

VAN HAMEL-LICHTENBAUM DUALITY FOR SINGULAR VARIETIES OVER p -ADIC FIELDS

FELIPE RIVERA-MESAS

ABSTRACT. In this article, we extend the van Hamel-Lichtenbaum duality theorem to (not necessarily smooth) proper and geometrically integral varieties defined over a p -adic field k . More precisely, we prove that for such variety X there exists a natural continuous perfect pairing

$$\mathrm{Br}_1(X) \times H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})^\wedge_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

where $\mathrm{Br}_1(X) := \ker(\mathrm{Br}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(\overline{X}))$ is the algebraic Brauer group of X , $H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau$ is the zeroth group of truncated homology $\mathrm{Hom}_{D(k_{\mathrm{sm}})}(\tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$, ϕ is the structure morphism of X , and $(-)^\wedge$ is the profinite completion functor.

1 INTRODUCTION

In 1969, Lichtenbaum found an explicit description for the Pontryagin dual of the Brauer-Grothendieck group $\mathrm{Br}(X) := H_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ of a projective, geometrically connected and smooth curve X over a p -adic field k . More precisely, he defined a perfect pairing

$$(1.1) \quad \mathrm{Br}(X) \times \mathrm{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(k) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inv}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

where $\mathrm{inv} : \mathrm{Br}(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is the invariant map from local class field theory. Thus, he showed that the Pontryagin dual $\mathrm{Br}(X)^* := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Br}(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Pic}(X)^\wedge$ (see [L]). In fact, he actually constructed a general pairing

$$\mathrm{Br}(X) \times \mathrm{CH}_0(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(k)$$

for a proper and geometrically integral curve X defined over an arbitrary field k . When X is also smooth and k is a non-archimedean local field, this pairing becomes the pairing (1.1) because, in this case, $\mathrm{CH}_0(X) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and $\mathrm{Br}(k) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ via the invariant map. Indeed, when X is a locally factorial (e.g. regular, see [AB]) scheme, we have an isomorphism $\mathrm{CH}_0(X) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ (cf. [EGAIV, Corollaire 21.6.10]).

Now, we briefly recall the main ideas of Lichtenbaum's proof of the perfection of pairing (1.1). Let us recall that when k is a non-archimedean local field and X is a proper and geometrically integral k -variety, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{Pic}(X^s)^\Gamma}{\mathrm{Pic}(X)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}_1(X) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}) \rightarrow 0$$

where $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(k^s/k)$ is the Galois group of some separable closure k^s of k (cf. [CTsko, Proposition 5.4.2]). Moreover when X is a curve, we have that $\mathrm{Br}_1(X) = \mathrm{Br}(X)$ (cf. [CTsko, Theorem 5.6.1.iv]). On the other hand, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}^0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k} \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}_{X/k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Keywords: p -adic fields, Brauer groups, Galois cohomology, Cartier duality, 1-motives;

MSC codes (2020): 14G20 (Algebraic Geometry - Local ground fields), 14F22 (Brauer group of schemes), 11S25 (Galois Cohomology);

This work was partially supported by the Beca de Doctorado Nacional ANID folio 21210171, awarded in 2021.

These two exact sequences are the theoretical framework in which Lichtenbaum developed his duality results. In this context, the main issue is to determine the Pontryagin dual of $H^1(k, \text{Pic}_{X/k})$. In Lichtenbaum's setting, it is crucial that the Albanese variety $\text{Alb}_{X/k}^0$ is an abelian variety because he used Tate's local duality theorem for abelian varieties over local fields for constructing the pairing above. More precisely, he proved that $H^1(k, \text{Pic}_{X/k})$ and $H^0(k, \text{Alb}_{X/k}^0) \cong \text{CH}_0(X)^0$, the group of classes of 0-cycles of degree 0 modulo rational equivalence, are dual (the author uses the notation $\text{Pic}_0(X)$ to denote $\text{CH}_0(X)^0$). Thus, using the exact sequences above, he could prove that the pairing (6.1) is perfect and therefore $\text{Br}(X)$ and $\text{Pic}(X) \cong \text{CH}_0(X)$ are dual. Note that $\text{Pic}(X)$ appears in relation to the Albanese variety $\text{Alb}_{X/k}^0$ and not to the Picard scheme $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$.

In 2004, van Hamel generalized Lichtenbaum's duality theorem for smooth and proper varieties X over a p -adic field k of arbitrary dimension (see [vH, Theorem 1]). Note that van Hamel's result assumes smoothness on X . In his work, van Hamel redefined the pairing (1.1) as a particular case of a Yoneda pairing. Moreover, van Hamel introduced a new homology for varieties with which this Yoneda pairing becomes a pairing between this new homology and sheaf cohomology. When we stand in the case of curves (Lichtenbaum's situation), the group $\text{CH}_0(X)$ is realized from a homological group in degree 0 in this new homology. In general, this homological group is isomorphic to the k -points of the Albanese scheme $\text{Alb}_{X/k}$ (cf. [vH, Theorem 3.6]) defined by Ramachandran (see [Ra]). Thus, van Hamel proved that for a smooth and proper variety X over a p -adic field the Pontryagin dual of $\text{Br}(X)$ is isomorphic to the profinite completion of $\text{Alb}_{X/k}(k)$. Finally, it is important to mention that van Hamel worked with technical machinery (such as derived categories) for proving the perfection of the Yoneda pairing. In this way, he gives a more conceptual proof of Lichtenbaum's duality theorem.

In this article, we extend van Hamel's generalization of Lichtenbaum's duality to the general case of any (possibly singular) proper variety over a p -adic field. In order to do that, for a proper variety X over a p -adic field k with structure map $\phi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$, we define the groups of truncated homology $H_i(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau$ of X as the group of derived homomorphisms $R\text{Hom}_{D(k_{\text{sm}})}(\tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{G}_m[i])$. The main result is the following.

Theorem (Corollary 6.4.7). *We have a perfect continuous pairing*

$$H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau^\wedge \times \text{Br}_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, when X curve, this pairing gives a topological isomorphism

$$\text{Br}(X)^* \cong H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau^\wedge.$$

When X is a curve, this result extends the classical results of Lichtenbaum since, in this case, $\text{Br}_1(X)$ agrees with $\text{Br}(X)$. A key point to obtain this result is to properly topologize $H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau$. At that point, the presence of singularities on X causes some topological difficulties. For instance, when X is not normal, the Cartier dual of its Picard variety is not an algebraic group but a 1-motive (see §3.2). Another issue is that some cohomology groups that appear in this setting turn out to be non-Hausdorff (see §3.5). In our setting, this is not an important issue, but it warns us about the kind of problems that could appear in the case of local fields of positive characteristic. Moreover, with our treatment of the topological issues, we also aim to clarify the proof of van Hamel's generalization of Lichtenbaum's duality.

It is important to note that the group $H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau$ is hard to describe explicitly because of its derived nature. However, we give an explicit description of this group for a certain kind of varieties. In order to do that, we give an explicit description of the pushforward map $H_0(X', \mathbb{Z})_\tau \rightarrow H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau$ induced by the normalization map $X' \rightarrow X$ of X . Thus, with our duality result, we can describe the map $\text{Br}_1(X) \rightarrow \text{Br}_1(X')$ for some singular varieties, which

has a particular arithmetic interest. More precisely, the singular varieties treated are obtained by pinching normal proper varieties in a finite collection of closed points.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my advisors, Cristian González Avilés and Giancarlo Lucchini Arteche, for their constant support while writing this article. I also thank *Agencia Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo* (ANID) for funding my research via Beca de Doctorado Nacional ANID folio 21210171.

2 NOTATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

For an abelian category \mathcal{A} , we denote $C^b(\mathcal{A})$ the category of bounded complexes in \mathcal{A} and $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ its bounded derived category. There is a canonical functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow D^b(\mathcal{A})$ that sends an object A in \mathcal{A} to the complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

concentrated in degree 0. We still write A to represent this complex. For a right derivable additive functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between abelian categories, we denote $RF : D^b(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^b(\mathcal{B})$ its derived functor. Moreover, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote $R^i F$ the composition $H^i \circ RF : D^b(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, where $H^i : D^b(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is the i th cohomology functor. Recall that when \mathcal{A} has enough injectives, every additive functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is right derivable.

For a site X_E over a scheme X , we denote $S(X_E)$ the abelian category of sheaves of abelian groups on X_E and a sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in S(X_E)$ is called an *abelian sheaf on X_E* . We denote $D^b(X_E)$ the bounded derived category of $C^b(S(X_E))$. For a bounded complex of abelian sheaves \mathcal{F}^\bullet on X_E , we denote $H^i(X_E, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ the abelian group $R^i \Gamma(X_E, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$. When X is the spectrum of a field F , we write F_E instead of $(\text{Spec } F)_E$. Let G be group scheme over X . If the functor of points of G defines an abelian sheaf on X_E , we also write G to refer the sheaf $\text{Hom}_X(-, G)$.

Let k be a field. A k -scheme X is a scheme over $\text{Spec } k$ whose structure morphism is separated and locally of finite type. Moreover, we refer to a k -scheme X as a k -variety if it is geometrically integral. A k -variety of dimension one is called a k -curve. A group scheme over k is called a (locally) algebraic k -group if its structure map is (locally) of finite type. We denote \mathcal{C}_k the category of commutative algebraic k -groups. When k has characteristic zero, every connected commutative algebraic group G is an extension

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow T \times \mathbb{G}_a^n \rightarrow G \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where T is a torus and A is an abelian variety (see [Bril, Theorems 4.3.2 and 5.3.1]).

For a scheme X , we will denote X_{sm} the *smooth site* over X , i.e. the site whose underlying category is the category of smooth schemes over X and whose coverings are the *jointly surjective families* of smooth maps, i.e. families of smooth maps $\{U_i \rightarrow V\}_{i \in I}$ such that $\coprod_{i \in I} U_i \rightarrow V$ is surjective.

Throughout this article, k will be a field of characteristic zero and all locally algebraic groups will be commutative. Moreover, we do not assume that a (locally) compact topological group is Hausdorff.

3 PRELIMINARIES

For the convenience of the reader, in this section we compile well-known results related to algebraic groups. Throughout this section, k will be a field of characteristic zero and all locally algebraic groups will be commutative.

3.1 Derived Cartier duality

Every locally algebraic group G may be canonically regarded as a sheaf on k_{fppf} by taking its functor of points $G(-)$. Grothendieck proved that this assignment defines canonically a functor $F : \mathcal{C}_k \rightarrow S(k_{\text{fppf}})$, which is fully faithful. For our purposes, k has characteristic zero and, therefore, every locally algebraic group G is smooth over k . Then, F factors through $\mathcal{C}_k \rightarrow S(k_{\text{sm}})$.

From now on, we will work over a field k . We define the *derived Cartier dual functor* as

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (-)^{\mathbf{D}} : D^b(k_{\text{sm}}) &\rightarrow D^b(k_{\text{sm}}) \\ X &\mapsto R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{sm}}} (X, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that, for any complex X in $D^b(k_{\text{sm}})$, the following isomorphisms of abelian groups hold

$$H^i(k_{\text{sm}}, X^{\mathbf{D}}) \cong \text{Ext}_{D(k_{\text{sm}})}^i(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

for all $i > 0$. The derived Cartier dual functor is closely related to the classical Cartier dual functor, defined as

$$L^{\mathbf{D}} := \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}} (L, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

for an affine locally algebraic group L . We will focus in studying the values of $(-)^{\mathbf{D}}$ for certain kind of complexes \mathcal{C} . Specifically we will be interested in the case when \mathcal{C} is a complex concentrated in degree zero by a sheaf represented by a locally algebraic group.

Recall that, when k has characteristic zero, every connected commutative algebraic group G is an extension

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow T \times \mathbb{G}_a^n \rightarrow G \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where T is a torus and A is an abelian variety (see [Bri1, Theorems 4.3.2 and 5.3.1]). Moreover, when k is perfect, the cohomology group $H^i(k, \mathbb{G}_a^D)$ is trivial for all i (see [Ros, Proposition 2.5.1]). Hence,

Proposition 3.1.1. *Let L be a commutative linear group over a field of characteristic zero and let U be its unipotent part. Then $H^i(k, L^{\mathbf{D}})$ is isomorphic as abelian group to $H^i(k, (L/U)^{\mathbf{D}})$ for all i .*

The following result can be found in [CTSan, Lemma 1.3.3].

Lemma 3.1.2. *Let G be an algebraic group of multiplicative type over k . Then, we have the vanishing of the sheaves $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^i(G^{\mathbf{D}}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ for $i > 0$.*

Thus we can deduce the triviality of the sheaves of extension of finite étale commutative algebraic groups over a field.

Corollary 3.1.3. *Let F be a finite étale commutative algebraic k -group. Then the sheaves $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^i(F, \mathbb{G}_m)$ are trivial for all $i > 0$.*

Proof. As F is a finite étale group scheme over k , we have that F is finite étale locally constant [SP, Lemma 03RV]. Then $F^{\mathbf{D}}$ is of multiplicative type. Using the natural isomorphism $(F^{\mathbf{D}})^{\mathbf{D}} \cong F$ and Lemma 3.1.2, we conclude that the sheaves $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^i(F, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ are trivial for all $i > 0$. \square

Thus, the following result follows.

Corollary 3.1.4. *Let F be a finite étale commutative algebraic k -group. We have the following isomorphism in $D^b(k_{\text{fppf}})$*

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}} (F, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \cong F^{\mathbf{D}}.$$

Proof. We only have to show that $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^i(F, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ are trivial for all $i > 0$. Hence we conclude from Corollary 3.1.3. \square

Let G be an algebraic group of multiplicative type over k . Let M be the finitely generated abelian group associated to $G^{\mathbf{D}}$. Let M_{tors} be the torsion subgroup of M . Then we have an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow M_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/M_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow 0,$$

where M_{tors} is finite and M/M_{tors} is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^r for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, G can be regarded as an extension

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow G \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0,$$

where F is a finite group scheme such that F^D is étale locally isomorphic to M_{tors} and T is a torus of dimension r .

Proposition 3.1.5. *Let G be an algebraic k -group of multiplicative type and A an abelian k -variety. Then, the following isomorphisms hold in $D^b(k_{\text{fppf}})$:*

- a) $\tau_{\leq 1} R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(G, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \cong G^D$;
- b) $\tau_{\leq 1} R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(G^D, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \cong G$;
- c) $\tau_{\leq 1} R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \cong A^t[-1]$, where A^t is the dual abelian variety of A ;

Proof. a) Let T be the toric part of G and F the finite quotient of G by T . We have the following exact triangle

$$(3.3) \quad T \rightarrow G \rightarrow F \rightarrow T[1].$$

Applying the functor $R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(-, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ to (3.3), we get the following exact triangle

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(F, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(G, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(T, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(F, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})[1].$$

As G is smooth, F is étale. Thus, by Corollary 3.1.4, the exact triangle above becomes

$$F^D \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(G, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(T, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \rightarrow F^D[1].$$

Applying the truncation $\tau_{\leq 1}$ to the triangle here above yields the following (possibly non-exact) triangle

$$(3.4) \quad F^D \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(G, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(T, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \rightarrow F^D[1].$$

In fact, triangle (3.4) is exact. In order to prove this assertion, by [Bro, Lemma 3.10], it is sufficient to prove that the sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^1(T, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ is trivial. After an étale base change, it is sufficient to prove that the sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^1(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ is trivial. This last assertion is true by the proof of [SGA71, Exposé VIII, Proposition 3.3.1]. Thus, triangle (3.4) turns into

$$F^D \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(G, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \rightarrow T^D \rightarrow F^D[1].$$

Therefore, $H^1(\tau_{\leq 1} R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(G, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}))$ is quasi-isomorphic to zero in $D(k_{\text{fppf}})$ and, consequently, $\tau_{\leq 1} R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(G, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ is quasi-isomorphic to G^D in $D(k_{\text{fppf}})$.

b) This follows from Lemma 3.1.2.

c) Since there are no non constant maps from an abelian variety to an affine scheme, the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ is trivial (see [SGA71, Exposé VII, §1.3.8]). Finally, by the Barsotti-Weil formula, the sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^1(A, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is naturally isomorphic to the dual abelian variety A^t of A (see, for instance, [RR, Corollary 3.5]). Whence we conclude that

$$\tau_{\leq 1} R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \cong \mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^1(A, \mathbb{G}_m)[-1] \cong A^t[-1]$$

in $D^b(k_{\text{fppf}})$. □

Lemma 3.1.6. *Let G be a connected algebraic group over k . Let n be a positive integer. Then, multiplication by n on $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^i(G, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ is injective for all $i > 1$.*

Proof. Since k has characteristic zero, we may work case by case when G is an abelian variety A , a torus T or the additive group $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$.

