

Liouvillian integrability of rational vector fields: The case of algebraic extensions

Colin Christopher

School of Engineering, Computing and Mathematics
Plymouth University
Plymouth, PL4 8AA, UK
C.Christopher@plymouth.ac.uk

Chara Pantazi

Departament de Matemàtiques
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (EPSEB)
Av. Doctor Maranon, 44-50, 08028, Barcelona, Spain
chara.pantazi@upc.edu

Sebastian Walcher

Fachgruppe Mathematik, RWTH Aachen
52056 Aachen, Germany
walcher@mathga.rwth-aachen.de

Abstract

As shown in a previous paper, whenever a rational vector field on \mathbb{C}^n , $n > 2$, is Liouvillian integrable, then it admits a first integral obtained by two successive integrations from a one-form with coefficients in a finite algebraic extension L of the rational function field K . In the present work we discuss and characterize exceptional vector fields in this class, for which – by definition – the choice $L = K$ is not possible. In particular we show that exceptional vector field exist, giving explicit constructions in dimension three.

MSC (2020): 34A99, 34M15, 34M50, 12H05, 12F10.

Key words: Differential form, Liouvillian function, group representation, Picard-Vessiot extension, Platonic solids.

1 Introduction

This paper continues our study [3] of Liouvillian integrability, of n -dimensional rational vector fields over \mathbb{C} (in other words, of rational $(n - 1)$ -forms in n

variables). In [3] it was proven that Liouvillian integrability always implies the existence of a first integral that is obtained by two successive integrations from a one-form with coefficients in a finite algebraic extension L of the rational function field $K = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. This result extends a classical theorem due to Singer [21] for $n = 2$, where one may take $L = K$. But in [3] we left open the question whether there actually exist exceptional cases, i.e., Liouvillian integrable vector fields (in dimension greater than two) for which necessarily $L \neq K$. The goal of the present paper is to answer this question. Clearly one may assume here that L is Galois over K (see also [3]).

After some preliminaries, and noting some classes of regular (i.e. non-exceptional) rational vector fields on \mathbb{C}^n , we proceed to determine properties of exceptional vector fields. We start from a distinction whether (i) there exists a first integral obtained by integrating a closed rational one-form, or (ii) no such integral exists. We then show that exceptional cases may be subdivided in two types. The first type (appearing in case (ii) only) is built from Galois extensions of degree less than n , with the Galois group acting as a permutation group on the integrals. (In dimension three, one thus has quadratic Galois extensions.) For the second type the Galois group admits a faithful representation in $GL(m, \mathbb{C})$, with some m , $1 < m < n$. (In particular, when $n = 3$, then the group has a faithful representation in $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$.) In the final section we construct exceptional rational vector fields in dimension three, using Picard-Vessiot extensions with dihedral Galois groups, resp. with Galois groups that are isomorphic to symmetry groups of the Platonic solids.

2 Background and statement of the problem

We will refer frequently to notions and results from [3]. In particular we will freely use differential forms over the rational function field $K := \mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and its extension fields. For any extension L we denote by L' the space of one-forms over L . Note that L' is a vector space over L , of dimension $n - 1$.

For preparation and as a reminder, we collect some notions and facts from [3]:

- A differential extension field L of $K = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, is *Liouvillian* if and only if K and L have the same constants and there exists a tower of fields of the form

$$K = K_0 \subset K_1 \subset \dots \subset K_m = L, \quad (1)$$

such that for each $i \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}$ we have one of the following (see [3], Definition 1 and Remark 1):

- (i) $K_{i+1} = K_i(t_i)$, where $t_i \neq 0$ and $dt_i = \delta_i t_i$ with some $\delta_i \in K'_i$ (necessarily $d\delta_i = 0$).
- (ii) $K_{i+1} = K_i(t_i)$, where $dt_i = \delta_i$ with $\delta_i \in K'_i$ (necessarily $d\delta_i = 0$).
- (iii) K_{i+1} is a finite algebraic extension of K_i .

- As noted in [3], Remark 1, the condition on the constants is unproblematic. In particular, any finite algebraic extension of K , with a natural extension of differentials, is Liouvillian.

We consider an n -dimensional rational vector field

$$\mathcal{X} = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \quad (2)$$

on \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$; equivalently an $(n-1)$ -form

$$\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \quad (3)$$

defined over $K = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Definition 1. A non-constant element, ϕ , of a Liouvillian extension of K is called a *Liouvillian first integral* of the vector field \mathcal{X} if it satisfies $\mathcal{X}\phi = 0$ or, equivalently, $d\phi \wedge \Omega = 0$.

Remark 1. By [3], Remark 4, according to Definition 1, a Liouvillian first integral exists if and only if there exist some Liouvillian extension L of K and one-forms $\omega \in L' \setminus \{0\}$, $\alpha \in L'$ such that

$$\omega \wedge \Omega = 0, \quad d\omega = \alpha \wedge \omega, \quad d\alpha = 0. \quad (4)$$

Given this situation, we will briefly say that the *2-form Ω is Liouvillian integrable* and, slightly abusing language, we will say specifically that Ω is *Liouvillian integrable over L* .

In order to properly frame the problem, we introduce more notation.

Definition 2. Given a differential field extension L of K , denote by $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(\Omega)$ the set of all $0 \neq \omega \in L'$ for which there exists $\alpha \in L'$ so that (4) is satisfied.

Assume that we have $\mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset$ for given Ω and some Liouvillian extension L of K . We wish to characterize the “smallest possible” extensions $\tilde{L} \subseteq L$ of K such that $\mathcal{I} \cap \tilde{L}' \neq \emptyset$.

By Singer’s classical result [21] for two dimensional vector fields, one has $\tilde{L} = K$ in the case $n = 2$. For $n > 2$, a principal result of our previous work ([3], Theorem 4) may be stated as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $K = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, and let Ω be the $(n-1)$ -form (3) over K . If there exists a Liouvillian first integral of Ω , then there exists a first integral that is defined over a finite algebraic extension L of K ; thus there exist 1-forms $\omega, \alpha \in L'$ such that (4) holds.*

The present paper is concerned with these

Fundamental Questions: Let a $(n-1)$ -form Ω be given.

1. If there exists a Liouvillian extension $L \supseteq K$ with $\mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset$, is $\mathcal{I} \cap K' \neq \emptyset$?
2. If $\mathcal{I} \cap K' = \emptyset$, characterize (minimal) algebraic extensions \tilde{L} of K such that $\tilde{L}' \cap \mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 2. For given Liouvillian integrable Ω the answer to the first fundamental question does not depend on the choice of the extension L . For instance, the answer being positive for some extension L means that there exist $\omega, \alpha \in K'$ for which (4) holds. But then, $\omega, \alpha \in M'$ for any extension M of K , so the answer is positive for M , too.

Whenever $\mathcal{I} \cap K' \neq \emptyset$, then we speak of a *regular case*; otherwise we speak of an *exceptional case*.

For $n = 3$, i.e., for two-forms over the rational function field in three variables we obtained criteria for regular cases in [3], but we gave no proof that exceptional cases actually exist. In the present paper we will provide a characterization of exceptional cases, and show that exceptional vector fields exist in dimension three.

3 Preliminaries

In the following discussion of the fundamental question we may and will assume that L is a finite Galois extension of K , with group $G = \text{Gal}(L : K)$. Moreover we let $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$, such that (4) holds with some $\alpha \in L'$.

