

TRUNCATION STRUCTURES

LOU VAN DEN DRIES

ABSTRACT. We characterize intrinsically the truncation structures on valued fields arising from embeddings into Hahn fields with truncation closed image.

1. INTRODUCTION

Truncation of series in Hahn fields is surprisingly robust under various operations, as was first noticed and exploited by Mourgues and Ressayre [6] for certain kinds of Hahn fields; see also [3] and [4] for general Hahn fields. An isomorphism of a valued field F onto a truncation closed subfield of a Hahn field induces a truncation structure on F . Our goal is to give an intrinsic characterization of such truncation structures. This is done by (T1)–(T8) below and Theorem 1.1. Our characterization is of a first-order nature, except for one part, (T5), that mentions well-orderings.

Below we use notations and terminology from [3]. See also [3, Section 8] for further background on truncation and connections to o-minimality and to Conway’s field **No** of surreal numbers.

As to other work on “axiomatizing” truncation, [2, Section 3.2] contains partial results in this direction. One reviewer alerted me to [5], which also gives an intrinsic characterization of truncation closed embeddability. It is more complicated than the present treatment. In particular, “T5 is a more sensible explanation of the non-first-order-aspect” to quote another referee, who also remarks that most of [5] easily translates into the setting of the present paper.

Let F be a field equipped with a (Krull) valuation $v : F \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ (so Γ is an ordered abelian group¹, additively written) and with a lift C of the residue field, that is, C is a subfield of F , $C \subseteq \mathcal{O} := \{f \in F : v f \geq 0\}$, the valuation ring of F , and C is mapped bijectively onto the residue field of \mathcal{O} by the residue map. Let α, β, γ range over elements of Γ .

A “universal” object of this kind is the Hahn field $C((t^\Gamma))$. We represent an element f of $C((t^\Gamma))$ as a formal sum $\sum_\gamma c_\gamma t^\gamma$, with coefficients $c_\gamma \in C$. The *support* of such f is the wellordered subset $\{\gamma : c_\gamma \neq 0\}$ of Γ , and we set $v_t(f) := \min \text{supp } f$ if $f \neq 0$, and $v_t(0) := \infty$, which gives us the Hahn valuation $v_t : C((t^\Gamma)) \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$. Now $C((t))$ has in addition a truncation operation: the α -truncation of such f is the element $f|_\alpha := \sum_{\gamma < \alpha} c_\gamma t^\gamma$ of $C((t^\Gamma))$. Call a subset S of $C((t^\Gamma))$ *truncation closed* if $f|_\alpha \in S$ for all $f \in S$ and all α .

The field F comes equipped with the valuation $v : F \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ and the subfield C . Accordingly, a map $e : F \rightarrow C((t^\Gamma))$ is said to be an *embedding* if e is a field embedding that is the identity on C such that $v(f) = v_t(e(f))$ for all $f \in F$ (so e

Date: October 2025. Funding information to disclose: None.

¹Our convention here is that the (translation invariant) ordering of Γ is total.

is in particular a valued field embedding). We are interested in the case where such an embedding has truncation closed image.

Let $e : F \rightarrow C((t^\Gamma))$ be an embedding with truncation closed image. Then e induces what we call a *truncation operation*

$$(f, \alpha) \mapsto f|_\alpha : F \times \Gamma \rightarrow F$$

on F by the requirement $e(f|_\alpha) = e(f)|_\alpha$ for all $f \in F$ and all α . This operation has the following eight properties. First, for all $f, g \in F$, $c \in C$, and α, β ,

- (T1) $v(f - (f|_\alpha)) \geq \alpha$;
- (T2) $v(f) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow f|_\alpha = 0$;
- (T3) $\beta > \alpha \Rightarrow (f|_\alpha)|_\beta = f|_\alpha$;
- (T4) $(f + g)|_\alpha = (f|_\alpha) + (g|_\alpha)$ and $(cf)|_\alpha = c \cdot (f|_\alpha)$.

The next two properties involve the sets

$$\text{sp}(f) := \{\gamma : v(f - (f|_\gamma)) = \gamma\}, \quad (f \in F).$$

For $f \in C((t^\Gamma))$ we have $\text{supp } f = \{\gamma : v_t(f - f|_\gamma) = \gamma\}$, so for all $f, g \in F$ and γ :

- (T5) $\text{sp}(f)$ is wellordered;
- (T6) $\text{sp}(f) + \text{sp}(g) < \gamma \Rightarrow \text{sp}(fg) < \gamma$.