- We note that for a fppf covering $S \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$, we have the following isomorphism

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^i(T, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})|_S \cong \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fppf}}}^i(T_S, \mathbb{G}_{m,S}).$$

Then, as the functor $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^i(-, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ commutes with finite direct sums, it is enough to check the injectivity of multiplication by n when $T = \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$. We have the Kummer exact sequence

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \xrightarrow{(-)^n} \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \rightarrow 0$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying the derived functor $R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(-, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ to (3.5), we get the following exact sequence

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^{i-1}(\mu_n, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^i(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \xrightarrow{\cdot n} \mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^i(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}),$$

where the rightside map is multiplication by n , which is induced by the n -th power map $(-)^n : \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$. Then, applying the Proposition 3.1.4 to the finite commutative group scheme μ_n , we conclude that multiplication by n on $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^i(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ is injective for all $i > 1$.

- We know that multiplication by n defines an isogeny from A onto A . [SP, Proposition 03RP]. Thus, we have the following exact sequence

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow A[n] \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\cdot n} A \rightarrow 0,$$

where the n -torsion $A[n]$ of A . Applying the derived functor $R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(-, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ to (3.6), we get the following exact sequence

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^{i-1}(A[n], \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^i(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \xrightarrow{\cdot n} \mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^i(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}),$$

where the rightside map is multiplication by n , which is induced by multiplication by n in A . Since $A[n]$ is finite, we conclude similarly to before above that multiplication by n on $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^i(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ are trivial for all $i > 1$.

- Since k has characteristic zero, multiplication by n defines an isomorphism $\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ for every positive integer n . This implies that multiplication by n defines an isomorphism of sheaves $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^i(\mathbb{G}_a, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^i(\mathbb{G}_a, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ for every $i \geq 1$.

□

The following results ensures the triviality of some sheaves of extensions on the smooth site.

Lemma 3.1.7. *Let L be a linear algebraic group over k and let A be an abelian variety over k . Then, the sheaves $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{sm}}}^i(L, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ and $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{sm}}}^{i+1}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ are trivial for all $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that the groups $\text{Ext}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^i(L, \mathbb{G}_{m,X})$ and $\text{Ext}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{i+1}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,X})$ are trivial for every smooth k -scheme X and $i \geq 1$. Breen has proved that over a regular noetherian scheme X , the groups $\text{Ext}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{i+1}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,X})$ and $\text{Ext}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^i(L, \mathbb{G}_{m,X})$ are torsion for all $i \geq 1$ when $X = \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$, $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ or an abelian variety A (see [Bre, §7 and §8]). Thus, by Lemma 3.1.6, we conclude that $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{sm}}}^i(L, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ and $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{sm}}}^i(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ are trivial for all $i \geq 2$. Moreover, it also holds that $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{sm}}}^i(\mathbb{G}_{a,k}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ is trivial. Hence, by Proposition 3.1.5.a), we conclude that $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{sm}}}^i(L, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ is trivial for $i = 1$. □

Remark 3.1.8. In the previous lemma it was crucial that the site in which the extension sheaves was computed is the smooth site. In fact, it is not true that these sheaves are trivial on the flat site. Particularly, the sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^1(\mathbb{G}_{a,k}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ is not trivial when k has characteristic zero (see [Ros, Remark 2.2.16]). Furthermore, by Lemma 3.1.7, the sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{fppf}}}^1(\mathbb{G}_{a,k}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ is uniquely divisible.

The following result relates the values in certain sheaves of the functors $R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(-, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ and $R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{sm}}}(-, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$.

Lemma 3.1.9. *Let G be a smooth group scheme over k . Let $\alpha : k_{\text{fppf}} \rightarrow k_{\text{sm}}$ be the canonical map of sites. Then we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$R\alpha_* R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{fppf}}}(G, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \cong R\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{sm}}}(G, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}).$$

Proof. This is a particular case of [vH, Lemma 1.3]. \square

Corollary 3.1.10. *Let L be a linear k -group and A an abelian k -variety. Then, the following isomorphisms hold in $D^b(k_{\text{sm}})$*

$$a) \ L^{\mathbf{D}} \cong L^D;$$

$$b) \ A^{\mathbf{D}} \cong A^t[-1], \text{ the dual abelian variety of } A.$$

Furthermore, for a connected algebraic k -group G , which fits in a extension

$$(3.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow G \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

the following isomorphism holds in $D^b(k_{\text{sm}})$

$$c) \ G^{\mathbf{D}} \cong [L^D \xrightarrow{\partial} A^t][-1],$$

where $\partial : \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\text{sm}}}(L, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{k_{\text{sm}}}^1(A, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is the connecting map associated to the exact sequence (3.7) once applied the derived Cartier dual functor $(-)^{\mathbf{D}}$.

Proof. In any case, all groups schemes involved are smooth and locally of finite type over k . Then, by vanishing of higher direct images of sheaves represented by smooth group schemes (cf. [ÉC, p. 114, Chapter III, Theorem 3.9]), the result follows from Proposition 3.1.5, Lemma 3.1.7 and Lemma 3.1.9. \square

3.2 Generalized 1-motives

Throughout this section, k will be a field of characteristic zero and all locally algebraic groups will be commutative. A *generalized 1-motive* over k is a complex $M = [L^D \rightarrow G]$ in $C^b(S(k_{\text{sm}}))$ concentrated in degrees -1 and 0 , where L is a connected linear group and G is a connected algebraic group. A morphism of generalized 1-motives is just a morphism of complexes. When L is a torus and G is semiabelian, we are in the case of a Deligne 1-motive.

Let $M = [L^D \rightarrow G]$ be a generalized 1-motive. Using the decomposition $L = T \times \mathbb{G}_a^n$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as in (3.2), M fits into an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_a^D)^n[1] \rightarrow M \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0,$$

where M' is the generalized 1-motive $[T^D \rightarrow G]$. Hence, the canonical map induced by $M \rightarrow M'$ above, induces a canonical isomorphism

$$(3.8) \quad H^i(k, M) \rightarrow H^i(k, M')$$

for all i . Indeed, $H^i(k, \mathbb{G}_a^D) = 0$ for all i (see [Ros, Proposition 2.5.1]).

Let G be a connected algebraic k -group. Recall that the derived Cartier dual $G^{\mathbf{D}}$ of G is the complex $R\mathcal{H}om_{D(k_{\text{sm}})}(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$ (see (3.1)). By Corollary 3.1.10.c), the complex $G^{\mathbf{D}}[1]$ is represented by a generalized 1-motive.

Thus, with a connected algebraic group we can associate a Deligne 1-motive as follows.

Proposition 3.2.1. *Let G be a connected algebraic group over k . There exists a Deligne 1-motive M and an exact triangle*

$$(\mathbb{G}_a^D)^n \rightarrow G^{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow M[-1] \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_a^D)^n[1]$$

that induces isomorphism of groups $H^i(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}) \cong H^{i-1}(k, M)$ for all i .

3.3 Yoneda pairing

Let G be a locally algebraic group over k . There exists a natural pairing in $D^b(k_{\text{sm}})$

$$G \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} G^{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

that induces the following pairing of abelian groups

$$H^i(k, G) \times H^{2-i}(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

Observe that this pairing is functorial on G . This pairing is crucial in Tate local duality theorems (see for instance Proposition 6.1.2).

3.4 Basics on topological groups

A continuous homomorphism of topological groups $f : A \rightarrow B$ is called *strict*¹ if the image of every open subset is open in the image $f(A)$ with the subspace topology in B , or equivalently, if the map $\tilde{f} : A/\ker f \rightarrow f(A)$ is an isomorphism of topological groups, where $A/\ker f$ has the quotient topology (see [GTBou, III, §2.8, p. 236, Proposition 24]).

An exact sequence of abelian topological groups

$$\cdots \rightarrow A_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} A_i \xrightarrow{f_i} A_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

is called *strict exact* if every map f_i is continuous and strict. A strict exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

is called a *topological extension*.

An abelian topological group is called *profinite* if it is isomorphic to an inverse limit of discrete finite groups. Being profinite is equivalent to being Hausdorff, compact and totally disconnected (see [Str, p. 172, Theorem 19.9]). We can assign a profinite abelian group to every abelian topological group. Let A be an abelian topological group. We define the *profinite completion* of A as the inverse limit

$$A^\wedge := \varprojlim_H A/H \leq \prod_H A/H$$

running over all open subgroups of finite index of A , equipped with the subspace topology inherited from the product $\prod_H A/H$, where A/H has discrete topology. Thus, A^\wedge is a closed subgroup of $\prod_H A/H$. On the other hand, there is a canonical continuous morphism of topological abelian groups

$$(3.9) \quad c_A : A \rightarrow A^\wedge,$$

which has dense image (see [Str, p. 167, Lemma 18.4]). The profinite completion of A is the universal object for maps from A to profinite abelian groups, that is, for every continuous morphism $f : A \rightarrow P$, where P is a profinite abelian group, there exists a unique continuous morphism $\hat{f} : A^\wedge \rightarrow P$ such that $f = \hat{f} \circ c_A$ (see [Str, p. 166, Lemma 18.1]). Every profinite abelian group agrees with its profinite completion. For every continuous morphism of abelian topological groups $f : A \rightarrow B$, there is a canonical continuous morphism

$$f^\wedge : A^\wedge \rightarrow B^\wedge,$$

which is also strict (see [GTBou, III, §2.8, p. 237, Remark 1]). Thus, $(-)^{\wedge}$ defines a functor from the category of abelian topological groups to the category of profinite abelian groups. This functor is right exact in the following sense: for every topological extension of abelian topological groups

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0,$$

the sequence of abelian topological groups

$$A^\wedge \rightarrow B^\wedge \rightarrow C^\wedge \rightarrow 0$$

is strict exact [Ros, Proposition C.1.4].

¹In [Str], the author named a strict morphism as *proper*.

Remark 3.4.1. The profinite completion functor is not left exact in general. For instance, the canonical embedding $i : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ of discrete abelian groups induces the zero map $i^\wedge : \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow 0$ since the profinite completion of the discrete group \mathbb{Q} is trivial. At any rate, a topological embedding $A \rightarrow B$ will induce a topological embedding $A^\wedge \rightarrow B^\wedge$ in each of the following cases :

- (a) The image of $A \rightarrow B$ is closed in B and B is Hausdorff, locally compact, totally disconnected and compactly generated² (see [HS, Appendix, Proposition]).
- (b) B/A is discrete and finitely generated (see [Ros, Proposition C.2.1]).

The following lemma is obvious but will be often used later.

Lemma 3.4.2. *Let $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a continuous homomorphism of abelian topological groups. Assume that B is Hausdorff and ϕ is strict with finite image. Then there exists a continuous and strict homomorphism $\hat{\phi} : A^\wedge \rightarrow B$ such that $\phi = \hat{\phi} \circ c_A$ and $\text{im } \hat{\phi} = \text{im } \phi$.*

Proof. Since ϕ has finite image and B is Hausdorff, we have that $\ker \phi$ is a closed subgroup of A with finite index. Then, $\ker \phi$ is an open subgroup of A with finite index. Thus we define $\hat{\phi} : A^\wedge \rightarrow B$ as the composition

$$A^\wedge \xrightarrow{\pi_{\ker \phi}} A / \ker \phi \xrightarrow{\phi'} B,$$

where $\pi_{\ker \phi}$ is the canonical projection and ϕ' is the composition $A / \ker \phi \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{im } \phi \rightarrow B$. Since B is Hausdorff, the inclusion $\text{im } \phi \rightarrow B$ is strict. Hence, $\hat{\phi}$ is continuous and strict because it is the composition of a topological embedding and a quotient map (see [GTBou, III, §2.8, p. 237, Remark 2]). Moreover, clearly, the equality $\phi = \hat{\phi} \circ c_A$ holds. \square

Let A and B be two abelian topological groups. We denote $\text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(A, B)$ the group of continuous homomorphisms from A to B . The group $\text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(A, B)$ can be endowed with a natural topology as follows: given a compact subset K of A and an open subset U of B , we define $V(K, U)$ as

$$V(K, U) := \{f : A \rightarrow B \text{ continuous} \mid f(K) \subseteq U\}.$$

The family $\{V(K, U)\}_{K, U}$ generates a topology on the group of all continuous maps $C(A, B)$ from A to B , which is called the *compact-open topology on $C(A, B)$* . Thus, $\text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(A, B)$ is endowed with the subspace topology inherited from $C(A, B)$.

Let \mathbb{T} be the unit circle \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} with the quotient topology induced by \mathbb{R} with its usual metric topology. The torsion subgroup of \mathbb{T} is \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} and has the discrete topology [Str, p. 31, Corollary 3.13].

Definition 3.4.3. For an abelian topological group A , we define its *Pontryagin dual* as the abelian topological group $A^* := \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(A, \mathbb{T})$.

Observe that A^* is always Hausdorff, even if A is not.

Fact 3.4.4. Let A be an abelian topological group. Then:

- a) If A is locally compact then so is A^* (see [Str, p. 175, Theorem 20.5]);
- b) If A is compact, then A^* is discrete; and if A is discrete, then A^* is (Hausdorff) compact (see [Str, p. 175, Theorem 20.6]);
- c) If A is torsion or profinite, then A^* agrees with the group $\text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ (see [RZ, p. 60, Lemma 2.9.2]).
- d) If A is first countable then so is A^* .

In the following, we recall the main result related to the Pontryagin dual. Recall that **LCA** is the category of Hausdorff and locally compact abelian topological groups with continuous homomorphism as morphisms.

²An abelian topological group G is compactly generated if there exists a compact subset $S \subseteq G$ such that $\langle S \rangle = G$.

Proposition 3.4.5 (Pontryagin duality). *The functor $(-)^* \circ (-)^* : \mathbf{LCA} \rightarrow \mathbf{LCA}$ is naturally isomorphic to the identity functor $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{LCA}}$. Moreover, the Pontryagin dual functor $(-)^* : \mathbf{LCA} \rightarrow \mathbf{LCA}$ establishes an anti-equivalence between the category of discrete abelian torsion groups and the category of profinite abelian groups.*

Proof. For the first assertion see [Str, p. 193, Theorem 22.6] and for the last assertion see [RZ, p. 62, Theorem 2.9.6]. \square

Another very important result is that $(-)^*$ preserves exactness in \mathbf{LCA} .

Proposition 3.4.6. *Let $\mathcal{E} : 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be a topological extension in \mathbf{LCA} . Then the dual sequence*

$$\mathcal{E}^* : 0 \rightarrow C^* \rightarrow B^* \rightarrow A^* \rightarrow 0$$

is a topological extension in \mathbf{LCA} .

Proof. See [Str, p. 195, Corollary 23.4]. \square

Let A and B be two abelian topological groups. A pairing $A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a bi-additive map. We say that a pairing $A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is continuous if it is a continuous map between $A \times B$ with the product topology and \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} with the discrete topology. Further, a continuous pairing $A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is *perfect* if the induced maps of abelian topological groups $A \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(B, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ and $B \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ are topological isomorphisms. The following result gives a way to prove when a pairing $A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is continuous.

Proposition 3.4.7. *Let $\langle -, - \rangle : A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ be a pairing between locally compact abelian topological groups. Assume that*

- i) $\langle -, - \rangle$ is continuous at $(0_A, 0_B)$;*
- ii) $\langle -, b \rangle : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is continuous at 0_A for every $b \in B$; and*
- iii) the map $B \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) : b \mapsto \langle -, b \rangle$ is continuous at 0_B .*

Then $\langle -, - \rangle$ is continuous.

