

REVISITING DE MOIVRE–LAPLACE

RAPHAËL CERF

ABSTRACT. We revisit the proof of the de Moivre–Laplace theorem, which is the ancestor of the central limit theorem for the binomial distribution. Our goal is to provide a proof that can be reasonably presented to undergraduate students within a basic course of probability theory. We follow the strategies presented in two classical references, the books of Breiman and Feller, but we replace the arguments involving series expansions of the logarithm or the exponential by the basic inequality $\exp(t) \geq 1 + t$. This way we avoid completely the use of uniform convergence and power series. We also avoid using Stirling’s formula, instead we use the exact formula for the Wallis integral. As a by product of the proof, we also obtain a non-asymptotic inequality linking the binomial and the Gaussian distributions.

1. INTRODUCTION.

I am in charge of an introductory course on Probability at University Paris–Saclay, for second-year undergraduate students. The course focuses solely on discrete probability, but my dream is to show the students the central limit theorem, or rather a simplified version of it. This would help them understand the need to develop the continuous side of probability theory, and would serve as an excellent bridge before entering the more advanced probability course involving distribution with densities, and possibly measure theory.




FIGURE 1. The laws $B(n, \frac{1}{2})$, $\mathcal{N}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{4})$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 20, 40, 50, 100$

Of course, it is out of the question to aim for the general central limit theorem. A sensible goal would be to prove the de Moivre–Laplace theorem, which is the ancestor of the central limit theorem for the binomial distribution. It was obtained in the symmetric case $p = \frac{1}{2}$ by Abraham de Moivre in 1733 and it appeared in the second edition of *The Doctrine of Chances*, published in 1738 [1]. It is illustrated in figure 1, which presents the histograms of the first binomial distributions. Laplace proved the result in 1812 for any value of p in $]0, 1[$ (see chapter III of [2]). Here is the theorem, in all its splendor, presented in a modern form.

Theorem 1 (de Moivre–Laplace). *Let $p \in]0, 1[$. For each $n \geq 1$, let X_1, \dots, X_n be n independent Bernoulli random variables with parameter p , i.e.,*

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \quad P(X_i = 0) = 1 - p, \quad P(X_i = 1) = p.$$

For any real numbers $a < b$, the following limit holds:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(a < \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n - np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}} < b\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_a^b e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds.$$




FIGURE 2. The Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$

So I searched the literature for an elementary exposition of the de Moivre–Laplace theorem. The Wikipedia page for the de Moivre–Laplace theorem presents the sketches of two possible arguments. The first one is based on a differential equation, which can be made rigorous (see [3]), but is not very intuitive. The second one follows more the historical approach and relies on Stirling’s formula. Amazingly enough, this theorem, which is almost 300 years old, is still the subject of recent papers [4, 5] (these are technical refinements and they are not suited for preparing an undergraduate lecture).

The best sources I found were in fact contained in two classical books of probability. Leo Breiman [6] presents a three pages proof in the section entitled “The bell-shaped curve enters”. William Feller, in his legendary book [7], devotes a full chapter to the normal approximation of the binomial distribution. These are the most natural and obvious places to look, and indeed these two references provide a full and elementary derivation. Both references present first the specific case of the symmetric binomial distribution, where the formulas are simpler and the computations easier.

So I wondered: would it be a pleasant and profitable experience for the students to be exposed to these proofs ? Profitable, certainly, they would learn a lot from it. Pleasant, I am not so sure, because the proofs involve technical computations, which seem unavoidable. Let us discuss the passages that are likely to leave many of our students behind, however willing they may be.

- The most off-putting part of Breiman's proof is the use of a uniform expansion of the logarithm. Throughout the calculation, a residual term appears, denoted successively by $\varepsilon_{j,n}$, $\varepsilon'_{j,n}$, $\Delta_{j,n}$, where the index j varies in a set of integers R_n . The point is that these error terms vanish uniformly over j in R_n as n goes to ∞ . The concern is that our students, at the beginning of their second year, have not yet mastered uniform convergence.

- Let us look at Feller's book, chapter VII, section 2: "Orientation: Symmetric distribution". The proof seems less technical than the one of Breiman, with apparently no nasty computations. Yet, upon further examination, a crucial step is the approximation presented on formula (2.3) page 180 of [7],

$$(1) \quad 1 + \frac{j}{\nu} = e^{\frac{j}{\nu} + \dots},$$

where it is said that "the dots indicate terms which add to less than j/ν^2 ." The rigorous justification comes at the end of the section. It relies on the series expansion of the logarithm, which is compared with an adequate geometric series, in order to control the error in (1), uniformly over j much smaller than ν . The problem is that our students have not yet learned the series expansions of classical functions. At this point, it seems that the experience would be very unpleasant, not only for the students but also for the teacher, who would find himself explaining a delicate new tool in the middle of an already complicated proof. Hence, our question remains unresolved : Is it reasonable and possible to present a proof of the de Moivre-Laplace theorem in the symmetric case to students who do not know series expansion nor uniform convergence ?

Our purpose here is to show that the answer is in fact positive. We present a variant of the proofs appearing in the books of Breiman and Feller, in which the series expansions are replaced by the basic convexity inequality

$$(2) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \exp(t) \geq 1 + t.$$

This is absolutely fine, at least in France, where the exponential function is initially introduced to the students as the only function which is equal to its derivative and whose value at 0 is 1; inequality (2) can be easily derived from the identity

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \exp(t) - 1 = \int_0^t \exp(s) ds.$$

To sum up, the prerequisites necessary to confidently tackle the proof that follows are basic knowledge of integral calculus and the following elementary inequalities:

$$(3) \quad \forall t \in [-1, 1[\quad \frac{1}{1-t} \geq 1 + t,$$

$$(4) \quad \forall t \in [0, 1/2] \quad \frac{1}{1-t} \leq 1 + 2t.$$

These inequalities are straightforward. We simply multiply by $1 - t$, develop and remove 1 from each side. The first one reduces to $0 \geq -t^2$. The second one reduces to $0 \leq t(1 - 2t)$, which holds for $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$.

