

LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE SCHRÖDINGER FLOW INTO \mathbb{S}^2 WITH NATURAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

BO CHEN AND YOODE WANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we develop a new approximation scheme to resolve the local well-posedness problem for the Landau-Lifshitz equation (i.e., the Schrödinger flow into the standard unit 2-sphere $\mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$) with natural boundary conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1935, Landau and Lifshitz [33] derived the celebrated ferromagnetic chain equation, commonly known as the Landau-Lifshitz (LL) equation:

$$\partial_t u = -u \times \Delta u.$$

They further formulated the initial-Neumann boundary value problem of LL equation, which is also called LL equation with natural boundary conditions, as follows

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = -u \times \Delta u, & (x,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0, & (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ u(x,0) = u_0 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in Euclidean spaces \mathbb{R}^m with $m \leq 3$, ν is the outward unit normal vector of $\partial\Omega$.

In this paper, we study the local well-posedness of the problem (1.1) under necessary compatibility conditions (see Definition 5.1 in this paper) for the initial data u_0 . This problem remains open and fundamentally challenging for an extended period, despite partial progress in our earlier work [14, 16], where existence and uniqueness of local regular solutions were established under certain sufficient boundary compatibility conditions for u_0 .

1.1. Definitions and backgrounds.

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^m with $m \leq 3$. In physics, for a time-dependent map u from Ω into \mathbb{S}^2 (where \mathbb{S}^2 denotes the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^3), the Landau-Lifshitz (LL) equation

$$\partial_t u = -u \times \Delta u \quad (1.2)$$

was first proposed by Landau and Lifshitz [33] in 1935 as a phenomenological model for studying the dispersive behavior of magnetization in ferromagnetic materials. In 1955, Gilbert

Date: December 30, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35G61, 35Q55, 35Q60, 58J35.

Key words and phrases. The Schrödinger flow, Landau-Lifshitz equation, The initial-Neumann boundary value problem, Local smooth solutions.

[25] extended this model by introducing a dissipative term, leading to the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) equation:

$$\partial_t u = \beta u \times \Delta u - \alpha u \times (u \times \Delta u),$$

where β is a real number and $\alpha \geq 0$ is the Gilbert damping coefficient. Here “ \times ” denotes the cross product in \mathbb{R}^3 and Δ is the Laplace operator in \mathbb{R}^3 .

In fact, this equation is closely related to material sciences [17]. Ferromagnetic materials have intrinsic magnetic orders (magnetization) and exhibit bistable structures, making them widely used in data storage devices. One prominent example is permalloy, a nickel-iron magnetic alloy that typically adopts a face-centered cubic phase. A real permalloy, however, often display an irregular polycrystalline structure [47]. Its magnetization dynamics of such materials is modeled by the multi-scale LLG equation [25, 33] with locally periodic material coefficients.

Specifically, consider a material over a open, bounded and connected domain Ω . The magnetization $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ is described by the following multiscale LLG equation in dimensionless form:

$$\partial_t u - \alpha u \times \partial_t u = -(1 + \alpha^2)u \times h(u),$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$ is the Gilbert damping constant. The effective field $h(u)$ takes the form

$$h(u) = \operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u) - k(x)(u - (u \cdot e)e) + h_s(u) + h_e.$$

The exchange coefficient matrix denoted by $a(x) = (a_{ij}(x))$ ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$) is assumed to be symmetric and satisfy

$$\lambda|\xi|^2 \leq \xi \cdot a\xi \leq \Lambda|\xi|^2$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ a.e. on Ω with $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$. The anisotropy coefficient $k(x)$ is a positive and bounded scalar function, and the constant vector $e \in \mathbb{S}^2$ is the direction of easy axis. The stray field $h_s(u) = -\nabla U$, and U satisfies

$$U(x, t) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla N(x - y) \cdot u(y, t) dy,$$

where $N(x) = -\frac{1}{4\pi|x|}$ is the Newtonian potential and h_e is the external magnetic field.

The LLG equation has since inspired numerous physically significant generalizations, including models incorporating spin current, spin-polarized transport, and magneto-elastic equation. For a comprehensive overview, we refer to [9, 23, 32, 40, 41] and the references therein.

Since the negative sign “ $-$ ” in equation (1.2) does not affect on our analysis and main results, for the sake of convenience, we only consider the classical Schrödinger flow into \mathbb{S}^2 :

$$\partial_t u = u \times \Delta u.$$

Geometrically, the cross product operator “ $u \times$ ” can be interpreted as a complex structure

$$J(u) = u \times : T_u \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow T_u \mathbb{S}^2$$

on \mathbb{S}^2 , which rotates vectors on the tangent space of \mathbb{S}^2 anticlockwise by an angle of $\frac{\pi}{2}$ degrees. This allows us to rewrite the equation in an intrinsic form:

$$\partial_t u = J(u)\tau(u),$$

where

$$\tau(u) = \Delta u + |\partial u|^2 u$$

is the tension field of the map u .

From the perspective of infinite-dimensional symplectic geometry, Ding and Wang [21, 22] introduced the Schrödinger flows for maps from a Riemannian manifold (M, g) into a symplectic manifold (N, J, h) , which generalizes the LL equation (1.2), and was also independently developed by Terng and Uhlenbeck [50] from the viewpoint of integrable systems. Concretely, for a time-dependent map $u : M \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^K$, the Schrödinger flow is governed by

$$\partial_t u = J(u)\tau(u).$$

Here the tension field $\tau(u)$ has the extrinsic expression

$$\tau(u) = \Delta_g u + A(u)(\partial u, \partial u).$$

where $A(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the second fundamental form of the embedding $N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^K$.

1.2. Related works.

Due to their profound physical significance, the Schrödinger flow and closely related Landau-Lifshitz (LL)-type equations have attracted considerable attention from both physicists and mathematicians. Over the past five decades, substantial progress has been made in understanding the well-posedness of weak and regular solutions to the Schrödinger flow in various geometric settings.

In 1986, P.L. Sulem, C. Sulem and C. Bardos [49] established the existence of global weak solutions and local regular solutions to the Schrödinger flow for maps from \mathbb{R}^n into \mathbb{S}^2 , by employing the difference method. Later, Y.D. Wang [52] extended this global existence result of weak solutions to the Schrödinger flow from a closed Riemannian manifold or a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n into \mathbb{S}^2 , by using the complex structure approximation method. For the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 as a target, A. Nahmod, J. Shatah, L. Vega and C.C. Zeng [42] investigated the existence of global weak solutions to the Schrödinger flow defined on \mathbb{R}^2 . Further developments of weak solution to a class of generalized Schrödinger flows and related equations can be found in [12, 29, 30] and references therein. However, the existence of global weak solution to the Schrödinger flow for maps between a Riemannian manifold and a Kähler manifold remains an open problem.

The local existence theory for the Schrödinger flow, initiated in [49], has been generalized in several directions. Ding and Wang [21] demonstrated the existence of local regular solutions to the Schrödinger flow from a closed Riemannian manifold or \mathbb{R}^n into a Kähler manifold by applying a parabolic geometric approximation equation and estimated some appropriate intrinsic geometric energy. Later, for low-regularity initial data, A.R. Nahmod, A. Stefanov and K. Uhlenbeck [43] gained a near-optimal (but conditional) local well-posedness result for the Schrödinger map flow from \mathbb{R}^2 into the sphere $X = \mathbb{S}^2$ or the hyperbolic space $X = \mathbb{H}^2$, by using the standard technique of Picard iteration in suitable function spaces of the Schrödinger equation. Both results include persistence of regularity, which means that the solution always stays as regular as the initial data (as measured in Sobolev norms), provided that it is within the time of existence guaranteed by the local existence theorem.

For one dimensional global existence for Schrödinger flow from either \mathbb{S}^1 or \mathbb{R}^1 into a Kähler manifold, we refer to the works [56, 45, 46] and the recent preprint [53]. In higher dimensions $n \geq 2$, the global well-posedness result for the Schrödinger flow from \mathbb{R}^n into \mathbb{S}^2 with small initial data has been extensively investigated by Ionescu, Kenig, Bejanaru and Tataru, see [28, 2, 1, 3] for detailed results. Notably, the global well-posedness result for

the Schrödinger flow for small data in the critical Sobolev spaces in dimensions $n \geq 2$ was addressed in [3], while Z. Li [35, 37] later extended these results to compact Kähler targets.

For the equivariant Schrödinger flows, Bejenaru, Ionescu, Kenig, and Tataru [4, 5] proved global well-posedness and scattering in two key settings: for flows from \mathbb{R}^2 with energy below the ground state, and for finite-energy equivariant flows into the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^2 . Additionally, the global dynamics of the Schrödinger flow on \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{H}^2 near harmonic maps were studied in [26, 27, 6, 7, 34, 36].

In contrast, for dimensions $n \geq 2$, the Schrödinger flow with large initial data is known to develop singularities. Finite-time blow-up solutions near harmonic maps for 1-equivariant flows were constructed by Merle, Raphael and Rodnianski [39] and Perelman [44]. Furthermore, self-similar finite-time blow-up solutions with locally bounded energy for the Schrödinger flow from \mathbb{C}^n into $\mathbb{C}P^n$ were obtained in [20, 24, 42]. Vortex-structured traveling wave solutions for the Schrödinger flow were constructed by F. Lin and J. Wei [38] and later by J. Wei and J. Yang [55].

Despite these advances, the initial-boundary value problems for the Schrödinger flow on a manifold M with boundary (where $\dim(M) \geq 2$) remain largely unexplored. The study of such problems traces back to the foundational work of Landau and Lifshitz [33], who introduced the initial-Neumann boundary value problem of the ferromagnetic chain equation (i.e. LL equation):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = u \times \Delta u, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in Euclidean spaces \mathbb{R}^m with $m \leq 3$, and u is a map from Ω into the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . For decades, progress in establishing regular solutions to this problem has been limited. In our previous work [14], we proved the existence and uniqueness of local strong solutions to the problem (1.3), under the assumption that $u_0 \in W^{3,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2)$ satisfies the 0-th order compatibility condition $\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. The proof relied on a parabolic approximation scheme and the derivation of noval equivalent $W^{3,2}$ -energy estimates for the approximation solutions. Recently, in [16], we established the local existence of highly regular solutions to the problem (1.3) within the framework of Sobolev spaces. Specifically, we obtained the following results.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 . Suppose that $u_0 \in W^{5,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2)$, which satisfies the 1-th order compatibility conditions, i.e.*

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_\nu \tau(u_0)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

where ∇ is the pull-back connection on $u_0^(T\mathbb{S}^2)$. Then there exists a positive time T_0 depending only on $\|u_0\|_{W^{5,2}(\Omega)}$ such that the problem (1.3) admits a unique solution u on $[0, T_0]$, which satisfies*

$$\partial_t^i u \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{5-2i,2}(\Omega))$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Additionally, we further proved higher regularities of the solution u given by Theorem 1.1, by providing the following k -th order compatibility conditions for u_0 at the boundary:

◆ For all $0 \leq j \leq 2k$, there holds

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \partial^j u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

where $\partial^j u_0 = \left(\frac{\partial^j u_0}{\partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_j}} \right)$ are all the j -th partial derivatives of u_0 .

However, for the existence of local smooth solutions to (1.3), the necessary k -th order compatibility conditions of u_0 are significantly weaker. These conditions are defined by

- For all $0 \leq j \leq k$, the initial data u_0 satisfies

$$\frac{\partial V_j(u_0)}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where $V_j(u_0) = \partial_t^j u|_{t=0}$, assuming that u is a smooth solution to the problem (1.3) on a positive time interval $[0, T]$. For more details, we refer to Definition 5.1 for precise formulations. This raises the following fundamental and challenging open problem:

Problem a. *Does the LL equation with natural boundary condition (i.e. the problem (1.3)) admits a local smooth solution if and only if the initial data satisfies the necessary k -th compatibility conditions (1.4) for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$?*

In general, if the target manifold is any compact Kähler manifold N , the well-posedness for the initial-Neumann boundary value problem to the Schrödinger flow:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = J(u)\tau(u), & (x,t) \in \Omega \times [0, T], \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0, & (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, T], \\ u(x, 0) = u_0 : \Omega \rightarrow N \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

remains largely open. A critical challenge is

Problem b. *Can we establish the existence of regular solutions (or even smooth solutions) to the problem (1.5) under the necessary compatibility conditions (see (5.3))?*

1.3. Main results. In this paper, we continue to study the local existence of highly regular solutions to the initial-Neumann boundary value (INB) problem (1.3). In fact, we completely resolve the above Problem a. Our approach, however, is not directly applicable to Problem b, which will be addressed in a forthcoming paper. Tackling this second problem b requires the development of new methods to overcome several fundamental difficulties.

In order to state our main result, we need to present the intrinsic characterization of the necessary compatibility conditions (1.4) for the INB problem (1.3).

Assume that $u \in L^\infty([0, T], W^{2k+2}(\Omega))$ is a solution to (1.3), for any $0 \leq j \leq k$, we define

$$v_j(0) = \nabla_t^j u|_{t=0} \in u_0^*(T\mathbb{S}^2),$$

where $u_0(x) = u(x, 0)$ and ∇ is the pull-back connection on $u^*(T\mathbb{S}^2)$. Then the equivalent intrinsic necessary k -th order compatibility conditions for u_0 are defined by the following

$C(k)$: For any $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$, the initial data u_0 satisfies

$$\nabla_\nu v_j(0)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

In particular, $v_1(0) = u_0 \times \tau(u_0)$. Hence, the condition $\nabla_\nu v_1(0)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\nabla_\nu \tau(u_0)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

See Proposition 5.1 for the details.

Our main results of this paper can be presented as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 , $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \geq 1$. Suppose that the initial map $u_0 \in W^{2k+3,2}(\Omega)$ satisfies the necessary k -th order compatibility conditions (1.6), i.e.*

$$\nabla_\nu v_j(0)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

for $0 \leq j \leq k$. Then there exists a positive constant T_0 depending only on $\|u_0\|_{W^{5,2}}$ such that INB problem (1.3) admits a unique solution on $[0, T_0]$, which satisfies

$$\partial_t^i u \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2k+1-2i,2}(\Omega)),$$

where $0 \leq i \leq k$.

Furthermore, if $u_0 \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfies the k -th order compatibility conditions (1.6) for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the solution u is smooth on $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T_0]$.

Remark 1.3. *The case $k = 0$ in Theorem 1.2 has already been established in our previous work [14].*

1.4. Related models.

Chern et al. [18] proposed a novel framework for the purely Eulerian simulation of incompressible fluids. In their approach, the state of the fluid is represented by a \mathbb{C}^2 -valued wave function that evolves according to the Schrödinger equation under incompressibility constraints. The underlying dynamical system is Hamiltonian and governed by the fluid's kinetic energy along with an energy of Landau–Lifshitz type. From this formulation, they derived the following equation:

$$\partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla u = \tilde{\alpha}(u \times \Delta u),$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}$ is a real number, $u : \Omega \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ and v is a vector field satisfying

$$\operatorname{div}(v) = 0, \quad dv^b = \tilde{\alpha} u^* \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Here, v^b denotes the dual 1-form of v and $\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ is the standard Kähler form on the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . They refer to this dynamical system as the incompressible Schrödinger flow.

From a mathematical perspective, if the domain Ω is simply connected, then the vector field v is uniquely determined by u . This allows us to consider the following problem for the incompressible Schrödinger flow:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla u = u \times \Delta u, & (x,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \operatorname{div}(v) = 0, \quad dv^b = u^* \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}, & (x,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2, \quad \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

with natural boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+} = 0, \\ \langle v, \nu \rangle|_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Although the energy is not conserved along incompressible Schrödinger flow, we may still establish the local existence of regular solutions to the above system, provided that the initial data u_0 lies in a suitable Sobolev space and satisfies compatibility conditions analogous to those for the Schrödinger flow into \mathbb{S}^2 . We intend to pursue this analysis in a subsequent work. It is worth emphasizing that a suitable approximate system should be introduced:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla u = -\varepsilon u \times (u \times \Delta u - v \cdot \nabla u) + u \times \Delta u, & (x,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \operatorname{div}(v) = 0, \quad dv^b = u^* \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}, & (x,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0, \quad \langle v, \nu \rangle = 0, & (x,t) \in \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ u(x,0) = u_0 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2, \quad \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, & \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

and the term $v \cdot \nabla u$ is not expected to pose essential difficulties in deriving uniform energy estimates, as long as v satisfies

$$\operatorname{div}(v) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \quad \langle v, \nu \rangle|_{\partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+} = 0.$$

Next, we would like to mention the so-called magneto-elastic equation defined on a smooth bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d = 2, 3$). The model, introduced in [8], is formulated in Eulerian coordinates and comprises: (i) a Navier-Stokes equation incorporating magnetic and elastic contributions in the stress tensor, (ii) a regularized transport equation for the deformation gradient, and (iii) the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation governing the magnetization dynamics.