Proof. See [GA1, Proposition 1.12]. \square

Remark 3.4.8. If A in Proposition 3.4.7 is discrete, we only have to check *iii)* in order to establish the continuity of $\langle -, - \rangle$. Indeed, *ii)* holds trivially and *i)* holds since $\{0_A\}$ is an open neighborhood of 0_A and for every open neighborhood V of 0_B we have that $\langle 0_A, V \rangle = 0$. Hence $\langle -, - \rangle$ is continuous at $(0_A, 0_B)$.

Recall that, for a topological group G , its *separation* or *Hausdorff quotient* G_{Haus} is defined as the quotient group $G/\overline{\{0_G\}}$. Note that, for every topological group A , the topological group $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is Hausdorff. Then, a continuous pairing of locally compact abelian topological groups $\langle -, - \rangle : A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ induces a continuous pairing $\langle -, - \rangle_{\mathrm{Haus}} : A_{\mathrm{Haus}} \times B_{\mathrm{Haus}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

3.5 Topology on cohomology groups over a p -adic field

Locally algebraic groups

Since all group schemes considered are smooth, the cohomology groups $H^n(k, G)$ can be indistinctly regarded as groups of Galois cohomology, étale cohomology, smooth cohomology or flat cohomology. For this reason, we do not make distinction in the notation. The following result compiles the topological properties of the cohomology groups of locally algebraic groups.

Proposition 3.5.1. *Let G be a locally algebraic group over a p -adic field k . Then:*

- a) The group $G(k)$ is Hausdorff, locally compact and totally disconnected. Moreover, $G(k)$ is second countable whenever G is algebraic; and if G is also proper, then $G(k)$ is compact and therefore profinite. When G is an étale locally constant finitely generated group scheme, then $G(k)$ is discrete;*

- b) The group $H^n(k, G)$ is discrete and torsion for all $n \geq 1$;
- c) The group $H^n(k, G)$ is trivial for $n \geq 3$.

Proof. For a), the first three properties follow respectively from [Č, Propositions 2.17 and 3.7] and [Con, Proposition 5.4]³. The second countability when G is algebraic follows from the fact that $G(k)$ is a subspace of the second countable space $\mathbb{P}_k^n(k)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [CdH, p. 24, Proposition 2.A.12.(2)]. The assertion about compactness in the case of G being proper follows from [Č, Lemma 2.12.1.(b)]. For b) see [Č, Proposition 3.5] and [GC, p. 73, Corollary 4.23]. The point c) follows from the fact that k has strict cohomological dimension 2 [GC, p. 134, Theorem 10.6]. \square

Furthermore, the assignment of the topology in Proposition 3.5.1 behaves well with respect to long exact sequences in cohomology associated to short exact sequences of locally algebraic groups.

Proposition 3.5.2. *Let $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of locally algebraic groups over a p -adic k . Then all the maps in the long exact sequence of cohomology groups*

$$(3.10) \quad 0 \rightarrow H(k) \rightarrow G(k) \rightarrow Q(k) \rightarrow H^1(k, H) \rightarrow H^1(k, G) \rightarrow H^1(k, Q) \rightarrow \dots$$

are continuous. Moreover $H(k) \rightarrow G(k)$ is closed and $G(k) \rightarrow Q(k)$ is open. Hence, the sequence (3.10) is strict exact.

Proof. The continuity of all the maps in (3.10) follows from [Č, Proposition 4.2]. Then $H(k) \rightarrow G(k)$ is closed since $Q(k)$ is Hausdorff. The fact that $G(k) \rightarrow Q(k)$ is open follows from [Č, Proposition 4.3.(a)]. Hence, since all higher cohomology groups involved have the discrete topology, we conclude that all continuous maps in (3.10) are strict. \square

Let A be an abelian variety and M be an algebraic group of multiplicative type. Then, by Proposition 3.5.1, $A(k)$ is profinite (see also [ADT, Chapter I, p. 41, Lemma 3.3]) since A is proper over k . Moreover, $M^D(k)$ is discrete. However, $M(k)$ is neither discrete nor profinite, even when M is a torus. Nevertheless, every subgroup of $M(k)$ of finite index is open when k is a p -adic field (see [ADT, p. 26]). This plays an important role in duality results since, in order to obtain the perfection of certain pairings, it is necessary to take profinite completion.

Recall that an abelian torsion group is of *cofinite type* if its n -torsion subgroups are finite for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 3.5.3. *Let A be an abelian variety and N an algebraic group of multiplicative type over a p -adic field k . Then,*

- a) $H^1(k, A)$ is torsion of cofinite type and $H^2(k, A)$ is trivial; and
- b) $H^1(k, N)$ and $H^1(k, N^D)$ are finite.

Proof. For a) see [ADT, Chapter I, p. 43, Corollary 3.4]. For b) see [ADT, Chapter I, p. 28, Corollary 2.4] and [ADT, Chapter I, p. 26, Theorem 2.1] respectively. \square

Generalized 1-motives

Now we treat the case of generalized 1-motives over k , that is, we treat the way of topologizing the cohomology groups $H^n(k, M)$ of a complex $M = [L^D \rightarrow G]$ located at degrees -1 and 0 where L is a connected linear group and G is a connected algebraic group. The following exact triangle

$$L^D \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow L^D[1],$$

³This reference contains the three properties of this assertion. However we opt for referring to [Č] because in this article the continuity of the maps in the long exact sequence of cohomology groups associated to short exact sequences of commutative locally algebraic groups is treated.

yields the following exact sequences of cohomology groups

$$(3.11) \quad H^n(k, G) \xrightarrow{i_n} H^n(k, M) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} H^{n+1}(k, L^D)$$

for every $n \geq -1$. Thus, for $n \geq 0$, $H^n(k, M)$ is the central term of an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$(3.12) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^n(k, G)/\ker i_n \xrightarrow{i'_n} H^n(k, M) \xrightarrow{\partial'_n} \operatorname{im} \partial_n \rightarrow 0,$$

where $H^n(k, G)/\ker i_n$ is naturally endowed with the quotient topology from $H^n(k, G)$ and $\operatorname{im} \partial_n$ is naturally endowed with the subspace topology from $H^{n+1}(k, L^D)$. Recall that $H^n(k, G)$ and $H^{n+1}(k, L^D)$ are first countable abelian topological groups (see Proposition 3.5.1). Then, on one hand, $\operatorname{im} \partial_n$ is a first countable abelian topological group by [CdIH, p. 16, Proposition 2.A.12.(2)] and; on the other hand, $H^n(k, G)/\ker i_n$ is also first countable since the quotient map $H^n(k, G) \rightarrow H^n(k, G)/\ker i_n$ is open. Moreover, $\operatorname{im} \partial_n$ is discrete for all $n \geq -1$. Hence, for every $n \geq -1$, there exists a unique topology on $H^n(k, M)$ such that (3.12) becomes a topological extension (see [Ca, Proposition 4.1, Corollary 2]). Thus, we get the following result:

Proposition 3.5.4. *Let M be a generalized 1-motive $[L^D \rightarrow G]$. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a unique topology on $H^n(k, M)$ such that the sequence (3.11) is a strict exact sequence of abelian topological groups. More precisely, the topologies are the following:*

- a) *The group $H^0(k, M)$ is an extension of a discrete finite group by a quotient of $G(k)$. In particular, $H^0(k, M)$ satisfies that all its subgroups of finite index are open;*
- b) *For $n \geq 1$ or $n = -1$, $H^n(k, M)$ is endowed with the discrete topology;*
- c) *For $n < -1$, all the groups $H^n(k, M)$ are trivial.*

In particular, these groups are locally compact, totally disconnected and second countable; and Hausdorff for $n \neq 0$.

Proof. We have already proved the existence and uniqueness of the topology. Assertion a) follows from the previous construction and [RM, Proposition 3.10]. Points b) and c) follow directly from the construction. For the last assertion: local compactness follows from [Str, p. 54, Theorem 6.7.(c)]; total disconnectedness follows from [Str, p. 56, Proposition 6.9.(b),(c)]; and second countability follows from [CdIH, p. 36, Proposition 2.C.8.(1),(2)]. \square

Remarks 3.5.5. Let $M = [L^D \rightarrow G]$ be a generalized 1-motive.

- a) The group $H^0(k, M)$ may be not Hausdorff since $\ker i_0$ may not be closed in $G(k)$.
- b) Observe that, with this topology, the isomorphism defined in (3.8) becomes a topological isomorphism.
- c) When G is an abelian variety, the profinite completion $H^0(k, M)^\wedge$ and the separation $H^0(k, M)_{\text{Haus}}$ of $H^0(k, M)$ agree. Indeed, in this case, $H^0(k, M)$ is compact and totally disconnected and, therefore, $H^0(k, M)_{\text{Haus}}$ is profinite.

It is important to note that the assignment of topologies in Proposition 3.5.4 agrees with the one given by Harari and Szamuely in [HS, §2]. In particular, the cohomology groups $H^n(k, [0 \rightarrow G])$ and $H^n(k, G)$ are canonically isomorphic as topological groups. This fact is important because we will need the duality results for Deligne 1-motives over p -adic fields proven by these authors. In these results, they used a modified profinite completion for the group $H^{-1}(k, M)$. More precisely, they proved that the Yoneda pairing

$$H^{-1}(k, M) \times H^3(k, M^D) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

induces a perfect continuous pairing

$$H_\wedge^{-1}(k, M) \times H^3(k, M^D) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

where $H_\wedge^{-1}(k, M) := \ker(L^D(k)^\wedge \rightarrow G(k)^\wedge)$. There exists a canonical topological embedding

$$\alpha^\wedge : H^{-1}(k, M)^\wedge \rightarrow H_\wedge^{-1}(k, M),$$

where $\alpha : H^{-1}(k, M) \rightarrow H_{\wedge}^{-1}(k, M)$ is the canonical map induced from $c_{L^D(k)}$ (see (3.9)). Indeed, the exact sequence of abelian discrete finitely generated groups

$$0 \rightarrow H^{-1}(k, M) \xrightarrow{i} L^D(k) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} i \rightarrow 0$$

induces the following strict exact sequence of profinite groups

$$0 \rightarrow H^{-1}(k, M)^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{i^{\wedge}} L^D(k)^{\wedge} \rightarrow (\operatorname{coker} i)^{\wedge} \rightarrow 0$$

(see [Ros, Proposition C.2.1]). Thus, the canonical injection $\gamma : \operatorname{coker} i \rightarrow G(k)$ yields a factorisation of the continuous and strict morphism $L^D(k)^{\wedge} \rightarrow G(k)^{\wedge}$ as $L^D(k)^{\wedge} \rightarrow (\operatorname{coker} i)^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{\gamma^{\wedge}} G(k)^{\wedge}$. Applying the ker-coker sequence (see [Be, 1.2 Product-Lemma]) to this composition, we obtain the following strict exact sequence of profinite groups

$$0 \rightarrow H^{-1}(k, M)^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\wedge}} H_{\wedge}^{-1}(k, M) \rightarrow \ker \gamma^{\wedge} \rightarrow 0.$$

In general, $\ker \gamma^{\wedge}$ is not trivial, therefore, $\alpha^{\wedge} : H_{\wedge}^{-1}(k, M) \rightarrow H^{-1}(k, M)^{\wedge}$ may not be an isomorphism. However, when G is an abelian variety, we can prove that α is a topological isomorphism.

Proposition 3.5.6. *Let $M := [L^D \xrightarrow{u} A]$ be a generalized 1-motive, where A is an abelian variety. Then, α^{\wedge} is a topological isomorphism.*

Proof. We only have to prove that $\ker \gamma^{\wedge}$ defined above is trivial since α^{\wedge} is a continuous map between profinite groups (see [GTBou, III, §2.8, p. 237, Remark 1]). As abelian groups, $\operatorname{coker} i$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{im} u^0$. Then, since $A(k)$ is profinite, we have the following commutative triangle of abelian topological groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{im} u^0 & \longrightarrow & A(k) \\ \downarrow \iota & \nearrow & \\ (\operatorname{im} u^0)^{\wedge} & & \end{array},$$

where $\operatorname{im} u^0$ is regarded with the subspace topology from $A(k)$. Then, $(\operatorname{im} u^0)^{\wedge}$ agrees with the closure of $\operatorname{im} u^0$ in $A(k)$. By [GA1, Lemma 1.7], γ^{\wedge} is injective if and only if $(\operatorname{im} u^0)^{\wedge} \rightarrow A(k)$ is so. Hence, γ^{\wedge} is injective and, therefore, α is a topological isomorphism. \square

Cartier dual of locally algebraic groups

Let G be a locally algebraic group over k . We have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G^0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \pi_0(G) \rightarrow 0,$$

where G^0 is the connected component of G and $\pi_0(G)$ is the group of connected components, which we assume to be finitely generated. Applying the Cartier dual functor to the exact sequence above yields the following exact triangle in $D^b(k_{\text{sm}})$

$$\pi_0(G)^D \rightarrow G^{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow [L^D \rightarrow A^t][-1] \rightarrow \pi_0(G)^D[1],$$

where L is the linear part of G^0 and A is its abelian quotient. Thus, we obtain the following exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$(3.13) \quad H^n(k, \pi_0(G)^D) \xrightarrow{a_n} H^n(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}) \xrightarrow{b_n} H^{n-1}(k, [L^D \rightarrow A^t]).$$

By Propositions 3.5.1 and 3.5.4, $H^n(k, G^{\mathbf{D}})$ is canonically endowed with the discrete topology for $n \neq 1$. For $n = 1$, the situation is a bit complicated. In this case, if we could equip $H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}})$ with a topology such that (3.13) becomes a strict exact sequence of topological groups, then the separation $H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}})_{\text{Haus}}$ would be canonically determined. That is, if τ and τ' are two topologies on $H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}})$ such that (3.13) becomes a strict exact sequence

of topological groups, then $(H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}), \tau)_{\text{Haus}}$ and $(H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}), \tau')_{\text{Haus}}$ are canonically isomorphic, as we explain now.

Proposition 3.5.7. *Let G be a locally algebraic group over a p -adic field k . There exists a topology τ on $H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}})$ such that the Yoneda pairing*

$$(3.14) \quad (H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}), \tau) \times H^1(k, G) \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

is continuous and the induced map $(H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}), \tau) \rightarrow H^1(k, G)^$ is continuous and strict. Moreover, the induced pairing*

$$(H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}), \tau)^\wedge \times H^1(k, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

is continuous and perfect. Furthermore, for any other topology τ' on $H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}})$ satisfying the property above, there exists a topological isomorphism $(H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}), \tau)^\wedge \cong (H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}), \tau')^\wedge$.

Recall that for an abelian topological group G , the separation map $q_G : G \rightarrow G_{\text{Haus}}$ induces an isomorphism $q_G^\wedge : G^\wedge \rightarrow (G_{\text{Haus}})^\wedge$ between their profinite completions (see [GA1, Lemma 1.9.(ii)]). And we will prove here below that $(H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}), \tau)_{\text{Haus}}$ is profinite and, therefore, $(H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}), \tau)_{\text{Haus}} \cong (H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}), \tau)^\wedge$.

Proof. The Yoneda pairing

$$H^i(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}) \times H^{2-i}(k, G) \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

yields the following commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} H^{-1}(k, [L^D \rightarrow A^t]) & \rightarrow & H^1(k, \pi_0(G)^D) & \xrightarrow{a_1} & H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}) & \xrightarrow{b_1} & H^0(k, [L^D \rightarrow A^t]) & \rightarrow & H^2(k, \pi_0(G)^D) \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \epsilon \\ H^2(k, G^0)^* & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \pi_0(G))^* & \xrightarrow{a_2} & H^1(k, G)^* & \xrightarrow{b_2} & H^1(k, G^0)^* & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \pi_0(G))^*. \end{array}$$

The top row is an exact sequence of abelian groups, where all groups except the middle one are topological groups. The bottom row is a topological exact sequence of Hausdorff abelian topological groups (see Propositions 3.4.6 and 3.5.2). Since $\pi_0(G)$ is étale locally isomorphic to a constant finitely generated group, β and ϵ are topological isomorphisms by the classical local Tate duality (see [Ser, II, §5.8]). Since $H^1(k, \pi_0(X)^D)$ is finite and discrete and $H^2(k, G^0)^*$ is profinite (see Fact 3.4.4, b) and c) and Propositions 3.4.5 and 3.5.1), we may replace $H^{-1}(k, [L^D \rightarrow A^t])$ by its profinite completion without disrupting neither the commutativity nor the exactness of the diagram above. Thus, α^\wedge is a topological isomorphism (see [HS, Theorem 2.3] and Proposition 3.5.6). By the same result, δ is a quotient map (see Remark 3.5.5.c) and $\ker \delta$ agrees with the indiscrete group $\overline{\{0\}}$. Thus, we obtain the following diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} H^{-1}(k, [L^D \rightarrow A^t])^\wedge & \rightarrow & H^1(k, \pi_0(G)^D) & \xrightarrow{a_1} & H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}) & \xrightarrow{b_1} & H^0(k, [L^D \rightarrow A^t]) & \rightarrow & H^2(k, \pi_0(G)^D) \\ \wr \downarrow \alpha & & \wr \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \delta & & \wr \downarrow \epsilon \\ H^2(k, G^0)^* & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \pi_0(G))^* & \xrightarrow{a_2} & H^1(k, G)^* & \xrightarrow{b_2} & H^1(k, G^0)^* & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \pi_0(G))^*, \end{array}$$

where γ is surjective by the classical five-lemma. Let τ be the topology on $H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}})$ induced by γ . Thus, $(H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}), \tau)$ becomes an abelian topological group and γ becomes a quotient map. In particular, it is continuous and strict. Since $H^1(k, G)$ is discrete by Proposition 3.5.1, the pairing (3.14) is continuous (see Remark 3.4.8).