Lemma 1. *Let $K \subseteq F \subseteq L$, with $\omega \in \mathcal{I} \cap F'$. Then there exists $\hat{\alpha} \in F'$ such that*

$$d\omega = \hat{\alpha} \wedge \omega, \quad d\hat{\alpha} = 0.$$

Proof. The extension $L \supseteq F$ is Galois; call its Galois group H . There is $\alpha \in L'$ satisfying condition (4) in Definition 2, and consequently

$$d\omega = \sigma(\alpha) \wedge \omega, \quad d\sigma(\alpha) = 0$$

for every $\sigma \in H$. Averaging over all elements of H shows the assertion. \square

In a familiar case fundamental question is known to have a positive answer.

Lemma 2. *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$ be exact, thus $\omega = d\ell$ with some $\ell \in L$. Then Ω admits a rational first integral.*

Proof. Take any nonconstant coefficient of the minimal polynomial of ℓ over K . \square

There is a further class of field extensions that is reasonably well understood with regard to our fundamental question.

Lemma 3. *Let $K \subseteq F \subseteq L$, and assume that the Galois group of L over F is cyclic. If $\omega \in L'$ satisfies condition (4) with some $\alpha \in F'$, then $\mathcal{I} \cap F' \neq \emptyset$. In particular when G is cyclic, and condition (4) holds with some $\alpha \in K'$, then the fundamental question has a positive answer.*

Proof. Denote the degree of L over F by m . By Lang [12], Ch. VI, Thm. 6.2 there exists $g \in F$ such that the polynomial $T^m - g \in F[T]$ is irreducible, and L is the splitting field of this polynomial. Thus let $t \in L$ such that $t^m = g$. Then $L = F[t]$ and $1, t, \dots, t^{m-1}$ form a basis of L over F . Moreover with $mt^{m-1} dt = dg$ and $t^{m-1} = g/t$ one finds $dt = t \cdot \frac{dg}{m \cdot g}$.

Now

$$\omega = \eta_0 + t\eta_1 + \dots + t^{m-1}\eta_{m-1} \text{ with all } \eta_i \in F',$$

and $\omega \wedge \Omega = 0$ implies that all $\eta_i \wedge \Omega = 0$. Likewise, $\alpha \in F'$ implies that $\alpha \wedge \omega = \sum t^i (\alpha \wedge \eta_i)$, and with

$$d(t^i \eta_i) = t^i \left(\frac{i}{m} \frac{dg}{g} \wedge \eta_i + d\eta_i \right)$$

the condition $d\omega = \alpha \wedge \omega$ implies

$$d\eta_i = \left(\alpha - \frac{i}{m} \frac{dg}{g} \right) \wedge \eta_i, \quad 0 \leq i < m.$$

Since some $\eta_j \neq 0$, we are done. \square

Due to the following observation from [3], we may modify ω by a factor in L^* .

Lemma 4. *With $0 \neq \ell \in L$, ω/ℓ is a first integral of Ω , and the following variant of (4) holds:*

$$d\left(\frac{\omega}{\ell}\right) = \left(\alpha - \frac{d\ell}{\ell}\right) \wedge \frac{\omega}{\ell}, \quad d\left(\alpha - \frac{d\ell}{\ell}\right) = 0. \quad (5)$$

Thus, with no loss of generality we may assume

$$\omega = dx_1 + \dots. \quad (6)$$

We define

$$G_0 := \{\sigma \in G; \sigma(\omega) \wedge \omega = 0\}. \quad (7)$$

Lemma 5. *Given ω as in (6), one has $\sigma(\omega) \wedge \omega = 0$ for some $\sigma \in G$ if and only if $\sigma(\omega) = \omega$. Thus G_0 is a subgroup, $\sigma(\omega) = \omega$ if and only if $\sigma \in G_0$, and the images of ω under the action of G stand in 1-1 correspondence with the cosets G/G_0 .*

Proof. We have, in detail,

$$\omega = dx_1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} c_i dx_i$$

with $c_i \in L$; thus

$$\sigma(\omega) = dx_1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \sigma(c_i) dx_i.$$

Now

$$0 = \sigma(\omega) \wedge \omega = \sum_{i \geq 2} (c_i - \sigma(c_i)) dx_1 \wedge dx_i + \sum_{1 < k < i} a_{ki} dx_k \wedge dx_i$$

(with suitable $a_{ik} \in L$) shows that all $\sigma(c_i) = c_i$, whence $\sigma(\omega) = \omega$. \square

We take care of a particular case right away. The following was shown by different arguments in [3], Cor. 1, for $n = 3$.

Proposition 1. *If Ω admits only one independent Liouvillian first integral, then $\mathcal{I} \cap K' \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the algebraic case; with the L -vector space spanned by \mathcal{I} of dimension one. Then we have $\sigma(\omega) \wedge \omega = 0$ for all $\sigma \in G$. Moreover we may choose ω as in Lemma 5, hence $\sigma(\omega) = \omega$ for all $\sigma \in G$, which implies $\omega \in K'$. \square

From here on we let

$$\omega_1 = \omega, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_N$$

be the distinct images under G of ω , with $d\omega_i = \alpha_i \wedge \omega_i$, $1 \leq i \leq N$. We set

$$V := \langle \omega_1, \dots, \omega_N \rangle_L.$$

Moreover we define

$$\tilde{V} := \{ \beta \in L'; \beta \wedge \Omega = 0 \} \tag{8}$$

noting that $V \subseteq \tilde{V}$ and $\dim_L \tilde{V} = n - 1$. By Proposition 1 we may restrict attention to the case $\dim_L V > 1$.

4 The structure of \mathcal{I}

We first note an auxiliary lemma:

Lemma 6. *Given $\omega_{i_1}, \dots, \omega_{i_e}$ such that $\omega_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_e} \neq 0$, there exist $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1-e} \in K'$ such that all $\eta_j \wedge \Omega = 0$ and*

$$\omega_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_e} \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_{n-1-e} = \ell \cdot \Omega \quad (9)$$

for some nonzero $\ell \in L$.

Proof. Extend $\omega_{i_1}, \dots, \omega_{i_e}$ to a basis of \tilde{V} , noting that the extension may be carried out with elements of K' . \square

Remark 3. *Consider the special case that $\dim_L V = n - 1$, with basis $\omega = \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}$. With representatives $\text{id} = \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}$ of G/G_0 one then has $\omega_j = \tau_j(\omega_1)$, $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$, and*

$$d\omega_j = \tau_j(d\omega_1) = \tau_j(\alpha_1) \wedge \omega_j = \alpha_j \wedge \omega_j, \text{ with } \alpha_j = \tau_j(\alpha_1), \quad d\alpha_j = 0$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$. Thus $\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{n-1} = \ell \cdot \Omega$ for some $\ell \in L$, and taking differentials one sees

$$d\Omega = \hat{\beta} \wedge \Omega; \quad \hat{\beta} = \left(\sum_j \alpha_j - \frac{d\ell}{\ell} \right), \quad d\hat{\beta} = 0.$$

Averaging $\beta = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(\hat{\beta})$ shows that Ω admits an inverse Jacobi multiplier $\beta \in K'$. This is a special case of a general result obtained by Zhang [22] by a different approach. See also [3], subsection 3.1 for three dimensional vector fields.

