

# Surjective Mappings in the Hyers–Ulam Theorem and the Gromov–Hausdorff Distance\*

S. A. Bogatyĭ, E. A. Reznichenko, A. A. Tuzhilin

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries and Notation</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Approximation of Mappings by a Bijection</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Pseudometrics on Classes of Metric Spaces</b>	<b>4</b>
4.1	Distance Between Spaces of the Same Cardinality . . . . .	6
4.2	Distance Between Cardinality Homogeneous Spaces of the Same Cardinality . . . . .	7
<b>5</b>	<b>Approximation of <math>\delta</math>-Surjective Mappings</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Application to Banach Spaces</b>	<b>10</b>

## Abstract

A topological space is said to be cardinality homogeneous if every nonempty open subset has the same cardinality as the space itself. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be cardinality homogeneous metric spaces of the same cardinality. If there exists a  $\delta$ -surjective  $d$ -isometry between such equicardinal cardinality homogeneous metric spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , then there exists a bijective  $(d + 2\delta)$ -isometry between  $X$  and  $Y$ . This result allows us to reduce the Dilworth–Tabor theorem to the Gevirtz–Omladič–Šemrl theorem on approximation by isometries and, in particular, to questions concerning the isometry of Banach spaces.

**Keywords:** metric space, Gromov–Hausdorff distance,  $\delta$ -surjective mapping,  $d$ -isometry, isometry.

## 1 Introduction

The celebrated Mazur–Ulam theorem of 1932 states that *every surjective isometry  $f: V \rightarrow W$  between real normed spaces is affine* [1]. One direction for strengthening this theorem arose from the 1945 work of Hyers and Ulam [2]. D. H. Hyers and S. M. Ulam posed the following question:

---

\*The work of A. A. Tuzhilin was supported by grant No. 25-21-00152 of the Russian Science Foundation, by National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2020YFE0204200), as well as by the Sino-Russian Mathematical Center at Peking University. Partial of the work by A. A. Tuzhilin were done in Sino-Russian Math. center, and he thanks the Math. Center for the invitation and the hospitality.

*Is there a constant  $K$ , depending only on the Banach spaces  $V$  and  $W$ , such that for every  $d > 0$  and every surjective  $d$ -isometry  $f: V \rightarrow W$  there exists a surjective isometry  $U: V \rightarrow W$  satisfying*

$$\|f - U\| = \sup\{\|f(x) - U(x)\| : x \in V\} \leq K d?$$

They noted that *the surjectivity assumption for  $f$  is essential* and provided an affirmative answer in the case  $V = W = \ell_2$ , the Hilbert space (with  $K = 10$ ).

The efforts of numerous mathematicians over many years culminated in a complete affirmative resolution by J. Gevirtz in 1983 [3]. In 1995, M. Omladič and P. Šemrl [4] established the sharp constant  $K = 2$ .

S. J. Dilworth [5] and J. Tabor [6] relaxed the surjectivity condition:

*If  $d, \delta \geq 0$  and  $f: V \rightarrow W$  is a  $d$ -isometry between Banach spaces with  $f(0) = 0$  that maps  $V$   $\delta$ -surjectively onto a closed subspace  $L \subset W$  (i.e.,  $d_H(L, f(V)) \leq \delta$ ), then there exists a bijective linear isometry  $U: V \rightarrow L$  such that*

$$(1) \quad \|f - U\| \leq M = 12d + 5\delta \quad (\text{Dilworth}); \quad M = 2d + 35\delta \quad (L = W, \text{ Tabor}).$$

In 2003, P. Šemrl and J. Väisälä [7] showed that *the right-hand side of (1) can be replaced by  $M = 2d + 2\delta$* . They also proved that *when  $L = W$ , the universal bound  $M = 2d$  holds*.

Inspired by the proof technique of the Cantor–Bernstein theorem, the present paper approximates two mappings  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  between sets by a bijection (Theorem 3.1).

Two applications of this approximation are presented. First, we show that for cardinality homogeneous metric spaces of the same cardinality, the Gromov–Hausdorff distance can be characterised using bijective mappings (Theorem 4.6).

Theorem 3.1 and its corollaries also permit a reduction of the approximation problem for  $d$ -isometric  $\delta$ -surjective mappings of Banach spaces (onto a closed linear subspace) to the approximation of  $(d + 2\delta)$ -isometric (or  $(d + 6\delta)$ -isometric) surjective mappings (see the general Theorems 5.5 and 5.8 and Propositions 6.4 and 6.6 for Banach spaces). This yields a simpler reduction, in our view, of the Dilworth–Tabor theorem to the theorem of J. Gevirtz (see Corollaries 6.5 and 6.7). A further application of the Šemrl–Väisälä theorem shows that the coefficient  $d + 2\delta$  arising in this reduction is non-essential.