Note now that a_1 is strict and continuous since $H^1(k, G)^*$ is Hausdorff and the induced map $\beta' : \text{ima}_1 \rightarrow \text{ima}_2$ is an isomorphism. Recalling that $\ker \delta$ is indiscrete, [RM, Corollary 3.19] implies that b_1 is continuous since b_2 is

continuous. Thus, we obtain the following exact sequence of Hausdorff topological abelian groups

$$(3.15) \quad H^1(k, \pi_0(G)^D) \xrightarrow{(a_1)_{\text{Haus}}} (H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}), \tau)_{\text{Haus}} \xrightarrow{(b_1)_{\text{Haus}}} H^0(k, [L^D \rightarrow A^t])_{\text{Haus}}.$$

Indeed, since δ_{Haus} is a topological isomorphism, $(b_1)_{\text{Haus}} = \delta_{\text{Haus}}^{-1} \circ b_2 \circ \gamma_{\text{Haus}}$ is continuous and strict. Furthermore, the exact sequence (3.15) fits in the following commutative diagram of Hausdorff locally compact abelian topological groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} H^{-1}(k, [L^D \rightarrow A^t])^\wedge & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \pi_0(G)^D) & \xrightarrow{(a_1)_{\text{Haus}}} & (H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}), \tau)_{\text{Haus}} & \xrightarrow{(b_1)_{\text{Haus}}} & H^0(k, [L^D \rightarrow A^t])_{\text{Haus}} & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \pi_0(G)^D) \\ \wr \downarrow & & \wr \downarrow \beta & & \wr \downarrow \gamma_{\text{Haus}} & & \wr \downarrow \delta_{\text{Haus}} & & \wr \downarrow \\ H^2(k, G^0)^* & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \pi_0(G))^* & \xrightarrow{a_2} & H^1(k, G)^* & \xrightarrow{b_2} & H^1(k, G^0)^* & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \pi_0(G))^*, \end{array}$$

whose rows are strict exact. Hence, γ_{Haus} is a topological isomorphism (see [FG, Proposition 2.8]), whence $(H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}), \tau)_{\text{Haus}}$ is profinite since $H^1(k, G)$ is discrete. Finally, since $H^1(k, \pi_0(G)^D)$ is finite and discrete, [RM, Proposition 3.22] implies that for every topology τ' such that

$$H^1(k, \pi_0(G)^D) \xrightarrow{a_1} (H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}), \tau') \xrightarrow{b_1} H^0(k, [L^D \rightarrow A^t]),$$

is a strict exact sequence of abelian topological groups, there exists a topological isomorphism between $(H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}), \tau')_{\text{Haus}}$ and $(H^1(k, G^{\mathbf{D}}), \tau)_{\text{Haus}}$, and these coincide with their corresponding profinite completions. \square

4 THE TRUNCATED HOMOLOGY

Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ be a proper k -scheme. We define the *i th group of truncated homology of X* as

$$(4.1) \quad H_i(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau := \text{Hom}_{D(k_{\text{sm}})}((\tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X})[i], \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \cong H^i(k_{\text{sm}}, (\tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X})^{\mathbf{D}}).$$

This definition can be regarded in terms of Ext groups, just as van Hamel's definition (see [vH, §2]). We opt for this definition because it is more comfortable to introduce the properties of truncated homology in terms of morphisms in $D^b(k_{\text{sm}})$ and compositions of them.

In this section, we will define basic operations for the truncated homology giving the details of those properties that are not fully explained in van Hamel's paper (cf. [vH, §2.1]).

Throughout this section, X and Y will be k -schemes with structure maps $\phi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ and $\psi : Y \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ respectively.

4.1 The push-forward morphism

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of k -schemes, that is a morphism of schemes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\phi = \psi \circ f$ or equivalently the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \phi \searrow & & \swarrow \psi \\ & \text{Spec}(k) & \end{array}.$$

Firstly, we have the canonical isomorphism of derived functors

$$(4.2) \quad R\phi_* \xrightarrow{\sim} R\psi_* \circ Rf_*,$$

associated to the derived functor of the composition (see [KS, p. 334, Proposition 13.3.13]), which induces by applying the truncation functor the following canonical isomorphism of functors

$$\tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq 1} R\psi_* Rf_*.$$

Thus we obtain the following canonical isomorphism of groups

$$(4.3) \quad H_i(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{D(k_{\mathrm{sm}})}((\tau_{\leq 1} R\psi_*(Rf_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}))[i], \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, from the adjunction $f^* \dashv f_*$ and the canonical isomorphism $f^* \mathbb{G}_{m,Y} \cong \mathbb{G}_{m,X}$ (see [ÉC, p. 68, Remark II.3.1.(d)]), we obtain a canonical isomorphism

$$(4.4) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{S(X_{\mathrm{sm}})}(\mathbb{G}_{m,X}, \mathbb{G}_{m,X}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{S(Y_{\mathrm{sm}})}(\mathbb{G}_{m,Y}, f_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}).$$

Let us define $\alpha_f : \mathbb{G}_{m,Y} \rightarrow Rf_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}$ as the composition

$$\alpha_f := (\mathbb{G}_{m,Y} \rightarrow f_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X} \rightarrow Rf_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}),$$

where $\mathbb{G}_{m,Y} \rightarrow f_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}$ is induced by id_X from (4.4) and $f_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X} \rightarrow Rf_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}$ is the canonical map $\tau_{\leq 0} Rf_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X} \rightarrow Rf_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}$. Then we get a morphism

$$(4.5) \quad \tau_{\leq 1} R\psi_*(\alpha_f) : \tau_{\leq 1} R\psi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,Y} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} R\psi_*(Rf_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}).$$

In this way, we get a canonical morphism

$$(4.6) \quad f_*^{(i)} : H_i(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau \rightarrow H_i(Y, \mathbb{Z})_\tau$$

defined as the composition

$$H_i(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{D(k_{\mathrm{sm}})}((\tau_{\leq 1} R\psi_*(Rf_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}))[i], \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \xrightarrow{\theta} H_i(Y, \mathbb{Z})_\tau,$$

where the first isomorphism comes from (4.3) and θ maps a morphism

$$\eta : (\tau_{\leq 1} R\psi_*(Rf_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}))[i] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$$

to the morphism

$$\eta \circ (\tau_{\leq 1} R\psi_*(\alpha_f)[i]) : \tau_{\leq 1} R\psi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,Y}[i] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k},$$

where $\tau_{\leq 1} R\psi_*(\alpha_f)$ is the map defined in (4.5). The morphism (4.6) is called the *push-forward of f in the i th group of truncated homology*. It is direct from the definition that this construction is functorial, that is, $(g \circ f)_*^{(i)}$ and $g_*^{(i)} \circ f_*^{(i)}$ are canonically isomorphic for every pair of composable maps g and f of proper k -schemes (this isomorphism comes from (4.2)).

4.2 The truncated homology of a point

For any morphism $\pi : \mathrm{Spec} L \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$ induced by a finite separable extension L/k , we have that $\tau_{\leq 1} R\pi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,L}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\pi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,L}$. Indeed, the sheaf $R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,L}$ agrees with the étale sheafification of the presheaf

$$U \mapsto H^1((U_L)_{\mathrm{sm}}, \mathbb{G}_{m,U_L})$$

(see [FGA, p. 253, Theorem 9.2.5]). Whence we conclude by Hilbert's theorem 90. Thus, from the exact triangle

$$\pi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,L} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} R\pi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,L} \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,L} \rightarrow \pi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,L}[1],$$

we conclude that the canonical map $\pi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,L} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} R\pi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,L}$ is an isomorphism in $D(k_{\mathrm{sm}})$. Then we have that

$$H_i(\mathrm{Spec} L, \mathbb{Z})_\tau \cong H^i(k_{\mathrm{sm}}, R\mathcal{H}om_{D(k_{\mathrm{sm}})}(\pi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,L}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})).$$

Now, observe that $\pi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,L}$ is represented by the Weil restriction $R_{L/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,L})$, which is also a torus since L/k is separable. Thus, by Proposition 3.1.10, we have that

$$H_i(\mathrm{Spec} L, \mathbb{Z})_\tau \cong H^i(k_{\mathrm{sm}}, R_{L/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,L})^D).$$

Let M/k be the Galois closure of L/k . Then, if $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(M/k)$ and $\Delta := \text{Gal}(M/L)$, we have that $R_{L/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,L})^D$ corresponds to the Γ -module $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma/\Delta]$. Then, we have that

$$H_i(\text{Spec } L, \mathbb{Z})_\tau \cong H^i(k, \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma/\Delta]),$$

where the right group is the group of Galois cohomology of the $\text{Gal}(k)$ -module $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma/\Delta]$. Hence, by Shapiro's lemma, we conclude that

$$(4.7) \quad H_i(\text{Spec } L, \mathbb{Z})_\tau \cong H^i(L, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where the last group is a group of Galois cohomology of the trivial $\text{Gal}(L)$ -module \mathbb{Z} .

Now, by the definition of the push-forward (4.6), we have that the map

$$\pi_*^{(i)} : H_i(\text{Spec } L, \mathbb{Z})_\tau \rightarrow H_i(\text{Spec } k, \mathbb{Z})_\tau$$

is defined as the composition

$$H_i(\text{Spec } L, \mathbb{Z})_\tau \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{D(k_{\text{sm}})}(R_{L/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,X})[i], \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \xrightarrow{\circ \alpha_\pi[i]} H_i(\text{Spec } k, \mathbb{Z})_\tau,$$

where $\alpha_\pi : \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \rightarrow R_{L/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ is the diagonal embedding. Hence, the push-forward $\pi_*^{(i)}$ agrees with the corestriction map on Galois cohomology

$$\text{Cores}^{(i)} : H^i(L, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^i(k, \mathbb{Z}),$$

which in degree zero

$$\pi_*^{(0)} : H_0(\text{Spec } L, \mathbb{Z})_\tau \rightarrow H_0(\text{Spec } k, \mathbb{Z})_\tau$$

is just multiplication by $[L : k]$ in \mathbb{Z} via the identification $H_0(\text{Spec } L, \mathbb{Z})_\tau = H^0(L, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$.

4.3 The degree map

For every proper k -scheme $\phi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$, the pushforward $\phi_*^{(0)}$ (4.6) defines a canonical map

$$\deg_\phi : H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

via the identification $H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau = \mathbb{Z}$ (4.7). We will call \deg_ϕ the *degree map for ϕ* . Sometimes we will just write \deg_X instead of \deg_ϕ and we will call it the *degree map for X* .

Remark 4.3.1. Note that \deg is surjective whenever $X(k) \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, a morphism $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow X$ defines a section for the degree map.

Definition 4.3.2. For a proper k -scheme $\phi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$, we define the *truncated pseudo-index* $I_\tau(X)$ of X as the order of $\text{coker } \deg_\phi$.

Observe that the pushforward $\phi_*^{(i)}$ (4.6) comes from the natural map $\mathbb{G}_{m,k} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ once one takes cohomology on the dual morphism $(\tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,k})^D \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, that is,

$$\deg_\phi : H^0(k_{\text{sm}}, (\tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,k})^D) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus, we obtain the following description of $\ker \deg_\phi$.

Proposition 4.3.3. *Let X be a proper k -variety. We have the following exact sequence of abelian groups*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{k_{\text{sm}}}^1(\text{Pic}_{X/k}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \rightarrow H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau \xrightarrow{\deg_\phi} I_\tau(X) \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We have the following exact triangle

$$\mathbb{G}_{m,k} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/k}[-1] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}[1].$$

Applying the derived Cartier dual $(-)^{\mathbf{D}}$ to the triangle above, we obtain the following exact triangle in $D(k_{\text{sm}})$

$$\text{Pic}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}[1] \rightarrow (\tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,k})^{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}.$$

Applying $R\Gamma(k_{\text{sm}}, -)$ to the triangle here above, we obtain the following exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(k_{\text{sm}}, \text{Pic}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}[1]) \rightarrow H^0(k_{\text{sm}}, (\tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,k})^{\mathbf{D}}) \xrightarrow{\deg_{\phi}} \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now, $H^0(k_{\text{sm}}, \text{Pic}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}[1])$ is isomorphic to $H^1(k_{\text{sm}}, \text{Pic}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}})$ and, this last group is canonically isomorphic to $\text{Ext}_{k_{\text{sm}}}^1(\text{Pic}_{X/k}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$. \square

Another formal property of the pseudo-index is the following.

Proposition 4.3.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of proper k -varieties. Then, $I_{\tau}(Y)$ divides $I_{\tau}(X)$.*

Proof. That is a formal consequence of the functoriality of the pushforward on the truncated homology. We have the following commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\tau} & \xrightarrow{f_*^{(0)}} & H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\tau} \\ & \searrow \deg_X & \swarrow \deg_Y \\ & \mathbb{Z} & \end{array}$$

The ker-coker exact sequence (see [Be, 1.2 Product-Lemma]) yields a surjection

$$\text{coker } \deg_X \twoheadrightarrow \text{coker } \deg_Y,$$

whence, $I_{\tau}(Y)$ divides $I_{\tau}(X)$. \square

Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ be a proper k -scheme and $\pi : \text{Spec } L \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ the morphism induced by a finite extension L/k of k . By the functoriality of the push-forward in truncated homology, the following cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_L & \xrightarrow{\pi_L} & X \\ \phi_L \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ \text{Spec } L & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Spec } k \end{array}$$

yields the following commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_0(X_L, \mathbb{Z})_{\tau} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{L*}^{(0)}} & H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\tau} \\ \deg_{\phi_L} \downarrow & & \downarrow \deg_{\phi} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{[L:k]} & \mathbb{Z}. \end{array}$$

Thus we obtain the following commutative diagram of abelian groups with exact rows

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} H_0(X_L, \mathbb{Z})_{\tau} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{L*}^{(0)}} & H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\tau} & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(\pi_{L*}^{(0)}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \deg_{\phi_L} & & \downarrow \deg_{\phi} & & \downarrow \delta_L & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{[L:k]} & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{[L:k]\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Applying the Snake Lemma to (4.8), we obtain the following exact sequence of abelian groups

$$(4.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow \ker(\pi_{L*}^{(0)}) \rightarrow \ker \deg_{\phi_L} \rightarrow \ker \deg_{\phi} \rightarrow \ker \delta_L \rightarrow \text{coker } \deg_{\phi_L} \rightarrow \text{coker } \deg_{\phi} \xrightarrow{q} \text{coker } \delta_L \rightarrow 0.$$

In this way, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3.5. *Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ be a proper k -scheme. Then $I_{\tau}(X)$ divides the index $I(X)$ of X . In particular, if X has a zero-cycle of degree 1, then \deg_{ϕ} is surjective.*

Proof. Suppose that X has an L -rational point for some finite extension L/k . From the exact sequence (4.9) and Remark 4.3.1, $q : \text{coker } \deg_\phi \rightarrow \text{coker } \delta_L$ is an isomorphism. Hence $\text{coker } \deg_\phi$ is a quotient of the cyclic group $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{[L:k]\mathbb{Z}}$ and, therefore, its order $I_\tau(X)$ divides $[L:k]$. Then, $I_\tau(X)$ divides the degree of all zero-cycles of X , whence $I_\tau(X)$ divides the index $I(X)$ of X . \square

4.4 Yoneda pairing

For every k -scheme X , we have the Yoneda pairing

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} H_i(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau \times H^j(k_{\text{sm}}, \tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}) &\rightarrow H^{j-i}(k_{\text{sm}}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \\ (\gamma, \omega) &\mapsto \gamma \cdot \omega. \end{aligned}$$

induced for arbitrary i, j via the canonical map

$$H_i(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau = \text{Hom}_{D(k_{\text{sm}})}(\tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}[-i]) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^j(k_{\text{sm}}, \tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}), H^{j-i}(k_{\text{sm}}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})),$$

which maps a morphism γ to the cohomology map $H^j(\gamma)$. Here we canonically identify $H^j(k_{\text{sm}}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}[-i])$ and $H^{j-i}(k_{\text{sm}}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$. From the definition of the Yoneda pairing (4.10), we see that it is functorial on X . Thus, for every morphism of k -schemes $f : X \rightarrow Y$, the following formula holds

$$f_* \gamma \cdot \omega = \gamma \cdot f^* \omega,$$

which is deduced from the definition of the push-forward in truncated homology and pull-back in sheaf cohomology. Moreover, the pairing (4.10) is functorial on X since it is defined as composition of morphisms in $D(k_{\text{sm}})$.