4.1 The setting when \mathcal{I} contains no closed form

Throughout this subsection we assume that \mathcal{I} contains no closed form. We define a relation via

$$\omega_i \sim \omega_j : \iff \alpha_i - \alpha_j = \frac{d\ell_{ij}}{\ell_{ij}}, \quad \text{some } \ell_{ij} \in L^*. \quad (10)$$

This is obviously an equivalence relation, and it is respected by the action of the Galois group; thus

$$\omega_i \sim \omega_j \iff \sigma(\omega_i) \sim \sigma(\omega_j), \quad \text{all } \sigma \in G.$$

Moreover we set

$$\mathcal{B}_1 := \{\omega_j; \omega_j \sim \omega_1\}, \quad (11)$$

then (possibly by renumbering) we may assume that

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_q\} \quad (12)$$

and that $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_s$ form a maximal subset linearly independent over L . We further define *blocks* \mathcal{B}_k , $1 \leq k \leq r$ as the images of \mathcal{B}_1 under the action of G , and set

$$V_k := \langle \mathcal{B}_k \rangle_L.$$

Note that $\dim_L V_k = s$ for all k . For later use we relabel

$$\mathcal{B}_k = \{\omega_{k,1}, \dots, \omega_{k,s}\} \cup \{\omega_{k,s+1}, \dots, \omega_{k,q}\}, \quad (13)$$

with $\omega_{k,1}, \dots, \omega_{k,s}$ forming a maximal L -linearly independent set¹. With relabeling we also write

$$d\omega_{k,i} = \alpha_{k,i} \wedge \omega_{k,i}, \quad d\alpha_{k,i} = 0.$$

We obtain a decomposition of V :

Lemma 7. *V is the direct sum of V_1, \dots, V_r , and $rs \leq n - 1$.*

Proof. The second assertion is immediate from the first. The first assertion amounts to showing that the set $\{\omega_{k,1}, \dots, \omega_{k,s}, 1 \leq k \leq r\}$ is linearly independent. Assume linear dependence, and choose a minimal linearly dependent subset, with elements relabeled as $\omega_1^*, \dots, \omega_m^*$ (with $d\omega_k^* = \alpha_k^* \wedge \omega_k^*$). Thus there exist $a_k \in L$, all nonzero, so that

$$\sum_{k=1}^m a_k \omega_k^* = 0.$$

We may choose $a_1 = -1$, hence

$$\omega_1^* = \sum_{k=2}^m a_k \omega_k^*$$

with linearly independent $\omega_2^*, \dots, \omega_m^*$. Differentiation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^* \wedge \omega_1^* = d\omega_1^* &= \sum_k da_k \wedge \omega_k^* + \sum_k a_k \alpha_k^* \wedge \omega_k^* \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m (da_k + a_k \alpha_k^*) \wedge \omega_k^*. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the expression for ω_1^* , we find that

$$\sum_{k=2}^m (da_k + a_k \alpha_k^* - a_k \alpha_1^*) \wedge \omega_k^* = 0. \quad (14)$$

¹In the case $q = s$, the second set is empty.

Now assume that some $\beta_j := da_j + a_j\alpha_j^* - a_j\alpha_1^* \neq 0$. Forming the wedge product with all the ω_k^* , $k \neq j, 1$ one has

$$\beta_j \wedge \omega_2^* \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_m^* = 0,$$

while Lemma 6 shows that there exist $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-m+1} \in K'$ such that

$$\omega_2^* \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_m^* \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_{n-m+1} = \ell\Omega$$

with some $\ell \neq 0$. With $\widehat{\beta}_j := \frac{1}{a_j}\beta_j$ one finds $\widehat{\beta}_j \wedge \Omega = 0$, $d\widehat{\beta}_j = 0$, which contradicts our assumption that \mathcal{I} contains no closed form. Therefore all $\beta_k = 0$, and

$$\alpha_k^* - \alpha_1^* = -\frac{da_k}{a_k}; \quad \text{hence} \quad \alpha_k^* \sim \alpha_1^*.$$

By the definition of blocks, all ω_k^* lie in the same block, say \mathcal{B}_i . But this contradicts the linear independence of $\omega_{i,1}, \dots, \omega_{i,s}$. \square

We now can dispose of the case $s = 1, r > 1$ (for the case $s = r = 1$ recall Proposition 1):

Proposition 2. *Assume that $\dim_L V_k = 1$ for all k . Then $\mathcal{B}_k = \{\omega_{k,1}\}$, thus $|\mathcal{B}_k| = 1$ for all k , and $\omega_{1,1}, \dots, \omega_{r,1}$ form a basis of V , on which G acts as a permutation group, with $[L : K] = |\text{Gal}(L : K)| = r$.*

Proof. We have $q = s = 1$ from Lemma 5. The remaining assertions are then obvious. \square

From here on we will assume that $s > 1$. We introduce a further stabilizer subgroup.

Definition 3. *Given that $s > 1$, let*

$$G_1 := \{\sigma \in G; \sigma(\mathcal{B}_k) = \mathcal{B}_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq r\},$$

and denote by M the fixed field of G_1 .

Thus G_1 stabilizes all subspaces V_k , and obviously G_1 is normal in G . We next construct a different basis for V_1 : Possibly renumbering variables, with Gauss-Jordan one obtains

$$V_1 = \left\langle dx_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-s} k_{1,j} dx_{j+s}, \dots, dx_s + \sum_{j=1}^{n-s} k_{s,j} dx_{j+s} \right\rangle$$

over L . Averaging over G_1 yields

$$\mu_i := dx_i + \frac{1}{|G_1|} \sum_{\sigma \in G_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-s} \sigma(k_{i,j}) dx_{j+s} \in V_1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s, \quad (15)$$

and linear independence of the μ_i shows

$$V_1 = \langle \mu_1, \dots, \mu_s \rangle$$

over L , with $\tau(\mu_i) = \mu_i$ for all $\tau \in G_1$, $1 \leq i \leq s$. Setting

$$\tilde{\Omega} := \mu_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mu_s$$

we see from above that $\tilde{\Omega} = k \cdot \omega_{1,1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{1,s}$ with some $k \in L^*$.

Lemma 8. *There exists $\ell \in L$ such that the form $\tilde{\omega}_{1,1} := \tilde{\ell}^{1/s} \omega_{1,1}$ satisfies*

$$d\tilde{\omega}_{1,1} = \tilde{\alpha}_1 \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{1,1}; \quad \tilde{\alpha}_1 := \alpha_{1,1} + \frac{1}{s} \frac{d\tilde{\ell}}{\tilde{\ell}} \in M'. \quad (16)$$

Proof. A straightforward computation shows

$$d\tilde{\Omega} = \rho \wedge \tilde{\Omega}; \quad \rho := \frac{dk}{k} + \alpha_{1,1} + \dots + \alpha_{1,s}.$$

By construction, $\sigma(\tilde{\Omega}) = \tilde{\Omega}$ for all $\sigma \in G_1$, so $d\tilde{\Omega} = \sigma(\rho) \wedge \tilde{\Omega}$ and

$$(\sigma(\rho) - \rho) \wedge \tilde{\Omega} = 0, \quad \text{all } \sigma \in G_1.$$

Now use Lemma 6 to extend $\omega_{1,1}, \dots, \omega_{1,s}$ by $\eta_{s+1}, \dots, \eta_{n-1} \in K'$ to a basis of \tilde{V} , and to obtain

$$(\sigma(\rho) - \rho) \wedge \Omega = 0, \quad d(\sigma(\rho) - \rho) = 0$$

for all $\sigma \in G_1$. Since \mathcal{I} contains no closed form, we see that ρ is invariant by G_1 . By equivalence we have $\alpha_{1,j} = \alpha_{1,1} + \frac{d\tilde{\ell}_{1,j}}{\tilde{\ell}_{1,j}}$ with some $\tilde{\ell}_{1,j} \in L$, so

$$\rho = s\alpha_{1,1} + \frac{d\tilde{\ell}}{\tilde{\ell}}, \quad \text{some } \tilde{\ell} \in L.$$

