

BISMUT-ELWORTHY-LI FORMULAE FOR FORWARD-BACKWARD SDES WITH JUMPS AND APPLICATIONS

JIAGANG REN¹, HUA ZHANG²

¹*School of Mathematics, Sun Yat-Sen University,
Guangzhou, Guangdong 510275, P.R.China*

²*School of Statistics and Data Science, Jiangxi University of Finance and Economics,
Nanchang, Jiangxi 330013, P.R.China
Emails: J. Ren: renjg@mail.sysu.edu.cn
H. Zhang: zh860801@163.com*

ABSTRACT. Under nondegeneracy assumptions on the diffusion coefficients, we establish the derivative formulae of Bismut-Elworthy-Li's type for forward-backward stochastic differential equations with respect to Poisson random measure using the lent particle method created by Bouleau and Denis, which is not given before. Applying this formula, the existence and uniqueness of a solution of nonlocal quasi-linear integral partial differential equations, which are differentiable with respect to the space variable, are obtained, even if the initial datum and coefficients of this equation are not.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present paper, we are concerned with the problem of derivative formulae of Bismut-Elworthy-Li's type for the following forward-backward stochastic differential equations (SDEs in short) with respect to Poisson random measure:

$$\begin{cases} X(\tau, t, x) = x + \int_t^\tau b(s, X(s, t, x))ds \\ \quad + \int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sigma(s, X(s-, t, x))u \tilde{N}(ds, du), \tau \in [t, T], \\ X(\tau, t, x) = x, \tau \in [0, t], \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^l$, $\mathcal{O} = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^l; |u| \leq 1\} \setminus \{0\}$, \tilde{N} is the compensated process of some Poisson point process N on $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{O}))$ with intensity ν , and

$$\begin{aligned} & Y(\tau, t, x) + \int_\tau^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s-, t, x, u) \tilde{N}(ds, du) \\ &= - \int_\tau^T \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u)l(u)\nu(du))ds + \phi(X(T, t, x)), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\tau \in [0, T]$, $\psi : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given functions, and $l : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Borel function with growth condition $|l(u)| \leq C(1 \wedge |u|)$, $u \in \mathcal{O}$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60H10, 60H07.

Key words and phrases. Forward-backward stochastic differential equations, Lévy processes, Bismut-Elworthy-Li formulae, Gradient estimate, Lent particle method, Nonlocal quasi-linear integral partial differential equations.

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12261038 and 12371152), and Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (Grant Nos. 20232BAB201004 and 20242BAB23003).

A derivative formula for Wiener functionals was first established by Bismut in [1] using Malliavin calculus on Wiener space. This result was subsequently extended by Elworthy and Li in their foundational work [10], where they systematically derived formulae for the derivatives of heat semigroups—this contribution, together with Bismut’s earlier result, laid the core theoretical basis for what is now known as the Bismut-Elworthy-Li formulae. Unlike the Wiener space, there are several versions of Malliavin calculus on Poisson space which are not equivalent. In the recent years, quite a few papers appeared concerning the Bismut-Elworthy-Li formulae for SDEs with jumps, using various approaches, e.g., SDEs driven by general Poisson jumps processes by using stochastic diffeomorphism flows and Girsanov’s transformation ([19]), SDEs driven by subordinated Brownian motion by using conditional Malliavin calculus ([22, 21]), SDEs driven by α -stable-like noise by using Bismut’s approach([20]).

In a series of works, Bouleau and Denis (see [4] and references therein) presented systematically a new method called the lent particle one which may be considered as a differential calculus on Poisson space completely comparable to the Malliavin differential calculus on the Wiener space. The main feature of this method is its simplicity and convenience when applied to Poisson functionals, especially to solutions of stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy processes. Using this method, the Bismut-Elworthy-Li formulae for SDEs with jumps is also established in our recent paper [17] which generalizes and improves some previous works in this respect.

Although some authors have studied the Bismut-Elworthy-Li formulae for SDEs with jumps, no one has yet discussed the same problem for forward-backward SDEs with jumps. For the cases of forward-backward SDEs without jumps, under nondegeneracy assumptions on the diffusion coefficient (that may be nonconstant), Fuhrman and Tessitore [11] have proved an analogue of the Bismut-Elworthy-Li formulae. After that, Masiero [16] has also obtained a Bismut-Elworthy-Li formula for forward-backward SDEs in a Markovian framework when the generator has quadratic growth with respect to Z . In the present paper, using the lent particle method, we establish a derivative formula of Bismut-Elworthy-Li’s type for forward-backward SDEs with respect to Poisson random measure under the nondegeneracy assumption on the diffusion coefficients, which has not been given so far to our knowledge, and we apply this formula to the study of nonlocal quasi-linear integral partial differential equations (PDEs in short).

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall the lent particle method which is the basic tool in our article. In Section 3, some notations and preliminary results on forward-backward SDEs are introduced. In Section 4, we obtain a derivative formula of Bismut-Elworthy-Li’s type for forward-backward SDEs with jumps. Building upon this formula, in Section 5, we establish the existence and uniqueness of solutions to nonlocal quasi-linear integral-PDEs that are differentiable with respect to the space variable, regardless of the potential non-differentiability of the initial conditions or coefficients of the equation.

In the present paper, let C_p be a positive constant only depending on some parameter p , whose value may change from line to line. When we don’t want to emphasize this dependence we just use C instead.

2. LENT PARTICLE METHOD

2.1. Set-up. Let us first specify the general set-up in which we will work. We follow [4] to which we refer for more details.

2.1.1. *Dirichlet Structure on the Bottom Space.* We start from a bottom space (Ξ, \mathcal{G}, ν) , where Ξ is a separable Hausdorff space, \mathcal{G} its Borel σ -algebra and ν a σ -finite and diffuse measure on (Ξ, \mathcal{G}) . Let (\mathbf{d}, e) be a local symmetric Dirichlet form on $L^2(\nu)$ which admits a carré du champ operator γ . That is to say, γ is the unique positive, symmetric and continuous bilinear form from $\mathbf{d} \times \mathbf{d}$ to $L^1(\nu)$ such that

$$e(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Xi} \gamma[f, g] d\nu, \quad \forall f, g \in \mathbf{d}.$$

Moreover, we suppose that there exist $\{k_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbf{d}$ and $A_n \uparrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $k_n 1_{A_n} \uparrow 1$ and $\gamma[k_n] 1_{A_n} = 0$. The structure $(\Xi, \mathcal{G}, \nu, \mathbf{d}, \gamma)$ is called the bottom structure.

Since \mathbf{d} is separable, the bottom Dirichlet structure admits a gradient operator, i.e., there exists a separable Hilbert space H and a linear map D from \mathbf{d} into $L^2(\nu; H)$ such that

$$\gamma[u] = \|Du\|_H^2, \quad \forall u \in \mathbf{d}.$$

Let (R, \mathcal{R}, ρ) be another probability space such that the vector space $L^2(R, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ is infinite dimensional. Take $H = L_0^2(R, \mathcal{R}, \rho) = \{g \in L^2(R, \mathcal{R}, \rho); \int_R g(r) \rho(dr) = 0\}$. The corresponding gradient will be denoted by \flat , and we assume without any loss of generality that constants belong to \mathbf{d}_{loc} (see [5, Chapter I, Definition 7.1.3]) and so that $1^\flat = 0$.

2.1.2. *Space-time Setting and Dirichlet Structure on the Upper Space.* From now on we set $X = [0, T] \times \Xi$, $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{B}([0, T]) \times \mathcal{G}$ and $\mu = dt \times \nu$. Define the Dirichlet structure on (X, \mathcal{X}, μ) to be the product of the trivial one on $(L^2([0, T], dt), 0)$ and (\mathbf{d}, e) and we keep the same notations \mathbf{d} , e , \flat , γ and \mathbf{a} , etc, for operators corresponding to this new Dirichlet form but note that they act only on the second variable.

It is known that X is totally ordered (see [8, Section 1, Theorem 11]) and we denote by \prec such a total order relation. Set

$$\Omega := \{\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{y_i}; \quad y_i \in X, \quad \forall i, \quad \text{and} \quad y_1 \prec y_2 \prec \cdots \prec y_n \prec \cdots\}.$$

Let N be the Poisson random measure with intensity μ defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ where $N(\omega) = \omega$, \mathcal{F} is the σ -algebra generated by N and \mathbb{P} the law of N . Define $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ to be the \mathbb{P} -complete right continuous filtration generated by $\{N([0, t] \times G), t \in [0, T], G \in \mathcal{G}\}$.

We now introduce the creation and annihilation operator ε^+ and ε^- :

$$\begin{aligned} \forall (t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Xi, \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega, \\ \varepsilon_{(t, y)}^+(\omega) &= \omega 1_{\{(t, y) \in \text{supp} \omega\}} + (\omega + \varepsilon_{(t, y)}) 1_{\{(t, y) \notin \text{supp} \omega\}}, \\ \forall (t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Xi, \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega, \\ \varepsilon_{(t, y)}^-(\omega) &= \omega 1_{\{(t, y) \notin \text{supp} \omega\}} + (\omega - \varepsilon_{(t, y)}) 1_{\{(t, y) \in \text{supp} \omega\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $\mathbb{P}_N := \mathbb{P}(d\omega) N_\omega(dt, dy)$. Then it is well known (see [4, Lemma 4.2]) that the map $(\omega, (t, y)) \mapsto (\varepsilon_{(t, y)}^+ \omega, (t, y))$ sends \mathbb{P}_N -negligible sets to $\mathbb{P} \times \mu$ -negligible ones, and the map $(\omega, (t, y)) \mapsto (\varepsilon_{(t, y)}^- \omega, (t, y))$ sends $\mathbb{P} \times \mu$ -negligible sets to \mathbb{P}_N -negligible ones.

If $N(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{y_i}$, then define

$$N \odot \rho(\omega, \hat{\omega}) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{(y_i, r_i(\hat{\omega}))},$$

where (r_i) is a sequence of i.i.d random variables independent of N whose common law is ρ and which are defined on some probability space $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{\mathbb{P}})$. Hence $N \odot \rho$ is defined

on the product probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \times (\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\mathbb{P}})$. It is a Poisson random measure on $X \times R$ with compensator $\mu \times \rho$ which is called the marked Poisson random measure.

The marked Poisson random measure $N \odot \rho$ has the following useful features in connection with N and the measure $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}$ which is crucial in the subsequent sections. The proof is similar to that of [2, Corollary 12], and hence we omit it.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $F, G : \Omega \times X \times R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{R}$ -measurable functions such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Xi} \int_R (|F(t, y, r)| + |F(t, y, r)|^2) \rho(dr) N(dt, dy) &< \infty, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.e., \\ \int_R F(t, y, r) \rho(dr) &= 0, \quad \mathbb{P}_N - a.e. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Xi} \int_R (|G(t, y, r)| + |G(t, y, r)|^2) \rho(dr) N(dt, dy) &< \infty, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.e., \\ \int_R G(t, y, r) \rho(dr) &= 0, \quad \mathbb{P}_N - a.e. \end{aligned}$$

Then the following relation holds \mathbb{P}_N -a.e.

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_0^T \int_{\Xi} \int_R F(t, y, r) N \odot \rho(dt, dy, dr)) (\int_0^T \int_{\Xi} \int_R G(t, y, r) N \odot \rho(dt, dy, dr))] \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\Xi} \int_R F(t, y, r) G(t, y, r) \rho(dr) N(dt, dy). \end{aligned}$$

Here and in the sequel, $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \int_{(\alpha, \beta]}$ for $\alpha < \beta$, and $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}$ denotes the expectation with respect to $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}$.

On the product structure $(X_n, \mathcal{X}_n, \mu_n, \mathbf{d}_n, \gamma_n) = (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu, \mathbf{d}, \gamma)^n$ the Dirichlet form is defined by

$$e_n(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{X_n} \gamma_n[f] d\mu_n.$$

We refer to [5, Chapter V] for a detailed account of the theory of this product Dirichlet structure. Let $\{p_t; t \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$ be the semigroup associated to e and $\{p_t^n; t \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$ that associated to e_n . For $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$ with the chaos decomposition

$$F = \mathbb{E}[F] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n(f_n),$$

define

$$P_t F = \mathbb{E}[F] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n(p_t^n(f_n)).$$

Then $\{P_t; t \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ forms a symmetric strongly continuous semigroup whose infinitesimal generator is given by

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \{F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}); \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{P_t F - F}{t} \text{ exists in } L^2(\mathbb{P})\}$$

and

$$A[F] = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{P_t F - F}{t}, \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{D}(A).$$

Define

$$\mathbb{D} = \{F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}); \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \langle \frac{F - P_t F}{t}, F \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} < \infty\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}(F) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \langle \frac{F - P_t F}{t}, F \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{P})}, \quad \forall F \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then $(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{E})$ is a local symmetric Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ with a carré du champ operator Γ given by

$$\Gamma[F, G] = \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F^\sharp G^\sharp],$$

where

$$F^\sharp = \int_0^T \int_{\Xi} \int_R \varepsilon^- (\varepsilon^+ F)^\flat dN \odot \rho. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\Gamma[F] = \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[(F^\sharp)^2] = \int_0^T \int_{\Xi} \varepsilon^- (\gamma[\varepsilon^+ F]) dN, \quad \forall F \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We now recall the definition of the divergence operator δ_\sharp .

Definition 2.2. *The operator $\delta_\sharp : L^2(\mathbb{P} \times \widehat{\mathbb{P}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is defined as the adjoint operator of the gradient $F \in \mathbb{D} \mapsto F^\sharp \in L^2(\mathbb{P} \times \widehat{\mathbb{P}})$, and we denote by $\text{dom} \delta_\sharp$ the domain of δ_\sharp .*

We will need the following result which is due to [4, Proposition 5.6].

Proposition 2.3. *Let $F = F(\omega, \hat{\omega})$ be \mathcal{F}^\odot -measurable, where \mathcal{F}^\odot is the σ -field on $\Omega \times \widehat{\Omega}$ generated by $N \odot \rho$, $F \in \text{dom} \delta_\sharp$, then*

$$\delta_\sharp F = \int_0^T \int_{\Xi} \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y)}^- (\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\delta_b(\varepsilon_{(\alpha, y, r)}^+ F)(\alpha, y)]) N(d\alpha, dy),$$

where ε^+ is relative to the Poisson random measure $N \odot \rho$ under $\mathbb{P} \times \widehat{\mathbb{P}}$ hence adds a point (α, y, r) while operator ε is relative to N .

3. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS ON THE FORWARD-BACKWARD SYSTEMS

3.1. Notations. Throughout this paper, \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^+ are the set of real numbers and non-negative real numbers respectively, and \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{N}^* are the set of integers and positive integers respectively. We use the notation $|\cdot|$ to denote the usual Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^d and $\mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^l$ simultaneously. From this section on, we denote $(\Xi, \mathcal{G}, \nu) = (\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{O}), kdu)$.

For any real and separable Hilbert space K , the symbol $\mathcal{S}^p(K)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, or \mathcal{S}^p where no confusion is possible, denotes the space of all predictable processes $\{Y(\tau); \tau \in [0, T]\}$, with values in K , such that the norm

$$\|Y\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} = \mathbb{E}[\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} |Y(\tau)|^p]^{1/p}$$

is finite. $\mathcal{S}^\infty(K)$, or \mathcal{S}^∞ where no confusion is possible, denotes the space of all bounded predictable processes. We also denote by $\mathcal{M}^p(K)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), or \mathcal{M}^p where no confusion

is possible, the space of all predictable processes $\{Z(\tau, u); \tau \in [0, T], u \in \mathcal{O}\}$ with values in K , normed by

$$\|Z\|_{\mathcal{M}^p} = \mathbb{E}[(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z(\tau, u)|^2 \nu(du) d\tau)^{p/2}]^{1/p}.$$

Given two finite-dimensional spaces \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n , we say that a mapping $F : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^m; \mathbb{R}^n)$ if it is continuous, continuously differentiable on \mathbb{R}^m , and its derivative $\nabla F : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow L(\mathbb{R}^m; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous. For another finite-dimensional space \mathbb{R}^p , a mapping $F : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ if it is continuous, continuously differentiable with respect to $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$, and the partial derivative $\nabla_y F : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow L(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous. In this work, we focus on specific instances of these classes, such as $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$ (functions continuous in time and Lipschitz in space), $\mathcal{C}^{1,1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ (functions continuously differentiable in all three variables), and $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$ (continuously differentiable functions).

We denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}}$ the set of real-valued processes $\{Y(t); t \in [0, T]\}$ which satisfy

$$Y(t) \in \mathbb{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \|Y\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}}} := \mathbb{E}[\int_0^T |Y(t)|^2 dt] + \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T |Y^\sharp(t)|^2 dt] < \infty.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}, \nu}$ the set of real-valued processes $\{Z(t, u); t \in [0, T], u \in \mathcal{O}\}$ which satisfy $Z(t, u) \in \mathbb{D}$ and

$$\|Z\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}, \nu}} := \mathbb{E}[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z(t, u)|^2 \nu(du) dt] + \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z^\sharp(t, u)|^2 \nu(du) dt] < \infty.$$

We also denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}}^d$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}, \nu}^d$ the space of \mathbb{R}^d -valued processes such that each coordinate belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}, \nu}$ respectively and we equip it with the standard norm of product topology.