5 COMPUTATIONS OF TRUNCATED HOMOLOGY

In this section, k will still be a field of characteristic zero and $\phi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ will be a proper k -variety. Recall that the Picard variety $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$ of X is an extension

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

of an abelian variety A by a connected linear group L .

5.1 A filtration on the truncated derived direct image of $\mathbb{G}_{m,X}$

The goal of this section is to compute the truncated homology of X . In order to do that, we will define a filtration of

$$\mathcal{C}_X := \tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}.$$

Recall that a filtration on derived categories is just a sequence of morphisms since in this context we do not have a notion of subobject. We will construct this filtration taking into account that $R^1\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}$ is represented by the locally algebraic group $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$, which is an extension of the finitely generated group $\text{NS}_{X/k}$ by the algebraic group $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$. Firstly, we define

$$\mathcal{F}_2 := \mathcal{C}_X, \quad \mathcal{F}_0 := \tau_{\leq 0} \mathcal{C}_X \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{-1} = 0.$$

We note that

$$\mathcal{F}_0 \cong H^0(\mathcal{C}_X) \cong \phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X} \cong \mathbb{G}_{m,k},$$

where the last isomorphism comes from the fact that X is proper and geometrically integral (see [SP, Lemma 0BUG]). Now, there is a canonical map

$$\mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \text{NS}_{X/k}[-1],$$

which is defined as the composition

$$\mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \tau_{\geq 1}\mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}_{X/k}[-1].$$

where the last arrow is induced by the canonical projection $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/k} \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}_{X/k}$ using the fact that

$$\tau_{\geq 1}\mathcal{F}_2 = \tau_{\geq 1}\mathcal{C}_X \cong H^1(\mathcal{C}_X)[-1] = (R^1\phi_*\mathbb{G}_{m,X})[-1] \cong \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}[-1].$$

Thus, we define

$$\mathcal{F}_1 := \mathrm{cone}(\mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}_{X/k}[-1])[-1],$$

and, thereby, it yields the following exact triangle

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}_{X/k}[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1[1],$$

which also defines the morphism $\mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ in the filtration. The morphism $\mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1$ is defined as follows: we have the following diagram

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{F}_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_0[1] & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{F}_0[1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{NS}_{X/k}[-1] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_1[1] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_2[1], \end{array}$$

where the first vertical map is the canonical map $\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_X$ and the second one is the trivial map. The diagram (5.2) induces a morphism of exact triangles, that is, the dashed arrow can be completed in the diagram. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_{D(k_{\mathrm{sm}})}(0, \mathcal{F}_2) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{D(k_{\mathrm{sm}})}(\mathcal{F}_0[1], \mathrm{NS}_{X/k}[-1]) = 0$, this map is in fact unique (see [KS, p. 246, Proposition 10.1.17]). Thus, shifting by -1 the dashed arrow in diagram (5.2), we define the morphism $\mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1$ in the filtration.

Now, for every $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ we define the i th graded piece \mathcal{G}_i as cone of the map $\mathcal{F}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i$. Since \mathcal{F}_{-1} is trivial by definition, the graded piece \mathcal{G}_0 agrees with \mathcal{F}_0 . This yields the following two exact triangles on $D^b(k_{\mathrm{sm}})$

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0[1]$$

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1[1].$$

Thus, we obtain a 3-term filtration of \mathcal{C}_X

$$0 = \mathcal{F}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{C}_X,$$

whose graded pieces are the following

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{G}_i \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{G}_{m,k} & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}^0[-1] & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \mathrm{NS}_{X/k}[-1] & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

5.2 The dual cofiltration

Recall that $H_i(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau = H^i(k_{\mathrm{sm}}, \mathcal{C}_X^{\mathbf{D}})$ (see (4.1)). Let us apply the derived Cartier dual $(-)^{\mathbf{D}}$ (3.1) to the filtration \mathcal{F}_\bullet of \mathcal{C}_X defined in the previous section. We obtain the following cofiltration of $\mathcal{C}_X^{\mathbf{D}}$

$$\mathcal{C}_X^{\mathbf{D}} = \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{-1}^{\mathbf{D}} = 0.$$

Moreover, applying $(-)^{\mathbf{D}}$ to triangles (5.3) and (5.4), we obtain the following two exact triangles

$$(5.6) \quad \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}}[1]$$

$$(5.7) \quad \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}}[1].$$

Since each graded piece \mathcal{G}_i is quasi-isomorphic to a complex concentrated in a single degree, we can make a direct computation of $\mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}}$. Since $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ is a torus and $\mathrm{NS}_{X/k}$ is a finitely generated group scheme, we have that

$$\mathcal{G}_0^{\mathbf{D}} \cong (\mathbb{G}_{m,k})^D \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}} \cong (\mathrm{NS}_{X/k}[-1])^{\mathbf{D}} \cong (\mathrm{NS}_{X/k})^D[1].$$

Now, the graded piece \mathcal{G}_1 is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}^0[-1]$. Then, applying $(-)^{\mathbf{D}}$ to (5.1), we obtain that

$$\mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}} \cong (\mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}^0[-1])^{\mathbf{D}} \cong (\mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}^0)^{\mathbf{D}}[1] \cong [L^D \rightarrow A^t],$$

where the last complex is concentrated in degrees -1 and 0 (see Proposition 3.1.10.d). In summary, the Cartier dual of the graded pieces \mathcal{G}_i are the following

$$(5.8) \quad \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i = 0, \\ [L^D \rightarrow A^t] & \text{if } i = 1, \\ (\mathrm{NS}_{X/k})^D[1] & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

Note that, unlike van Hamel's situation (see [vH, §2.2]), $\mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}}$ is not located at a single degree.

5.3 A formal description of the zeroth group of truncated homology

By composition, the sequence $\mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ yields the following commutative diagram

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{F}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_0[1] \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{F}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}[-1] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_0[1] \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathcal{G}_2 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathrm{NS}_{X/k}[-1] & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathcal{F}_1[1] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_1[1] & & \end{array}$$

where all rows and columns are exact triangles. The arrow $\mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}[-1]$ is the map induced by the canonical embedding $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}^0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}$. Then, taking Cartier dual to (5.9), we obtain the commutative diagram

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathrm{NS}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}[1] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}} & & & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}[1] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}[2] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}}[1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ \mathrm{NS}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}[2] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}}[1] & & & & \end{array}$$

with all rows and columns being exact triangles. Applying the derived functor $R\Gamma(k_{\text{sm}}, -)$ to (5.10), we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& H^1(k_{\text{sm}}, \text{NS}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^0(k_{\text{sm}}, \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) & & & \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
0 \rightarrow & H^1(k_{\text{sm}}, \text{Pic}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}) & \longrightarrow & H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\tau} & \longrightarrow & H^0(k_{\text{sm}}, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}}) & \rightarrow H^2(k_{\text{sm}}, \text{Pic}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow \dots \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & \downarrow \\
0 \longrightarrow & H^0(k_{\text{sm}}, \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(k_{\text{sm}}, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) & \rightarrow & H^0(k_{\text{sm}}, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}}) & \longrightarrow H^1(k_{\text{sm}}, \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) \longrightarrow \dots \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
& H^2(k_{\text{sm}}, \text{NS}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^1(k_{\text{sm}}, \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) & & &
\end{array}$$

where the left zeros come from $H^{-1}(k_{\text{sm}}, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}})$ since $\mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}} \cong \mathbb{G}_{m,k}^D \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Now, let us define M as the generalized 1-motive $[0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0]$. Then, $\mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}}$ is quasi-isomorphic to the generalized 1-motive $[L^D \rightarrow A^t]$, where L and A are defined in (5.1). Thus we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& H^1(k_{\text{sm}}, \text{NS}_{X/k}^D) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^1(k_{\text{sm}}, \text{NS}_{X/k}^D) & & & \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
0 \longrightarrow & H^1(k_{\text{sm}}, \text{Pic}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}) & \longrightarrow & H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\tau} & \xrightarrow{\deg} & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow H^2(k_{\text{sm}}, \text{Pic}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}) \longrightarrow \dots \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & \downarrow \\
0 \longrightarrow & H^0(k_{\text{sm}}, [L^D \rightarrow A^t]) & \longrightarrow & H^0(k_{\text{sm}}, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow H^1(k_{\text{sm}}, [L^D \rightarrow A^t]) \longrightarrow \dots \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
& H^2(k_{\text{sm}}, \text{NS}_{X/k}^D) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^2(k_{\text{sm}}, \text{NS}_{X/k}^D) & & &
\end{array}
\tag{5.11}$$

Using the canonical identification $H^i(k_{\text{sm}}, \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{D}}) \cong \text{Ext}_{k_{\text{sm}}}^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ for any complex of sheaves \mathcal{F} , we have that $H^i(k_{\text{sm}}, \text{Pic}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}) \cong \text{Ext}_{k_{\text{sm}}}^i(\text{Pic}_{X/k}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$. Then the left hand column in the diagram (5.11)

$$H^1(k_{\text{sm}}, \text{NS}_{X/k}^D) \rightarrow H^1(k_{\text{sm}}, \text{Pic}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^0(k_{\text{sm}}, [L^D \rightarrow A^t]) \rightarrow H^2(k_{\text{sm}}, \text{NS}_{X/k}^D)$$

can be regarded as the exact sequence of Ext groups

$$\text{Ext}_{k_{\text{sm}}}^1(\text{NS}_{X/k}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{k_{\text{sm}}}^1(\text{Pic}_{X/k}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{k_{\text{sm}}}^1(\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{k_{\text{sm}}}^2(\text{NS}_{X/k}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$$

obtained from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/k} \rightarrow \text{NS}_{X/k} \rightarrow 0.$$

6 GENERALISED TATE DUALITY

In this chapter, we will prove that the filtration pieces \mathcal{F}_i satisfy Tate duality when k is p -adic and $\phi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ is any proper k -variety. We will strongly use that for the graded pieces \mathcal{G}_i there exists Tate duality results over p -adic fields (see [ADT, Corollary 2.3] and [HS, Theorem 0.1]).

Since k has characteristic zero, the algebraic group $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$ is an extension of an abelian variety A by a linear algebraic group L , which is also decomposed as the product of a torus T and finitely many copies of the additive group \mathbb{G}_a . The following result tells us that, for cohomological purposes, we may work thinking that $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$ is a semiabelian variety.

Proposition 6.0.1. *Let X/k be a proper variety over a field k of characteristic zero. Let U be the unipotent part of $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$. The canonical projection $\pi : \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0/U$ induces the following isomorphisms of abelian groups:*

- a) $H^i(k, \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0) \cong H^i(k, \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0/U)$ for all $i \neq 0$.
- b) $H^i(k, (\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0)^{\mathbf{D}}) \cong H^i(k, (\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0/U)^{\mathbf{D}})$ for all i .

Moreover, when k is p -adic, the isomorphisms above are isomorphisms of topological groups. Furthermore, in this case, $H^0(k, \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0)^{\wedge}$ is topologically isomorphic to $H^0(k, \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0/U)^{\wedge}$.

Proof. Since k is of characteristic zero, U is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ non-negative. Then, a) follows immediately from the fact that $H^i(k, \mathbb{G}_a) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$; and b) follows from the fact that $H^i(k, \mathbb{G}_a^D) = 0$ for all i (see [Ros, Proposition 2.5.1]). When k is p -adic, every cohomology group in a) and every cohomology group in b), except for $i = 1$, is discrete by Proposition 3.5.4. Whence these isomorphisms are topological isomorphisms. Recall that $(\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0)^{\mathbf{D}}$ is the shift by -1 of a generalized 1-motive of the form $[L^D \rightarrow A^t]$ (see Proposition 3.1.10). Hence, the proof follows from Remark 3.5.5.b. \square

In order to prove the duality results for the filtration pieces, we will strongly use inductive arguments on the following exact sequences

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow H^{r-1}(k, \mathcal{G}_i) \longrightarrow H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \longrightarrow H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \longrightarrow H^r(k, \mathcal{G}_i) \longrightarrow \dots \\ \dots &\longleftarrow H^{r+1}(k, \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \longleftarrow H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{\mathbf{D}}) \longleftarrow H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \longleftarrow H^r(k, \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \longleftarrow \dots, \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1$ or 2 , induced from the exact triangles (5.3), (5.4), (5.6) and (5.7).

6.1 Tate duality for the graded pieces

In the following table, we recall the graded pieces associated to the filtration \mathcal{F}_{\bullet} defined in §5.1 and their Cartier duals.

i	\mathcal{G}_i	$\mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}}$
0	$\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$	\mathbb{Z}
1	$\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0[-1]$	$[L^D \rightarrow A^t]$
2	$\text{NS}_{X/k}[-1]$	$\text{NS}_{X/k}^D[1]$

Here, $\mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}}$ is located at degrees -1 and 0 . The cohomology groups of the graded pieces are non-trivial in finitely cases as we recall in the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1.1. *Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

- a) $H^r(k, \mathcal{G}_0^{\mathbf{D}}) = H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{G}_0) = \{0\}$ for $r \notin \{0, 2\}$.
- b) $H^r(k, \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) = H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{G}_i) = \{0\}$ for $i = 1$ or 2 and $r \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}$.

Proof. For see [ADT, Theorem I.2.1] and [HS, Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.3]. \square

Moreover, the graded pieces satisfy a Tate duality result as follows.

Proposition 6.1.2. *Let $r \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$ and $i = 0, 1$ or 2 . Then the Yoneda pairing*

$$H^r(k, \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \times H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{G}_i) \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

is non-degenerate for every $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. The above pairing induces the following continuous and perfect pairings between profinite abelian groups and discrete abelian torsion groups respectively:

- a) For $i = 0, 1$ or 2 ,

$$H^0(k, \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^{\wedge} \times H^2(k, \mathcal{G}_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

and a similar pairing with \mathcal{G}_i and $\mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}}$ interchanged. When $i = 2$, both cohomology groups are finite.

b) For $i = 1$ or 2 ,

$$H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_i)^\wedge \times H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_i^\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$H^{-1}(k, \mathcal{G}_i^\mathbf{D})^\wedge \times H^3(k, \mathcal{G}_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. For $i \in \{0, 2\}$, the result follows from Hilbert's Theorem 90 and Tate duality for finitely generated groups (see [ADT, Theorem I.2.1]). For $i = 1$, the proposition follows from Proposition 6.0.1, Tate duality for Deligne 1-motives (see [HS, Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.3]) and Proposition 3.5.6. \square

Now, recall the triangles (5.3) and (5.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_0 &\xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0[1] \\ \mathcal{F}_1 &\xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1[1]. \end{aligned}$$

Composing the maps α_1 and β , we obtain a map

$$\alpha_2 := \mathcal{F}_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \mathcal{F}_1 \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{F}_2$$

from \mathcal{F}_0 to \mathcal{F}_2 . The maps

$$(6.2) \quad \alpha_1 : \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2 : \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$$

induces isomorphisms between some cohomology groups of the filtration pieces.