More concretely, let $v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $M : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ are unknown fields, and let H_{ext} denote the external magnetic field. The magneto-elastic equation is given by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla P = \mu \Delta v - \operatorname{div}(2A \nabla M \odot \nabla M - W'(F)F^\top) + \mu_0(\nabla H_{ext})^\top M, \\ \operatorname{div}(v) = 0, \\ \partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla F - \nabla v F = \kappa \Delta F, \\ \partial_t M + v \cdot \nabla M = -\gamma M \times (2A \Delta M + \mu_0 H_{ext}) - \lambda M \times M \times (2A \Delta M + \mu_0 H_{ext}) \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+$, where μ , μ_0 , A and κ are positive constants, accompanied by the following initial conditions:

$$v(x,0) = v_0, \quad \operatorname{div}(v_0)(x) = 0, \quad (1.10)$$

$$F(x,0) = F_0(x), \quad (1.11)$$

$$M(x,0) = M_0(x), \quad |M_0| = 1, \quad (1.12)$$

$$v = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (1.13)$$

$$F = F_{min}, \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (1.14)$$

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial \nu} = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (1.15)$$

Here, The term $\nabla M \odot \nabla M$ is a $d \times d$ matrix with (i,j) -th entry:

$$(\nabla M \odot \nabla M)_{ij} = \sum_k \nabla_i M_k \nabla_j M_k,$$

W is the frame-indifferent elastic energy density, satisfying $W(RS) = W(S)$ for all $R \in \mathbb{SO}(d)$ and all matrices $S \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, which implies $W'(RS) = RW'(S)$. The matrix $F_{min} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ satisfies $W'(F_{min}) = 0$. For further details, we refer to [8, 32] and the references therein.

In [8], the authors established the existence of weak solutions to the system (1.9)-(1.15). Their analysis was initially restricted to two-dimensional domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, in which case they obtained global-in-time weak solutions. For three-dimensional domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, their proof, with minor modifications, remains applicable but yields only short-time existence of solutions (see also [32]).

N. Jiang, H. Liu and Y.L. Luo [31] studied the Cauchy problem of (1.9) with $\kappa = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^+$ ($d = 2, 3$) and proved the local-in-time existence of the evolutionary model for magnetoelasticity with finite initial energy by employing the nonlinear iterative method. They further reformulated the model under the assumption of a vanishing external magnetic field H_{ext} and established global well-posedness for small initial data in the absence of an external magnetic field. Recently, G.W. Wang and Y.D. Wang [51] studied the initial value problem for system (1.9) in the case where F vanishes, posed on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and demonstrated the existence of a global smooth solution under the condition that the initial data are sufficiently small in the norms of certain Sobolev spaces.

A natural question is whether the following initial-boundary value problem on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+$ admits a global weak solution or a smooth local in time solution:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla P = \mu \Delta v - \operatorname{div}(2A \nabla M \odot \nabla M - W'(F)F^\top) + \mu_0(\nabla H_{ext})^\top M, \\ \operatorname{div}(v) = 0, \\ \partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla F - \nabla v F = 0, \\ \partial_t M + v \cdot \nabla M = -\gamma M \times (2A \Delta M + \mu_0 H_{ext}), \end{cases} \quad (1.16)$$

subject to the following initial and boundary conditions (1.10)-(1.15). This problem is undoubtedly worth investigating. The methods developed in the present paper seem to be applicable to this initial-Neumann boundary value problem as well. We plan to address this question in a forthcoming work.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we outline the key strategies and main ideas behind the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 3 we will introduce some fundamental notations pertaining to manifolds and Sobolev spaces, along with the derivation of essential preliminary lemmas. Section 4 is devoted to establishing some Sobolev-interpolation inequalities and their corollaries. Section 5 formulates the compatibility conditions for boundary data and provides an intrinsic geometric characterization of these conditions. In Section 6, we derive higher-order evolution equations for the Landau-Lifshitz (LL) equation and develop preliminary results necessary for the proof of Theorem 1.2. The complete proof of Theorem 1.2 is presented in Sections 7 and 8, where we detail the approximation arguments and establish uniform energy estimates for approximate solutions. Finally, Appendix A provides a local existence theory for a unified parabolic system with Neumann boundary conditions.

2. STRATEGIES IN THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we outline our strategies and main ideas to prove the main Theorem 1.2.

2.1. New approximation schemes for LL equation.

We try to establish the local existence of regular solutions to the problem (1.3) associated with the initial data u_0 which satisfies the necessary compatibility conditions (1.6) as stated in Section 5. The first difficulty which we encounter is how to design approximation equations for LL equation that preserve the original compatibility conditions for the initial data u_0 ?

In our previous work [16], we considered the initial-Neumann boundary value problem for the following parabolic perturbed LL equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = (\varepsilon I + u \times) \tau(u), & (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

which only shares the same 1-th order compatibility conditions with the INB problem (1.3), i.e.,

$$\nabla_\nu u_0 = 0, \quad \nabla_\nu v_1(0) = 0.$$

Here, I denotes the identity map and $\tau(u) = \Delta u + |\partial u|^2 u$. Consequently, we used solutions of (2.1) to approximate a $W^{5,2}$ -regular solution of (1.3). To establish the existence of highly regular solutions, we now must incorporate the k -th ($k \geq 2$) order compatibility conditions (1.6) for u_0 . This necessitates the construction of new approximation schemes.

A key observation in our current analysis is that the k -th ($k \geq 2$) order compatibility conditions (1.6) are equivalent to the 1-th order compatibility conditions associated with LL equation (1.3) together with the following intrinsic problems (see equation (6.1) in Section 6) satisfied by the higher-order time derivatives $v_i = \nabla_t^i u$:

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_t \omega = u \times (-\nabla^* \nabla \omega + R^{\mathbb{S}^2}(u)(\omega, \nabla_j u) \nabla_j u + R_i) \\ \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ \omega = v_i(0) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Here, ω is an unknown vector field on the pull-back bundle $u^*(T\mathbb{S}^2)$, the term R_i depends only on (u, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}) (see Section 6 for a precise formula of R_i) and ∇^* denotes the dual operator of ∇ .

Moreover, the problem (2.2) and its parabolic perturbed problem are of the same 1-th order compatibility conditions, see the following Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 2$. Assume that $u_0 \in W^{2k+2,2}(\Omega)$ satisfies the 1-th order compatibility conditions (1.6). For any $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$ and $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, the first order compatibility conditions for the initial data $v_i(0)$ of the intrinsically parabolic perturbed problem (see equation (6.2) in Section 6) of (2.2)*

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_t \omega_\varepsilon = (\varepsilon I + u \times) (-\nabla^* \nabla \omega_\varepsilon + R^{\mathbb{S}^2}(u)(\omega_\varepsilon, \nabla_j u) \nabla_j u + R_i), \\ \frac{\partial \omega_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ \omega_\varepsilon(0) = v_i(0) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

are equivalent to

$$\nabla_\nu v_i(0)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_\nu v_{i+1}(0)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Proof. For each $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, since ω_ε is a section in $u^*(T\mathbb{S}^2)$, we have

$$\nabla_t \omega_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon|_{t=0} + \langle v_1(0), v_i(0) \rangle u_0.$$

It follows that the first order compatibility conditions of the initial data $v_i(0)$ for the problem (2.3), i.e.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} v_i(0)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon|_{\{t=0\} \times \partial\Omega} = 0$$

are equivalent to

$$\nabla_\nu v_i(0)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_\nu \nabla_t \omega_\varepsilon|_{\{t=0\} \times \partial\Omega} = 0.$$

On the other hand, since $v_{i+1}(0) = \nabla_t^{i+1} u|_{t=0}$ and $\nabla_t^i u$ satisfies the equation (2.2), we obtain

$$v_{i+1}(0) = J(u_0) \left(-\nabla^* \nabla v_i(0) + R^{\mathbb{S}^2}(u)(v_i(0), \nabla_j u_0) \nabla_j u_0 + R_i|_{t=0} \right),$$

where we denote $J(u_0) = u \times$. By the construction of parabolic perturbed equation (2.3), we then conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_t \omega_\varepsilon|_{t=0} &= (\varepsilon I + J(u_0)) \left(-\nabla^* \nabla v_i(0) + R^{\mathbb{S}^2}(u)(v_i(0), \nabla_j u_0) \nabla_j u_0 + R_i|_{t=0} \right) \\ &= -(\varepsilon I + J(u_0)) J(u_0) v_{i+1}(0) \\ &= -\varepsilon J(u_0) v_{i+1}(0) + v_{i+1}(0). \end{aligned}$$

The integrability of the complex $J(u_0) = u_0 \times$, i.e., $\nabla^* J(u_0) = 0$, implies

$$0 = \nabla_\nu \nabla_t \omega_\varepsilon|_{\{t=0\} \times \partial\Omega} = -\varepsilon J(u_0) \nabla_\nu v_{i+1}(0)|_{\partial\Omega} + \nabla_\nu v_{i+1}(0)|_{\partial\Omega},$$


which simplifies to

$$\nabla_\nu v_{i+1}(0)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$


Therefore, the proof is completed. \square

This lemma allows us to use solutions of the problem (2.3) to approximate $W^{5,2}$ -solutions to (2.2) for each $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, which yields higher regularity of solutions for (1.3) when the initial data satisfies the k -th order condition (1.6).

Let $U_k = (u, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$. The following diagram summarizes our intrinsic approximation scheme:



The equivalence of compatibility conditions is captured by the following.



2.2. The outline of the proof of Theorem 1.2.

We proceed by induction to establish Theorem 1.2. Let u and T_0 be as given in Theorem 1.1. For each $k \geq 1$, we demonstrate that the solution u satisfies the following property, which is denoted by \mathcal{T}_k :

- Assume that $u_0 \in W^{2k+3,2}(\Omega)$ satisfies the k -order compatibility conditions (1.6), then

$$\partial_t^i u \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2k+3-2i,2}(\Omega)),$$

for $0 \leq i \leq k+1$.

Recall that property \mathcal{T}_1 has been established in [16] (see Theorem 1.1). Assume that \mathcal{T}_k with $k \geq 1$ holds, we establish \mathcal{T}_{k+1} through the following steps:

1. Approximation scheme: Under the $(k+1)$ -order compatibility conditions of u_0 , we consider the following initial-Neumann boundary value problem satisfied by v_k (i.e. the extrinsic version of the problem (2.2) with $i = k$):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega + \langle v_1, \omega \rangle u = u \times (\Delta \omega + |\partial u|^2 \omega + R_k) \\ \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \nu} |_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \\ \omega = v_k(0) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Noting that $v_k \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{3,2}(\Omega))$ is a strong solution to the above problem (2.4). To enhance the regularity of v_k , we introduce a parabolic approximation scheme for the problem (2.4) (i.e. the extrinsic version of the problem (2.3) with $i = k$):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + \langle v_1, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u = \varepsilon(\Delta \omega_\varepsilon + 2 \langle \partial u, \partial \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u + \langle \Delta u, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u + |\partial u|^2 \omega_\varepsilon + R_k) \\ \quad + u \times (\Delta \omega_\varepsilon + |\partial u|^2 \omega_\varepsilon + R_k), \\ \frac{\partial \omega_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu} |_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \\ \omega_\varepsilon(0) = v_k(0) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

where ω_ε serves as an approximating sequence for v_k .

By Lemma 2.1, both problems (2.4) and (2.5) share identical first-order compatibility conditions, ensuring the validity of our approximation approach.

2. Regularity improvement of v_k : We take the following process to explain the improvement of the regularity for v_k :

- (1) Obtain solutions $\omega_\varepsilon \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{5,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{6,2}(\Omega))$ to the problem (2.5) via Galerkin methods with appropriate energy estimates.
- (2) Establish ε -independent $W^{5,2}$ -estimates for ω_ε and pass to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to obtain a solution $\omega \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{5,2}(\Omega))$ to the problem (2.4). Uniqueness yields $v_k = \omega$, completing the proof of \mathcal{T}_{k+1} .

2.3. Key challenges and innovative techniques.

The analysis of the initial-Neumann boundary problem (2.5) presents significant technical challenges due to the constrained space of admissible test functions (those vanishing on boundary terms when integration by parts is applied). This space is substantially smaller than its counterpart for domains without boundary. Our crucial challenge lies in constructing appropriate test functions for the parabolic perturbed problem (2.5) and subsequently

establishing uniform $W^{5,2}$ -energy estimates for approximate solutions ω_ε . To overcome these difficulties, we need to develop a two-pronged strategy.

1. Novel equivalent Sobolev norm estimates: We establish the following innovative equivalent estimates for the Sobolev norms of ω_ε (See Lemmas 7.3 and 8.2):

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 &\leq C(\|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + 1), \\ \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{4,2}}^2 &\leq C(\|\partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + 1), \\ \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{5,2}}^2 &\leq C(\|(\partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon)^\top\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + 1),\end{aligned}\tag{2.6}$$

where

$$(\partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon)^\top = \partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon - \langle \partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon, u \rangle u \in T_u \mathbb{S}^2$$

denotes the tangential component of $\partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon$ in $T_u \mathbb{S}^2$.

These estimates reveal that establishing uniform $W^{5,2}$ -energy bounds for ω_ε reduces to controlling the following composite energy:

$$\mathcal{E}(\omega_\varepsilon) := \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(\partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon)^\top\|_{W^{1,2}}^2.\tag{2.7}$$

Remarkably, this approach avoids the derivative loss that would occur in direct estimates for the $W^{5,2}$ -norm of ω_ε .

Furthermore, the initial-Neumann boundary conditions $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \partial_t^i \omega_\varepsilon|_{\partial \Omega \times [0, T_0]} = 0$ (for $i = 0, 1, 2$) allow the use of $\partial_t^i \omega_\varepsilon$ and $\Delta \partial_t^i \omega_\varepsilon$ as test functions for the problem (2.5) during the process of energy estimates. This naturally leads us to consider the time-differentiated equations for $\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon$ and $\partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon$, and then employ $\partial_t^i \omega_\varepsilon$ and $\Delta \partial_t^i \omega_\varepsilon$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) as test functions for these equations to establish uniform bounds for $\mathcal{E}(\omega_\varepsilon)$.

2. Geometric structures of the approximation equation: The key motivation for studying the intrinsic equations (6.6) of $v_k = \nabla_t^k u$ and their intrinsic parabolic approximations (6.7) lies in their utility for eliminating certain high-order derivative terms when deriving uniform estimates for $\mathcal{E}(\omega_\varepsilon)$, by utilizing the geometric structures of the target manifold $(\mathbb{S}^2, u \times) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^3, \times)$. These crucial geometric structures include

(1) Orthogonality: For any $X \in T_u \mathbb{S}^2$,

$$\langle X, u \rangle = 0,$$

(2) Triple product vanishing: For any $X_j \in T_u \mathbb{S}^2$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$),

$$\langle X_1 \times X_2, X_3 \rangle = 0.$$

(3) Skew-symmetry of the cross product: For any $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\langle X \times Y, Y \rangle = 0.$$

By systematically exploiting these geometric properties, the remaining terms in the energy estimates can also be rigorously controlled through our equivalent Sobolev norm estimates for ω_ε (i.e. (2.6)). The complete technical details of this analysis are provided in Subsections 7.2 and 8.1.

3. PRELIMINARY

3.1. Geometric setup and Sobolev spaces.

Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^m with natural coordinates $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$, and (N, J, h) be a compact Kähler manifold. By the Nash embedding theorem, we may assume that N is an isometrically embedded submanifold in an Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^K . We denote by $A(\cdot, \cdot)$ the second fundamental form of N in \mathbb{R}^K . For a smooth map $u : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow N$, let ∇ denote the pull-back connection $u^* \nabla^N$, where ∇^N is the Levi-Civita connection induced by the metric h . For simplicity, we set

$$\nabla_t = \nabla_{\partial_t} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_j = \nabla_{\partial_{x_j}} \quad \text{with } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

The tension field of u is defined as

$$\tau(u) = \text{tr } \nabla du = \nabla_j \nabla_j u = \Delta u + A(u)(\partial u, \partial u),$$

where $\partial = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m)$ is the Euclidean derivative, Δ is the the Laplacian operator on Ω . Then, the Schrödinger flow is defined by the intrinsic equation

$$\nabla_t u = J(u) \tau(u),$$

while the parabolic perturbed Schrödinger flow satisfies

$$\nabla_t u = (\varepsilon I + J(u)) \tau(u),$$

where I denotes the identity map.

In the special case where N is the standard unit sphere \mathbb{S}^2 in \mathbb{R}^3 and the complex structure $J(u) = u \times : T_u \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow T_u \mathbb{S}^2$, LL equation and its parabolic perturbed equation (i.e. LLG equation) can be expressed intrinsically as

$$\nabla_t u = u \times \tau(u), \quad \nabla_t u = (\varepsilon I + u \times) \tau(u).$$

Here the tension field is just

$$\tau(u) = \Delta u + |\partial u|^2 u.$$

Next, we introduce some notations on Sobolev spaces which are used in the subsequent context of this paper. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$\partial^k u = (\partial^k u^1, \dots, \partial^k u^K).$$

The classical Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is defined as the complete space of smooth function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K$ under the following norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{k,p}} =: \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^k \int_{\Omega} |\partial^j u|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Moreover, we also define

$$W^{k,2}(\Omega, N) = \{u \in W^{k,2}(\Omega) \mid u(x) \in N \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega\}.$$

More generally, let $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ be a Banach space and $f : [0, T] \rightarrow B$ be a map. For any $p > 0$ and $T > 0$, we define

$$\|f\|_{L^p([0,T],B)} := \left(\int_0^T \|f\|_B^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and

$$L^p([0, T], B) := \{f : [0, T] \rightarrow B : \|f\|_{L^p([0, T], B)} < \infty\}.$$

In particular, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} & L^p([0, T], W^{k,2}(\Omega, N)) \\ &= \{u \in L^p([0, T], W^{k,2}(\Omega)) : u(x, t) \in N \text{ for a.e. } (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p \geq 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

3.2. Preliminary lemmas.

This subsection collects several technical tools that will be essential for our subsequent analysis. We begin with a result on equivalent Sobolev norms under Neumann boundary conditions, see [54] for a proof.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Ω be a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^m and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there exists a constant $C_{k,m}$ such that, for all $u \in W^{k+2,2}(\Omega)$ with $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$,*

$$\|u\|_{W^{k+2,2}(\Omega)} \leq C_{k,m}(\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta u\|_{W^{k,2}(\Omega)}). \quad (3.1)$$

The above lemma implies that we can define the $W^{k+2,2}$ -norm of u as the following

$$\|u\|_{W^{k+2,2}(\Omega)} := \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta u\|_{W^{k,2}(\Omega)}.$$

In order to establish uniform estimates and the convergence of solutions to the approximation equation constructed in subsequent sections, we also require the following Gronwall's inequality and the classical Aubin-Simon compactness results.

