that  $B_R := \{x \in \mathcal{G} : \text{dist}(x, x_0) < R\}$  satisfies  $(\text{supp } u) \cup (\text{supp } w) \subset B_R$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is locally finite, integrating by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D_A^2 u, w)_2 - (D_A u, D_A w)_2 &= \sum_{e \in E} \int_{I_e} (-u_e'' + iA_e' u_e + 2iA_e u_e' + A_e^2 u_e) \overline{w_e} dx \\ &\quad - \sum_{e \in E} \int_{I_e} (u_e' \overline{w_e'} - iA_e u_e \overline{w_e'} + iA_e u_e' \overline{w_e} + A_e^2 u_e \overline{w_e}) dx \\ &= i \sum_{e \in E_b} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{i} u_e'(0) - A_e(0) u_e(0) \right) \overline{w_e(0)} - \left( \frac{1}{i} u_e'(\ell_e) - A_e(\ell_e) u_e(\ell_e) \right) \overline{w_e(\ell_e)} \right] \\ &\quad + i \sum_{e \in E \setminus E_b} \left( \frac{1}{i} u_e'(0) - A_e(0) u_e(0) \right) \overline{w_e(0)} \\ &= i \sum_{e \in E} \sum_{e \succ v} \left( \frac{1}{i} u_e'(v) - A_e^\pm(v) u(v) \right) \overline{w(v)} \\ &= i \sum_{v \in V} \left[ \overline{w(v)} \sum_{e \succ v} \left( \frac{1}{i} u_e'(v) - A_e^\pm(v) u(v) \right) \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $E_b := \{e \in E : e \text{ bounded}\}$ , and, analogously,

$$(u, D_A^2 w)_2 - (D_A u, D_A w)_2 = 0.$$

Thus,  $D_A^2 + V(x)$  is symmetric and nonnegative and, for every  $u, w \in \text{dom}(D_A^2 + V(x)) := \tilde{H}_c^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) =: \text{dom}(Q_0)$ ,

$$Q_0(u, w) = ((D_A^2 + V(x))u, w)_2 = (u, (D_A^2 + V(x))w)_2 = (D_A u, D_A w)_2 + (V(x)u, w)_2 = Q(u, w). \quad (2.3)$$

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4,  $\text{dom}(D_A^2 + V(x))$  is dense in the Hilbert space  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  with respect to the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Then, by [46, Theorem 29.4], we have that there exists a self-adjoint extension

(Friedrichs extension)  $\tilde{T}$  of  $D_A^2 + V(x)$ . Moreover, by (2.3), we have that for every  $u, v \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) = \text{dom}(Q)$ ,

$$Q(u, v) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} Q_0(u_n, v_n),$$

where  $u_n, v_n \in \text{dom}(Q_0)$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u, v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . Then, since by Theorem 2.3,  $T$  is the unique self-adjoint operator associated with  $Q$  (recall that, in the proof of [46, Theorem 29.4],  $\tilde{T}$  is defined as the self-adjoint operator associated with  $Q$ ), we conclude that  $T = \tilde{T}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** Due to Lemma 2.5, throughout this paper, unless otherwise specified, we denote with  $D_A^2 + V(x)$  the Friedrichs extension  $T$  in Theorem 2.3.

We conclude this section recalling that, due to the assumptions on  $A$  and  $V$  and to the previous results, we can consider for  $T$  the following classical result (see e.g. [10, Theorem 5.15]) to *justify* our assumptions (G) and (G<sub>m</sub>).

**Theorem 2.7.** For  $j \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , let

$$\lambda_j(T) := \inf_{M \in \mathcal{M}_j} \sup_{u \in M \setminus \{0\}} \frac{(Tu, u)_2}{(u, u)_2},$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_j$  denotes the family of  $j$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\text{dom}(T)$ . Then, for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , one of the following alternatives holds.

- (i)  $\lambda_j(T)$  is the  $j$ -th eigenvalue (arranged in increasing order and counted with multiplicity) and there are at least  $j$  eigenvalues below the essential spectrum.
- (ii)  $\lambda_j(T) = \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(T)$  and there are at most  $j - 1$  eigenvalues below the essential spectrum.

Throughout this paper, we shall ignore the multiplicities of the eigenvalues and assume that each eigenvalue is distinct, except in the statement of the min–max theorem above.

### 3. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we introduce some tools that will be useful in the remaining part of the paper and prove a non-existence result.

**3.1. Diamagnetic inequality.** We first deduce the diamagnetic inequality on locally finite connected metric graphs by following the argument of [35, Theorem 7.21].

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a locally finite connected metric graph,  $A : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $V : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  satisfying  $A \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$ , and  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . Then  $|u| \in H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$  and the diamagnetic inequality

$$|u'(x)| \leq |D_A u(x)| \tag{3.1}$$

holds pointwise for almost every  $x \in \mathcal{G}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  and any  $e \in E$ , as in [35, Theorem 6.17], we have

$$|u_e|'(x) = \begin{cases} \text{Re} \left( \frac{\overline{u_e}}{|u_e|} u'_e \right) (x) & \text{if } u_e(x) \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } u_e(x) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Since, if  $u_e(x) \neq 0$ ,

$$\text{Re} \left( \frac{\overline{u_e}}{|u_e|} i A_e u_e \right) (x) = \text{Re} (i A_e |u_e|) (x) = 0,$$

(3.2) reads as

$$|u_e|'(x) = \begin{cases} \text{Re} \left( \frac{\overline{u_e}}{|u_e|} D_{A_e} u_e \right) (x) & \text{if } u_e(x) \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } u_e(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then (3.1) follows from the fact that  $|\operatorname{Re} z| < |z|$ .

Finally, since  $D_A u \in L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ , we conclude that  $|u| \in L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, by the definition of  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ , we know that  $|u|$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $|u| \in L^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$ , and thus,  $|u| \in H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$

**3.2. Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities.** In the remainder of this paper, we always assume that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a compact graph or noncompact metric graph with finite numbers of edges. Thus, in this subsection, we first introduce the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities on compact graphs and noncompact metric graphs with finite numbers of edges, then, we extend our results from  $V$  which satisfies  $V : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  and  $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$ , to  $V + V_q$  for any  $V_q \in L^q(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$  with  $1 \leq q \leq +\infty$ .

The next lemma is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.1 and of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality [14, Theorem 1] for compact graphs (applying it to every edge  $e \in E$  and then summing up) and [4, Section 2] for noncompact metric graphs with finite numbers of edges.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $p \geq 2$ . Let  $A : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $V : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  satisfy  $A \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$ . For any compact metric graph  $\mathcal{G}$ , there exist  $C_{p,\mathcal{G}}, C_{\infty,\mathcal{G}} > 0$  such that, for every  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ ,*

$$\|u\|_p^p \leq C_{p,\mathcal{G}} \|u\|_2^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \|u\|_2^{\frac{p}{2}+1} \text{ and } \|u\|_{\infty} \leq C_{\infty,\mathcal{G}} \|u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For any noncompact metric graph  $\mathcal{G}$  with a finite number of edges, then there exist  $C_{p,\mathcal{G}}, C_{\infty,\mathcal{G}} > 0$  such that, for every  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ ,

$$\|u\|_p^p \leq C_{p,\mathcal{G}} \|D_A u\|_2^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \|u\|_2^{\frac{p}{2}+1} \text{ and } \|u\|_{\infty} \leq C_{\infty,\mathcal{G}} \|D_A u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then, for all  $V_q \in L^q(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$  with  $1 \leq q < +\infty$ , by Lemma 3.2, Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality, we have that, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that, for every  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ ,

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}} |V_q(x)| |u|^2 dx \leq \|V_q\|_q \|u\|_2^2 \leq C \|V_q\|_q \|u\|_2^{\frac{1}{q}} \|u\|_2^{\frac{2q-1}{q}} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|^2 + C_{\varepsilon} \|V_q\|_q^{\frac{2q}{2q-1}} \|u\|_2^2 \text{ for } q > 1,$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}} |V_q(x)| |u|^2 dx \leq \|V_q\|_1 \|u\|_{\infty}^2 \leq C \|V_q\|_q \|u\| \|u\|_2 \leq \varepsilon \|u\|^2 + C_{\varepsilon} \|V_q\|_q^2 \|u\|_2^2 \text{ for } q = 1.$$

Let  $\varepsilon = 1/2$ . Then, for all  $\nu \geq 1 + C_{\frac{1}{2}} \|V_q\|_q^{\frac{2q}{2q-1}}$ , we obtain that, for every  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \|u\|_2^2 \leq \int_{\mathcal{G}} |D_A u|^2 + (V(x) + V_q(x) + \nu) |u|^2 dx \leq \frac{3}{2} \|u\|^2 + (2\nu - 1) \|u\|_2^2.$$

Moreover, for  $V_{\infty} \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$ , we have that, for all  $\nu \geq \|V_{\infty}\|_{\infty}$  and  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ ,

$$\|u\|^2 \leq \int_{\mathcal{G}} |D_A u|^2 + (V(x) + V_{\infty}(x) + \nu) |u|^2 dx \leq \|u\|^2 + 2\nu \|u\|_2^2.$$

Then, for any  $V_q \in L^q(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$  with  $1 \leq q \leq +\infty$ , for suitable  $\nu$ 's, we can define an equivalent norm on  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  by

$$\left( \int_{\mathcal{G}} |D_A u|^2 + (V(x) + V_q(x) + \nu) |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence, Theorems 1.3–1.5, 1.6, 1.7 and Remarks 1.10–1.9 and 1.11 can be extended to the magnetic Schrödinger operator  $D_A^2 + (V(x) + V_q(x) + \nu)$ .

3.3. **A non-existence result.** Let us set

$$\mu_{c,p} := \begin{cases} C_{p,\mathcal{G}}^{\frac{2}{2-p}} \lambda_1^{\frac{6-p}{2p-4}} & \text{if } 2 < p \leq 6, \\ C_{p,\mathcal{G}}^{\frac{2}{2-p}} \left( \frac{2pc}{p-2} \right)^{\frac{6-p}{2p-4}} & \text{if } p > 6, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

for any  $c > 0$ , where  $\lambda_1$  and  $C_{p,\mathcal{G}}$  are defined respectively in (1.5) and in Lemma 3.2, and

$$\mu_{\lambda,p}^* := \left( \frac{4}{6-p} \right)^{\frac{6-p}{2p-4}} \left( \frac{4}{p-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} C_{p,\mathcal{G}}^{\frac{2}{2-p}} |\lambda|^{\frac{6-p}{2p-4}}$$

for  $\lambda < 0$  and  $2 < p < 6$ .

Here we present a key lemma establishing a nonexistence result, which rules out case (ii) in Theorem 1.3 and plays a crucial role in the proofs of Theorems 1.4, 1.5, 1.6 and 1.7.

**Lemma 3.3.** *For any  $c > 0$  and  $0 < \nu < \mu_{c,p}$ , there exists no  $u \in H_\nu(\mathcal{G})$  such that  $E'(u, \mathcal{G}) = 0$  and  $E(u, \mathcal{G}) \leq c\mu_{c,p}$ . Moreover, if  $2 < p < 6$ , then for any  $\lambda < 0$  and  $0 < \nu < \mu_{\lambda,p}^*$ , there exists no  $u \in H_\nu(\mathcal{G})$  such that  $\langle E'(u, \mathcal{G}), \cdot \rangle - \lambda(u, \cdot)_2 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let us prove the first part. Arguing by contradiction, assume that there exists  $u \in H_\nu(\mathcal{G})$  such that  $E'(u, \mathcal{G}) = 0$  and  $E(u, \mathcal{G}) \leq c\mu_{c,p}$  for some  $0 < \nu < \mu_{c,p}$ .