Moreover, there is for each γ a unique element τ^γ of F^\times with $e(\tau^\gamma) = t^\gamma$, and the resulting map $\gamma \mapsto \tau^\gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow F^\times$ has the following properties: for all α, β, γ ,

- (T7) $\tau^{\alpha+\beta} = \tau^\alpha \tau^\beta$;
- (T8) $\text{sp}(\tau^\gamma) = \{\gamma\}$.

Except for (T5), these properties are logically of first-order nature in terms of F with this truncation operation $\Gamma \times F \rightarrow F$ and the map $\gamma \mapsto \tau^\gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow F^\times$.

Define a *truncation structure* on F to be a map $(f, \alpha) \mapsto f|_\alpha : F \times \Gamma \rightarrow F$ together with a map $\gamma \mapsto \tau^\gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow F^\times$ such that (T1)–(T8) are satisfied. We just saw that any embedding $F \rightarrow C((t^\Gamma))$ with truncation closed image induces a truncation structure on F . Our aim is to reverse this:

Theorem 1.1. *Any truncation structure on F as above is induced by a unique embedding $F \rightarrow C((t^\Gamma))$ sending each τ^γ to t^γ , with truncation closed image.*

In the next section we prove some lemmas in the more general setting of valued vector spaces over C . In the last section we prove the theorem above.

I thank the referees for their comments.

2. TRUNCATION IN HAHN SPACES

In this section C is a field and E a vector space over C . A *valuation* on E is a surjective map $v : E \rightarrow \Gamma_\infty$ such that for all $f, g \in E$ and $c \in C^\times$ we have $v(f) = \infty \Leftrightarrow f = 0$, $v(cf) = v(f)$, and $v(f + g) \geq \min(vf, vg)$; here and in the rest of this section Γ is a linearly ordered set, and $\Gamma_\infty := \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ where $\infty \notin \Gamma$, and the linear ordering of Γ is extended to a linear ordering of Γ_∞ by requiring that $\gamma < \infty$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We let f, g range over E and α, β, γ over Γ .

Let now $E = (E, \Gamma, v)$ be a *valued* vector space, that is, the vector space E over C comes equipped with a valuation $v : E \rightarrow \Gamma_\infty$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} f \preceq g &: \Leftrightarrow vf \geq vg, & f \succ g &: \Leftrightarrow vf = vg, \\ f \prec g &: \Leftrightarrow vf > vg, & f \sim g &: \Leftrightarrow f - g \prec f. \end{aligned}$$

so \asymp and \sim are equivalence relations on E and $E \setminus \{0\}$, respectively, and if $f \sim g$, then $f \asymp g$. From [1, 2.3] we recall that E is said to be a *Hahn space* if for all $f, g \neq 0$ with $f \asymp g$ there is a $c \in C^\times$ such that $f \sim cg$.

The Hahn space $C[[t^\Gamma]]$ will play a special role: except for the lack of a product operation it is defined just as the Hahn field $C((t^\Gamma))$; in particular, the wellordered subset $\text{supp } f$ of Γ and $v_t(f) \in \Gamma_\infty$ for $f \in C[[t^\Gamma]]$ are defined in the same way.

Let a map $(f, \gamma) \mapsto f|_\gamma : E \times \Gamma \rightarrow E$ be given satisfying the analogues of (T1)–(T4), that is, for all f, g , all $c \in C$, and all α, β :

- (t1) $v(f - (f|_\alpha)) \geq \alpha$;
- (t2) $v(f) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow f|_\alpha = 0$;
- (t3) $\beta > \alpha \Rightarrow (f|_\alpha)|_\beta = f|_\alpha$;
- (t4) $(f + g)|_\alpha = (f|_\alpha) + (g|_\alpha)$ and $(cf)|_\alpha = c(f|_\alpha)$;

The obvious truncation map on the Hahn space $E = C[[t^\Gamma]]$ over C satisfies (1)–(4).