3.2. Forward SDEs. The coefficients b and σ are assumed to satisfy the following conditions.

(FL) There exists a constant $C_{FL} > 0$ such that

$$|b(t, x)| \vee |\sigma(t, x)| \leq C_{FL}(1 + |x|), \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

and

$$|b(t, x) - b(t, y)| \vee |\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, y)| \leq C_{FL}|x - y|, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(FD) (a) For all $t \in [0, T]$, $b(t, \cdot)$ is differentiable with continuous derivative and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla b(t, x)| < \infty;$$

(b) for all $t \in [0, T]$, $\sigma(t, \cdot)$ is differentiable with continuous derivative and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \sigma(t, x)| < \infty.$$

(FE) σ is bounded and uniformly nondegenerate, i.e., there exist two constants $C_{FB}, C_{FE} > 0$ such that $|\sigma(t, x)| \leq C_{FB}$ and $\sigma(t, x)\sigma^*(t, x) \geq C_{FE}I$.

The following result can be found in [3, Proposition 9].

Theorem 3.1. (1) Under the assumption **(FL)**, there exists a unique solution $\{X(\tau, t, x); \tau \in [t, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ to Eq. (1).

- (2) Moreover, for all $p \geq 2$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of b and σ such that for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{\tau \in [t, T]} |X(\tau, t, x)|^p] \leq C_p(1 + |x|^p).$$

- (3) Furthermore, under the assumption **(FD)**, the L^2 -derivative of the solution to Eq. (1) with respect to x , $\nabla X(\tau, t, x)$, exists and is the unique solution of the following matrix-valued SDE with jumps:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla X(\tau, t, x) &= I + \int_t^\tau \nabla b(s, X(s, t, x)) \cdot \nabla X(s, t, x) ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla \sigma(s, X(s-, t, x)) u \cdot \nabla X(s-, t, x) \tilde{N}(ds, du). \end{aligned}$$

- (4) Finally, the solution $\{X(\tau, t, x); \tau \in [t, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}}^d$, and for every $t \in [0, T]$, the Malliavin derivative $X^\sharp(\tau, t, x)$ satisfies the following SDEs with jumps:

$$\begin{aligned} X^\sharp(\tau, t, x) &= \int_t^\tau \nabla b(s, X(s, t, x)) \cdot X^\sharp(s, t, x) ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O} \times R} \sigma(s, X(s-, t, x)) u^\flat N \odot \rho(ds, du, dr) \\ &\quad + \int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla \sigma(s, X(s-, t, x)) u \cdot X^\sharp(s-, t, x) \tilde{N}(ds, du). \end{aligned}$$

3.3. Forward-Backward System. We need the following assumptions on ψ and ϕ .

- (BL)** The functions ψ and ϕ are Lipschitz and with at most polynomial growth, i.e., there exist two constants $C_{BL} > 0$ and $\mu \geq 0$ such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$, $z, z' \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t, x, y, z) - \psi(t, x, y', z')| &\leq C_{BL}(|y - y'| + |z - z'|), \\ |\psi(t, x, 0, 0)| &\leq C_{BL}(1 + |x|)^\mu, \quad |\phi(x)| \leq C_{BL}(1 + |x|)^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

- (BD)** (a) $\psi(t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$, $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$, for every $t \in [0, T]$;
(b) there exist two constants $C_{BD} > 0$ and $m \geq 0$ such that

$$|\nabla_x \psi(t, x, y, z)| \leq C_{BD}(1 + |z|)(1 + |x| + |y|)^m, \quad |\nabla_x \phi(x)| \leq C_{BD},$$

for every $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}$.

The following results are important and we will give its proof in the Appendix A.

Theorem 3.2. (1) Under the assumptions **(FL)** and **(BL)**, for any $p \geq 1$, there exists a unique solution

$$(Y(\tau, t, x), Z(\tau, t, x, u)) \in \mathcal{S}^p \times \mathcal{M}^p$$

to Eq. (2).

- (2) Moreover, for all $p \geq 2$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of b , σ , ψ and ϕ , such that, for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}[\sup_{\tau \in [t, T]} |Y(\tau, t, x)|^p + (\int_t^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z(\tau, t, x, u)|^2 \nu(du) d\tau)^{\frac{p}{2}}] \\ &\leq C_p \mathbb{E}[\int_t^T |\psi(s, X(s, t, x), 0, 0)|^2 ds]^{\frac{p}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$+C_p\mathbb{E}[|\phi(X_T)|^p],$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\sup_{\tau \in [t, T]} |Y(\tau, t, x)|^p + (\int_t^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z(\tau, t, x, u)|^2 \nu(du) d\tau)^{\frac{p}{2}}] \\ \leq & C_p(T-t)^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathbb{E}[\int_t^T |\psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du))|^2 ds]^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ & + C_p \mathbb{E}[|\phi(X_T)|^p], \end{aligned}$$

and for any $\rho > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} e^{\rho\tau} |Y(\tau, t, x)|^2 + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{\rho\tau} |Z(\tau, t, x, u)|^2 \nu(du) d\tau] \\ \leq & \frac{C_2}{\rho} \mathbb{E}[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} |\psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du))|^2 ds] \\ & + C_2 e^{\rho T} \mathbb{E}[|\phi(X_T)|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Furthermore, under the assumptions **(FL)**, **(BL)** and **(BD)**, the L^2 -derivative of the solution of Eq. (2) with respect to x ,

$$(\nabla Y(\tau, t, x), \nabla Z(\tau, t, x, u)),$$

exists and is the unique solution of the following backward SDEs with jumps:

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla Y(\tau, t, x) + \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla Z(s-, t, x, u) \tilde{N}(ds, du) \\ = & - \int_{\tau}^T (\nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \nabla X(s, t, x) \\ & + \nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \nabla Y(s, t, x) \\ & + \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du) ds \\ & + \nabla \phi(X(T, t, x)) \nabla X(T, t, x). \end{aligned}$$

(4) Finally, for every $t \in [0, T]$, the solution

$$\{Y(\tau, t, x), Z(\tau, t, x, u); \tau \in [t, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathcal{O}\}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}} \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}, \nu}$, and the Malliavin derivative

$$\{Y^{\sharp}(\tau, t, x), Z^{\sharp}(\tau, t, x, u); \tau \in [t, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathcal{O}\}$$

satisfies the following backward SDEs with jumps:

$$\begin{aligned} & Y^{\sharp}(\tau, t, x) + \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^{\sharp}(s-, t, x, u) \tilde{N}(ds, du) \\ = & - \int_{\tau}^T (\nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) X^{\sharp}(s, t, x) \\ & + \nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) Y^{\sharp}(s, t, x) \\ & + \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^{\sharp}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du) ds \\ & + \nabla \phi(X(T, t, x)) X^{\sharp}(T, t, x). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.3. *In fact, there has been relatively little research on the Malliavin differentiability of forward-backward SDEs with jumps before, and to our knowledge, only Delong in [9] has considered this topic. When the Malliavin differentiability of the solution to Eq. (2) is obtained, we can even consider the problem of the existence and smoothness of the densities of forward-backward SDEs with jumps in our future work.*

4. BISMUT-ELWORTHY-LI FORMULAE

We will make use of the following assumptions.

- (L) (a) The Lévy measure ν satisfies $\nu(\mathcal{O}) = +\infty$.
 (b) $k \in \mathcal{C}_0^1(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\})$, and there exists a positive constant C_B such that
- $$|\nabla \log k(u)| \leq C_B |u|^{-1}, \quad u \in \mathcal{O}.$$
- (c) there exists $\beta \in (0, 2)$ such that
- (i) For any $p \geq 2$, there exists a positive constant C_O such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^p \nu(du) \leq C_O \varepsilon^{p-\beta};$$

- (ii) For any $p \geq 1$, there exists a positive constant C_I such that for any $\tau \in [t, T]$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[(\int_t^\tau \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^3 N(ds, du))^{-p}] \leq C_I (((\tau - t)\varepsilon^{3-\beta})^{-p} + (\tau - t)^{-\frac{3p}{\beta}}).$$

Let us give some comments on these assumptions. In [19, 20], the following growth condition near the origin on the intensity measure is used:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\beta-2} \int_{\{|u| < \varepsilon\}} |u|^2 \nu(du) > 0, \quad \beta \in (0, 2). \quad (4)$$

It can be seen from [20, Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.6] that the assumption (L-c) is satisfied under this growth condition. It is easy to see that if $\nu(du)/du = k(u) = a(u)|u|^{-d-\beta}$ with

$$a(u) = a(-u), \quad 0 < a_0 \leq a(u) \leq a_1, \quad |\nabla a(u)| \leq a_2,$$

then the growth condition (4) and the assumption (L-a,b) are satisfied. The Lévy processes corresponding to this ν is called β -stable-like process as in [6].

However, Proposition 4.11 in [17] reveals that the growth condition (4) is stronger than the following one: there exists $\beta \in (0, 2)$ such that

$$(0, \infty) \ni \kappa = \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{\beta/3}} \int_{\mathcal{O}} (1 - \exp\{-\lambda|u|^3\}) \nu(du).$$

The following proposition, whose detailed proof is included in the Appendix B, shows that the assumption (L-c) still holds under this relatively weak condition.

Proposition 4.1. *If there exists $\beta \in (0, 2)$ such that*

$$(0, \infty) \ni \kappa = \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{\beta/3}} \int_{\mathcal{O}} (1 - \exp\{-\lambda|u|^3\}) \nu(du), \quad (5)$$

then

- (1) *for any $p \geq 2$, there exists a positive constant C_O such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^p \nu(du) \leq C_O \varepsilon^{p-\beta};$$

(2) for any $p \geq 1$, there exists a positive constant C_I such that for any $\tau \in [t, T]$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[(\int_t^\tau \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^3 N(ds, du))^{-p}] \leq C_I(((\tau - t)\varepsilon^{3-\beta})^{-p} + (\tau - t)^{-\frac{3p}{\beta}}).$$

Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and let $\zeta_\varepsilon(u)$ be a smooth real function with

$$\zeta_\varepsilon(u) = \begin{cases} |u|^3, & |u| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \\ 0, & |u| > \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\nabla \zeta_\varepsilon(u)| \leq C|u|^2,$$

where C is independence of ε . Denote by $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ the set of \mathcal{C}^∞ -functions defined on \mathcal{O} and with compact support and by H the subspace consisting of $f \in L^2(\nu) \cap L^1(\nu)$ such that $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})$. Then the bilinear form

$$e_\varepsilon(\phi, \psi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{\mathcal{O}} \zeta_\varepsilon(u) \partial_i \phi(u) \partial_i \psi(u) k(u) du, \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in H$$

is closable and its closure, which will be denoted by $(\mathbf{d}_\varepsilon, e_\varepsilon)$, is a local symmetric Dirichlet form on $L^2(kdu)$ which admits a carré du champ operator γ_ε given by

$$\gamma_\varepsilon[\phi, \psi] = \sum_{i=1}^l \zeta_\varepsilon \partial_i \phi \partial_i \psi, \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in \mathbf{d}_\varepsilon.$$

Since

$$\gamma_\varepsilon[\phi](u) = \zeta_\varepsilon(u) \sum_{i=1}^l (\partial_i \phi(u))^2, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{O}),$$

and the identity map j_ε belongs to \mathbf{d}_ε , we have $\gamma_\varepsilon[j_\varepsilon, j_\varepsilon^*](u) = \zeta_\varepsilon(u)I$. Therefore,

$$j_\varepsilon^\flat(u, r) = \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(u) \xi(r).$$

Here

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1(r) \\ \xi_2(r) \\ \vdots \\ \xi_l(r) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\xi_i \in L^2(\rho)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$, satisfy

$$\int_R \xi_i(r) \rho(dr) = 0$$

and

$$\int_R \xi_i(r) \xi_j(r) \rho(dr) = \delta_{ij}$$

for all $i, j = 1, \dots, l$. Consequently,

$$\phi^\flat(u, r) = \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(u) \sum_{i=1}^l \partial_i \phi(u) \xi_i(r).$$

Here and in the sequel, the operators \flat , δ_\flat , \sharp and δ_\sharp corresponding to $(d_\varepsilon, e_\varepsilon)$ depend of course on ε , but for notational simplicity, we will omit this ε as a subscript. For a $U(u, r) \in L^2(\nu \times \rho)$ which is \mathcal{C}^1 in the first variable,

$$[\delta_\flat U](u) = - \int_R [\theta_\varepsilon(u, r)U(u, r) + \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(u) \sum_{i=1}^l \partial_i U(u, r) \xi_i(r)] \rho(dr), \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\varepsilon(u, r) &= \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{\partial_i(\zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(u)k(u))}{k(u)} \xi_i(r) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^l (\partial_i \log k(u) \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(u) + \frac{1}{2} \zeta_\varepsilon^{-1/2}(u) \partial_i \zeta_\varepsilon(u)) \xi_i(r). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In fact, according to the integration by parts formula and Fubini theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R U(u, r) \phi^\flat(u, r) \rho(dr) \nu(du) \\ &= \int_R \int_{\mathcal{O}} U(u, r) \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(u) \sum_{i=1}^l \partial_i \phi(u) \xi_i(r) k(u) du \rho(dr) \\ &= \int_R \sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i(r) \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \partial_i \phi(u) U(u, r) \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(u) k(u) du \right) \rho(dr) \\ &= \int_R \sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i(r) \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \phi(u) \partial_i (U(u, r) \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(u) k(u)) du \right) \rho(dr) \\ &= \int_R \sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i(r) \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \phi(u) \partial_i (\zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(u) k(u)) U(u, r) du \right) \rho(dr) \\ &\quad + \int_R \sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i(r) \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \phi(u) \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(u) k(u) \partial_i U(u, r) du \right) \rho(dr) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\int_R \left(\sum_{i=1}^l \frac{\partial_i(\zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(u)k(u))}{k(u)} \xi_i(r) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(u) \sum_{i=1}^l \partial_i U(u, r) \xi_i(r) \right) \rho(dr) \right) \phi(u) \nu(du), \end{aligned}$$

then the desired result can be obtained through the definition of $[\delta_\flat U](u)$.

Now the derivative formulae of Bismut-Elworthy-Li's type for forward-backward SDEs with respect to Poisson random measure can be established as follows.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that the assumptions **(L)**, **(FD)**, **(FE)**, **(BL)** and **(BD)** are satisfied. Then for any $\tau \in [t, T]$ and $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\nabla Y(\tau, t, x)h] \\ &= -\mathbb{E}\left[\int_\tau^T \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \cdot [\delta_\sharp(F_\varepsilon^*(s) \cdot h) \cdot G_\varepsilon^{-1}(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_\varepsilon^*(s) \cdot h \cdot (G_\varepsilon^{-1}(s))^\sharp]] ds\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +\mathbb{E}[\phi(X(T, t, x)) \cdot [\delta_{\#}(F_{\varepsilon}^*(T) \cdot h) \cdot G_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(T) \\
& -\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_{\varepsilon}^*(T) \cdot h \cdot (G_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(T))^{\#}]]],
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\varepsilon}(\tau) = & \int_t^{\tau} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R \sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y) \\
& \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_{\varepsilon}^{\flat}(y, r) N \odot \rho(d\alpha, dy, dr)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$G_{\varepsilon}(\tau) = \int_t^{\tau} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \zeta_{\varepsilon}(y) N(d\alpha, dy).$$

The following gradient estimate will play an important role in the subsequent section.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that $\beta \in (1, 2)$ and the assumptions **(L)**, **(FD)**, **(FE)**, **(BL)** and **(BD)** are satisfied. Then there exists a positive constant C , which is independent of the constants C_{BL} and C_{BD} , such that for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$|\nabla Y(t, t, x)| \leq C(T - t)^{-1/\beta}(1 + |x|)^{\mu}.$$

Remark 4.4. *According to Theorem 4.2, it is worth mentioning that the estimate on $\nabla Y(t, t, x)$ does not depend on the constant in the assumption **(BD)**, and hence the regularity assumption of ψ and ϕ required in the assumption **(BD)** can be removed, and this is the starting point for our application in Section 5.*

Remark 4.5. *Li in [13] has considered the mean-field forward and backward SDEs with jumps and associated nonlocal quasi-linear integral-PDEs of mean-field type. In the future work, using the lent particle method, we will attempt to obtain the Bismut-Elworthy-Li formule for the mean-field forward-backward SDEs with jumps and apply it to the quasi-linear integral-PDEs of mean field type to weaken the conditions of initial datum and coefficients.*

Remark 4.6. *Although our focus has been exclusively on the jump case in this paper, we note that the results presented here can be extended to incorporate Brownian motion as well. However, to maintain the conciseness and focus of the current work, we have omitted this extension. The necessary modifications to include Brownian motion are relatively straightforward and do not present significant additional difficulties in the proofs.*

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3.