Let  $2 < p < 6$ . Since  $E'(u, \mathcal{G}) = 0$ , by Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\|u\|^2 = p\Psi(u, \mathcal{G}) \leq C_{p,\mathcal{G}}\nu^{\frac{p}{4}+\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|^{\frac{p}{2}-1}, \quad (3.4)$$

and thus, since  $\|u\|^2 \geq \lambda_1\|u\|_2^2$  for all  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ ,

$$\lambda_1^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{p}{4}}\nu^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{p}{4}} \leq \|u\|^{3-\frac{p}{2}} \leq C_{p,\mathcal{G}}\nu^{\frac{p}{4}+\frac{1}{2}},$$

which leads to a contradiction, since  $\nu < \mu_{c,p} = C_{p,\mathcal{G}}^{\frac{2}{2-p}}\lambda_1^{\frac{6-p}{2p-4}}$ .

For  $p = 6$ , (3.4) contradicts  $\nu < \mu_{c,6} = C_{6,\mathcal{G}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Now, let  $p > 6$ . Then, it follows from  $E'(u, \mathcal{G}) = 0$  and  $E(u, \mathcal{G}) \leq c\mu_{c,p}$  that

$$\Psi(u, \mathcal{G}) = \frac{2E(u, \mathcal{G}) - \langle E'(u, \mathcal{G}), u \rangle}{p-2} \leq \frac{2c\mu_{c,p}}{p-2}.$$

By  $E'(u, \mathcal{G}) = 0$  and Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\|u\|^2 = p\Psi(u, \mathcal{G}) = (p\Psi(u, \mathcal{G}))^{\frac{4}{p-2}} (p\Psi(u, \mathcal{G}))^{\frac{p-6}{p-2}} \leq C_{p,\mathcal{G}}^{\frac{4}{p-2}} \|u\|^2 \nu^{\frac{p+2}{p-2}} \left( \frac{2pc\mu_{c,p}}{p-2} \right)^{\frac{p-6}{p-2}},$$

and thus,

$$C_{p,\mathcal{G}}^{-\frac{4}{p-2}} \left( \frac{2pc}{p-2} \right)^{\frac{6-p}{p-2}} \leq \nu^{\frac{p+2}{p-2}} \mu_{c,p}^{\frac{p-6}{p-2}} < \mu_{c,p}^{\frac{2p-4}{p-2}},$$

which leads to a contradiction with the definition of  $\mu_{c,p}$ .

To prove the second part, let  $2 < p < 6$  and, arguing by contradiction, assume that there exists  $u \in H_\nu(\mathcal{G})$  and  $\lambda < 0$  such that  $\langle E'(u, \mathcal{G}), \cdot \rangle - \lambda(\cdot, u)_2 = 0$ . Then, by Lemma 3.2 and Young's inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|^2 - \lambda\|u\|_2^2 &= p\Psi(u, \mathcal{G}) \leq C_{p,\mathcal{G}}\nu^{\frac{p}{4}+\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} = \left( \frac{4}{p-2} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{4}} C_{p,\mathcal{G}}\nu^{\frac{p+2}{4}} \left( \frac{4}{p-2} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{4}} \|u\|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{6-p}{4} \left( \frac{4}{p-2} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{6-p}} C_{p,\mathcal{G}}^{\frac{4}{6-p}} \nu^{\frac{p+2}{6-p}} + \|u\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

namely  $\nu \geq \mu_{\lambda,p}^*$ , which leads to a contradiction.  $\square$

## 4. MODIFIED FUNCTIONALS

Let us fix  $p > 2$  and  $\mu > 0$ . As in [7, 16, 25], to find critical points of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$ , we first look for critical points of the following family of modified functionals

$$E_{r,\mu}(u, \mathcal{G}) := \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \Psi(u, \mathcal{G}) - H_{r,\mu}(u, \mathcal{G}), \quad u \in U_\mu,$$

where  $U_\mu := \{u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) : \|u\|_2^2 < \mu\}$ ,  $H_{r,\mu}(u)$  is a penalization term defined by

$$H_{r,\mu}(u, \mathcal{G}) := f_r\left(\frac{\|u\|_2^2}{\mu}\right), \quad \text{with } f_r(s) := \frac{s^r}{1-s} \quad (0 \leq s < 1),$$

and  $r > 1$  is a parameter that will be chosen large enough.

A straightforward computation gives

$$f'_r(s) = \frac{rs^{r-1}}{1-s} + \frac{s^r}{(1-s)^2} > \frac{r}{s}f_r(s) > 0, \quad \text{for } s \in (0, 1) \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$f''_r(s) = \frac{r(r-1)s^{r-2}}{1-s} + \frac{rs^{r-1}}{(1-s)^2} + \frac{rs^{r-1}}{(1-s)^2} + \frac{2s^r}{(1-s)^3} > 0, \quad \text{for } s \in (0, 1), \quad (4.2)$$

and so  $f'_r$  is increasing for  $s \in [0, 1]$ .

Fixing

$$h_r(s) = f'_r(s)s - f_r(s) \quad \text{for } s \in [0, 1],$$

the information above yields

$$h'_r(s) = f''_r(s)s > 0, \quad \text{for } s \in (0, 1), \quad (4.3)$$

showing that  $h_r$  is an increasing function for  $s \in [0, 1]$ .

It is standard to prove that  $E_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G}) \in C^1(U_\mu, \mathbb{R})$  and for any  $u \in U_\mu$  and  $v \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ , one has, for any compact metric graph  $\mathcal{G}$ ,

$$\langle E'_{r,\mu}(u, \mathcal{G}), v \rangle = (u, v) - \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathcal{G}} |u|^{p-2} u \bar{v} \, dx - \frac{2}{\mu} f'_r\left(\frac{\|u\|_2^2}{\mu}\right) (u, v)_2,$$

or, for any noncompact metric graph  $\mathcal{G}$  with a non-empty compact core  $\mathcal{K}$ ,

$$\langle E'_{r,\mu}(u, \mathcal{G}), v \rangle = (u, v) - \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathcal{K}} |u|^{p-2} u \bar{v} \, dx - \frac{2}{\mu} f'_r\left(\frac{\|u\|_2^2}{\mu}\right) (u, v)_2.$$

Next, we show that, for any  $r > 1$  sufficiently large, Palais-Smale (PS) sequences of  $E_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  converge to critical points of  $E_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  and the critical points of  $E_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  converges to a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Fix  $\mu > 0$  and assume that, for any  $r > 1$  sufficiently large, there exists a sequence  $\{u_{n,r}\}_{n \geq 1} \subset U_\mu$  such that  $\{u_{n,r}\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a (PS) sequence of  $E_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  at level  $c_r > 0$ . Moreover, assume that  $r \mapsto c_r$  is non-decreasing. We first show that the (PS) sequences are bounded.

**Lemma 4.1.** *For  $r > 1$  sufficiently large, the (PS) sequence  $\{u_{n,r}\}_{n \geq 1}$  at level  $c_r$  is bounded in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\{u_{n,r}\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a (PS) sequence at level  $c_r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} pc_r + o_n(1) + o_n(1)\|u_{n,r}\| &= pE_{r,\mu}(u_{n,r}, \mathcal{G}) - \langle E'_{r,\mu}(u_{n,r}, \mathcal{G}), u_{n,r} \rangle \\ &= \frac{p-2}{2}\|u_{n,r}\|^2 - pf_r\left(\frac{\|u_{n,r}\|_2^2}{\mu}\right) + 2f'_r\left(\frac{\|u_{n,r}\|_2^2}{\mu}\right) \frac{\|u_{n,r}\|_2^2}{\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by (4.1),  $f'_r(s)s > rf_r(s)$  and  $f_r(s) > 0$  for all  $0 < s < 1$ , it follows that, for  $r \geq p/2$ ,

$$pc_r + o_n(1) + o_n(1)\|u_{n,r}\| \geq \frac{p-2}{2}\|u_{n,r}\|^2,$$

from which we conclude.  $\square$

Now, let us define

$$\lambda_{n,r} := \frac{2}{\mu} f'_r \left( \frac{\|u_{n,r}\|_2^2}{\mu} \right), \quad \lambda_{\infty,r} := \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_{n,r},$$

and, since  $r \mapsto c_r$  is non-decreasing and  $c_r > 0$  for  $r > 1$ ,

$$c_\infty := \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} c_r > 0.$$

We have

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $c_\infty < +\infty$ , then, for  $r > 1$  sufficiently large,  $\lambda_{\infty,r} < +\infty$ , and*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_{\infty,r} \leq \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu}.$$

*Proof.* For every  $r > 1$ , since  $f'_r(0) = f_r(0) = 0$  and  $f'_r(s)s - f_r(s) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $s \rightarrow 1^-$ , by continuity, there exists  $\xi_r \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$c_\infty = f'_r(\xi_r)\xi_r - f_r(\xi_r).$$

We now claim that  $\xi_r \rightarrow 1^-$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . Argue by contradiction, suppose that there exists a subsequence  $\{r_n\}$  with  $r_n \nearrow +\infty$  (monotone sequence) such that  $\xi_{r_n} \rightarrow \xi$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  for some  $\xi \in [0, 1)$ . Then,

$$c_\infty = f'_{r_n}(\xi_{r_n})\xi_{r_n} - f_{r_n}(\xi_{r_n}) = \frac{(r_n - 1)\xi_{r_n}^{r_n}}{1 - \xi_{r_n}} + \frac{\xi_{r_n}^{r_n+1}}{(1 - \xi_{r_n})^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

which contradicts  $c_\infty > 0$ .