Lemma 3.2. *Let y be a continuous function which is nonnegative on $[0, T_0]$. Assume that there exists a $y_0 > 0$ such that for $0 \leq t \leq T_0$,*

$$y(t) \leq y_0 + C \int_0^t y(s) ds.$$

Then there holds

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} y(t) \leq \exp(CT_0)y_0.$$

A direct corollary of Lemma 3.2 is as follows.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $y : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $W^{1,1}$ function such that*

$$\begin{cases} y' \leq Cy, \\ y(0) \leq y_0. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} y(t) \leq \exp(CT_0)y_0.$$

Let $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, X and Y be two Banach spaces. For simplicity, we define

$$E_{p,r} = \left\{ f \in L^p((0, T), X), \frac{df}{dt} \in L^r((0, T), Y) \right\}.$$

In particular, if we take $X = W^{k+2,2}(\Omega)$ and $Y = W^{k,2}(\Omega)$, which are two Hilbert spaces, we set

$$E_{2,2} = \left\{ f \in L^2((0,T), W^{k+2,2}(\Omega)), \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \in L^2((0,T), W^{k,2}(\Omega)) \right\}.$$

Then the following two embedding theorems can be found in [10, 48].

Lemma 3.4 (Theorem II.5.16 in [10]). *Let $X \subset B \subset Y$ be Banach spaces, and $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$. Suppose that the embedding $B \hookrightarrow Y$ is continuous and that the embedding $X \hookrightarrow B$ is compact. Then the following properties are true.*

- (1) *If $p < \infty$, the embedding $E_{p,r}$ in $L^p((0,T), B)$ is compact.*
- (2) *If $p < \infty$ and $p < q$, the embedding $E_{p,r} \cap L^q((0,T), B)$ in $L^s((0,T), B)$ is compact for all $1 \leq s < q$.*
- (3) *If $p = \infty$ and $r > 1$, the embedding of $E_{p,r}$ in $C^0([0,T], B)$ is compact.*

Lemma 3.5 (Theorem II.5.14 in [10]). *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then the space $E_{2,2}$ is continuously embedded in $C^0([0,T], W^{k+1,2}(\Omega))$.*

4. SOBOLEV-INTERPOLATION INEQUALITIES

In this section, we recall the classical Sobolev-interpolation inequalities, see [21] for a proof.

Theorem 4.1. *Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^m . Let q, r be real numbers with $1 \leq q, r \leq \infty$ and j, m integers with $0 \leq j < n$. Then there exists a constant C depending only on q, r, j, n, m and the geometry of Ω such that for any function $f \in W^{n,r}(\Omega) \cap L^q(\Omega)$, we have*

$$\|\partial^j f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{W^{n,r}(\Omega)}^a \|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{1-a}, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{j}{m} + a\left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{n}{m}\right) + (1-a)\frac{1}{q},$$

for all $a \in [\frac{j}{n}, 1]$. When $r = \frac{m}{n-j} \neq 1$, the inequality (4.1) is not valid for $a = 1$.

Remark 4.2. 1. Inequality (4.1) with $a = 1$ gives the classical Sobolev inequality:

- (1) For $r < \frac{m}{n}$, we have

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f\|_{W^{n,r}} \quad (4.2)$$

for any $f \in W^{n,r}$, where the constant p is given by

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{n}{m}.$$

- (2) For $r > \frac{m}{n}$, we have

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|f\|_{W^{n,r}} \quad (4.3)$$

for any $f \in W^{n,r}$.

2. Inequality (4.1) with $a = \frac{j}{n}$ gives the following classical interpolation inequality:

$$\|\partial^j f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{W^{n,r}(\Omega)}^{j/n} \|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{(n-j)/n}$$

for any $f \in W^{n,r}$, where

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{j}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{r} + (1 - \frac{j}{n}) \cdot \frac{1}{q}.$$

For later arguments, we require the following direct corollary of Theorem 4.1 in the case where $m \leq 3$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^m with $m \leq 3$, $n_1 \geq 0$ and $n_2 \geq 2$. If $f \in W^{n_1,2}(\Omega)$ and $g \in W^{n_2,2}(\Omega)$, then $fg \in W^{l,2}(\Omega)$ where $l = \min\{n_1, n_2\}$. Moreover, there exists a constant C depending only on n_1 and n_2 such that we have*

$$\|fg\|_{W^{l,2}(\Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{W^{n_1,2}}\|g\|_{W^{n_2,2}}.$$

Here we denote $W^{0,2}(\Omega) = L^2(\Omega)$ for the sake of convenience.

Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 , and let $u : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ be a sufficiently regular map. Then, as a consequence of Lemma 4.3, we obtain the following results on the equivalence of Sobolev norms for the extrinsic and intrinsic time derivatives of u .

Lemma 4.4. *For any $k \geq 1$ and $l = 0$ or $l = 1$, the following two properties are equivalent.*

(1) *For any $0 \leq i \leq k$, u satisfies*

$$\partial_t^i u \in L^\infty([0, T], W^{2k+l-2i}(\Omega)).$$

(2) *For any $0 \leq i \leq k$, u satisfies*

$$v_i \in L^\infty([0, T], W^{2k+l-2i}(\Omega)).$$

Proof. We first prove that (1) yields (2). For any $1 \leq i \leq k$, a simple computation shows

$$v_i = \partial_t^i u + \sum_{\substack{j_1 + \dots + j_s = i, \\ 0 \leq j_n \leq i-1, s \leq i+1}} \partial_t^{j_1} u \# \dots \# \partial_t^{j_s} u.$$

By property (1), each $\partial_t^{j_n} u$ satisfies

$$\partial_t^{j_n} u \in L^\infty([0, T], W^{(2k+l-2i)+2,2}(\Omega)),$$

since $j_n \leq i-1$ implies $(2k+l-2j_n) \geq (2k+l-2i) + 2$. By employing Lemma 4.3, we deduce

$$v_i \in L^\infty([0, T], W^{2k+l-2i,2}(\Omega)).$$

On the contrary, for any $1 \leq i \leq k$, we can write

$$\partial_t^i u = v_i + \sum_{\substack{j_1 + \dots + j_s = i, \\ 0 \leq j_n \leq i-1, s \leq i+1}} v_{j_1} \# \dots \# v_{j_s}.$$

Almost the same argument as that in above derives that

$$\partial_t^i u \in L^\infty([0, T], W^{2k+l-2i,2}(\Omega)),$$

by providing that u satisfies property (2).

Therefore, the equivalence between (1) and (2) is thus established. \square

5. COMPATIBILITY CONDITIONS

To establish the existence of regular solutions for the initial-Neumann boundary value problem associated with the Schrödinger flow, the imposition of appropriate compatibility conditions on the initial data becomes indispensable. In this section, we provide a rigorous formulation of these necessary compatibility conditions and further develop their intrinsic geometric characterization within a general framework.

5.1. Compatibility conditions of the initial data. Let (N, J, h) be a Kähler manifold, which isometrically embeds in \mathbb{R}^K with second fundamental form $A(\cdot, \cdot)$. Let Ω be a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^3 . Suppose u is a smooth solution to the initial-Neumann boundary value problem of the Schrödinger flow on $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]$ for some $T > 0$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = J(u)\tau(u), & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T], \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, T], \\ u(x, 0) = u_0 : \Omega \rightarrow N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^K. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

Given the smoothness of u and the Neumann boundary condition $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]} = 0$, it follows by differentiation that

$$\frac{\partial \partial_t^k u}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]} = 0$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, at $t = 0$, we obtain the boundary conditions for u_0 :

$$\frac{\partial V_k}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

where we denote

$$V_k = \partial_t^k u|_{t=0}.$$

In the special case where $(N, J) = (\mathbb{S}^2, u \times)$, we derive explicit recursive formulas for the compatibility conditions $V_k(u_0)$ (see also [11, 13, 15]). The 0-order condition is simply $V_0 = u_0$, while for each integer $k \geq 1$, the higher-order terms satisfy

$$V_k = \sum_{i+j=k-1} \sum_{i, j \geq 0} C_{k-1}^i (V_i \times \Delta V_j),$$

where C_{k-1}^i are combination numbers.

Therefore, to guarantee the existence of sufficiently regular (or smooth) solutions to the Schrödinger flow (5.1), the initial data u_0 must satisfy certain compatibility conditions at the boundary $\partial\Omega$. We now provide their rigorous mathematical formulation.

Definition 5.1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $u_0 \in W^{2k+2,2}(\Omega, N)$. We say u_0 satisfies the compatibility condition of order k , if for any $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$,

$$\frac{\partial V_j}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

An intrinsic formulation of these compatibility conditions can be given in terms of covariant derivatives with respect to t . Define

$$v_k = \nabla_t^k u,$$

and set

$$v_k(0) = v_k|_{t=0} \in \Gamma(u_0^*(TN)).$$

In particular, $v_0(0) = u_0$ and $v_1(0) = J(u_0)\tau(u_0)$.

Then the compatibility conditions defined in (5.2) admit the following equivalent characterization.

Proposition 5.1. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $u_0 \in W^{2k+2,2}(\Omega, N)$, the following are equivalent

- (1) u_0 satisfies the k -th order compatibility conditions (Definition 5.1);

(2) For any $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$,

$$\nabla_\nu v_j(0)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. We establish the necessity by induction on k . Since $V_1 = v_1(0)$, the assumption $\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ implies

$$\nabla_\nu v_1(0)|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{\partial v_1(0)}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} + A(u_0)\left(\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega}, v_1(0)\right) = 0.$$

Next, we assume that the result holds for all $1 \leq l \leq k-1$. For $l = k \geq 2$, we decompose $v_k(0)$ as

$$v_k(0) = V_k + \sum_{\sigma} B_{\sigma(k)}(u_0)(V_{a_1}, \dots, V_{a_s})$$

where the sum ranges over all partitions $\sigma(k) = (a_1, \dots, a_s)$ of k with $1 \leq a_i \leq k-1$ and $a_1 + \dots + a_s = k$, and each $B_{\sigma(k)}$ is a multi-linear vector-valued function on \mathbb{R}^K . For details, we refer to the page 1451 in [21].

Applying the inductive hypothesis and boundary conditions, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\nu v_k(0)|_{\partial\Omega} &= \frac{\partial v_k(0)}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} + A(u_0)\left(\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu}, v_k(0)\right)|_{\partial\Omega} \\ &= \frac{\partial V_k}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} + \sum_{\sigma} \partial B_{\sigma(k)}(u_0)\left(\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega}, V_{a_1}, \dots, V_{a_s}\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\sigma} B_{\sigma(k)}(u_0) \sum_i (V_{a_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial V_{a_i}}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega}, \dots, V_{a_s}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction.

The converse follows by reversing the argument, so we omit it. \square

5.2. Another compatibility conditions.

We now establish the following lemma concerning compatibility conditions, which plays an essential role in eliminating boundary terms during the energy estimates in subsequent sections.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $u : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $\partial_t^i u \in L^2([0, T], W^{4-2i, 2}(\Omega))$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. Assume that in the weak sense $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]} = 0$, namely*

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \Delta f, \phi \rangle dx dt = - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial f, \partial \phi \rangle dx dt$$

for any $\phi \in C^\infty(\Omega \times [0, T])$, then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \partial_t u|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]} = 0.$$

Proof. For any $\phi \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T])$, integration by parts yields

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \Delta u, \partial_t \phi \rangle dx dt = - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u, \partial_t \partial \phi \rangle dx dt, \quad (5.4)$$

which holds by virtue of the Neumann boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]} = 0.$$

We analyze the both sides of (5.4) separately. For the left-hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS of (5.4)} &= - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial_t \Delta u, \phi \rangle dx dt + \int_{\Omega} \langle \Delta u, \phi \rangle dx(T) \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \langle \Delta u, \phi \rangle dx(0) \\ &= - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial_t \Delta u, \phi \rangle dx dt - \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u, \partial \phi \rangle dx(T) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u, \partial \phi \rangle dx(0). \end{aligned}$$

For the right-hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS of (5.4)} &= - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u, \partial_t \partial \phi \rangle dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial \partial_t u, \partial \phi \rangle dx dt - \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u, \partial \phi \rangle dx(T) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u, \partial \phi \rangle dx(0). \end{aligned}$$

Equating both sides and canceling boundary terms gives

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \Delta \partial_t u, \phi \rangle dx dt = - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial \partial_t u, \partial \phi \rangle dx dt,$$

which establishes the weak Neumann condition for $\partial_t u$. Here we have used Lemma 3.5 to give

$$u \in C^0([0, T], W^{3,2}(\Omega)).$$

Thus, if we take $\phi(x, t) = \eta(t)f(x)$, then

$$\int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega} \langle \Delta \partial_t u, f \rangle dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial \partial_t u, \partial f \rangle dx \right) \eta(t) dt = 0.$$

This implies

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \Delta \partial_t u, f \rangle dx = - \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial \partial_t u, \partial f \rangle dx$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$. □

Remark 5.3. *The test functions in Lemma 5.2 can be taken from $L^2([0, T], W^{1,2}(\Omega))$ due to the density of $C^\infty(\Omega \times [0, T])$ in this space.*

6. HIGHER ORDER EVOLUTION EQUATIONS OF THE LL EQUATION

In this section, we derive higher order evolution equations associated with the Landau-Lifshitz (LL) equation, and then establishes the necessary preliminary estimates for the proof of our main Theorem 1.2. The complete proof will be presented in Sections 7 and 8.

6.1. Evolution equations for higher time derivatives $v_k = \nabla_t^k u$.

Let $J(u) = u \times : T_u \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow T_u \mathbb{S}^2$ denote the standard complex structure on \mathbb{S}^2 . Recall that LL equation admits the following intrinsic formulation

$$\partial_t u = J(u) \nabla_j \nabla_j u.$$

Taking advantage of the constant curvature property $\nabla R^{\mathbb{S}^2} \equiv 0$ of the sphere, we compute the k -th order time derivative evolution:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_t \nabla_t^k u &= J(u) (\nabla_t^k \nabla_j \nabla_j u) \\ &= J(u) (\nabla_j \nabla_j \nabla_t^k u + R^{\mathbb{S}^2}(\nabla_t^k u, \nabla_j u) \nabla_j u + R_k) \end{aligned}$$

where the term R_k (vanishing when $k = 1$) is a section of $u^* T \mathbb{S}^2$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_k &= \sum_{\substack{a_1 + \dots + a_s + i + j = k, \\ 0 \leq a_l, i, j \leq k-1}} v_{a_1} \# \dots \# v_{a_s} \# \nabla v_i \# \nabla v_j \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{b_1 + b_2 + b_3 = k+1, \\ 1 \leq b_l \leq k-1}} v_{b_1} \# J(u) v_{b_2} \# v_{b_3}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\#$ representing the linear contraction. This establishes the intrinsic evolution equation for $v_k = \nabla_t^k u$:

$$\nabla_t \omega = u \times (-\nabla^* \nabla \omega + R^{\mathbb{S}^2}(\omega, \nabla_j u) \nabla_j u + R_k), \quad (6.1)$$

where ∇^* denotes the dual operator of ∇ , and hence $-\nabla^* \nabla \omega = \nabla_i \nabla_i \omega$. The corresponding parabolic regularization takes the form:

$$\nabla_t \omega_\varepsilon = (\varepsilon I + u \times) (-\nabla^* \nabla \omega_\varepsilon + R^{\mathbb{S}^2}(\omega_\varepsilon, \nabla_j u) \nabla_j u + R_k). \quad (6.2)$$

For the purpose of PDE analysis, we derive the extrinsic formulations of equations (6.1) and (6.2). By viewing $\omega_\varepsilon \in u^*(T \mathbb{S}^2)$ as a vector-valued function into \mathbb{R}^3 , we obtain the following extrinsic formula:

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla^* \nabla \omega_\varepsilon &= \Delta \omega_\varepsilon + \partial_i (\langle \partial_i u, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u) + \langle \partial u, \partial \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u \\ &= \Delta \omega_\varepsilon + 2 \langle \partial u, \partial \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u + \langle \Delta u, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u + \langle \partial_j u, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle \partial_j u, \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

$$R^{\mathbb{S}^2}(\omega_\varepsilon, \nabla_j u) \nabla_j u = |\partial u|^2 \omega_\varepsilon - \langle \omega_\varepsilon, \partial_j u \rangle \partial_j u.$$

Therefore, the extrinsic formulation of (6.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + \langle \omega_\varepsilon, v_1 \rangle u &= \varepsilon (\Delta \omega_\varepsilon + 2 \langle \partial u, \partial \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u + \langle \Delta u, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u + |\partial u|^2 \omega_\varepsilon + R_k) \\ &+ u \times (\Delta \omega_\varepsilon + |\partial u|^2 \omega_\varepsilon + R_k), \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

where R_k expressed extrinsically as

$$\begin{aligned} R_k &= \sum_{\substack{a_1 + \dots + a_s + i + j = k, \\ 0 \leq a_l, i, j \leq k-1}} v_{a_1} \# \dots \# v_{a_s} \# \partial v_i \# \partial v_j \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{b_1 + b_2 + b_3 = k+1, \\ 1 \leq b_l \leq k-1}} v_{b_1} \# (u \times v_{b_2}) \# v_{b_3}. \end{aligned}$$

6.2. Proof Strategy for the main theorem.

Next, by incorporating higher-order compatibility conditions (1.6) for the initial data u_0 , we employ an inductive argument to establish the main theorem 1.2. Specifically, for each $k \geq 1$, we prove the following property \mathcal{T}_k in a recursive manner:

- Assume that $u_0 \in W^{2k+3,2}(\Omega)$ satisfies the k -order compatibility conditions (1.6), then

$$\partial_t^i u \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2k+3-2i,2}(\Omega)), \quad (6.5)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq k+1$.