With this background knowledge, we will prove completely theorem 1. We start with de Moivre theorem in section 2, which is substantially simpler than the general case $p \in]0, 1[$. For an educational presentation in class, our recommendation is to explain the proof of the upper bound for a symmetric interval. This corresponds to the first part of section 2, that is approximately two pages, knowing that we have written a detailed proof with all the intermediate steps. This should fit into a one-hour lecture. If one wishes to go for the full proof, then one could add the proof of the lower bound, which nevertheless has the same flavor as the upper bound. However, the lower bound is slightly more difficult, the inequalities are less straightforward than for the upper bound. Within a two hours lecture, I could quietly present the theorem and its history, state the general version of the central limit theorem and perform the detailed proof of the upper bound in the symmetric case, and the students (at least some of them) looked quite happy!

Modern expositions of the de Moivre–Laplace theorem usually makes appeal to the famous Stirling formula (this is the case of both Breiman and Feller [6, 7]). If we incorporate Stirling’s formula in our initial luggage, we arrive faster at the desired conclusion. However, Stirling’s formula is not necessary at all. In fact, a simpler result, namely the formula for the Wallis integral, is more than enough to complete our proof. As a by product, we obtain the following non-asymptotic inequality linking the binomial and the Gaussian distributions:

$$(5) \quad \forall x > 0 \quad \forall n \geq \max(4x^2, 2)$$

$$\left| P\left(\left|S_n - \frac{n}{2}\right| < x \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\right) - \int_{-x}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right| \leq e^{\frac{4x^3+8}{\sqrt{n}}} - 1.$$

The literature abounds with more sophisticated inequalities linking the binomial and the Gaussian distributions, see for instance [4, 5, 8]. One of the most powerful is Tusnady’s inequality (see [9] and the references therein). Hipp and Mattner [5] provide lower and upper bounds for the difference of the distribution functions of the symmetric binomial and the Gaussian law of the form c/\sqrt{n} , with optimal values for the constant c . Yet the advantage of the inequality (5) lies in the simplicity of its proof.

The proof of the general case $p \in]0, 1[$ is more involved, it is done in section 3. We would not recommend presenting it in the classroom. We include it here, because it is simpler than the proofs we found in the existing literature: it does not require a series expansion, and it relies on the same elementary inequalities than we used for de Moivre theorem. However, the use of these inequalities is more tricky, because in the symmetric case there is a magical cancellation which leads to a considerable simplification of the formulas. Even if this material does not seem suitable for a first presentation in class, the proof of the general case would provide an excellent topic for a homework assignment, allowing students to deepen and generalize the argument of the symmetric case.

2. DE MOIVRE THEOREM.

We consider here the symmetric case $p = 1/2$, and also the case of a symmetric interval. Let $x > 0$ and let us set

$$\forall n \geq 1 \quad P_n(x) = P\left(\left|S_n - \frac{n}{2}\right| < x \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\right).$$

We deal first with the case of even integers n , which makes the formulas better looking. We fix $n \geq 1$ and we start by writing down the expression of $P_{2n}(x)$:

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{2n}(x) &= P\left(|S_{2n} - n| < x\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k \in \{0, \dots, 2n\} \\ |k-n| < x\sqrt{n/2}}} P(S_{2n} = k) = \sum_{\substack{k \in \{0, \dots, 2n\} \\ |k-n| < x\sqrt{n/2}}} \binom{2n}{k} \frac{1}{2^{2n}}. \end{aligned}$$

We make the change of variable $j = k - n$, so that (6) becomes

$$(7) \quad P_{2n}(x) = \sum_{\substack{j \in \{-n, \dots, n\} \\ |j| < x\sqrt{n/2}}} \binom{2n}{n+j} \frac{1}{2^{2n}}.$$

We take apart the term for $j = 0$, and we use the symmetry of the binomial coefficient to rewrite (7) as

$$(8) \quad P_{2n}(x) = \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \binom{2n}{n} + 2 \sum_{\substack{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ j < x\sqrt{n/2}}} \binom{2n}{n+j} \frac{1}{2^{2n}}.$$

This formula is the starting point of the computations. For $j \geq 1$, we have

$$(9) \quad (n+j)! = n!(n+1) \cdots (n+j),$$

$$(10) \quad (n-j)! = \frac{n!}{(n-j+1) \cdots n},$$

As in the classical arguments of Breiman and Feller, we use (9) and (10) to rewrite the binomial coefficient $\binom{2n}{n+j}$ with the help of the central binomial coefficient $\binom{2n}{n}$ as follows:

$$(11) \quad \binom{2n}{n+j} = \frac{(2n)!}{(n+j)!(n-j)!} = \binom{2n}{n} \frac{(n-j+1) \cdots n}{(n+1) \cdots (n+j)}.$$

We introduce next a notation for the product appearing in (11),

$$(12) \quad \forall j \geq 1 \quad \pi(j, n) = \frac{(n-j+1) \cdots n}{(n+1) \cdots (n+j)} = \prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{n+\ell-j}{n+\ell}.$$

We set also $\pi(0, n) = 1$. With this new notation, the formula (8) becomes

$$(13) \quad P_{2n}(x) = P(S_{2n} = n) \left(1 + 2 \sum_{\substack{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ j < x\sqrt{n/2}}} \pi(j, n) \right).$$

2.1. Upper bound. Let us fix $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. To prepare for the upper bound, we rewrite $\pi(j, n)$ as follows:

$$(14) \quad \pi(j, n) = \prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{n+\ell-j}{n+\ell} = \prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \left(1 - \frac{j}{n+\ell} \right),$$

and we use inequality (2) to deduce from (14) that

$$(15) \quad \pi(j, n) \leq \exp \left(- \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{j}{n+\ell} \right) \leq \exp \left(- \frac{j^2}{n+j} \right).$$