## 2 Preliminaries and Notation

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space (distances may be infinite). For  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $x, y \in X$ , and  $M \subset X$ , we denote by

$$\begin{aligned} |xy| &= d(x, y) && \text{the distance between } x \text{ and } y; \\ U_\varepsilon(x) &= \{y \in X : |xy| < \varepsilon\} && \text{the open } \varepsilon\text{-ball centered at } x; \\ U_\varepsilon(M) &= \bigcup_{x \in M} U_\varepsilon(x) && \text{the } \varepsilon\text{-neighborhood of } M; \\ \text{diam } M &= \sup\{|xy| : x, y \in M\} && \text{the diameter of } M. \end{aligned}$$

For subsets  $A, B \subset X$ , the *Hausdorff distance* is

$$d_H(A, B) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : A \subset U_\varepsilon(B) \text{ and } B \subset U_\varepsilon(A)\}.$$

The *Gromov–Hausdorff distance*  $d_{GH}(X, Y)$  between metric spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  is the infimum of  $d_H(X, Y)$  over all isometric embeddings of  $X$  and  $Y$  into a common metric space  $Z$ ; see [8, 9, 10] for details.

A *correspondence* between sets  $X$  and  $Y$  is a relation  $R \subset X \times Y$  such that every  $x \in X$  is related to at least one  $y \in Y$ , and vice versa.

For nonempty  $R \subset X \times Y$ , its *distortion* is

$$\text{dis } R = \sup\{|xx'| - |yy'| : (x, y), (x', y') \in R\}.$$

An equivalent expression for the Gromov–Hausdorff distance is [11, Theorem 7.3.25]

$$d_{GH}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \inf\{\text{dis } R : R \subset X \times Y \text{ is a correspondence}\}.$$

The *co-distortion* of nonempty  $R, R' \subset X \times Y$  is

$$\text{codis}(R, R') = \sup\{|xx'| - |yy'| : (x, y) \in R, (x', y') \in R'\}.$$

For mappings  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow X$ , the *distortion* of  $f$  is

$$\text{dis } f = \sup\{|f(x)f(x')| - |xx'| : x, x' \in X\},$$

and the *co-distortion* is

$$\text{codis}(f, g) = \sup\{|xg(y)| - |f(x)y| : x \in X, y \in Y\}.$$

The *graph*<sup>1</sup> of  $f$  is

$$\text{Gr } f = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in X\}.$$

For a relation  $Q \subset Y \times X$ , we denote  $Q^{-1} = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : (y, x) \in Q\}$  the *converse relation*. We denote

$$\text{Gr}^{-1} g = (\text{Gr } g)^{-1} = \{(g(y), y) : y \in Y\}.$$

The following facts are easily verified:

- $R \subset X \times Y$  is a correspondence if and only if there exist  $f \in Y^X$  and  $g \in X^Y$  with  $\text{Gr } f \cup \text{Gr}^{-1} g \subset R$ ;
- $\text{dis } f = \text{dis}(\text{Gr } f)$ ;
- $\text{codis}(f, g) = \text{codis}(\text{Gr } f, \text{Gr}^{-1} g)$ ;
- $\text{dis}(R \cup R') = \max\{\text{dis } R, \text{codis}(R, R'), \text{dis } R'\}$ .

Thus,

$$d_{GH}(X, Y) = \inf\{d_{GH}(f, g) : f \in Y^X, g \in X^Y\},$$

where

$$d_{GH}(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} \max\{\text{dis } f, \text{codis}(f, g), \text{dis } g\}.$$

This form is noted in [12, Proposition 1(5), Remark 2] for bounded spaces.

The *density* of a topological space  $X$  is the cardinal

$$d(X) = \min\{|D| : D \subset X = \overline{D}\}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>In set theory, the graph of a mapping is identified with the mapping itself. We use  $\text{Gr } f$  for clarity.

### 3 Approximation of Mappings by a Bijection

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be sets equipped with covers  $\mathcal{L}_X$  and  $\mathcal{L}_Y$  such that  $|X| = |Y| = |V|$  for every  $V \in \mathcal{L}_X$  and  $|U|$  for every  $U \in \mathcal{L}_Y$ . Then for any mappings  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow X$ , there exists a bijection  $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow Y$  such that for every  $x \in X$  at least one of the following holds:*

- (1)  $\tilde{f}(x)$  and  $f(x)$  lie in the same member of  $\mathcal{L}_Y$ ;
- (2)  $g(\tilde{f}(x))$  and  $x$  lie in the same member of  $\mathcal{L}_X$ .

*Proof.* Choose functions  $U: X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_X$  and  $V: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_Y$  with  $x \in U(x)$  and  $y \in V(y)$ . Enumerate the points using the cardinal  $\tau = |X| = |Y|$ :  $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\}$  and  $Y = \{y_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\}$ . For  $\alpha \leq \tau$ , set  $X_\alpha = \{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$  and  $Y_\alpha = \{y_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ .

By transfinite induction on  $\alpha \leq \tau$ , construct injective mappings  $f_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow Y$  and  $g_\alpha: Y_\alpha \rightarrow X$  satisfying, for every  $\beta < \alpha$ :

- $f_\alpha|_{X_\beta} = f_\beta$  and  $g_\alpha|_{Y_\beta} = g_\beta$ ;
- if  $f_\alpha(x_\beta) \in Y_\alpha$ , then  $x_\beta = g_\alpha(f_\alpha(x_\beta))$ ;
- if  $g_\alpha(y_\beta) \in X_\alpha$ , then  $y_\beta = f_\alpha(g_\alpha(y_\beta))$ ;
- either  $f_\alpha(x_\beta) \in V(f(x_\beta))$  or  $g_\alpha(y_\beta) \in U(g(y_\beta))$ .

If  $\alpha$  is limit, take unions of the previous mappings. For successor  $\alpha = \beta + 1$ , extend  $f_\alpha$  and  $g_\alpha$  as follows.

To define  $f_\alpha(x_\beta)$ :

Case 1: If  $x_\beta \notin g_\beta(Y_\beta)$ , choose  $y \in V(f(x_\beta)) \setminus (Y_\beta \cup f_\beta(X_\beta))$  and set  $f_\alpha(x_\beta) = y$ .

Case 2: If  $x_\beta \in g_\beta(Y_\beta)$ , set  $f_\alpha(x_\beta) = y$  where  $y \in Y_\beta$  and  $x_\beta = g_\beta(y)$ .

To define  $g_\alpha(y_\beta)$ :

Case 1: If  $y_\beta \notin f_\alpha(X_\alpha)$ , choose  $x \in U(g(y_\beta)) \setminus (X_\alpha \cup g_\beta(Y_\beta))$  and set  $g_\alpha(y_\beta) = x$ .

Case 2: If  $y_\beta \in f_\alpha(X_\alpha)$ , set  $g_\alpha(y_\beta) = x$  where  $x \in X_\alpha$  and  $y_\beta = f_\alpha(x)$ .

The construction yields mutually inverse bijections  $\tilde{f} = f_\tau$  and  $g_\tau$  satisfying the required conditions for all  $x \in X$ .  $\square$

We write  $x \in X_I$  if condition (1) holds for  $x$ , and  $x \in X_{II}$  if (2) holds.

### 4 Pseudometrics on Classes of Metric Spaces

Let metrics be defined on  $X$  and  $Y$ , with  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow X$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} d_f^- &= \sup\{|xx'| - |f(x)f(x')| : x, x' \in X\}, & d_f^+ &= \sup\{|f(x)f(x')| - |xx'| : x, x' \in X\}, \\ d_{(f,g)}^- &= \sup\{|xg(y)| - |f(x)y| : x \in X, y \in Y\}, & d_{(f,g)}^+ &= \sup\{|f(x)y| - |xg(y)| : x \in X, y \in Y\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(2) \quad -d_f^- \leq |f(x)f(x')| - |xx'| \leq d_f^+ \quad \text{for all } x, x' \in X,$$

$$(3) \quad -d_g^- \leq |g(y)g(y')| - |yy'| \leq d_g^+ \quad \text{for all } y, y' \in Y,$$

$$(4) \quad -d_{(f,g)}^- \leq |f(x)y| - |xg(y)| \leq d_{(f,g)}^+ \quad \text{for all } x \in X, y \in Y.$$

Clearly,

$$d_{(g,f)}^+ = d_{(f,g)}^- \quad \text{and} \quad d_{(g,f)}^- = d_{(f,g)}^+.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dis } f &= \max\{d_f^+, d_f^-\}, \quad \text{codis}(f, g) = \max\{d_{(f,g)}^+, d_{(f,g)}^-\}, \\ d_{GH}(f, g) &= \frac{1}{2} \max\{d_f^-, d_f^+, d_g^-, d_g^+, d_{(f,g)}^-, d_{(f,g)}^+\}. \end{aligned}$$

A mapping  $f$  is a  $d$ -isometry if  $\text{dis } f \leq d$ . One-sided bounds are also useful, e.g.,  $d_f^+$ -non-expansive mappings [13].

Define

$$md_{GH}(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} \max\{\text{dis } f, \text{dis } g\} = \frac{1}{2} \max\{d_f^-, d_f^+, d_g^-, d_g^+\}.$$

Evidently,  $md_{GH}(f, g) \leq d_{GH}(f, g)$ .

For a class  $\mathcal{F} \subset Y^X \times X^Y$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} d_{GH}(X, Y; \mathcal{F}) &= \inf\{d_{GH}(f, g) : (f, g) \in \mathcal{F}\}, \\ md_{GH}(X, Y; \mathcal{F}) &= \inf\{md_{GH}(f, g) : (f, g) \in \mathcal{F}\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *If  $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} md_{GH}(X, Y; \mathcal{F}) &\leq d_{GH}(X, Y; \mathcal{F}) \leq d_{GH}(X, Y; \mathcal{F}'), \\ md_{GH}(X, Y; \mathcal{F}) &\leq md_{GH}(X, Y; \mathcal{F}'). \end{aligned}$$