□

Now let \tilde{L} be the smallest Galois extension of K that contains both L and $\lambda := \tilde{\ell}^{1/s}$. Every $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L : K)$ extends to some $\tilde{\tau} \in \tilde{G} := \text{Gal}(\tilde{L} : K)$, with

$$\tilde{\tau}(\lambda)^s = \tilde{\tau}(\lambda^s) = \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{\ell}) = \tau(\tilde{\ell}),$$

so $\tilde{\tau}(\lambda)$ is an s^{th} root of $\tilde{\ell}$. Therefore $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{\omega}_{1,1}) \in \tilde{L}^* \omega_{1,1}$ whenever $\tau(\omega_{1,1}) = \omega_{1,1}$.²

From \tilde{G} one obtains extended blocks

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k = \{\tilde{\omega}_{k,1}, \dots, \tilde{\omega}_{k,q}\} \supseteq \mathcal{B}_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq r,$$

²Upon passing from G to \tilde{G} we can no longer argue that $\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{\omega}) = \tilde{\omega}$ when these forms are linearly dependent over \tilde{L} .

possibly with different q . As before, the $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k$ are the images of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$ under the action of \tilde{G} . We let

$$\tilde{G}_1 = \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}; \tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k) = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k; \text{ all } k \right\},$$

which is a normal subgroup of \tilde{G} . We denote by \tilde{M} the fixed field of \tilde{G}_1 , noting $M \subseteq \tilde{M}$.

Lemma 9. *For all k , $1 \leq k \leq r$, there exist $\tilde{\alpha}_k \in \tilde{M}'$ such that, for all $\tilde{\omega}_{k,j} \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k$,*

$$d\tilde{\omega}_{k,j} = \tilde{\alpha}_k \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{k,j}. \quad (17)$$

Proof. For $\tilde{\omega}_{1,1}$ this was shown in the previous lemma. The remaining assertions follow by the action of \tilde{G} . \square

Remark 4. Mutatis mutandis, the statement of Lemma 9 also holds, obviously, when \mathcal{I} contains a closed form $\omega \in L'$. In this case we have one block \mathcal{B}_1 consisting of all images of ω under the action of the Galois group, and $\tilde{\alpha}_1 = 0$. (For the case $s = 1$ see, again, Proposition 1.)

4.2 The general setting

We continue to assume that $s > 1$, thus each V_k has dimension > 1 over L . As a matter of notation we drop all tildes from here on (in other words, we assume that we started with \tilde{L} right away).

In case $q = s$ every matrix representing $\sigma \in G$ is a permutation matrix. Now assume $q > s$. Using the notation of (13), for fixed k we have that

$$\omega_{k,s+j} = \sum_{i=1}^s a_{ij} \omega_{k,i}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq q-s,$$

with $a_{ij} \in L$. But furthermore we see

$$\sum_i a_{ij} \alpha_k \wedge \omega_{k,i} = \alpha_k \wedge \omega_{k,s+j} = d\omega_{k,s+j} = \sum_i (da_{ij} + a_{ij} \alpha_k) \wedge \omega_{k,i},$$

hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^s da_{ij} \wedge \omega_{k,i} = 0.$$

Now fix i^* , take the wedge product with all $\omega_{k,p}$, $p \neq i^*$ and use Lemma 6 to see that

$$da_{i^*,j} \wedge \Omega = 0.$$

So, by Lemma 2 we obtain the following result:

Lemma 10. *If Ω admits no rational first integral, then all $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$.*

We summarize:

Proposition 3. *Assume that $s > 1$ and that Ω admits no rational first integral (and $L = \tilde{L}$ w.l.o.g.). Then for every $\sigma \in G$ the matrix $A(\sigma) \in GL(rs, L)$ that represents its action on the basis $\omega_{1,1}, \dots, \omega_{1,s}, \dots, \omega_{r,1}, \dots, \omega_{r,s}$ actually has all entries in \mathbb{C} .*

Proof. (i) For $\sigma \in G_1$ and fixed k , one sees with Lemma 10 that σ sends every $\omega_{k,j}$ to a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of the $\omega_{k,i}$.

(ii) Now let $\tau \in G \setminus G_1$, and k fixed. Then we have

$$\tau(\omega_{k,i}) = \omega_{\ell,j}, \quad \text{for some } \ell \leq r, \text{ and some } j \leq q,$$

and by the first part, $\omega_{\ell,j}$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of $\omega_{\ell,1}, \dots, \omega_{\ell,s}$. \square

Thus we have a linear representation of the Galois group G of L in some $GL(rs, \mathbb{C})$, with $rs \leq n - 1$. Note the block form of the matrices, corresponding to the blocks \mathcal{B}_k .

Lemma 11. *Given the setting of Proposition 3, there is an intermediate field F of the extension $L \supseteq K$ such that F is Galois over K , $\omega \in F'$ and the representation of $Gal(F : K)$ in $GL(rs, \mathbb{C})$ is faithful. Thus we may assume that the Galois group is isomorphic to a finite subgroup of $GL(rs, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Let \widehat{G} be the image of $\tau \mapsto A(\tau)$, and $N \subseteq G$ its kernel; moreover let F the fixed field of N . Since N is normal, F is Galois over K with Galois group isomorphic to G/N . By definition, the action of N fixes every element of V ; this shows $V \subseteq F'$. Moreover G/N acts in a well-defined manner on forms in V via $\tau N(\omega) := \tau(\omega)$, and this yields a canonical isomorphism from G/N to \widehat{G} . \square

So in the case $s > 1$ we arrive at finite groups of complex $rs \times rs$ matrices. By the solution of the inverse problem of differential Galois theory, every such group is the Galois group of some Picard-Vessiot extension of K . We will utilize this fact for three dimensional vector fields later on.

4.3 Specialization to dimension three

In this subsection we will consider three-dimensional rational vector fields

$$\mathcal{X} = P \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + R \frac{\partial}{\partial z}; \quad (18)$$

or the corresponding 2-forms

$$\Omega = P dy \wedge dz + Q dz \wedge dx + R dx \wedge dy \quad (19)$$

defined over $K := \mathbb{C}(x, y, z)$.

According to Lemma 7 and Proposition 1, in dimension $n = 3$, the exceptional cases satisfy $rs = 2$.

Proposition 4. *Let (Ω, L) represent an exceptional case in dimension three.*

(E1) *In case $s = 1$ and $r = 2$ there exists a degree two intermediate field F with $[F : K] = 2$, and $\omega \in F'$, $\alpha \in F' \setminus K'$, satisfying condition (4).*

(E2) *In case $s = 2$ and $r = 1$ there exists a cyclic extension \tilde{L} of L which is Galois over K , such that (4) holds for some $\tilde{\omega} \in \tilde{L}'$ and $\tilde{\alpha} \in K'$, and the action of the Galois group $\tilde{G} = \text{Gal}(\tilde{L} : K)$ on $\tilde{\omega}$ induces a faithful representation of \tilde{G} in $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. See Proposition 2 and Proposition 3. The case $s = 1$, $\alpha \in K'$ is regular by Lemma 3. \square

Thus, in dimension three we have two possible exceptional types.

5 Exceptional cases in dimension three

The description of case (E1) is quite straightforward: We have a quadratic extension L of K , and forms $\omega = \omega_1 \in L' \setminus \{0\}$, $\alpha = \alpha_1 \in L' \setminus K'$ such that (4) is satisfied. The nontrivial element of the Galois group sends ω_1 to ω_2 and α_1 to α_2 . We turn to (E2).