Next, we use the inequality (3) to obtain

$$(16) \quad \frac{j^2}{n+j} = \frac{j^2}{n} \frac{1}{1+\frac{j}{n}} \geq \frac{j^2}{n} \left(1 - \frac{j}{n}\right).$$

Substituting successively (16) in (15) and (14), we conclude that

$$(17) \quad \pi(j, n) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{j^2}{n} + \frac{j^3}{n^2}\right).$$

With the upper bound of $\pi(j, n)$ in hand, we compute an upper bound on $P_{2n}(x)$. Let us fix $x > 0$ and let n be an integer such that $n \geq x^2$. This ensures that

$$(18) \quad 1 \leq j < x\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} \implies j \leq n, \quad \frac{j^3}{n^2} \leq \frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2n}}.$$

For these values of j , the inequality (17) holds, and we have, thanks to (18),

$$(19) \quad 1 \leq j < x\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} \implies \pi(j, n) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{j^2}{n} + \frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2n}}\right).$$

Substituting inequality (19) into the sum appearing in (13), we get

$$(20) \quad P_{2n}(x) \leq P(S_{2n} = n) \left(1 + 2e^{\frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2n}}} \sum_{1 \leq j < x\sqrt{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{j^2}{n}\right)\right).$$

Since the function $\exp(-t)$ is decreasing on $[0, +\infty[$, then

$$(21) \quad \forall j \geq 1 \quad \exp\left(-\frac{j^2}{n}\right) \leq \int_{j-1}^j e^{-\frac{t^2}{n}} dt.$$

Substituting inequality (21) into the sum appearing in (20), we get

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{2n}(x) &\leq P(S_{2n} = n) \left(1 + 2e^{\frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2n}}} \sum_{1 \leq j < x\sqrt{n/2}} \int_{j-1}^j e^{-\frac{t^2}{n}} dt\right) \\ &\leq P(S_{2n} = n) \left(1 + 2e^{\frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2n}}} \int_0^{x\sqrt{n/2}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{n}} dt\right). \end{aligned}$$

We make the change of variable $s\sqrt{n/2} = t$ in (22) and the inequality becomes

$$P_{2n}(x) \leq P(S_{2n} = n) \left(1 + \sqrt{2n} e^{\frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2n}}} \int_0^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds\right).$$

We finally factorize \sqrt{n} , we use the symmetry of the integrand, and we introduce the convenient normalizing factor $\sqrt{2\pi}$:

$$(23) \quad P_{2n}(x) \leq \sqrt{n\pi} P(S_{2n} = n) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi}} + e^{\frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2n}}} \int_{-x}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right).$$

2.2. Lower bound. Let us fix $j \in \{1, \dots, n/2\}$. To prepare for the lower bound, we rewrite $\pi(j, n)$ as follows:

$$(24) \quad \pi(j, n) = \prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{n + \ell - j}{n + \ell} = \prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{j}{n + \ell - j}}.$$

Notice that

$$(25) \quad \forall \ell \in \{1, \dots, j\} \quad \frac{j}{n + \ell - j} \leq \frac{j}{n + 1 - j} \leq \frac{n/2}{n/2 + 1} < 1.$$

The inequality (2) readily implies that

$$(26) \quad \forall t \in [0, 1] \quad \frac{1}{1 + t} \geq \exp(-t),$$

and we use this inequality to deduce from (25) and (24) that

$$(27) \quad \pi(j, n) \geq \prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \exp\left(-\frac{j}{n + \ell - j}\right) = \exp\left(-\sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{j}{n + \ell - j}\right).$$

Next, we bound crudely from above the argument of the exponential :

$$(28) \quad \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{j}{n + \ell - j} \leq \frac{j^2}{n - j},$$

and we use the inequality (4) to obtain

$$(29) \quad \frac{j^2}{n - j} = \frac{j^2}{n} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{j}{n}} \leq \frac{j^2}{n} \left(1 + 2\frac{j}{n}\right).$$

Substituting successively (29) in (28) and (27), we conclude that

$$(30) \quad \pi(j, n) \geq \exp\left(-\frac{j^2}{n} - 2\frac{j^3}{n^2}\right).$$

Notice that Feller obtained the better inequality where the 2 in (30) is replaced by 1 (see [7], page 180, between formulas (2.3) and (2.4)). However, his computation relies on a series expansion and a comparison with an adequate geometric series. For the upper bound, we obtained the inequality (17), which is the same as the one of Feller.

With the lower bound of $\pi(j, n)$ in hand, we compute a lower bound on $P_{2n}(x)$. Let us fix $x > 0$ and let n be an integer such that $n \geq 2x^2$. This ensures that

$$(31) \quad 1 \leq j < x\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} \implies j \leq \frac{n}{2}, \quad \frac{j^3}{n^2} \leq \frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2n}}.$$

Using the inequalities (30) and (31) into the sum appearing in (13), we get

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{2n}(x) &\geq P(S_{2n} = n) \left(1 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < x\sqrt{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{j^2}{n} - \frac{x^3}{\sqrt{2n}}\right)\right) \\ &\geq P(S_{2n} = n) \left(2e^{-\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{2n}}} \sum_{0 \leq j < x\sqrt{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{j^2}{n}\right) - 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the function $\exp(-t)$ is decreasing on $[0, +\infty[$, then

$$(33) \quad \forall j \geq 0 \quad \exp\left(-\frac{j^2}{n}\right) \geq \int_j^{j+1} e^{-\frac{t^2}{n}} dt.$$

Substituting inequality (33) into the sum appearing in (32), we get

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{2n}(x) &\geq P(S_{2n} = n) \left(2e^{-\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{2n}}} \sum_{0 \leq j < x\sqrt{n/2}} \int_j^{j+1} e^{-\frac{t^2}{n}} dt - 1 \right) \\ &\geq P(S_{2n} = n) \left(2e^{-\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{2n}}} \int_0^{x\sqrt{n/2}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{n}} dt - 1 \right) \\ &\geq \sqrt{n\pi} P(S_{2n} = n) \left(e^{-\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{2n}}} \int_{-x}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have made the same final steps as in the upper bound to get the last formula, namely the change of variable $s\sqrt{n/2} = t$, the use of symmetry of the integrand and factorization of $\sqrt{n\pi}$.