4.1. Some Useful Lemmas. To prove Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3, we need several lemmas. The first one is the following Kunita's inequalities which can be found in [12, Theorem 2.11].

Lemma 4.7. (1) *For any $p \geq 1$, there is a positive constant C such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \psi(s, u) N(ds, du)|^p] \\
& \leq C \mathbb{E}[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\psi(s, u)|^p \nu(du) ds] + C \mathbb{E}[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\psi(s, u)|^p \nu(du) ds],
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\psi(s, u) : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a predictable process such that the term on the right-hand side of (8) is finite.

(2) For any $p \geq 2$, there is a positive constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \psi(s, u) \tilde{N}(ds, du) \right|^p \right] \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\psi(s, u)|^2 \nu(du) ds \right]^{p/2} + C \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\psi(s, u)|^p \nu(du) ds \right], \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $\psi(s, u) : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a predictable process such that the term on the right-hand side of (9) is finite.

Lemma 4.8. Under the assumptions **(FD)**, **(BL)** and **(BD)**, \mathbb{P} -a.s., for a.e. $s \in [t, T]$ and $u \in \mathcal{O}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla Y(\tau, s, X(s, t, x)) \nabla X(s, t, x), \nabla Z(\tau, s, X(s, t, x), u) \nabla X(s, t, x)) \\ & = (\nabla Y(\tau, t, x), \nabla Z(\tau, t, x, u)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First of all, using the uniqueness of the solution of Eq. (1), for any $t \leq s \leq \tau \leq T$, we have

$$\mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \quad X(\tau, s, X(s, t, x)) = X(\tau, t, x).$$

It is also easy to see that for any $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \leq s \leq \tau \leq T$, we have

$$\mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \quad \nabla X(\tau, s, X(s, t, x)) \nabla X(s, t, x) h = \nabla X(\tau, t, x) h.$$

Since the solution of the backward SDE with jumps is uniquely determined, on an interval $[s, T]$, by the values of the process X on the same interval, for $t \leq s \leq \tau \leq T$, we have \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\tau, s, X(s, t, x)) &= Y(\tau, t, x), \\ Z(\tau, s, X(s, t, x), u) &= Z(\tau, t, x, u), \end{aligned}$$

and hence the desired result can be obtained by the chain rule. \square

Lemma 4.9. Under the assumptions **(FD)**, **(BL)** and **(BD)**, for all $\tau \in [t, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $u \in \mathcal{O}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X^\sharp(\tau, t, x) &= \int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R \nabla X(\tau, \alpha-, x) (\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) y)^\flat N \odot \rho(d\alpha, dy, dr), \\ Y^\sharp(\tau, t, x) &= \int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R \nabla Y(\tau, \alpha-, x) (\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) y)^\flat N \odot \rho(d\alpha, dy, dr), \\ Z^\sharp(\tau, t, x, u) &= \int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R \nabla Z(\tau, \alpha-, x, u) (\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) y)^\flat N \odot \rho(d\alpha, dy, dr). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $(\alpha, y, u) \in [t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{O}$, setting

$$\begin{aligned} X^{(\alpha, y)}(\tau, t, x) &:= \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y)}^+ X(\tau, t, x), \quad \nabla X^{\alpha, y}(\tau, \alpha, x) := \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y)}^+ \nabla X(\tau, \alpha, x), \\ Y^{(\alpha, y)}(\tau, t, x) &:= \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y)}^+ Y(\tau, t, x), \quad \nabla Y^{\alpha, y}(\tau, \alpha, x) := \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y)}^+ \nabla Y(\tau, \alpha, x), \\ Z^{(\alpha, y)}(\tau, t, x, u) &:= \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y)}^+ Z(\tau, t, x, u), \quad \nabla Z^{\alpha, y}(\tau, \alpha, x, u) := \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y)}^+ \nabla Z(\tau, \alpha, x, u). \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} & X^{(\alpha, y)}(\tau, t, x) \\ &= x + \int_t^\alpha b(s, X(s, t, x)) ds + \int_t^\alpha \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sigma(s, X(s-, t, x)) u \tilde{N}(ds, du) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{\alpha}^{\tau} b(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x)) ds \\
& + \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y + \int_{\alpha}^{\tau} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sigma(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s-, t, x))u \tilde{N}(ds, du).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 3.1, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla X^{(\alpha,y)}(\tau, \alpha, x) \\
= & I + \int_{\alpha}^{\tau} \nabla b(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x)) \cdot \nabla X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, x) ds \\
& + \int_{\alpha}^{\tau} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla \sigma(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s-, t, x))u \cdot \nabla X^{(\alpha,y)}(s-, \alpha, x) \tilde{N}(ds, du).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the gradient of $X^{(\alpha,y)}(t, x)$ with respect to the variable y , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (X^{(\alpha,y)}(\tau, t, x))^{\flat} \\
= & \int_{\alpha}^{\tau} \nabla b(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x)) \cdot (X^{(\alpha,y)}(s-, t, x))^{\flat} ds \\
& + (\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y)^{\flat} + \int_{\alpha}^{\tau} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla \sigma(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s-, t, x))u \cdot (X^{(\alpha,y)}(s-, t, x))^{\flat} \tilde{N}(ds, du).
\end{aligned}$$

Then for $(\alpha, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we have the following relation:

$$(X^{(\alpha,y)}(\tau, t, x))^{\flat} = \nabla X^{(\alpha,y)}(\tau, \alpha, x)(\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y)^{\flat}. \quad (10)$$

Now, we calculate gradient on the bottom Dirichlet structure and then we take back the particle:

$$\forall \tau \in [t, T], \quad \varepsilon_{(\alpha,y)}^{-}(X^{(\alpha,y)}(\tau, t, x))^{\flat} = \nabla X(\tau, \alpha, x)(\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y)^{\flat},$$

which is an equality $\mathbb{P}_{N \odot \rho}$ -a.e. Finally by the formula of gradient operator (3), we have

$$X^{\sharp}(\tau, t, x) = \int_t^{\tau} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R \nabla X(\tau, \alpha, x)(\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y)^{\flat} N \odot \rho(d\alpha, dy, dr).$$

On the other hand, using the same argument as above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y^{(\alpha,y)}(\tau, t, x) + \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^{(\alpha,y)}(s-, t, x, u) \tilde{N}(ds, du) + Z(\alpha, t, x, y) 1_{\{\tau \in [0, \alpha]\}} \\
= & - \int_{\tau}^T \psi(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x), Y^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) ds \\
& + \nabla \phi(X^{(\alpha,y)}(T, t, x)).
\end{aligned}$$

Then for any $\tau \in [\alpha, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (Y^{(\alpha,y)}(\tau, t, x))^b + \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^{(\alpha,y)}(s-, t, x, u))^b \tilde{N}(ds, du) \\
&= - \int_{\tau}^T (\nabla_x \psi(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x), Y^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x), \\
&\quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) (X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x))^b \\
&\quad + \nabla_y \psi(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x), Y^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x), \\
&\quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) (Y^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x))^b \\
&\quad + \nabla_z \psi(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x), Y^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x), \\
&\quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x, u))^b l(u) \nu(du) ds \\
&\quad + \nabla \phi(X^{(\alpha,y)}(T, t, x)) (X^{(\alpha,y)}(T, t, x))^b.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Applying the similar procedure as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, for any $\alpha \in [0, \tau]$ and $y, h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla Y^{(\alpha,y)}(\tau, \alpha, x) h + \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla Z^{(\alpha,y)}(s-, \alpha, x, u) h \tilde{N}(ds, du) \\
&= - \int_{\tau}^T (\nabla_x \psi(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, x), Y^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \nabla X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, x) h \\
&\quad + \nabla_y \psi(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, x), Y^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \nabla Y^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, x) h \\
&\quad + \nabla_z \psi(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, x), Y^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \\
&\quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla Z^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du) h ds \\
&\quad + \nabla \phi(X^{(\alpha,y)}(T, \alpha, x)) \nabla X^{(\alpha,y)}(T, \alpha, x) h.
\end{aligned}$$

Now given $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \in [0, \alpha]$, we can replace x by $X(\alpha, t, x)$ and h by $\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) y^b v$ in this equation, and hence using (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla Y^{(\alpha,y)}(\tau, \alpha, X(\alpha, t, x)) \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha, t, x)) y^b v \\
&+ \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla Z^{(\alpha,y)}(s-, \alpha, X(\alpha, t, x), u) \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha, t, x)) y^b v \tilde{N}(ds, du) \\
&= - \int_{\tau}^T (\nabla_x \psi(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, X(\alpha, t, x)), Y^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, X(\alpha, t, x)), \\
&\quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, X(\alpha, t, x), u) l(u) \nu(du)) (X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x))^b v \\
&\quad + \nabla_y \psi(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, X(\alpha, t, x)), Y^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, X(\alpha, t, x)), \\
&\quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, X(\alpha, t, x), u) l(u) \nu(du)) \nabla Y^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, X(\alpha, t, x)) \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) y^b v \\
&\quad + \nabla_z \psi(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, X(\alpha, t, x)), Y^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, X(\alpha, t, x)),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^{(\alpha,y)}(s, \alpha, X(\alpha, t, x), u) l(u) \nu(du) \\
& \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla Z^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, X(\alpha, t, x), u) l(u) \nu(du) \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) y^b v ds \\
& + \nabla \phi(X^{(\alpha,y)}(T, t, x)) (X^{(\alpha,y)}(T, t, x))^b v.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies by (11) and Lemma 4.8 that

$$\begin{aligned}
(Y^{(\alpha,y)}(\tau, t, x))^b &= \nabla Y^{(\alpha,y)}(\tau, \alpha, X(\alpha, t, x)) (\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) y)^b \\
&= \nabla Y^{(\alpha,y)}(\tau, \alpha, x) (\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) y)^b
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(Z^{(\alpha,y)}(\tau, t, x, u))^b &= \nabla Z^{(\alpha,y)}(\tau, \alpha, X(\alpha, t, x), u) (\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) y)^b \\
&= \nabla Z^{(\alpha,y)}(\tau, \alpha, x, u) (\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) y)^b.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the desired result can be obtained by the formula of gradient operator (3). \square

Lemma 4.10. *Under the assumptions **(L)**, **(FD)**, **(FE)**, **(BL)** and **(BD)** we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_x X(\tau, t, x) &= \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[(X(\tau, t, x))^{\sharp} F_{\varepsilon}^*(\tau) G_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\tau)], \\
\nabla_x Y(\tau, t, x) &= \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[(Y(\tau, t, x))^{\sharp} F_{\varepsilon}^*(\tau) G_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\tau)], \\
\nabla_x Z(\tau, t, x, u) &= \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[(Z(\tau, t, x, u))^{\sharp} F_{\varepsilon}^*(\tau) G_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\tau)],
\end{aligned}$$

where F_{ε} and G_{ε} are defined as in Theorem 4.2.

Proof. First of all, by Lemma 4.9, we have

$$X^{\sharp}(\tau, t, x) = \int_t^{\tau} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R \nabla X(\tau, \alpha, x) \cdot (\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) u)^b N \odot \rho(d\alpha, du, dr).$$

On the other hand we have

$$\nabla_x X(\alpha, t, x) - \nabla_x X(\alpha-, t, x) = \nabla \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot \Delta P(\alpha) \cdot \nabla_x X(\alpha-, t, x),$$

where

$$P(s) = \int_t^s \int_{\mathcal{O}} u \tilde{N}(ds, du),$$

or equivalently,

$$(I + \nabla \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) y) \cdot \nabla_x X(\alpha-, t, x) = \nabla_x X(\alpha, t, x).$$

Then by Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 4.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[X^{\sharp}(\tau, t, x) \cdot F_{\varepsilon}^*(\tau) \cdot h \cdot G_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\tau)] \\
&= \widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_t^{\tau} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R \nabla_x X(\tau, \alpha, x) \cdot (\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) u)^b N \odot \rho(d\alpha, du, dr) \right. \\
&\quad \left. F_{\varepsilon}^*(\tau) \cdot h \cdot G_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\tau) \right] \\
&= \widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_t^{\tau} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R \nabla_x X(\tau, \alpha, x) \cdot \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot j_{\varepsilon}^b(u, r) N \odot \rho(d\alpha, du, dr) \right. \\
&\quad \left. F_{\varepsilon}^*(\tau) \cdot h \cdot G_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\tau) \right] \\
&= \int_t^{\tau} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla_x X(\tau, \alpha, x) \cdot \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot \gamma_{\varepsilon}[j, j^*](u) \\
&\quad \cdot \sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) u) \cdot \nabla_x X(\alpha-, t, x) N(d\alpha, du) \cdot h \cdot G_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\tau)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \nabla_x X(\tau, t, x) \cdot h \cdot \int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} \zeta_\varepsilon(u) N(d\alpha, du) \cdot G_\varepsilon^{-1}(\tau) \\
&= \nabla_x X(\tau, t, x) \cdot h.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.8 and Lemma 4.9, the representation of $\nabla_x Y(\tau, t, x)$ and $\nabla_x Z(\tau, t, x, u)$ can be obtained similarly. Then we complete the proof. \square

Lemma 4.11. *Let $F_\varepsilon(\tau)$, $G_\varepsilon(\tau)$ be defined as in Theorem 4.2. Then for any $\tau \in [t, T]$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta_\#(F_\varepsilon^*(\tau) \cdot h) \\
&= \int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[-\theta_\varepsilon(y, r) \cdot (\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)))y) \\
&\quad \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_\varepsilon^\flat(y, r))^* \cdot h \\
&\quad - \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(y) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^l (\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot \nabla\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))e_i \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_\varepsilon^\flat(y, r) \\
&\quad + \sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)))y \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot \partial_i \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(y) \cdot \xi(r))^* \cdot h] \\
&\quad \rho(dr) N(d\alpha, dy),
\end{aligned}$$

where $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^*$, and

$$G_\varepsilon^\#(\tau) = \int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(y) \sum_{i=1}^l \partial_i \zeta_\varepsilon(y) \xi_i(r) N \odot \rho(d\alpha, dy, dr).$$

Proof. First of all, the second conclusion can be easily obtained by [3, Proposition 8].

Now we prove the first one. Let $(\alpha, y, r) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^l \times R$. Then it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon_{(\alpha, y, r)}^+(F_\varepsilon^*(\tau) \cdot h) \\
&= \left(\int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R \sigma^{-1}(\alpha, \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y)}^+ X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla\sigma(\alpha, \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y)}^+ X(\alpha-, t, x)))y \right. \\
&\quad \cdot \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y)}^+(\nabla X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot j_\varepsilon^\flat(y, r) N \odot \rho(d\alpha, dy, dr))^* \cdot h \\
&\quad \left. + (\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)))y \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_\varepsilon^\flat(y, r))^* \cdot h. \right.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_i(\varepsilon_{(\alpha, y, r)}^+(F_\varepsilon^*(\tau) \cdot h)) \\
&= ((\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot \nabla\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))e_i \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_\varepsilon^\flat(y, r) \\
&\quad + \sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)))y \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot \partial_i j_\varepsilon^\flat(y, r))^* \cdot h \\
&= (\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot \nabla\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))e_i \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_\varepsilon^\flat(y, r) \\
&\quad + \sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)))y \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot \partial_i \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(y) \cdot \xi(r))^* \cdot h.
\end{aligned}$$

By (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\delta_b(\varepsilon_{(\alpha, y, r)}^+(F_\varepsilon^*(\tau) \cdot h))] \\
&= \int_R \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[-\theta_\varepsilon(y, r) \cdot \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y, r)}^+(F_\varepsilon^*(\tau) \cdot h) - \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(y) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^l \partial_i(\varepsilon_{(\alpha, y, r)}^+(F_\varepsilon^*(\tau) \cdot h)) \cdot \xi(r)] \rho(dr) \\
&= \int_R \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[-\theta_\varepsilon(y, r)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot (\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y) \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_\varepsilon^b(y, r))^* \cdot h \\
& - \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(y) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^l (\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot \nabla \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))e_i \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_\varepsilon^b(y, r) \\
& + \sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y) \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot \partial_i \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(y) \cdot \xi(r))^* \cdot h] \rho(dr),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used:

$$\int_R \xi_i(r) \rho(dr) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l.$$

By Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta_\#(F_\varepsilon^*(\tau) \cdot h) \\
& = \int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y)}^-(\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\delta_b(\varepsilon_{(\alpha, y, r)}^+(F_\varepsilon^*(\tau) \cdot h))(\alpha, y)]) N(d\alpha, dy) \\
& = \int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[-\theta_\varepsilon(y, r) \cdot (\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y) \\
& \quad \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_\varepsilon^b(y, r))^* \cdot h \\
& \quad - \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(y) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^l (\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot \nabla \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))e_i \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_\varepsilon^b(y, r) \\
& \quad + \sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y) \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot \partial_i \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(y) \cdot \xi(r))^* \cdot h] \\
& \quad \rho(dr) N(d\alpha, dy),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.12. *Suppose that the assumptions **(L)**, **(FD)** and **(FE)** are satisfied. Then for any $p \geq 2$, there exists a positive constant C such that for all $\tau \in [t, T]$ and $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_\varepsilon^*(\tau) \cdot h \cdot G_\varepsilon^\#(\tau)]\|^p] \leq C(\tau - t)^p \varepsilon^{(5-\beta)p} |h|^p + C(\tau - t) \varepsilon^{5p-\beta} |h|^p$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[|\delta_\#(F_\varepsilon^*(\tau) \cdot h)|^p] \leq C(\tau - t) \varepsilon^{2p-\beta} |h|^p + C(\tau - t)^p \varepsilon^{(2-\beta)p} |h|^p.$$

Proof. First, by Lemma 4.7, for all $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[|\nabla X(\tau, t, x)|^p] \\
& \leq C + C \mathbb{E}[\int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla X(s-, t, x)|^2 |y|^2 \nu(dy) ds]^{p/2} + C \mathbb{E}[\int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla X(s-, t, x)|^p |y|^p \nu(dy) ds] \\
& \quad + C \mathbb{E}[\int_t^\tau |\nabla X(\tau, t, x)|^p ds] \\
& \leq C + C \mathbb{E}[\int_t^\tau |\nabla X(s, t, x)|^p ds],
\end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce by Gronwall's inequality that

$$\sup_{\tau \in [t, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}[|\nabla X(\tau, t, x)|^p] \leq C. \quad (12)$$

We now prove the first conclusion. By Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_\varepsilon^*(\tau) \cdot h \cdot G_\varepsilon^\#(\tau)] \\
& = \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R \sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_\varepsilon^\flat(y, r) N \odot \rho(d\alpha, dy, dr))^* \cdot h \\
& \cdot \int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(y) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^l \partial_i \zeta_\varepsilon(y) \cdot \xi_i(r) N \odot \rho(d\alpha, dy, dr)] \\
= & \int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R (\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y) \\
& \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_\varepsilon^\flat(y, r))^* \cdot h \cdot \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(y) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^l \partial_i \zeta_\varepsilon(y) \cdot \xi_i(r) \rho(dr) N(d\alpha, dy).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore using Kunita's inequality, (12), the assumption **(L)** and the assumption **(FE)**, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[|\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_\varepsilon^*(\tau) \cdot h \cdot G_\varepsilon^\sharp(\tau)]|^p] \\
\leq & C \mathbb{E}[|\int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} | \int_R (\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y) \\
& \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_\varepsilon^\flat(y, r))^* \cdot h \cdot \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(y) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^l \partial_i \zeta_\varepsilon(y) \cdot \xi(r) \rho(dr) | \nu(dy) d\alpha|^p] \\
& + C \mathbb{E}[|\int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} | \int_R (\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y) \\
& \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_\varepsilon^\flat(y, r))^* \cdot h \cdot \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(y) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^l \partial_i \zeta_\varepsilon(y) \cdot \xi(r) \rho(dr) |^p \nu(dy) d\alpha] \\
\leq & C (\int_t^\tau \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^5 \nu(du) ds)^p |h|^p \\
& + C \int_t^\tau \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^{5p} \nu(du) ds |h|^p \\
\leq & C(\tau - t)^p \varepsilon^{(5-\beta)p} |h|^p + C(\tau - t) \varepsilon^{5p-\beta} |h|^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we start to prove the second conclusion. Using the fact that

$$\int_R \xi_i(r) \rho(dr) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l,$$

and applying Lemma 4.11, Kunita's inequality, the assumption **(L)** and the assumption **(FD)**, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[|\delta_\#(F_\varepsilon^*(\tau) \cdot h)|^p] \\
\leq & C \mathbb{E}[|\int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} | \int_R \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\theta_\varepsilon(y, r) \cdot (\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y) \\
& \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_\varepsilon^\flat(y, r))^* \cdot h] \rho(dr) |^p \nu(dy) d\alpha] \\
& + C \mathbb{E}[|\int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\theta_\varepsilon(y, r) \cdot (\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y) \\
& \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_\varepsilon^\flat(y, r))^* \cdot h] \rho(dr) \nu(dy) d\alpha|^p] \\
\leq & C \mathbb{E}[|\int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} | \int_R \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(y) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^l \\
& (\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot \nabla \sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, x)) e_i \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_\varepsilon^\flat(y, r)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y) \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot \partial_i \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(y) \cdot \xi(r))^* \\
& \cdot h] \rho(dr)]^p \nu(dy) d\alpha] \\
& + C \mathbb{E}[|\int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_{R^2} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(y) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^l \\
& (\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot \nabla\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))e_i \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot j_\varepsilon^b(y, r) \\
& +\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x)) \cdot (I + \nabla\sigma(\alpha, X(\alpha-, t, x))y) \cdot \nabla X(\alpha-, t, x) \cdot \partial_i \zeta_\varepsilon^{1/2}(y) \cdot \xi(r))^* \\
& \cdot h] \rho(dr) \nu(dy) d\alpha|^p] \\
\leq & C \int_t^\tau \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} (|u|^3 + |u^2|)^p \nu(du) ds |h|^p \\
& + C (\int_t^\tau \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} (|u|^3 + |u^2|) \nu(du) ds)^p |h|^p \\
\leq & C(\tau - t) \varepsilon^{2p-\beta} |h|^p + C(\tau - t)^p \varepsilon^{(2-\beta)p} |h|^p,
\end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof. \square

Let

$$U_{\tau, \varepsilon}^h = \delta_\#(F_\varepsilon^*(\tau) \cdot h) \cdot G_\varepsilon^{-1}(\tau) - \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_\varepsilon^*(\tau) \cdot h \cdot (G_\varepsilon^{-1}(\tau))^\#]$$

and

$$U_\tau^h := U_{\tau, (\tau-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^h.$$

Therefore using the assumption **(L-c)** and Lemma 4.12, one can prove the following result.

Lemma 4.13. *Suppose that the assumptions **(L)**, **(FD)** and **(FE)** are satisfied. Then for any $\tau \in [t, T]$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[|U_{\tau, \varepsilon}^h|^p]^{1/p} \\
\leq & C((\tau - t) \varepsilon^{4p-\beta} + (\tau - t)^{2p} \varepsilon^{(2-\beta)2p})^{1/2p} (((\tau - t) \varepsilon^{3-\beta})^{-2p} + (\tau - t)^{-\frac{6p}{\beta}})^{1/2p} |h| \\
& + C((\tau - t)^{2p} \varepsilon^{(5-\beta)2p} + (\tau - t) \varepsilon^{10p-\beta})^{1/2p} (((\tau - t) \varepsilon^{3-\beta})^{-4p} + (\tau - t)^{-\frac{12p}{\beta}})^{1/2p} |h|,
\end{aligned}$$

and in particular,

$$\mathbb{E}[|U_\tau^h|^p]^{1/p} \leq C(\tau - t)^{-1/\beta} |h|.$$

4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.2. For the sake of simplicity, the following notations will be used:

$$(X(\tau, x), Y(\tau, x), Z(\tau, x, u)) = (X(\tau, t, x), Y(\tau, t, x), X(\tau, t, x, u)).$$

Let $\nabla_x Y$ and $\nabla_x Z$ be the partial derivatives with respect to x . First of all, using Lemma A.1, for any $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)))^\# \\
= & \nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) (X(s, x))^\# \\
& + \nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) (Y(s, x))^\# \\
& + \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z(s, x, u))^\# l(u) \nu(du).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies by Lemma 4.10 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[(\psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)))^\# F_\varepsilon^*(s)G_\varepsilon^{-1}(s)] \\
&= \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du))(X(s, x))^\# F_\varepsilon^*(s)G_\varepsilon^{-1}(s) \\
&\quad + \nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du))(Y(s, x))^\# F_\varepsilon^*(s)G_\varepsilon^{-1}(s) \\
&\quad + \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \\
&\quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z(s, x, u))^\# l(u)\nu(du) F_\varepsilon^*(s)G_\varepsilon^{-1}(s)] \\
&= \nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \nabla_x X(s, x) \\
&\quad + \nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \nabla_x Y(s, x) \\
&\quad + \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla_x Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du).
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that the directional derivative process in the direction $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\{\nabla_x Y(\tau, x)h, \nabla_x Z(\tau, x, u)h; \tau \in [0, T], x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathcal{O}\}$, solves the following backward SDEs with jumps:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_x Y(\tau, x)h + \int_\tau^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla_x Z(s-, x, u)h \tilde{N}(ds, du) \\
&= - \int_\tau^T \nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \nabla_x X(s, x)h ds \\
&\quad - \int_\tau^T \nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \nabla_x Y(s, x)h ds \\
&\quad - \int_\tau^T \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \\
&\quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla_x Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)h ds \\
&\quad + \nabla \phi(X(T, x)) \nabla_x X(T, x)h.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Hence taking expectations on both sides in (14), and using (13) and Lemma 4.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[\nabla_x Y(\tau, x)h] \\
&= -\mathbb{E}[\int_\tau^T \nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \nabla_x X(s, x)h ds \\
&\quad + \int_\tau^T \nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \nabla_x Y(s, x)h ds \\
&\quad + \int_\tau^T \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla_x Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)h ds] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}[\nabla \phi(X(T, x)) \nabla_x X(T, x)h]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_{\tau}^T (\psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)))^{\sharp} \cdot F_{\varepsilon}^{*}(s) \cdot h \cdot G_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(s)ds\right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\nabla\phi(X(T, x))(X(T, x))^{\sharp} \cdot F_{\varepsilon}^{*}(T) \cdot h \cdot G_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(T)] \\
&= -\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_{\tau}^T (\psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)))^{\sharp} \cdot F_{\varepsilon}^{*}(s) \cdot h \cdot G_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(s)ds\right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[(\phi(X(T, x)))^{\sharp} \cdot F_{\varepsilon}^{*}(T) \cdot h \cdot G_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(T)] \\
&= -\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\tau}^T \psi(s, X(s, x), Y(s, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \cdot [\delta_{\sharp}(F_{\varepsilon}^{*}(s) \cdot h) \cdot G_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(s) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_{\varepsilon}^{*}(s) \cdot h \cdot (G_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(s))^{\sharp}]]ds\right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}[\phi(X(t, x)) \cdot [\delta_{\sharp}(F_{\varepsilon}^{*}(T) \cdot h) \cdot G_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(T) - \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_{\varepsilon}^{*}(T) \cdot h \cdot (G_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(T))^{\sharp}]]].
\end{aligned}$$

Then the proof is complete.

4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.3. We are now ready to prove Theorem 4.3. For simplicity the desired gradient estimate will be proved under the additional assumption that t takes values in an interval $[T - \delta, T]$ sufficiently small. By a standard technique (introducing an exponential weight) the same argument gives the result in the whole $[0, T]$.

Since $Y(t, t, x)$ is deterministic, so is $\nabla_x Y(t, t, x)$. Using Theorem 3.2, the assumption **(BL)**, and Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|Y(t, t, x)|^2 &= \mathbb{E}[|Y(t, t, x)|^2] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} |Y(\tau, t, x)|^2\right] \\
&\leq C\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |\psi(s, X(s, t, x), 0, 0)|^2 ds\right] + C\mathbb{E}[|\phi(X(T, t, x))|^2] \\
&\leq C(1 + |x|)^{\mu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Now using Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 5.4, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[\nabla Y(\tau, t, x)h] \\
&= -\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\tau}^T \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \right. \\
&\quad \cdot [\delta_{\sharp}(F_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{*}(s) \cdot h) \cdot G_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(s) - \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{*}(s) \cdot h \cdot (G_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(s))^{\sharp}]]ds \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}[\phi(X(T, t, x)) \cdot [\delta_{\sharp}(F_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{*}(T) \cdot h) \cdot G_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(T) \\
&\quad \left. - \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{*}(T) \cdot h \cdot (G_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(T))^{\sharp}]]\right] \\
&= -\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\tau}^T \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(s, X(s, t, x) + \sigma(s, X(s, t, x))u) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - v(s, X(s, t, x)))l(u)\nu(du)) \cdot [\delta_{\sharp}(F_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{*}(s) \cdot h) \cdot G_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(s) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{*}(s) \cdot h \cdot (G_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(s))^{\sharp}]]ds\right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}[\phi(X(T, t, x)) \cdot [\delta_{\sharp}(F_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{*}(T) \cdot h) \cdot G_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(T) \\
&\quad \left. - \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{*}(T) \cdot h \cdot (G_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(T))^{\sharp}]]\right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\tau}^T \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(s, X(s, t, x) + \sigma(s, X(s, t, x))u) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - v(s, X(s, t, x)))l(u)\nu(du)) \cdot U_s^h ds\right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}[\phi(X(T, t, x)) \cdot U_T^h],
\end{aligned}$$

where $v(t, x) = Y(t, t, x)$. Then it follows from the assumption **(BL)** that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\nabla Y(t, t, x)h| \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_t^T \psi(s, X(s, t, x), 0, 0) \cdot U_s^h ds\right|\right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_t^T (\psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(s, X(s, t, x) + \sigma(s, X(s, t, x))u) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - v(s, X(s, t, x)))l(u)\nu(du)) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \psi(s, X(s, t, x), 0, 0)) \cdot U_s^h ds\right|\right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}[\phi(X(T, t, x)) \cdot U_T^h] \\
&\leq C_{BL}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T (1 + |X(s, t, x)|)^{\mu}|U_s^h|ds\right] \\
&\quad + C_{BL}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T |Y(s, t, x)||U_s^h|ds\right] \\
&\quad + C_{BL}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left|\int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(s, X(s, t, x) + \sigma(s, X(s, t, x))u) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - v(s, X(s, t, x)))l(u)\nu(du)||U_s^h|ds\right|\right] \\
&\quad + C_{BL}\mathbb{E}[(1 + |X(T, t, x)|)^{\mu}|U_T^h|] \\
&=: I_1(t, x) + I_2(t, x) + I_3(t, x) + I_4(t, x).
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$|||\nabla_x Y||| = \sup_{t \in [T-\delta, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} (T-t)^{1/\beta} (1+|x|)^{-\mu} |\nabla_x Y(t, t, x)|,$$

and $|||I_k|||$, $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, is defined similarly.

For the term I_4 , using Hölder's inequality, Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4(t, x) &\leq C_{BL}\mathbb{E}[(1 + |X(T, t, x)|)^{2\mu}]^{1/2}\mathbb{E}[|U_T^h|^2]^{1/2} \\
&\leq C(1 + |x|)^{\mu}(T-t)^{-1/\beta}|h|,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $|||I_4||| < \infty$.

Now we deal with the term I_3 . In view of Hölder's inequality, the mean value theorem, the assumption **(FE)**, Lemma 4.13 and Theorem 3.1, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
&I_3(t, x) \\
&\leq C_{BL} \int_t^T \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(s, X(s, t, x) + \sigma(s, X(s, t, x))u) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - v(s, X(s, t, x)))l(u)\nu(du)\right|^2\right]^{1/2}\mathbb{E}[|U_s^h|^2]^{1/2}ds \\
&\leq C_{BL} \int_t^T \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla v(s, X(s, t, x) + (1-\theta)\sigma(s, X(s, t, x))u)\sigma(s, X(s, t, x))| \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. |ul(u)|\nu(du)\right|^2\right]^{1/2}\mathbb{E}[|U_s^h|^2]^{1/2}ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_{BL}C_{FB} \int_t^T \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla v(s, X(s, t, x) + (1 - \theta)\sigma(s, X(s, t, x))u)| \right. \\
&\quad \left. |ul(u)|\nu(du)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \mathbb{E}[|U_s^h|^2]^{1/2} ds \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathcal{O}} |ul(u)|\nu(du) \\
&\quad \int_t^T (T - s)^{-1/\beta} \mathbb{E}[(1 + |X(s, t, x)| + (1 - \theta)|\sigma(s, X(s, t, x))|)^{2\mu}]^{1/2} \\
&\quad (s - t)^{-1/\beta} ds |h| |\nabla_x v| \\
&\leq C(1 + |x|)^\mu \int_t^T (T - s)^{-1/\beta} (s - t)^{-1/\beta} ds |h| |\nabla_x Y| \\
&= C(1 + |x|)^\mu (T - t)^{-\frac{2}{\beta}+1} \int_0^1 (1 - z)^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} z^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} dz |h| |\nabla_x Y|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \theta < 1$. This implies that

$$|||I_3||| \leq C\delta^{-\frac{1}{\beta}+1} |||\nabla_x Y|||.$$

Similarly, using Theorem 3.2, we have

$$|||I_1||| \leq C\delta, \quad |||I_2||| \leq C\delta.$$

Combining all the above estimates, we obtain

$$|||\nabla_x Y||| \leq C + C\delta + C\delta^{-\frac{1}{\beta}+1} |||\nabla_x Y|||.$$

Since $\beta \in (1, 2)$, we can take δ small enough such that

$$|||\nabla_x Y||| \leq C.$$

This is the desired result, and hence we conclude the proof.