Then, by (4.1), we have

$$\frac{c_\infty}{r - 1} = \frac{f'_r(\xi_r)\xi_r - f_r(\xi_r)}{r - 1} > f_r(\xi_r) > 0,$$

so that  $f_r(\xi_r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , and we have also that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} f'_r(\xi_r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f'_r(\xi_r)\xi_r - f_r(\xi_r) + f_r(\xi_r)}{\xi_r} = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{c_\infty + f_r(\xi_r)}{\xi_r} = c_\infty. \quad (4.4)$$

Next, since  $\{u_{n,r}\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a (PS) sequence at level  $c_r$  and  $p > 2$ , by Lemma 4.1, one has

$$\begin{aligned} o_n(1) &= \langle E'_{r,\mu}(u_{n,r}, \mathcal{G}), u_{n,r} \rangle \\ &= 2E_{r,\mu}(u_{n,r}, \mathcal{G}) - (p-2)\Psi(u_{n,r}, \mathcal{G}) + 2f_r \left( \frac{\|u_{n,r}\|_2^2}{\mu} \right) - 2f'_r \left( \frac{\|u_{n,r}\|_2^2}{\mu} \right) \frac{\|u_{n,r}\|_2^2}{\mu} \\ &\leq 2 \left[ E_{r,\mu}(u_{n,r}, \mathcal{G}) + f_r \left( \frac{\|u_{n,r}\|_2^2}{\mu} \right) - f'_r \left( \frac{\|u_{n,r}\|_2^2}{\mu} \right) \frac{\|u_{n,r}\|_2^2}{\mu} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left[ f'_r \left( \frac{\|u_{n,r}\|_2^2}{\mu} \right) \frac{\|u_{n,r}\|_2^2}{\mu} - f_r \left( \frac{\|u_{n,r}\|_2^2}{\mu} \right) \right] \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} E_{r,\mu}(u_{n,r}, \mathcal{G}) = c_r \leq c_\infty = f'_r(\xi_r)\xi_r - f_r(\xi_r)$$

and so, since, by (4.3),  $s \mapsto f'_r(s)s - f_r(s)$  is strictly increasing on  $[0, 1)$ , we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\|u_{n,r}\|_2^2}{\mu} \leq \xi_r.$$

Thus, since by (4.2),  $s \mapsto f'_r(s)$  is strictly increasing on  $[0, 1)$ , using (4.4), we get

$$\lambda_{\infty,r} = \frac{2}{\mu} \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f'_r \left( \frac{\|u_{n,r}\|_2^2}{\mu} \right) \leq \frac{2}{\mu} f'_r(\xi_r) < +\infty$$

for  $r > 1$  large enough and

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_{\infty,r} \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2}{\mu} f'_r(\xi_r) = \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu}.$$

□

Now we want to prove the compactness of  $\{u_{n,r}\}_{n \geq 1}$ . First observe that, using for instance Theorem 2.7, since  $V \geq 1$ , then  $\inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x)) \geq 1$  (if  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x)) = \emptyset$ , then we set  $\inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x)) = +\infty$ ).

This allow us to assume

$$c_\infty < \frac{\mu}{2} \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x)) \quad (4.5)$$

and define

$$Y := \text{span} \left\{ u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) : (D_A^2 + V(x))u = \lambda u \text{ for some } \lambda \leq \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu} \right\}$$

whose dimension, by (4.5), is finite. Consequently,  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  possesses the orthogonal decomposition

$$H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) = Y \oplus Y^\perp$$

and so that for any  $u \in Y$  and  $v \in Y^\perp$ ,  $(u, v)_2 = 0$ .

Let  $P$  denote the orthogonal projector from  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  onto  $Y$ . Then, every  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  can be written as

$$u = Pu + (I - P)u,$$

where  $Pu \in Y$  and  $(I - P)u \in Y^\perp$ . Moreover, let  $\delta > 0$  be small enough such that  $2c_\infty/\mu + \delta < \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x))$ . Applying the same orthogonal decomposition as before for

$$Y_\delta := \text{span} \left\{ u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) : (D_A^2 + V(x))u = \lambda u \text{ for some } \lambda \leq \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu} + \delta \right\}$$

we have that the dimension of  $Y_\delta$  is finite. Then, if  $\delta$  is so small such that there are no  $u \in Y_\delta$  such that  $(D_A^2 + V(x))u = \lambda u$  for  $\lambda \in (2c_\infty/\mu, 2c_\infty/\mu + \delta]$ , we have  $Y_\delta = Y$ . Moreover, by Theorem 2.7, for any  $v \in Y^\perp = Y_\delta^\perp$ , we have

$$\|v\|^2 \geq \left( \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu} + \delta \right) \|v\|_2^2. \quad (4.6)$$

Indeed, assume by contradiction that there exists  $v \in Y^\perp \setminus \{0\}$  and

$$\|v\|^2 < \left( \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu} + \delta \right) \|v\|_2^2.$$

Then, for any  $u \in Y_\delta \setminus \{0\}$ , we have

$$\frac{\|u + v\|^2}{\|u + v\|_2^2} = \frac{\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2}{\|u\|_2^2 + \|v\|_2^2} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\|u\|^2}{\|u\|_2^2}, \frac{\|v\|^2}{\|v\|_2^2} \right\} \leq \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu} + \delta. \quad (4.7)$$

Analogously we can see that, for any  $u, w \in Y_\delta \setminus \{0\}$  with  $u, w$  eigenfunctions corresponding to different eigenvalues, we have

$$\frac{\|u + w\|^2}{\|u + w\|_2^2} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\|u\|^2}{\|u\|_2^2}, \frac{\|w\|^2}{\|w\|_2^2} \right\} \leq \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu} + \delta.$$

Then, since  $\dim Y_\delta < +\infty$ ,

$$\sup_{u \in Y_\delta \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^2}{\|u\|_2^2} \leq \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu} + \delta,$$

and, by (4.7),

$$\sup_{u \in (Y_\delta \oplus \{tv: t \in \mathbb{C}\}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^2}{\|u\|_2^2} \leq \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu} + \delta.$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.7,

$$\lambda_{j+1} := \inf_{M \in \mathcal{M}_j} \sup_{u \in M \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^2}{\|u\|_2^2} \leq 2c_\infty/\mu + \delta$$

and, by (4.5), it is the  $j+1$ -th eigenvalue, that is a contradiction.

In the next lemma, under the assumption (4.5), we prove the compactness of (PS) sequences. We give the proof when  $\mathcal{G}$  is a noncompact metric graph with a non-empty compact core  $\mathcal{K}$ . If  $\mathcal{G}$  is compact the proof is the same taking  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{G}$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *If (4.5) holds, then, for  $r > 1$  sufficiently large, there exists  $u_r \in U_\mu$  such that, up to a subsequence,  $u_{n,r} \rightarrow u_r$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Moreover,  $u_r$  satisfies*

$$E_{r,\mu}(u_r, \mathcal{G}) = c_r \text{ and } E'_{r,\mu}(u_r, \mathcal{G}) = 0$$

with

$$\frac{2}{\mu} f'_r \left( \frac{\|u_r\|_2^2}{\mu} \right) = \lambda_{\infty,r} \text{ and } \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_{\infty,r} \leq \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $r > 1$  be sufficiently large and take  $\delta > 0$  as in (4.6). From Lemma 4.2, up to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$0 \leq \lambda_{n,r} \rightarrow \lambda_{\infty,r} \leq \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu} < \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu} + \delta \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (4.8)$$

By Lemma 4.1,  $\{u_{n,r}\}_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ , and thus, up to a subsequence,  $u_{n,r} \rightharpoonup u_r$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . Since  $\{u_{n,r}\}_{n \geq 1} \subset U_\mu$ , by Fatou's Lemma and using Remark 2.2, we get

$$\|u_r\|_2^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_{n,r}\|_2^2 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_{n,r}\|_2^2 \leq \mu. \quad (4.9)$$

We claim that  $\|u_r\|_2^2 < \mu$ . Arguing by contradiction, suppose that  $\|u_r\|_2^2 = \mu$ . Then, by (4.9),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_{n,r}\|_2^2 = \mu. \quad (4.10)$$

Since  $\{u_{n,r}\}_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded (and so, by Lemma 3.2,  $\{E(u_{n,r}, \mathcal{G})\}_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded) and, by (4.10),  $f_r(\|u_{n,r}\|_2^2/\mu) \rightarrow -\infty$ , we obtain  $E_{r,\mu}(u_{n,r}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow -\infty$ , which is absurd.

Next, we are going to prove that  $u_{n,r} \rightarrow u_r$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . To this end, by Remark 2.2, since the embedding  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{C})$  is compact, and by Lemma 4.2, it follows that

$$\langle E'(u_r, \mathcal{G}), \cdot \rangle - \lambda_{\infty,r}(u_r, \cdot)_2 = 0$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathcal{K}} (|u_{n,r}|^{p-2} u_{n,r} - |u_r|^{p-2} u_r) \overline{(u_{n,r} - u_r)} dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Since  $\langle E'_{r,\mu}(u_{n,r}), u_{n,r} - u_r \rangle = o_n(1)$ , the above information gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n,r} - u_r\|^2 &= \langle E'(u_{n,r}, \mathcal{G}) - E'(u_r, \mathcal{G}), u_{n,r} - u_r \rangle \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathcal{K}} (|u_{n,r}|^{p-2} u_{n,r} - |u_r|^{p-2} u_r) \overline{(u_{n,r} - u_r)} dx \\ &= \langle E'_{r,\mu}(u_{n,r}, \mathcal{G}), u_{n,r} - u_r \rangle - \langle E'(u_r, \mathcal{G}), u_{n,r} - u_r \rangle + \lambda_{n,r}(u_{n,r}, u_{n,r} - u_r)_2 \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathcal{K}} (|u_{n,r}|^{p-2} u_{n,r} - |u_r|^{p-2} u_r) \overline{(u_{n,r} - u_r)} dx \\ &= o_n(1) - \lambda_{\infty,r}(u_r, u_{n,r} - u_r)_2 + \lambda_{n,r}(u_{n,r}, u_{n,r} - u_r)_2 \\ &= \lambda_{\infty,r} \|u_{n,r} - u_r\|_2^2 + (\lambda_{n,r} - \lambda_{\infty,r})(u_{n,r}, u_{n,r} - u_r)_2 + o_n(1) \\ &= \lambda_{\infty,r} \|u_{n,r} - u_r\|_2^2 + o_n(1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Since the dimension of  $Y$  is finite, we obtain  $P(u_{n,r} - u_r) \rightarrow 0$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . On the other hand, from (4.6) and (4.11) it follows that

$$\lambda_{\infty,r} \|(I - P)(u_{n,r} - u_r)\|_2^2 + o_n(1) = \|(I - P)(u_{n,r} - u_r)\|^2 \geq \left( \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu} + \delta \right) \|(I - P)(u_{n,r} - u_r)\|_2^2.$$

Hence, by (4.8),  $(I - P)(u_{n,r} - u_r) \rightarrow 0$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . Therefore,  $u_{n,r} \rightarrow u_r$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  and so we can conclude.  $\square$

Let  $\{r_n\}$  be a monotone sequence with  $r_n > 1$  and  $r_n \nearrow +\infty$ . Now, we show that, under the assumption (4.5), the critical points  $u_{r_n}$  of  $E_{r_n, \mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$ , obtained by Lemma 4.3, converges to a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *If (4.5) holds, then there exists  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  such that, up to a subsequence,  $u_{r_n} \rightarrow u$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ , as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Moreover,  $u$  satisfies  $E(u, \mathcal{G}) = c_\infty$ , and, either  $u$  is a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda \in [0, 2c_\infty/\mu]$ , or  $u$  is a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\nu(\mathcal{G})$  for some  $0 < \nu < \mu$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By repeating the arguments of Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3, we may assume that, up to a subsequence,  $u_{r_n} \rightarrow u$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  and there exists a  $\lambda \in [0, 2c_\infty/\mu]$  such that  $\lambda_{\infty, r_n} \rightarrow \lambda$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

We claim that

$$f_{r_n} \left( \frac{\|u_{r_n}\|_2^2}{\mu} \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (4.12)$$

Indeed, if  $\|u\|_2 = 0$ , then (4.12) follows by definition; if  $0 < \|u\|_2 \leq \mu$ , it is an immediate consequence of (4.1), since

$$\frac{2}{\mu} f'_{r_n} \left( \frac{\|u_{r_n}\|_2^2}{\mu} \right) = \lambda_{\infty, r_n} \text{ and } \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_{\infty, r_n} \leq \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu}.$$

