

Orbital stability of solitary waves for the Schrödinger-Boussinesq system

Yilong Ma[†] Yamin Xiao^{‡,*}

[†]School of Mathematical Sciences, Hebei International Studies University,
Shijiazhuang, 051132, P.R. China

[‡] School of Mathematical Sciences, Hebei Normal University,
Shijiazhuang, 050024, P.R. China

Abstract

This paper studies the orbital stability of solitary waves for the following Schrödinger-Boussinesq system

$$\begin{cases} i\varepsilon_t + \varepsilon_{xx} = n\varepsilon + \gamma|\varepsilon|^2\varepsilon, \\ n_{tt} - n_{xx} + \alpha n_{xxx} - \beta(n^2)_{xx} = |\varepsilon|_{xx}^2, \end{cases} \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

By applying the abstract results and detailed spectral analysis, we obtain the orbital stability of solitary waves. The result can be regarded as an extension of the results of [5, 9, 14].

Key words: Solitary waves, orbital stability, Schrödinger-Boussinesq system

MSC(2010): 74J35, 35B35, 35Q55

1 Introduction

The generalized Schrödinger-Boussinesq system can be written as:

$$\begin{cases} i\varepsilon_t + \varepsilon_{xx} = n\varepsilon + \gamma|\varepsilon|^2\varepsilon, \\ n_{tt} - n_{xx} + \alpha n_{xxx} - \beta(|n|^{p-1}n)_{xx} = |\varepsilon|_{xx}^2, \end{cases} \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (1.1)$$

where $p > 1$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \neq 0$ are real parameters, $\varepsilon(t, x)$ is a complex valued and $n(t, x)$ is a real valued function. This kind of problem appears in the study of interaction of solutions in optics. Linares and Navas [12] proved the global well-posedness of initial value problem to the system (1.1) in $H^1(\mathbb{R}) \times H^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $\beta > 0$, and for $\beta < 0$, the small global well-posedness is established. In [10], Hona and Fan considered the existence of exact solitary wave solutions for system (1.1). Later, the orbital stability and instability of solitary wave solutions for (1.1) have been extensively studied.

*Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: *mylshr123@126.com*(Y. Ma), *xiaoyamin@hebtu.edu.cn*(Y. Xiao).

In this paper, we consider the existence and orbital stability of solitary wave solutions for (1.1) with $p = 2$ (hereafter referred to as the SB-system)

$$\begin{cases} i\varepsilon_t + \varepsilon_{xx} - n\varepsilon = \gamma|\varepsilon|^2\varepsilon, \\ n_{tt} - n_{xx} + \alpha n_{xxxx} - \beta(n^2)_{xx} = |\varepsilon|_{xx}^2, \end{cases} \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (\text{SB})$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$. (SB) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} i\varepsilon_t + \varepsilon_{xx} - n\varepsilon = \gamma|\varepsilon|^2\varepsilon, \\ n_t = \phi_{xx}, \\ \phi_t = n - \alpha n_{xx} + \beta n^2 + |\varepsilon|^2. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Here, we mean the solitary wave solutions of (1.2) having the following form

$$\varepsilon(t, x) = e^{-i\omega t} \varepsilon_{\omega, v}(x - vt), \quad n(t, x) = n_{\omega, v}(x - vt) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(t, x) = \phi_{\omega, v}(x - vt), \quad (1.3)$$

where ω, v, q are constants, $\varepsilon_{\omega, v}(x) := e^{iqx} \hat{\varepsilon}_{\omega, v}(x)$ and $\hat{\varepsilon}_{\omega, v}, n_{\omega, v}$ and $\phi_{\omega, v}$ are real function.

