

FLOW: A Feedback-Driven Synthetic Longitudinal Dataset of Work and Wellbeing

Wafaa El Husseini
ORCID: 0000-0003-2344-5769
elhusseini.wafaa95@gmail.com

Abstract

Access to longitudinal, individual-level data on work-life balance and wellbeing is limited by privacy, ethical, and logistical constraints. This poses challenges for reproducible research, methodological benchmarking, and education in domains such as stress modeling, behavioral analysis, and machine learning.

We introduce **FLOW**, a synthetic longitudinal dataset designed to model daily interactions between workload, lifestyle behaviors, and wellbeing. FLOW is generated using a rule-based, feedback-driven simulation that produces coherent temporal dynamics across variables such as stress, sleep, mood, physical activity, and body weight. The dataset simulates 1,000 individuals over a two-year period with daily resolution and is released as a publicly available resource.

In addition to the static dataset, we describe a configurable data generation tool that enables reproducible experimentation under adjustable behavioral and contextual assumptions. FLOW is intended as a controlled experimental environment rather than a proxy for observed human populations, supporting exploratory analysis, methodological development, and benchmarking where real-world data are inaccessible.

1 Introduction

Understanding how work demands, lifestyle behaviors, and wellbeing interact over time is central to research in occupational health, behavioral science, and data science. Foundational models in occupational stress research emphasize the role of job demands, control, and reward structures in shaping strain and health outcomes [5, 9]. Capturing these dynamics at fine temporal resolution is important because stress, sleep, physical activity, and mood can influence one another through short-term feedback mechanisms.

Most existing datasets in this domain rely on surveys, administrative records, or experience sampling methods. National time-use studies and related survey efforts have provided valuable population-level views of time allocation and wellbeing, but are often cross-sectional or limited in temporal granularity [3, 4]. Experience sampling and ecological momentary assessment can capture higher-frequency signals, but such data are costly to collect, difficult to scale, and rarely released openly due to privacy and ethical constraints [8]. As a result, publicly available longitudinal datasets suitable for open benchmarking and education remain limited.

In parallel, data-driven approaches to modeling mental health and wellbeing have increasingly leveraged smartphone sensing and digital traces [10, 7]. While these sources can enable predictive modeling, they are frequently proprietary or restricted and therefore difficult to use for reproducible research and community benchmarking.

Synthetic data offers a complementary approach to addressing these limitations. Recent work on tabular data synthesis has explored generative models such as GAN-based approaches for producing statistically similar records [6, 11]. However, many synthetic tabular methods prioritize distributional similarity over interpretable temporal structure, which is particularly important in longitudinal human-centered domains. Simulation-based and agent-based modeling approaches provide an alternative that can encode mechanism-driven dynamics and temporal coherence [2, 1], but are less commonly released as reusable datasets compatible with standard analysis workflows.

In this paper, we introduce **FLOW**, a synthetic longitudinal dataset designed to model daily work-life balance and wellbeing dynamics through interpretable, rule-based mechanisms. FLOW emphasizes feedback-driven temporal structure, where daily outcomes influence subsequent states rather than being independently sampled. Professional context and interventions are incorporated as modifiers of daily conditions rather than as causal policy drivers.

The contributions of this work are threefold:

- We present a publicly available synthetic dataset with daily resolution, simulating 1,000 individuals over two years.
- We document a transparent, rule-based generation process that encodes feedback loops between workload, lifestyle behaviors, and wellbeing.
- We outline a configurable generator tool that supports reproducible experimentation under adjustable modeling assumptions.

FLOW is intended as a methodological and exploratory resource rather than a substitute for real-world occupational health data. By making assumptions explicit and controllable, the dataset aims to support responsible, reproducible research and education in settings where access to empirical longitudinal data is limited.

2 Related Work

Research on work-life balance, stress, and wellbeing has traditionally relied on survey-based and observational data sources. Large-scale surveys such as national time-use studies [3, 4] and occupational health questionnaires [5, 9] have provided important population-level insights into working conditions, stress, and health outcomes. However, these datasets are typically cross-sectional or sparsely sampled over time, limiting their suitability for fine-grained longitudinal analysis.