5.1 Type (E2): Finite subgroups of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$

The Galois group in case (E2) admits a faithful representation in $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$. The finite subgroups of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ were classified, up to conjugation, in Nguyen et al. [15], and the classification of finite subgroups of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is known (see e.g. [15], Thm. 3, or van der Put and Springer [19], Thm. 4.29). These classifications are based on the well-known classification of the finite subgroups of $PGL(2, \mathbb{C})$, viz.

- the cyclic groups;
- the dihedral groups D_n ;
- the alternating group A_4 , the symmetric group S_4 , and the alternating group A_5 (the symmetry groups of the Platonic solids).

Thus every finite subgroup of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ has one of the above as image under the canonical projection to the projective linear group.

As a preliminary step we show that it suffices to consider a representation of the Galois group in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$; possibly at the expense of a further degree two field extension.

Lemma 12. *Given case (E2) and the setting of Proposition 4, the following hold.*

- (a) *There is an extension $\widehat{L} = L(k)$ of L , of degree ≤ 2 , which is Galois over K , with $k^2 \in L$.*
- (b) *For every $\widehat{\sigma} \in \widehat{G} := \text{Gal}(\widehat{L} : K)$ that extends $\sigma \in G = \text{Gal}(L : K)$, there exists $\ell_{\widehat{\sigma}} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\widehat{\sigma}(k) = \ell_{\widehat{\sigma}} \cdot k; \quad \ell_{\widehat{\sigma}}^2 = \det A(\sigma).$$

- (c) *With $\widehat{\omega}_i := \frac{1}{k} \omega_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$ one has*

$$d\widehat{\omega}_i = \widehat{\alpha} \wedge \widehat{\omega}_i, \quad \widehat{\alpha} \in K', \quad d\widehat{\alpha} = 0.$$

- (d) *Moreover*

$$\widehat{\sigma} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\omega}_1 \\ \widehat{\omega}_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) = B(\widehat{\sigma}) \left(\begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\omega}_1 \\ \widehat{\omega}_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

with

$$B(\widehat{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{\ell_{\widehat{\sigma}}} A(\sigma) \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}).$$

In particular, the projections of $B(\widehat{\sigma})$ and $A(\sigma)$ to $PGL(2, \mathbb{C})$ are equal.

Proof. For parts (a) and (b), let $\eta, \theta \in K'$ be linearly independent such that $\eta \wedge \Omega = \theta \wedge \Omega = 0$. Then there exists $C \in GL(2, L)$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix} = C \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Apply $\sigma \in G$ to see

$$\sigma(C) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} = \sigma \left(\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) = A(\sigma) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix} = A(\sigma) \cdot C \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

hence $\sigma(C) = A(\sigma) \cdot C$, and $\Delta := \det C \in L$ satisfies $\sigma(\Delta) = \det A(\sigma) \cdot \Delta$.

Now let k such that $k^2 = \Delta$, and $M = L(k)$ (so possibly $M = L$ when $k \in L$). When $M \neq L$, then for given $\sigma \in G$ define $\widehat{\sigma} \in \text{Gal}(M : K)$, by choosing $\ell_{\widehat{\sigma}} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\ell_{\widehat{\sigma}}^2 = \det A(\sigma)$, and $\widehat{\sigma}|_L = \sigma$, $\widehat{\sigma}(k) = \ell_{\widehat{\sigma}} \cdot k$. (There are two such extensions.) This is consistent with

$$\widehat{\sigma}(k)^2 = \widehat{\sigma}(k^2) = \sigma(k^2) = \det A(\sigma) \cdot k^2,$$

and one verifies that M is Galois over K .

As to part (c), let $\widehat{\sigma} \in \widehat{G}$, thus $\widehat{\sigma}(k) = \ell_{\widehat{\sigma}} \cdot k$. Then $\widehat{\sigma}(dk) = \ell_{\widehat{\sigma}} \cdot dk$, and

$$\widehat{\sigma} \left(\frac{dk}{k} \right) = \frac{dk}{k}, \quad \text{hence } \frac{dk}{k} \in K'.$$

Now

$$d(\widehat{\omega}_i) = d\left(\frac{1}{k}\omega_i\right) = \left(-\frac{dk}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k}\alpha\right) \wedge \omega_i = \left(-\frac{dk}{k} + \alpha\right) \wedge \widehat{\omega}_i.$$

As to part (d), we have

$$\widehat{\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{k}\omega_i\right) = \frac{1}{\ell_{\widehat{\sigma}}} \frac{1}{k} \sigma(\omega_i),$$

which shows the first assertion about $B(\widehat{\sigma})$, and moreover

$$\det B(\widehat{\sigma}) = \ell_{\widehat{\sigma}}^2 \det A(\sigma) = 1.$$

Since $B(\widehat{\sigma})$ is a constant multiple of $A(\sigma)$, it projects to the same element of $PGL(2, \mathbb{C})$. \square

From here on we consider an exceptional case (E2) with the Galois group $G = Gal(L : K)$ admitting a faithful representation in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

According to [19], Theorem 4.29, the finite subgroups of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ may be grouped in three classes, up to conjugacy:

1. Finite subgroups of the Borel subgroup

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}; \quad a \in \mathbb{C}^*, b \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

Since every such matrix with $b \neq 0$ has infinite order, a finite subgroup is diagonal, hence cyclic, generated by a diagonal matrix with a root of unity a .

2. Finite subgroups of the infinite dihedral group

$$D_{\infty} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, a \in \mathbb{C}^* \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ -b^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, b \in \mathbb{C}^* \right\}.$$

Given a finite $H \subseteq D_{\infty}$, the subgroup of diagonal matrices is cyclic, and H is generated by this subgroup and any non-diagonal element, which we take as $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

3. One of the groups $A_4^{SL(2)}$, $S_4^{SL(2)}$ or $A_5^{SL(2)}$, the respective inverse images of the symmetry groups of the Platonic solids.

Remark 5. We note for later use: If any of these finite groups admits a common eigenvector then it is cyclic.

We proceed case-by-case. Case 1 is taken care of by Lemma 3, as follows:

Proposition 5. *Given exceptional case (E2), the Galois group G cannot be cyclic.*

Case 2 can be reduced to quadratic extensions of K :

Proposition 6. *Let (Ω, L) represent an exceptional case (E2) with the representation of the Galois group H isomorphic to $D_N^{SL(2)}$, with D_N a dihedral group. Then the exceptional case (E1) holds with some intermediate field F of $L \supseteq K$.*

Proof. We may assume that the representation H is generated by

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with ζ a primitive n^{th} root of unity. The cyclic subgroups $\langle R \rangle$ and $\langle S \rangle$ have trivial intersection when n is odd, and intersection $\{I, -I\}$ when n is even. The subgroup H_0 that is generated by R and $S^2 = -I$, has index two. For even n , $H_0 = \langle R \rangle$ is cyclic; for odd n one has $H_0 = \langle R \rangle \cup \{I, -I\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$, which is also cyclic.

The corresponding subgroup G_0 of the Galois group G is cyclic, of index two. Its fixed field F is therefore Galois over K , of degree two. By Lemma 3 there exists a form $\widehat{\omega} \in \mathcal{I} \cap F'$; hence (Ω, F) represents exceptional case (E1). \square

For Case 3 we first ascertain that this will not automatically imply (E1).

Proposition 7. *Let (Ω, L) represent (E2) with $G = \text{Gal}(L : K)$ isomorphic to $A_4^{SL(2)}$ or $A_5^{SL(2)}$. Then L contains no intermediate field representing (E1).*

Proof. We observe that there exists no intermediate field F of degree 2 over K ; equivalently, that G contains no subgroup of index 2. We include a proof of this fact for the sake of completeness.