5. NONLOCAL QUASI-LINEAR INTEGRAL-PDES

5.1. Notations and Notions. We introduce the semigroup $\{P_{t,\tau}; 0 \leq t \leq \tau \leq T\}$, acting on the space of Borel functions $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ having polynomial growth, defined by:

$$P_{t,\tau}[\phi](x) := \mathbb{E}[\phi(X(\tau, t, x))], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

By \mathcal{L}_t we denote the formal generator of $P_{t,\tau}$, namely:

$$\mathcal{L}_t[\phi](x) := b(t, x) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) + p.v. \int_{\mathcal{O}} (\phi(x + \sigma(t, x)u) - \phi(x))\nu(du),$$

where p.v. stands for the Cauchy principle value.

We consider the following nonlocal quasi-linear integral-PDEs: for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_t[v(t, \cdot)](x) \\ \quad = \psi(t, x, v(t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(t, x + \sigma(t, x)u) - v(t, x))l(u)\nu(du)), \\ v(T, x) = \phi(x). \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Now we give the definition of the mild solution to Eq. (16).

Definition 5.1. We say that a continuous function $v : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a mild solution of Eq. (16) if the following conditions hold:

- (1) $v \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$;

(2) for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$|v(t, x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^C, \quad |\nabla_x v(t, x)| \leq C f(t)(1 + |x|)^C,$$

for some constant $C > 0$, and some real function f satisfying $\int_0^T f(t)dt < \infty$;

(3) for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the following equality holds:

$$v(t, x) = - \int_t^T P_{t,\tau} [\psi(\tau, \cdot, v(\tau, \cdot), \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(\tau, \cdot + \sigma(\tau, \cdot)u) - v(\tau, \cdot))l(u)du)](x)d\tau + P_{t,T}[\phi](x).$$

5.2. Joint Quadratic Variation. Since we are considering mild solutions here, in order to prove the uniqueness of the solution to Eq. (16), a result on joint quadratic variation should be introduced. The definition of generalized joint quadratic variation we consider in the present paper has been first introduced in [18], and it is shown in [18, Proposition 1.1] that the standard definition of joint quadratic variation coincides with the generalized joint quadratic variation defined below.

Definition 5.2. Given a couple of \mathbb{R} -valued càdlàg processes $(X(t), Y(t))$, $t \geq 0$, we define their joint quadratic variation on $[0, T]$, to be

$$\langle X(t), Y(t) \rangle_{[0, T]} := \mathbb{P} - \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^T (X((t + \epsilon) \wedge T) - X(t))(Y((t + \epsilon) \wedge T) - Y(t))dt,$$

where $\mathbb{P} - \lim$ denotes the limit to be taken in probability.

The following lemma can be found in [7, Theorem 3.2].

Lemma 5.3. Assume that $v : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz with respect to the second variable and with at most polynomial growth, i.e., there exist $C > 0$ and $m \geq 0$, such that, for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, v satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |v(t, x) - v(t, y)| &\leq C|x - y|(1 + |x| + |y|)^m, \\ |v(t, 0, 0)| &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for every $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the process

$$\{v(s, X(s, t, x)); s \in [t, T]\}$$

admits a joint quadratic variation on the interval $[t, T]$ with

$$J(s) = \int_t^s \int_{\mathcal{O}} u \tilde{N}(ds, du)$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle v(\cdot, X(\cdot, t, x)), J(\cdot) \rangle_{[t, T]} \\ &= \int_t^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x) + \sigma(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))u) - v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)))uN(d\tau, du). \end{aligned}$$

5.3. Nonlocal Quasi-Linear Integral-PDEs with the Assumption (BD).

Theorem 5.4. Suppose that $\beta \in (1, 2)$ and the assumptions (L), (FD), (FE), (BL) and (BD) are satisfied. Then there exists a unique mild solution v of Eq. (16) given by the formula

$$v(t, x) = Y(t, t, x),$$

and the following representation formulas are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\tau, t, x) &= v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)), \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s., \\ Z(\tau, t, x, u) &= v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x) + \sigma(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))u) - v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)), \quad d\tau d\nu d\mathbb{P} - a.e. \end{aligned}$$

This proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.8 in [7]. For the convenience of readers, we include the proof here.

Proof of Existence. First of all, the representation of Y follows from a standard consequence of uniqueness of the solution of Eq. (2). For the representation of Z , we argue as follows. Using the standard definition of joint quadratic variation, we have

$$\langle Y(\cdot, t, x), J(\cdot) \rangle_{[t, T]} = \int_t^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(\tau, t, x, u) u N(d\tau, du). \quad (17)$$

On the other hand, it can be seen from Lemma 5.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle v(\cdot, X(\cdot, t, x)), J(\cdot) \rangle_{[t, T]} \\ &= \int_t^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x) + \sigma(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))u) - v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)) u N(d\tau, du). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Comparing Eq. (17) and Eq. (18), the representation of Z is obtained.

Now using the fact that $v(t, x) = Y(t, t, x)$ is deterministic, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & v(t, x) \\ &= -\mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T \psi(\tau, X(\tau, t, x), v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(\tau, x, t, u) l(u) \nu(du) d\tau \right] \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E}[\phi(X(T, t, x))] \\ &= -\mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T \psi(\tau, X(\tau, t, x), v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x) + \sigma(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))u) - v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))) l(u) \nu(du) d\tau \right] \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E}[\phi(X(T, t, x))] \\ &= - \int_t^T P_{t, \tau} [\psi(\tau, \cdot, v(\tau, \cdot), \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(\tau, \cdot + \sigma(\tau, \cdot)u) - v(\tau, \cdot)) l(u) \nu(du))] (x) d\tau \\ & \quad + P_{t, T}[\phi](x). \end{aligned}$$

It can be seen from (15) that

$$|v(t, x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^\mu), \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Applying the estimate in Theorem 4.3, we also obtain

$$|\nabla_x v(t, x)| \leq C(T - t)^{-1/\beta} (1 + |x|)^\mu, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then the proof of existence of Eq. (16) is complete. □

Proof of Uniqueness. Let v be a mild solution of Eq. (16). Using the definition of mild solution and the definition of $P_{t, \tau}$, for every $s \in [t, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} v(s, x) &= -\mathbb{E} \left[\int_s^T \psi(\tau, X(\tau, s, x), v(\tau, X(\tau, s, x))), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(\tau, X(\tau, s, x) + \sigma(\tau, X(\tau, s, x))u) - v(\tau, X(\tau, s, x))) l(u) \nu(du) d\tau \right] \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E}[\phi(X(T, s, x))]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $X(\tau, s, x)$ is \mathcal{F}_s -measurable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(s, x) &= -\mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^T \psi(\tau, X(\tau, s, x), v(\tau, X(\tau, s, x))), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(\tau, X(\tau, s, x) + \sigma(X(\tau, s, x))u) - v(\tau, X(\tau, s, x)))l(u)\nu(du))d\tau | \mathcal{F}_s] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{E}[\phi(X(T, s, x)) | \mathcal{F}_s]. \right. \end{aligned}$$

Now replacing x by $X(s, t, x)$ and using the fact that

$$X(\tau, s, X(s, t, x)) = X(\tau, t, x), \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s. for } \tau \in [s, T],$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v(s, X(s, t, x)) &= -\mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^T \psi(\tau, X(\tau, t, x), v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x) + \sigma(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))u) - v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)))l(u)\nu(du))d\tau | \mathcal{F}_s] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{E}[\phi(X(T, t, x)) | \mathcal{F}_s] \right. \\ &= \int_t^s \psi(\tau, X(\tau, t, x), v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))), \\ &\quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x) + \sigma(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))u) - v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)))l(u)\nu(du))d\tau \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_s], \right. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= -\int_t^T \psi(\tau, X(\tau, t, x), v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))), \\ &\quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x) + \sigma(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))u) - v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)))l(u)\nu(du))d\tau \\ &\quad + \phi(X(T, t, x)). \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $\mathbb{E}[\phi(X(T, t, x)) | \mathcal{F}_s] = v(t, x)$ and using the fact that $\xi \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ is \mathcal{F}_T -measurable and applying the martingale representation theorem, there exists a predictable process $U : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |U(t, u)|^2 \nu(du) dt\right] < \infty,$$

such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_s] = \int_t^s \int_{\mathcal{O}} U(\tau, u) \tilde{N}(d\tau, du) + v(t, x).$$

This implies that the process $\{v(s, X(s, t, x)); s \in [t, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ is a real continuous semimartingale with canonical decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned}
& v(s, X(s, t, x)) \\
&= \int_t^s \psi(\tau, X(\tau, t, x), v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))), \\
& \quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x) + \sigma(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))u) - v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)))l(u)\nu(du))d\tau \\
& \quad + \int_t^s \int_{\mathcal{O}} U(\tau, u)\tilde{N}(d\tau, du) + v(t, x).
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Now using Lemma 5.3, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_t^s \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x) + \sigma(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))u) - v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)))uN(d\tau, du) \\
&= \int_t^s \int_{\mathcal{O}} U(\tau, u)uN(d\tau, du).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $d\tau d\nu$ -a.e. $(\tau, u) \in [t, T] \times \mathcal{O}$, we have, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$(v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x) + \sigma(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))u) - v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))) = U(\tau, u).$$

Substituting this into (19), we obtain that for any $s \in [t, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& v(s, X(s, t, x)) \\
&= \int_t^s \psi(\tau, X(\tau, t, x), v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))), \\
& \quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x) + \sigma(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))u) - v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)))l(u)\nu(du))d\tau \\
& \quad + \int_t^s \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x) + \sigma(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))u) - v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)))\tilde{N}(d\tau, du) + v(t, x).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies by $v(T, X(T, t, x)) = \phi(X(T, t, x))$ that for any $s \in [t, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& v(s, X(s, t, x)) + \int_s^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x) + \sigma(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))u) - v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)))\tilde{N}(d\tau, du) \\
&= \phi(X(T, t, x)) - \int_s^T \psi(\tau, X(\tau, t, x), v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))), \\
& \quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x) + \sigma(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))u) - v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)))l(u)\nu(du))d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing with Eq. (2), it is easy to see that the pair

$$(Y(s, t, x), Z(s, t, x, u))$$

and

$$(v(s, X(s, t, x)), v(s, X(s, t, x) + \sigma(s, X(s, t, x))u) - v(s, X(s, t, x)))$$

solve the same equation. By uniqueness, we have

$$Y(s, t, x) = v(s, X(s, t, x))$$

and

$$Z(s, t, x, u) = v(s, X(s, t, x) + \sigma(s, X(s, t, x))u) - v(s, X(s, t, x)).$$

Then we complete the proof.

□

5.4. Nonlocal Quasi-Linear Integral-PDEs without the Assumption (BD).

Theorem 5.5. *Suppose that $\beta \in (1, 2)$ and the assumptions (L), (FD), (FE) and (BL) are satisfied. Then*

- (1) *There exists a unique mild solution v of Eq. (16) given by the formula*

$$v(t, x) = Y(t, t, x). \quad (20)$$

- (2) *Moreover, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$|v(t, x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^\mu), \quad (21)$$

$$|\nabla_x v(t, x)| \leq C(T - t)^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}(1 + |x|^\mu), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (22)$$

- (3) *Furthermore, for any $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \in [0, T]$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_x v(t, x)h \\ &= -\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \psi(s, X(s, t, x), v(s, X(s, t, x))), \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v(s, X(s, t, x) + \sigma(s, X(s, t, x))u) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - v(s, X(s, t, x)))l(u)\nu(du)) \cdot [\delta_{\#}(F_{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^*(s) \cdot h) \cdot G_{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(s) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^*(s) \cdot h \cdot (G_{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(s))^{\#}]]ds\right] \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E}[\phi(X(T, t, x)) \cdot [\delta_{\#}(F_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^*(T) \cdot h) \cdot G_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(T) \\ & \quad - \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^*(T) \cdot h \cdot (G_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(T))^{\#}]]]. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Remark 5.6. *It is worth noting that an existence and uniqueness result of a mild solution for a non-linear path-dependent partial integro-differential equation was established in [7], even without assuming any nondegeneracy on σ or requiring any smoothing property of $P_{t,\tau}$. The main contribution of our article is to prove that, under the assumption of nondegeneracy on σ , the existence and uniqueness of a solution for nonlocal quasi-linear integral partial differential equations can be obtained. These solutions are differentiable with respect to the space variable, even if the initial datum and coefficients of the equation are not differentiable.*

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 5.5. Since the function ϕ and ψ do not satisfied the assumption (BD), Theorem 4.3 can not be applied directly. Therefore the following lemma will play an important role in the proof of Theorem 5.5. For its detailed proof, the readers is referred to [11, Lemma 4.3].

Lemma 5.7. *Let ϕ and ψ satisfy the assumption (BD), then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exist $\phi_n : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi_n : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

- (1) ϕ_n, ψ_n satisfy the assumption (BD) with constants C_{BDn} and m_n depending on n ;
- (2) ϕ_n, ψ_n satisfy the assumption (BL) with constants C_{BL} and μ independent of n ;
- (3) $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi, \psi_n \rightarrow \psi$ pointwise as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Completion of the proof of Theorem 5.5. The uniqueness statement in Theorem 5.5 can be proved exactly as in Theorem 5.4. To complete the proof of Theorem 5.5, it remains to show that the function v in Eq. (20) is the required solution, and that the estimates (21), (22) and the formula (23) hold.

Step 1:

It suffices to prove the result for t varying in an interval $[T - \delta, T]$ with δ sufficiently small. We notice that $v(t, x)$ is deterministic and Lemma 4.8 yields, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$Y(\tau, t, x) = v(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)), \quad \tau \in [t, T],$$

where X is the solution to Eq. (1). Now let ψ_n, ϕ_n be defined in Lemma 5.7, and hence $\{Y^n(\tau, t, x), Z^n(\tau, t, x, u); \tau \in [t, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathcal{O}\}$, satisfies the following backward SDEs with jumps:

$$\begin{aligned} & Y^n(\tau, t, x) + \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s-, t, x, u) \tilde{N}(ds, du) \\ &= - \int_{\tau}^T \psi_n(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) ds + \phi_n(X(T, t, x)). \end{aligned}$$

We also set $v_n(t, x) = Y^n(t, t, x)$. Then it is obvious that $v_n(t, x)$ is deterministic, and it can be seen from (15) that

$$|v_n(t, x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^{\mu}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where C is independent of n . Using Theorem 5.4, it follows that v_n belong to $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$, and \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} Y^n(\tau, t, x) &= v_n(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)), \quad \forall \tau \in [t, T], \\ Z^n(\tau, t, x, u) &= v_n(\tau, X(\tau, t, x) + \sigma(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))u) - v_n(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)), \quad \forall \text{a.e. } d\tau \nu(du). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & v_n(t, x) \\ &= - \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T \psi_n(\tau, X(\tau, t, x), v_n(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(\tau, x, t, u) l(u) \nu(du)) d\tau \right] \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E}[\phi_n(X(\tau, t, x))] \\ &= - \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T \psi_n(\tau, X(\tau, t, x), v_n(\tau, X(\tau, t, x)), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v_n(\tau, X(\tau, t, x) + \sigma(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))u) - v_n(\tau, X(\tau, t, x))) l(u) \nu(du) d\tau \right] \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E}[\phi_n(X(\tau, t, x))] \\ &= - \int_t^T P_{t,\tau} [\psi_n(\tau, \cdot, v_n(\tau, \cdot), \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v_n(\tau, \cdot + \sigma(\tau, \cdot)u) - v_n(\tau, \cdot)) l(u) \nu(du))] (x) d\tau \\ & \quad + P_{t,T}[\phi_n](x). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Step 2:

For simplicity, in the rest of the proof, we denote

$$(X_{\tau}, Y_{\tau}, Z_{\tau}(u)) = (X(\tau, t, x), Y(\tau, t, x), Z(\tau, t, x, u))$$

and

$$(X_{\tau}^n, Y_{\tau}^n, Z_{\tau}^n(u)) = (X^n(\tau, t, x), Y^n(\tau, t, x), Z^n(\tau, t, x, u)).$$

It is easy to see that

$$Y_{\tau} - Y_{\tau}^n + \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z_{s-}(u) \tilde{N}(ds, du) - \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z_{s-}^n(u) \tilde{N}(ds, du)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \int_{\tau}^T (\psi(s, X_s, Y_s, \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z_s(u) l(u) \nu(du)) - \psi_n(s, X_s, Y_s, \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z_s(u) l(u) \nu(du))) ds \\
&\quad - \int_{\tau}^T (\psi_n(s, X_s, Y_s, \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z_s(u) l(u) \nu(du)) - \psi_n(s, X_s^n, Y_s^n, \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z_s^n(u) l(u) \nu(du))) ds \\
&\quad + \phi(X_T) - \phi_n(X_T).
\end{aligned}$$