Experience sampling and ecological momentary assessment methods have been used to capture short-term fluctuations in mood and stress [8], but such data are costly to collect, difficult to scale, and rarely released in a form suitable for open research due to privacy concerns. As a result, publicly available datasets that combine daily temporal resolution, long observation periods, and individual-level behavioral variables remain scarce.

In parallel, machine learning research on mental health and wellbeing has increasingly relied on proprietary data sources, such as workplace monitoring tools or digital health platforms [10, 7]. While these datasets enable predictive modeling, they are typically inaccessible to the broader research community and cannot be redistributed for benchmarking or educational use.

Synthetic data generation has emerged as a complementary approach to address privacy and access limitations. Prior work has focused largely on tabular data synthesis for anonymization and data sharing, using techniques such as Bayesian networks, generative adversarial networks, or variational autoencoders [11, 6]. These approaches emphasize marginal distribution matching

but often lack explicit temporal structure or interpretable behavioral mechanisms, particularly for longitudinal human-centered data.

Simulation-based and agent-based models have been used to study human behavior and health dynamics [2, 1], offering greater interpretability and temporal coherence. However, such models are rarely released as reusable datasets compatible with standard data analysis workflows, and are often domain-specific or tightly coupled to particular research questions.

FLOW builds on these strands of work by providing a publicly available synthetic longitudinal dataset that encodes interpretable feedback loops between workload, stress, lifestyle behaviors, and wellbeing. Rather than aiming to replicate any specific real-world population, FLOW is designed as a controlled experimental environment that supports exploratory analysis, methodological development, and reproducible benchmarking under transparent assumptions.

3 Design Philosophy

FLOW is designed as a synthetic, rule-based simulation of daily human behavior, with the goal of supporting exploratory analysis, methodological experimentation, and benchmarking in the context of work-life balance and wellbeing. Rather than attempting to replicate any specific real-world survey or population, the dataset encodes a set of interpretable assumptions about how workload, lifestyle habits, and stress interact over time.

3.1 Rule-Based Rather Than Random Generation

Central to the design of FLOW is the presence of feedback loops, where daily outcomes influence subsequent states rather than being independently sampled. Unlike purely stochastic synthetic datasets, the data generation process follows explicit behavioral rules and feedback mechanisms. Variables such as stress, sleep duration, mood, and energy are not sampled independently; instead, they are generated through conditional relationships that reflect commonly observed patterns in daily life. For example, increased workload raises stress levels, which in turn may reduce sleep duration and negatively affect mood and focus. This approach prioritizes internal coherence and temporal consistency over exact statistical matching to external datasets.

3.2 Longitudinal Focus and Temporal Dynamics

Each individual in the dataset is simulated over an extended time horizon with daily resolution. This longitudinal structure allows for the emergence of short-term fluctuations as well as longer-term trends, such as cumulative sleep debt or gradual changes in body weight. Weekly aggregation is included to support multi-scale analysis while preserving the underlying daily dynamics.

3.3 Professions as Contextual Modifiers

Professional roles (e.g., managers, nurses, teachers) are modeled as contextual modifiers rather than causal drivers. They influence baseline characteristics such as typical workload, work schedules, or meeting frequency, but they do not encode profession-specific policy changes or institutional interventions over time. As a result, profession-level trends should be interpreted as contextual differences in daily conditions rather than as evidence of structural or policy effects.

3.4 Interventions as Stochastic Exposures

Wellbeing-related interventions, including vacation, sick leave, workload caps, or lifestyle programs, are modeled as stochastic exposure events. Their presence does not guarantee immediate or uniform improvements in stress or mood. Instead, interventions interact with ongoing behavioral dynamics and may be attenuated or amplified by competing factors such as workload cycles, seasonality, or individual habits. This design choice reflects the uncertainty and variability often observed in real-world behavioral responses.

3.5 Stability and Variability of Variables

Different variables are intentionally modeled with different degrees of volatility. While stress, sleep duration, and daily activity may fluctuate substantially over time, other attributes such as sleep quality or baseline mood tend to evolve more slowly. This distinction is intended to prevent unrealistic over-responsiveness and to preserve plausible temporal structure in the data.

3.6 Trade-offs and Design Scope

The dataset prioritizes interpretability, reproducibility, and ethical safety over demographic representativeness or clinical validity. It is not calibrated against real occupational health datasets and should not be used to infer causal effects of employer policies or profession-specific interventions. Instead, it serves as a controlled environment for exploring analytical methods, behavioral hypotheses, and modeling approaches under transparent and adjustable assumptions.