The standard Gromov–Hausdorff distance is

$$d_{GH}(X, Y) = d_{GH}(X, Y; Y^X \times X^Y).$$

The modified Gromov–Hausdorff distance [14], which is algorithmically simpler, is

$$(5) \quad md_{GH}(X, Y) = md_{GH}(X, Y; Y^X \times X^Y).$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_c(X, Y) &= \{f \in Y^X : f \text{ is continuous}\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_c(X, Y) &= \mathcal{M}_c(X, Y) \times \mathcal{M}_c(Y, X). \end{aligned}$$

The *continuous Gromov–Hausdorff distance* [15, 16] is

$$(6) \quad d_{GH}^c(X, Y) = d_{GH}(X, Y; \mathcal{F}_c(X, Y)),$$

and its *modified version* is

$$md_{GH}^c(X, Y) = md_{GH}(X, Y; \mathcal{F}_c(X, Y)).$$

From Proposition 4.1 we obtain

$$md_{GH} \leq d_{GH} \leq d_{GH}^c, \quad md_{GH} \leq md_{GH}^c.$$

### 4.1 Distance Between Spaces of the Same Cardinality

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces of the same cardinality. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{\text{in}}(X, Y) &= \{f: X \rightarrow Y : f \text{ is injective}\}, & \mathcal{M}_{\text{sur}}(X, Y) &= \{f: X \rightarrow Y : f \text{ is surjective}\}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\text{bi}}(X, Y) &= \{f: X \rightarrow Y : f \text{ is bijective}\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{\text{in}}(X, Y) &= \mathcal{M}_{\text{in}}(X, Y) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{in}}(Y, X), & \mathcal{F}_{\text{sur}}(X, Y) &= \mathcal{M}_{\text{sur}}(X, Y) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{sur}}(Y, X), \\ \mathcal{F}_{\text{bi}}(X, Y) &= \mathcal{M}_{\text{bi}}(X, Y) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{bi}}(Y, X), & \mathcal{F}_i(X, Y) &= \{(f, f^{-1}) : f \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{bi}}(X, Y)\}.\end{aligned}$$

The corresponding (modified) distances are

$$\begin{aligned}(7) \quad (m)d_{GH}^{\text{sur}}(X, Y) &= (m)d_{GH}(X, Y; \mathcal{F}_{\text{sur}}(X, Y)), \\ (m)d_{GH}^{\text{in}}(X, Y) &= (m)d_{GH}(X, Y; \mathcal{F}_{\text{in}}(X, Y)), \\ (m)d_{GH}^{\text{bi}}(X, Y) &= (m)d_{GH}(X, Y; \mathcal{F}_{\text{bi}}(X, Y)).\end{aligned}$$

Also set

$$(m)d_{GH}^i(X, Y) = (m)d_{GH}(X, Y; \mathcal{F}_i(X, Y)).$$

From Proposition 4.1,

$$md_{GH}^{\mathbf{xx}} \leq d_{GH}^{\mathbf{xx}} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{xx} \in \{i, \text{in}, \text{sur}, \text{bi}\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(m)d_{GH} &\leq (m)d_{GH}^{\text{in}} \leq (m)d_{GH}^{\text{bi}} \leq (m)d_{GH}^i, \\ (m)d_{GH} &\leq (m)d_{GH}^{\text{sur}} \leq (m)d_{GH}^{\text{bi}}.\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.2.** *For a bijection  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between metric spaces,*

$$d_{f^{-1}}^+ = d_f^-, \quad d_{f^{-1}}^- = d_f^+; \quad d_{(f, f^{-1})}^+ = d_f^+, \quad d_{(f, f^{-1})}^- = d_f^-.$$

Consequently,

$$md_{GH}(f, f^{-1}) = d_{GH}(f, f^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{dis } f = \frac{1}{2} \text{dis } f^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Substitute  $x = f^{-1}(y)$  and  $x' = f^{-1}(y')$  into (2). The remaining equalities follow from substituting  $y = f(x')$  into (4).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *For metric spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  of the same cardinality,*

$$\begin{aligned}md_{GH}^i(X, Y) &= d_{GH}^i(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \inf\{\text{dis } f : f \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{bi}}(X, Y)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \inf\{\text{dis } g : g \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{bi}}(Y, X)\}.\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.4.** *For metric spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  of the same cardinality,*

$$d_{GH}^{\text{bi}}(X, Y) = md_{GH}^{\text{bi}}(X, Y) = d_{GH}^i(X, Y).$$

*Proof.* Since  $md_{GH}^{\text{bi}} \leq d_{GH}^{\text{bi}} \leq d_{GH}^i = md_{GH}^i$ , it suffices to prove  $d_{GH}^i \leq md_{GH}^{\text{bi}}$ . From Corollary 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned} md_{GH}^{\text{bi}}(X, Y) &= \frac{1}{2} \inf \{ \max \{ \text{dis } f, \text{dis } g \} : f \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{bi}}(X, Y), g \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{bi}}(Y, X) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \max \{ \inf \{ \text{dis } f : f \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{bi}}(X, Y) \}, \inf \{ \text{dis } g : g \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{bi}}(Y, X) \} \} \\ &= d_{GH}^i(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

□

## 4.2 Distance Between Cardinality Homogeneous Spaces of the Same Cardinality

A topological space  $X$  is *cardinality homogeneous* if every nonempty open subset  $U \subset X$  has cardinality  $|U| = |X|$ .