What conditions on the map $(f, \gamma) \mapsto f|_\gamma$ yield the existence of an embedding $e : E \rightarrow C[[t^\Gamma]]$ of vector spaces over C such that $v_t(e(f)) = v(f)$ and $e(f|_\gamma) = e(f)|_\gamma$ for all f and γ ? An obviously necessary condition is that E is a Hahn space.

We set $\text{sp}(f) := \{\gamma : v(f - (f|_\gamma)) = \gamma\}$. Note that for the truncation map on the Hahn space $E = C[[t^\Gamma]]$ we have $\text{sp}(f) = \text{supp}(f)$. Thus another necessary condition for the existence of an embedding $E \rightarrow C[[t^\Gamma]]$ as above is that $\text{sp}(f)$ is wellordered for all f . Proposition 2.4 below says that these two necessary conditions are together also sufficient.

Lemma 2.1. *For all $f, g, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$:*

- (i) *if $f|_\gamma \neq 0$, then $f \sim f|_\gamma$;*
- (ii) *if $\beta \leq \alpha$, then $(f|_\alpha)|_\beta = f|_\beta$;*
- (iii) *if $v f = \alpha$, then $\alpha = \min \text{sp}(f)$; in particular, if $f \neq 0$, then $\text{sp}(f) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. (i) is clear from (t1) and (t2). Suppose $\beta \leq \alpha$. Then $v(f - f|_\alpha) \geq \alpha \geq \beta$, so by (t2) we have $(f - f|_\alpha)|_\beta = 0$, that is, $(f|_\alpha)|_\beta = f|_\beta$. As to (iii), suppose $v(f) = \alpha$. Then $\text{sp}(f) \geq \alpha$ by (i), and $f|_\alpha = 0$ by (t2), so $\alpha \in \text{sp}(f)$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *The sets $\text{sp}(f)$ have the following properties:*

- (i) $\text{sp}(f|_\alpha) = \text{sp}(f)^{<\alpha}$;
- (ii) $\text{sp}(f + g) \subseteq \text{sp}(f) \cup \text{sp}(g)$, and $\text{sp}(cf) = \text{sp}(f)$ for $c \in C^\times$;
- (iii) $\text{sp}(f - f|_\alpha) = \text{sp}(f)^{\geq \alpha}$;
- (iv) *if $v(f - f|_\alpha) = \beta$, then $\beta \in \text{sp}(f)$;*
- (v) *if $\alpha > \text{sp}(f)$, then $f|_\alpha = f$, and otherwise $f|_\alpha = f|_\beta$ for a unique $\beta \in \text{sp}(f)$ (and $\alpha \leq \beta$ for this β).*

Proof. If $\beta \geq \alpha$, then $(f|_\alpha)|_\beta = f|_\alpha$ by (t3) and Lemma 2.1(ii), so $\beta \notin \text{sp}(f|_\alpha)$. Next, let $\beta \in \text{sp}(f|_\alpha)$. Then $\beta < \alpha$, so $(f|_\alpha)|_\beta = f|_\beta$ by Lemma 2.1(ii), hence $v(f|_\alpha - f|_\beta) = \beta$, which together with $v(f - (f|_\alpha)) \geq \alpha$ yields $v(f - f|_\beta) = \beta$, so $\beta \in \text{sp}(f)$. Reversing this argument yields $\text{sp}(f)^{<\alpha} \subseteq \text{sp}(f|_\alpha)$.

As to (ii), note that if $v(f - (f|_\alpha)) > \alpha$ and $v(g - (g|_\alpha)) > \alpha$, then

$$v((f + g) - (f + g)|_\alpha) > \alpha.$$

As to (iii), $\text{sp}(f - f|_\alpha) \geq \alpha$ by (t1) and Lemma 2.1(iii), hence $\text{sp}(f - f|_\alpha) \subseteq \text{sp}(f)^{\geq \alpha}$ by (i), (ii), (iii). It remains to note that $\text{sp}(f) \subseteq \text{sp}(f|_\alpha) \cup \text{sp}(f - f|_\alpha)$ by (ii). For (iv), assume $v(f - f|_\alpha) = \beta$. Now $v(f - f|_\beta) \geq \beta$, hence $v(f|_\alpha - f|_\beta) \geq \beta$, and so