This yields by Theorem 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[\sup_{\tau \in [t, T]} |Y_{\tau} - Y_{\tau}^n|] + \mathbb{E}[\int_t^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z_{\tau} - Z_{\tau}^n|^2 \nu(du) d\tau] \\
&\leq C\mathbb{E}[|\phi(X_T) - \phi_n(X_T)|^2] \\
&\quad + C(T-t)\mathbb{E}[\int_t^T |\psi(s, X_s, Y_s, \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z_s(u) l(u) \nu(du)) - \psi_n(s, X_s, Y_s, \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z_s(u) l(u) \nu(du))|^2] \\
&\quad + C(T-t)\mathbb{E}[\int_t^T [|Y_s - Y_s^n|^2 + |\int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z_s(u) - Z_s^n(u)) l(u) \nu(du)|^2] ds] \\
&\leq C\mathbb{E}[|\phi(X_T) - \phi_n(X_T)|^2] \\
&\quad + C(T-t)\mathbb{E}[\int_t^T |\psi(s, X_s, Y_s, \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z_s(u) l(u) \nu(du)) - \psi_n(s, X_s, Y_s, \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z_s(u) l(u) \nu(du))|^2] \\
&\quad + C(T-t)\mathbb{E}[\int_t^T [|Y_s - Y_s^n|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z_s(u) - Z_s^n(u)|^2 \nu(du)] ds] \\
&=: J_1^n + J_2^n + J_3^n,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following fact:

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z_s(u) - Z_s^n(u)) l(u) \nu(du)|^2 \\
&= (\int_{\mathcal{O}} l^2(u) \nu(du))^2 (\int_{\mathcal{O}} |\frac{Z_s(u) - Z_s^n(u)}{l(u)} \frac{l^2(u) \nu(du)}{\int_{\mathcal{O}} l^2(u) \nu(du)}|^2) \\
&\leq \int_{\mathcal{O}} l^2(u) \nu(du) \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z_s(u) - Z_s^n(u)|^2 \nu(du).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lebesgue dominate convergence theorem, it is easy to see that

$$J_1^n, J_2^n \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then using the fact that $T - t \leq \delta$ small enough, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{\tau \in [t, T]} |Y_{\tau} - Y_{\tau}^n|] + \mathbb{E}[\int_t^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z_{\tau}(u) - Z_{\tau}^n(u)|^2 \nu(du) d\tau] \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[\sup_{\tau \in [t, T]} |Y_{\tau} - Y_{\tau}^n|] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}[\int_t^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z_{\tau}(u) - (v_n(\tau, X_{\tau} + \sigma(\tau, X_{\tau})u) - v_n(\tau, X_{\tau}))|^2 \nu(du) d\tau] \\
&\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

In particular, it is obvious that $v_n(t, x) \rightarrow v(t, x)$ for every $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Step 3:

Applying the estimate in Theorem 4.3, we obtain

$$|\nabla_x v_n(t, x)| \leq C(T-t)^{-1/\beta}(1+|x|)^\mu, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (26)$$

This implies by the mean value theorem, the assumption **(FE)** and Theorem 3.1 that \mathbb{P} -a.s. for a.e. $s \in [t, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z_s^n(u)| |l(u)| \nu(du) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{O}} |v_n(s, X(s, t, x) + \sigma(s, X(s, t, x))u) - v_n(s, X(s, t, x))| |l(u)| \nu(du) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla_x v_n(s, X(s, t, x) + (1-\theta)\sigma(s, X(s, t, x))u) \sigma(s, X(s, t, x))| |ul(u)| \nu(du) \quad (27) \\ &\leq C_{FB} \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla_x v_n(s, X(s, t, x) + (1-\theta)\sigma(s, X(s, t, x))u)| |ul(u)| \nu(du) \\ &\leq C(T-s)^{-1/\beta}(1+|X(s, t, x)| + (1-\theta)|\sigma(s, X(s, t, x))|)^\mu \\ &\leq C(T-s)^{-1/\beta}(1+|X(s, t, x)|)^\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \theta < 1$, and hence by (25)

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z_s(u)| |l(u)| \nu(du) \leq C(T-s)^{-1/\beta}(1+|X(s, t, x)|)^\mu. \quad (28)$$

Step 4:

Now we define

$$\begin{aligned} & V(t, x)h \\ &:= -\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \cdot [\delta_{\#}(F_{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^*(s) \cdot h) \cdot G_{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(s) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^*(s) \cdot h \cdot (G_{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(s))^{\#}]] ds\right] \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E}[\phi(X(T, t, x)) \cdot [\delta_{\#}(F_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^*(T) \cdot h) \cdot G_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(T) \\ & \quad \left. - \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^*(T) \cdot h \cdot (G_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(T))^{\#}]]], \quad t \in [0, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned}$$

The following result can be proved:

$$|V(t, x) - \nabla_x v_n(t, x)| \rightarrow 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Indeed, it can be seen from Theorem 4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla v_n(t, x)h \\ &= -\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \psi_n(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \right. \\ & \quad \cdot [\delta_{\#}(F_{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^*(s) \cdot h) \cdot G_{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(s) - \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^*(s) \cdot h \cdot (G_{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(s))^{\#}]] ds \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E}[\phi_n(X(T, t, x)) \cdot [\delta_{\#}(F_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^*(T) \cdot h) \cdot G_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(T) \\ & \quad \left. - \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^*(T) \cdot h \cdot (G_{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(T))^{\#}]]]. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$U_s^h = \delta_{\#}(F_{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^*(s) \cdot h) \cdot G_{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(s) - \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[F_{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^*(s) \cdot h \cdot (G_{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}^{-1}(s))^{\#}].$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& V(t, x)h - \nabla v_n(t, x)h \\
&= -\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T (\psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \cdot U_s^h \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \psi_n(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \cdot U_s^h) ds\right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}[(\phi(X(T, t, x)) - \phi_n(X(T, t, x))) \cdot U_T^h] \\
&= -\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T (\psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \cdot U_s^h \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \psi_n(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \cdot U_s^h) ds\right] \\
&\quad - \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T (\psi_n(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \cdot U_s^h \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \psi_n(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \cdot U_s^h) ds\right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}[(\phi(X(T, t, x)) - \phi_n(X(T, t, x))) \cdot U_T^h].
\end{aligned}$$

This implies by Theorem 3.2, Hölder's inequality and Lemma 4.13 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |V(t, x) - \nabla v_n(t, x)| \\
&\leq C \int_t^T \mathbb{E}[|\psi(s, X(s, t, x), v(s, X(s, t, x))), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \\
&\quad - \psi_n(s, X(s, t, x), v(s, X(s, t, x))), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u)l(u)\nu(du))|^2]^{1/2} (s-t)^{-1/\beta} ds \\
&\quad + C \int_t^T \mathbb{E}[|\psi_n(s, X(s, t, x), v(s, X(s, t, x))), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \\
&\quad - \psi_n(s, X(s, t, x), v_n(s, X(s, t, x))), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u)l(u)\nu(du))|^2]^{1/2} (s-t)^{-1/\beta} ds \\
&\quad + C \int_t^T \mathbb{E}[|\phi(X(T, t, x)) - \phi_n(X(T, t, x))|^2]^{1/2} (T-t)^{-1/\beta} ds \\
&\leq C \int_t^T \mathbb{E}[|\psi(s, X(s, t, x), v(s, X(s, t, x))), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u)l(u)\nu(du)) \\
&\quad - \psi_n(s, X(s, t, x), v(s, X(s, t, x))), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u)l(u)\nu(du))|^2]^{1/2} (s-t)^{-1/\beta} ds \\
&\quad + C \int_t^T \mathbb{E}[|v(s, X(s, t, x)) - v_n(s, X(s, t, x))|^2]^{1/2} (s-t)^{-1/\beta} ds \\
&\quad + C \int_t^T \mathbb{E}[|\int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z(s, t, x, u) - (v_n(s, X(s, t, x) + \sigma(s, X(s, t, x))u) \\
&\quad - v_n(s, X(s, t, x))))l(u)\nu(du)|^2]^{1/2} (s-t)^{-1/\beta} ds \\
&\quad + C \int_t^T \mathbb{E}[|\phi(X(T, t, x)) - \phi_n(X(T, t, x))|^2]^{1/2} (T-t)^{-1/\beta} ds \\
&=: C(K_1^n + K_2^n + K_3^n + K_4^n).
\end{aligned}$$

It can be seen from Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem that

$$K_1^n, K_2^n, K_4^n \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now we prove that

$$K_3^n \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Suppose the contrary, i.e., for some $\epsilon > 0$ and some subsequence n_k ,

$$\begin{aligned} & K_3^{n_k} \\ = & \int_t^T \mathbb{E}[\int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z(s, t, x, u) - (v_{n_k}(s, X(s, t, x) + \sigma(s, X(s, t, x))u) \\ & - v_{n_k}(s, X(s, t, x)))l(u)\nu(du)|^2]^{1/2}(s-t)^{-1/\beta}ds \\ \geq & \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

However, using (25), we can extract a subsequence (n_{k_j}) such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z(s, t, x, u) - (v_{n_{k_j}}(s, X(s, t, x) + \sigma(s, X(s, t, x))u) \\ & - v_{n_{k_j}}(s, X(s, t, x)))l(u)\nu(du)|^2] \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and hence by (27)-(28), Theorem 3.1 and Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, a contradiction can be derived. Then the desired result is obtained.

Step 5:

It is implied by the continuous dependence on (t, x) of X that $(t, x) \mapsto V(t, x)$ is also continuous. Therefore $v(t, \cdot)$ admits directional derivatives in every direction $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ at every point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, equal to $V(t, x)h$. This implies that $v \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$ and $\nabla_x v = V$. Using the fact that for a.e. (τ, u) , \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$Z_\tau^n(u) = v_n(\tau, X_\tau + \sigma(\tau, X_\tau)u) - v_n(\tau, X_\tau),$$

we have

$$Z_\tau(u) = v(\tau, X_\tau + \sigma(\tau, X_\tau)u) - v(\tau, X_\tau).$$

Then the formula (23) can be obtained from the definition of V . The fact that v solves Eq. (16) come from (24) by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The estimate (21) is a direct result of (15). Using (26), it is also easy to see that the estimate (22) is satisfied. Then the proof is complete. \square

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.2

The proof of the first three conclusion of Theorem 3.2 is standard, and the readers are referred to e.g. [14, 15, 13, 9]. Therefore it is sufficient to prove the fourth conclusion. We denote by

- (1) $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{P}}$ the subvector space of predictable processes in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}}$;
- (2) $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}, \nu, \mathcal{P}}$ the subvector space of predictable processes in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}, \nu}$;
- (3) $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D} \otimes \mathbf{d}, \mathcal{P}}$ the set of real valued processes H defined on $[0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathcal{O}$ which are predictable and belong to $L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{D} \times \mathbf{d})$, i.e., such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D} \otimes \mathbf{d}, \mathcal{P}}}^2 &= \mathbb{E}[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |H(t, u)|^2 \nu(du) dt] \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{E}(H(t, u)) \nu(du) dt + \mathbb{E}[\int_0^T e(H(t, \cdot)) dt] < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We also denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D},\mathcal{P}}^d$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D},\nu,\mathcal{P}}^d$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}\otimes\mathbf{d},\mathcal{P}}^d$ the space of \mathbb{R}^d -valued processes such that each coordinate belongs, respectively, to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D},\mathcal{P}}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D},\nu,\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}\otimes\mathbf{d},\mathcal{P}}$ and we equip it with the standard norm of product topology.

We shall need the following results which can be obtained using the same argument as in the proof [3, Proposition 8.2].

Lemma A.1. *Let $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}\otimes\mathbf{d},\mathcal{P}}$, $G \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D},\mathcal{P}}$ and $F \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D},\nu,\mathcal{P}}$, then*

(1) *The process*

$$X(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} H(s, \omega, u) \tilde{N}(ds, du), \quad t \in [0, T]$$

is a square integrable martingale which belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} X^\sharp(t, \omega, \hat{\omega}) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} H^\sharp(s, \omega, u, \hat{\omega}) \tilde{N}(ds, du) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_R H^\flat(s, \omega, u, r) N \odot \rho(ds, du, dr), \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|X(t)\|_{\mathbb{D}} &\leq \sqrt{2} \|H\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}\otimes\mathbf{d},\mathcal{P}}}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \\ \|X\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}}} &\leq \sqrt{2T} \|H\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}\otimes\mathbf{d},\mathcal{P}}}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) *The process*

$$Y(t) = \int_0^t G(s, \omega) ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

is a square integrable semimartingale which belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}}$, and

$$Y^\sharp(t, \omega, \hat{\omega}) = \int_0^t G^\sharp(s, \omega, \hat{\omega}) ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Y(t)\|_{\mathbb{D}} &\leq C \|G\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D},\mathcal{P}}}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \\ \|Y\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}}} &\leq C\sqrt{T} \|G\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D},\mathcal{P}}}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) *The process*

$$Z(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} F(s, u, \omega) \nu(du) ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

is a square integrable semimartingale which belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}}$, and

$$Z^\sharp(t, \omega, \hat{\omega}) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} F^\sharp(s, u, \omega, \hat{\omega}) \nu(du) ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z(t)\|_{\mathbb{D}} &\leq C \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D},\nu,\mathcal{P}}}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \\ \|Z\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}}} &\leq C\sqrt{T} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D},\nu,\mathcal{P}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The following commutation relation between the Malliavin derivative of a random variable and its conditional expectation with respect to \mathcal{F}_s will also be used.

Lemma A.2. Suppose that $\{g(t, u, r); t \in [0, T], u \in \mathcal{O}, r \in R\}$ satisfies

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O} \times R} g^2(t, u, r) N(dt, du) \rho(r) < \infty.$$

Let $F \in \mathbb{D}$ and $s \in [0, T]$. Then $\mathbb{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_s] \in \mathbb{D}$ and

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[(\mathbb{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_s])^\# G(T)] = \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\mathbb{E}[F^\#|\mathcal{F}_s] G(s)],$$

where $G(s) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O} \times R} g(s', u, r) 1_{[0, s]}(s') N \odot \rho(ds', du, dr)$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{E} be the linear span of all real parts of functions

$$\{e^{-\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)}; f \geq 0, f \in \mathbf{d} \cap L^1 \cap L^\infty, \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} f^2(s', u) \nu(du) ds' < \infty\}.$$

It can be seen from Lemma [4, Lemma 4.39] that \mathcal{E} is total in \mathbb{D} .