Hence, since  $E_{r_n, \mu}(u_{r_n}, \mathcal{G}) = c_{r_n}$  and  $E'_{r_n, \mu}(u_{r_n}, \mathcal{G}) = 0$ ,

$$E(u, \mathcal{G}) = c_\infty, \quad \langle E'(u, \mathcal{G}), \cdot \rangle - \lambda(u, \cdot)_2 = 0, \quad \|u\|_2^2 \leq \mu, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu}.$$

Since  $c_\infty > 0$ , then  $u$  is nontrivial, so that either  $\|u\|_2^2 = \mu$  or  $\|u\|_2^2 < \mu$ . In the latter case,

$$0 \leq \lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_{\infty, r_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2}{\mu} f'_{r_n} \left( \frac{\|u_{r_n}\|_2^2}{\mu} \right) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2}{\mu} f'_{r_n} \left( \frac{\mu + \|u\|_2^2}{2\mu} \right) = 0,$$

which implies  $\lambda = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** *Since, for  $s \in [0, \lambda_1]$ ,*

$$\|u\|^2 - s\|u\|_2^2 = \left( 1 - \frac{s}{\lambda_1} \right) \|u\|^2 + \frac{s}{\lambda_1} \|u\|^2 - s\|u\|_2^2 \geq \left( 1 - \frac{s}{\lambda_1} \right) \|u\|^2,$$

for all  $s \in (-\infty, \lambda_1)$ , on  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  we can define the equivalent norm

$$\left( \int_{\mathcal{G}} |D_A u|^2 + (V(x) - s) |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus, Lemmas 4.1–4.4 apply to the operator  $D_A^2 + (V(x) - s)$  for all  $s \in (-\infty, \lambda_1)$ , and this fact plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

We conclude this section defining the functional  $J_{r, \mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G}) : H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$J_{r, \mu}(u, \mathcal{G}) := \begin{cases} \beta(E_{r, \mu}(u, \mathcal{G})) & \text{if } u \in U_\mu, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\beta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  satisfy  $\beta = -1$  on  $(-\infty, -1]$ ,  $\beta(t) = t$  for  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ ,  $\beta(t) \leq 0$ , for  $t \in (-1, 0)$ .

Observe that, by the definition of  $f_r$  we have that for every  $u \in \partial U_\mu$  there exists  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(u) > 0$  such that  $E_{r,\mu}(v, \mathcal{G}) \leq -1$  for every  $v \in U_\mu \cap B_\varepsilon(u)$ .

Then, arguing as in [7, Lemma 7.1],  $J_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G}) \in C^1(H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R})$  and, if  $u$  is a critical point of  $J_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  with  $J_{r,\mu}(u, \mathcal{G}) \geq 0$ , then  $u \in U_\mu$  is also a critical point of  $E_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  at the same energy level. The same conclusion holds for (PS) sequences. Therefore, instead of  $E_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$ , we can look for positive min-max levels of  $J_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$ , when it is more convenient.

## 5. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.3 AND 1.4

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. Let  $p > 2$ ,  $r > 1$ , and  $\mu > 0$ . The following lemma shows that the functional  $E_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  possesses the mountain pass geometry in  $U_\mu$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *The functional  $E_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  possesses the following properties:*

- (i) *There exist  $\alpha, \rho > 0$  such that  $E_{r,\mu}(u, \mathcal{G}) \geq \alpha$  for  $u \in U_\mu$  with  $\|u\| = \rho$ ;*
- (ii) *There exists  $e \in U_\mu$  with  $\|e\| > \rho$  such that  $E_{r,\mu}(e, \mathcal{G}) < 0$ .*

*Proof.* (i) For any  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  with  $\|u\| = \rho < \sqrt{\lambda_1 \mu}$ , we have

$$\|u\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \|u\|^2 = \frac{\rho^2}{\lambda_1} < \mu.$$

Thus, by the monotonicity of  $f_r$  (see (4.1)) and Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$E_{r,\mu}(u, \mathcal{G}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \Psi(u, \mathcal{G}) - f_r \left( \frac{\rho^2}{\mu \lambda_1} \right) \geq \rho^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2} - C \rho^{p-2} - \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \mu - \rho^2} \left( \frac{\rho^2}{\mu \lambda_1} \right)^{r-1} \right].$$

Since  $p > 2$  and  $r > 1$ , we can conclude.

- (ii) Choosing  $u_0 \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  with  $\|u_0\|_2^2 = \mu$ , it is easy to verify that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} E_{r,\mu}(tu_0, \mathcal{G}) = -\infty.$$

Therefore, since  $\rho^2 < \lambda_1 \mu$ , it is enough to take  $t_0 \in (\rho^2 / (\lambda_1 \mu), 1)$  sufficiently close to 1 to get  $E_{r,\mu}(t_0 u_0, \mathcal{G}) < 0$ .  $\square$

Thus we can define the minimax value

$$c_r := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{r,\mu}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} E_{r,\mu}(\gamma(t), \mathcal{G}) > 0,$$

where

$$\Gamma_{r,\mu} := \{\gamma \in C([0,1], U_\mu) : \gamma(0) = 0, E_{r,\mu}(\gamma(1), \mathcal{G}) < 0\}.$$

For any  $r > 1$ , the mountain pass geometry allows us to find a (PS) sequence  $\{u_{n,r}\}_{n \geq 1}$  at the level  $c_r$ .

Moreover, if  $r_1 \leq r_2$ , we have  $c_{r_1} \leq c_{r_2}$  because  $E_{r_1,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G}) \leq E_{r_2,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  on  $U_\mu$ . Then we can define  $c_\infty := \sup_{r > 1} c_r = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} c_r$ .

Note that, for any  $r > 1$  and any  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\|u\|_2^2 = \mu$ , we have

$$c_r \leq \sup_{t \in [0,1]} E_{r,\mu}(tu, \mathcal{G}) \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} E(tu, \mathcal{G}).$$

Then, for all  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\|u\|_2^2 = \mu$ , we have

$$c_\infty \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} E(tu, \mathcal{G}).$$

Now, we provide an important upper bound estimate for  $c_\infty$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *If the condition (G) holds, then*

$$c_\infty < \frac{\mu\lambda_1}{2} \leq \frac{\mu}{2} \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x)).$$

*Instead, if the condition (G<sub>m</sub>) holds, then*

$$c_\infty \leq \frac{\mu\lambda_1}{2} < \frac{\mu}{2} \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x)).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi_1$  be the eigenvector corresponding to the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  and satisfy  $\|\varphi_1\|_2^2 = \mu$ . Then, for  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$E(t\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) = \frac{t^2\mu\lambda_1}{2} - t^p\Psi(\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}).$$

If the condition (G) holds, then  $\Psi(\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) > 0$ . Define  $g(t) = E(t\varphi_1, \mathcal{G})$ . We have  $g'(t) = t\mu\lambda_1 - pt^{p-1}\Psi(\varphi_1, \mathcal{G})$ .

Set

$$t_0 := \left( \frac{\mu\lambda_1}{p\Psi(\varphi_1, \mathcal{G})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}.$$

If  $t_0 \geq 1$ , then  $g(t)$  is increasing for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , and thus

$$c_\infty \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} E(t\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) \leq E(\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) = \frac{\mu\lambda_1}{2} - \Psi(\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) < \frac{\mu\lambda_1}{2}.$$

If  $0 < t_0 < 1$ , then  $t_0$  is the unique maximum point of  $g(t)$  in  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , and thus

$$c_\infty \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} E(t\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) = E(t_0\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) = \frac{t_0^2\mu\lambda_1}{2} - t_0^p\Psi(\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) < \frac{\mu\lambda_1}{2}.$$

Instead, if the condition (G<sub>m</sub>) holds, then,

$$c_\infty \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} E(t\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) = \sup_{0 \leq t < 1} \left( \frac{t^2\mu\lambda_1}{2} - t^p\Psi(\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) \right) \leq \frac{\mu\lambda_1}{2}.$$

□

**Remark 5.3.** *By repeating the arguments of Lemma 5.1 for  $D_A^2 + (V(x) - s)$  with  $s \in (-\infty, \lambda_1)$ , for every  $r > 1$  we can define the minimax value*

$$c_{r,s} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{r,\mu,s}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} E_{r,\mu,s}(\gamma(t), \mathcal{G}) > 0,$$

where, for every  $u \in U_\mu$

$$E_{r,\mu,s}(u, \mathcal{G}) := E_{r,\mu}(u, \mathcal{G}) - \frac{s}{2}\|u\|_2^2$$

and

$$\Gamma_{r,\mu,s} := \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], U_\mu) : \gamma(0) = 0, E_{r,\mu,s}(\gamma(1), \mathcal{G}) < 0\}.$$

For every  $r > 1$ , the mountain pass geometry allows us to find a (PS) sequence  $\{u_{n,r,s}\}_{n \geq 1}$  of  $E_{r,\mu,s}$  at the level  $c_{r,s} > 0$ .

Then, for all  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\|u\|_2^2 = \mu$ , we have that  $r > 1 \mapsto c_{r,s}$  is non decreasing and

$$c_{\infty,s} := \sup_{r > 1} c_{r,s} \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left( E(tu, \mathcal{G}) - \frac{s}{2}\|tu\|_2^2 \right).$$

Then, by repeating the arguments of Lemma 5.2, we obtain that, if the condition (G) holds, then

$$c_{\infty,s} < \frac{\mu\lambda_1(D_A^2 + V(x) - s)}{2} = \frac{\mu(\lambda_1 - s)}{2} \leq \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x)) - s = \frac{\mu}{2} \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x) - s),$$

and, if the condition  $(G_m)$  holds, then

$$c_{\infty,s} \leq \frac{\mu\lambda_1(D_A^2 + V(x) - s)}{2} = \frac{\mu(\lambda_1 - s)}{2} < \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x)) - s = \frac{\mu}{2} \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x) - s),$$

where

$$\lambda_1(D_A^2 + V(x) - s) := \inf_{u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^2 - s\|u\|_2^2}{\|u\|_2^2}.$$

Thus, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Assume that either condition  $(G)$  or  $(G_m)$  holds. Then, by Remarks 5.3 and 4.5 one of the following two alternatives occurs:

- (i) either there exists  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $u$  is a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G}) - s\|\cdot\|_2^2/2$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  with a Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda_s \in [0, \lambda_1 - s]$  (or  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_1 - s]$  if  $(G)$  holds),
- (ii) or there exists a  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $u$  is a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G}) - s\|\cdot\|_2^2/2$  constrained on  $H_\nu(\mathcal{G})$  for some  $0 < \nu < \mu$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda_s = 0$ .

Note that  $s + \lambda_s \in [s, \lambda_1]$  (or  $s + \lambda_s \in [s, \lambda_1]$  if  $(G)$  holds).

Thus, if there exists no critical point  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda \in (-\infty, \lambda_1]$  ( $\lambda \in (-\infty, \lambda_1)$  if  $(G)$  holds), then, for all  $s \in (-\infty, \lambda_1)$ , case (ii) occurs, i.e., for all  $s \in (-\infty, \lambda_1)$ ,  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  has a critical point  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  constrained on  $H_\nu(\mathcal{G})$  for some  $0 < \nu < \mu$  and the corresponding Lagrange multiplier is  $s$ .