$(f|_\alpha - f|_\beta)|_\beta = 0$ by (t2), that is, $f|_\alpha - f|_\beta = 0$ by (t3), (t4), and Lemma 2.1(ii), and thus $v(f - f|_\beta) = \beta$, in other words, $\beta \in \text{sp}(f)$. As to (v), from (iii) we obtain: $\alpha > \text{sp}(f) \Leftrightarrow f|_\alpha = f$. Suppose $f|_\alpha \neq f$. Set $\beta := v(f - f|_\alpha)$. Then $\beta \in \text{sp}(f)$ by (iv), whose proof also gives $f|_\alpha = f|_\beta$. Uniqueness is clear. \square

Set $P = \{f : \text{sp}(f) \text{ is a singleton}\}$. Then $C^\times P \subseteq P$, and if $f \in P$, then $f|_\alpha = f$ for $\alpha > vf$ by Lemma 2.2(iii), and $f|_\alpha = 0$ for $\alpha \leq vf$ by (t2).

Lemma 2.3. *The set P has the following properties:*

- (i) *if $\text{sp}(f) = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ with $\gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_n$, then there are $f_i \in P$ with $vf_i = \gamma_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that $f = f_1 + \dots + f_n$;*
- (ii) *$\text{sp}(f)$ is finite iff $f \in \sum P := \{f_1 + \dots + f_n : f_1, \dots, f_n \in P\}$;*
- (iii) *if $f, g \in P \cup \{0\}$ and $f \prec g$, then $f + g \in P \cup \{0\}$;*
- (iv) *if E is a Hahn space and $f, g \in P$, $f \prec g$, then $f = cg$ for some $c \in C^\times$.*

Proof. Item (i) is obviously true for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$. Let $n > 1$ with $\text{sp}(f)$ as in the hypothesis of (i). Then $\text{sp}(f|_{\gamma_2}) = \{\gamma_1\}$ by Lemma 2.2(i). We set $f_1 := f|_{\gamma_2}$. Then $f = f_1 + f^*$ with $\text{sp}(f^*) = \{\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ by Lemma 2.2(iii). Assuming inductively that $f^* = f_2 + \dots + f_n$ with $f_i \in P$ and $vf_i = \gamma_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$, we obtain the desired result for f .

Item (ii) follows from (i) using Lemma 2.2(ii). Lemma 2.2 also yields (iii).

Suppose E is a Hahn space and $f, g \in P$, $v(f) = v(g) = \alpha$. Take $c \in C^\times$ such that $f \sim cg$. Then $v(f - cg) > \alpha$, so $\text{sp}(f - cg) > \alpha$, but also $\text{sp}(f - cg) \subseteq \{\alpha\}$, so $\text{sp}(f - cg) = \emptyset$, and thus $f = cg$. \square

Suppose now that $\text{sp}(f)$ is wellordered for all f . Then there is for each α an $f \in P$ with $vf = \alpha$. (Proof: Take any f with $vf = \alpha$, and suppose $f \notin P$. Then we have $\beta > \alpha$ such that $(\alpha, \beta) \cap \text{sp}(f) = \emptyset$, and so $f|_\beta \in P$ and $v(f|_\beta) = \alpha$.)

The *leading term* $\mathfrak{d}(f) \in P \cup \{0\}$ of f is defined as follows: $\mathfrak{d}(f) := 0$ if $f = 0$; $\mathfrak{d}(f) := f$ if $f \in P$. Let $f \notin P$, $vf = \alpha$. Then $\mathfrak{d}(f) := f|_\beta \in P$ if $\beta > \alpha$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \cap \text{sp}(f) = \emptyset$; this is unambiguous: if $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$ and $(\alpha, \gamma) \cap \text{sp}(f) = \emptyset$, then $f|_\beta - f|_\gamma = (f - f|_\gamma)|_\beta$ and $v(f - f|_\gamma) \geq \beta$, so $f|_\beta = f|_\gamma$ by (t2).

Note that for $f \neq 0$ we have $f \sim \mathfrak{d}(f)$ by Lemma 2.1(i).