Recall that property \mathcal{T}_1 was established in our previous work [16]. Assuming now that \mathcal{T}_k holds, we proceed to prove \mathcal{T}_{k+1} .

To proceed, under the $(k+1)$ -th order compatibility conditions, we consider the initial-Neumann boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_t \omega = u \times (-\nabla^* \nabla \omega + R^{\mathbb{S}^2}(\omega, \nabla_j u) \nabla_j u + R_k), \\ \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \nu} |_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \\ \omega = v_k(0) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K. \end{cases} \quad (6.6)$$

Note that $v_k \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{3,2})$ is a strong solution to this problem.

To enhance the regularity of v_k , we introduce a regularization scheme by considering the following parabolic approximation:

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_t \omega_\varepsilon = (\varepsilon I + u \times) (-\nabla^* \nabla \omega_\varepsilon + R^{\mathbb{S}^2}(\omega_\varepsilon, \nabla_j u) \nabla_j u + R_k), \\ \frac{\partial \omega_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu} |_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \\ \omega = v_k(0) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K. \end{cases} \quad (6.7)$$

Since u_0 satisfies the $(k+1)$ -th order compatibility conditions (1.6), then we have

$$\nabla_\nu v_k(0) |_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \quad \nabla_\nu v_{k+1}(0) |_{\partial \Omega} = 0. \quad (6.8)$$

Crucially, due to the fact that the complex structure $J(u) = u \times$ is integrable (i.e., $\nabla J(u) = 0$) and the orthogonality of the terms on the right-hand side of (6.2), the compatibility conditions for the initial data $v_k(0)$ in both problems (6.6) and (6.7) coincide and reduce to (6.8) (also see Lemma 2.1). This ensures the validity of the parabolic approximation scheme.

The proof of \mathcal{T}_{k+1} proceeds via the following two steps:

- (1) We show the existence of $W^{5,2}$ regular solutions ω_ε to the approximate problem (7.1) via Galerkin methods, and derive key a priori estimates. Details are provided in Section 7.
- (2) We establish uniform $W^{5,2}$ -estimates for ω_ε with respect to $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and obtain $v_k \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{5,2}(\Omega))$ by passing to the limit for the sequence of approximate solutions $\{\omega_\varepsilon\}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, which completing the proof of the property \mathcal{T}_{k+1} . See Section 8 for details.

6.3. Estimates for nonhomogeneous term R_k .

For later application, we estimate the nonhomogeneous term R_k in the equation (6.4), by providing that u satisfies the property \mathcal{T}_k .

Lemma 6.1. *Assume that the solution u satisfies the property \mathcal{T}_k with $k \geq 1$, then for $0 \leq i \leq 2$, we have*

$$\partial_t^i R_k \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{4-2i, 2}(\Omega)). \quad (6.9)$$

Proof. For simplicity, we decompose R_k as $R_k = F_k^1 + F_k^2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} F_k^1 &= \sum_{\substack{a_1 + \dots + a_s + i + j = k, \\ 0 \leq a_l, i, j \leq k-1}} v_{a_1} \# \dots \# v_{a_s} \# \partial v_i \# \partial v_j, \\ F_k^2 &= \sum_{\substack{b_1 + b_2 + b_3 = k+1, \\ 1 \leq b_l \leq k-1}} v_{b_1} \# (u \times v_{b_2}) \# v_{b_3}. \end{aligned}$$

We only provide the detailed analysis for the estimates of F_k^1 , as those for F_k^2 follow analogously. Without loss of generality, we assume that $k \geq 2$. We derive from the property \mathcal{T}_k (i.e. (6.5)) and Lemma 4.4 that

$$v_j \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{5, 2}(\Omega))$$

for $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, since $2k+3-2j \geq 5$. So, we can apply Lemma 4.3 to show

$$F_k^1 \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{4, 2}(\Omega)).$$

Now we turn to considering the estimates of $\partial_t F_k^1$ and $\partial_t^2 F_k^1$. The time derivatives of F_k^1 can be decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t F_k^1 &= \sum_{\substack{a_1 + \dots + a_s + i + j = k+1, \\ 0 \leq a_l, i, j \leq k}} v_{a_1} \# \dots \# v_{a_s} \# \partial v_i \# \partial v_j, \\ \partial_t^2 F_k^1 &= \sum_{\substack{a_1 + \dots + a_s + i + j = k+2, \\ 0 \leq a_l, i, j \leq k+1}} v_{a_1} \# \dots \# v_{a_s} \# \partial v_i \# \partial v_j. \end{aligned}$$

From the property \mathcal{T}_k (i.e. (6.5)), we have

$$\partial v_k \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2, 2}(\Omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial v_{k+1} \in L^\infty([0, T_0], L^2(\Omega)).$$

Then it follows from Lemma 4.3 that

$$\partial_t^i F_k^1 \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{4-2i, 2}(\Omega))$$

for $i = 1, 2$. □

7. LOCAL EXISTENCE OF THE APPROXIMATE PROBLEM

In this section, we establish the existence of locally regular solutions of the approximate problem

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_t \omega_\varepsilon = \nabla_t \omega_\varepsilon = (\varepsilon I + u \times) (-\nabla^* \nabla \omega_\varepsilon + R^{\mathbb{S}^2}(\omega_\varepsilon, \nabla_j u) \nabla_j u + R_k), \\ \frac{\partial \omega_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu} |_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \\ \omega_\varepsilon(0) = v_k(0) : \Omega \rightarrow u^*(T\mathbb{S}^2), \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

and then obtain some a priori estimates for the approximate solutions ω_ε .

Assume that $u_0 \in W^{2(k+1)+3,2}(\Omega)$ satisfies the $(k+1)$ -order compatibility conditions given in (1.6), and that the property \mathcal{T}_k holds for $k \geq 1$, namely the solution u satisfies estimates (6.5). Then we can derive from Lemma 4.4 that

$$v_i \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2k+3-2i,2}(\Omega)), \quad (7.2)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq k+1$. Applying Lemma 3.5, we further deduce that

$$v_i \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{2k+2-2i,2}(\Omega))$$

for $0 \leq i \leq k$.

On the other hand, from equation (6.1), we express v_{k+1} as

$$v_{k+1} = u \times (\Delta v_k + |\partial u|^2 v_k + R_k),$$

which implies that $v_{k+1} \in C^0([0, T_0], L^2(\Omega))$. Combining these results, we conclude that for all $0 \leq i \leq k+1$,

$$v_i \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{2k+2-2i,2}(\Omega)). \quad (7.3)$$

7.1. Local existence of approximation problem.

For the purpose of PDE analysis, we consider the extrinsic formulation of the above problem (7.1):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + \langle \omega_\varepsilon, v_1 \rangle u = \varepsilon (\Delta \omega_\varepsilon + 2 \langle \partial u, \partial \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u + \langle \Delta u, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u + |\partial u|^2 \omega_\varepsilon) \\ \quad + u \times (\Delta \omega_\varepsilon + |\partial u|^2 \omega_\varepsilon) + (\varepsilon I + u \times) R_k, \\ \frac{\partial \omega_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu} |_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \\ \omega_\varepsilon(0) = v_k(0) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K. \end{cases} \quad (7.4)$$

Here, ∂ denotes the derivative with respect to the coordinate x .

Our main result in this subsection is as follows.

Theorem 7.1. *Assume that $u_0 \in W^{2(k+1)+3,2}(\Omega)$ satisfies the $(k+1)$ -order compatibility conditions (1.6). Let u be a regular solution to (1.3), which satisfies the property \mathcal{T}_k (i.e., the estimates (6.5)). Then the problem (7.4) admits a unique solution ω_ε on $[0, T_0]$ such that*

$$\partial_t^i \omega_\varepsilon \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{4-2i,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{5-2i,2}(\Omega)) \quad (7.5)$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Proof. The proof is divided into three steps.

Step 1: $W^{3,2}$ -regular solutions to problem (7.4).

Let

$$f_1 = 2\partial u \otimes u, \quad f_2 = \Delta u \otimes u + |\partial u|^2 I \quad \text{and} \quad f_3 = (\varepsilon I + u \times) R_k.$$

Applying the estimates (6.5) and (7.2) with $k \geq 1$, we obtain

$$f_i \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{3,2}(\Omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t f_i \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{1,2}(\Omega)), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 6.1, the function f_3 satisfies

$$\partial_t^j f_3 \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{4-2j,2}(\Omega)), \quad j = 0, 1, 2.$$

Consequently, Lemma 3.5 yields the following improved regularity

$$f_i \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{2,2}(\Omega)), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

and

$$\partial_t^j f_3 \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{3-2j,2}(\Omega)), \quad j = 0, 1.$$

Since $u_0 \in W^{2(k+1)+3,2}(\Omega)$ satisfies the $(k+1)$ -order compatibility conditions (1.6), we have $v_i(0) \in W^{2(k+1-2i)+3,2}(\Omega)$ and

$$\frac{\partial v_i(0)}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = \nabla_\nu v_i(0)|_{\partial\Omega} - \langle v_i(0), \nabla_\nu u_0 \rangle u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (7.6)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq k+1$. In particular, we have the special case:

$$v_k(0) \in W^{5,2}(\Omega), \quad \frac{\partial v_k(0)}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Then, applying Theorem A.3, we conclude that problem (7.4) admits a unique solution ω_ε on $[0, T_0]$ with the following regularity properties:

$$\partial_t^i \omega_\varepsilon \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{3-2i,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{4-2i}(\Omega)) \quad (7.7)$$

for $i = 0, 1$. Additionally, we have $\partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon \in L^2([0, T_0], L^2(\Omega))$.

Step 2: $W^{2,2}$ -regularity of $\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon$.

To improve the regularity of ω_ε , we consider the equation satisfied by $\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \alpha + \langle \alpha, v_1 \rangle u = \varepsilon(\Delta \alpha + 2 \langle \partial u, \partial \alpha \rangle u + \langle \Delta u, \alpha \rangle u + |\partial u|^2 \alpha) \\ \quad + u \times (\Delta \alpha + |\partial u|^2 \alpha) + \bar{R}, \\ \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ \alpha_0 = \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon(0) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K, \end{cases} \quad (7.8)$$

where we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R} = & (\varepsilon I + u \times) \partial_t R_k + v_1 \times R_k \\ & - \langle \omega_\varepsilon, v_2 \rangle u - \langle \omega_\varepsilon, v_1 \rangle v_1 \\ & + v_1 \times (\Delta \omega_\varepsilon + |\partial u|^2 \omega_\varepsilon) + 2u \times \langle \partial u, \partial v_1 \rangle \omega_\varepsilon \\ & + \varepsilon(2 \langle \partial v_1, \partial \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u + \langle \Delta v_1, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u + 2 \langle \partial v_1, \partial u \rangle \omega_\varepsilon) \\ & + \varepsilon(2 \langle \partial u, \partial \omega_\varepsilon \rangle + \langle \Delta u, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle) v_1. \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

By using the bounds (6.9), (7.2) and (7.3) with $k \geq 1$, along with the regularity property

$$\omega_\varepsilon \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{3,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{4,2}(\Omega)),$$

then we derive from Lemma 4.3 that the remainder term \bar{R} satisfies

$$\bar{R} \in C^0([0, T_0], L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{1,2}(\Omega)).$$

On the other hand, from the construction of equation (6.2), we derive the initial condition

$$\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon(0) + \langle v_k(0), v_1(0) \rangle u_0 = -\varepsilon u_0 \times v_{k+1}(0) + v_{k+1}(0).$$

The compatibility conditions (7.6) further implies that

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon(0)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Now, let

$$f_1 = 2\partial u \otimes u, \quad f_2 = \Delta u \otimes u + |\partial u|^2 I \quad \text{and} \quad f_3 = \bar{R}.$$

Applying Theorem A.2, we get a solution α to the problem on $[0, T_0]$ satisfying

$$\partial_t^i \alpha \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{2-2i,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{3-2i,2}(\Omega))$$

for $i = 0, 1$.

Finally, an argument of uniqueness yields

$$\partial_t^i \omega_\varepsilon = \alpha \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{2-2i,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{3-2i,2}(\Omega))$$

for $i = 0, 1$.

Step 3: $W^{4,2}$ -regularity of ω_ε .

From equation (7.4), we deduce the following elliptic equation for ω_ε :

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \Delta \omega_\varepsilon + u \times \Delta \omega_\varepsilon &= \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + \langle \omega_\varepsilon, v_1 \rangle u - u \times \omega_\varepsilon |\partial u|^2 - (\varepsilon I + u \times) R_k \\ &\quad - \varepsilon (2 \langle \partial u, \partial \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u + \langle \Delta u, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u + |\partial u|^2 \omega_\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Then applying the bounds (6.9) and (7.2) with $k \geq 1$, along with the regularity properties

$$\omega_\varepsilon \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{3,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{4,2}(\Omega))$$

and

$$\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{2,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{3,2}(\Omega)),$$

we establish that

$$\varepsilon \Delta \omega_\varepsilon + u \times \Delta \omega_\varepsilon \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{3,2}(\Omega)).$$

This further implies that

$$\Delta \omega_\varepsilon \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{3,2}(\Omega)).$$

Then, applying Lemma 3.1 yields

$$\omega_\varepsilon \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{4,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{5,2}(\Omega)).$$

By Lemma 3.5, we finally conclude that

$$\omega_\varepsilon \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{4,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{5,2}(\Omega)).$$

□

Furthermore, we can show that $\omega_\varepsilon(x, t) \in T_{u(x,t)} \mathbb{S}^2$, i.e. $\langle \omega_\varepsilon, u \rangle(x, t) = 0$, for all $(x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times [0, T_0]$.

Proposition 7.2. *Let $\omega_\varepsilon \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{2,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{3,2}(\Omega))$ be a solution to the problem (7.4). Then for any $(x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times [0, T_0]$, $\langle \omega_\varepsilon, u \rangle(x, t) = 0$.*

Proof. For simplicity, we decompose ω_ε as

$$\omega_\varepsilon = \omega_\varepsilon^\top + \omega_\varepsilon^\perp,$$

where ω_ε^\perp denotes the vertical part of ω_ε , i.e. $\omega_\varepsilon^\perp = \langle \omega_\varepsilon, u \rangle u$, and ω_ε^\top is the tangent part.

By employing equation (7.4), a straightforward calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \int_{\Omega} |\omega_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}|^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega} \langle \omega_{\varepsilon}, u \rangle (\langle \partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon}, u \rangle + \langle \omega_{\varepsilon}, v_1 \rangle) dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon} + \langle \omega_{\varepsilon}, v_1 \rangle u, \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\perp} \rangle dx \\
&= \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \langle \Delta \omega_{\varepsilon} + 2 \langle \partial u, \partial \omega_{\varepsilon} \rangle u + \langle \Delta u, \omega_{\varepsilon} \rangle u + |\partial u|^2 \omega_{\varepsilon}, \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\perp} \rangle dx \\
&= \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \langle \Delta \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\perp} + 2 \langle \partial u, \partial \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\perp} \rangle u + \langle \Delta u, \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\perp} \rangle u + |\partial u|^2 \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}, \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\perp} \rangle dx \\
&\leq -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\partial \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}|^2 dx + C \|u\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 \|\omega_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}\|_{W^{1,2}} \|\omega_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}\|_{L^2} + C \|u\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 \|\omega_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C_{\varepsilon} (\|u\|_{W^{3,2}}^4 + 1) \|\omega_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact R_k is a section of $u^*T\mathbb{S}^2$, and applied the following formula

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\top} + 2 \langle \partial u, \partial \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\top} \rangle u + \langle \Delta u, \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\top} \rangle u + |\partial u|^2 \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\top} \\
&= -\nabla^* \nabla \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\top} + R^{\mathbb{S}^2}(\omega_{\varepsilon}^{\top}, \nabla_i u) \nabla_i u \in T_u \mathbb{S}^2
\end{aligned}$$

to show

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \Delta \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\top} + 2 \langle \partial u, \partial \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\top} \rangle u + \langle \Delta u, \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\top} \rangle u + |\partial u|^2 \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\top}, \omega_{\varepsilon}^{\top} \rangle dx = 0.$$

Since $\omega_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}(0) = 0$, Gronwall's inequality implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\omega_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}|^2 dx(t) \leq C(T_0, \|u\|_{L^{\infty}([0, T_0], W^{3,2})}) \int_{\Omega} |\omega_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}|^2 dx(0) = 0$$

for any $0 \leq t \leq T_0$. \square

Proposition 7.2 tells us that ω_{ε} is a section of the bundle $u^*(T\mathbb{S}^2)$. Since equation (6.4) is the extrinsic formulation for equation (6.2), then ω_{ε} also satisfies the intrinsic problem (7.1), i.e.

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_t \omega_{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon I + u \times) (-\nabla^* \nabla \omega_{\varepsilon} + R^{\mathbb{S}^2}(\omega_{\varepsilon}, \nabla_j u) \nabla_j u + R_k), \\ \frac{\partial \omega_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu} |_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \\ \omega_{\varepsilon}(0) = v_k(0) : \Omega \rightarrow u_0^*(T\mathbb{S}^2). \end{cases}$$

7.2. Uniform energy estimates for ω_{ε} .

In this part, we establish uniform $W^{4,2}$ -energy estimates for ω_{ε} with respect to $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. We begin with proving several critical equivalent estimates for Sobolev norms of ω_{ε} .