4 Data Generation Process

The FLOW dataset is generated through a rule-based simulation pipeline that models daily human behavior over time. The generation process is fully deterministic given a random seed, ensuring reproducibility while allowing controlled variability across individuals and scenarios. Rather than sampling observations independently, the process simulates interacting variables through explicit temporal and behavioral dependencies.

4.1 Population Initialization

The synthetic population is initialized by sampling a set of individual profiles, each defined by static attributes such as age, profession, work mode (e.g., remote, onsite, hybrid), chronotype, and baseline lifestyle tendencies. These attributes remain fixed throughout the simulation and serve as contextual factors that influence daily behavior.

Individuals are assigned to broad professional categories that determine baseline workload characteristics, such as typical working hours, meeting frequency, or schedule regularity. These assignments affect initial conditions but do not encode profession-specific policies or long-term structural changes.

4.2 Daily Simulation Loop

For each individual, the simulation proceeds on a daily time step across the specified date range. On each day, variables related to work, lifestyle, and wellbeing are updated sequentially based on the individual's prior state, static attributes, and exogenous factors.

Work-related variables, including working hours, meetings, and email volume, are generated first and depend on both professional context and whether the day is a workday. These variables influence perceived workload and contribute to the individual's stress level for that day.

Lifestyle variables such as physical activity, outdoor time, caffeine intake, and diet quality are then generated as conditional responses to workload, day-of-week effects, and seasonal patterns. For example, high workload or critical pressure states may reduce exercise duration or diet quality, while non-workdays allow for partial recovery.

4.3 Stress, Sleep, and Mood Dynamics

Stress acts as a central latent driver within FLOW and mediates interactions between work and wellbeing. Daily stress levels are influenced by workload, seasonality, and short-term workload cycles, and in turn affect sleep duration, sleep quality, mood, energy, and focus.

Sleep duration is modeled as a function of stress, chronotype, caffeine intake, and workday status. Sleep quality evolves more slowly and reflects both recent sleep patterns and accumulated stress. Mood, energy, and focus are derived from sleep, stress, and lifestyle factors, creating feedback loops in which daily outcomes influence subsequent states.

4.4 Weight and Energy Balance Modeling

Body weight is modeled as a slowly evolving variable driven by daily energy balance. For each individual, a basal metabolic rate is estimated using standard physiological formulas, adjusted by an activity factor derived from physical activity and work mode. Daily caloric intake is generated based on diet quality and stress, with elevated stress increasing the likelihood of overeating.

Changes in body weight accumulate gradually over time, reflecting sustained behavioral patterns rather than short-term fluctuations. This design enables the emergence of long-term trends such as gradual weight gain under chronic stress.

4.5 Temporal Aggregation

In addition to daily records, FLOW provides weekly summary statistics computed from daily observations. These include aggregated measures of stress, sleep, activity, and wellbeing, as well as derived indicators such as sleep debt and job satisfaction. Weekly aggregation supports multi-scale analysis while preserving the underlying daily dynamics.

4.6 Interventions and External Events

Wellbeing interventions, including vacation, sick leave, workload caps, or lifestyle programs, are introduced as external events with defined durations. Interventions modify relevant daily variables probabilistically rather than deterministically, reflecting heterogeneous individual responses.

Importantly, interventions do not override the existing behavioral dynamics. Their effects may be attenuated or amplified by concurrent stressors, workload cycles, or seasonal factors, and therefore do not guarantee immediate or uniform improvements in wellbeing indicators.

4.7 Reproducibility and Parameterization

The entire generation process is controlled by a random seed, allowing exact replication of datasets. Core parameters governing population size, time span, stress sensitivity, intervention strength, and behavioral responsiveness can be adjusted to generate alternative datasets under different

assumptions. This parameterization supports controlled experimentation and scenario analysis while maintaining consistent structural logic.

5 Dataset Description

The FLOW dataset consists of multiple tabular files that together capture daily wellbeing dynamics, contextual user attributes, temporal aggregates, and external events. The dataset is released in comma-separated value (CSV) format and is designed to support both modular and end-to-end analysis workflows.