We define the γ -term $f\gamma \in P \cup \{0\}$ of f as follows: if $\gamma \notin \text{sp}(f)$, then $f\gamma := 0$, and if $\gamma \in \text{sp}(f)$, then $f\gamma := \mathfrak{d}(f - f|_\gamma)$. Some easily established facts:

- if $\gamma \in \text{sp}(f)$, then $v(f\gamma) = \gamma$;
- $v(f - f|_\gamma - f\gamma) > \gamma$;
- if $v(f - f|_\gamma - g) > \gamma$, $\gamma \in \text{sp}(f)$, and $g \in P \cup \{0\}$, then $g = f\gamma$;
- $(f|_\alpha)\gamma = f\gamma$ if $\gamma < \alpha$ and $(f|_\alpha)\gamma = 0$ if $\gamma \geq \alpha$;
- $(f + g)\gamma = f\gamma + g\gamma$, and $(cf)\gamma = c \cdot f\gamma$;
- the (additive) map $f \mapsto (f\gamma) : E \rightarrow E^\Gamma$ is injective.

For the crucial fifth item, use the first three items and Lemma 2.3(iii). The last item follows from the first and the fifth.

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose E is a Hahn space and $\text{sp}(f)$ is wellordered for all f . Then there exists an embedding $e : E \rightarrow C[[t^\Gamma]]$ of vector spaces over C such that $v_t(e(f)) = v(f)$ and $e(f|_\alpha) = e(f)|_\alpha$ for all f and α .*

Proof. For each γ we take an element $p_\gamma \in P$ with $v(p_\gamma) = \gamma$. Then $P \cup \{0\}$ is the set of all products cp_γ with $c \in C$, by Lemma 2.3(iv). We define a bijection

$e_P : P \cup \{0\} \rightarrow Ct^\Gamma$ by $e_P(cp_\gamma) = ct^\gamma$ for $c \in C$. Then $e_P(cf) = ce_P(f)$ and $e_P(f+g) = e_P(f) + e_P(g)$ for $f, g \in Cp_\gamma$, $c \in C$. We extend e_P to a map

$$e : E \rightarrow C[[t^\Gamma]], \quad e(f) := \sum_{\gamma} e_P(f\gamma).$$

The last three facts about γ -terms from the list above show that e is an embedding of valued vector spaces over C with $e(f|_\alpha) = e(f)|_\alpha$ for all f and α . \square

Let E and e be as in the proposition. Then $\text{sp}(f) = \text{supp } e(f)$, and e maps $P \cup \{0\}$ bijectively onto Ct^Γ . Transfinite induction on the ordinals of the wellordered sets $\text{sp}(f)$ shows that e is uniquely determined by its restriction to P .

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let the field F with the valuation $v : F \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ and the lift C of the residue field be as in the Introduction. We assume given a map

$$(f, \alpha) \mapsto f|_\alpha : F \times \Gamma \rightarrow F$$

satisfying (T1)–(T5) for now. (Later we add (T6)–(T8).) Throughout f and g range over F and α, β, γ over Γ . The previous section yields the set $P \subseteq F$, and for all f and γ the leading term $\mathfrak{d}(f)$ of f and the γ -term $f\gamma$ of f .

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that for all $f \in P$ and all g, γ with $\text{sp}(g) < \gamma$ we have $\text{sp}(fg) < vf + \gamma$. Then:*

- (i) P is a subgroup of F^\times ;
- (ii) for all $f \in P$ and all g we have $\text{sp}(fg) = vf + \text{sp}(g)$.

Proof. For (i), let $f, g \in P$, set $vf = \alpha$, $vg = \beta$. Then $v(fg) = \alpha + \beta = \min \text{sp}(fg)$. Also $\text{sp}(fg) < \alpha + \gamma$ for all $\gamma > \beta$, so $\text{sp}(fg) = \{\alpha + \beta\}$, and thus $fg \in P$. This proves $PP \subseteq P$. Also $f = f\mathfrak{d}1 + fh$ with $h \prec 1$ and $f \prec f\mathfrak{d}1 \in P$, hence $h = 0$, so $1 = \mathfrak{d}1 \in P$. To finish the proof of (i) we show $f^{-1} \in P$. We have $f^{-1} = \mathfrak{d}(f^{-1}) + h$ with $h \prec f^{-1}$, so $1 = f\mathfrak{d}(f^{-1}) + fh$ with $1 \in P$, $f\mathfrak{d}(f^{-1}) \in P$, $1 \prec f\mathfrak{d}(f^{-1})$, and $fh \prec 1$, hence $fh = 0$ and thus $f^{-1} = \mathfrak{d}(f^{-1}) \in P$.