We prove the orbital stability of solitary waves of system (SB) within the abstract context of Hamiltonian system developed by Grillakis, Shatah, and Strauss in [7, 8]. Hakkaev [9] proved the stability of solitary waves for system (SB) with $\alpha = 1, \gamma = 0$ and $\beta = 3$. For $\alpha = 1, \gamma = \beta = 0$, Farah and Pastor [5] established the orbital stability of standing wave solutions and, Esfahani and Pastor [4] obtained the stability result with replacing n_{xxxx} by $-n_{xxtt}$. When $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$, system (1.1) reduces to the Zakharov system

$$\begin{cases} i\varepsilon_t + \varepsilon_{xx} - n\varepsilon = 0, \\ n_{tt} - n_{xx} = |\varepsilon|_{xx}^2, \end{cases} \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (1.4)$$

Wu [14] proved that the traveling wave solutions of system (1.4) are orbitally stable. Liang [11] established the modulational instability to system (1.1). There are many interesting results concerning the orbital stability and instability of solitary waves for Boussinesq-type and nonlinear Schrödinger-type system [2, 3, 6, 13, 15]. Our work covers the results [5, 9, 14].

Notations. (1) Denote

$$\vec{u} = (\varepsilon, n, \phi), \quad \vec{\Phi}_{\omega, v}(x) = (\varepsilon_{\omega, v}(x), n_{\omega, v}(x), \phi_{\omega, v}(x)).$$

(2) Define $X = H_{complex}^1(\mathbb{R}) \times H_{real}^1(\mathbb{R}) \times L_{real}^2(\mathbb{R})$ with the inner product

$$(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2) = \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varepsilon_1 \bar{\varepsilon}_2 + \varepsilon_{1x} \bar{\varepsilon}_{2x} + n_1 n_2 + n_{1x} n_{2x} + \phi_1 \phi_2) dx,$$

and the dual space of X is $X^* = H_{complex}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H_{real}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}) \times L_{real}^2(\mathbb{R})$. There exists a natural isomorphism $I : X \rightarrow X^*$ defined by $\langle I \vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2 \rangle = (\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2)$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the pairing between X and X^* , and

$$\langle \vec{f}, \vec{u} \rangle = \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f_1 \bar{\varepsilon} + f_2 n + f_3 \phi) dx, \quad I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} & & \\ & 1 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(3) Let T_1, T_2 be one-parameter groups of the unitary operator on X defined by

$$T_1(s_1)\vec{u}(\cdot) = \vec{u}(\cdot - s_1), \quad T_2(s_2)\vec{u}(\cdot) = (e^{-is_2}\varepsilon(\cdot), n(\cdot), \phi(\cdot)), \quad \text{for } \vec{u}(\cdot) \in X, \quad s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.5)$$

Obviously,

$$T_1'(0) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & & \\ & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \\ & & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad T_2'(0) = \begin{pmatrix} -i & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the system (1.2) is invariant under T_1 and T_2 and $T_1(vt)T_2(\omega t)\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}(x)$ is a solitary wave solution of (1.2).

In order to present our main results, we recall the definition of the orbital stability of solitary waves $T_1(vt)T_2(\omega t)\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}(x)$.

Definition 1.1 ([7]). *The solitary wave $T_1(vt)T_2(\omega t)\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}(x)$ is orbitally stable if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ with the following property: If $\|\vec{u}_0 - \vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}\|_X < \delta$ and $\vec{u}(t)$ is a solution of (SB) in some interval $[0, t_0]$ with $\vec{u}(0) = \vec{u}_0$, then $\vec{u}(t)$ can be continued to a solution in $0 \leq t < +\infty$, and*

$$\sup_{0 < t < \infty} \inf_{s_1 \in \mathbb{R}} \inf_{s_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \|\vec{u}(t) - T_1(s_1)T_2(s_2)\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}\|_X < \epsilon. \quad (1.6)$$

Otherwise, $T_1(vt)T_2(\omega t)\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}$ is called orbitally unstable.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the existence of solitary waves and in section 3, we establish some spectral properties we need and prove the orbital stability of solitary waves.