2.3. Conclusion. It follows from Stirling's formula that

$$(35) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n\pi} P(S_{2n} = n) = 1.$$

Using (35) and passing to the limit in the inequalities (23) and (34), we conclude that

$$(36) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{2n}(x) = \int_{-x}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

2.4. The case of odd integers. So far, we have dealt only with the subsequence of the even integers. For the odd integers, we could proceed as Breiman to show that the infimum limit and the supremum limit of the sequence $(P_n(x))_{n \geq 0}$ coincide along the even and odd integers. Or we could simply say that the previous inequalities can be adapted with minor modifications to handle the case of odd integers. However, this would be a bit unfair, because there do exist differences between the two cases, and the work has to be done if one really wishes to obtain the correct inequalities. So we present next an accelerated version of the derivation of these inequalities, which rests nevertheless on the inequalities derived in the even case.

Let us fix $n \geq 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2n+1}(x) &= P\left(\left|S_{2n+1} - \frac{2n+1}{2}\right| < \frac{x}{2}\sqrt{2n+1}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k \in \{0, \dots, 2n+1\} \\ |k - \frac{2n+1}{2}| < \frac{x}{2}\sqrt{2n+1}}} P(S_{2n+1} = k) = \sum_{\substack{k \in \{0, \dots, 2n+1\} \\ |k - n - \frac{1}{2}| < \frac{x}{2}\sqrt{2n+1}}} \binom{2n+1}{k} \frac{1}{2^{2n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k \in \{0, \dots, n\} \\ n + \frac{1}{2} - k < \frac{x}{2}\sqrt{2n+1}}} \binom{2n+1}{k} \frac{1}{2^{2n+1}} + \sum_{\substack{k \in \{n+1, \dots, 2n+1\} \\ k - n - \frac{1}{2} < \frac{x}{2}\sqrt{2n+1}}} \binom{2n+1}{k} \frac{1}{2^{2n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We perform the change of variables $j = n - k$ in the first sum and $j = k - n - 1$ in the second sum. Using the symmetry of the binomial coefficient, we see that the

two sums are in fact equal and we get

$$(37) \quad P_{2n+1}(x) = 2 \sum_{\substack{j \in \{0, \dots, n\} \\ j + \frac{1}{2} < \frac{x}{\sqrt{2n+1}}}} \binom{2n+1}{n-j} \frac{1}{2^{2n+1}}.$$

This formula is the analog for the odd case of formula (8). Notice that we did not have to isolate the central term, because when n is even, the symmetric binomial distribution possesses two central terms, which are naturally incorporated in the sum. We rewrite next the binomial coefficient $\binom{2n+1}{n-j}$ with the help of the central binomial coefficient $\binom{2n+1}{n}$ as follows:

$$\binom{2n+1}{n-j} = \frac{(2n+1)!}{(n-j)!(n+1+j)!} = \binom{2n+1}{n} \frac{(n-j+1) \cdots n}{(n+2) \cdots (n+j+1)}.$$

We define

$$\forall j \geq 0 \quad \tilde{\pi}(j, n) = \frac{(n-j+1) \cdots n}{(n+2) \cdots (n+j+1)} = \prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{n+\ell-j}{n+\ell+1},$$

and the formula (37) becomes

$$(38) \quad P_{2n+1}(x) = 2P(S_{2n+1} = n) \sum_{\substack{j \in \{0, \dots, n\} \\ j + \frac{1}{2} < \frac{x}{\sqrt{2n+1}}}} \tilde{\pi}(j, n).$$

We could then proceed as in the even case to bound $\tilde{\pi}(j, n)$ from above and from below. Instead, we notice that

$$(39) \quad \forall j \in \{0, \dots, n\} \quad \tilde{\pi}(j, n) = \frac{n+1}{n+j+1} \pi(j, n),$$

and we take advantage of the work already done on $\pi(j, n)$: the inequalities (17), (30) and the identity (39) yield that, for $j \in \{1, \dots, n/2\}$,

$$(40) \quad \frac{n+1}{n+j+1} \exp\left(-\frac{j^2}{n} - 2\frac{j^3}{n^2}\right) \leq \tilde{\pi}(j, n) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{j^2}{n} + \frac{j^3}{n^2}\right).$$

Let us fix $x > 0$ and let n be an integer such that $n \geq x^2$. Setting

$$(41) \quad x_n = \frac{x}{2} \sqrt{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2},$$

we have, thanks to the concavity of the square root function,

$$(42) \quad x_n \leq x \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4n}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \leq x \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} + \frac{x}{\sqrt{32n}} - \frac{1}{2} < x \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

It follows from (41) and (42) that

$$0 < j + \frac{1}{2} < \frac{x}{2} \sqrt{2n+1} \implies 0 \leq j < x \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Thus we can use (18) to conclude from (40) that, for the indices j appearing in the sum (38), we have

$$(43) \quad \frac{n}{n+x\sqrt{n}} \exp\left(-\frac{j^2}{n} - \frac{x^3}{\sqrt{2n}}\right) \leq \tilde{\pi}(j, n) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{j^2}{n} + \frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2n}}\right).$$