Corollary 6.1.3. *Let $i = 1$ or 2 .*

a) *The maps $\alpha_i : \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i$ (6.2) and $\alpha_i^\mathbf{D} : \mathcal{F}_i^\mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0^\mathbf{D}$ induce the following isomorphisms*

$$H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_0) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \quad \text{and} \quad H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_i^\mathbf{D}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_0^\mathbf{D}).$$

b) *The map $\mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1$ and its dual map $\mathcal{G}_1^\mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1^\mathbf{D}$ induce the following isomorphisms*

$$H^3(k, \mathcal{G}_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^3(k, \mathcal{F}_1) \quad \text{and} \quad H^{-1}(k, \mathcal{F}_1^\mathbf{D}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{-1}(k, \mathcal{G}_1^\mathbf{D}).$$

Proof. Point a) follows directly from the exact sequences (6.1) and the fact that $H^0(k, \mathcal{G}_1)$ and $H^0(k, \mathcal{G}_2)$ are trivial. For b), since k is a local field, it has strict cohomological dimension 2. Hence, the groups

$$H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_0) = H^{2-r}(k, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}), \quad H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_0^\mathbf{D}) = H^r(k, \mathbb{Z})$$

are trivial for $r \notin \{0, 1, 2\}$. Thus, by the exactness of the sequences (6.1), we have the isomorphisms

$$H^3(k, \mathcal{F}_1) \cong H^3(k, \mathcal{G}_1) \quad \text{and} \quad H^{-1}(k, \mathcal{F}_1^\mathbf{D}) \cong H^{-1}(k, \mathcal{G}_1^\mathbf{D}).$$

\square

Corollary 6.1.4. *Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

a) $H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_0) = H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_0^\mathbf{D}) = \{0\}$ for $r \notin \{0, 2\}$.

b) $H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i) = H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^\mathbf{D}) = \{0\}$ for $i = 1$ or 2 and $r \notin \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$.

Proof. For a), we note that $\mathbb{G}_{m,k} = \mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{G}_0$. For b), we use the exact sequences

$$H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \rightarrow H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{G}_i) \quad \text{and} \quad H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow H^r(k, \mathcal{G}_i^\mathbf{D})$$

and Proposition 6.1.1 to conclude that the only value for r away from $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ for which the groups $H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_1)$ and $H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_1^\mathbf{D})$ could be non trivial is $r = 2$. Whence we conclude using the point a) of Corollary 6.1.3. \square

6.2 Topology on the cohomology of the filtration pieces

In order to get a duality result for the filtration pieces \mathcal{F}_i of $\mathcal{C}_X = \tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}$, it is necessary to equip its cohomology groups with a suitable topology. Recall that the graded pieces \mathcal{G}_i are, up to a shift, sheaves represented by a smooth algebraic group (see (5.5)). We summarize the topology on the cohomology groups of these pieces in the following table:

	\mathcal{G}_0	\mathcal{G}_1	\mathcal{G}_2
H^0	k^*	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
H^1	$\{0\}$	$\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0(k)$	discrete
H^2	discrete	discrete	finite discrete
H^3	$\{0\}$	discrete	discrete

Since $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{G}_0 = \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$, we equip $H^i(k, \mathcal{F}_0)$ with the same topology than $H^i(k, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$. Now, the exact triangles (5.3) and (5.4) induce the following exact sequences of abelian groups

$$(6.3) \quad H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_0) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{G}_1)$$

$$(6.4) \quad H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{G}_2)$$

We will show that there exists a natural way to topologize the groups $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_i)$ such that (6.3) and (6.4) become strict exact sequences of abelian topological groups. We only have to topologize the groups $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_i)$ for $i = 1$ or 2 and $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ since, by Corollary 6.1.4, for $n \notin \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ all of these groups are trivial.

$i = 1$ By the isomorphism $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_0) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1)$ in Corollary 6.1.3, we equip $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1)$ with the topology induced, via this isomorphism, from $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_0) = k^*$. For $n > 1$, the groups $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_0) = H^n(k, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ and $H^n(k, \mathcal{G}_1) \cong H^{n-1}(k, \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0)$ have the discrete topology. As $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_1)$ is the middle term of the exact sequence (6.3)

$$H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_0) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{G}_1),$$

it will be naturally equipped with the discrete topology for $n > 1$. For $n = 1$, the sequence (6.3) becomes

$$H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_0) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1),$$

where $H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_0) = H^1(k, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ and $H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1) \cong \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0(k)$. By Hilbert's 90 theorem, $H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_1)$ is naturally equipped with the subspace topology from $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0(k)$.

$i = 2$ By the isomorphism $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_0) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2)$ in Corollary 6.1.3, we equip $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1)$ with the topology induced, via this isomorphism, from $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_0) = k^*$. Now, the groups $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_1)$ and $H^n(k, \mathcal{G}_2) \cong H^{n-1}(k, \text{NS}_{X/k})$ have the discrete topology for $n > 1$. As $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_2)$ is the middle term of the exact sequence (6.4)

$$H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{G}_2),$$

it will be equipped with the discrete topology for $n > 1$. For $n = 1$, the sequence (6.4) becomes

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2),$$

where the zero at left comes is $H^0(k, \mathcal{G}_2) \cong H^{-1}(k, \text{NS}_{X/k}) = 0$. Since $H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2) \cong H^0(k, \text{NS}_{X/k})$ has the discrete topology, there exists a unique topology on $H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_2)$ such that the sequence above becomes strict exact (see [Ca, Proposition 4.1, Corollary 2]).

In this way, we conclude the following proposition.

Proposition 6.2.1. *For every $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a unique topology on $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_i)$ such that the sequence*

$$H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{G}_i),$$

is a strict exact sequence of first countable abelian topological groups. More precisely,

- a) $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_i)$ is topologically isomorphic to k^* ;*
- b) $H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_0)$ is trivial; $H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_1)$ is a subspace of $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0(k)$; and $H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_2)$ is an extension of a discrete group by $H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_1)$;*
- c) $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_i)$ are discrete for $n = 2, 3$. The group $H^3(k, \mathcal{F}_0)$ is trivial; and*
- d) $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_i)$ is trivial for $n \notin \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.*

Thus, $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_i)$ is Hausdorff, locally compact, totally disconnected, second countable and compactly generated.

Proof. The topological properties of $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_i)$ follows from the previous construction and [Str, p. 58, Theorem 6.15]. \square

Similarly, we will treat the case of the Cartier dual of the filtration pieces. Recall that the dual graded pieces $\mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}}$ are, up to a shift, either sheaves represented by a smooth algebraic group or a generalized 1-motive (see (5.8)). In the following table, we summarize the topology on the cohomology groups of the dual graded pieces:

	$\mathcal{G}_0^{\mathbf{D}}$	$\mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}}$	$\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}}$
H^{-1}	$\{0\}$	discrete	$\text{NS}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}(k)$
H^0	discrete	$H^0(k, [T^{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow A^t])$	finite discrete
H^1	$\{0\}$	discrete	discrete
H^2	discrete	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$

Since $\mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}} = \mathcal{G}_0^{\mathbf{D}} = \mathbb{G}_{m,k}^{\mathbf{D}} \cong \mathbb{Z}$, we equip $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}})$ with the discrete topology for all n . As we have done earlier, we will use the exact sequences

$$(6.5) \quad H^n(k, \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}})$$

$$(6.6) \quad H^n(k, \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})$$

induced by the exact triangles (5.6) and (5.7), to define a topology on $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})$. By Corollary 6.1.4, $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})$ is trivial for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $n \notin \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$. Thus,

$\boxed{i=1}$ By the isomorphism $H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}})$ in Corollary 6.1.3, we equip $H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})$ with the discrete topology. Now, the group $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})$ is the middle term of the exact sequence (6.5)

$$H^n(k, [T^{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow A^t]) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Since $H^n(k, \mathbb{Z})$ has the discrete topology for every n , there exists a unique topology on $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})$ such that the sequence above is strict exact. In particular, $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})$ will have discrete topology when $n = -1$ or 1 since, in these cases, $H^n(k, [T^{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow A^t])$ is also discrete.

$\boxed{i=2}$ By the isomorphism $H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}})$ in Corollary 6.1.3, we equip $H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})$ with the discrete topology. Now, $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})$ is the middle term of the exact sequence (6.6)

$$H^{n+1}(k, \text{NS}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}}).$$

Note that for $n = -1$ or 1 , the right side term has the discrete topology. Then there exists a unique topology on $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})$ such that the sequence above becomes strict exact for $n = -1$ or 1 . For $n = 0$, the situation is different

and more delicate. The following exact triangle (see (5.9))

$$\mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0[1]$$

yields the following exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(k_{\mathrm{sm}}, \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then, since \mathbb{Z} is discrete, there exists a unique topology on $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})$ such that the exact sequence above becomes a strict exact sequence of abelian topological groups. Thus, the rows of the following commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(k_{\mathrm{sm}}, \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) & \xrightarrow{\deg} & \mathbb{Z} \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(k_{\mathrm{sm}}, [T^D \rightarrow A^t]) & \longrightarrow & H^0(k_{\mathrm{sm}}, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

(see diagram (5.11)) are strict exact sequences of abelian topological groups. By Proposition 3.5.7, f is continuous and, therefore, g is continuous since \mathbb{Z} is discrete (see [RM, Corollary 3.18]). Then, applying $(-)_\mathrm{Haus}$ to the diagram above yields the following commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(k_{\mathrm{sm}}, \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}})_\mathrm{Haus} & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})_\mathrm{Haus} & \xrightarrow{\deg} & \mathbb{Z} \\ & & \downarrow f_\mathrm{Haus} & & \downarrow g_\mathrm{Haus} & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(k_{\mathrm{sm}}, [T^D \rightarrow A^t])_\mathrm{Haus} & \longrightarrow & H^0(k_{\mathrm{sm}}, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})_\mathrm{Haus} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}, \end{array}$$

whose rows are strict exact (see [RM, Lemma 2.13]) and f_Haus is strict continuous (see Proposition 3.5.7). Hence, by Corollary 3.18 in [RM], g_Haus is a continuous and strict homomorphism of abelian topological groups. Thus,

$$H^1(k, \mathrm{NS}_{X/k}^D) \rightarrow H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})_\mathrm{Haus} \rightarrow H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})_\mathrm{Haus}.$$

is a strict exact sequence of abelian topological groups. Further, by Proposition 3.20 in [RM], any pair of topologies on $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})$ satisfying that the sequence

$$H^1(k, \mathrm{NS}_{X/k}^D) \rightarrow H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}}).$$

is strict exact, have isomorphic Hausdorff quotients.

Similar to Proposition 6.2.1, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 6.2.2. *For every $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a topology on $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})$ such that the sequence*

$$H^n(k, \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{\mathbf{D}}),$$

is a strict exact sequence of first countable abelian topological groups. These topologies are unique except possibly for $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})$, in which case the separation $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})_\mathrm{Haus}$ of $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})$ is uniquely determined. More precisely,

- a) $H^{-1}(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})$ has discrete topology and for $i = 0$ this group is trivial;*
- b) $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}})$ has the discrete topology; the group $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})$ is an extension of a discrete group by the (possibly non-Hausdorff) group $H^0(k, [T^D \rightarrow A^t])$; and the group $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})$ is an extension of a subgroup of $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})$ by a discrete finite group.*
- c) $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})$ has discrete topology for every $n \in \{1, 2\}$. The group $H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}})$ is trivial; and*
- d) $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})$ is trivial for $n \notin \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$.*

Thus, $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})$ is locally compact, totally disconnected and compactly generated; and it is Hausdorff, except possibly $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})$ and $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})$.

Corollary 6.2.3. *We have the following topological extensions of Hausdorff locally compact abelian topological groups*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(k, [T^D \rightarrow A^t])_{\text{Haus}} &\rightarrow H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})_{\text{Haus}} \rightarrow \text{im}(H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}})) \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow H^1(k, \text{NS}_{X/k}^D) &\rightarrow H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})_{\text{Haus}} \rightarrow \text{im}(H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}}))_{\text{Haus}} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for every $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})_{\text{Haus}}$ is Hausdorff, locally compact, totally disconnected, second countable and compactly generated.

Proof. The two topological extensions above follow from Proposition 6.2.2 and Lemma 2.13 in [RM]. For the assertion about the topological properties of $H^n(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})_{\text{Haus}}$, we only have to prove the second countability by Proposition 6.2.2. This follows from [CdIH, Proposition 2.C.8(2)]⁴. \square

6.3 Continuity of the boundary maps

We start determining which cohomology groups of the filtration pieces are torsion. Recall that an abelian torsion group is *of cofinite type* if its n -torsion subgroup is finite for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The following lemma recalls some properties about torsion groups.

Lemma 6.3.1. *Let $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ be an exact sequence of abelian groups. Then, B is torsion (resp. of cofinite type) whenever both A and C are torsion (resp. of cofinite type). In particular, if a morphism of torsion abelian groups $B \rightarrow C$ has finite kernel and C is of cofinite type, then B is of cofinite type too.*

Proof. Clearly if A and C are torsion, then so is B . Now, let us suppose that A and C are also of cofinite type. We have the following exact sequence

$$(6.7) \quad \ker(g) \cap B[n] \rightarrow B[n] \rightarrow C[n].$$

From the exactness of the main exact sequence we have that

$$\ker(g) \cap B[n] = \text{im}(f) \cap B[n] \subseteq \text{im}(f)[n].$$

Thus for concluding the proof we only have to note that $\text{im}(f)[n]$ is finite (see the exact sequence (6.7)). Let b be in $\text{im}(f)[n]$. Then there exists $a \in A$ such that $f(a) = b$ and $f(na) = nf(a) = 0$. Since f is injective, we conclude that $a \in A[n]$ and therefore $\text{im}(f)[n] \subseteq f(A[n])$. Hence $\text{im}(f)[n]$ is finite since so is $A[n]$. \square

Using the previous lemma we can determine which cohomology groups of the filtration pieces are torsion.

Proposition 6.3.2. *Let $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Then,*

- a) $H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i)$ is torsion for $r \in \{2, 3\}$. When $r = 2$, it is torsion of cofinite type; and
- b) $H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})$ is torsion for $r \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof.

- a) Let $r \in \{2, 3\}$. We have the following exact sequence

$$H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \rightarrow H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow H^r(k, \mathcal{G}_i).$$

By Proposition 6.1.2 we know that $H^r(k, \mathcal{G}_i)$ is torsion for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Then, by Lemma 6.3.1 and an inductive argument using the fact that $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{G}_0$, we only have to prove that $H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_1)$ is torsion. This assertion follows from Corollary 6.1.3.a.

- b) Similarly, using the exact sequence

$$H^r(k, \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{\mathbf{D}}),$$

⁴In [CdIH], all topological groups are assumed to be Hausdorff.

Lemma 6.3.1 and triviality of the group $H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}}) \cong H^1(k, \mathbb{Z})$, we only have to prove that $H^r(k, \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}})$ is torsion for all $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $r \in \{1, 2\}$. This last assertion follows from Proposition 6.1.2. \square

The following lemma will allow us to take profinite completion without disrupting exactness in (6.1).

Lemma 6.3.3. *The boundary maps of (6.1) have finite images. More precisely,*

- a) $H^r(k, \mathcal{G}_i) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1})$ has finite image for $(r, i) \in \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 2)\}$;
- b) $H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(k, \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}})$ has finite image for $(r, i) \in \{(0, 1), (0, 2), (-1, 2)\}$.

In any other case, boundary maps are zero.

Proof. The vanishing of boundary maps away from the selected cases is directly obtained from the fact that in these cases either the source or the target of the map are zero maps (see Proposition 6.1.2 and Corollary 6.1.4).