Lemma 7.3. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that the solution ω_{ε} of the problem (7.4) obtained in Theorem 7.1 satisfies*

$$\|\omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 \leq C(\|\partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2} + 1), \quad (7.10)$$

$$\|\omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 \leq C(\|\partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + \|\omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2} + 1), \quad (7.11)$$

$$\|\omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{4,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 \leq C(\|\partial_t^2 \omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2} + 1). \quad (7.12)$$

Proof. Since $\omega_\varepsilon \in T_u \mathbb{S}^2$ is a solution to (7.1) on $[0, T_0]$, satisfying estimates (7.7), then we get the following evolution equation:

$$\frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon^2} (\varepsilon \nabla_t \omega_\varepsilon - u \times \nabla_t \omega_\varepsilon) = -\nabla^* \nabla \omega_\varepsilon + R^{\mathbb{S}^2}(\omega_\varepsilon, \nabla_j u) \nabla_j u + R_k.$$

Using formula (6.3), we further obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \omega_\varepsilon &= \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon^2} (\varepsilon \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon - u \times \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \omega_\varepsilon \# v_1 \# u \\ &\quad + \partial u \# \partial \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \Delta u \# \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \partial u \# \partial u \# \omega_\varepsilon + R_k. \end{aligned} \quad (7.13)$$

Then, applying estimates (7.2) and (6.9), we derive from the formula (7.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 &:= \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C(\|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + 1) \\ &\leq C(\|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) + \frac{1}{2} \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the following interpolation inequality

$$\|\partial \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \leq \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^{1/2} \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{1/2}.$$

This implies the estimate (7.10).

Taking derivative for equation (7.13) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \Delta \omega_\varepsilon &= \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon^2} (\varepsilon \partial \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon - u \times \partial \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon) + \partial u \# \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + \varepsilon \partial (\omega_\varepsilon \# v_1 \# u) \\ &\quad + \partial (\partial u \# \partial \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \Delta u \# \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \partial u \# \partial u \# \omega_\varepsilon) + \partial R_k. \end{aligned}$$

By estimates (7.2) and (6.9), it is not difficult to show

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial \Delta \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C(\|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + 1) \\ &\leq C(\|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + 1), \end{aligned}$$

which yields the desired estimates (7.11).

Finally, we establish the inequality (7.12). Differentiating equation (7.13) twice yields the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^2 \Delta \omega_\varepsilon &= \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon^2} (\varepsilon \partial^2 \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon - u \times \partial^2 \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon) + \partial^2 u \# \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + \partial u \# \partial \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \partial^2 (\omega_\varepsilon \# v_1 \# u) + \partial^2 (\partial u \# \partial \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \Delta u \# \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \partial u \# \partial u \# \omega_\varepsilon) \\ &\quad + \partial^2 R_k. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can derive from estimates (7.2) and (6.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial^2 \Delta \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C(\|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 + 1) \\ &\leq C(\|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (7.14)$$

On the other hand, by (7.13), $\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon &= \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon^2} (\varepsilon \partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon - u \times \partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon) + v_1 \# \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + \varepsilon \partial_t (\omega_\varepsilon \# v_1 \# u) \\ &\quad + \partial_t (\partial u \# \partial \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \Delta u \# \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \partial u \# \partial u \# \omega_\varepsilon) + \partial_t R_k, \end{aligned} \quad (7.15)$$

then we apply Hölder inequality to show

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C \|\partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + C \|\partial_t R_k\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C(\|v_2\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta v_1\|_{L^2}^2) \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 \\
&\leq C \|\partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + C \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 + C \\
&\leq C \|\partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + C,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.16}$$

Here we applied the following interpolation inequality:

$$\|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \leq \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^{1/2} \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \leq C (\|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}})^{1/2} \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{1/2}.$$

Combining estimates (7.14) with (7.16), we employ Lemma (3.1) to obtain the desired bound (7.12). \square

We also need to show a geometric property concerning the special structure of \mathbb{S}^2 .

Lemma 7.4. *For any $p \in \mathbb{S}^2$ and $X_i \in T_p \mathbb{S}^2$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$, then we have*

$$\langle X_1 \times X_2, X_3 \rangle = 0.$$

Proof. If there exists a constant λ such that $X_1 = \lambda X_2$, then the cross product vanishes:

$$X_1 \times X_2 = 0.$$

So, we may assume that X_1 and X_2 are linearly independent. Then there exists constant λ_i with $i = 1, 2$, such that

$$X_3 = \lambda_1 X_1 + \lambda_2 X_2,$$

which implies that

$$\langle X_1 \times X_2, X_3 \rangle = 0.$$

\square

7.2.1. Uniform L^2 -estimates of ω_ε . Now, we establish uniform energy estimates for ω_ε . Since $\frac{\partial \omega_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial \Omega \times [0, T_0]} = 0$ and $\omega_\varepsilon \in T_u \mathbb{S}^2$, testing equation (7.4) with ω_ε gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \int_\Omega |\omega_\varepsilon|^2 dx &\leq -\varepsilon \int_\Omega |\partial \omega_\varepsilon|^2 dx - \int_\Omega \langle \partial_i u \times \partial_i \omega_\varepsilon, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle dx + C \int_\Omega |R_k| |\omega_\varepsilon| dx \\
&\quad + C\varepsilon \int_\Omega |\Delta u| |\omega_\varepsilon|^2 dx + C\varepsilon \int_\Omega |\partial u| |\partial \omega_\varepsilon| |\omega_\varepsilon| dx + C \int_\Omega |\partial u|^2 |\omega_\varepsilon|^2 dx \\
&\leq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_\Omega |\partial \omega_\varepsilon|^2 dx + C(\|u\|_{W^{4,2}}^2 + 1)(\|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + 1),
\end{aligned} \tag{7.17}$$

where we have applied Lemma 7.4 to show

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \partial_i u \times \partial_i \omega_\varepsilon, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle &= \langle \partial_i u \times (\partial_i \omega_\varepsilon)^\perp, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= \langle \partial_i u \times u, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle \langle \partial_i \omega_\varepsilon, u \rangle \\
&= -\langle \partial_i u \times u, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle \langle \omega_\varepsilon, \partial_i u \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By using estimate (7.2), we derive from Gronwall's inequality that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(T_0)(\|v_k(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) \leq C(T_0, \|u_0\|_{W^{2k,2}}^2). \tag{7.18}$$

7.2.2. Uniform $W^{2,2}$ -estimates of ω_ε . Next, we establish uniform $W^{2,2}$ -energy estimates for ω_ε . To this end, we consider the equation satisfied by $\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + \langle \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon, v_1 \rangle u = & \varepsilon (\Delta \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + 2 \langle \partial u, \partial \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u + \langle \Delta u, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u + |\partial u|^2 \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon) \\ & + u \times (\Delta \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + |\partial u|^2 \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon) + \bar{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.19)$$

where the remainder term \bar{R} is defined in (7.9).

The boundary condition $\frac{\partial \omega_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial \Omega \times [0, T_0]} = 0$ allows us to apply Lemma 5.2, which yields the corresponding Neumann condition for the time derivative:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon|_{\partial \Omega \times [0, T_0]} = 0.$$

Then, by taking $\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon$ as a test function for equation (7.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \int_\Omega |\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon|^2 dx & \leq -\varepsilon \int_\Omega |\partial \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon|^2 dx + C \int_\Omega |v_1| |\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon|^2 dx \\ & + \int_\Omega \langle u \times \Delta \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle dx \\ & + C\varepsilon \int_\Omega |\Delta u| |\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon|^2 dx + C\varepsilon \int_\Omega |\partial u| |\partial \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon| |\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon| dx \\ & + C\varepsilon \int_\Omega |\partial u|^2 |\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon|^2 dx + C \int_\Omega |\bar{R}| |\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon| dx \\ & \leq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_\Omega |\partial \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon|^2 dx + \int_\Omega \langle u \times \Delta \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle dx \\ & + C(\|u\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 + \|v_1\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + 1) \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\bar{R}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.20)$$

Here the second term at the right hand side of (7.20) admits the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \langle u \times \Delta \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle dx & = \int_\Omega \langle u \times \Delta \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon, (\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon)^\top \rangle dx \\ & = - \int_\Omega \langle u \times \partial \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon, \partial((\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon)^\top) \rangle dx - \int_\Omega \langle \partial u \times (\partial \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon)^\perp, (\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon)^\top \rangle dx \\ & = \int_\Omega \langle u \times \partial \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon, \partial(\langle \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon, u \rangle u) \rangle dx + \int_\Omega \langle \partial u \times u, (\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon)^\top \rangle \langle \partial u, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle dx \\ & \quad + \int_\Omega \langle \partial u \times u, (\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon)^\top \rangle \partial \langle v_1, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle dx \\ & = - \int_\Omega \langle u \times \partial \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon, \partial(\langle \omega_\varepsilon, v_1 \rangle u) \rangle dx + \int_\Omega \langle \partial u \times u, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle \langle \partial u, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle dx \\ & \quad + \int_\Omega \langle \partial u \times u, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle \partial \langle v_1, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \int_{\Omega} \langle u \times \partial \partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon}, \langle \omega_{\varepsilon}, v_1 \rangle \partial u \rangle dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u \times u, \partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon} \rangle \langle \partial u, \partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon} \rangle dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u \times u, \partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon} \rangle \partial \langle v_1, \omega_{\varepsilon} \rangle dx \\
&= - \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon}, \partial_i (\langle \omega_{\varepsilon}, v_1 \rangle u \times \partial_i u) \rangle dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u \times u, \partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon} \rangle \langle \partial u, \partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon} \rangle dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u \times u, \partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon} \rangle \partial \langle v_1, \omega_{\varepsilon} \rangle dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} (|\partial \omega_{\varepsilon}| |v_1| |\partial u| + |\omega_{\varepsilon}| |v_1|^2 + |\omega_{\varepsilon}| |\partial v_1| |\partial u|) |\partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon}| dx + C \int_{\Omega} |\partial u|^2 |\partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx \\
&\leq C(\|u\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 + \|v_1\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + 1)^2 \|\omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + C \|\partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C(\|\partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where we employed Lemma 7.4 to show

$$\langle \partial u \times (\partial \partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon})^{\top}, (\partial_t \omega)^{\top} \rangle = 0.$$

It remains to control the L^2 -norm of the term \bar{R} . From its explicit expression given in (7.9), we decompose \bar{R} as

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{R} = & (\varepsilon I + u \times) \partial_t R_k + v_1 \times R_k \\
& - \langle \omega_{\varepsilon}, v_2 \rangle u - \langle \omega_{\varepsilon}, v_1 \rangle v_1 \\
& + v_1 \times (\Delta \omega_{\varepsilon} + |\partial u|^2 \omega_{\varepsilon}) + 2u \times \langle \partial u, \partial v_1 \rangle \omega_{\varepsilon} \\
& + \varepsilon (2 \langle \partial v_1, \partial \omega_{\varepsilon} \rangle u + \langle \Delta v_1, \omega_{\varepsilon} \rangle u + 2 \langle \partial v_1, \partial u \rangle \omega_{\varepsilon}) \\
& + \varepsilon (2 \langle \partial u, \partial \omega_{\varepsilon} \rangle + \langle \Delta u, \omega_{\varepsilon} \rangle) v_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we derive from estimates (7.2) and (6.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{R}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq & C(\|u\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 + \|v_1\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + \|v_2\|_{L^2}^2 + 1)^2 \|\omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 \\
& + C(\|R_k\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t R_k\|_{L^2}^2) \\
\leq & C(\|\partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, substituting these estimates into (7.20), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \int_{\Omega} |\partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx \leq C(\|\partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 + 1).$$

Now, by applying the equivalent estimates (7.10) and Gronwall's inequality, we obtain the following uniform bound:

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} (\|\omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C(T_0, \|u_0\|_{W^{2k+2}}^2). \quad (7.21)$$

Here we used the following expression of the initial data $\partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon}(0)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \omega_{\varepsilon}(0) = & - \langle v_k(0), v_1(0) \rangle u_0 \\
& + \varepsilon (\Delta v_k(0) + 2 \langle \partial u_0, \partial v_k(0) \rangle u + \langle \Delta u_0, v_k(0) \rangle u + |\partial u_0|^2 v_k(0)) \\
& + u_0 \times (\Delta v_k(0) + |\partial u_0|^2 v_k(0)) + (\varepsilon I + u_0 \times) R_k(0)
\end{aligned}$$

to establish the estimate

$$\|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C(\|u_0\|_{W^{2k+2,2}}).$$

7.2.3. Uniform $W^{4,2}$ -estimates of ω_ε . By estimates (7.12) and (7.21), to establish uniform $W^{4,2}$ -estimates of ω_ε , it suffices to obtain a uniform bound for L^2 -norm of $\partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon$. For this purpose, we analyze the equation governing $\partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon$.

Since $\omega_\varepsilon \in T_u \mathbb{S}^2$ satisfies $\langle v_1, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle = -\langle u, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle$, we can derive from equation (7.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon - \langle u, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u &= \varepsilon(\Delta \omega_\varepsilon + \partial_i(\langle \partial_i u, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u) + \partial u \# \partial \omega_\varepsilon \# u + |\partial u|^2 \omega_\varepsilon) \\ &\quad + u \times (\Delta \omega_\varepsilon + |\partial u|^2 \omega_\varepsilon) + (\varepsilon I + u \times) R_k. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating this equation twice with respect to t gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^2 \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon - \partial_t^2(\langle u, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u) &= \varepsilon \Delta \partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon + u \times \Delta \partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon + \varepsilon \partial_i \partial_t^2(\langle \partial_i u, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \partial_t^2(\partial u \# \partial \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \partial u \# \partial u \# \omega_\varepsilon) \\ &\quad + v_2 \# \Delta \omega_\varepsilon + v_1 \# \Delta \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + \partial_t^2(|\partial u|^2 u \times \omega_\varepsilon) \\ &\quad + (\varepsilon I + u \times) \partial_t^2 R_k + v_2 \# R_k + v_1 \# \partial_t R_k, \end{aligned}$$

where the second term on the left hand side expands as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^2(\langle u, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u) &= \partial_t(\langle \partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon, u \rangle u) + v_1 \# \partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon \# u \\ &\quad + \langle v_2, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u + v_1 \# v_2 \# \omega_\varepsilon + v_1 \# v_1 \# \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let $V = \partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon$. The regularity estimates (7.5) imply

$$V \in C^0([0, T_0], L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{1,2}(\Omega)),$$

and hence V is a weak solution to the Neumann problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V^\top = \varepsilon \Delta V + u \times \Delta V + V \# v_1 \# u + \varepsilon \operatorname{div}(\langle \partial u, V \rangle u) \\ \quad + \varepsilon(\partial u \# u \# \partial V + \partial u \# \partial u \# V) + \varepsilon \operatorname{div} F + \bar{R}_1, \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} \big|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \\ V(0) = \partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon(0) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K. \end{cases} \quad (7.22)$$

Namely, for any $\psi \in W_1^{1,2}([0, T_0] \times \Omega, \mathbb{R}^K)$, the following integral equality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} \langle V^\top, \psi \rangle dx(T) - \int_{\Omega} \langle V^\top, \psi \rangle dx(0) - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle V^\top, \partial_t \psi \rangle dxdt \\ &= -\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial V, \partial \psi \rangle dxdt - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle u \times \partial V, \partial \psi \rangle dxdt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u \times \partial V, \psi \rangle dxdt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle V \# v_1 \# u, \psi \rangle dxdt \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \langle V, \partial u \rangle u, \partial \psi \rangle dxdt + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u \# u \# \partial V + \partial u \# \partial u \# V, \psi \rangle dxdt \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle F, \partial \psi \rangle dxdt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \bar{R}_1, \psi \rangle dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

Here we denote the tangent part of V by

$$V^\top = V - \langle V, u \rangle u,$$

and the terms F and \bar{R}_1 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \partial_t^2(\langle \partial u, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u) - \langle \partial u, V \rangle u \\ &= \partial v_2 \# \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \partial u \# \omega_\varepsilon \# v_2 \\ &\quad + \partial v_1 \# \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \partial u \# \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \# v_1 + \partial v_1 \# \omega_\varepsilon \# v_1, \\ \bar{R}_1 &= \langle v_2, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u + v_1 \# v_2 \# \omega_\varepsilon + v_1 \# v_1 \# \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \\ &\quad + \varepsilon (\partial v_2 \# \partial \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \partial u \# \partial \omega_\varepsilon \# v_2) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon (\partial v_1 \# \partial \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \partial v_1 \# \partial \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \partial u \# \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \# v_1) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon (\partial v_2 \# \partial u \# \omega_\varepsilon + \partial u \# \partial v_1 \# \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + \partial v_1 \# \partial v_1 \# \omega_\varepsilon) \\ &\quad + v_2 \# \Delta \omega_\varepsilon + v_1 \# \Delta \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + \partial_t^2(|\partial u|^2 u \times \omega_\varepsilon) \\ &\quad + (\varepsilon I + u \times) \partial_t^2 R_k + v_2 \# R_k + v_1 \# \partial_t R_k. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, by the estimates (7.2), (6.1) and (7.5), we deduce that

$$F \in L^\infty([0, T_0], L^2(\Omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{R}_1 \in L^\infty([0, T_0], L^2(\Omega)).$$