5.1 Scope and Scale

FLOW simulates a population of 1,000 synthetic individuals over a two-year period, from January 2024 to December 2025, with daily resolution. This includes the 2024 leap year, resulting in 731 days per individual and a total of 731,000 daily observations. All records are fully synthetic and do not correspond to real individuals. The dataset scale enables both population-level analysis and fine-grained longitudinal modeling at the individual level. Across the dataset, `daily_logs.csv` and `daily_all.csv` each contain 731,000 rows, corresponding to one observation per individual per day. The remaining tables provide static or aggregated information and contain substantially fewer rows.

5.2 Core Tables

Users. The `users.csv` table contains static demographic and contextual attributes for each individual. These include age, sex, height, profession, work mode, chronotype, baseline body mass index, and lifestyle tendencies. Each individual is identified by a unique `user_id` that is shared across all tables.

Daily Logs. The `daily_logs.csv` table forms the core of the dataset and records daily observations for each individual. Variables cover work-related factors (e.g., working hours, meetings), lifestyle behaviors (e.g., physical activity, caffeine intake, diet quality), and wellbeing indicators (e.g., stress level, mood, sleep, energy, focus, and body weight). Each row represents a single individual-day combination.

Weekly Summaries. The `weekly_summaries.csv` table provides weekly aggregations derived from daily logs. It includes indicators such as average stress, sleep debt, job satisfaction, anxiety and depression scores, average body weight, and counts of low-quality diet days. Weekly summaries support medium-term trend analysis and reduce noise in daily observations.

Interventions. The `interventions.csv` table records external wellbeing-related events, including vacation, sick leave, workload caps, and lifestyle programs. Each intervention is defined by a start date, end date, type, and intensity. These events are intended to contextualize changes in daily behavior rather than represent deterministic treatments.

5.3 Denormalized Representation

In addition to the normalized tables, FLOW includes a denormalized dataset, `daily_all.csv`, which contains one row per individual per day ($n = 731,000$ rows). This table combines static user

attributes, daily observations, weekly summary indicators, and flags for active interventions into a single wide-format representation.

The denormalized table is provided to simplify exploratory data analysis and machine learning workflows, where repeated joins across multiple tables may introduce unnecessary complexity. While this format results in repeated contextual information across rows, it preserves the original temporal resolution and does not introduce additional synthetic signals.

5.4 Variable Groups

Across all tables, variables can be broadly grouped into the following categories:

- **Contextual attributes:** demographic information, profession, and work mode.
- **Workload indicators:** working hours, meetings, emails, and perceived pressure.
- **Lifestyle behaviors:** physical activity, diet quality, caffeine intake, and screen time.
- **Wellbeing indicators:** stress, sleep, mood, energy, focus, and body weight.
- **Temporal aggregates:** weekly summaries and derived indicators.
- **External events:** wellbeing interventions and their durations.

5.5 Data Format and Access

All files are released in UTF-8 encoded CSV format and can be loaded using standard data analysis tools. The dataset is publicly available on Kaggle and distributed under an open license, enabling reuse, redistribution, and modification for research and educational purposes.

6 Validation and Sanity Checks

To assess whether FLOW behaves as intended, we perform a series of internal validation and sanity checks. These checks do not aim to establish external validity with respect to real-world populations, but rather to verify internal consistency, plausible ranges, and expected directional relationships between key variables.

6.1 Distributional Properties

Across all daily observations ($n = 731,000$), core variables such as sleep duration, working hours, physical activity, caffeine intake, and screen time exhibit stable distributions with plausible ranges and moderate variance. For example, average sleep duration centers around seven hours per night, while working hours and activity levels vary meaningfully across individuals and days. No variables exhibit implausible values or degenerate distributions.

6.2 Expected Behavioral Relationships

FLOW encodes several expected relationships between variables that emerge consistently in aggregate analysis. Higher workload is associated with elevated stress levels, while increased stress is linked to reduced sleep duration and lower mood scores. Conversely, higher physical activity and better diet quality tend to co-occur with improved mood, energy, and focus.

These relationships are not enforced deterministically at the individual level, but arise statistically across the population due to the feedback-driven design of the generation process.