- a) For $(r, i) = (2, 2)$ the source of the boundary map is $H^2(k, \mathcal{G}_2) = H^1(k, \text{NS}_{X/k})$, which is finite by Proposition 6.1.2, therefore its image is also finite. For $(r, i) = (1, 2)$ the source of the boundary map is the finitely generated group $H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2) \cong \text{NS}_{X/k}(k)$ and the target is $H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_1)$, which is torsion by Corollary 6.3.2, then its image is finite. For $(r, i) = (1, 1)$, we have the following morphism of exact triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{F}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_0[1] \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \mathbb{G}_{m,k} & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,k} & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{X/k}[-1] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{m,k}[1], \end{array}$$

which induces the following commutative exact diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(k) & & \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ \text{Pic}_{X/k}(k) & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(k) & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X}) \end{array}$$

Note that $\text{Br}(k) \rightarrow H^2(k, \tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,X})$ factors through the restriction map $\text{Br}(k) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X)$ via the standard filtration $\tau_{\leq 0} \mathbb{G}_{m,X} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} \mathbb{G}_{m,X} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 2} \mathbb{G}_{m,X}$. Then, the image of the boundary map $H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1) \rightarrow \text{Br}(k)$ is contained in the kernel of $\text{Br}(k) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X)$, which is finite (see [CTSkko, Proposition 5.4.4]).

- b) For $(r, i) = (-1, 2)$ the target of the boundary map is $H^0(k, \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) = H^1(k, \text{NS}_{X/k}^{\mathbf{D}})$, which is finite by Proposition 6.1.2. For $(r, i) = (0, 1)$, the source of the boundary map is $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and the target is $H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}})$, which is torsion by Proposition 6.1.2, therefore its image is finite. For $(r, i) = (0, 2)$, the boundary map

$$\partial : H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2) \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_1)$$

is strict continuous since its source and target are discrete; and it has finite image by the previous point. Then ∂ factors as

$$H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2)^\wedge \xrightarrow{\hat{\partial}} H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_1)$$

by Lemma 3.4.2. Thus, from the Yoneda pairing, we get the following commutative diagram

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ \text{Hom}(H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_1), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2)^\wedge, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}), \end{array}$$

where the right hand vertical arrow of (6.8) is an isomorphism by Proposition 6.1.2. Moreover the bottom arrow in (6.8) is continuous since $\hat{\partial}$ is continuous. On the other hand, the group $H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_1)$ is discrete

by Proposition 6.2.1 and torsion by Corollary 6.3.2, thereby the group $\text{Hom}(H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_1), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is a profinite abelian group by Pontryagin duality. Similarly, we have that $\text{Hom}(H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2)^\wedge, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is a discrete abelian torsion group since $H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2)^\wedge$ is profinite abelian group. Then the image of the bottom arrow in (6.8) is finite since it is compact and discrete. Hence the boundary map $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2^\mathbf{D})$ has finite image. \square

In the following result we conclude the strict continuity of the sequences in (6.1).

Corollary 6.3.4. *All boundary maps in (6.1) are continuous and strict.*

Proof. We note that in the selected cases of Lemma 6.3.3 all the boundary maps have discrete target and the only ones whose source is not discrete are the cases (1, 1) of a) and (0, 2) of b). Hence, away from these cases, the boundary maps are strict continuous. Thus we only have to check the continuity of the boundary maps $H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1) \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_0)$ and $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2^\mathbf{D})$, that is, we have to prove that the kernels of these maps are open since the target of these maps are discrete by the Propositions 6.2.1 and 6.2.2. By Lemma 6.3.3, the kernels of the maps

$$H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1) \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_0) \quad \text{and} \quad H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2^\mathbf{D})$$

have finite index in $H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1)$ and $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^\mathbf{D})$ respectively. On one hand, the group $H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0(k)$. Since $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$ is a semiabelian variety over a p -adic field, all its finite index subgroup are open (see [RM, Example 3.11]). Hence, the boundary map $H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1) \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_0)$ is continuous. On the other hand, the group $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^\mathbf{D})$ is a topological extension of a discrete group by $H^0(k, [T^D \rightarrow A^t])$. Hence, since every finite index subgroup of $H^0(k, [T^D \rightarrow A^t])$ is open (see Example 3.11 *loc. cit.*), every finite index subgroup of $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^\mathbf{D})$ is open (see Proposition 3.10 *loc. cit.*). In particular, $\ker(H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2^\mathbf{D}))$ is open in $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^\mathbf{D})$ and, therefore, the boundary map $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2^\mathbf{D})$ is continuous. \square

Corollary 6.3.5. *The map $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^\mathbf{D})$ is open.*

Proof. By Corollary 6.3.4, the boundary map $\partial : H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2^\mathbf{D})$ is continuous. Hence the image of $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^\mathbf{D})$ is open since $H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2^\mathbf{D})$ is discrete. Hence ∂ is open since ∂ it is also strict. \square

6.4 Tate duality for the filtration pieces

In this section we will prove that the filtration pieces satisfy a duality result as in Proposition 6.1.2. In order to do that, it is necessary to do the following two topological operations: Pontryagin dual and profinite completion. Thus, we must ensure that when applying Pontryagin dual and profinite completion to certain exact sequences the exactness will not be disrupted.

Throughout this section, we will use the following two commutative diagrams of abelian groups

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} H^{1-r}(k, \mathcal{G}_i) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) & \longrightarrow & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i) & \longrightarrow & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{G}_i) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^{3-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \epsilon \\ H^{r+1}(k, \mathcal{G}_i^\mathbf{D})^* & \longrightarrow & H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^\mathbf{D})^* & \longrightarrow & H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^\mathbf{D})^* & \longrightarrow & H^r(k, \mathcal{G}_i^\mathbf{D})^* & \longrightarrow & H^{r-1}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^\mathbf{D})^* \end{array}$$

and

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} H^{r-1}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^\mathbf{D}) & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & H^r(k, \mathcal{G}_i^\mathbf{D}) & \longrightarrow & H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^\mathbf{D}) & \longrightarrow & H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^\mathbf{D}) & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & H^{r+1}(k, \mathcal{G}_i^\mathbf{D}) \\ \downarrow \alpha' & & \downarrow \beta' & & \downarrow \gamma' & & \downarrow \delta' & & \downarrow \epsilon' \\ H^{3-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1})^* & \longrightarrow & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{G}_i)^* & \longrightarrow & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i)^* & \longrightarrow & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1})^* & \longrightarrow & H^{1-r}(k, \mathcal{G}_i)^* \end{array}$$

where all groups above are regarded as abelian topological groups via the assignment made in Section 6.2. In the case of $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^\mathbf{D})$, we have to choose a topology, say τ (see Proposition 6.2.2). Thus, all rows of diagrams (6.9) and (6.10) are strict exact sequences of abelian topological groups.

Proposition 6.4.1. *The Yoneda pairing for the filtration pieces \mathcal{F}_i*

$$(6.11) \quad H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \times H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

is continuous for every $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Note that for $r \notin \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$, the left-side of the pairing (6.11) is trivial. Moreover, by Propositions 6.2.1 and 6.2.2, in (6.11) always appears a discrete group. Furthermore, for $r = -1, 2$ or 3 , both groups in the left-side of (6.11) are discrete. Then, by Proposition 3.4.7, we only have to check the continuity of the middle vertical map $\gamma : H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^*$ in (6.9) or the middle vertical map $\gamma' : H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i)^*$ in (6.10) as appropriate and only when $r = 0, 1$. We will prove this result inductively using the diagrams (6.9) and (6.10). Thus we may assume that, in these diagrams, the second vertical maps β and β' and the fourth vertical maps δ and δ' are continuous.

For $r = 0$, we have to check the continuity of $\gamma' : H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_i)^*$, which is automatically continuous for $i = 0$ since, in this case, $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})$ is discrete. For $i = 1$, the result follows from point *a*) in Proposition 3.22 in [RM] and the fact that $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}})$ is discrete. For $i = 2$, the result follows from point *a*) in Proposition 3.22 in [RM], Corollary 6.2.3 and the fact that both $H^0(k, \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}})$ and $H^2(k, \mathcal{G}_2)$ are discrete and finite.

For $r = 1$, we have to check the continuity of $\gamma : H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^*$, which is automatically continuous for $i = 0$ since, in this case, both the source and target of γ are trivial. For $i = 1$, the result follows from the continuity of $\delta : H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^*$ (see Proposition 6.1.2). For $i = 2$, the result follows from point *a*) in Proposition 3.22 in [RM] and the fact that $H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2)$ is discrete. \square

Proposition 6.4.2. *The Yoneda pairing for the filtration pieces \mathcal{F}_i*

$$H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \times H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

is non-degenerate for every $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, these cohomology groups are trivial for $r \notin \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

Proof. We start proving that the middle vertical maps $\gamma : H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^*$ and $\gamma' : H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i)^*$ in (6.9) and (6.10), respectively, are injective. By Proposition 6.1.2, the Yoneda pairing for $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{G}_0 = \mathbb{G}_m$ is non-degenerate. Hence, using a recursive argument, we may assume that all vertical arrows but the middle one of (6.9) and (6.10) are injective. Observe that, since all boundary maps have finite image (see Lemma 6.3.3), we may replace α (resp. α') by α^\wedge (resp. α'^\wedge) without disrupting neither the exactness nor the commutativity, whenever the target of α (resp. α') is profinite (see Lemma 3.4.2). Thus, γ will be injective under one of the following conditions:

- (1) α (resp. α') is an isomorphism.

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} H^{1-r}(k, \mathcal{G}_i) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) & \longrightarrow & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i) & \longrightarrow & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{G}_i) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^{3-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \epsilon \\ H^{r+1}(k, \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^r(k, \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^{r-1}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{\mathbf{D}})^* \end{array}$$

$$(6.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} H^{r-1}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{\mathbf{D}}) & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & H^r(k, \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) & \longrightarrow & H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) & \longrightarrow & H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{\mathbf{D}}) & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & H^{r+1}(k, \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \\ \downarrow \alpha' & & \downarrow \beta' & & \downarrow \gamma' & & \downarrow \delta' & & \downarrow \epsilon' \\ H^{3-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1})^* & \longrightarrow & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{G}_i)^* & \longrightarrow & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i)^* & \longrightarrow & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1})^* & \longrightarrow & H^{1-r}(k, \mathcal{G}_i)^* \end{array}$$

(2) α^\wedge (resp. α'^\wedge) is an isomorphism when the target of α (resp. α') is profinite.

$$(6.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} H^{1-r}(k, \mathcal{G}_i)^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\partial^\wedge} & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) & \longrightarrow & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i) & \longrightarrow & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{G}_i) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^{3-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \\ \downarrow \alpha^\wedge & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \epsilon \\ H^{r+1}(k, \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^r(k, \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^{r-1}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{\mathbf{D}})^* \end{array}$$

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} H^{r-1}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{\mathbf{D}})^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\partial'^\wedge} & H^r(k, \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) & \longrightarrow & H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) & \longrightarrow & H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{\mathbf{D}}) & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & H^{r+1}(k, \mathcal{G}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \\ \downarrow \alpha'^\wedge & & \downarrow \beta' & & \downarrow \gamma' & & \downarrow \delta' & & \downarrow \epsilon' \\ H^{3-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1})^* & \longrightarrow & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{G}_i)^* & \longrightarrow & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i)^* & \longrightarrow & H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_{i-1})^* & \longrightarrow & H^{1-r}(k, \mathcal{G}_i)^* \end{array}$$

(3) β (resp. β') has trivial source and target.

For $i = 1$, on one hand, in diagram (6.12), for $r = -1, 1, 3$ and $r = 2$ the source and target of β and α , respectively, are trivial and, therefore, condition (3) and (1) are satisfied, respectively. For $r = 0$, in diagram (6.14), condition (2) is satisfied by Proposition 6.1.2. Hence, γ is injective in this case. On the other hand, in diagram (6.13), for $r = -1, 0, 2$ and 3 , the source and target of α' and β' respectively are trivial and, therefore, conditions (1) and (3) are satisfied, respectively. For $r = 1$, in diagram (6.15), condition (2) is satisfied by Proposition 6.1.2. Hence, γ' is injective in this case.

For $i = 2$, on one hand, in diagram (6.12), for $r = 1, 2, 3$ the condition (1) is satisfied since the source and target of α are trivial. For $r = -1$, α is an isomorphism by Proposition 6.1.2. For $r = 0$, in diagram (6.14), the condition (2) is satisfied by Proposition 6.1.2. Hence, γ is injective in this case. On the other hand, in diagram (6.13), for $r = 2, 3$ and -1 the source and target of β' and α' , respectively, are trivial (see Corollary 6.1.3) and, therefore, conditions (3) and (1) are satisfied, respectively. For $r = 0$, in diagram (6.14), condition (2) is satisfied by Corollary 6.1.3 and Proposition 6.1.2. For $r = 1$, in diagram (6.15), condition (2) is satisfied by the point *a*) of Proposition 6.4.3, whose proof will be given below.

For $r \notin \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ the cohomology groups in the pairing are trivial by Lemma 6.1.3. In this way, we deduce the non-degenerateness of the pairing. \square

Proposition 6.4.3. *The Yoneda pairing for the filtration pieces \mathcal{F}_i*

$$H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \times H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

induces continuous perfect pairings between the following profinite abelian groups and discrete abelian torsion groups, respectively:

- a) $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^\wedge$ and $H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_i)$;
- b) $H^{-1}(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^\wedge$ and $H^3(k, \mathcal{F}_i)$.

Proof. By Proposition 6.4.1, the Yoneda pairing

$$H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \times H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

is continuous. Moreover, in this pairing, there is always a discrete group, whence we obtain the pairings *a*) and *b*). Now, we will prove the perfection of these new pairings.

a) We have to check the perfection of the pairing

$$H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^\wedge \times H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

induced by the Yoneda pairing. In order to do that, we have to check that

- i. $\gamma : H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^*$, in diagram (6.9) for $r = 0$, is bijective; and
- ii. $\gamma'^\wedge : H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^\wedge \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_i)^*$, induced by γ' in diagram (6.10) for $r = 0$, is bijective.

For $i = 0$, the perfection of the pairing follows from Proposition 6.1.2.

For $i = 1$, diagram (6.10) becomes the following commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$(6.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}}) \xrightarrow{\partial'} H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) \\ & & \downarrow \beta' & & \downarrow \gamma' & & \downarrow \delta' & & \downarrow \epsilon' \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathcal{G}_1)^* & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_1)^* & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_0)^* & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1)^*. \end{array}$$

whose rows are strict exact. Moreover, the image of ∂' is finite by Lemma 6.3.3, and $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is discrete and finitely generated. Hence, by Lemma 3.4.2 and Remark 3.4.1, diagram (6.16) induces the following commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^{\wedge} & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^{\wedge} & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}})^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{\partial'^{\wedge}} H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) \\ & & \downarrow \beta'^{\wedge} & & \downarrow \gamma'^{\wedge} & & \downarrow \delta'^{\wedge} & & \downarrow \epsilon' \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathcal{G}_1)^* & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_1)^* & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_0)^* & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1)^*. \end{array}$$

whose rows are strict exact. By Proposition 6.1.2, $\beta'^{\wedge}, \delta'^{\wedge}$ and ϵ' are topological isomorphisms. Hence, the map γ'^{\wedge} is a topological isomorphism (see [RM, Theorem 4.1]). Finally, diagram (6.9) gives us the following commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_0) & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_1) & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathcal{G}_1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \delta \\ H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

whose rows are strict exact. The image of ∂' is finite by Lemma 6.3.3. Then, by Lemma 3.4.2, we can change α by α^{\wedge} in the diagram above and obtain the following commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1)^{\wedge} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_0) & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_1) & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathcal{G}_1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha^{\wedge} & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \delta \\ H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

whose rows are strict exact. By Proposition 6.1.2, α^{\wedge}, β and δ are isomorphisms and therefore γ is an isomorphism.