Let us first prove Lemma A.2 when $F = e^{-\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)} \in \mathcal{E}$. Using Itô's formula, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)} \\ &= e^{-\int_0^s \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)} \\ & \quad + \int_s^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (e^{-\int_0^{s'} \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s'', u) \tilde{N}(ds'', du)} - e^{-\int_0^{s'-1} \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s'', u) \tilde{N}(ds'', du)}) \tilde{N}(ds', du) \\ & \quad + \int_s^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (e^{-\int_0^{s'} \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s'', u) \tilde{N}(ds'', du)} - e^{-\int_0^{s'-1} \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s'', u) \tilde{N}(ds'', du)}) \\ & \quad + f(s', u) e^{-\int_0^{s'-1} \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s'', u) \tilde{N}(ds'', du)} \nu(du) ds'. \end{aligned}$$

Taking conditional expectation with respect to \mathcal{F}_s of both sides of this identity and setting $C(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{-\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)} | \mathcal{F}_s]$ yields

$$C(t) = C(s) + \int_s^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (e^{-f(s', u)} C(s'-) - C(s'-) + f(s', u) C(s'-)) \nu(du) ds'.$$

This implies that

$$C(t) = C(s) e^{\int_s^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (e^{-f(s', u)} - 1 + f(s', u)) \nu(du) ds'}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)} | \mathcal{F}_s] = e^{-\int_0^s \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)} e^{-\int_s^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} (e^{f(s', u)} - 1 + f(s', u)) \nu(du) ds'} \in \mathbb{D},$$

and hence by the chain rule,

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[(\mathbb{E}[e^{-\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)} | \mathcal{F}_s])^\# G(T)] \\ &= \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[-\int_0^s \int_{\mathcal{O} \times R} f^\flat(s', u, r) N \odot \rho(ds', du, dr) e^{-\int_0^s \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)} e^{\int_s^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (e^{-f(s', u)} - 1 + f(s', u)) \nu(du) ds'} \\ & \quad \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O} \times R} g(s', u, r) N \odot \rho(ds', du, dr)] \\ &= -\int_0^s \int_{\mathcal{O} \times R} f^\flat(s', u, r) g(s', u, r) N(ds', du) \rho(dr) \\ & \quad e^{-\int_0^s \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)} e^{-\int_s^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (e^{f(s', u)} - 1 + f(s', u)) \nu(du) ds'}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is obvious that

$$(e^{-\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)})^\# = - \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}} f^\flat(s', u, r) N \odot \rho(ds', du, dr) e^{-\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)}.$$

Then using Itô's product formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}} f^\flat(s', u, r) N \odot \rho(ds', du, dr) e^{-\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)} \\ &= - \int_0^s \int_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}} f^\flat(s', u, r) N \odot \rho(ds', du, dr) e^{-\int_0^s \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)} \\ & \quad - \int_s^t \int_0^{s'-} \int_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}} f^\flat(s'', u, r) N \odot \rho(ds'', du, dr) d(e^{-\int_0^{s'} \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s'', u) \tilde{N}(ds'', du)}) \\ & \quad - \int_s^t e^{-\int_0^{s'-} \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s'', u) \tilde{N}(ds'', du)} d\left(\int_0^{s'} \int_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}} f^\flat(s'', u, r) N \odot \rho(ds'', du, dr)\right) \\ &= - \int_0^s \int_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}} f^\flat(s', u, r) N \odot \rho(ds', du, dr) e^{-\int_0^s \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)} \\ & \quad - \int_s^t \int_0^{s'-} \int_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}} f^\flat(s'', u, r) N \odot \rho(ds'', du, dr) \\ & \quad d\left(\int_s^{s'} \int_{\mathcal{O}} (e^{-\int_0^{s''-} \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s''', u) \tilde{N}(ds''', du)} - f(s'', u)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - e^{-\int_0^{s''-} \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s''', u) \tilde{N}(ds''', du)} \tilde{N}(ds'', du) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_s^{s'} \int_{\mathcal{O}} (e^{-\int_0^{s''-} \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s''', u) \tilde{N}(ds''', du)} - f(s'', u)) - e^{-\int_0^{s''-} \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s''', u) \tilde{N}(ds''', du)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + f(s'', u) e^{-\int_0^{s''-} \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s''', u) \tilde{N}(ds''', du)} \nu(du) ds'' \right) \\ & \quad - \int_s^t e^{-\int_0^{s'-} \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s'', u) \tilde{N}(ds'', du)} d\left(\int_0^{s'} \int_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}} f^\flat(s'', u, r) N \odot \rho(ds'', du, dr)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Now setting

$$D(t) = -\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\mathbb{E}[\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}} f^\flat(s', u, r) N \odot \rho(ds', du, dr) e^{-\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)} | \mathcal{F}_s] G(s)],$$

and hence it follows from (29) that

$$D(t) = - \int_0^s \int_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}} f^\flat(s', u, r) \tilde{N}(ds', du) \rho(dr) + \int_s^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} D(s'-) (e^{-f(s', u)} - 1 + f(s', u)) \nu(du) ds'.$$

Therefore

$$D(t) = - \int_0^s \int_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}} f^\flat(s', u, r) \tilde{N}(ds', du) \rho(dr) e^{\int_s^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (e^{-f(s', u)} - 1 + f(s', u)) \nu(du) ds'}.$$

This yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\mathbb{E}[(e^{-\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)})^\# | \mathcal{F}_s] G_s] \\ &= - \int_0^s \int_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}} f^\flat(s', u, r) \tilde{N}(ds', du) \rho(dr) e^{-\int_0^s \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(s', u) \tilde{N}(ds', du)} e^{\int_s^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (e^{-f(s', u)} - 1 + f(s', u)) \nu(du) ds'}. \end{aligned}$$

Then Lemma A.2 is proved when $F \in \mathcal{E}$.

Let now $F \in \mathbb{D}$ and $(F_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*) \subset \mathcal{E}$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n &= F & \text{in } & L^2(\mathbb{P}), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n^\# &= F^\# & \text{in } & L^2(\mathbb{P} \times \widehat{\mathbb{P}}). \end{aligned}$$

Using the continuity of the conditional expectation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[F_n | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}[F | \mathcal{F}_s] & \text{in } & L^2(\mathbb{P}), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[F_n^\# | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}[F^\# | \mathcal{F}_s] & \text{in } & L^2(\mathbb{P} \times \widehat{\mathbb{P}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore applying

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[(E[F_n | \mathcal{F}_s])^\# G(T)] = \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\mathbb{E}[F_n^\# | \mathcal{F}_s] G(s)],$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[(\mathbb{E}[F_n | \mathcal{F}_s])^\# G(T)] = \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\mathbb{E}[F^\# | \mathcal{F}_s] G(s)] \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{P}).$$

Now the desired result is obtained. \square

Using the method of Picard iteration, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we construct recursively a sequence $\{Y^n(\tau, t, x), Z^n(\tau, t, x, u); \tau \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathcal{O}\}$ of successive approximations by,

$$\begin{cases} Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x) + \int_\tau^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^{n+1}(s-, t, x, u) \widetilde{N}(ds, du) \\ = - \int_\tau^T \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) ds + \phi(X(T, t, x)), \\ Y^0(\tau, t, x) = 0, Z^0(\tau, t, x, u) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The following result can be proved in the same way as the proof of [9, Theorem 3.1.1].

Lemma A.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|Y - Y^n\|_{S^2} + \|Z - Z^n\|_{\mathcal{M}^2}) = 0.$$

Lemma A.4. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2,*

$$\begin{aligned} (Y^n(\tau, t, x), Z^n(\tau, t, x, u)) &\in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}} \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}, \nu}, \\ \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} |(Y^n(\tau, t, x))^\#|^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |(Z^n(\tau, t, x, u))^\#|^2 \nu(du) d\tau \right] &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} (Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x), Z^{n+1}(\tau, t, x, u)) &\in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}} \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}, \nu}, \\ \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} |(Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x))^\#|^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |(Z^{n+1}(\tau, t, x, u))^\#|^2 \nu(du) d\tau \right] &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Step 1:

By Lemma A.1, it is obvious that

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du) \in \mathbb{D},$$

and hence by the assumption **(BD)** and the chain rule, it holds that

$$\psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then using Lemma A.1 again, for every $\tau \in [0, T]$,

$$\int_{\tau}^T \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) ds \in \mathbb{D}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\tau}^T \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) ds \right)^{\sharp} \\ = & \int_{\tau}^T (\nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) X^{\sharp}(s, t, x) \\ & + \nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) (Y^n(s, t, x))^{\sharp} \\ & + \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^n(s, t, x, u))^{\sharp} l(u) \nu(du)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2:

By the chain rule, $\phi(X(T, t, x)) \in \mathbb{D}$ and

$$(\phi(X(T, t, x)))^{\sharp} = \nabla \phi(X(T, t, x)) (X(T, t, x))^{\sharp}.$$

In view of Lemma A.2 and

$$\begin{aligned} & Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x) \\ = & \mathbb{E} \left[- \int_{\tau}^T \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) ds + \phi(X(T, t, x)) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\tau} \right], \end{aligned}$$

for every $\tau \in [0, T]$, we have

$$Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x) \in \mathbb{D}.$$

This implies that

$$\int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^{n+1}(s, t, x, u) \tilde{N}(ds, du) \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Step 3:

For $(\alpha, y) \in [t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, setting

$$\begin{aligned} X^{(\alpha, y)}(\tau, t, x) &:= \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y)}^+ X(\tau, t, x), \quad \nabla X^{\alpha, y}(\tau, \alpha, x) := \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y)}^+ \nabla X(\tau, \alpha, x), \\ (Y^n(\tau, t, x))^{(\alpha, y)} &:= \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y)}^+ Y^n(\tau, t, x), \quad (\nabla Y^n(\tau, \alpha, x))^{(\alpha, y)} := \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y)}^+ \nabla Y^n(\tau, \alpha, x), \\ (Z^n(\tau, t, x, u))^{(\alpha, y)} &:= \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y)}^+ Z^n(\tau, t, x, u), \quad (\nabla Z^n(\tau, \alpha, x, u))^{(\alpha, y)} := \varepsilon_{(\alpha, y)}^+ \nabla Z^n(\tau, \alpha, x, u). \end{aligned}$$

It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & (Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x))^{(\alpha, y)} + \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^{n+1}(s-, t, x, u))^{(\alpha, y)} \tilde{N}(ds, du) + Z^{n+1}(\alpha, t, x, y) 1_{\{\tau \in [0, \alpha]\}} \\ = & - \int_{\tau}^T \psi(s, X^{(\alpha, y)}(s, t, x), (Y^n(s, t, x))^{(\alpha, y)}, \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^n(s, t, x, u))^{(\alpha, y)} l(u) \nu(du)) ds \\ & + \nabla \phi(X^{(\alpha, y)}(T, t, x)). \end{aligned}$$

Then for any $\tau \in [\alpha, T]$, we have

$$((Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x))^{(\alpha, y)})^{\flat} + \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} ((Z^{n+1}(s-, t, x, u))^{(\alpha, y)})^{\flat} \tilde{N}(ds, du)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \int_{\tau}^T (\nabla_x \psi(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x), (Y^n(s, t, x))^{(\alpha,y)}, \\
&\quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^n(s, t, x, u))^{(\alpha,y)} l(u) \nu(du)) (X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x))^{\flat} \\
&\quad + \nabla_y \psi(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x), (Y^n(s, t, x))^{(\alpha,y)}, \\
&\quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^n(s, t, x, u))^{(\alpha,y)} l(u) \nu(du)) ((Y^n(s, t, x))^{(\alpha,y)})^{\flat} \\
&\quad + \nabla_z \psi(s, X^{(\alpha,y)}(s, t, x), (Y^n(s, t, x))^{(\alpha,y)}, \\
&\quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^n(s, t, x, u))^{(\alpha,y)} l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} ((Z^n(s, t, x, u))^{(\alpha,y)})^{\flat} l(u) \nu(du) ds \\
&\quad + \nabla \phi(X^{(\alpha,y)}(T, t, x)) (X^{(\alpha,y)}(T, t, x))^{\flat}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now using (3), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x))^{\sharp} + \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^{n+1}(s-, t, x, u))^{\sharp} \tilde{N}(ds, du) \\
&= - \int_{\tau}^T \nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) X^{\sharp}(s, t, x) ds \\
&\quad - \int_{\tau}^T \nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) (Y^n(s, t, x))^{\sharp} ds \\
&\quad - \int_{\tau}^T \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \\
&\quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^n(s, t, x, u))^{\sharp} l(u) \nu(du) ds \\
&\quad + \nabla \phi(X(T, t, x)) (X(T, t, x))^{\sharp}.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 4:

By Jensen's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u)| \nu(du))^2 \\
&= (\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}))^2 (\int_{\mathcal{O}} |\frac{Z^n(s, t, x, u)}{l(u)}| \frac{l^2(u) \nu(du)}{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O})})^2 \\
&\leq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}) \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z^n(s, t, x, u)|^2 \nu(du),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} l^2(u) \nu(du).$$

Similarly, we have

$$(\int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^n(s, t, x, u))^{\sharp} l(u) \nu(du))^2 \leq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}) \int_{\mathcal{O}} |(Z^n(s, t, x, u))^{\sharp}|^2 \nu(du).$$

In view of Theorem 3.2 (2) and the assumption **(BD)**, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} |(Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x))^{\sharp}|^2 + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |(Z^{n+1}(\tau, t, x, u))^{\sharp}|^2 \nu(du) d\tau]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T |\nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) X^\sharp(s, t, x)|^2 ds\right] \\
&\quad + C\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T |\nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) (Y^n(s, t, x))^\sharp|^2 ds\right] \\
&\quad + C\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T |\nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du))\right. \\
&\quad \left.\int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^n(s, t, x, u))^\sharp l(u) \nu(du)|^2 ds\right] \\
&\quad + C\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[|\nabla \phi(X(T, t, x))(X(T, t, x))^\sharp|^2] \\
&\leq C\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T (1 + \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u)| \nu(du))^2\right. \\
&\quad \left.(1 + |X(s, t, x)| + |Y^n(s, t, x)|)^{2m} |X^\sharp(s, t, x)|^2 ds\right] \\
&\quad + C\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T |(Y^n(s, t, x))^\sharp|^2 ds\right] \\
&\quad + C\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T \left|\int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^n(s, t, x, u))^\sharp l(u) \nu(du)\right|^2 ds\right] \\
&\quad + C\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[|(X(T, t, x))^\sharp|^2] \\
&\leq C\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T (1 + \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u)| \nu(du))^2\right. \\
&\quad \left.(1 + |X(s, t, x)| + |Y^n(s, t, x)|)^{2m} |X^\sharp(s, t, x)|^2 ds\right] \\
&\quad + C\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T |(Y^n(s, t, x))^\sharp|^2 ds\right] \\
&\quad + C\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |(Z^n(s, t, x, u))^\sharp|^2 \nu(du) ds\right] \\
&\quad + C\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[|(X(T, t, x))^\sharp|^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Now it is sufficient to deal with the term

$$\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T (1 + \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u)| \nu(du))^2 (1 + |X(s, t, x)| + |Y^n(s, t, x)|)^{2m} |X^\sharp(s, t, x)|^2 ds\right].$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T (1 + \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u)| \nu(du))^2 (1 + |X(s, t, x)| + |Y^n(s, t, x)|)^{2m} |X^\sharp(s, t, x)|^2 ds\right] \\
&\leq C\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\sup_{s \in [0, T]} ((1 + |X(s, t, x)| + |Y^n(s, t, x)|)^{2m} |X^\sharp(s, t, x)|^2)\right. \\
&\quad \left.(1 + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z^n(s, t, x, u)|^2 \nu(du) ds)\right] \\
&\leq C\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\sup_{s \in [0, T]} ((1 + |X(s, t, x)| + |Y^n(s, t, x)|)^{2mp} |X^\sharp(s, t, x)|^{2p})^{1/p}\right. \\
&\quad \left.\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[(1 + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z^n(s, t, x, u)|^2 \nu(du) ds)^{p/(p-1)}]^{(p-1)/p}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

This in turn yields the desired result by Theorem 3.1. \square

Lemma A.5. Suppose $\{\tilde{Y}(\tau, t, x), \tilde{Z}(\tau, t, x, u); \tau \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathcal{O}\}$ satisfies the following backward SDEs with jumps:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{Y}(\tau, t, x) + \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{Z}(s-, t, x, u) \tilde{N}(ds, du) \\ = & - \int_{\tau}^T (\nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) X^{\sharp}(s, t, x) \\ & + \nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \tilde{Y}(s, t, x) \\ & + \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{Z}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) ds \\ & + \nabla \phi(X(T, t, x)) X^{\sharp}(T, t, x). \end{aligned}$$

Then under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} |\tilde{Y}(\tau, t, x)|^2] + \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\tilde{Z}(\tau, t, x, u)|^2 \nu(du) d\tau] < \infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} |\tilde{Y}(\tau, t, x) - (Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x))^{\sharp}|^2] \\ & + \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\tilde{Z}(\tau, t, x, u) - (Z^{n+1}(\tau, t, x, u))^{\sharp}|^2 \nu(du) d\tau]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the same argument as in the proof of Lemma A.4, it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} |\tilde{Y}(\tau, t, x)|^2] + \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\tilde{Z}(\tau, t, x, u)|^2 \nu(du) d\tau] < \infty.$$