The following refines Theorem 3.1 for metric spaces.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $X, Y, \mathcal{L}_X, \mathcal{L}_Y, f, g$ , and  $\tilde{f}$  be as in Theorem 3.1. Additionally, let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces and  $\varepsilon_X, \varepsilon_Y > 0$  finite with  $\text{diam } U \leq \varepsilon_X$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{L}_X$  and  $\text{diam } V \leq \varepsilon_Y$  for all  $V \in \mathcal{L}_Y$ .*

*For  $x, x' \in X$ ,*

$$|f(x)\tilde{f}(x)| \leq \begin{cases} \varepsilon_Y & \text{if } x \in X_I, \\ d_{(f,g)}^+ + \varepsilon_X & \text{if } x \in X_{II}; \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -d_f^- - 2\varepsilon_Y &\leq |\tilde{f}(x)\tilde{f}(x')| - |xx'| \leq d_f^+ + 2\varepsilon_Y && \text{if } x, x' \in X_I, \\ -d_g^+ - 2\varepsilon_X &\leq |\tilde{f}(x)\tilde{f}(x')| - |xx'| \leq d_g^- + 2\varepsilon_X && \text{if } x, x' \in X_{II}, \\ -d_{(f,g)}^- - \varepsilon_X - \varepsilon_Y &\leq |\tilde{f}(x)\tilde{f}(x')| - |xx'| \leq d_{(f,g)}^+ + \varepsilon_X + \varepsilon_Y && \text{if } x \in X_I, x' \in X_{II}. \end{aligned}$$

*Consequently, with  $\varepsilon = \max\{\varepsilon_X, \varepsilon_Y\}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} -\max\{d_f^-, d_g^+, d_{(f,g)}^-\} - 2\varepsilon &\leq |\tilde{f}(x)\tilde{f}(x')| - |xx'| \leq \max\{d_f^+, d_g^-, d_{(f,g)}^+\} + 2\varepsilon, \\ \frac{1}{2} \text{dis } \tilde{f} &\leq d_{GH}(f, g) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We provide the detailed estimates for each case.

To bound  $|f(x)\tilde{f}(x)|$ :

If  $x \in X_I$ , then  $f(x)$  and  $\tilde{f}(x)$  lie in the same member of  $\mathcal{L}_Y$ , so  $|f(x)\tilde{f}(x)| \leq \varepsilon_Y$ .

If  $x \in X_{II}$ , let  $\tilde{g} = \tilde{f}^{-1}$  and  $y = \tilde{f}(x)$ . Then

$$|f(x)y| \leq |xg(y)| + d_{(f,g)}^+ \leq |x\tilde{g}(y)| + \varepsilon_X + d_{(f,g)}^+ = \varepsilon_X + d_{(f,g)}^+.$$

For  $x, x' \in X_I$ ,

$$|xx'| - d_f^- - 2\varepsilon_Y \leq |f(x)f(x')| - 2\varepsilon_Y \leq |\tilde{f}(x)\tilde{f}(x')| \leq |f(x)f(x')| + 2\varepsilon_Y \leq |xx'| + d_f^+ + 2\varepsilon_Y.$$

For  $x, x' \in X_{II}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |xx'| - 2\varepsilon_X - d_g^+ &\leq |xg\tilde{f}(x)| + |g\tilde{f}(x)g\tilde{f}(x')| + |g\tilde{f}(x')x'| - 2\varepsilon_X - d_g^+ \leq \\ &|g\tilde{f}(x)g\tilde{f}(x')| - d_g^+ \leq |\tilde{f}(x)\tilde{f}(x')| \leq |g\tilde{f}(x)g\tilde{f}(x')| + d_g^- \leq \\ &|g\tilde{f}(x)x| + |xx'| + |x'g\tilde{f}(x')| + d_g^- \leq |xx'| + 2\varepsilon_X + d_g^-. \end{aligned}$$