Substituting inequalities (43) into the sum (38), and performing the same steps as for the even case, we get

$$(44) \quad e^{-\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{2n}}} \int_0^{x_n+1} e^{-\frac{t^2}{n}} dt \leq \frac{P_{2n+1}(x)}{2P(S_{2n+1}=n)} \leq 1 + e^{\frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2n}}} \int_0^{x_n} e^{-\frac{t^2}{n}} dt.$$

We see from (41) that $x_n + 1 \geq x\sqrt{n/2}$. We use also the inequality (42), we make the change of variable $s\sqrt{n/2} = t$ in (44) and we get

$$e^{-\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{2n}}} \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} \int_0^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds \leq \frac{P_{2n+1}(x)}{2P(S_{2n+1}=n)} \leq 1 + \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} e^{\frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2n}}} \int_0^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds.$$

We finally factorize \sqrt{n} , we use the symmetry of the integrand, and we introduce the convenient normalizing factor $\sqrt{2\pi}$:

$$(45) \quad e^{-\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{2n}}} \int_{-x}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \leq \frac{P_{2n+1}(x)}{\sqrt{n\pi}P(S_{2n+1}=n)} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} + e^{\frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2n}}} \int_{-x}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

The inequalities (45) readily imply that

$$(46) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{2n+1}(x) = \int_{-x}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}},$$

and it follows finally from (36) and (46) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(|S_n - \frac{n}{2}| < x \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\right) = \int_{-x}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

It is then an easy matter to obtain the result for an arbitrary interval: just apply the previous result to two intervals $[-x, x]$ and $[-y, y]$, with $x < y$, subtract the two results and use the symmetry of the binomial and Gaussian distributions.

2.5. Avoiding Stirling's formula. Let us discuss now the role played by Stirling's formula. In the previous argument, it is used only to prove the limit (35). However this limit can be proved directly with the help of the Wallis integrals. These are defined as

$$\forall n \geq 0 \quad W_n = \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin t)^n dt.$$

Let us recall some classical facts on the sequence $(W_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Since the sinus takes its values in $[0, 1]$ on the interval $[0, \pi/2]$, then

$$(47) \quad \forall n \geq 1 \quad W_{n+1} \leq W_n \leq W_{n-1}.$$

An integration by parts yields the classical recurrence formula

$$(48) \quad \forall n \geq 2 \quad nW_n = (n-1)W_{n-2}.$$

Multiplying (48) by W_{n-1} , we obtained the remarkable fact that

$$(49) \quad \forall n \geq 2 \quad nW_n W_{n-1} = W_1 W_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

We can compute the exact values of the integrals by iterating formula (48):

$$(50) \quad W_{2n} = \frac{(2n-1) \times \cdots \times 1}{(2n) \times \cdots \times 2} \frac{\pi}{2},$$

$$(51) \quad W_{2n+1} = \frac{(2n) \times \cdots \times 2}{(2n+1) \times \cdots \times 3}.$$

It follows also from (48) that $W_{n+1} \sim W_{n-1}$ as n goes to ∞ . This fact, combined with the inequalities (47), yields that $W_n \sim W_{n+1}$ as n goes to ∞ . It follows then from (49) that $n(W_n)^2 \sim \pi/2$, whence

$$(52) \quad W_n \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2n}} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The Wallis integrals are usually employed to prove Stirling's formula. In a first step, one proves that $n! \sim C(n/e)^n \sqrt{n}$, where C is a positive constant. In a second step, one inserts this estimate in the formula for W_{2n} and uses the estimate (52) to conclude that $C = \sqrt{2\pi}$. However, if one only wishes to prove the limit (35), then these two steps, and in fact all the asymptotic computations of the equivalents, can be completely bypassed. The trick consists in rewriting the formulas (50) and (51) as product of factorials:

$$W_{2n} = \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n}(n!)^2} \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad W_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{2n+1} \frac{2^{2n}(n!)^2}{(2n)!}.$$

The central probability $P(S_{2n} = n)$ pops up naturally and we see that

$$(53) \quad W_{2n} = P(S_{2n} = n) \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad W_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{(2n+1)P(S_{2n} = n)}.$$

From there, we could easily deduce the limit (35) from the asymptotic equivalent (52). However, we can do it even more simply and efficiently. Indeed, let us look at the ratio W_{2n}/W_{2n+1} . On one hand, from the expressions (53), we have

$$(54) \quad \frac{W_{2n}}{W_{2n+1}} = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \pi (P(S_{2n} = n))^2.$$

On the other hand, it follows from the inequalities (47) and the recurrence relation (48) that

$$(55) \quad 1 \leq \frac{W_{2n}}{W_{2n+1}} \leq \frac{W_{2n-1}}{W_{2n+1}} = \frac{2n+1}{2n} = 1 + \frac{1}{2n}.$$

Combining (54) and (55), we conclude that, for any $n \geq 1$,

$$1 \leq \sqrt{\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \pi P(S_{2n} = n)} \leq \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2n}},$$

which we rewrite in the more convenient form

$$(56) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2n}}} \leq \sqrt{n\pi} P(S_{2n} = n) \leq 1.$$

The inequalities (56) readily yield the desired limit (35). For the odd integers, we notice that

$$P(S_{2n+1} = n) = \frac{2n+1}{2n+2} P(S_{2n} = n),$$

and we deduce from (56) that

$$(57) \quad \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right)^{3/2}} \leq \sqrt{n\pi} P(S_{2n+1} = n) \leq 1.$$

Sending n to ∞ in (57), we obtain the counterpart of the limit (35) for the odd integers.