Then following analogous arguments as in [19] (see the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [19]), we take $\psi = V_h^\top$, i.e., the Steklov average of V^\top defined by

$$V_h^\top(x, t) = \frac{1}{2h} \int_{t-h}^{t+h} V^\top(x, s) ds$$

for sufficiently small $h > 0$, as a test function for equation (7.22). Passing to the limiting as $h \rightarrow 0$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_\Omega |V^\top|^2 dx(T) - \int_\Omega |V^\top|^2 dx(0) \right) \\ &= -\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_\Omega \langle \partial V, \partial V^\top \rangle dx dt - \int_0^T \int_\Omega \langle u \times \partial V, \partial V^\top \rangle dx dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \int_\Omega \langle \partial u \times \partial V, V^\top \rangle dx dt + \int_0^T \int_\Omega \langle V \# v_1 \# u, V^\top \rangle dx dt \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_\Omega \langle \langle V, \partial u \rangle u, \partial V^\top \rangle dx dt + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_\Omega \langle \partial u \# u \# \partial V + \partial u \# \partial u \# V, V^\top \rangle dx dt \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_\Omega \langle F, \partial V^\top \rangle dx dt + \int_0^T \int_\Omega \langle \bar{R}_1, V^\top \rangle dx dt \\ &= M_1 + \cdots + M_8. \end{aligned} \tag{7.23}$$

The terms M_1 - M_8 admit the following estimates. We begin by analyzing the term M_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 &= -\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\top|^2 dx dt - \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial V^\perp, \partial V^\top \rangle dx dt \\
&\leq -\frac{3\varepsilon}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\top|^2 dx dt + C\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\perp|^2 dx dt \\
&\leq -\frac{3\varepsilon}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\top|^2 dx dt + C\varepsilon \int_0^T (\|V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}
V^\perp &= \langle V, u \rangle u \\
&= -\langle \omega_\varepsilon, v_2 \rangle u - 2 \langle \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon, v_1 \rangle u,
\end{aligned}$$

along with the estimate (7.10) to obtain the following bounds

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |V^\perp|^2 dx &\leq C \|v_2\|_{L^2}^2 \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 \\
&\quad + C \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \|v_1\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 \leq C \\
\int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\perp|^2 dx &\leq C \|v_2\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + C \|v_1\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 \\
&\leq C (\|V\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) \\
&\leq C (\|V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 + 1).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.24}$$

By applying estimates (7.2) and (7.10), we analyze the term M_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
M_2 &= - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle u \times \partial V, \partial(\langle u, V \rangle u) \rangle dx dt \\
&= - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle u \times \partial V, \langle u, V \rangle \partial u \rangle dx dt \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle u \times \partial V, (\langle v_2, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle + 2 \langle v_1, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle) \partial u \rangle dx dt \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle V, \partial_i ((\langle v_2, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle + 2 \langle v_1, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle) u \times \partial_i u) \rangle dx dt \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \|V\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C \int_0^T (\|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}}^2) dt \\
&\leq C \int_0^T (\|V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

We then apply Lemma 7.4 to obtain a bound of M_3 :

$$\begin{aligned} |M_3| &= \left| \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u \times (\partial V)^\perp, V^\top \rangle dx dt \right| \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \|V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C \int_0^T (\|\partial V^\perp\|_{L^2}^2 + \|V\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T (\|V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the following formula:

$$(\partial V)^\perp = \partial V^\perp + V \# \partial u \# u$$

and the estimates (7.24).

The rest terms M_4 - M_8 are estimated below:

$$\begin{aligned} |M_4| &\leq C \int_0^T \|v_1\|_{W^{2,2}} \|V\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C \int_0^T (\|V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) dt \\ |M_5 + M_6| &\leq C\varepsilon \int_0^T (\|V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) dt + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \int_0^T \|\partial V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 dt, \\ |M_7| &\leq C\varepsilon \int_0^T \|F\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \int_0^T \|\partial V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \int_0^T (\|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2) dt + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \int_0^T \|\partial V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |M_8| &\leq C \int_0^T \|\bar{R}_1 - \langle v_2, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle u\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C \int_0^T \|V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T (\|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{4,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\partial_t^i R_k\|_{W^{4-2i,2}}^2) dt + C \int_0^T \|V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T (\|V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the estimates (7.2), (6.9), (7.21) and (7.24).

Therefore, substituting the above estimates for M_1 - M_7 into (7.23), we obtain

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |V^\top|^2 dx(T) - \int_{\Omega} |V^\top|^2 dx(0) \right) \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |V^\top|^2 dx + 1 dt$$

for all $0 \leq T \leq T_0$. Then Gronwall's inequality implies that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} \|V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(T_0, \|V(0)\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C(T_0, \|u_0\|_{W^{2k+4,2}}).$$

By estimates (7.12) and (7.24), we deduce that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{4,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C.$$

We now summarize our main estimates for ω_ε in the following theorem

Theorem 7.5. Assume that $u_0 \in W^{2(k+1)+3,2}(\Omega)$ satisfies the $(k+1)$ -order compatibility conditions (1.6), and u is a regular solution to (1.3) satisfying the property \mathcal{T}_k (i.e., the estimates (6.5)). Let ω_ε be given in Theorem (7.1). Then there exists a constant C depending only on T_0 and $\|u_0\|_{W^{2k+4}}$ such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{4,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C. \quad (7.25)$$

7.3. $W^{5,2}$ -regularity of ω_ε .

Recall that ω_ε is a solution to the parabolic approximation problem (7.4) and satisfies the uniform bound (7.25). By applying the compactness lemma (3.4), there exists a subsequence of $\{\omega_\varepsilon\}$ converging to a limiting map ω , which satisfies the energy bound

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} \|\omega\|_{W^{4,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t^2 \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C,$$

and solves the following Neumann problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega + \langle \omega, v_1 \rangle u = u \times (\Delta \omega + |\partial u|^2 \omega + R_k), \\ \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \\ \omega_\varepsilon(0) = v_k(0) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K. \end{cases} \quad (7.26)$$

By the property \mathcal{T}_k , we notice that $v_k \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{3,2}(\Omega))$ with $\partial_t v_k \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{1,2}(\Omega))$ is another regular solution to (7.26). Defining $\beta = \omega - v_k \in u^* T \mathbb{S}^2$, we apply Lemma 7.4 to show

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \int_{\Omega} |\beta|^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega} \langle u \times \Delta \beta, \beta \rangle dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u \times \partial \beta, \beta \rangle dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u \times u \langle u, \partial \beta \rangle, \beta \rangle dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u, \beta \rangle \langle \partial u \times u, \beta \rangle dx \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 \int_{\Omega} |\beta|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta(0) \equiv 0$, Gronwall's inequality implies that $v_k = \omega$. Consequently, for $i = 0, 1, 2$, there holds true

$$\partial_t^i v_k \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{4-2i,2}(\Omega)).$$

By Lemma 4.4, this further implies that

$$v_{k+i} \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{4-2i,2}(\Omega)), \quad (7.27)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq 2$.

In particular, from the equation

$$\Delta u = -u \times v_1 - |\partial u|^2 u,$$

we derive from the special case $k = 1$ in (7.27) that

$$v_i = \nabla_t^i u \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{6-2i}(\Omega)) \quad (7.28)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq 3$.

These improved estimates (7.27) and (7.28) lead to higher regularity for ω_ε . Precisely, we have the following result.

Proposition 7.6. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 7.1, the solution ω_ε satisfies*

$$\partial_t^i \omega_\varepsilon \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{5-2i}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{6-2i}(\Omega)) \quad (7.29)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq 2$.

Proof. Using estimates (7.2) and (7.28), we can establish the following regularity properties for the remainder term \bar{R} in equation (7.8):

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R} &\in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2,2}(\Omega)), \\ \partial_t \bar{R} &\in L^\infty([0, T_0], L^2(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, applying Theorem A.2 with the choices:

$$f_1 = 2\partial u \otimes u, \quad f_2 = \Delta u \otimes u + |\partial u|^2 I \quad \text{and} \quad f_3 = \bar{R},$$

we obtain that $\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon$ satisfies

$$\partial_t^i \omega_\varepsilon \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{5-2i,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{6-2i,2}(\Omega))$$

for $i = 1, 2$. By employing the formula (7.13), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \omega_\varepsilon &= \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon^2} (\varepsilon \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon - u \times \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \omega_\varepsilon \# v_1 \# u \\ &\quad + \partial u \# \partial \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \Delta u \# \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \partial u \# \partial u \# \omega_\varepsilon + R_k, \end{aligned}$$

this estimate yields the enhanced spatial regularity of ω_ε :

$$\omega_\varepsilon \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{5,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{6,2}(\Omega)).$$

□

A direct conclusion of Proposition 7.6 is that

$$V = \partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{1,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{2,2}(\Omega)),$$

and hence V is a strong solution to the Neumann problem (7.22):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V^\top = \varepsilon \Delta V + u \times \Delta V + V \# v_1 \# u + \varepsilon \operatorname{div}(\langle \partial u, V \rangle u) \\ \quad + \varepsilon (\partial u \# u \# \partial V + \partial u \# \partial u \# V) + \varepsilon \operatorname{div} F + \bar{R}_1, \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \\ V(0) = \partial_t^2 \omega_\varepsilon(0) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K. \end{cases} \quad (7.30)$$

8. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we derive uniform $W^{5,2}$ -energy estimates for the family of solutions ω_ε . Building upon these a priori estimates, we then complete the proof of property \mathcal{T}_{k+1} . Finally, we prove the main theorem 1.2.

8.1. Uniform $W^{5,2}$ -energy estimates for ω_ε .

To establish uniform $W^{5,2}$ -energy estimates for ω_ε , we first derive refined estimates for R_k and then prove equivalent bounds for $W^{5,2}$ -norms of ω_ε .

Lemma 8.1. *Assume that the solution u satisfies the estimates (7.2) and (7.27) with $k \geq 1$, then we have*

$$\partial_t R_k^i \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{5-2i,2}(\Omega)) \quad (8.1)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq 2$.

Proof. For simplicity, we decompose R_k as

$$R_k = F_k^1 + F_k^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_k^1 &= \sum_{\substack{a_1+\dots+a_s+i+j=k, \\ 0 \leq a_l, i, j \leq k-1}} v_{a_1} \# \dots \# v_{a_s} \# \partial v_i \# \partial v_j, \\ F_k^2 &= \sum_{\substack{b_1+b_2+b_3=k+1, \\ 1 \leq b_l \leq k-1}} v_{b_1} \# v_{b_2} \# v_{b_3}. \end{aligned}$$

We focus our analysis on establishing estimates for F_k^1 , as the corresponding bounds for F_k^2 can be derived through analogous arguments.

Now we consider the first and second time derivatives of $\partial_t F_k^1$ and $\partial_t^2 F_k^1$. Through direct computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t F_k^1 &= \sum_{\substack{a_1+\dots+a_s+i+j=k+1, \\ 0 \leq a_l, i, j \leq k}} v_{a_1} \# \dots \# v_{a_s} \# \partial v_i \# \partial v_j, \\ \partial_t^2 F_k^1 &= \sum_{\substack{a_1+\dots+a_s+i+j=k+2, \\ 0 \leq a_l, i, j \leq k+1}} v_{a_1} \# \dots \# v_{a_s} \# \partial v_i \# \partial v_j. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $k \geq 2$, since $R_1 = 0$. We derive from the estimates (7.2) that

$$v_j \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{5,2}(\Omega))$$

for $0 \leq j \leq k-1$. Furthermore, from the bound (7.27), we have

$$v_{k+i} \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{4-2i}(\Omega))$$

for $0 \leq i \leq 2$, which yields

$$\begin{aligned} v_k &\in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{4,2}(\Omega)), \quad v_{k+1} \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2,2}(\Omega)), \\ \partial v_k &\in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{3,2}(\Omega)), \quad \partial v_{k+1} \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{1,2}(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 4.3, we then obtain

$$\partial_t^i F_k^1 \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{5-2i,2}(\Omega))$$

for $i = 1, 2$. □

Lemma 8.2. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that the solution ω_ε for the problem (7.4) obtained in Theorem 7.6 satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{5,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 &\leq C(\|V\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) \\ &\leq C(\|\partial V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 + 1).\end{aligned}\tag{8.2}$$

Proof. By using the formula (7.13), a direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^3 \Delta \omega_\varepsilon &= \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon^2} (\varepsilon \partial^3 \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon - u \times \partial^3 \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon) \\ &\quad + \partial^3 u \# \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + \partial^2 u \# \partial \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + \partial u \# \partial^2 \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \partial^3 (\omega_\varepsilon \# v_1 \# u) + \partial^3 (\partial u \# \partial \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \Delta u \# \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \partial u \# \partial u \# \omega_\varepsilon) \\ &\quad + \partial^3 R_k.\end{aligned}$$

Then by applying estimates (7.28) and (6.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial^3 \Delta \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C \|\partial^3 \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|u\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 \\ &\quad + C\varepsilon (\|u\|_{W^{5,2}}^2 + \|v_1\|_{W^{3,2}}^2)^2 \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{4,2}}^2 + C \|\partial^3 R_k\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C(\|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 + \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{4,2}}^2 + 1).\end{aligned}$$

This leads to the key estimate for the $W^{5,2}$ -norm of ω_ε :

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{5,2}}^2 &\leq C(\|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 + \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{4,2}}^2 + 1) \\ &\leq C(\|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 + 1),\end{aligned}\tag{8.3}$$

where the last inequality follows from the uniform (7.25).

On the other hand, from the formula (7.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\partial \Delta \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon &= \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon^2} (\varepsilon \partial V - u \times \partial V) \\ &\quad + \partial u \# V + \partial v_1 \# \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + v_1 \# \partial \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + \varepsilon \partial \partial_t (\omega_\varepsilon \# v_1 \# u) \\ &\quad + \partial \partial_t (\partial u \# \partial \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \Delta u \# \omega_\varepsilon \# u + \partial u \# \partial u \# \omega_\varepsilon) + \partial \partial_t R_k.\end{aligned}$$

Then we can derive from the estimates (7.28) and (7.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial \Delta \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C(\|u\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 + 1) \|V\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + C(\|v_1\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + 1)^2 \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 \\ &\quad + C(\|u\|_{W^{4,2}}^2 + \|v_1\|_{W^{5,2}}^2)^2 (\|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{4,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2) \\ &\quad + C\varepsilon \|v_2\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t R_k\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 \\ &\leq C(\|V\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) \\ &\leq C(\|\partial V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 + 1),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the uniform bound (7.25) and the estimates (7.24) for V^\perp . This immediately yields the desired $W^{3,2}$ -estimate for $\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon$:

$$\|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 \leq C(\|\partial V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 + 1).\tag{8.4}$$

Therefore, combining the above bounds (8.3) with (8.4) yields the desired estimate (8.2). \square

We now establish uniform $W^{5,2}$ -energy estimates for ω_ε . By taking $-\Delta V_h^\top$ as a test function for (7.30) and then passing to the limiting as $h \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the following energy identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\top|^2 dx(T) - \int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\top|^2 dx(0) \right) \\
&= -\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\Delta V^\top|^2 dxdt - \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \Delta V^\perp, \Delta V^\top \rangle dxdt \\
&\quad - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle u \times \Delta V^\perp, \Delta V^\top \rangle dxdt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial(V \# v_1 \# u), \partial V^\top \rangle dxdt \\
&\quad - \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \operatorname{div}(\langle \partial u, V \rangle u), \Delta V^\top \rangle dxdt - \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u \# u \# \partial V, \Delta V^\top \rangle dxdt \quad (8.5) \\
&\quad - \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial u \# \partial u \# V, \Delta V^\top \rangle dxdt - \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \operatorname{div} F, \Delta V^\top \rangle dxdt \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial \bar{R}_1, \partial V^\top \rangle dxdt \\
&= -\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\Delta V^\top|^2 dxdt + N_1 + \dots + N_8.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we provide detailed estimates for the terms N_1 - N_8 . We begin with estimating the terms N_1 . Since the term ΔV^\perp is given by

$$\Delta V^\perp = -\Delta((\langle v_2, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle + 2\langle v_1, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle)u),$$

we can make use of the estimates (7.28) to give a bound of $\|\Delta V^\perp\|_{L^2}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta V^\perp\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C(\|v_2\|_{W^{2,2}}^4 + \|v_1\|_{W^{2,2}}^4 + \|u\|_{W^{4,2}}^4)(\|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2) \\
&\leq C(\|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2) \leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|N_1| &\leq C\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\Delta V^\perp|^2 dxdt + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\Delta V^\top|^2 dxdt \\
&\leq C\varepsilon \int_0^T (\|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}}^2) dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\Delta V^\top|^2 dxdt \\
&\leq C\varepsilon T + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\Delta V^\top|^2 dxdt.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the term $u \times \Delta V^\perp$ can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned}
u \times \Delta V^\perp &= -2\partial(\langle v_2, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle + 2\langle v_1, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle)u \times \partial u \\
&\quad - (\langle v_2, \omega_\varepsilon \rangle + 2\langle v_1, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \rangle)v_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the estimates (7.28) and (7.25), we derive the following pointwise bound

$$|\partial(u \times \Delta V^\perp)| \leq C(|\partial^2 v_2| + |\partial v_2| + |\partial^2 \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon| + |\partial \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon| + 1).$$

This leads to the estimate for N_2

$$\begin{aligned}|N_2| &= \left| \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \partial(u \times \Delta V^\perp), \partial V^\top \rangle dxdt \right| \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\top|^2 dxdt + CT,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following boundary condition:

$$\frac{\partial V^\top}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T_0]} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T_0]} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \langle V, u \rangle u|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T_0]} = 0.$$

Next, we turn to the estimation of the remaining terms N_3 - N_6 . Applying the a priori estimates (7.28) and (7.25), we proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}|N_3| &\leq C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (|\partial V| + |V|) |\partial V^\top| dxdt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\top|^2 dxdt + C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\perp|^2 + |V|^2 dxdt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\top|^2 dxdt + CT. \\ |N_4 + N_5 + N_6| &\leq C\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (|\partial V| + |V|) |\Delta V^\top| dxdt \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\top|^2 dxdt + C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\perp|^2 dxdt \\ &\quad + C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |V|^2 dxdt + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\Delta V^\top|^2 dxdt \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\top|^2 dxdt + C\varepsilon T + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\Delta V^\top|^2 dxdt.\end{aligned}$$

For the term N_7 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}|N_7| &\leq C\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\operatorname{div} F|^2 dxdt + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\Delta V^\top|^2 dxdt \\ &\leq C\varepsilon T + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\Delta V^\top|^2 dxdt,\end{aligned}$$

where we used the estimates (7.28), (7.25) to give a pointwise bound of $\operatorname{div} F$:

$$|\operatorname{div} F| \leq C(|\partial^2 v_2| + |\partial v_2| + |\partial \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon| + 1).$$

The last term N_8 admits the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
|N_8| &\leq C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\partial \bar{R}_1|^2 dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\top|^2 dx dt \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \|\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 dt + C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (|\partial V|^2 + |\partial V^\top|^2) dx dt + CT \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\top|^2 dx dt + CT.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we applied the estimates (7.2), (7.28) and (8.1) to obtain a bound of $|\partial \bar{R}_1|$:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial \bar{R}_1| &\leq C(|\partial^2 v_2| + |\partial v_2|) + C(|\partial \partial_t^2 R_k| + |\partial_t^2 R_k|) \\
&\quad + C(|\partial^2 \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon| + |\partial \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon| + |\partial \Delta \omega_\varepsilon|) \\
&\quad + C(|\partial \Delta \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon| + |\Delta \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon| + |\partial V| + |V|),
\end{aligned}$$

and additionally used the equivalent bound (8.2) to simplify the final estimate.