For  $x \in X_I$  and  $x' \in X_{II}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |xx'| - \varepsilon_X - d_{(f,g)}^- - \varepsilon_Y &\leq |xx'| - |x'g\tilde{f}(x')| - d_{(f,g)}^- - \varepsilon_Y \leq \\ &|xg\tilde{f}(x')| - d_{(f,g)}^- - \varepsilon_Y \leq |f(x)\tilde{f}(x')| - |\tilde{f}(x)f(x)| \leq \\ &|\tilde{f}(x)\tilde{f}(x')| \leq |\tilde{f}(x)f(x)| + |f(x)\tilde{f}(x')| \leq \varepsilon_Y + |xg\tilde{f}(x')| + d_{(f,g)}^+ \leq \\ &|xx'| + |x'g\tilde{f}(x')| + d_{(f,g)}^+ + \varepsilon_Y \leq |xx'| + \varepsilon_X + d_{(f,g)}^+ + \varepsilon_Y. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 4.6.** For cardinality homogeneous metric spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  of the same cardinality,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{GH}(X, Y) &= d_{GH}^{\text{in}}(X, Y) = d_{GH}^{\text{sur}}(X, Y) = d_{GH}^{\text{bi}}(X, Y) = d_{GH}^i(X, Y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \inf\{\text{dis } f : f : X \rightarrow Y \text{ is a bijection}\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It suffices to consider  $d_{GH}(X, Y) < \infty$ . From the inequalities in Section 4.1, Corollary 4.3, and Proposition 4.4, we need only show  $d_{GH}^i(X, Y) \leq d_{GH}(X, Y)$ .

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  with  $d_{GH}(f, g) < d_{GH}(X, Y) + \varepsilon$ .

Cover  $X$  and  $Y$  by open balls of radius  $\varepsilon$ . By Proposition 4.5, the bijection  $\tilde{f}$  from Theorem 3.1 satisfies  $\frac{1}{2} \text{dis } \tilde{f} \leq d_{GH}(f, g) + \varepsilon$ . By Corollary 4.3,  $d_{GH}^i(X, Y) \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{dis } \tilde{f} < d_{GH}(X, Y) + \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, the result follows. □

## 5 Approximation of $\delta$ -Surjective Mappings

A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\delta$ -surjective if  $d_H(f(X), Y) < \delta$ . We say  $f$  maps  $X$   $\delta$ -surjectively onto  $L \subset Y$  if  $d_H(f(X), L) < \delta$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** For metric spaces  $X, Y$  and mappings  $f : X \rightarrow Y, g : Y \rightarrow X$ ,

$$d_H(f(X), Y) \leq d_{(f,g)}^+.$$

Thus, if  $d_{(f,g)}^+ < \delta$ , then  $f$  is  $\delta$ -surjective.

*Proof.* For any  $y \in Y$ , set  $x = g(y)$ . Then (4) gives

$$|f(x)y| \leq |f(g(y))y| = |f(g(y))y| - |g(y)g(y)| \leq d_{(f,g)}^+.$$

Hence  $d_H(f(X), Y) \leq d_{(f,g)}^+$ . □



**Proposition 5.2.** *For a  $\delta$ -surjective mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between metric spaces, there exists  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  such that*

$$d_g^+ \leq d_f^- + 2\delta, \quad d_g^- \leq d_f^+ + 2\delta, \quad d_{(f,g)}^+ \leq d_f^+ + \delta, \quad d_{(f,g)}^- \leq d_f^- + \delta.$$

Consequently,

$$d_{GH}(X, Y) \leq d_{GH}(f, g) \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{dis } f + \delta.$$

*Proof.* Define  $g$  on  $f(X)$  by  $g(f(x)) = x$ . For  $y \notin f(X)$ , choose  $y' \in f(X)$  with  $|yy'| < \delta$  and set  $g(y) = g(y')$ .

Then

$$|g(y_1)g(y_2)| = |g(y'_1)g(y'_2)| = |x'_1x'_2| \leq |f(x'_1)f(x'_2)| + d_f^- = |y'_1y'_2| + d_f^- < |y_1y_2| + 2\delta + d_f^-,$$

and similarly

$$|g(y_1)g(y_2)| = |x'_1x'_2| \geq |f(x'_1)f(x'_2)| - d_f^+ > |y_1y_2| - 2\delta - d_f^+.$$

Also,

$$|f(x)y| < |f(x)y'| + \delta = |f(x)f(x')| + \delta \leq |xx'| + d_f^+ + \delta = |xg(y')| + d_f^+ + \delta,$$

and analogously

$$|f(x)y| > |f(x)y'| - \delta = |f(x)f(x')| - \delta \geq |xx'| - d_f^- - \delta = |xg(y')| - d_f^- - \delta.$$

□

**Proposition 5.3.** *If  $d_{GH}(X, Y) < d$ , then there exists a  $d$ -surjective  $(2d)$ -isometry  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ .*

*Proof.* There exist  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  with  $d_{GH}(f, g) < d$ . By Proposition 5.1,  $f$  is  $d$ -surjective. Since  $\frac{1}{2} \text{dis } f \leq d_{GH}(f, g) < d$ ,  $f$  is a  $(2d)$ -isometry. □

From Propositions 5.2 and 5.3 we obtain:

**Corollary 5.4.** *For metric spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $d_{GH}(X, Y) < \infty$ ;
- (2) there exist finite  $d, \delta$  and a  $\delta$ -surjective  $d$ -isometry  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ ;
- (3) there exist finite  $d', \delta'$  and a  $\delta'$ -surjective  $d'$ -isometry  $g: Y \rightarrow X$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be cardinality homogeneous metric spaces of the same cardinality. If there exists a  $\delta$ -surjective  $d$ -isometry  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , then there exists a bijective  $(d + 2\delta)$ -isometry  $\hat{f}: X \rightarrow Y$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.2, there exists  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  with  $d_{GH}(X, Y) \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{dis } f + \delta < \frac{1}{2}d + \delta$ . Theorem 4.6 yields a bijection  $\hat{f}: X \rightarrow Y$  with  $d_{GH}(X, Y) \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{dis } \hat{f} < \frac{1}{2}d + \delta$ . Thus  $\text{dis } \hat{f} < d + 2\delta$ . □

**Proposition 5.6.** *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  maps  $X$   $\delta$ -surjectively onto a subset  $L \subset Y$  with  $\delta > 0$ , then there exists  $\hat{f}: X \rightarrow L$  with  $|\hat{f}(x)f(x)| < \delta$  for all  $x \in X$ . Hence*

$$d_H(\hat{f}(X), L) \leq 2\delta, \quad d_{\hat{f}}^+ \leq d_f^+ + 2\delta, \quad d_{\hat{f}}^- \leq d_f^- + 2\delta,$$

so  $\hat{f}$  is  $(2\delta)$ -surjective onto  $L$ .

*Proof.* For each  $x \in X$ , choose  $\hat{f}(x) \in L$  with  $|\hat{f}(x)f(x)| < \delta$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.7.** *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $d$ -isometry that maps  $X$   $\delta$ -surjectively onto  $L \subset Y$  ( $\delta, d > 0$ ), then there exists a  $(2\delta)$ -surjective  $(d + 2\delta)$ -isometry  $\hat{f}: X \rightarrow L$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.6, there exists  $\hat{f}: X \rightarrow L$  that is  $(2\delta)$ -surjective onto  $L$  and satisfies  $|\hat{f}(x)f(x)| < \delta$  for all  $x \in X$ . Thus  $\hat{f}$  is a  $(d + 2\delta)$ -isometry.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.8.** *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $d$ -isometry mapping  $X$   $\delta$ -surjectively onto  $L \subset Y$  ( $\delta, d > 0$ ) and both  $X$  and  $L$  are cardinality homogeneous with  $|X| = |L|$ , then there exists a bijective  $(d + 6\delta)$ -isometry  $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow L$ .*

*Proof.* Proposition 5.7 yields a  $(2\delta)$ -surjective  $(d + 2\delta)$ -isometry  $\hat{f}: X \rightarrow L$ . Theorem 5.5 then gives a bijective  $(d + 6\delta)$ -isometry  $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow L$ .  $\square$

## 6 Application to Banach Spaces

**Proposition 6.1.** *Every topological vector space is cardinality homogeneous.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a nonempty open subset of a topological vector space  $X$  and let  $x \in U$ . Then  $U$  and  $V = U - x = \{y - x : y \in U\}$  are equicardinal. Since  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nV$ ,  $V$  and  $X$  are equicardinal.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.2.** *If there exists a  $\delta$ -surjective  $d$ -isometry between Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  (for finite  $\delta$  and  $d$ ), then  $|X| = |Y|$ .*

*Proof.* We show  $d(X) = d(Y)$ . Let  $Z \subset X$  be dense with  $|Z| = d(X)$ . The restriction  $\tilde{f} = f|_Z: Z \rightarrow Y$  is  $\varepsilon$ -surjective with  $\varepsilon = d + \delta$ , so  $d_H(f(Z), Y) < \varepsilon$  and  $f(Z)$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -net in  $Y$ . Then  $\frac{1}{n}f(Z)$  is a  $\frac{\varepsilon}{n}$ -net, and  $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}f(Z)$  is dense in  $Y$  with  $|S| \leq |Z|$ .

By Corollary 5.4, there exists a  $\delta'$ -surjective  $d'$ -isometry  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  (for finite  $d'$  and  $\delta'$ ). Symmetrically,  $d(X) \leq d(Y)$ . Thus  $d(X) = d(Y)$ . By Toruńczyk's theorem [17],  $X$  and  $Y$  are homeomorphic, so  $|X| = |Y|$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.3.** In [6, Theorem 1], any  $\delta$ -surjective mapping  $f: V \rightarrow W$  between Banach spaces  $V, W$  without low-cardinality dense subsets can be transformed into a surjection  $F: V \rightarrow W$  with  $\|f - F\| \leq 7\delta$ . The proof of Proposition 2 in [5] similarly constructs a surjective  $F$  with  $\|f - F\| \leq 2d + \delta$ . This reduces the approximation of  $\delta$ -surjective  $d$ -isometries to that of  $(2d + \delta)$ - or  $(d + 14\delta)$ -isometric surjections.

Below, we construct, from a  $d$ -isometric  $\delta$ -surjective  $f: V \rightarrow W$ , a mapping  $g: W \rightarrow V$  such that Theorem 3.1 applies to the pair  $(f, g)$ . This yields a simpler derivation of the Dilworth–Tabor theorem.