2.6. Non-asymptotic inequalities. One merit of our proof is that it produces interesting non-asymptotic inequalities. We restate next these inequalities, and we combine them with the inequalities coming from the Wallis integrals. Let $n \geq 1$ and $x > 0$ be such that $n \geq x^2$. Inequalities (23) and (56) yield

$$(58) \quad \begin{aligned} P\left(|S_{2n} - n| < x\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}\right) &\leq e^{\frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2n}}} \int_{-x}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi}} \\ &\leq \int_{-x}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + e^{\frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2n}}} - 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi}}, \end{aligned}$$

because the integral is less than 1. Using inequality (2) with $t = 1/\sqrt{n\pi}$, we have

$$(59) \quad e^{\frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2n}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi}} \leq e^{\frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2n}}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi}}\right) \leq e^{\frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi}}} \leq e^{\frac{x^3+2}{2\sqrt{2n}}}.$$

Combining the inequalities (58) and (59), we obtain

$$(60) \quad \forall x > 0 \quad \forall n \geq \max(x^2, 1) \quad P\left(|S_{2n} - n| < x\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}\right) \leq \int_{-x}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + e^{\frac{x^3+2}{2\sqrt{2n}}} - 1.$$

We try now to derive a similar lower bound. Let $n \geq 1$ and $x > 0$ be such that $n \geq 2x^2$. Inequalities (34) and (56) yield

$$(61) \quad \begin{aligned} P\left(|S_{2n} - n| < x\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}\right) &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2n}}} \left(e^{-\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{2n}}} \int_{-x}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi}} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2n}}} e^{-\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{2n}}} \int_{-x}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the inequality (2) with $t = 1/(2n)$, we have

$$(62) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2n}}} e^{-\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{2n}}} \geq e^{-\frac{1}{4n} - \frac{x^3}{\sqrt{2n}}}.$$

Substituting (62) into (61), and using the fact that the integral is less than 1, we obtain

$$(63) \quad P\left(|S_{2n} - n| < x\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}\right) \geq \int_{-x}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + e^{-\frac{1}{4n} - \frac{x^3}{\sqrt{2n}}} - 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}.$$

Moreover, we have, thanks to inequality (2) applied with $t = 1/\sqrt{2n}$,

$$(64) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{1}{4n} - \frac{x^3}{\sqrt{2n}}} - 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} &\geq e^{-\frac{1}{4n} - \frac{x^3}{\sqrt{2n}}} - e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}} \\ &\geq 1 - e^{\frac{1}{4n} + \frac{x^3}{\sqrt{2n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}} \geq 1 - e^{\frac{x^3+2}{\sqrt{n}}}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude from (63) and (64) that

$$(65) \quad \forall x > 0 \quad \forall n \geq \max(2x^2, 1) \quad P\left(|S_{2n} - n| < x\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}\right) \geq \int_{-x}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + 1 - e^{\frac{x^3+2}{\sqrt{n}}}.$$

We can finally combine the inequalities (60) and (65) into a single inequality:

$$(66) \quad \forall x > 0 \quad \forall n \geq \max(2x^2, 1)$$

$$\left| P\left(|S_{2n} - n| < x\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}\right) - \int_{-x}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right| \leq e^{\frac{x^3+2}{\sqrt{n}}} - 1.$$

For the odd integers, with the help of the inequalities (45) and (57), we obtain the following similar inequality (the details are omitted):

$$(67) \quad \forall x > 0 \quad \forall n \geq \max(2x^2, 1)$$

$$\left| P\left(|S_{2n+1} - \frac{2n+1}{2}| < \frac{x}{2}\sqrt{2n+1}\right) - \int_{-x}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right| \leq e^{\frac{x^3+2}{\sqrt{n}}} - 1.$$

Combining the inequalities (66) and (67), we finally obtain the inequality (5) stated in the introduction.

3. DE MOIVRE-LAPLACE THEOREM.

We consider the general case $p \in]0, 1[$. The nice symmetries of the case $p = 1/2$ disappear. To start the computations, we follow the path laid out by Feller (see [7], section VII.3, pages 182 and 183). First we set $q = 1 - p$, and we introduce the index m of the central term of the binomial distribution $B(n, p)$ as the unique integer m such that

$$m = np + \delta, \quad -q < \delta \leq p.$$

Let $x > 0$ and let us define

$$\forall n \geq 1 \quad P_n(x) = P(m \leq S_n < m + x\sqrt{npq}).$$

We fix $n \geq 1$ and we start by writing down the expression of $P_n(x)$:

$$(68) \quad P_n(x) = \sum_{m \leq k < m+x\sqrt{npq}} P(S_n = k) = \sum_{m \leq k < m+x\sqrt{npq}} \binom{n}{k} p^k q^{n-k}.$$

We make the change of variable $j = k - m$, so that (68) becomes

$$(69) \quad P_n(x) = \sum_{0 \leq j < x\sqrt{npq}} \binom{n}{m+j} p^{m+j} q^{n-m-j}.$$

We proceed as in the symmetric case. The first step consists in rewriting the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{m+j}$ as the product of $\binom{n}{m}$ and a fraction. For $j \geq 1$, we have

$$(m+j)! = m!(m+1)\cdots(m+j),$$

$$(n-m-j)! = \frac{(n-m)!}{(n-m)\cdots(n-m-j+1)},$$

whence

$$(70) \quad \binom{n}{m+j} = \frac{n!}{(m+j)!(n-m-j)!} = \binom{n}{m} \frac{(n-m)\cdots(n-m-j+1)}{(m+1)\cdots(m+j)}.$$

We introduce next a notation for the product appearing in (70), and we incorporate as well the factor $(p/q)^j$ coming from (69) :

$$\forall j \geq 1 \quad \pi(j) = \frac{(n-m)\cdots(n-m-j+1)}{(m+1)\cdots(m+j)} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^j = \prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{(n-m-\ell+1)p}{(m+\ell)q}.$$