- $G = A_4^{SL(2)}$ has order 24 and projects onto $A_4 \subset PGL(2)$, with kernel $\{I, -I\}$. Assume that a subgroup H of order 12 exists. Then its image \widetilde{H} under the projection would have order 6 when $-I \in H$, and order 12 otherwise. The first case would imply that A_4 admits a subgroup of order 6, which is not the case. The second would imply that A_4 has a faithful representation in $GL(2)$, which is not the case (see e.g. Sagan [20]).
- For $G = A_5^{SL(2)}$, of order 120, an obvious variant of the above shows that there is no subgroup of order 60.

\square

Remark 6. In the case $G = S_4^{SL(2)}$ one obtains, by analogous reasoning to the above, that the only index two subgroup is $A_4^{SL(2)}$. Beyond this observation, we leave this case open.

5.2 Construction of a class of exceptional cases.

So far, we have not proven that exceptional cases do exist. In the present subsection we close this gap.

Let $K = \mathbb{C}(x, y, z)$ and $K_0 = \mathbb{C}(x)$. Unless specified otherwise, “algebraic” always means algebraic over K or K_0 . The derivative h' will always refer to $\partial_x h$ and its use implies that h has no dependence on y or z .

Let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ be isomorphic to one of the preimages of the Platonic symmetry groups³:

$$A_4^{SL(2)}, \quad S_4^{SL(2)}, \quad \text{or } A_5^{SL(2)}, \quad (20)$$

and let $q(x)$ be a rational function such that the equation

$$y''(x) + q(x)y(x) = 0, \quad (21)$$

has differential Galois group \mathcal{G} . (We refer to van der Put and Singer [19] for the Galois theory of linear differential equations.) Let f_1 be a fixed solution of (21) and let f_i be its images under \mathcal{G} . We let L_0 be the minimal Galois (Picard-Vessiot) extension of K_0 which contains the f_i .

We will assume that $q(x)$ is in the standard form given in Matsuda [14], pp. 13-14 and p. 18:

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{A}{x^2} + \frac{B}{x(x-1)} + \frac{C}{(x-1)^2} \right), \quad (22)$$

with

$$A = 1 - 1/m^2, \quad C = 1 - 1/n^2, \quad A + B + C = 1 - 1/p^2,$$

and where m, n and p are some permutation of $(2, 3, 3)$ (for $A_4^{SL(2)}$), resp. $(2, 3, 4)$ (for $S_4^{SL(2)}$), resp. $(2, 3, 5)$ (for $A_5^{SL(2)}$).

Denote by Ω the 2-form associated to the vector field

$$\dot{x} = 1, \quad \dot{y} = q(x)z - 1, \quad \dot{z} = -y. \quad (23)$$

Let $L := L_0(y, z)$ and note that L is Galois over K with Galois group isomorphic to \mathcal{G} . One verifies that the 1-forms,

$$\omega_i = d(y f_i(x) + z f'_i(x)) + f_i(x) dx \in L', \quad (24)$$

³In this subsection we denote groups by calligraphic letters.

satisfy

$$\omega_i \wedge \Omega = 0, \quad d\omega_i = 0.$$

Writing $f = f_1$ and $g = f_2$, we have that $f'g - g'f$ is a constant (Wronskian condition). Scaling by an appropriate element of \mathbb{C} , we can assume

$$f'g - g'f = 1,$$

which gives

$$\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 = \Omega. \quad (25)$$

As before, the action of \mathcal{G} on the f_i and the ω_i can be represented as

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}\right) = A_\sigma \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = A_\sigma \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

where $\sigma \mapsto A_\sigma$ is a representation of \mathcal{G} in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

With these notions and preliminaries we state:

Proposition 8. *System (23) is Liouvillean integrable but $\mathcal{I} \cap K' = \emptyset$.*

Outline of proof. We need to exclude the existence of 1-forms $0 \neq \omega, \alpha \in K'$ such that

$$\omega \wedge \Omega = 0, \quad d\omega = \alpha \wedge \omega, \quad d\alpha = 0. \quad (27)$$

To this end we will show:

- (i) The integral $F(x) = \int f(x) dx$ is not algebraic.
- (ii) If (27) holds, then there exist two independent rational first integrals of (23).
- (iii) If (27) holds, then the existence of the first integral,

$$\int \omega_1 = y f(x) + z f'(x) + F(x),$$

implies that $F(x)$ is algebraic, contradicting (i).

The detailed steps will be carried out in the following subsections. \square

5.2.1 The integral $F = \int f dx$ is not algebraic.

Let $F = \int f dx$ be an integral of $f(x)$ (any choice of constant). If F is algebraic then we can extend L_0 to a minimal Galois extension, M_0 , of K_0 which includes F .

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ be the Galois group of M_0 . Any automorphism $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$ on L_0 will extend to an automorphism $\tilde{\sigma} \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ of M_0 . Conversely, any K_0 -automorphism of M_0 takes L_0 to itself and so the action on M_0 restricts to an automorphism

on L_0 . The derivation ' on K_0 extends uniquely to M_0 and is compatible with the action of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ in the sense that $\sigma(x') = (\sigma(x))'$ for all $\sigma \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ and $x \in M_0$.

Let σ be chosen such that $\sigma(f) = af + bg$ with $b \neq 0$. This is possible by Remark 5. Extend σ to an automorphism σ^* of M_0 and let $G = (\sigma^*(F) - aF)/b \in M_0$; then $G' = g$ and G is algebraic over K_0 .

Now consider the differential equation

$$Y'''(x) + q(x) Y'(x) = 0. \quad (28)$$

This has independent solutions F , G and 1. We can therefore assume that M_0 is the Picard-Vessiot field associated to (28).

For $\tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, clearly we must have

$$\tau \left(\begin{pmatrix} F \\ G \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}_\tau & b_\tau \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F \\ G \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $\tilde{A}_\tau \in GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $b_\tau \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Differentiating, we find that

$$\tau|_{L_0} \left(\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \right) = \tilde{A}_\tau \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}.$$

So, with (26) we must have $\tilde{A}_\tau = A_{\tau|_{L_0}}$ for all $\tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. This gives a map from $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ onto \mathcal{G} . If σ maps to the identity in \mathcal{G} , then $\tilde{A}_\sigma = I$ and we have must have $b_\sigma = 0$, since the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & b_\sigma \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

has finite order. Therefore the map is an isomorphism, and we conclude

$$[M_0 : K_0] = |\tilde{\mathcal{G}}| = |\mathcal{G}| = [L_0 : K_0],$$

thus $M_0 = L_0$.

Moreover we can replace F and G by $F + k$ and $G + l$, with $k, l \in \mathbb{C}$ so that $b_\sigma = 0$ for all σ .⁴ Choose

$$\binom{k}{l} = c := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{G}|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} A_\sigma^{-1} b_\sigma.$$

From the relation $\tau(\sigma(F)) = \tau\sigma(F)$, we deduce

$$A_{\tau\sigma} = A_\sigma A_\tau, \quad b_{\tau\sigma} = A_\sigma b_\tau + b_\sigma.$$

⁴Clearly, adding a constant k to F does not alter the property of being algebraic or not.