6.3 Temporal Consistency

Because FLOW is simulated longitudinally, variables evolve smoothly over time rather than fluctuating independently across days. Slowly changing variables such as body weight and sleep quality exhibit gradual trends, while more reactive variables such as stress or daily workload respond to short-term conditions. This temporal structure supports time-series analysis and prevents unrealistic day-to-day volatility.

6.4 Heterogeneity Across Individuals

The dataset exhibits substantial heterogeneity across individuals, driven by differences in static attributes such as profession, work mode, chronotype, and lifestyle tendencies. While population-level trends are observable, individual trajectories vary considerably, reflecting the stochastic nature of daily behavior and the influence of competing factors.

6.5 Interventions and Attenuated Effects

Periods during which wellbeing interventions are active do not uniformly result in immediate improvements in stress or mood. This behavior is consistent with the design of FLOW, where interventions act as probabilistic modifiers rather than deterministic treatments. Their effects may be attenuated by concurrent stressors, workload cycles, or seasonal influences, resulting in heterogeneous outcomes.

6.6 Limitations of Validation

These validation checks confirm that FLOW exhibits coherent internal structure and expected directional relationships. However, they do not imply calibration to real-world populations or suitability for estimating causal or policy-level effects. All validation is therefore interpreted in the context of the dataset’s intended use as a synthetic, exploratory environment.

7 Generator Tool

In addition to the static dataset release, FLOW is accompanied by a configurable data generation tool designed to enable reproducible experimentation and scenario analysis. This paper documents the first public version of FLOW; the generator tool is currently in preparation and will be made available shortly following the initial release of this manuscript.

7.1 Motivation

A single synthetic dataset represents only one realization of a broader set of assumptions about human behavior and work-life dynamics. The generator tool is intended to expose these assumptions explicitly and allow users to explore how changes in parameter settings affect observed patterns. This approach supports methodological robustness, sensitivity analysis, and controlled experimentation that would not be possible with a fixed dataset alone.

7.2 Parameterization

The generator tool is designed around a set of interpretable parameters that control population characteristics, behavioral responsiveness, and external conditions. Planned parameters include, but are not limited to:

- Population size and simulation time span.
- Random seed for full reproducibility.
- Baseline workload and stress sensitivity.
- Responsiveness of sleep, mood, and energy to stress.
- Strength and duration of wellbeing interventions.
- Distribution of work modes and professional contexts.

These parameters allow users to generate alternative datasets that share the same structural logic as FLOW while differing in scale or behavioral intensity.

7.3 Extended and Future Parameters

Beyond the initial parameter set, the generator is designed to be extensible. Future versions may introduce additional controls, such as alternative intervention models, modified feedback strengths, or custom behavioral rules. This extensibility is intended to support comparative studies and facilitate experimentation under varying modeling assumptions.

7.4 Relationship to the Static Dataset

The dataset released with this paper corresponds to a specific parameter configuration of the generator and serves as a reference instance for analysis and benchmarking. Users are encouraged to treat this release as one example within a broader family of datasets that can be generated under controlled and transparent assumptions.

7.5 Availability

The generator tool will be released as open-source software and made publicly available alongside the dataset. Documentation and example configurations will be provided to support reproducible use. Links to the tool repository will be added in a future revision of this manuscript.

8 Intended Use and Limitations

The FLOW dataset is intended to support exploratory data analysis, methodological experimentation, and the development or evaluation of data-driven models in the context of work-life balance and wellbeing. Typical use cases include feature engineering, correlation analysis, time-series modeling, and benchmarking of machine learning approaches under controlled and reproducible conditions.

The dataset is not designed to serve as a substitute for real-world occupational health data, nor should it be used to draw clinical, diagnostic, or policy-level conclusions. In particular, professional roles within FLOW function as contextual modifiers of daily conditions rather than as causal drivers of structural or institutional change. Consequently, profession-specific trends or intervention effects should not be interpreted as evidence of real-world employer policies or outcomes.

Wellbeing interventions in the dataset are modeled as stochastic exposure events whose effects may be attenuated or amplified by concurrent factors such as workload cycles, seasonality, or

individual habits. Their presence does not imply guaranteed improvements in stress, sleep, or mood.

The dataset is fully synthetic and was not calibrated against any specific population or occupational cohort. While the generation process encodes plausible behavioral relationships, it does not aim to achieve demographic representativeness or clinical validity. These design choices prioritize ethical safety, transparency, and reproducibility over realism at the individual or policy level.

