

# AN EXAMPLE OF A NON-LOG-CONCAVE DISTRIBUTION WHERE THE DIFFERENCE HAS A LOG-CONCAVE DENSITY

MIN WANG

**ABSTRACT.** By the Prékopa–Leindler inequality, the difference  $X - X'$  has a log-concave density provided that  $X$  has a log-concave density and  $X, X'$  are independent and identically distributed. We prove that the opposite direction does not always hold true by giving an explicit example.

Log-concave distributions are those for which the density has a concave logarithm. These distributions play an increasingly important role in probability, statistics, optimization theory and other areas of applied mathematics, see the review of Saumard and Wellner [6]. They are also necessary for a number of algorithms, e.g. adaptive rejection sampling. Ball, Barthe and Naor [2] investigated log-concave distributions, and tried to understand them through the Fisher information, since if the derivative of  $f$  decays at  $\infty$ , the Fisher information is equal to  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(-\log f)''$ , the log-concave distributions are precisely those for which the integrand is pointwise non-negative. Grechuk, Molyboha and Zabarankin [3] proved that every distribution with log-concave density is a maximum entropy probability distribution with specified mean and Deviation risk measure. Furthermore, log-concave densities are important in functional inequalities, for example, Bakry, Barthe, Cattiaux and Guillin [1] proved that any log-concave probability measure satisfies both a  $L^2$  and a  $L^1$  Poincaré inequality. Ledoux [4] showed in the log-concave situation,  $L^2$  and  $L^1$  Poincaré inequalities are equivalent. Many common probability distributions are log-concave, for example, the normal distribution, the exponential distribution, the logistic distribution, the Laplace distribution, the chi distribution and so on.

Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be two independent and identically distributed random variables with log-concave density. It is well-known that, by the Prékopa–Leindler inequality, log-concavity is preserved by independent summation of log-concave distributed random variables. In particular,  $X - X'$  has a log-concave density provided that  $X$  has a log-concave density. It is a natural question whether the converse is also valid. We found neither references nor counterexamples in the literature for this kind of reverse to Prékopa’s theorem. Some similar formulations are well known: given independent normally distributed random variables, their sum is normally distributed as well, and Crámer’s decomposition theorem says that the converse is also true; given independent random variables of Poisson distribution, their sum has a Poisson distribution as well, and Raikov’s theorem says that the converse is also true. It was also proved by Linnik [5] that a convolution of normal distribution and Poisson’s distribution possesses a similar property.

Simon [7, Theorem on page 2589] proved that for standard positive  $\alpha$ -stable random variable  $Z_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , one has the following equivalence

$$\log Z_\alpha \text{ is log-concave} \iff \log Z_\alpha - \log Z'_\alpha \text{ is log-concave} \iff \alpha \in (0, 1/2].$$

Then Simon and Barthe [7, Remark (c) on page 2593] both wondered whether the log-concavity of  $X - X'$  implies the log-concavity of  $X$  in full generality. In the present note we show that it is not true, and we give below an example of a non-log-concave distribution where the difference has a log-concave density.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60E05.

*Key words and phrases.* log-concavity; independent difference.

**Theorem 0.1.** Let  $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4$  be independent standard normal distributions. Then  $X_1X_2 - X_3X_4$  has a log-concave density, while  $X_1X_2$  has a non-log-concave density.

*Proof.* We first prove that the difference  $X_1X_2 - X_3X_4$  has a Laplace(0, 1) distribution, i.e. its probability density is  $\frac{1}{2} \exp(-|x|)$ , by comparing the moment generating function (MGF). The MGF of  $X_1X_2$  is, for  $|t| < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(e^{tX_1X_2}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{txy} \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-(x^2+y^2)/2} dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{tr^2 \cos \theta \sin \theta} e^{-r^2/2} r dr d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{r^2(t \cos \theta \sin \theta - 1/2)} r dr d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{1 - t \sin(2\theta)} d\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the MGF of  $X_1X_2 - X_3X_4$  is  $\frac{1}{1-t^2}$  for  $|t| < 1$ , which is the standard Laplace MGF. It is obvious that the Laplace(0, 1) distribution has a log-concave density. The random variables  $X_1X_2$  and  $X_3X_4$  are independent and identically distributed, whose probability density is

$$\begin{aligned}f_{X_1X_2}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{X_1}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) f_{X_2}(y) \frac{dy}{y} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-t-x^2/4t} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-|x|\cosh(t)} dt = \frac{1}{\pi} K_0(|x|),\end{aligned}$$

where  $K_0(x)$  is the modified second class Bessel function, also called the MacDonald or Hankel function. By [8, Theorem 2 (b)], the function  $K'_\nu(x)/K_\nu(x)$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$  for all  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,  $K_0(x)$  is a log-convex function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , thus the random variable  $X_1X_2$  does not have a log-concave density.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Prof. Thomas Simon and Prof. Fuqing Gao for their encouragements and comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Dominique Bakry, Franck Barthe, Patrick Cattiaux, and Arnaud Guillin. A simple proof of the Poincaré inequality for a large class of probability measures. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 13(none):60 – 66, 2008.
- [2] Keith Ball, Franck Barthe, and Assaf Naor. Entropy jumps in the presence of a spectral gap. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 119(1):41 – 63, 2003.
- [3] Bogdan Grechuk, Anton Molyboha, and Michael Zabarankin. Maximum entropy principle with general deviation measures. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 34(2):445–467, 2009.
- [4] Michel Ledoux. Spectral gap, logarithmic Sobolev constant, and geometric bounds. In *Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. IX*, volume 9 of *Surv. Differ. Geom.*, pages 219–240. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2004.
- [5] Yu.V. Linnik and I.V. Ostrovskii. Decomposition of random variables and vectors. *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, 1977.
- [6] Adrien Saumard and Jon A. Wellner. Log-concavity and strong log-concavity: A review. *Statistics Surveys*, 8(none):45 – 114, 2014.
- [7] Thomas Simon. Multiplicative strong unimodality for positive stable laws. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(7):2587–2595, 2011.
- [8] Árpád Baricz, Saminathan Ponnusamy, and Matti Vuorinen. Functional inequalities for modified bessel functions. *Expositiones Mathematicae*, 29(4):399–414, 2011.