**Proposition 6.4.** *If there exists a  $\delta$ -surjective  $d$ -isometry  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , then there exists a bijective  $(d + 2\delta)$ -isometry  $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow Y$ .*

*Proof.* Proposition 6.1 implies that  $X$  and  $Y$  are cardinality homogeneous, and Proposition 6.2 gives  $|X| = |Y|$ . The result follows from Theorem 5.5.  $\square$

Combining Proposition 6.4 with the theorem of M. Omladič and P. Šemrl [4] yields:

**Corollary 6.5** (Tabor [6]). *If there exists a  $\delta$ -surjective  $d$ -isometry  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between Banach spaces, then there exists a bijective affine isometry  $U: X \rightarrow Y$  with  $\|f - U\| \leq 2d + 4\delta$ .*

S. J. Dilworth proved his result for mappings whose image is close to a closed subspace rather than the whole space. The same technique applies here.

**Proposition 6.6.** *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $d$ -isometry between Banach spaces that maps  $X$   $\delta$ -surjectively onto a closed linear subspace  $L \subset Y$ , then there exists a bijective  $(d + 6\delta)$ -isometry  $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow L$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 6.1,  $X$  and  $L$  are cardinality homogeneous. Proposition 5.7 gives a  $(2\delta)$ -surjective  $(d + 2\delta)$ -isometry  $\hat{f}: X \rightarrow L$ . Proposition 6.2 yields  $|X| = |L|$ . Apply Theorem 5.5.  $\square$

Combining Proposition 6.6 with [4] yields:

**Corollary 6.7** (Dilworth [5]). *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $d$ -isometry between Banach spaces mapping  $X$   $\delta$ -surjectively onto a closed linear subspace  $L \subset Y$ , then there exists a bijective affine isometry  $U: X \rightarrow L$  with  $\|f - U\| \leq 2d + 12\delta$ .*

**Remark 6.8.** The theorem of Šemrl–Väisälä [7, Theorem 3.2] improves the bound in Corollary 6.7 to  $\|f - U\| \leq 2d + 2\delta$ , which is sharp. Combining Corollaries 5.4 and 6.5 shows that two Banach spaces are isometric if and only if their Gromov–Hausdorff distance is finite [18, Theorem 0.3].

## References

- [1] S. Mazur and S. Ulam, *Sur les transformations isométriques d'espaces vectoriels normés*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 194 (1932), 946–948.
- [2] D. H. Hyers and S. M. Ulam, *On approximate isometries*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1945), 288–292.
- [3] J. Gevirtz, *Stability of isometries on Banach spaces*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 89 (1983), 633–636.
- [4] M. Omladič and P. Šemrl, *On non linear perturbations of isometries*, Mathematische Annalen 303 (1995), 617–628.
- [5] S. J. Dilworth, *Approximate isometries on finite-dimensional normed spaces*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 31, N 4 (1999) 471–476.
- [6] J. Tabor, *Stability of Surjectivity*, Journal of Approximation Theory 105 (2000), 166–175. doi:10.1006/jath.2000.3452.
- [7] P. Šemrl and J. Väisälä, *Nonsurjective nearisometries of Banach spaces*, Journal of Functional Analysis 198 (2003), 268–278.
- [8] D. Edwards, *The Structure of Superspace*, Studies in Topology, Ed. by N.M. Stavrakas and K.R. Allen, N.Y.; L.; San Francisco: Academic Press, Inc., 1975.
- [9] M. Gromov, *Structures métriques pour les variétés riemanniennes*, Ed. by Lafontaine and Pierre Pansu. Paris, 1981. 1–120.

- [10] M. Gromov, *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*, Basel; Boston; Berlin: Birkhäuser, 1999.
- [11] D. Burago, Yu. Burago, and S. Ivanov, *A Course in Metric Geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 33, AMS, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [12] F. Mémoli and G. Sapiro, *A theoretical and computational framework for isometry invariant recognition of point cloud data*, Foundations of Computational Mathematics, 5(3), 313–347, 2005.
- [13] I. A. Vestfrid, *Hyers-Ulam stability of isometries and non-expansive maps between spaces of continuous functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 145, N 6 (2017), 2481–2494.
- [14] F. Mémoli, *Some properties of Gromov-Hausdorff distances*, Discrete Comput Geom 48(2) (2012) 416–440.
- [15] S. Lim, F. Mémoli, and Z. Smith, *The Gromov-Hausdorff distance between spheres*, Geometry & Topology, 2023, 27, N 9, pp. 3733–3800.
- [16] S. A. Bogatyĭ and A. A. Tuzhilin, *Fundamentals of Theory of Continuous Gromov-Hausdorff distance*, 2025, ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:2512.02611 [math.MG].
- [17] H. Toruńczyk, *Characterizing Hilbert space topology*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 111(3), 247–262, 1981.
- [18] S. A. Bogatyĭ, A. A. Tuzhilin, *Mazur-Ulam theorem with Gromov-Hausdorff distance*, Buletinul Academiei de Științe a Republicii Moldova, in preparation.