On the other hand, if there exists  $s_0 \in (-\infty, \lambda_1)$  such that  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  has no critical point  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  constrained on  $H_\nu(\mathcal{G})$  for some  $0 < \nu < \mu$  with Lagrange multiplier  $s_0$ . Then, for  $s = s_0$ , case (i) occurs, i.e., there exists a  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $u$  is a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda_{s_0} + s_0 \in [0, \lambda_1 - s]$  ( $\lambda_{s_0} + s_0 \in [0, \lambda_1 - s]$  if  $(G)$  holds). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.  $\square$

Moreover, using Lemma 3.3, we can provide the proof of Theorem 1.4.

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Assume that  $(G)$  or  $(G_m)$  are satisfied. By Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 5.2, we know that there exists  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  satisfying  $E(u, \mathcal{G}) = c_\infty \leq \mu\lambda_1/2$ , and one of the following two alternatives occurs:

- (i) either  $u$  is a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_1]$  ( $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_1)$  if  $(G)$  holds)
- (ii) or  $u$  is a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\nu(\mathcal{G})$  for some  $0 < \nu < \mu$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda = 0$ .

Applying Lemma 3.3 for  $c = \lambda_1/2$ , we conclude that, for any  $0 < \mu \leq \mu_0 := \mu_{\lambda_1/2, p}$ , case (ii) can not occur.

Moreover, if  $2 < p < 6$ , by Lemma 3.3, since  $\mu_{\lambda, p}^* \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$ , we have that for any  $\mu > 0$  and for any  $\lambda < 0$  and  $\nu > 0$  such that  $0 < \nu < \mu \leq \mu_{\lambda, p}^*$ , there exists no  $u \in H_\nu(\mathcal{G})$  satisfying  $\langle E'(u, \mathcal{G}), \cdot \rangle - \lambda(\cdot, u)_2 = 0$ . Thus, case (ii) of Theorem 1.3 can not occur and so we complete.  $\square$

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

In this section we assume that  $(G_m)$  holds. Our goal is to prove Theorem 1.5.

Assume that  $m \geq 2$  (the case  $m = 1$  is included in Theorem 1.4).

In the assumption  $(G_m)$  we assume that for every  $j = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $\lambda_j < \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x))$ . Here we consider  $j = 2, \dots, m$  ( $j \geq 2$  if  $m = +\infty$ )<sup>3</sup> since the case  $j = 1$  is included in Theorem 1.4.

<sup>3</sup>For short, from now on we will write only  $2 \leq j \leq m$ .

For any  $2 \leq j \leq m$ , let  $\varphi_j$  be a eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  and, if  $r > 1$ , define

$$Y_j := \text{span}\{u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) : (D_A^2 + V(x))u = \lambda u \text{ for some } \lambda \leq \lambda_j\}, \quad Z_j := \overline{\bigoplus_{l=j}^{+\infty} X_{j,l}},$$

$$B_{r,j} := \{u \in Y_j : \|u\| \leq \rho_{r,j}\}, \quad N_{r,j} := \{u \in Z_j : \|u\| = \xi_{r,j}\},$$

where  $X_{j,j} := \text{span}\{\varphi_j\}$ ,  $X_{j,l} := \text{span}\{\varphi_{j,l}\}$  for  $l \geq j+1$ ,  $\{\varphi_{j,l}\}_{l \geq j+1}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $Y_j^\perp$ , and  $\rho_{r,j} > \xi_{r,j} > 0$ .

Note that, by [7, Lemma 7.1], we know that, if  $\{u_{n,r,j}\}_{n \geq 1} \subset H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is a (PS) sequence of  $J_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  (defined in Section 4) at level  $c_{r,j} > 0$ , then  $\{u_{n,r,j}\}_{n \geq 1} \subset U_\mu$  and it is also a (PS) sequence of  $E_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  at the same energy level. Thus, using [50, Theorem 3.5] for  $J_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$ , we have the following result.

**Lemma 6.1.** *For  $r > 1$  and  $2 \leq j \leq m$ , let*

$$c_{r,j} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{r,j}} \max_{u \in B_{r,j}} J_{r,\mu}(\gamma(u), \mathcal{G}),$$

where  $\Gamma_{r,j} := \{\gamma \in C(B_{r,j}, H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})) : -\gamma(u) = \gamma(-u) \text{ for all } u \in B_{r,j} \text{ and } \gamma|_{\partial B_{r,j}} = \text{id}\}$ .

If

$$b_{r,j} := \inf_{\substack{u \in Z_j \\ \|u\| = \xi_{r,j}}} J_{r,\mu}(u, \mathcal{G}) > 0 > a_{r,j} := \max_{\substack{u \in Y_j \\ \|u\| = \rho_{r,j}}} J_{r,\mu}(u, \mathcal{G}),$$

then  $c_{r,j} \geq b_{r,j} > 0$ , and, for  $E_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$ , there exists a (PS) sequence  $\{u_{n,r,j}\}_{n \geq 1}$  satisfying

$$E_{r,\mu}(u_{n,r,j}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow c_{r,j}, \quad E_{r,\mu}(u_{n,r,j}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

To apply Lemma 4.4, we first establish some basic frameworks as in Section 5.

For  $r > 1$  and  $2 \leq j \leq m$ , set  $\rho_{r,j} := \sqrt{\mu\lambda_j}$ . We have

**Lemma 6.2.** *For  $r > 1$  and  $2 \leq j \leq m$ , we have  $c_{r,j} \leq \mu\lambda_j/2$  and  $a_{r,j} = -1$ .*

Moreover, for any  $2 \leq j \leq m$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $\xi_{\varepsilon,j} > 0$  and  $r_{\varepsilon,j} > 1$ , such that, for all  $r \geq r_{\varepsilon,j}$ ,

$$\tilde{b}_{r,j} := \inf_{\substack{u \in Z_j \\ \|u\| = \xi_{\varepsilon,j}}} E_{r,\mu}(u, \mathcal{G}) \geq \frac{\mu\lambda_j}{2} - \frac{C_{p,\mathcal{G}}\mu^{\frac{p}{2}}\lambda_j^{\frac{p-2}{4}}}{p} - \varepsilon. \quad (6.1)$$

*Proof.* For all  $u \in Y_j$ , we have  $\|u\|^2 \leq \lambda_j\|u\|_2^2$  and so  $Y_j \cap U_\mu \subset B_{r,j}$  and

$$\sup_{u \in Y_j \cap U_\mu} E_{r,\mu}(u, \mathcal{G}) \leq \frac{\mu\lambda_j}{2}.$$

Thus, taking  $\gamma = \text{id}$  in  $\Gamma_{r,j}$ ,

$$c_{r,j} \leq \sup_{u \in B_{r,j}} J_{r,\mu}(u, \mathcal{G}) \leq \max \left\{ 0, \sup_{u \in Y_j \cap U_\mu} E_{r,\mu}(u, \mathcal{G}) \right\} \leq \frac{\mu\lambda_j}{2}.$$

Moreover, for all  $u \in Y_j$ , using again that  $\|u\|^2 \leq \lambda_j\|u\|_2^2$  we have that, if  $\|u\| = \rho_{r,j}$ , then  $\|u\|_2^2 \geq \mu$  and so  $a_{r,j} = -1$ . Let now  $k > 1$ . For every  $u \in Z_j$  with  $\|u\|^2 = (k-1)\mu\lambda_j/k$ , we have

$$\|u\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\|u\|^2}{\lambda_j} = \frac{\mu(k-1)}{k},$$

and so, by Lemma 3.2 and since  $f_r$  is increasing,

$$E_{r,\mu}(u, \mathcal{G}) \geq \frac{k-1}{k} \frac{\mu\lambda_j}{2} - \left( \frac{k-1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{C_{p,\mathcal{G}}\mu^{\frac{p}{2}}\lambda_j^{\frac{p-2}{4}}}{p} - k \left( \frac{k-1}{k} \right)^r.$$

Thus, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , taking  $k$  large enough, we can find  $\xi_{\varepsilon,j} > 0$  and  $r_{\varepsilon,j} > 1$ , such that, for all  $r \geq r_{\varepsilon,j}$ ,

$$\tilde{b}_{r,j} \geq \frac{\mu\lambda_j}{2} - \frac{C_{p,\mathcal{G}}\mu^{\frac{p}{2}}\lambda_j^{\frac{p-2}{4}}}{p} - \varepsilon.$$

□

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.5.

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Let  $2 \leq j \leq m$ . If  $r_1 > 1$  is such that  $c_{r_1,j} > 0$ , then, for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{r_1,j}$ , we have  $\max_{u \in B_{r_1,j}} J_{r_1,\mu}(\gamma(u), \mathcal{G}) > 0$ , and thus

$$\max_{u \in B_{r_1,j}} J_{r_1,\mu}(\gamma(u), \mathcal{G}) = \max_{u \in B_{r_1,j}} E_{r_1,\mu}(\gamma(u), \mathcal{G}).$$

Since  $\rho_{r,j}$ ,  $B_{r,j}$  and  $\Gamma_{r,j}$  are independent of  $r > 1$  and, if  $1 < r_1 \leq r_2$ ,  $E_{r_1,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G}) \leq E_{r_2,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  on  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$0 < c_{r_1,j} = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{r_1,j}} \max_{u \in B_{r_1,j}} J_{r_1,\mu}(\gamma(u), \mathcal{G}) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{r_1,j}} \max_{u \in B_{r_1,j}} E_{r_1,\mu}(\gamma(u), \mathcal{G}) \leq \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{r_2,j}} \max_{u \in B_{r_2,j}} E_{r_2,\mu}(\gamma(u), \mathcal{G}).$$

Hence, for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{r_2,j}$ , we have  $\max_{u \in B_{r_2,j}} E_{r_2,\mu}(\gamma(u), \mathcal{G}) > 0$  and so

$$c_{r_1,j} \leq \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{r_2,j}} \max_{u \in B_{r_2,j}} E_{r_2,\mu}(\gamma(u), \mathcal{G}) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{r_2,j}} \max_{u \in B_{r_2,j}} J_{r_2,\mu}(\gamma(u), \mathcal{G}) = c_{r_2,j}. \quad (6.2)$$

Let us fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  with  $2 \leq k \leq m$ . If  $c_{r_1,k} > 0$ , then, due to the monotonicity in (6.2), we can define

$$c_{\infty,k} := \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} c_{r,k}.$$

Let us denote  $c_{\infty,1} := c_{\infty}$  which is defined in Section 5.