For $i = 2$, we have the following commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$(6.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} H^{-1}(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & H^0(k, \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})_{\text{Haus}} & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})_{\text{Haus}} \xrightarrow{\partial'} H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) \\ & & \downarrow \alpha' & & \downarrow \beta' & & \downarrow \gamma' & & \downarrow \delta' & & \downarrow \epsilon' \\ H^3(k, \mathcal{F}_1)^* & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathcal{G}_2)^* & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_2)^* & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_1)^* & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2)^*. \end{array}$$

whose rows are strict exact. Moreover, the image of ∂' is finite by Lemma 6.3.3, $H^0(k, \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}})$ is finite and $H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}})$ is discrete and finitely generated. Hence, by Lemma 3.4.2 and Remark 3.4.1, the diagram (6.17) induces the following commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^{-1}(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^{\wedge} & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & H^0(k, \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})^{\wedge} & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{\partial'} H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) \\ & & \downarrow \alpha'^{\wedge} & & \downarrow \beta' & & \downarrow \gamma'^{\wedge} & & \downarrow \delta'^{\wedge} & & \downarrow \epsilon' \\ H^3(k, \mathcal{F}_1)^* & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathcal{G}_2)^* & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_2)^* & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_1)^* & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2)^*. \end{array}$$

whose rows are strict exact. By Proposition 6.1.2 and the previous case (for $i = 1$), $\alpha'^{\wedge}, \beta', \delta'^{\wedge}$ and ϵ'^{\wedge} are topological isomorphisms. Hence, the map γ'^{\wedge} is a topological isomorphism (see [RM, Theorem 4.1]). Finally, as in the previous case, we also conclude that the map $H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})^*$ is an isomorphism.

- b) By Corollary 6.1.3, $H^{-1}(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) \cong H^{-1}(k, \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}})$ and $H^3(k, \mathcal{G}_1) \cong H^3(k, \mathcal{F}_1)$ for every $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Hence, the pairing

$$H^{-1}(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \times H^3(k, \mathcal{F}_i)^{\wedge} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

induced by the Yoneda pairing is continuous and perfect by Proposition 6.1.2.

□

Proposition 6.4.4. *The Yoneda pairing for the filtration pieces \mathcal{F}_i*

$$H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \times H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

induces continuous perfect pairings between the following discrete abelian torsion groups and profinite abelian groups, respectively:

- c) $H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})$ and $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_i)^{\wedge}$;
- d) $H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})$ and $H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_i)^{\wedge}$.

Proof. By Proposition 6.4.1, the Yoneda pairing

$$H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \times H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

is continuous. Moreover, in this pairing, there is always a discrete group, whence we obtain the pairings c) and d). Now, we will prove the perfection of these new pairings.

- c) By Corollary 6.1.3, $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \cong H^0(k, \mathcal{G}_0)$ and $H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \cong H^2(k, \mathcal{G}_0^{\mathbf{D}})$ for every $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Hence, the pairing

$$H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \times H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_i)^{\wedge} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

induced by the Yoneda pairing is continuous and perfect by Proposition 6.1.2.

- d) We have to check the perfection of the pairing

$$H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \times H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_i)^{\wedge} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

induced by the Yoneda pairing. In order to do that, we have to check that

- i. $\gamma : H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^*$, in diagram (6.9) for $r = 1$, is bijective; and
- ii. $\gamma'^{\wedge} : H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^{\wedge} \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_i)^*$, induced by γ' in diagram (6.10) for $r = 1$, is bijective.

For $i = 0$ the map $H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_0)^{\wedge} \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}})^*$ is an isomorphism trivially because both groups are trivial (Hilbert's 90 theorem and $H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$).

For $i = 1$, we have the commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_1) & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_0) \\ & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \epsilon \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}})^*, \end{array}$$

whose rows are strict exact. By Lemma 6.3.3, the boundary map ∂ has finite image. Then, by Lemma 3.4.2 and Remark 3.4.1, we obtain a commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$(6.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_1)^{\wedge} & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1)^{\wedge} & \xrightarrow{\partial^{\wedge}} & H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_0) \\ & & \downarrow \gamma^{\wedge} & & \downarrow \delta^{\wedge} & & \downarrow \epsilon \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathbf{D}})^*, \end{array}$$

whose rows are strict exact. By Proposition 6.1.2, the maps δ^{\wedge} and ϵ are topological isomorphisms, therefore γ^{\wedge} is a topological isomorphism (see [RM, Theorem 4.1]). Finally, applying Pontryagin dual to diagram (6.18), we conclude that $H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow (H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_1)^{\wedge})^*$ is also an isomorphism.

For $i = 2$, we have the following commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_1) & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_2) & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_1) \\ & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \epsilon \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^* \end{array}$$

whose rows are strict exact. The boundary map ∂ has finite image by Lemma 6.3.3, and $H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2)$ is discrete and finitely generated. Then, by Lemma 3.4.2 and Remark 3.4.1, we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_1)^\wedge & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_2)^\wedge & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2)^\wedge \xrightarrow{\partial^\wedge} H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_1) \\ & & \downarrow \beta^\wedge & & \downarrow \gamma^\wedge & & \downarrow \delta^\wedge & & \downarrow \epsilon \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbf{D}})^* & \longrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{D}})^*, \end{array}$$

whose rows are strict exact. By the previous case ($i = 1$) and Proposition 6.1.2, $\beta^\wedge, \delta^\wedge$ and ϵ are topological isomorphisms, therefore, γ^\wedge is also a topological isomorphism. As the previous point, applying Pontryagin dual, we conclude that $H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow (H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_2)^\wedge)^*$ is also an isomorphism. Whence we conclude the perfection and continuity of the Yoneda pairing

$$H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_2)^\wedge \times H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

In this way, we finish the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 6.4.5. Observe that $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})^\wedge$ does not depend on the chosen topology τ . Indeed, $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})^\wedge$ is isomorphic to $(H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})_{\text{Haus}})^\wedge$ and, by Proposition 6.2.2, $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{D}})_{\text{Haus}}$ does not depend on τ .

Combining Propositions 6.4.1, 6.4.2, 6.4.3 and 6.4.4, the main result of this thesis is obtained.

Theorem 6.4.6. *The Yoneda pairing for the filtration pieces \mathcal{F}_i*

$$H^r(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}}) \times H^{2-r}(k, \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

is non-degenerate for every $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, this pairing induces continuous perfect pairings between the following profinite abelian groups and discrete abelian torsion groups, respectively:

- a) $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^\wedge$ and $H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_i)$;
- b) $H^{-1}(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})^\wedge$ and $H^3(k, \mathcal{F}_i)$.

and induces continuous perfect pairings between the following discrete abelian torsion groups and profinite abelian groups, respectively:

- c) $H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})$ and $H^0(k, \mathcal{F}_i)^\wedge$;
- d) $H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_i^{\mathbf{D}})$ and $H^1(k, \mathcal{F}_i)^\wedge$.

Furthermore, these cohomology groups are trivial for $r \notin \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

As an immediate corollary of this theorem we get the following result, which gives a formal description of the Pontryagin dual of the algebraic Brauer group of a proper variety defined over a p -adic field. In particular, it gives a formal generalization of Lichtenbaum duality for any proper curve over a p -adic field.

Corollary 6.4.7. *We have a perfect continuous pairing*

$$H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau^\wedge \times \text{Br}_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, when X curve, this pairing gives a topological isomorphism

$$\text{Br}(X)^* \cong H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau^\wedge.$$

Proof. The first assertion follows from taking $i = 2$, $r = 0$ in Theorem 6.4.6 and using the fact that

$$H^2(k, \mathcal{F}_2) = H^2(k, \tau_{\leq 1} R\phi_* \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \cong \mathrm{Br}_1(X)$$

(see [GA2, Lemma 3.1.iv]). The last assertion follows from the fact that the group $\mathrm{Br}_1(X)$ agrees with $\mathrm{Br}(X)$ whenever X is a curve (see [CTsko, Proposition 5.6.1.iv]). \square

The description of $\mathrm{Br}_1(X)^*$ given by the Corollary 6.4.7 carries the lack of knowledge about what the group $H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau$ is for an arbitrary proper k -variety X . In the next section, we give an explicit description of $H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau$, relating it with $H_0(X', \mathbb{Z})_\tau$, where $\nu : X' \rightarrow X$ is the normalization map.

7 APPLICATIONS

Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$ be a proper variety over a p -adic field k . Let $\phi' : X' \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$ be the normalization of X and let $\nu : X' \rightarrow X$ be the normalization map. The pushforward $\nu_*^{(0)} : H_0(X', \mathbb{Z})_\tau \rightarrow H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau$ induced by ν yields the following commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}_{k_{\mathrm{sm}}}^1(\mathrm{Pic}_{X'/k}, \mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & H_0(X', \mathbb{Z})_\tau & \xrightarrow{\deg_{\phi'}} & \mathrm{I}_\tau(X')\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow \nu_*^{(0)} & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}_{k_{\mathrm{sm}}}^1(\mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}, \mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau & \xrightarrow{\deg_\phi} & \mathrm{I}_\tau(X)\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

whose rows are exact (see Proposition 4.3.3) and the right vertical arrow is injective (see Proposition 4.3.4). Then, $\ker \nu_*^{(0)} \cong \ker \eta$ and $\mathrm{coker} \nu_*^{(0)}$ fits in the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{coker} \eta \rightarrow \mathrm{coker} \nu_*^{(0)} \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{I}_\tau(X)\mathbb{Z}}{\mathrm{I}_\tau(X')\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow 0.$$

We will give an explicit description of $\ker \eta$ and $\mathrm{coker} \eta$ in the case of pinched varieties.

7.1 Pinched varieties

Let X' be a projective variety over k and let $\iota' : Y' \rightarrow X'$ be a closed subscheme of X' . Further, let $\psi : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a finite and surjective morphism. By [Bri2, §2.1], there exists a co-cartesian and cartesian diagram of k -schemes

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{\iota'} & X' \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\iota} & X, \end{array}$$

where ι is a closed immersion; ν is finite and surjective and induces an isomorphism $X' \setminus Y' \rightarrow X \setminus Y$; and X is a proper variety over k . We say that X is obtained by pinching X' along Y' via ψ . Furthermore, there exists an exact sequence of locally algebraic groups

$$(7.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mu^{Y'/Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k} \xrightarrow{\nu^*} \mathrm{Pic}_{X'/k} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mu^{Y'/Y}$ is a connected linear group defined as the cokernel of $R_{Y/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,Y}) \xrightarrow{\psi^*} R_{Y'/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,Y'})$ (see [Bri2, Proposition 2.4]). Then, the sequence of algebraic groups

$$(7.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mu^{Y'/Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}^0 \xrightarrow{\nu^*} \mathrm{Pic}_{X'/k}^0 \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Moreover, if X' is geometrically normal, then $\mathrm{Pic}_{X'/k}^0$ is an abelian variety (see [Bri1, Proposition 6.2.2]) and, therefore, L is the linear part of $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}^0$ (see [Bri2, Remark 2.6]). In particular, when k is p -adic and X' is normal, the Picard variety $\mathrm{Pic}_{X'/k}^0$ is an abelian variety. In this case, X' is the normalization of X . Thus, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 7.1.1. *Let k be a p -adic field. Let X be the pinching of a normal projective k -variety X' along Y' via $\psi : Y' \rightarrow Y$ (see (7.1)). Let L be the group $\mu^{Y'/Y}$ in (7.2). Then, $\nu : X' \rightarrow X$ induces a morphism $H_0(X', \mathbb{Z})_\tau \rightarrow H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau$ that fits in the following exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{im}(L^D(k) \xrightarrow{\partial} (\operatorname{Pic}_{X'/k}^0)^t(k)) \rightarrow H_0(X', \mathbb{Z})_\tau \rightarrow H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})_\tau \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0,$$

where ∂ is the connecting map obtained by applying $(-)^D$ to (7.3) and F is a finite group that is an extension

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(k, L^D) \rightarrow F \rightarrow \frac{I_\tau(X)\mathbb{Z}}{I_\tau(X')\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Remark 7.1.2. Observe that, when X' has a k -point, $(\operatorname{Pic}_{X'/k}^0)^t$ is canonically isomorphic to $\operatorname{Alb}_{X'/k}^0$ (see [Bri1, Proposition 6.2.1]). In this case, ∂ in Proposition 7.1.1 may be regarded as a morphism $L^D(k) \xrightarrow{\partial} \operatorname{Alb}_{X'/k}^0(k)$.

REFERENCES

- [AB] Maurice Auslander and David Buchsbaum. *Unique factorization in regular local rings*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 45: 733–734, 1959.
- [Be] F. Rudolf Beyl. *The connecting morphism in the Kernel-Cokernel sequence*. Archiv der Mathematik, 32(1): 305–308, 1979.
- [Bre] Lawrence Breen. *Extensions of abelian sheaves and Eilenberg-MacLane algebras*. Inventiones Mathematicae, 9: 15–44, 1970.
- [Bri1] Michel Brion. *Some structure theorems for algebraic groups*. Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, 94, 2017.
- [Bri2] Michel Brion. *Which algebraic groups are Picard varieties?*. Science China Mathematics, 58(3): 461–478, 2014.
- [Bro] Sylvain Brochard. *Duality for Commutative Group Stacks*. International Mathematics Research Notices, 2021, 2021 (3): 2321–2388.
- [GTBou] Nicolas Bourbaki. *General Topology: Chapters 1–4*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1995.
- [Ca] Lorenzo Calabi. *Sur les extensions des groupes topologiques*. Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, 32(1): 295–370, 1951.
- [CTSan] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène, Jean-Jacques Sansuc. *La descente sur les variétés rationnelles, II*. Duke Mathematical Journal, 54(2): 375–492, 1987.
- [CTsko] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Alexei N. Skorobogatov. *The Brauer-Grothendieck group*. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2021.
- [Con] Brian Conrad. *Weil and Grothendieck approaches to adelic points*. L’Enseignement Mathématique, 58(1): 61–97, 2012.
- [CdlH] Yves Cornuier and Pierre de la Harpe. *Metric Geometry of Locally Compact Groups*. EMS Tracts in Mathematics. EMS Press, 2016.
- [Č] Kestutis Česnavičius. *Topology on cohomology of local fields*. Forum of Mathematics. Sigma, 3: e16, 2015.
- [FGA] Barbara Fantechi, Lothar Göttsche, Luc Illusie, Steven Kleiman, Nitin Nitsure and Angelo Vistoli. *Fundamental Algebraic Geometry (Grothendieck’s FGA explained)*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [FG] Ronald O. Fulp and Phillip A. Griffith. *Extensions of locally compact abelian groups I*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 154: 341–356, 1971.
- [GA1] Cristian Gonzalez-Aviles. *Local duality theorems for commutative algebraic groups*. <http://www.arxiv.org/abs/2305.08699>, 2024.
- [GA2] Cristian Gonzalez-Aviles. *The units-Picard complex and the Brauer group of a product*. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 222(9): 2746–2772, 2018.
- [EGAIV] Alexander Grothendieck. *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas (Quatrième Partie)*. Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques. Publications Mathématiques, 32, 1967.
- [GC] David Harari. *Galois cohomology and class field theory*. Universitext, Springer, Cham, 2020.
- [HS] David Harari and Tamás Szamuely. *Arithmetic duality theorems for 1-motives*. Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik, 578: 93–128, 2005.
- [KS] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira. *Categories and Sheaves*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [L] Stephen Lichtenbaum. *Duality theorems for curves over p -adic fields*. Inventiones Mathematicae, 7: 120–136, 1969.
- [ADT] James Milne. *Arithmetic Duality Theorems (Second Edition)*. BookSurge, LLC, 2006.
- [ÉC] James Milne. *Étale cohomology*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.
- [Ra] Niranjana Ramachandran. *Duality of Albanese and Picard 1-motives*. K-theory, 22: 271–301, 2001.
- [RZ] Luis Ribes and Pavel Zalesskii. *Profinite Groups*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2000.
- [RM] Felipe Rivera-Mesas. *Topologies on abelian groups and a topological five-lemma*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.20559>, 2025.

- [Ros] Zev Rosengarten. *Tate Duality In Positive Dimension Over Function Fields (PhD Thesis)*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.00522>, 2023.
- [RR] Gabriel Ribeiro and Zev Rosengarten. *Extensions of Abelian Schemes and the Additive Group*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2506.17393v1>, 2025.
- [Ser] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Cohomologie galoisienne (Second edition)*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 5, Springer, 1997.
- [SGA7I] Pierre Deligne , Michèle Raynaud , D. S. Rim , Alexander Grothendieck , Michel Raynaud. *Groupes de Monodromie en Géométrie Algébrique*. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967-1969. (SGA 7 I), 1972.
- [SP] The Stacks Project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.
- [Str] Stroppel, Markus. *Locally compact groups*. EMS Textbooks in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2006.
- [vH] Joost van Hamel. *Lichtenbaum-Tate duality for varieties over p -adic fields*. Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik, 575: 101-134, 2004.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE

Email address: `felipe.rivera.m@ug.uchile.cl`