Therefore, we substitute the above estimates for N_1-N_8 into (8.5) to deduce

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\top|^2 dx(T) - \int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\top|^2 dx(0) \right) \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\partial V^\top|^2 dx dt + CT.$$

Gronwall's inequality yields the uniform bound:

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\partial V^\top\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(T_0, \|\partial V^\top(0)\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C(T_0, \|u_0\|_{W^{2k+3,2}}).$$

This estimate further implies that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} \sum_{i=0}^2 \|\partial_t^i \omega_\varepsilon\|_{W^{5-2i,2}}^2 \leq C. \quad (8.6)$$

By virtue of the uniform energy bound (8.6) satisfied by ω_ε , we apply Lemma 3.4 (Aubin-Simon compactness theorem) to deduce that the limiting map v_k of ω_ε inherits the following regularity estimates

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} \sum_{i=0}^2 \|\partial_t^i v_k\|_{W^{5-2i,2}(\Omega)}^2 \leq C. \quad (8.7)$$

8.2. The proof of the property \mathcal{T}_{k+1} . Assume that u_0 satisfies the $(k+1)$ -th order compatibility conditions (1.6), and that the solution u possesses property \mathcal{T}_k (see (6.5)), namely for $0 \leq i \leq k+1$, we have

$$v_i \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2k+3-2i,2}(\Omega)), \quad (8.8)$$

Additionally, from the improved estimates (8.7) for v_k , we obtain the enhanced regularity

$$v_i \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2(k+1)+3-2i,2}(\Omega)) \quad (8.9)$$

for $k \leq i \leq k+2$.

With these regularity results established, we now proceed to demonstrate that the solution u satisfies property \mathcal{T}_{k+1} .

Proposition 8.3. *Assume that u_0 satisfies the $(k+1)$ -th order compatibility conditions (1.6), and the solution u satisfies the bounds (8.8). Then for $0 \leq n \leq k+2$, we have*

$$v_{k+2-n} \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2n+1,2}(\Omega)), \quad (8.10)$$

which implies that u satisfies property \mathcal{T}_{k+1}

Proof. We employ mathematical induction to prove this proposition. First, observe that for $n = 0, 1, 2$, we have already established

$$v_{k+2-n} \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2n+1,2}(\Omega)).$$

Now we assume that (8.10) holds for $n = l$ with $2 \leq l \leq k+1$. Then we prove the estimates (8.10) for $n = l+1$.

Under the induction hypothesis, we have

- For $0 \leq n \leq l$,

$$v_{k+2-n} \in L^\infty([0, T], W^{2n+1}(\Omega)).$$

- For $0 \leq j \leq k+1-l$,

$$v_j \in L^\infty([0, T], W^{2k+3-2j}(\Omega)).$$

From equation (6.1), we derive the following expression for Δv_{k+1-l} :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v_{k+1-l} = & -u \times v_{k+2-l} + \partial u \# \partial v_{k+1-l} \# u \\ & + \Delta u \# v_{k+1-l} \# u + \partial u \# \partial u \# v_{k+1-l} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{a_1+\dots+a_s+i+j=k+1-l, \\ 1 \leq a_l, i, j \leq k-l}} v_{a_1} \# \dots \# v_{a_s} \# \partial v_i \# \partial v_j \\ & + \sum_{\substack{b_1+b_2+b_3=k+2-l, \\ 1 \leq b_l \leq k-l}} v_{b_1} \# (u \times v_{b_2}) \# v_{b_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v_{k+2-l} \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2l+1}(\Omega))$ and $v_i \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2l+1}(\Omega))$ for $0 \leq i \leq k+1-l$ with $2 \leq l \leq k+1$, we apply Lemma 4.3 to conclude

$$\Delta v_{k+1-l} \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2l}(\Omega)),$$

which implies that

$$v_{k+1-l} \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2(l+1)}(\Omega)). \quad (8.11)$$

Furthermore, since $v_i \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2(l+1)+1}(\Omega))$ for $i \leq k-l$ with $2 \leq l \leq k$, the estimate (8.11) again yields the improved estimate

$$\Delta v_{k+1-l} \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2l+1}(\Omega)),$$

which implies

$$v_{k+1-l} \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2(l+1)+1}(\Omega)). \quad (8.12)$$

This completes the induction step. In particular, the special case $n = k+1$ in (8.12) gives

$$v_1 \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2(k+1)+1}(\Omega)).$$

It remains to establish $u \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2(k+1)+3}(\Omega))$. Recall that

$$\Delta u = -u \times v_1 - |\partial u|^2 u.$$

Since $v_1 \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2k+3}(\Omega))$ and $u \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2k+3}(\Omega))$, we deduce from the above equation that

$$\Delta u \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2(k+1)}(\Omega)),$$

which yields

$$u \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2(k+1)+2}(\Omega)).$$

This estimate further implies

$$\Delta u \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2(k+1)+1}(\Omega)).$$

We then apply L^2 -estimates for Laplacian operator to obtain

$$u \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2(k+1)+3}(\Omega)).$$

Therefore, the proof of estimates (8.10) is completed. By Lemma (4.4), the regularity bounds (8.10) in fact imply the property \mathcal{T}_{k+1} . \square

Finally, we prove the main theorem 1.2.

The proof of Theorem 1.2. In order to establish this theorem, it is sufficient to demonstrate that the property \mathcal{T}_k (namely, (6.5)) holds for each $k \geq 1$. We proceed by induction on k . The property \mathcal{T}_1 has been established in our previous work [16]. Now assuming that u satisfies the property \mathcal{T}_k with $k \geq 1$, Proposition 8.3 guarantees that \mathcal{T}_{k+1} holds.

Additionally, if $u_0 \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$, which satisfies the k -order compatibility conditions defined by (1.6) for any $k \geq 0$, the property \mathcal{T}_k yields that

$$\sup_{0 < t < T_0} \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^s u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 < \infty$$

for any $j, s \in \mathbb{N}$. So, it follows from the Sobolev embedding theorem that

$$u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T_0]),$$

Therefore, the proof is completed. \square

APPENDIX A. LOCAL EXISTENCE OF REGULAR SOLUTIONS TO PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

In this appendix, we consider the following initial-Neumann boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h + \langle \partial_t u, h \rangle u = (\varepsilon I + u \times)(\Delta h + f_1 \# \partial h + f_2 \# h) + f_3 \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial \Omega \times [0, T]} = 0, \\ h = h_0 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where

- $u : \Omega \times [0, T_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ is a given map with Neumann boundary condition $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$;
- For $i = 1, 2, 3$, $f_i : \Omega \times [0, T_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ are given vector fields;
- The notation $\#$ denotes the linear contraction.

A.1. Galerkin approximation and $W^{2,2}$ -regular solutions. Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 , λ_i be the i^{th} eigenvalue of the operator $\Delta - I$ with Neumann boundary conditions. The corresponding eigenfunction g_i satisfies

$$(\Delta - I)g_i = -\lambda_i g_i \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ forms a complete orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^1)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the finite-dimensional subspace

$$H_n = \text{span}\{g_1, \dots, g_n\} \subset L^2(\Omega),$$

and let $P_n : L^2 \rightarrow H_n$ denote the Galerkin projection given by

$$f^n = P_n f = \sum_1^n \langle f, g_i \rangle_{L^2} g_i \quad \text{for any } f \in L^2.$$

The following result is established in [11].

Lemma A.1. *There exists a constant C , independent of n , such that the projection P_n satisfies the following properties.*

(1) *For $f \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^1)$,*

$$\|P_n(f)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \leq \|f\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)},$$

(2) *For $f \in W^{2,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^1)$ with $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$,*

$$\|P_n(f)\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega)},$$

(3) *For $f \in W^{3,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^1)$ with $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$,*

$$\|P_n(f)\|_{W^{3,2}(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{W^{3,2}(\Omega)}.$$

Now, we consider the Galerkin approximation of (A.1), given by the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h_n + P_n(\langle \partial_t u, h_n \rangle u) = P_n\{(\varepsilon I + u \times)(\Delta h_n + f_1 \# \partial h_n + f_2 \# h_n) + f_3\} \\ h_n(0) = P_n(h_0). \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

By the standard theory of ordinary differential equations, there exists a unique solution h_n defined on a maximal interval of existence $[0, T_n]$, if u and f_i with $i = 1, 2, 3$ satisfy the following regularity conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in C^0([0, T_0], W^{3,2}(\Omega)) \text{ and } \partial_t u \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{1,2}(\Omega)), \\ f_i &\in C^0([0, T_0], W^{1,2}(\Omega)) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \\ f_3 &\in C^0([0, T_0], L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{1,2}(\Omega)). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Next, we derive uniform $W^{2,2}$ -energy estimates for the approximate solutions h_n , independent of n . Using h_n to test equation (A.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \int_{\Omega} |h_n|^2 dx &\leq -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\partial h_n|^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} (|\partial u| + |f_1|) |\partial h_n| |h_n| dx \\
&\quad + C \int_{\Omega} (|f_2| + |\partial_t u|) |h_n|^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} |f_3| |h_n| dx \\
&\leq -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\partial h_n|^2 dx \\
&\quad + C_{\varepsilon} (\|\partial u\|_{L^4} + \|f_1\|_{L^4}) \|h_n\|_{L^4} \|\partial h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C (\|f_2\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}) \|h_n\|_{L^4}^2 + C \|f_3\|_{L^2} \|h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\partial h_n|^2 dx + C \|f_3\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C_{\varepsilon} (\|u\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + \|f_1\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + \|f_2\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + 1)^2 \|h_n\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

where we applied the following interpolation inequality:

$$\|h_n\|_{L^4} \leq C \|h_n\|_{W^{1,2}}^{3/4} \|h_n\|_{L^2}^{1/4}.$$

Then we take $\Delta^2 h_n$ as a test function for (A.2). A direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \int_{\Omega} |\Delta h_n|^2 dx &\leq -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\partial \Delta h_n|^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} |\partial u| |\Delta h_n| |\partial \Delta h_n| dx \\
&\quad + C \int_{\Omega} |\partial u| |f_1| |\partial h_n| |\partial \Delta h_n| dx \\
&\quad + C \int_{\Omega} (|\partial f_1| |\partial h_n| + |f_1| |\partial^2 h_n|) |\partial \Delta h_n| dx \\
&\quad + C \int_{\Omega} |\partial u| (|f_2| + |\partial_t u|) |h_n| |\partial \Delta h_n| dx \\
&\quad + C \int_{\Omega} ((|\partial f_2| + |\partial \partial_t u|) |h_n| + (|f_2| + \partial_t u|) |\partial h_n|) |\partial \Delta h_n| dx \\
&\quad + C \int_{\Omega} (|\partial f_3| |\partial \Delta h_n| dx \\
&= -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\partial \Delta h_n|^2 dx \\
&\quad + I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6 + I_7.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

The terms I_1 - I_6 admit the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_1| &\leq C \|\partial u\|_{L^6} \|\Delta h_n\|_{L^3} \|\partial \Delta h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \|u\|_{W^{1,2}} \|\Delta h_n\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\Delta h_n\|_{W^{1,2}}^{1/2} \|\partial \Delta h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C_\varepsilon (\|u\|_{W^{1,2}}^4 + 1) \|\Delta h_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{14} \|\partial \Delta h_n\|_{L^2}^2, \\
|I_2| &\leq C \|\partial u\|_{L^6} \|f_1\|_{L^6} \|\partial h_n\|_{L^6} \|\partial \Delta h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C_\varepsilon \|u\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 (\|\Delta h_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h_n\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{\varepsilon}{14} \|\partial \Delta h_n\|_{L^2}^2, \\
|I_3| &\leq C \|\partial f_1\|_{L^2} \|\partial h_n\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial \Delta h_n\|_{L^2} + C \|\partial f_1\|_{L^6} \|\partial^2 h_n\|_{L^3} \|\partial \Delta h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \|\partial f_1\|_{L^2} \|\partial h_n\|_{L^2}^{1/4} \|\partial h_n\|_{W^{2,2}}^{3/4} \|\partial \Delta h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C \|\partial f_1\|_{L^6} \|\partial^2 h_n\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\partial^2 h_n\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\partial \Delta h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C (\|f_1\|_{W^{1,2}}^8 + 1) (\|\Delta h_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h_n\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{\varepsilon}{14} \|\partial \Delta h_n\|_{L^2}^2, \\
|I_4| &\leq C \|\partial u\|_{L^6} (\|f_2\|_{L^6} + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^6}) \|h_n\|_{L^6} \|\partial \Delta h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C_\varepsilon \|u\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 (\|f_2\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t u\|_{W^{1,2}}^2) (\|\Delta h_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h_n\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{\varepsilon}{14} \|\partial \Delta h_n\|_{L^2}^2, \\
|I_5| &\leq C (\|f_2\|_{W^{1,2}} + \|\partial_t u\|_{W^{1,2}}) \|h_n\|_{W^{2,2}} \|\partial \Delta h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C_\varepsilon (\|f_2\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t u\|_{W^{1,2}}^2) (\|\Delta h_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h_n\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{\varepsilon}{14} \|\partial \Delta h_n\|_{L^2}^2, \\
|I_6| &\leq C (\|u\|_{W^{1,2}} + 1) \|f_3\|_{W^{1,2}} \|\partial \Delta h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C_\varepsilon (\|u\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + 1) \|f_3\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{14} \|\partial \Delta h_n\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above estimates of I_1 - I_7 into the formula (A.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \int_\Omega |\Delta h_n|^2 dx &\leq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_\Omega |\partial \Delta h_n|^2 dx + C_\varepsilon \|f_3\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 \\
&\quad + C_\varepsilon (\|h_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta h_n\|_{L^2}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

where we have used the regularity assumptions (A.3).

Then combining the estimates (A.4) with (A.6), we derive from Gronwall's inequality that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} \|h_n\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|h_n\|_{L^2([0, T_0], W^{3,2}(\Omega))}^2 \leq C_\varepsilon (T_0, \|h_0\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega)}). \tag{A.7}$$

Passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain a solution h to the original problem (A.1) satisfying the regularity

$$h \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{2,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{3,2}(\Omega)). \tag{A.8}$$

Moreover, since equation (A.1) is linear and its coefficients satisfy the regularity assumptions (A.3), we can also show that

$$h \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{2,2}(\Omega)).$$

Next, we establish regularity estimates for $\partial_t h$ as follows. From the evolution equation

$$\partial_t h = (\varepsilon I + u \times) (\Delta h + f_1 \# \partial h + f_2 \# h) + f_3 - \langle h, \partial_t u \rangle u,$$

we can apply the bound (A.8) and the regularity assumptions (A.3) to obtain

$$\partial_t h \in C^0([0, T_0], L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{1,2}(\Omega)).$$

Now we summaries these regularity estimates in the following theorem.

Theorem A.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary, and let $h_0 \in W^{3,2}(\Omega)$ satisfy the compatibility condition*

$$\frac{\partial h_0}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Under the regularity assumptions (A.3), the initial-Neumann boundary value problem (A.1) admits a unique solution such that

$$\partial_t^i h \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{2-2i,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{3-2i,2}(\Omega))$$

for $i = 0, 1$.