Now we deal with the convergence of

$$\{(Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x))^{\sharp}, (Z^{n+1}(\tau, t, x, u))^{\sharp}; \tau \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathcal{O}\}$$

in $\mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{M}^2$. It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{Y}(\tau, t, x) - (Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x))^{\sharp} + \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} (\tilde{Z}(s-, t, x, u) - (Z^{n+1}(s-, t, x, u))^{\sharp}) \tilde{N}(ds, du) \\ = & - \int_{\tau}^T (\nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) X^{\sharp}(s, t, x) \\ & + \nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \tilde{Y}(s, t, x) \\ & + \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{Z}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) ds \\ & + \int_{\tau}^T (\nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) X^{\sharp}(s, t, x) \\ & + \nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) (Y^n(s, t, x))^{\sharp} \\ & + \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^n(s, t, x, u))^{\sharp} l(u) \nu(du)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then using Theorem 3.2 (2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} e^{\rho\tau} |\widetilde{Y}(\tau, t, x) - (Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x))^\#|^2\right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{\rho\tau} |\widetilde{Z}(\tau, t, x, u) - (Z^{n+1}(\tau, t, x, u))^\#|^2 \nu(du) d\tau\right] \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} |\nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) X^\#(s, t, x) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) X^\#(s, t, x)|^2 ds\right] \\
& \quad + \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} |\nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \widetilde{Y}(s, t, x) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) (Y^n(s, t, x))^\#|^2 ds\right] \\
& \quad + \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} |\nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \widetilde{Z}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^n(s, t, x, u))^\# l(u) \nu(du)|^2 ds\right] \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} |\nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) X^\#(s, t, x) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) X^\#(s, t, x)|^2 ds\right] \\
& \quad + \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} |\nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \widetilde{Y}(s, t, x) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \widetilde{Y}(s, t, x)|^2 ds\right] \\
& \quad + \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} |\nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \widetilde{Y}(s, t, x) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) (Y^n(s, t, x))^\#|^2 ds\right] \\
& \quad + \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} |\nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \widetilde{Z}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \widetilde{Z}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)|^2 ds\right] \\
& \quad + \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} |\nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \widetilde{Z}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^n(s, t, x, u))^\# l(u) \nu(du)|^2 ds\right] \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} |\nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) X^\#(s, t, x) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) X^\#(s, t, x)|^2 ds\right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} |\nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \widetilde{Y}(s, t, x) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \widetilde{Y}(s, t, x)|^2 ds \right] \\
& + \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} |\widetilde{Y}(s, t, x) - (Y^n(s, t, x))^{\sharp}|^2 ds \right] \\
& + \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} |\nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \widetilde{Z}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \widetilde{Z}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)|^2 ds \right] \\
& + \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} \left| \int_{\mathcal{O}} \widetilde{Z}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du) - \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^n(s, t, x, u))^{\sharp} l(u) \nu(du) \right|^2 ds \right] \\
\leq & \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} |\nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) X^{\sharp}(s, t, x) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) X^{\sharp}(s, t, x)|^2 ds \right] \\
& + \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} |\nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \widetilde{Y}(s, t, x) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \widetilde{Y}(s, t, x)|^2 ds \right] \\
& + \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} |\widetilde{Y}(s, t, x) - (Y^n(s, t, x))^{\sharp}|^2 ds \right] \\
& + \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} |\nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \widetilde{Z}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y^n(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^n(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \widetilde{Z}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)|^2 ds \right] \\
& + \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{\rho s} |\widetilde{Z}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du) - \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^n(s, t, x, u))^{\sharp} l(u) \nu(du)|^2 \nu(du) ds \right] \\
= & I_1^n + I_2^n + I_3^n + I_4^n + I_5^n,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T e^{\rho s} \left| \int_{\mathcal{O}} \widetilde{Z}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du) - \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^n(s, t, x, u))^{\sharp} l(u) \nu(du) \right|^2 ds \right] \\
& \leq C \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{\rho s} |\widetilde{Z}(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du) - \int_{\mathcal{O}} (Z^n(s, t, x, u))^{\sharp} l(u) \nu(du)|^2 \nu(du) ds \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Using the assumption **(BD)**, and the convergence of (Y^n, Z^n) to (Y, Z) as n tends to infinity, it is easy to see that

$$I_1^n, I_2^n, I_4^n \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then for any small $\epsilon > 0$, we can find sufficiently large N such that for all $n \geq N$,

$$\mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} e^{\rho \tau} |\widetilde{Y}(\tau, t, x) - (Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x))^{\sharp}|^2 \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{\rho\tau} |\tilde{Z}(\tau, t, x, u) - (Z^{n+1}(\tau, t, x, u))^{\sharp}|^2 \nu(du) d\tau] \\
& < \epsilon + \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} e^{\rho\tau} |\tilde{Y}(\tau, t, x) - (Y^n(\tau, t, x))^{\sharp}|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{\rho\tau} |\tilde{Z}(\tau, t, x, u) - (Z^n(\tau, t, x, u))^{\sharp}|^2 \nu(du) d\tau \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Now take ρ sufficiently large such that

$$\frac{C}{\rho} < 1.$$

Then by recursion, for any $n \geq N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} e^{\rho\tau} |\tilde{Y}(\tau, t, x) - (Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x))^{\sharp}|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{\rho\tau} |\tilde{Z}(\tau, t, x, u) - (Z^{n+1}(\tau, t, x, u))^{\sharp}|^2 \nu(du) d\tau \right] \\
& < \epsilon + \frac{C}{\rho} \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} e^{\rho\tau} |\tilde{Y}(\tau, t, x) - (Y^n(\tau, t, x))^{\sharp}|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{\rho\tau} |\tilde{Z}(\tau, t, x, u) - (Z^n(\tau, t, x, u))^{\sharp}|^2 \nu(du) d\tau \right] \\
& < \frac{\epsilon}{1 - C/\rho} + \left(\frac{C}{\rho}\right)^{n+1-N} \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} e^{\rho\tau} |\tilde{Y}(\tau, t, x) - (Y^N(\tau, t, x))^{\sharp}|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{\rho\tau} |\tilde{Z}(\tau, t, x, u) - (Z^N(\tau, t, x, u))^{\sharp}|^2 \nu(du) d\tau \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Then we complete the proof. \square

Completion of the proof of Theorem 3.2. In view of Lemma A.3, Lemma A.4 and Lemma A.5, it follows that

$$\{Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x), Z^{n+1}(\tau, t, x, u); \tau \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathcal{O}\} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}} \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}, \nu},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbb{E} [\int_0^T |Y(\tau, t, x) - Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x)|^2 dt] \\
& + \mathbb{E} [\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |Z(\tau, t, x, u) - Z^{n+1}(\tau, t, x, u)|^2 \nu(du) d\tau]) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} [\int_0^T |\tilde{Y}(\tau, t, x) - (Y^{n+1}(\tau, t, x))^{\sharp}|^2 dt] \\
& + \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbb{E}} [\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\tilde{Z}(\tau, t, x, u) - (Z^{n+1}(\tau, t, x, u))^{\sharp}|^2 \nu(du) d\tau]) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then using the fact that the Malliavin derivative is a closed operator, we have

$$\{Y(\tau, t, x), Z(\tau, t, x, u); \tau \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathcal{O}\} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}} \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}, \nu},$$

and the Malliavin derivative $\{Y^\sharp(\tau, t, x), Z^\sharp(\tau, t, x, u); \tau \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathcal{O}\}$ satisfies the following backward SDEs with jumps:

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y^\sharp(\tau, t, x) + \int_\tau^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^\sharp(s-, t, x, u) \tilde{N}(ds, du) \\
= & - \int_\tau^T (\nabla_x \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) X^\sharp(s, t, x) \\
& + \nabla_y \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) Y^\sharp(s, t, x) \\
& + \nabla_z \psi(s, X(s, t, x), Y(s, t, x), \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} Z^\sharp(s, t, x, u) l(u) \nu(du) ds \\
& + \nabla \phi(X(T, t, x)) X^\sharp(T, t, x).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the proof is complete. \square

APPENDIX B. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.1

First of all, using the condition (5), there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} (1 - e^{-\lambda|u|^3}) \nu(du) \leq C_1 \lambda^{\beta/3}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

This implies by the inequality $xe^{-x} \leq 1 - e^{-x}$ provided $x \geq 0$ that

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda |u|^3 e^{-\lambda|u|^3} \nu(du) \leq C_1 \lambda^{\beta/3}.$$

Hence for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have

$$\int_{\{2^{-n-1} < |u| \leq 2^{-n}\}} \lambda |u|^3 e^{-\lambda|u|^3} \nu(du) \leq C_1 \lambda^{\beta/3}. \quad (30)$$

On the other hand, choose $\lambda_n = 2^{3n}$, then $\lambda_n |u|^3 \leq 1$ for any $2^{-n-1} < u \leq 2^{-n}$. It follows that

$$\int_{\{2^{-n-1} < |u| \leq 2^{-n}\}} \lambda_n |u|^3 e^{-\lambda_n |u|^3} \nu(du) \geq e^{-1} \int_{\{2^{-n-1} < |u| \leq 2^{-n}\}} 2^{3n} |u|^3 \nu(du). \quad (31)$$

Then using (30) and (31), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\{2^{-n-1} < |u| \leq 2^{-n}\}} |u|^2 \nu(du) \\
\leq & 2^{n+1} \int_{\{2^{-n-1} < |u| \leq 2^{-n}\}} |u|^3 \nu(du) \\
\leq & e 2^{n+1-3n} \int_{\{2^{-n-1} < |u| \leq 2^{-n}\}} \lambda_n |u|^3 e^{-\lambda_n |u|^3} \nu(du) \\
\leq & 2e C_1 2^{n\beta-2n} =: C_2 2^{-n(2-\beta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\{|u| \leq 2^{-n}\}} |u|^2 \nu(du) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \int_{\{2^{-k-1} < |u| \leq 2^{-k}\}} |u|^2 \nu(du) \leq C_2 \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} 2^{-k(2-\beta)} \leq C_3 2^{-n(2-\beta)}.$$

Now for any $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $2^{-n_\varepsilon-1} < \varepsilon \leq 2^{-n_\varepsilon}$. This yields that

$$\int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^2 \nu(du) \leq \int_{|u| \leq 2^{-n_\varepsilon}} |u|^2 \nu(du) \leq C_4 \varepsilon^{2-\beta},$$

and hence for any $p \geq 2$,

$$\int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^p \nu(du) \leq \varepsilon^{p-2} \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^2 \nu(du) \leq C_4 \varepsilon^{p-\beta}.$$

Then the first conclusion of Proposition 4.1 has been proven.

Now we show that there exists a constant $C_L > 0$ such that

$$\nu(|u| > \varepsilon) \leq C_L \varepsilon^{-\beta}, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0. \quad (32)$$

Indeed, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $2^{-n_\varepsilon-1} < \varepsilon \leq 2^{-n_\varepsilon}$. By the first conclusion of Proposition 4.1 we have that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\int_{2^{-k-1} < |u| \leq 2^{-k}} |u|^2 \nu(du) \leq C_O 2^{-k(2-\beta)}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(|u| > \varepsilon) &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n_\varepsilon} \nu(2^{-k-1} < |u| \leq 2^{-k}) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n_\varepsilon} 2^{2k+2} \int_{\{2^{-k-1} < |u| \leq 2^{-k}\}} |u|^2 \nu(du) \\ &\leq C_O \sum_{k=0}^{n_\varepsilon} 2^{2k+2} 2^{-k(2-\beta)} \\ &= C_O \sum_{k=0}^{n_\varepsilon} 2^{\beta k+2} \\ &\leq C_5 2^{\beta(n_\varepsilon+1)} \leq C_6 \varepsilon^{-\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

and this is the desired result.

We are in a position to prove

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_t^\tau \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^3 N(ds, du)\right)^{-p}\right] \leq C_I (((\tau - t) \varepsilon^{3-\beta})^{-p} + (\tau - t)^{-\frac{3p}{\beta}}).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\lambda \int_t^\tau \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^3 N(ds, du)}\right] \\ &= \exp\left\{- (\tau - t) \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} (1 - e^{-\lambda |u|^3}) \nu(du)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_t^\tau \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^3 N(ds, du)\right)^{-p}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\lambda \int_t^\tau \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^3 N(ds, du)}\right] d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1} \exp\left\{- (\tau - t) \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} (1 - e^{-\lambda |u|^3}) \nu(du)\right\} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the condition (5), there exists a constant $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} (1 - e^{-\lambda|u|^3}) \nu(du) \geq \frac{\lambda^{\beta/3} \kappa}{2}, \quad \forall \lambda > \lambda_0.$$

Now using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tau - t) \int_{\mathcal{O}} (1 - e^{-\lambda|u|^3}) \nu(du) \\ \leq & (\tau - t) \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} (1 - e^{-\lambda|u|^3}) \nu(du) + (\tau - t) \int_{\{|u| > \varepsilon\}} (1 - e^{-\lambda|u|^3}) \nu(du) \\ \leq & (\tau - t) \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} (1 - e^{-\lambda|u|^3}) \nu(du) + C_L(\tau - t)\varepsilon^{-\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the estimate (32), for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_\varepsilon = (\frac{4C_L}{\kappa}\varepsilon^{-\beta})^{3/\beta} \vee \lambda_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\tau - t}{\lambda^{\beta/3}} \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} (1 - e^{-\lambda|u|^3}) \nu(du) \\ \geq & \frac{\kappa(\tau - t)}{2} - \frac{C_L(\tau - t)}{\lambda^{\beta/3}} \varepsilon^{-\beta} \geq \frac{\kappa(\tau - t)}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\lambda_\varepsilon}^{\infty} \lambda^{p-1} \exp\{-(\tau - t) \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} (1 - e^{-\lambda|u|^3}) \nu(du)\} d\lambda \\ \leq & \int_0^{\infty} \lambda^{p-1} e^{-\frac{\kappa(\tau-t)}{4} \lambda^{\beta/3}} d\lambda \\ \leq & C_7(\tau - t)^{-\frac{3p}{\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using the inequality $xe^{-x} \leq 1 - e^{-x}$ provided $x \geq 0$ again, and the fact that $\lambda_\varepsilon \varepsilon^3 = \frac{4C_L}{\kappa} \vee (\lambda_0 \varepsilon^3) \leq \frac{4C_L}{\kappa} \vee \lambda_0 =: C_8$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\lambda_\varepsilon} \lambda^{p-1} \exp\{-(\tau - t) \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} (1 - e^{-\lambda|u|^3}) \nu(du)\} d\lambda \\ \leq & \int_0^{\lambda_\varepsilon} \lambda^{p-1} \exp\{-(\tau - t) \lambda \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^3 e^{-\lambda|u|^3} \nu(du)\} d\lambda \\ \leq & \int_0^{\lambda_\varepsilon} \lambda^{p-1} \exp\{-(\tau - t) \lambda \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^3 e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon \varepsilon^3} \nu(du)\} d\lambda \\ = & \int_0^{\lambda_\varepsilon} \lambda^{p-1} \exp\{-C_9(\tau - t) \lambda \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^3 \nu(du)\} d\lambda \\ \leq & \int_0^{\infty} \lambda^{p-1} \exp\{-C_9(\tau - t) \lambda \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^3 \nu(du)\} d\lambda \\ \leq & C_{10}((\tau - t) \int_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\}} |u|^3 \nu(du))^{-p} \\ \leq & C_{10}(C_O(\tau - t) \varepsilon^{3-\beta})^{-p}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. Bismut: *Large Deviations and the Malliavin Calculus*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1984.
- [2] N. Bouleau and L. Denis: Energy image density property and the lent particle method for Poisson measure, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 257, 1144-1174, 2009.
- [3] N. Bouleau and L. Denis: Application of the lent particle method to Poisson-driven SDEs, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 151, 403-433, 2011.
- [4] N. Bouleau and L. Denis: *Dirichlet Forms Methods for Poisson Point Measures and Lévy Processes*, Springer, 2015.
- [5] N. Bouleau and F. Hirsch: *Dirichlet Forms and Analysis on Wiener Space*, De Gruyter, 1991.
- [6] Z. Chen and T. Kumagai: Heat kernel estimates for stable-like processes on d -sets, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 108, 27-62, 2003.
- [7] F. Cordoni, L. Di Persio and I. Oliva: A nonlinear Kolmogorov equation for stochastic functional delay differential equations with jumps, *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.*, 24, 16 (35 pages), 2017.
- [8] C. Dellacherie and P. A. Meyer: *Probability and Potential*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 29, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1978.
- [9] L. Delong: *Backward Stochastic Differential Equations with Jumps and Their Actuarial and Financial Applications*, Springer-Verlag, London, 2013.
- [10] K.D. Elworthy and X.M. Li: Formulae for the derivatives of heat semigroups, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 125 (1), 252-286, 1994.
- [11] M. Fuhrman and G. Tessitore: The Bismut-Elworthy formula for backward SDEs and applications to nonlinear Kolmogorov equations and control in infinite dimensional spaces, *Stoch. Stoch. Rep.*, 74 (1-2), 429-464, 2002.
- [12] H. Kunita: *Stochastic Differential Equations Based on Lévy Processes and Stochastic Flows of Diffeomorphisms*, Real and Stochastic Analysis, 305-373, Trends Math., Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.
- [13] J. Li: Mean-field forward and backward SDEs with jumps and associated nonlocal quasi-linear integral-PDEs, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 128, 3118-3180, 2018.
- [14] J. Li and Q.M. Wei: Stochastic differential games for fully coupled FBSDEs with jumps, *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 71 (3), 411-448, 2013.
- [15] J. Li and Q.M. Wei: L^p estimates for fully coupled FBSDEs with jumps, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 124, 1582-1611, 2014.
- [16] F. Masiero: A Bismut-Elworthy formula for quadratic BSDEs, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 125 (5), 1945-1979, 2015.
- [17] J. Ren and H. Zhang: Derivative formulae for stochastic differential equations driven by Poisson random measures, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 462, 554-576, 2018.
- [18] F. Russo and P. Vallois: The generalized covariation process and Itô formula, *Stoch. Process. Appl.*, 59, 81-104, 1995.
- [19] A. Takeuchi: The Bismut-Elworthy-Li type formulae for stochastic differential equations with jumps, *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 23, 576-604, 2010.
- [20] L. Wang, L. Xie and X. Zhang: Derivative formulae for SDEs driven by multiplicative α -stable-like processes, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 125, 867-885, 2015.
- [21] F. Wang, L. Xu and X. Zhang: Gradient estimates for SDEs driven by multiplicative Lévy noise, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 269 (10), 3195-3219, 2013.
- [22] X. Zhang: Derivative formula and gradient estimate for SDEs driven by α -stable processes, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 123, 1213-1228, 2013.