We set also $\pi(0) = 1$. With this notation, the formula (69) can be rewritten as

$$(71) \quad P_n(x) = P(S_n = m) \sum_{0 \leq j < x\sqrt{npq}} \pi(j).$$

We focus next on the product $\pi(j)$. Replacing m by $np + \delta$, we obtain the following expression:

$$(72) \quad \forall j \geq 1 \quad \pi(j) = \prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{npq - (\delta + \ell - 1)p}{npq + (\delta + \ell)q}.$$

3.1. Upper bound. Let us fix $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. To prepare for the upper bound, we rewrite $\pi(j)$ as follows:

$$(73) \quad \pi(j) = \prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \left(1 - \frac{\delta + \ell - p}{npq + (\delta + \ell)q}\right),$$

and we use inequality (2) to deduce from (73) that

$$(74) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi(j) &\leq \exp\left(-\sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{\delta + \ell - p}{npq + (\delta + \ell)q}\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{\ell - 1}{npq + pq + jq}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{j(j-1)}{2((n+1)pq + jq)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us remark that the previous computations are more complicated than the ones we did in the symmetric case, not only because of the presence of the parameters p, q , but because there is a specific cancellation when $p = q$. Indeed, suppose that we try to proceed exactly as in the symmetric case. Instead of formulas (72) and (73), we would start from the equivalent formula where ℓ is changed into $j - \ell + 1$ in the numerator, and we would get:

$$(75) \quad \pi(j) = \prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{npq - (\delta + j - \ell)p}{npq + (\delta + \ell)q} = \prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \left(1 - \frac{\delta + \ell(q - p) + jp}{npq + (\delta + \ell)q}\right).$$

In the symmetric case, the term $\ell(q - p)$ in the last expression vanished, and this leads to a simpler upper bound. In the non-symmetric case, one has to go through an intermediate exponential upper bound and perform the summation over ℓ in the argument of the exponential, as we did in (74). Moreover, these steps are more transparent when starting from formula (73) than with formula (75), and to tell the truth, the product in the numerator of formula (72) was already written in reverse order compared to (12).

Coming back to (74), we use inequality (3) to obtain that, for $0 \leq j \leq (n+1)p$,

$$(76) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{j(j-1)}{2((n+1)pq + jq)} &= \frac{j(j-1)}{2(n+1)pq} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{j}{(n+1)p}} \\ &\geq \frac{j(j-1)}{2(n+1)pq} \left(1 - \frac{j}{(n+1)p}\right) \geq \frac{(j-1)^2}{2(n+1)pq} - \frac{j^3}{2n^2p^2q}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (76) in (74), we conclude that

$$(77) \quad \forall j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq (n+1)p, \quad \pi(j) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{(j-1)^2}{2(n+1)pq} + \frac{j^3}{2n^2p^2q}\right).$$

With the upper bound of $\pi(j)$ in hand, we will compute an upper bound on $P_n(x)$. Let us fix $x > 0$ and let n be an integer such that $n \geq (q/p)x^2$. This ensures that

$$0 \leq j < x\sqrt{npq} \implies j \leq np, \quad \frac{j^3}{2n^2p^2q} \leq \frac{x^3}{\sqrt{pn}}.$$

In particular, for these values of j , the inequality (77) holds as well, whence

$$(78) \quad 0 \leq j < x\sqrt{npq} \implies \pi(j) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{(j-1)^2}{2(n+1)pq} + \frac{x^3}{\sqrt{pn}}\right).$$

Substituting inequality (78) into the sum appearing in (71), we get

$$(79) \quad P_n(x) \leq P(S_n = m) \sum_{0 \leq j < x\sqrt{npq}} \exp\left(-\frac{(j-1)^2}{2(n+1)pq} + \frac{x^3}{\sqrt{pn}}\right).$$

Since the function $\exp(-t)$ is decreasing on $[0, +\infty[$, then

$$(80) \quad \forall j \geq 2 \quad \exp\left(-\frac{(j-1)^2}{2(n+1)pq}\right) \leq \int_{j-2}^{j-1} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2(n+1)pq}} dt.$$

We sum the inequality (80) over j such that $0 \leq j < x\sqrt{npq}$, and we bound the first two terms by 1:

$$(81) \quad \sum_{0 \leq j < x\sqrt{npq}} \exp\left(-\frac{(j-1)^2}{2(n+1)pq}\right) \leq 2 + \int_0^{x\sqrt{npq}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2(n+1)pq}} dt.$$

Substituting inequality (81) into (79), we get

$$(82) \quad P_n(x) \leq P(S_n = m) e^{\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{pn}}} \left(2 + \int_0^{x\sqrt{npq}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2(n+1)pq}} dt\right).$$

We make the change of variable $s\sqrt{(n+1)pq} = t$ in (82) and the inequality becomes

$$P_n(x) \leq P(S_n = m) e^{\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{pn}}} \left(2 + \sqrt{(n+1)pq} \int_0^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds\right).$$

We finally factorize \sqrt{npq} , we introduce the normalizing factor $\sqrt{2\pi}$ and we use the inequalities

$$\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n}} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2n} \leq e^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{pn}}}$$

to get

$$(83) \quad P_n(x) \leq \sqrt{2\pi npq} P(S_n = m) e^{\frac{x^3+1}{\sqrt{pn}}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{npq}} + \int_0^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} dt \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right).$$

3.2. Lower bound. Let us fix $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. To prepare for the lower bound, we rewrite $\pi(j)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(j) &= \prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{npq - (\delta + \ell - 1)p}{npq + (\delta + \ell)q} \geq \prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{npq - \ell p + pq}{npq + \ell q + pq} \\ &\geq \prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{(n+1)pq - \ell p}{(n+1)pq + \ell q} \geq \prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\ell}{(n+1)pq - \ell p}}. \end{aligned}$$