By the definition of  $J_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$ , taking  $\xi_{r,j} = \xi_{\varepsilon,j}$ , we have that, if  $\tilde{b}_{r,j} > 0$ , then  $b_{r,j} = \tilde{b}_{r,j} > 0$ . Moreover, since  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_{k-1} < \lambda_k$ , there exists  $\tilde{\mu}_k$  such that, for all  $0 < \mu < \tilde{\mu}_k$ ,

$$\frac{\mu\lambda_1}{2} < \frac{\mu\lambda_2}{2} - \frac{C_{p,\mathcal{G}}\mu^{\frac{p}{2}}\lambda_2^{\frac{p-2}{4}}}{p} < \frac{\mu\lambda_2}{2} < \dots < \frac{\mu\lambda_k}{2} - \frac{C_{p,\mathcal{G}}\mu^{\frac{p}{2}}\lambda_k^{\frac{p-2}{4}}}{p} < \frac{\mu\lambda_k}{2}. \quad (6.3)$$

Fix  $0 < \mu < \tilde{\mu}_k$ . By Lemma 6.2, for any  $2 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $c_{r,i} \leq \mu\lambda_i/2$  and  $a_{r,i} = -1$  for all  $r > 1$ . Moreover, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $\xi_i := \xi_{\varepsilon,i} > 0$  and  $r_i > 1$ , such that, for all  $r \geq r_i$ , (6.1) holds. Then, if  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough, using (6.3), we get that for every  $2 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\tilde{b}_{r,i} > 0$ . Hence, by Lemma 6.1,  $c_{r,i} \geq b_{r,i}$  and so

$$\frac{\mu\lambda_i}{2} \geq c_{r,i} \geq b_{r,i} = \tilde{b}_{r,i} > \frac{\mu\lambda_{i-1}}{2}.$$

Thus, for  $r \geq r_0 := \max_{2 \leq i \leq j} \{r_i\}$ , taking  $c_{\infty,1} := c_{\infty}$  and using also Lemma 5.2, we conclude

$$0 < c_{\infty,1} \leq \frac{\mu\lambda_1}{2} < b_{r,2} \leq c_{\infty,2} \leq \frac{\mu\lambda_2}{2} < \dots < b_{r,k} \leq c_{\infty,k} \leq \frac{\mu\lambda_k}{2}.$$

Since  $\lambda_k < \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x))$ , using (6.2) and Lemma 6.1, by Lemma 4.4 we conclude that, for any  $2 \leq i \leq k$ , there exists  $u_i \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  satisfying  $E(u_i, \mathcal{G}) = c_{\infty,i}$  and one of the following two alternatives occurs:

- (i) either  $u_i$  is a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_{\mu}(\mathcal{G})$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\omega_i \in [0, 2c_{\infty,i}/\mu] \subset [0, \lambda_i]$
- (ii) or  $u_i$  is a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_{\nu}(\mathcal{G})$  for some  $0 < \nu < \mu$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\omega_i = 0$ .

Applying Lemma 3.3 for  $c = \lambda_k/2$ , we obtain that, if  $\mu$  is small enough ( $\mu \in (0, \min\{\mu_{\lambda_k/2,p}, \tilde{\mu}_k\})$ , for any  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , (ii) can not occur. Thus,  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  has at least  $k$  critical points  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$ .

Now, let  $2 < p < 6$  (or  $p = 6$  with  $\mu < \sqrt{3}C_{6,\mathcal{G}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ )<sup>4</sup>,  $m = +\infty$ , and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_j = +\infty$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , we can choose  $j_i \geq 2$  such that  $i \mapsto j_i$  is strictly increasing,  $j_i \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\lambda_{j_i} \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $i \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then, by Lemma 6.2, there exist  $\xi_{1,i} > 0$  and  $r_i > 1$  such that

$$\tilde{b}_{r_i, j_i} \geq \frac{\mu \lambda_{j_i}}{2} - \frac{C_{p,\mathcal{G}} \mu^{\frac{p}{2}} \lambda_{j_i}^{\frac{p-2}{4}}}{p} - 1.$$

Thus,  $\tilde{b}_{r_i, j_i} \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $i \rightarrow +\infty$ , and so, by the definition of  $J_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$ , taking  $\xi_{r_i,i} = \xi_{1,i}$ , for  $i$  large enough we have  $b_{r_i, j_i} = \tilde{b}_{r_i, j_i} > 0$  and, eventually passing to a subsequence, we can consider  $i \mapsto b_{r_i, j_i}$  strictly increasing.

Hence, repeating the previous arguments, we conclude that, for any fixed  $\mu > 0$  (note that, since, by Lemma 6.1,  $c_{\infty, j_i} \geq b_{r_i, j_i} \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $i \rightarrow +\infty$ , the assumption that  $\mu < \tilde{\mu}_k$  is not required) and for any  $i \geq 2$ , there exists  $u_i \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  satisfying  $E(u_i, \mathcal{G}) = c_{\infty, j_i}$  with, by Lemma 6.2,  $c_{\infty, j_{i-1}} < c_{\infty, j_i} \leq \mu \lambda_{j_i}/2$ , and one of the following two alternatives occurs:

- (i) either  $u_i$  is a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\omega_i \in [0, 2c_{\infty, j_i}/\mu] \subset [0, \lambda_{j_i}]$
- (ii) or  $u_i$  is a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\nu(\mathcal{G})$  for some  $0 < \nu < \mu$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\omega_i = 0$ .

Then, by Lemma 3.3, we conclude that, for any  $0 < \mu \leq \mu_{\lambda_j/2,p} = C_{p,\mathcal{G}}^{\frac{2}{2-p}} \lambda_1^{\frac{6-p}{2p-4q}}$ ,  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  has infinitely critical points constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  whose corresponding energy levels tend to  $+\infty$ .

Finally, let  $2 < p < 6$ ,  $m = +\infty$ , and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_j = +\infty$ , and let us extend the existence of infinitely critical points as above to all  $\mu > 0$ . By Lemma 3.3, since  $\mu_{\lambda,p}^* \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$ , we have that for any  $\mu, \nu > 0$  and  $\lambda < 0$  such that  $0 < \nu < \mu \leq \mu_{\lambda,p}^*$ , there exists no  $u \in H_\nu(\mathcal{G})$  satisfying  $\langle E'(u, \mathcal{G}), \cdot \rangle - \lambda(\cdot, u)_2 = 0$ . Fix  $\mu > 0$  and  $\lambda < 0$  such that  $\mu_{\lambda,p}^* \geq \mu$ . Then, repeating the previous arguments for  $2 < p < 6$ ,  $m = +\infty$ , and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_j = +\infty$  to  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G}) - \lambda \|\cdot\|_2^2/2$ , we conclude that it has infinitely critical points constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  whose corresponding energy levels tend to  $+\infty$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 7. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.6 AND 1.7

In this section, we consider a connected noncompact metric graph  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  with a finite number of edges whether the compact core of  $\mathcal{G}$  is empty or non-empty under the assumption (G<sub>m</sub>).

We shall study the existence and multiplicity of critical points of the functional  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on the  $L^2$ -sphere  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  with  $\mu > 0$ . The proofs of Theorems 1.6 and 1.7 are almost the same as the proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5, respectively, except for Lemmas 4.3 and 5.2. Therefore, we give the details for this preliminary part and we only present a sketch of the proofs of Theorems 1.6 and 1.7 here.

Using the same notation introduced before also in this case, fixed  $\mu > 0$ , we assume that for any  $r > 1$  sufficiently large there exists a (PS) sequence  $\{u_{n,r}\}_{n \geq 1} \subset H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  for  $E_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  at level  $c_r > 0$  and that  $r \mapsto c_r$  is non-decreasing.

Since we are dealing with problem (1.6) on noncompact metric graphs, we need to overcome the lack of compactness.

<sup>4</sup>Note that  $\sqrt{3}C_{6,\mathcal{G}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} > C_{6,\mathcal{G}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \mu_{\lambda_{j_i}/2,6}$ .

Recalling that, by (G<sub>m</sub>), for  $1 \leq k \leq m$ ,  $\lambda_k$  is an eigenvalue below  $\inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x))$ , let

$$\delta_k := \begin{cases} \frac{\inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x)) - \lambda_k}{2} & \text{if } \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x)) < +\infty \\ 1 & \text{if } \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x)) = +\infty, \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

and

$$Y_k := \text{span}\{u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) : (D_A^2 + V(x))u = \lambda u \text{ for some } \lambda \leq \lambda_k + \delta_k\}.$$

Then, since  $\lambda_k + \delta_k < \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x))$ , the dimension of  $Y$  is finite. Consequently,  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  possesses the orthogonal decomposition

$$H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) = Y_k \oplus Y_k^\perp,$$

so that for every  $u \in Y_k$  and  $v \in Y_k^\perp$ ,  $(u, v)_2 = 0$ . Let  $P_k$  denote orthogonal projector from  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  onto  $Y$ . Then, every  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  can be written as

$$u = P_k u + (I - P_k)u,$$

where  $P_k u \in Y_k$  and  $(I - P_k)u \in Y_k^\perp$  and, arguing as in the proof of (4.6),

$$\|v\|^2 > (\lambda_k + \delta_k)\|v\|_2^2 \quad \text{for any } v \in Y_k^\perp. \quad (7.2)$$

Set now

$$\mu_k^{**} := C_{\infty, \mathcal{G}}^{-2} \left( \frac{p-2}{p\lambda_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \frac{\delta_k}{3(p-1)} \right]^{\frac{2}{p-2}}$$

and

$$c_\infty := \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} c_r.$$

**Lemma 7.1.** *If  $0 < \mu \leq \mu_k^{**}$  and  $c_\infty \leq \mu\lambda_k/2$ , then, for  $r > 1$  sufficiently large, there exists  $u_r \in U_\mu$  such that, up to a subsequence,  $u_{n,r} \rightarrow u_r$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ , as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Moreover,  $u_r$  satisfies*

$$E_{r,\mu}(u_r, \mathcal{G}) = c_r \text{ and } E'_{r,\mu}(u_r, \mathcal{G}) = 0$$

with

$$\frac{2}{\mu} f'_r \left( \frac{\|u_r\|_2^2}{\mu} \right) = \lambda_{\infty,r} \text{ and } \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_{\infty,r} \leq \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $r > 1$  be sufficiently large as in Section 4 and take  $\delta_k > 0$  as in (7.1). By repeating the argument of Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\lambda_{\infty,r} \leq \frac{2c_\infty}{\mu} \leq \lambda_k. \quad (7.3)$$

Then, as in Lemma 4.1, we can conclude that  $\{u_{n,r}\}_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_{n,r}\|^2 \leq \frac{2pc_r}{p-2} \leq \frac{2pc_\infty}{p-2} \leq \frac{p\mu\lambda_k}{p-2}, \quad (7.4)$$

and thus, up to a subsequence,  $u_{n,r} \rightharpoonup u_r$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  and, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.3, we get that  $\|u_r\|_2^2 < \mu$ .

Now we are going to prove that  $u_{n,r} \rightarrow u_r$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . To this end, we first verify that

$$\langle E'(u_r, \mathcal{G}), \cdot \rangle - \lambda_{\infty,r}(u_r, \cdot)_2 = 0. \quad (7.5)$$

Recall that, by Lemma 2.4,  $H_{A,c}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is a dense subset of  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . For any  $\varphi \in H_{A,c}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ , since  $\{u_{n,r}\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a (PS) sequence and  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is compactly embedded in  $L^2(B, \mathbb{C})$ , where  $B = \text{supp } \varphi$  (see

Remark 2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle E'(u_r, \mathcal{G}), \varphi \rangle - \lambda_{\infty, r}(u_r, \varphi)_2 &= \langle E'_{r, \mu}(u_{n, r}, \mathcal{G}), \varphi \rangle + (u_r - u_{n, r}, \varphi) + \lambda_{n, r}(u_{n, r}, \varphi)_2 - \lambda_{\infty, r}(u_r, \varphi)_2 \\
&\quad + \operatorname{Re} \int_B (|u_{n, r}|^{p-2} u_{n, r} - |u_r|^{p-2} u_r) \bar{\varphi} dx \\
&= (\lambda_{n, r} - \lambda_{\infty, r})(u_{n, r}, \varphi)_2 + \lambda_{\infty, r}(u_{n, r} - u_r, \varphi)_2 + o_n(1) \\
&= o_n(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by density, we get (7.5).