Users are encouraged to treat FLOW as a controlled experimental environment rather than a proxy for observed human behavior, and to clearly state these limitations when reporting results based on the dataset.

9 Availability

The FLOW dataset is publicly available on Kaggle under an open license and can be accessed at [FLOW Dataset on Kaggle]. The release includes the normalized tables and the denormalized `daily_all.csv` file described in this paper.

The dataset is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution–ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-SA 4.0) license, which permits reuse, redistribution, and adaptation with appropriate attribution and requires derivative datasets to be released under the same license.

For citation purposes, users are encouraged to cite this paper in addition to the Kaggle dataset page. A BibTeX entry will be provided on the dataset page following the public release of this manuscript.

The accompanying data generation tool described in Section 7 is currently in preparation and will be released as open-source software in a subsequent update. Links to the repository and documentation will be added in a future revision of this paper.

Citation

If you use the FLOW dataset in academic work, please cite this paper as well as the corresponding Kaggle dataset page.

```
@article{elhusseini2025flow,
  title={FLOW: A Feedback-Driven Synthetic Longitudinal Dataset of Work and Wellbeing},
  author={El Husseini, Wafaai},
  journal={arXiv preprint arXiv:XXXX.XXXXX},
  year={2025}
}
```

10 Conclusion and Future Work

This paper introduced FLOW, a synthetic longitudinal dataset designed to model daily interactions between workload, lifestyle behaviors, and wellbeing. By combining rule-based generation, interpretable feedback mechanisms, and daily temporal resolution, FLOW provides a controlled experimental environment for exploratory analysis, methodological development, and reproducible benchmarking in domains where real-world data are scarce or inaccessible.

The dataset is intentionally scoped to prioritize internal coherence, reproducibility, and ethical safety over demographic representativeness or policy fidelity. As such, FLOW should be understood as a modeling and experimentation resource rather than a proxy for observed human populations.

Future work will focus on the public release of the accompanying data generation tool, enabling users to generate alternative datasets under adjustable assumptions and controlled parameter settings. Planned extensions include additional intervention models, expanded parameterization of behavioral responses, and support for scenario-based experimentation.

Further research directions include systematic sensitivity analysis of model parameters, exploration of alternative feedback structures, and potential calibration against aggregate statistics from real-world surveys where appropriate. By making both the dataset and its underlying assumptions explicit, FLOW aims to support transparent, responsible, and reproducible research on work-life balance and wellbeing dynamics.

References

- [1] Amy H Auchincloss and Ana V Diez Roux. Agent-based modeling in public health. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 33:31–45, 2012.
- [2] Eric Bonabeau. Agent-based modeling: Methods and techniques. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 99(suppl 3):7280–7287, 2002.
- [3] Jonathan Gershuny. Time use surveys and the measurement of national wellbeing. *Social Indicators Research*, 114(2):593–605, 2014.
- [4] Daniel S Hamermesh. The timing of work time. *Economic Journal*, 115(500):F1–F20, 2005.
- [5] Robert A Karasek. Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 24(2):285–308, 1979.
- [6] Noseong Park, Mahdi Mohammadi, Kshitij Gorde, Sushil Jajodia, and Hongkyu Park. Data synthesis based on generative adversarial networks. *Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment*, 11(10):1071–1083, 2018.
- [7] Sohrab Saeb, Mi Zhang, Cory J Karr, Stephen M Schueller, Margaret E Corden, Konrad P Kording, and David C Mohr. Mobile phone sensor correlates of depressive symptom severity. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 17(7), 2015.
- [8] Saul Shiffman, Arthur A Stone, and Michael R Hufford. Ecological momentary assessment. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 4:1–32, 2008.
- [9] Johannes Siegrist. Adverse health effects of high-effort/low-reward conditions. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 1(1):27–41, 1996.
- [10] Rui Wang, Fanglin Chen, Zhenyu Chen, Tianxing Li, Gabriella Harari, Stefanie Tignor, Xia Zhou, Dror Ben-Zeev, and Andrew Campbell. Studentlife: Assessing mental health using smartphones. 2014.
- [11] Lei Xu, Maria Skouliaridou, Alfredo Cuesta-Infante, and Kalyan Veeramachaneni. Modeling tabular data using conditional gan. 2019.