Next, let $f \in P$ and let μ be the ordinal that corresponds to the wellordered set $\text{sp}(g)$. We prove (ii) by transfinite induction on μ . If $\mu = 0$, then $g = 0$, and (ii) holds trivially. Next, let $\mu \geq 1$ and $\beta \in \text{sp}(g)$. Then $g = g|_\beta + g\beta + h$ with $vh > \beta$, so $fg = f \cdot (g|_\beta) + f \cdot g\beta + fh$. Using an inductive assumption for the first equality:

$$\text{sp}(f \cdot (g|_\beta)) = \alpha + \text{sp}(g)^{<\beta}, \quad \text{sp}(f \cdot g\beta) = \alpha + \beta, \quad \text{sp}(fh) > \alpha + \beta.$$

It follows that $\text{sp}(fg)^{<\alpha+\beta} = \text{sp}(f \cdot (g|_\beta)) = \alpha + \text{sp}(g)^{<\beta}$ and

$$\text{sp}(fg)^{=(\alpha+\beta)} = \text{sp}(f \cdot g\beta) = \alpha + \beta, \quad \text{sp}(fg)^{>\alpha+\beta} = \text{sp}(fh) > \alpha + \beta.$$

Hence $\text{sp}(fg)^{\leq \alpha+\beta} = \alpha + \text{sp}(g)^{\leq \beta}$, and so $\text{sp}(fg) \supseteq \alpha + \text{sp}(g)$, by varying β . If μ is a successor ordinal, then for $\beta = \max \text{sp } g$ we have $h = 0$, so $\text{sp}(fg) = \alpha + \text{sp}(g)$, and (ii) holds.

Now assume μ is a limit ordinal. For all $\gamma > \text{sp}(g)$ we have $\text{sp}(fg) < \alpha + \gamma$. Let $\delta \in \text{sp}(fg)$; then $\delta < \alpha + \gamma$ for all $\gamma > \text{sp}(g)$, hence $\delta \leq \alpha + \beta$ for some $\beta \in \text{sp}(g)$ (otherwise, $\gamma := \delta - \alpha > \text{sp}(g)$, a contradiction). Thus $\text{sp}(fg) \subseteq \alpha + \text{sp}(g)$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Assume (T6): for all f, g, γ , if $\text{sp}(f) + \text{sp}(g) < \gamma$, then $\text{sp}(fg) < \gamma$. Then for all f, g , $\text{sp}(fg) \subseteq \text{sp}(f) + \text{sp}(g)$.*

Proof. It follows from (T6) that the hypothesis of Lemma 3.1 is satisfied. Let λ and μ be the ordinals corresponding respectively to the wellordered sets $\text{sp}(f)$ and $\text{sp}(g)$. We proceed by induction on the lexicographically ordered pair (λ, μ) . By (ii) of Lemma 3.1 we have $\text{sp}(fg) = \text{sp}(f) + \text{sp}(g)$ for $\lambda \leq 1$ and also for $\mu \leq 1$. For λ a successor ordinal, $\alpha := \max \text{sp}(f)$ gives $fg = (f|_\alpha)g + (f\alpha)g$, and inductively, $\text{sp}((f|_\alpha)g) \subseteq \text{sp}(f|_\alpha) + \text{sp}(g)$, and by (ii) of Lemma 3.1, $\text{sp}((f\alpha)g) = \alpha + \text{sp}(g)$, so $\text{sp}(fg) \subseteq \text{sp}(f) + \text{sp}(g)$. The same follows if μ is a successor ordinal. Now assume λ and μ are limit ordinals > 0 , and $\gamma \in \text{sp}(fg)$. Then the hypothesis of the lemma yields $\alpha \in \text{sp}(f)$ and $\beta \in \text{sp}(g)$ such that $\gamma < \alpha + \beta$. Set $f_{\geq \alpha} := f - f|_\alpha$ and $g_{\geq \beta} := g - g|_\beta$. Then $fg = f \cdot g|_\beta + f|_\alpha \cdot g_{\geq \beta} + f_{\geq \alpha} \cdot g_{\geq \beta}$. Now inductively, $\text{sp}(f \cdot g|_\beta + f|_\alpha \cdot g_{\geq \beta}) \subseteq \text{sp}(f) + \text{sp}(g)$. Also $\text{sp}(f_{\geq \alpha} \cdot g_{\geq \beta}) \geq \alpha + \beta$. Hence $\gamma \in \text{sp}(f) + \text{sp}(g)$. \square