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_{n, r} - u_r\|^2 &= \langle E'(u_{n, r}, \mathcal{G}) - E'(u_r, \mathcal{G}), u_{n, r} - u_r \rangle \\
&\quad + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathcal{G}} (|u_{n, r}|^{p-2} u_{n, r} - |u_r|^{p-2} u_r) \overline{(u_{n, r} - u_r)} dx \\
&= \langle E'_{r, \mu}(u_{n, r}, \mathcal{G}), u_{n, r} - u_r \rangle - \langle E'(u_r, \mathcal{G}), u_{n, r} - u_r \rangle + \lambda_{n, r}(u_{n, r}, u_{n, r} - u_r)_2 \\
&\quad + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathcal{G}} (|u_{n, r}|^{p-2} u_{n, r} - |u_r|^{p-2} u_r) \overline{(u_{n, r} - u_r)} dx \\
&= \lambda_{\infty, r} \|u_{n, r} - u_r\|_2^2 + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathcal{G}} (|u_{n, r}|^{p-2} u_{n, r} - |u_r|^{p-2} u_r) \overline{(u_{n, r} - u_r)} dx + o_n(1).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

Let  $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be defined by  $g(x, y) := (x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}(x, y)$ . Then, the Jacobian matrix of  $g$  is

$$J_g(x, y) = (x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} I + (p-2)(x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} x^2 & xy \\ xy & y^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and thus, for any  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$J_g(x_1, y_1) \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = (x_1^2 + y_1^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} + (p-2)(x_1^2 + y_1^2)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} (x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, by the fundamental theorem of calculus, for any  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we have

$$g(x_2, y_2) - g(x_1, y_1) = \int_0^1 J_g(x_1 + t(x_2 - x_1), y_1 + t(y_2 - y_1)) \begin{pmatrix} x_2 - x_1 \\ y_2 - y_1 \end{pmatrix} dt,$$

which implies

$$|g(x_2, y_2) - g(x_1, y_1)| \leq (p-1) \max\{(x_1^2 + y_1^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}, (x_2^2 + y_2^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\} ((x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since the norm is lower semicontinuous, then, by (7.4), also  $\|u_r\|^2 \leq p\mu\lambda_k/(p-2)$ . Then, by Lemma 3.2 and (7.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathcal{G}} (|u_{n, r}|^{p-2} u_{n, r} - |u_r|^{p-2} u_r) \overline{(u_{n, r} - u_r)} dx \right| &\leq (p-1) \max\{\|u_{n, r}\|_{\infty}^{p-2}, \|u_r\|_{\infty}^{p-2}\} \|u_{n, r} - u_r\|_2^2 \\
&\leq (p-1) C_{\infty, \mathcal{G}}^{p-2} \mu^{\frac{p-2}{4}} \max\{\|u_{n, r}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}, \|u_r\|_{\infty}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\} \|u_{n, r} - u_r\|_2^2 \\
&\leq (p-1) C_{\infty, \mathcal{G}}^{p-2} \mu^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left( \frac{p\lambda_k}{p-2} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{4}} \|u_{n, r} - u_r\|_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

and so, by (7.6), we obtain

$$\|u_{n, r} - u_r\|^2 \leq \left[ \lambda_{\infty, r} + (p-1) C_{\infty, \mathcal{G}}^{p-2} \mu^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left( \frac{p\lambda_k}{p-2} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{4}} \right] \|u_{n, r} - u_r\|_2^2 + o_n(1).$$

Now, if  $0 < \mu \leq \mu_k^{**}$ , we get

$$\|u_{n,r} - u_r\|^2 \leq \left( \lambda_{\infty,r} + \frac{1}{3}\delta_k \right) \|u_{n,r} - u_r\|_2^2 + o_n(1). \quad (7.7)$$

Since the dimension of  $Y_k$  is finite, we obtain  $P_k(u_{n,r} - u_r) \rightarrow 0$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . Moreover, by (7.2) and (7.7) it follows that

$$\left( \lambda_{\infty,r} + \frac{1}{3}\delta_k \right) \|(I - P_k)(u_{n,r} - u_r)\|_2^2 + o_n(1) \geq \|(I - P_k)(u_{n,r} - u_r)\|^2 > (\lambda_k + \delta_k) \|(I - P_k)(u_{n,r} - u_r)\|_2^2.$$

Hence, by (7.3),  $(I - P_k)(u_{n,r} - u_r) \rightarrow 0$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$ . Therefore,  $u_{n,r} \rightarrow u_r$  in  $H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  and so we can conclude.  $\square$

Repeating the arguments used in the first part of Section 5, for  $r > 1$ , the mountain pass geometry of the functional  $E_{r,\mu}(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  allows us to find a (PS) sequence  $\{u_{n,r}\}_{n \geq 1}$  satisfying

$$E_{r,\mu}(u_{n,r}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow c_r, \quad E_{r,\mu'}(u_{n,r}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $r \mapsto c_r$  is non-decreasing. Moreover, defining

$$c_\infty := \sup_{r > 1} c_r = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} c_r,$$

we can show that, for all  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\|u\|_2^2 = \mu$ , we have

$$c_\infty \leq \sup_{0 \leq t < 1} E(tu, \mathcal{G}).$$

In this case we have the following upper bound estimate for  $c_\infty$ , which is different from Lemma 5.2 under condition (G<sub>m</sub>), while its proof is similar to the argument of Lemma 5.2 under condition (G).

**Lemma 7.2.** *If the condition (G<sub>m</sub>) holds, then*

$$c_\infty < \frac{\mu\lambda_1}{2} < \frac{\mu}{2} \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_A^2 + V(x)).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi_1$  be the eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  and that satisfies  $\|\varphi_1\|_2^2 = \mu$ . Then, for  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$g(t) := E(t\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) = \frac{t^2\mu\lambda_1}{2} - t^p\Psi(\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}).$$

The nontriviality of  $\varphi_1$  implies that  $\Psi(\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) > 0$  and so

$$t_0 := \left( \frac{\mu\lambda_1}{p\Psi(\varphi_1, \mathcal{G})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}$$

is the unique critical point (maximizer) of  $g$  in  $(0, +\infty)$ . If  $t_0 \geq 1$ , then  $g$  is increasing for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , and thus

$$c_\infty \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} E(t\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) \leq E(\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) = \frac{\mu\lambda_1}{2} - \Psi(\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) < \frac{\mu\lambda_1}{2}.$$

If  $0 < t_0 < 1$ , then  $t_0$  is the unique maximum point of  $g(t)$  in  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , and thus

$$c_\infty \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} E(t\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) = E(t_0\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) = \frac{t_0^2\mu\lambda_1}{2} - t_0^p\Psi(\varphi_1, \mathcal{G}) < \frac{\mu\lambda_1}{2}.$$

$\square$

**Remark 7.3.** *Following Section 6, for every  $k = 2, \dots, m$  we can get the same estimate for  $c_{\infty,k}$ .*

Thus we are ready to prove Theorems 1.6 and 1.7.

*Proof of Theorems 1.6 and 1.7.* As in the proof of Theorem 1.4, using Lemma 7.1 for  $k = 1$  and Lemma 7.2, for  $0 < \mu \leq \mu_1^{**}$ , there exists  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  satisfying  $E(u, \mathcal{G}) = c_\infty < \mu\lambda_1/2$ , and one of the following two cases must hold:

- (i) either  $u$  is a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_1)$
- (ii) or  $u$  is a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\nu(\mathcal{G})$  for some  $0 < \nu < \mu$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda = 0$ .

Then, repeating the arguments of Lemma 3.3 for  $c = \lambda_1/2$ , we conclude that, there exists no  $u \in H_\nu(\mathcal{G})$  such that  $E'(u, \mathcal{G}) = 0$  and  $E(u, \mathcal{G}) \leq \mu\lambda_1/2$ . Hence, for any  $0 < \mu \leq \mu_0^* := \min\{\mu_0, \mu_1^{**}\}$ , where  $\mu_0 := \mu_{\lambda_1/2, p}$  (see (3.3)), case (ii) can not occur. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.

Instead, to prove Theorem 1.7, we can argue as in Section 6. For brevity, let us consider  $k = 2, \dots, m$ . By Lemma 7.1 and Remark 7.3, we get that, for all  $0 < \mu < \min\{\tilde{\mu}_k, \mu_k^{**}\}$  (as in Section 6,  $0 < \mu < \tilde{\mu}_k$  is used to get the same estimate for  $c_{\infty, i}$ ) and  $2 \leq i \leq k$ , there exists  $u_i \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  satisfying  $E(u_i, \mathcal{G}) = c_{\infty, i}$  with  $c_{\infty, i-1} \leq c_{\infty, i} \leq \mu\lambda_i/2$  and one of the following two cases must hold:

- (i) either  $u_i$  is a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\omega_i \in [0, 2c_{\infty, i}/\mu] \subset [0, \lambda_i]$
- (ii) or  $u_i$  is a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\nu(\mathcal{G})$  for some  $0 < \nu < \mu$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\omega_i = 0$ .

Repeating the arguments of Lemma 3.3 for  $c = \lambda_k/2$ , we obtain that, if  $\mu$  is small enough ( $\mu \in (0, \mu_k^*)$  with  $\mu_k^* := \min\{\mu_{\lambda_k/2, p}, \tilde{\mu}_k, \mu_k^{**}\}$ ), for any  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , (ii) can not occur. Thus, for any  $0 < \mu < \mu_k^*$ ,  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  has at least  $k$  critical points  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$ , which completes the proof of Theorem 1.7.  $\square$

## APPENDIX A. REGULARITY OF THE CRITICAL POINTS OF $E$

In this appendix we give some details about the regularity of our solutions.

Assume that  $A$  is continuously differentiable on every edge  $e \in E$  and  $V$  is continuous on every edge  $e \in E$ . For any compact graph  $\mathcal{G}$  or noncompact graph  $\mathcal{G}$  having a non-empty compact core  $\mathcal{K}$ , if  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  is a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$ , then  $u$  is twice continuously differentiable on every edge  $e \in E$  and there exists a Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u$  is a solution of equation (1.3) or equation (1.4), respectively.