A.2. $W^{3,2}$ -regular solution. In this part, under the following enhanced regularity assumptions:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^i u &\in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{4-2i,2}(\Omega)) \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, \\ f_i &\in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{1,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{2,2}(\Omega)) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \\ \partial_t f_i &\in L^\infty([0, T_0], L^2(\Omega)), \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \\ f_3 &\in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{1,2}(\Omega)) \text{ and } \partial_t f_3 \in L^2([0, T_0], L^2(\Omega)), \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

we establish $W^{3,2}$ -regularity for the solution h given in Theorem A.2. To this end, we analyze the equation satisfied by $\partial_t h_n$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^2 h_n &= P_n \{ (\varepsilon I + u \times) \Delta \partial_t h_n + \partial_t u \times (\Delta h_n + f_1 \# \partial h_n + f_2 \# h_n) \} \\ &\quad + P_n \{ (\varepsilon I + u \times) (\partial_t f_1 \# \partial h_n + f_1 \# \partial \partial_t h_n) \} \\ &\quad + P_n \{ (\varepsilon I + u \times) (\partial_t f_2 \# h_n + f_2 \# \partial_t h_n) + \partial_t f_3 \} \\ &\quad - P_n \{ \langle \partial_t h_n, \partial_t u \rangle u + \langle h_n, \partial_t^2 u \rangle u + \langle h_n, \partial_t u \rangle \partial_t u \}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

Taking $-\Delta \partial_t h_n$ as a test function for (A.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \int_{\Omega} |\partial \partial_t h_n|^2 dx &\leq -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \partial_t h_n|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} |\partial_t u \times (\Delta h_n + f_1 \# \partial h_n + f_2 \# h_n)| |\Delta \partial_t h_n| dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} |\partial_t f_1 \# \partial h_n + f_1 \# \partial \partial_t h_n| |\Delta \partial_t h_n| dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} (|\partial_t f_2 \# h_n| + |f_2 \# \partial_t h_n| + |\partial_t f_3|) |\Delta \partial_t h_n| dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} (|\partial_t u|^2 |h_n| + |\partial_t^2 u| |h_n| + |\partial_t u| |\partial_t h_n|) |\Delta \partial_t h_n| dx \\ &= -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \partial_t h_n|^2 dx + II_1 + II_2 + II_3 + II_4. \end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

Here, the terms II_1 - II_4 admit the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}
II_1 &\leq C \|\partial_t u\|_{L^6} \|\Delta h_n\|_{L^3} \|\Delta \partial_t h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^6} \|f_1\|_{L^6} \|\partial h_n\|_{L^6} \|\Delta \partial_t h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C \|\partial_t u\|_{L^6} \|f_2\|_{L^3} \|h_n\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta \partial_t h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C_\varepsilon \|\partial_t u\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 (\|f_1\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + \|f_2\|_{W^{1,2}}^2) \|h_n\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \|\Delta \partial_t h_n\|_{L^2}^2. \\
II_2 &\leq C \|\partial_t f_1\|_{L^2} \|\partial h_n\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta \partial_t h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C \|f_1\|_{L^6} \|\partial \partial_t h_n\|_{L^3} \|\Delta \partial_t h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C_\varepsilon \|\partial_t f_1\|_{L^2}^2 \|h_n\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\|f_1\|_{W^{1,2}}^4 + 1) \|\partial \partial_t h_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \|\Delta \partial_t h_n\|_{L^2}^2, \\
II_3 &\leq C \|\partial_t f_2\|_{L^2} \|h_n\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta \partial_t h_n\|_{L^2} + C \|f_2\|_{L^6} \|\partial_t h_n\|_{L^3} \|\Delta \partial_t h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C \|\partial_t f_3\|_{L^2} \|\Delta \partial_t h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C_\varepsilon \|\partial_t f_2\|_{L^2}^2 \|h_n\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\partial_t f_3\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C_\varepsilon \|f_2\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 \|\partial_t h_n\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \|\Delta \partial_t h_n\|_{L^2}^2, \\
II_4 &\leq C (\|\partial_t u\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\partial_t^2 u\|_{L^2}^2) \|h_n\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta \partial_t h_n\|_{L^2} + C \|\partial_t u\|_{L^6} \|\partial_t h_n\|_{L^3} \|\Delta \partial_t h_n\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C_\varepsilon (\|\partial_t u\|_{W^{1,2}}^4 + \|\partial_t^2 u\|_{L^2}^2) \|h_n\|_{W^{2,2}}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\partial_t u\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 \|\partial_t h_n\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \|\Delta \partial_t h_n\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the estimates of II_1 - II_3 into (A.11), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \int_\Omega |\partial \partial_t h_n|^2 dx &\leq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_\Omega |\Delta \partial_t h_n|^2 dx + C_\varepsilon \|\partial_t h_n\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 \\
&\quad + C_\varepsilon (\|h_n\|_{W^{3,2}}^2 + \|\partial_t f_3\|_{L^2}^2 + 1),
\end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

where we used the regularity assumption (A.9).

From the Galerkin equation (A.2) and the assumption (A.9), we also establish

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} \|\partial_t h_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C (\|h_n\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega)}^2 + 1) \leq C_\varepsilon (T_0, \|h_0\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega)}). \tag{A.13}$$

Substituting this estimate (A.13) into (A.12), we can apply Gronwall's inequality to show that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} \|\partial_t h_n\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^{T_0} \|\partial_t h_n\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega)}^2 dt \leq C(\varepsilon, T_0, \|h_0\|_{W^{3,2}(\Omega)}), \tag{A.14}$$

where we used the initial bound:

$$\|\partial \partial_t h_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2|_{t=0} \leq C \|P_n(h_0)\|_{W^{3,2}(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \|h_0\|_{W^{3,2}(\Omega)}^2,$$

following from the compatibility condition $\frac{\partial h_0}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$.

Furthermore, from equation (A.10) and estimate (A.8), we also obtain

$$\int_0^{T_0} \|\partial_t^2 h_n\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C(\varepsilon, T_0, \|h_0\|_{W^{3,2}(\Omega)}).$$

Therefore, passing to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields the time regularity for h :

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t h &\in C^0([0, T_0], W^{1,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{2,2}(\Omega)), \\ \partial_t^2 h &\in L^2([0, T_0], L^2(\Omega)).\end{aligned}\tag{A.15}$$

Estimate (A.15) further implies improved regularity of h . By using the equation (A.1), we derive the following elliptic equation

$$\varepsilon \Delta h + u \times \Delta h = -\partial_t h + (\varepsilon I + u \times)(f_1 \# \partial h + f_2 \# h) + f_3. \tag{A.16}$$

Under the additional assumption $f_i \in L^2([0, T_0], W^{2,2}(\Omega))$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, estimates (A.8) and (A.15) imply

$$\varepsilon \Delta h + u \times \Delta h \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{1,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{2,2}(\Omega)),$$

This elliptic regularity yields the spatial improvement for h :

$$\|\Delta h\|_{L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{1,2}(\Omega))}^2 + \|\Delta h\|_{L^2([0, T_0], W^{2,2}(\Omega))}^2 < \infty,$$

which implies the following regularity estimate:

$$h \in C^0([0, T_0], W^{3,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{4,2}(\Omega)).$$

In conclusion, we obtain the following result.

Theorem A.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary, and let $h_0 \in W^{3,2}(\Omega)$ satisfy the compatibility condition:*

$$\frac{\partial h_0}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial \Omega} = 0.$$

Under the regularity assumption (A.9), the solution h to problem (A.1) established in Theorem A.2 admits the enhanced regularity:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t^i h &\in C^0([0, T_0], W^{3-2i,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T_0], W^{4-2i,2}(\Omega)) \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \\ \partial_t^2 h &\in L^2([0, T_0], L^2(\Omega)).\end{aligned}\tag{A.17}$$

Acknowledgements: The author B. Chen is supported partially by NSFC (Grant No. 12301074) and Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (Grant No. 2025A1515010502), the author Y.D. Wang is supported partially by NSFC (Grant No. 12431003).

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Bejenaru, Global results for Schrödinger maps in dimensions $n \geq 3$, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **33**(2008), 451-477.
- [2] I. Bejenaru, A.D. Ionescu and C.E. Kenig, Global existence and uniqueness of Schrödinger maps in dimensions $n \geq 4$, *Adv. Math.*, **215**(2007), no. 1, 263-291.
- [3] I. Bejenaru, A.D. Ionescu, C.E. Kenig and D. Tataru, Global Schrödinger maps in dimensions $n \geq 2$: small data in the critical Sobolev spaces, *Ann. of Math.*, **(2)173** (2011), no. 3, 1443-1506.
- [4] I. Bejenaru, A.D. Ionescu, C.E. Kenig, D. Tataru, Equivariant Schrödinger maps in two spatial dimensions. *Duke Math. J.*, **162** (2013), no. 11, 1967-2025.
- [5] I. Bejenaru, A.D. Ionescu, C.E. Kenig and D. Tataru, Equivariant Schrödinger maps in two spatial dimensions: the \mathbb{H}^2 target, *Kyoto J. Math.* **56** (2016), 283-323.
- [6] I. Bejenaru and D. Tataru, Global wellposedness in the energy space for the Maxwell-Schrödinger system, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **288** (2009), 145-198.

- [7] I. Bejenaru and D. Tataru, Near soliton evolution for equivariant Schrödinger maps in two spatial dimensions, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.*, **228** (2014), no. 1069, vi+108 pp.
- [8] B. Benešová, J. Forster, C. Liu and A. Schlömerkemper, Existence of weak solutions to an evolutionary model for magnetoelasticity, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **50** (2018), no. 1, 1200-1236.
- [9] G. Bonithon, *Landau-Lifschitz-Gilbert equation with applied electric current*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 2007, Dynamical Systems and Differential Equations, Proceedings of the 6th AIMS International Conference, suppl., 138-144.
- [10] F. Boyer and P. Fabrie, *Mathematical tools for the study of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations and related models*, Springer New York Heidelberg Dordrecht London, 2013.
- [11] G. Carbou and R. Jizzini, Very regular solutions for the Landau-Lifschitz equation with electric current, *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B*, **39** (2018), no. 5, 889-916.
- [12] B. Chen and Y.D. Wang, Global weak solutions for Landau-Lifshitz flows and heat flows associated to micromagnetic energy functional, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, **20** (2021), no. 1, 319-338.
- [13] B. Chen and Y.D. Wang, Very regular solution to Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert system with spin-polarized transport. *Front. Math.* **18** (2023), no. 4, 751-795.
- [14] B. Chen and Y.D. Wang, Existence and uniqueness of local regular solution to the Schrödinger flow from a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 into \mathbb{S}^2 . *Comm. Math. Phys.* **402** (2023), no. 1, 391-428.
- [15] B. Chen and Y.D. Wang, Smooth local solutions to Schrödinger flows with damping term for maps into symplectic manifolds, *Pacific J. Math.*, **326** (2023), no. 2, 187-226.
- [16] B. Chen and Y.D. Wang, Smooth solutions to the Schrödinger flow for maps from smooth bounded domains in Euclidean spaces into \mathbb{S}^2 , to appear in *Comm. Anal. Geom*, arXiv:2111.14835v4.
- [17] J. Chen, R. Du, Z. Ma, Z. Sun and L. Zhang, On the multiscale Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation: two-scale convergence and stability analysis. *Multiscale Model. Simul.* **20** (2022), no. 2, 835-856.
- [18] A. Chern, F. Knöppel, U. Pinkall, P. Schröder and S. Weißmann, Schrödinger's Smoke. *ACM Trans. Graph.* **35** (2016), 77.
- [19] Y.Z. Chen. *Second order parabolic partial differential equations*. Peking University Press, 2003.
- [20] W.Y. Ding, H.Y. Tang and C.C. Zeng, Self-similar solutions of Schrödinger flows. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **34** (2009), no. 2, 267-277.
- [21] W.Y. Ding and Y.D. Wang, Local Schrödinger flow into Kähler manifolds, *Sci. China Ser. A*, **44** (2001), no. 11, 1446-1464.
- [22] W.Y. Ding and Y.D. Wang, Schrödinger flow of maps into symplectic manifolds, *Sci. China, Ser. A*, **41** (1998), no. 7.
- [23] C.J. Garcia-Cervera and X.P. Wang, Spin-polarized transport: existence of weak solutions, *Discre. Contin. Dynam. Syst. Series B*, **7**(2007), no. 1, 87-100.
- [24] P. Germain, J. Shatah and C.C. Zeng, Self-similar solutions for the Schrödinger map equation. *Math. Z.* **264** (2010), no. 3, 697-707.
- [25] T.L. Gilbert, A Lagrangian formulation of gyromagnetic equation of the magnetization field, *Phys. Rev.*, **100** (1955), 1243-1255.
- [26] S. Gustafson, K. Kang, T.P. Tsai, Asymptotic stability of harmonic maps under the Schrödinger flow, *Duke Math. J.*, **145**(2008), no. 3, 537-583.
- [27] S. Gustafson, K. Nakanishi, T.P. Tsai, Asymptotic stability, concentration, and oscillation in harmonic map heat flow, Landau-Lifshitz, and Schrödinger maps on \mathbb{R}^2 , *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **300** (2010), no. 1, 205-242.
- [28] A.D. Ionescu and C.E. Kenig, Low-regularity Schrödinger maps. II. Global well-posedness in dimensions $d \geq 3$, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **271** (2007), 523-559.
- [29] Z.L. Jia and Y.D. Wang, Global weak solutions to Landau-Lifshitz equations into compact Lie algebras, *Front. Math. China*, **14**(2019), no. 6, 1163-1196.
- [30] Z.L. Jia and Y.D. Wang, Global weak solutions to Landau-Lifshitz systems with spin-polarized transport, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **40**(2020) no. 3, 1903-1935.

[31] N. Jiang, H. Liu and Y.L. Luo, On well-posedness of an evolutionary model for magnetoelasticity: hydrodynamics of viscoelasticity and Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert systems. *J. Differential Equations* **367**(2023), 79-123.

[32] M. Kalousek, J. Kortum, A. Schlömerkemper, Mathematical analysis of weak and strong solutions to an evolutionary model for magnetoviscoelasticity, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S*, **14** (2021), no. 1, 17-39.

[33] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, On the theory of dispersion of magnetic permeability in ferromagnetic bodies, *Phys. Z. Soviet.*, **8** (1935), 153-169.

[34] A. Lawrie, J. Lührmann, Sung-Jin Oh, S. Shahshahani, Asymptotic stability of harmonic maps on the hyperbolic plane under the Schrödinger maps evolution, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **76** (2023), no. 3, 453-584.

[35] Z. Li, Global Schrödinger map flows to Kähler manifolds with small data in critical Sobolev spaces: high dimensions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **281** (2021), no. 6, Paper No.109093, 76 pp.

[36] Z. Li, On global dynamics of Schrödinger map flows on hyperbolic planes near harmonic maps, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **393** (2022), no. 1, 279-345.

[37] Z. Li, Global Schrödinger map flows to Kähler manifolds with small data in critical Sobolev spaces: energy critical case, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **25** (2023), no. 12, 4879-4969.

[38] F.H. Lin and J.C. Wei, Traveling wave solutions of the Schrödinger map equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **63** (2010), no. 12, 1585-1621.

[39] F. Merle, P. Raphaël and I. Rodnianski, Blowup dynamics for smooth data equivariant solutions to the critical Schrödinger map problem. *Invent. Math.* **193** (2013), no. 2, 249-365.

[40] L. Liu et al, Spin-Torque Switching with the Giant Spin Hall Effect of Tantalum. *Science* **336**(2012), 555.

[41] Miron et al, Perpendicular switching of a single ferromagnetic layer induced by in-plane current injection. *Nature* 476(2011), 189.

[42] A. Nahmod, J. Shatah, L. Vega and C.-C. Zeng, Schrödinger maps and their associated frame systems. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2007, no. 21, 29 pp.

[43] A. Nahmod, A. Stefanov and K. Uhlenbeck, On Schrödinger maps. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **56** (2003), no. 1, 114-151.

[44] G. Perelman, Blow up dynamics for equivariant critical Schrödinger maps. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **330** (2014), no. 1, 69-105.

[45] Peter Y. H. Pang, H.Y. Wang, Y.D. Wang, *Schrödinger flow on Hermitian locally symmetric spaces*, *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, **10** (2002), no. 4, 653-681.

[46] I. Rodnianski, Y. Rubinstein and G. Staffilani, On the global well-posedness of the one-dimensional Schrödinger map flow. *Anal. PDE*, **2** (2009), no. 2, 187-209.

[47] R. Schäfer, *Magnets, soft and hard: Domains*, in *Encyclopedia of Materials: Science and Technology*. K.J. Buschow et al., eds., Elsevier, Oxford, UK, 2001, pp. 5130-5141.

[48] J. Simon, Compact sets in the space $L^p([0, T]; B)$, *Ann. Math. Pura. Appl.*, **4** (1987), 146, 65-96.

[49] P.L. Sulem, C. Sulem and C. Bardos, On the continuous limit for a system of classical spins, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **107** (1986), no. 3, 431-454.

[50] C.L. Terng, K. Uhlenbeck, Schrödinger flows on Grassmannians, in *Integrable Systems, Geometry and Topology*, 235-256, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., **36**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.

[51] G.W. Wang and Y.D. Wang, Global smooth solution to the incompressible Navier-Stokes-Landau-Lifshitz equations. *Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser.*, **39** (2023), no. 1, 135-178.

[52] Y.D. Wang, Heisenberg chain systems from compact manifolds into \mathbb{S}^2 , *J. Math. of Physics*, **39** (1998), no.1, 363-371.

[53] S. Wang and Y. Zhou, Periodic Schrödinger map flow on Kähler manifolds, arXiv:2302.09969v4.

[54] K. Wehrheim, *Uhlenbeck Compactness*, EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics, 2004.

[55] J.C. Wei and J. Yang, Traveling vortex helices for Schrödinger map equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **368** (2016), no. 4, 2589-2622.

[56] Y. Zhou, B. Guo, and S.B. Tan, Existence and uniqueness of smooth solution for system of ferro-magnetic chain, *Sci. China Ser. A*, **34** (1991), no.3, 257-266.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, GUANGZHOU, 510640,
CHINA

Email address: cbmath@scut.edu.cn

1. COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCES, GUANGZHOU UNIVERSITY; 2. HUA
LOO-KENG KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, AMSS, AND SCHOOL
OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UCAS, BEIJING 100190, CHINA.

Email address: wyd@math.ac.cn