Note that for wellordered sets $A, B \subseteq \Gamma$ and any γ there are only finitely many pairs $(\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B$ such that $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume (T6). Then $(fg)\gamma = \sum (f\alpha)(g\beta)$ where the summation is over the finitely many $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{sp}(f) \times \text{sp}(g)$ with $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$.*

Proof. Take finite sets $A \subseteq \text{sp}(f)$ and $B \subseteq \text{sp}(g)$ such that $(\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B$ whenever $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{sp}(f) \times \text{sp}(g)$. Set $f^* := f - \sum_{\alpha \in A} f\alpha$ and $g^* := g - \sum_{\beta \in B} g\beta$. Then $\text{sp}(\sum_{\alpha \in A} f\alpha) = A$, $\text{sp}(\sum_{\beta \in B} g\beta) = B$, and

$$\begin{aligned} fg &= \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B} (f\alpha)(g\beta) + \left(\sum_{\alpha \in A} f\alpha \right) g^* + f^* \cdot g, \\ \text{sp}(f^*) &= \text{sp}(f) \setminus A, \quad \text{sp}(g^*) = \text{sp}(g) \setminus B. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.2 we obtain $\gamma \notin \text{sp}((\sum_{\alpha \in A} f\alpha)g^*)$ and $\gamma \notin \text{sp}(f^* \cdot g)$. Hence

$$(fg)\gamma = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B} ((f\alpha)(g\beta))\gamma = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B, \alpha + \beta = \gamma} (f\alpha)(g\beta). \quad \square$$

Assume (T6). Then P is a subgroup of F^\times by Lemma 3.1. The inclusion $C^\times \rightarrow P$ and the valuation restricted to P yield an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow C^\times \rightarrow P \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 0.$$

If F is algebraically closed, then P is divisible, so this sequence splits. Moreover, as we indicated in the introduction, any embedding $F \rightarrow C((t^\Gamma))$ with truncation closed image yields a splitting $\gamma \mapsto \tau^\gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow P$.

Let $\gamma \mapsto \tau^\gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow P$ be a splitting. Together with our map $(f, \alpha) \mapsto f|_\alpha$ this yields a truncation structure on F . Given f we have $f\gamma = c_\gamma \tau^\gamma$ with $c_\gamma \in C$. Now the proof of Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 3.3 show that the map

$$f \mapsto \sum_{\gamma} c_\gamma t^\gamma : F \rightarrow C((t^\Gamma))$$

is an embedding with truncation closed image that induces the given truncation structure on F . The remark following the proof of Proposition 2.4 also shows that this is the only such embedding that sends τ^γ to t^γ for all γ . This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REQUIRED DECLARATIONS

Ethical Approval: not applicable.

Funding: no funding received.

Availability of data and materials: not applicable.

REFERENCES

1. M. ASCHENBRENNER, L. VAN DEN DRIES, J. VAN DER HOEVEN, *Asymptotic Differential Algebra and Model theory of Transseries*, Annals of Math. Studies **195**, Princeton U. Press, 2017.
2. S. CAMACHO, *Truncation in Differential Hahn Fields*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2017.
3. L. VAN DEN DRIES, Truncation in Hahn fields, in: A. Campello et al. (eds.), *Valuation Theory in Interaction*, pp. 578–595, European Mathematical Society, 2014.
4. A. FORNASIERO, Embedding Henselian fields into power series, *Journal of Algebra* **304** (2006), 112–156.
5. A. FORNASIERO, F.-V. KUHLMANN, S. KUHLMANN, Towers of complements to valuation rings and truncation closed embeddings of valued fields, *Journal of Algebra* **323** (2010), 574–600.
6. M. H. MOURGUES AND J.P. RESSAYRE, Every real closed field has an integral part, *J. Symbolic Logic* **58** (1993), 641–647.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA, IL 61801, U.S.A.

Email address: vddries@illinois.edu