Hence, for any $\tau \in \mathcal{G}$, we have

$$c = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{G}|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} A_{\tau\sigma}^{-1} b_{\tau\sigma} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{G}|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} A_{\tau}^{-1} A_{\sigma}^{-1} (A_{\sigma} b_{\tau} + b_{\sigma}) = A_{\tau}^{-1} b_{\tau} + A_{\tau}^{-1} c,$$

giving

$$b_{\tau} + c = A_{\tau} c,$$

for all $\tau \in \mathcal{G}$. Thus,

$$\sigma \left(\begin{pmatrix} F \\ G \end{pmatrix} + c \right) = A_{\sigma} \left(\begin{pmatrix} F \\ G \end{pmatrix} + b_{\sigma} + c \right) = A_{\sigma} \left(\begin{pmatrix} F \\ G \end{pmatrix} + c \right).$$

Now let ξ be given by

$$\xi(x) = \begin{vmatrix} F & G \\ f & g \end{vmatrix},$$

hence

$$\xi''(x) = \begin{vmatrix} f & g \\ f' & g' \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} F & G \\ f'' & g'' \end{vmatrix} = 1 - q(x) \xi.$$

Moreover, for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$, we have

$$(\sigma(\xi))(x) = \sigma \left(\begin{vmatrix} F & G \\ f & g \end{vmatrix} \right) = \left| \begin{pmatrix} F & G \\ f & g \end{pmatrix} A_{\sigma}^T \right| = |A_{\sigma}^T| \xi(x) = \xi(x),$$

and thus $\xi(x)$ is a rational function.

On the other hand, assume that a rational solution of

$$\xi''(x) + q(x) \xi(x) = 1,$$

exists. Expanding ξ as a Laurent series in x about 0, we get with (22) that $\xi(x) = kx^r + \dots$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \neq 0$. Comparing coefficients of x^{r-2} yields

$$r(r-1) + \frac{1}{4}(1 - 1/m^2) = 0,$$

which yields a contradiction. Therefore F cannot be algebraic.

5.2.2 Rational solutions of (27) imply that two independent rational first integrals of (23) exist.

Assume that (27) holds. We therefore have two algebraic functions, r and s , such that

$$\omega = r \omega_1 + s \omega_2 \in K'$$

with ω_i as in (24). This gives, taking differentials,

$$r\alpha \wedge \omega_1 + s\alpha \wedge \omega_2 = \alpha \wedge \omega = d\omega = dr \wedge \omega_1 + ds \wedge \omega_2,$$

and hence,

$$(dr - r\alpha) \wedge \omega_1 + (ds - s\alpha) \wedge \omega_2 = 0. \quad (29)$$

If $s = 0$ then $\sigma(\omega) = \omega$ implies

$$\sigma(r)\sigma(\omega_1) = r\omega_1,$$

for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$. However, this would mean that $\sigma(\omega_1) = c_\sigma \omega_1$ with some character $\sigma \mapsto c_\sigma$ of \mathcal{G} , and, with

$$\sigma(\omega_1) = (\dots)dx + \sigma(f_1)dy + \sigma(f'_1)dz,$$

furthermore that $\sigma(f_1) = c_\sigma f_1$ for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$. This is impossible by Remark 5.

Therefore, we have r and s both non-zero. From (29) we can deduce that

$$\left(\frac{dr}{r} - \alpha \right) \wedge \Omega = \left(\frac{ds}{s} - \alpha \right) \wedge \Omega = 0,$$

and hence

$$\left(\frac{dr}{r} - \frac{ds}{s} \right) \wedge \Omega = 0.$$

Thus r/s is either a non-trivial first integral of (23), or $s = \lambda r$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

In the latter case, we have

$$\omega = r(\omega_1 + \lambda\omega_2). \quad (30)$$

As before, $\sigma(\omega) = \omega$ implies that

$$r(1 - \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma(r)(1 - \lambda) A_\sigma \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence $(1 - \lambda)$ is a common left eigenvector of the A_σ , by the linear independence of the ω_i . Once again, this is impossible by Remark 5.

Hence, we are left in the situation where r/s is a non-trivial algebraic first integral. From this, we have a rational first integral by Lemma 2. Let $\phi \in K$ be a rational first integral. Since $d\phi$ is a rational 1-form satisfying (27) with $\alpha = 0$, we can start afresh with

$$d\phi = r\omega_1 + s\omega_2,$$

for some new choice of r and s , algebraic over K . As above, we see that r and s are both non-zero. Taking differentials, we get

$$dr \wedge \omega_1 + ds \wedge \omega_2 = 0,$$

and hence r and s satisfy

$$dr \wedge \Omega = ds \wedge \Omega = 0.$$

We show that one of $dr \wedge d\phi$ or $ds \wedge d\phi$ is non-zero: Suppose that

$$d\phi \wedge dr = d\phi \wedge ds = 0;$$

then we can write

$$dr = \tilde{r} d\phi; \quad ds = \tilde{s} d\phi \quad (31)$$

for some algebraic elements, \tilde{r} and \tilde{s} . Thus,

$$d\phi \wedge (\tilde{r} \omega_1 + \tilde{s} \omega_2) = 0,$$

and hence

$$\tilde{r} \omega_1 + \tilde{s} \omega_2 = \ell d\phi,$$

thus

$$(\tilde{r} - \ell r) \omega_1 + (\tilde{s} - \ell s) \omega_2 = 0$$

for some ℓ , algebraic over K . This gives

$$\tilde{r} - \ell r = \tilde{s} - \ell s = 0,$$

due to the linear independence of the ω_i over L_0 , and hence, $d(r/s) = 0$ from (31), and $r/s \in \mathbb{C}$. However, we have already seen from the argument concerning (30) that this is not possible.

Without loss of generality, we take $dr \wedge d\phi \neq 0$, so that r is an algebraic first integral independent of ϕ . We now deduce the existence of a second rational first integral, ψ , with $d\psi \wedge d\phi \neq 0$, with a variant of the proof of Lemma 2. Let

$$r^n + k_{n-1} r^{n-1} + \cdots + k_0 = 0$$

be the minimal equation for r over K . Acting by $\Omega \wedge d(\cdot)$ on this equation we obtain

$$\Omega \wedge dk_{n-1} r^{n-1} + \cdots + \Omega \wedge dk_0 = 0,$$

and hence we have $\Omega \wedge dk_i = 0$ for all i . If we have $d\phi \wedge dk_j \neq 0$ for some j then we can take $\psi = k_j$. If not, then we have $d\phi \wedge dk_i = 0$ for all i . However, in this case, by acting on the minimal equation by $d\phi \wedge d(\cdot)$, we get

$$(nr^{n-1} + (n-1)k_{n-1}r^{n-2} + \cdots + k_1) d\phi \wedge dr = 0,$$

so that $nr^{n-1} + (n-1)k_{n-1}r^{n-2} + \cdots + k_1 = 0$, contradicting minimality.

5.2.3 If (27) holds, then F must be algebraic.

Assume that ϕ and ψ are two independent rational first integrals of (21), and let $p \in \mathbb{C}^3$ such that both are defined at p and $d\phi \wedge d\psi(p) \neq 0$. We may assume that $\phi(p) = \psi(p) = 0$. Let R and S , respectively be the numerators of ϕ and ψ , and let R_0 resp. S_0 be the irreducible factors of R resp. S that vanish at p ; these define an algebraic variety $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$. Thus the local trajectory of (21) through p is contained in \mathcal{V} .

Since $\dot{x} = 1$ in (21), the trajectory admits a local parameterization by x in the form $(x, \eta(x), \zeta(x))$, where η and ζ are analytic functions of x .

Now let $Q_1 = Q_1(x, y)$ be the resultant of R_0 and S_0 with respect to z , and let $Q_2 = Q_2(x, z)$ be the resultant of R_0 and S_0 with respect to y . Since $Q_1(x, \eta(x)) = 0$ for all x in a neighborhood of $x(p)$, one cannot have Q_1 independent of y , thus $Q_1(x, \eta(x)) = 0$ shows that η is an algebraic function of x . By the same token, $Q_2(x, \zeta(x)) = 0$ shows that ζ is an algebraic function of x .