We apply next the inequality (26). For j such that $0 \leq j \leq (n+1)pq/2$, we have

$$(n+1)pq - jp \geq (n+1)pq \left(1 - \frac{p}{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}(n+1)pq \geq 0,$$

$$\frac{j}{(n+1)pq - jp} \leq 1,$$

whence

$$(84) \quad \pi(j) \geq \exp \left(- \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{\ell}{(n+1)pq - \ell p} \right)$$

$$\geq \exp \left(- \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq j} \frac{\ell}{(n+1)pq - jp} \right) \geq \exp \left(- \frac{j(j+1)}{2((n+1)pq - jp)} \right).$$

Next, we use the inequality (4) to obtain that, for $0 \leq j \leq (n+1)pq/2$,

$$(85) \quad \frac{j(j+1)}{2((n+1)pq - jp)} = \frac{j(j+1)}{2(n+1)pq} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{j}{(n+1)q}}$$

$$\leq \frac{j(j+1)}{2(n+1)pq} \left(1 + \frac{2j}{(n+1)q} \right) \leq \frac{(j+1)^2}{2npq} + \frac{(j+1)^3}{n^2pq^2}.$$

Substituting (85) in (84), we conclude that

$$(86) \quad \forall j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq \frac{1}{2}(n+1)pq, \quad \pi(j) \geq \exp \left(- \frac{(j+1)^2}{2npq} - \frac{(j+1)^3}{n^2pq^2} \right).$$

With the lower bound of $\pi(j)$ in hand, we will compute a lower bound on $P_n(x)$. Let us fix $x > 0$ and let n be an integer such that $x\sqrt{npq} \geq \max(1, 2x^2)$. This ensures that

$$0 \leq j < x\sqrt{npq} \implies j \leq \frac{1}{2}(n+1)pq, \quad \frac{(j+1)^3}{n^2pq^2} \leq \frac{8x^3}{\sqrt{qn}}.$$

In particular, for these values of j , the inequality (86) holds, thus

$$(87) \quad \pi(j) \geq \exp \left(- \frac{(j+1)^2}{2npq} - \frac{8x^3}{\sqrt{qn}} \right) \geq e^{-\frac{8x^3}{\sqrt{qn}}} \int_{j+1}^{j+2} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2npq}} dt.$$

Substituting inequality (87) into the sum appearing in (71), we get

$$(88) \quad P_n(x) \geq P(S_n = m) e^{-\frac{8x^3}{\sqrt{qn}}} \int_1^{x\sqrt{npq}+1} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2npq}} dt$$

$$\geq P(S_n = m) e^{-\frac{8x^3}{\sqrt{qn}}} \left(\int_0^{x\sqrt{npq}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2npq}} dt - 1 \right).$$

We make the change of variable $s\sqrt{npq} = t$ in (88) and we get

$$P_n(x) \geq P(S_n = m) e^{-\frac{8x^3}{\sqrt{qn}}} \left(\sqrt{npq} \int_0^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds - 1 \right).$$

We factorize \sqrt{npq} , we introduce the normalizing factor $\sqrt{2\pi}$, and we obtain

$$(89) \quad P_n(x) \geq \sqrt{2\pi npq} P(S_n = m) e^{-\frac{8x^3}{\sqrt{qn}}} \left(\int_0^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} dt \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{npq}} \right).$$

The two non-asymptotic inequalities (83) and (89) together imply the limit of de Moivre–Laplace in the case where $a = 0$ and $b = x$. Applying the same result with the parameter $1 - p$, and setting

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \quad \tilde{X}_i = 1 - X_i,$$

we obtain

$$(90) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(0 < \frac{n - (\tilde{X}_1 + \dots + \tilde{X}_n) - n(1-p)}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}} < x\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_a^b e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds.$$

Yet $\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_n$ are i.i.d. Bernoulli with parameter p . Rewriting the probability as

$$P\left(0 < \frac{-(\tilde{X}_1 + \dots + \tilde{X}_n) + np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}} < x\right) = P\left(-x < \frac{\tilde{X}_1 + \dots + \tilde{X}_n - np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}} < 0\right)$$

we see that the limit (90) corresponds to the case $a = -x$ and $b = 0$. Putting the two previous results together, we obtain the limit for a symmetric interval. As in the symmetric case, this yields the limit for an arbitrary interval $[a, b]$.

REFERENCES

- [1] de Moivre A. The doctrine of chances: A method of calculating the probabilities of events in play. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York; 1967.
- [2] Laplace PS. Théorie analytique des probabilités. Vol. I. 4th ed. Éditions Jacques Gabay, Paris; 1995. The first edition was published in 1812.
- [3] Jensen EL, Rootzén H. A note on de Moivre's limit theorems: easy proofs. Statist Probab Lett. 1986;4(5):231-2. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7152\(86\)90094-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7152(86)90094-5).
- [4] Agievich SV. An upper bound on binomial coefficients in the de Moivre–Laplace form. Zh Beloruss Gos Univ Mat Inform. 2022;(1):66-74.
- [5] Hipp C, Mattner L. On the normal approximation to symmetric binomial distributions. Teor Veroyatn Primen. 2007;52(3):610-7. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1137/S0040585X97983213>.
- [6] Breiman L. Probability. vol. 7 of Classics in Applied Mathematics. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA; 1992. Corrected reprint of the 1968 original. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611971286>.
- [7] Feller W. An introduction to probability theory and its applications. Vol. I. 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney; 1968.
- [8] Bahadur RR. Some approximations to the binomial distribution function. Ann Math Statist. 1960;31:43-54. Available from: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.universite-paris-saclay.fr/10.1214/aoms/1177705986>.
- [9] Ouimet F. An improvement of Tusnády's inequality in the bulk. Adv in Appl Math. 2022;133:Paper No. 102270, 24. Available from: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.universite-paris-saclay.fr/10.1016/j.aam.2021.102270>.

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, CNRS, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES D'ORSAY, 91405, ORSAY.