Indeed, let  $u \in H_A^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C})$  be a critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$  constrained on  $H_\mu(\mathcal{G})$  with Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . For any edge  $e \in E$  and bounded interval  $I \subset I_e$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I |u'_e|^2 + |u_e|^2 dx &\leq 2 \int_I |D_{A_e} u_e|^2 dx + 2 \int_I |A_e u_e|^2 dx + \int_I V_e(x) |u_e|^2 dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_I |D_{A_e} u_e|^2 dx + 2 \|A_e\|_{L^\infty(I, \mathbb{R})}^2 \|u_e\|_{L^2(I, \mathbb{R})}^2 + \int_I V_e(x) |u_e|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

and thus,  $u_e \in H^1(I, \mathbb{C})$ . Since  $u$  is a constrained critical point of  $E(\cdot, \mathcal{G})$ , for any  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(I, \mathbb{C})$ , integrating by parts (see, e.g., [13, Corollary 8.10]), we obtain

$$\int_I u'_e \bar{\varphi}' + 2iA_e(x)u'_e \bar{\varphi} + iA'_e(x)u_e \bar{\varphi} + (|A_e(x)|^2 + V_e(x))u_e \bar{\varphi} dx = \int_I \lambda u_e \bar{\varphi} + \chi_{\mathcal{K}, e} |u_e|^{p-2} u_e \bar{\varphi} dx,$$

where  $\chi_{\mathcal{K}, e} \equiv 1$  if  $e \in E$  is a bounded edge and  $\chi_{\mathcal{K}, e} \equiv 0$  if  $e \in E$  is an unbounded edge. Then, by the definition of weak derivative,

$$u''_e = -\lambda u_e - \chi_{\mathcal{K}, e} |u_e|^{p-2} u_e + 2iA_e(x)u'_e + iA'_e(x)u_e + (|A_e(x)|^2 + V_e(x))u_e \quad \text{on } I. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Hence,  $u''_e \in L^2(I, \mathbb{C})$ , and it follows from [13, Theorem 8.2] that  $u'_e \in C(I, \mathbb{C})$ . Then, by [13, Remark 6 in Chapter 8] and (A.1), we conclude that  $u_e \in C^2(I, \mathbb{C})$ . Since  $e \in E$  and  $I \subset I_e$  are arbitrary,  $u_e$  is twice continuously differentiable for every edge  $e \in E$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Pietro d'Avenia is member of GNAMPA (INdAM) and is partially supported by the GNAMPA project *Problemi di ottimizzazione in PDEs da modelli biologici* (CUP E5324001950001). He has been financed by European Union - Next Generation EU - PRIN 2022 PNRR P2022YFAJH *Linear and Nonlinear PDE's: New directions and Applications* and is also supported by the Italian Ministry of University and Research under the Program Department of Excellence L. 232/2016 (CUP D93C23000100001). Chao Ji is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12571117).

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Adami, F. Boni, S. Dovetta, Competing nonlinearities in NLS equations as source of threshold phenomena on star graphs, *J. Funct. Anal.* 283 (2022), Paper No. 109483, 34 pp. [2](#)
- [2] R. Adami, C. Cacciapuoti, D. Finco, Domenico, D. Noja, Constrained energy minimization and orbital stability for the NLS equation on a star graph, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* 31 (2014), 1289-1310. [2](#)
- [3] R. Adami, E. Serra, P. Tilli, NLS ground states on graphs, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 54 (2015), 743-761. [2](#)
- [4] R. Adami, E. Serra, P. Tilli, Threshold phenomena and existence results for NLS ground states on metric graphs, *J. Funct. Anal.* 271 (2016), 201-223. [2](#), [17](#)
- [5] R. Adami, E. Serra, P. Tilli, Negative energy ground states for the  $L^2$ -critical NLSE on metric graphs, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 352 (2017), 387-406. [2](#)
- [6] R.A. Adams, J.J.F. Fournier, Sobolev spaces, Second edition, Pure Appl. Math. (Amst.), 140, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003, xiv+305 pp. [10](#)
- [7] C.O. Alves, Z. He, C. Ji, Existence and multiplicity of normalized solutions to a large class of elliptic equations on bounded domains, arxiv No.2507.04624, 2025. [7](#), [19](#), [24](#), [27](#)
- [8] T. Bartsch, Z.-Q. Wang, Existence and multiplicity results for some superlinear elliptic problems on RN, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 20 (1995), 1725-1741. [7](#)
- [9] G. Berkolaiko, P. Kuchment, Introduction to quantum graphs, Math. Surveys Monogr., 186, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013, xiv+270 pp. [1](#)
- [10] D. Borthwick, Spectral theory-basic concepts and applications, Grad. Texts in Math., 284, Springer, Cham, 2020, x+338 pp. [5](#), [7](#), [16](#)
- [11] J. Borthwick, X. Chang, L. Jeanjean, N. Soave, Normalized solutions of  $L^2$ -supercritical NLS equations on noncompact metric graphs with localized nonlinearities, *Nonlinearity* 36 (2023), 3776-3795. [2](#), [7](#)
- [12] J. Borthwick, X. Chang, L. Jeanjean, N. Soave, Bounded Palais-Smale sequences with Morse type information for some constrained functionals, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 377 (2024), 4481-4517. [2](#)
- [13] H. Brezis, Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2011, xiv+599 pp. [8](#), [9](#), [10](#), [11](#), [12](#), [13](#), [33](#)
- [14] H. Brezis, P. Mironescu, Where Sobolev interacts with Gagliardo-Nirenberg, *J. Funct. Anal.* 277 (2019), 2839-2864. [17](#)
- [15] J. Brüning, V. Geyler, K. Pankrashkin, Cantor and band spectra for periodic quantum graphs with magnetic fields, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 269 (2007), 87-105. [3](#)
- [16] B. Buffoni, M.J. Esteban, E. Séré, Normalized solutions to strongly indefinite semilinear equations, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* 6 (2006), 323-347. [19](#)
- [17] C. Cacciapuoti, S. Dovetta, E. Serra, Variational and stability properties of constant solutions to the NLS equation on compact metric graphs, *Milan J. Math.* 86 (2018), 305-327. [2](#)
- [18] X. Chang, L. Jeanjean, N. Soave, Normalized solutions of  $L^2$ -supercritical NLS equations on compact metric graphs, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* 41 (2024), 933-959. [2](#)
- [19] C. Cheverry, N. Raymond, A guide to spectral theory-applications and exercises, With a foreword by Peter D. Hislop, Birkhäuser Adv. Texts Basler Lehrbücher[Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basel Textbooks], Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2021. xx+258 pp. [5](#)
- [20] S. Dovetta, Existence of infinitely many stationary solutions of the  $L^2$ -subcritical and critical NLSE on compact metric graphs, *J. Differential Equations* 264 (2018), 4806-4821. [2](#), [6](#)
- [21] S. Dovetta, L. Jeanjean, E. Serra, Normalized solutions of  $L^2$ -supercritical NLS equations on noncompact metric graphs, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sec. A: Math.*, to appear. [2](#), [7](#)
- [22] S. Dovetta, E. Serra, P. Tilli, Uniqueness and non-uniqueness of prescribed mass NLS ground states on metric graphs, *Adv. Math.* 374 (2020), 107352, 41 pp. [2](#)
- [23] S. Dovetta, L. Tentarelli,  $L^2$ -critical NLS on noncompact metric graphs with localized nonlinearity: topological and metric features, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 58 (2019), Paper No. 108, 26 pp. [2](#)

- [24] S. Dovetta, L. Tentarelli, Ground states of the  $L^2$ -critical NLS equation with localized nonlinearity on a tadpole graph, Discrete and continuous models in the theory of networks, 113-125. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 281, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham. [2](#)
- [25] M.J. Esteban, E. Séré, Solutions of the Dirac-Fock equations for atoms and molecules, Comm. Math. Phys. 203 (1999), 499-530. [19](#)
- [26] L.C. Evans, Partial differential equations, Second edition, Grad. Stud. Math., 19, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010. xxii+749 pp. [13](#)
- [27] S. Gnutzmann, U. Smilansky, S. Derevyanko, Stationary scattering from a nonlinear network, Phys. Rev. A 2011, 83, 033831. [2](#)
- [28] P.J. Harris, Carbon nanotubes and related structures: new materials for the twenty-first century, Cambridge university press, 2001. [2](#), [4](#)
- [29] M. Hofmann, An existence theory for nonlinear equations on metric graphs via energy methods, arxiv No.1909.07856, 2019. [3](#), [12](#)
- [30] A. Iantchenko, E. Korotyaev, Schrödinger operator on the zigzag half-nanotube in magnetic field, Math. Model. Nat. Phenom. 5 (2010), 175-197. [3](#)
- [31] L. Jeanjean, L. Song, Sign-changing prescribed mass solutions for  $L^2$ -supercritical NLS on compact metric graphs, arXiv No.2501.14642, 2025. [6](#)
- [32] E. Korotyaev, Effective masses for zigzag nanotubes in magnetic fields, Lett. Math. Phys. 83 (2008), 83-95. [3](#)
- [33] E. Korotyaev, A. Kutsenko, Zigzag nanoribbons in external electric fields, Asymptot. Anal. 66 (2010), 187-206. [3](#)
- [34] V. Kostrykin, R. Schrader, Quantum wires with magnetic fluxes, Comm. Math. Phys., 237 (2003), 161-179. [2](#)
- [35] E.H. Lieb, M. Loss, Analysis, Second edition, Grad. Stud. Math., 14, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. xxii+346 pp. [12](#), [16](#)
- [36] D. Noja, Nonlinear Schrödinger equation on graphs: Recent results and open problems, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 372 (2014), 20130002, 20 pp. [2](#)
- [37] D. Noja, D. E. Pelinovsky, Standing waves of the quintic NLS equation on the tadpole graph, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 59 (2020), Paper No. 173, 31 pp. [2](#)
- [38] K. Pankrashkin, Localization effects in a periodic quantum graph with magnetic field and spin-orbit interaction, J. Math. Phys. 47 (2006), 112105, 17 pp. [3](#)
- [39] K. Pankrashkin, Spectra of Schrödinger operators on equilateral quantum graphs, Lett. Math. Phys. 77 (2006), 139-154. [3](#)
- [40] L. Pauling, The diamagnetic anisotropy of aromatic molecules, Journal of Chemical Physics 4 (1936), 673-677. [4](#)
- [41] D. Pierotti, N. Soave, Ground states for the NLS equation with combined nonlinearities on noncompact metric graphs, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 54 (2022), 768-790. [2](#)
- [42] D. Pierotti, N. Soave, G. Verzini, Local minimizers in absence of ground states for the critical NLS energy on metric graphs, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 151 (2021), 705-733. [2](#)
- [43] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV. Analysis of operators, Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1978. xv+396 pp. [5](#)
- [44] K. Ruedenberg, C. Scherr, Free-electron network model for conjugated systems I. Theory. J Chem. Phys. (1953) 21, 1565-1581. [4](#)
- [45] R. Saito, G. Dresselhaus, M.S. Dresselhaus, Physical properties of carbon nanotubes, Vol. 203, Imperial College Press, London, 1998. [2](#), [4](#)
- [46] V. Serov, Fourier series, Fourier transform and their applications to mathematical physics, Appl. Math. Sci., 197, Springer, Cham, 2017. xi+534 pp. [11](#), [15](#), [16](#)
- [47] E. Serra, L. Tentarelli, On the lack of bound states for certain NLS equations on metric graphs, Nonlinear Analysis 145 (2016), 68-82. [2](#)
- [48] E. Serra, L. Tentarelli, Bound states of the NLS equation on metric graphs with localized nonlinearities, J. Differential Equations 260 (2016), 5627-5644. [2](#)
- [49] L. Tentarelli, NLS ground states on metric graphs with localized nonlinearities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 433 (2016), 291-304. [2](#)
- [50] M. Willem, Minimax Theorems, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996. [5](#), [27](#)

(P. d'Avenia)

DIPARTIMENTO DI MECCANICA, MATEMATICA E MANAGEMENT  
POLITECNICO DI BARI  
VIA ORABONA 4, 70125 BARI, ITALY  
*Email address:* [pietro.davenia@poliba.it](mailto:pietro.davenia@poliba.it)

(Z. He)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS  
EAST CHINA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
SHANGHAI 200237, PR CHINA  
*Email address:* [hezhentao2001@outlook.com](mailto:hezhentao2001@outlook.com)

(C. Ji)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS  
EAST CHINA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
SHANGHAI 200237, PR CHINA  
*Email address:* [jichao@ecust.edu.cn](mailto:jichao@ecust.edu.cn)