Choosing power series expansions of F and G , we can embed M_0 into the field of convergent Laurent series, $M = \mathbb{C}\{x\}[x^{-1}]$, thus we also may assume $\eta(x)$ and $\zeta(x)$ in M .

Let the value of the first integral $\Xi(x, y, z) = y f(x) + z f'(x) + F(x)$ at p be $k \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\Xi = k$ along the trajectory through p . Thus

$$\Xi(x, \eta(x), \zeta(x)) = k = \eta(x) f(x) + \zeta(x) f'(x) + F(x),$$

in M for all x near $x(p)$, and hence $F(x)$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(x)$ since $\eta(x)$, $\zeta(x)$, $f(x)$ and $f'(x)$ are all algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(x)$.

5.3 Dihedral groups and exceptional cases (E1)

The arguments in the previous subsection also apply to the construction of exceptional cases (Ω, L) with Galois groups $\mathcal{G} \cong D_N^{SL(2)}$, $N \geq 3$: Starting with q defined as in (22), but now with (m, n, p) some permutation of $(2, 2, N)$ (cf. Matsuda [14]), the construction yields a Picard-Vessiot extension with differential Galois group \mathcal{G} . The rest of the argument applies verbatim.

With Proposition 6 one sees furthermore that L contains a degree two subfield F that represents an exceptional case (E1). Thus by an indirect argument the existence of this class of exceptional cases is ascertained.

We also provide an explicit example.

Example 1. We consider the D_3 case and determine an intermediate field of degree two.

1. We specialize the construction for $(m, n, p) = (2, 2, 3)$, thus (22) specializes to

$$q(x) = \frac{3}{16x^2} - \frac{11}{72x(x-1)} + \frac{3}{16(x-1)^2}. \quad (32)$$

By Proposition 8 the vector field obtained by specialization of (23) is exceptional. Explicitly the differential equation is given as

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= 1 \\ \dot{y} &= \left(\frac{3}{16x^2} - \frac{11}{72x(x-1)} + \frac{3}{16(x-1)^2} \right) z - 1 \\ \dot{z} &= -y.\end{aligned}\quad (33)$$

From general arguments we know that (33) admits a first integral defined over some degree two extension of K . We proceed with the ingredients of the construction for the dihedral case: A solution basis for the linear differential equation (21) is obtained using the MAPLE symbolic computing environment, [13], and is given by

$$\begin{aligned}f_1 &:= (x(x-1))^{1/4} \cdot \left(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{(x-1)} \right)^{1/3}; \\ f_2 &:= (x(x-1))^{1/4} / \left(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{(x-1)} \right)^{1/3}.\end{aligned}$$

We note

$$\frac{f'_1}{f_1} = h_1 := \frac{1}{12x(x-1)} \left(3 \cdot (2x-1) + 2\sqrt{x(x-1)} \right),$$

thus h_1 lies in a degree two extension $F := K(\sqrt{k})$ of the rational function field K , by adjoining a square root of $k := x(x-1)$.

Now, according to (24) the form

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1 &:= d(y f_1(x) + z f'_1(x)) + f_1(x) dx \\ &= (f_1 - qz f_1 + y f'_1) dx + f_1 dy + f'_1 dz\end{aligned}$$

is a first integral of the 2-form, with $d\omega_1 = 0$. (The same holds for ω_2 , mutatis mutandis.)

2. To explicitly obtain forms over the degree two intermediate field $F = K(\sqrt{k})$ (with the nontrivial automorphism σ sending \sqrt{k} to $-\sqrt{k}$) we set

$$\widehat{\omega}_1 := \frac{1}{f_1} \cdot \omega_1 = (1 - qz + yh_1) dx + dy + h_1 dz \in F'$$

and $\widehat{\omega}_2 = \sigma(\widehat{\omega}_1)$. By construction we have

$$d\widehat{\omega}_i = \widehat{\alpha}_i \wedge \widehat{\omega}_i,$$

with

$$\widehat{\alpha}_1 = -\frac{f'_1}{f_1} dx = -h_1 dx; \quad \widehat{\alpha}_2 = -\sigma(h_1) dx$$

Thus, by construction the $\widehat{\omega}_i \in F'$ are first integrals, and $\widehat{\omega}_1 \wedge \widehat{\omega}_2 \in F \cdot \Omega$. (One may also verify this by direct computation.)

References

- [1] S.S. Abhyankar: *Algebraic geometry for scientists and engineers*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1990).
- [2] J. Avellar and L. G. S. Duarte and S. E. S. Duarte and L. A. C. P. da Mota. Determining Liouvillian first integrals for dynamical systems in the plane. *Computer Physics Communications*, 177, 584–596, 2007.
- [3] W. Aziz, C. Christopher, C. Pantazi and S. Walcher. Liouvillian integrability of vector fields in higher dimensions. Preprint, 20 pp., 2025.
- [4] L.R. Berrone and H. Giacomini. Inverse Jacobi multipliers. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2), 52, 77–130, 2003.
- [5] G. Casale. Liouvillian first integrals of differential equations. *Banach Center Publ.*, 94, 153–161, 2011.
- [6] L. Cairó and H. Giacomini and L. Llibre. Liouvillian first integrals for the planar Lotka–Volterra system. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, 52, 389–418, 2003.
- [7] C. Camacho and A. Lins Neto. *Geometric Theory of Foliations*. Springer 1985
- [8] C. Christopher. Liouvillian first integrals of second order polynomial differential equations. *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, 1999, 1–7, 1999.
- [9] L.G.S. Duarte and L.A.C.P. da Mota. 3D polynomial dynamical systems with elementary first integrals. *J. Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 43, 17 pp. 065204, 2010.
- [10] M. Kneser. Inverse Jacobi multiplier. *Lectures on Galois cohomology of classical groups: Lectures on mathematics and physics, Mathematics no. 47. Bombay : Tata Institute of Fundamental Research*, 1969.
- [11] E.R. Kolchin. *Differential algebra and algebraic groups*. Academic Press, New York-London, 1973.
- [12] S. Lang. *Algebra*. Springer, New York, 2002.
- [13] Maple (Version 2023). Maplesoft, a division of Waterloo Maple Inc., Waterloo, Ontario. Available from <https://www.maplesoft.com>
- [14] M. Matsuda: *Lectures on algebraic solutions of hypergeometric differential equations*. Lectures in Math., **15**. Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1985.

- [15] K.A. Nguyen, M. van der Put, J. Top. Algebraic subgroups of $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* 19, no. 2, 287–297, 2008.
- [16] J. Llibre and C. Valls. Liouvillian first integrals for Liénard polynomial differential systems. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 138, 3229–3239, 2010.
- [17] J. M. Ollagnier. Liouvillian first integrals of homogeneous polynomial 3-dimensional vector fields. *Colloq. Math.*, 70, 195–217, 1996.
- [18] J. M. Ollagnier. Liouvillian integration of the Lotka-Volterra systems. *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 2, 307–358, 2001.
- [19] M. van der Put, M.F. Singer: *Galois theory of linear differential equations*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [20] B.E. Sagan: *The symmetric group*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [21] M. Singer. Liouvillian first integrals of differential equations. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 333(2), 673–688, 1992.
- [22] X. Zhang. Liouvillian integrability of polynomial differential systems. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 368(1), 607–620, 2016.
- [23] H. Żoładek. The extended monodromy group and Liouvillian first integrals. *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems*, 4(1), 1–28 1998.