2 The existence of solitary waves

In this section, we focus on the existence of solitary waves for (SB) system. Putting (1.3) into (1.2), we get

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon''_{\omega,v} - n_{\omega,v}\varepsilon_{\omega,v} + \omega\varepsilon_{\omega,v} - iv\varepsilon'_{\omega,v} - \gamma|\varepsilon|^2\varepsilon = 0, \\ \hat{\varepsilon}''_{\omega,v} + i(2q - v)\hat{\varepsilon}'_{\omega,v} + (\omega + qv - q^2 - n_{\omega,v} - \gamma|\hat{\varepsilon}|^2)\hat{\varepsilon}_{\omega,v} = 0, \\ -vn_{\omega,v} = \phi'_{\omega,v}, \\ -v\phi'_{\omega,v} = n_{\omega,v} - \alpha n''_{\omega,v} + \beta n_{\omega,v}^2 + \hat{\varepsilon}_{\omega,v}^2. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

By (2.1)₂ we have $q = \frac{v}{2}$. Let $\hat{\varepsilon}_{\omega,v} = c_1 \text{sech} c_2 x$ satisfy (2.1)₂ with constants c_1, c_2 to be determined later, we deduce that

$$\hat{\varepsilon}''_{\omega,v} = (c_2^2 - 2c_2^2 \text{sech}^2 c_2 x)\hat{\varepsilon}_{\omega,v} = (-w - \frac{v^2}{4} + n_{\omega,v} + \gamma|\hat{\varepsilon}_{\omega,v}|^2)\hat{\varepsilon}_{\omega,v}. \quad (2.2)$$

Assume $n_{\omega,v}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, one has

$$n_{\omega,v} = -2c_2^2 \text{sech}^2 c_2 x - \gamma|\hat{\varepsilon}_{\omega,v}|^2 + c_2^2 + \omega + \frac{v^2}{4} = -(2c_2^2 + \gamma c_1^2) \text{sech}^2 c_2 x, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$c_2^2 = -\omega - \frac{v^2}{4}. \quad (2.4)$$

Insetting (2.3)-(2.4) into (2.1), we obtain that

$$\frac{6\alpha}{\beta}c_2^2 = 2c_2^2 + \gamma c_1^2, \quad c_2 = \sqrt{-\omega - \frac{v^2}{4}}, \quad c_1 = \sqrt{\frac{6\alpha c_2^2}{\beta}(1 - v^2 - 4\alpha c_2^2)}.$$

In this way, we arrive at the solitary waves solution for system (1.2)

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\varepsilon}_{\omega,v} = \sqrt{\frac{6\alpha}{\beta}}\sigma\eta\operatorname{sech}(\sqrt{\sigma}x), \\ n_{\omega,v} = -\frac{6\alpha}{\beta}\sigma\operatorname{sech}^2(\sqrt{\sigma}x), \\ \phi_{\omega,v} = \frac{6\alpha}{\beta}v\sqrt{\sigma}\tanh(\sqrt{\sigma}x), \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

where $\sigma := -\omega - \frac{v^2}{4} > 0$, $\eta := 1 - v^2 - 4\alpha\sigma > 0$. Therefore, we have the following theorem

Theorem 2.1. *For any real constants ω, v satisfying*

$$-\frac{v^2}{4} - \frac{1-v^2}{4\alpha} < \omega < -\frac{v^2}{4}, \quad 1 - v^2 > 0, \quad (2.6)$$

there exist solitary waves of (SB) in the form of (1.3), with $\hat{\varepsilon}_{\omega,v}$ and $n_{\omega,v}$ satisfying (2.5).

3 Orbital stability of solitary waves

In this section, we prove the orbital stability of solitary wave solutions for (SB) system. Define

$$E(\vec{u}) = \int |\varepsilon_x|^2 + n|\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2}|\varepsilon|^4 + \frac{1}{2}n^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}|n_x|^2 + \frac{\beta}{3}n^3 + \frac{1}{2}|\phi_x|^2 dx,$$

then the system (1.2) can be written as the Hamiltonian form

$$\frac{d\vec{u}}{dt} = JE'(\vec{u}), \quad (3.1)$$

where J is a skew symmetric linear operator defined by

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{i}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$E'(\vec{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} -2\varepsilon_{xx} + 2n\varepsilon + 2\gamma|\varepsilon|^2\varepsilon \\ |\varepsilon|^2 + n - \alpha n_{xx} + \beta n^2 \\ -\phi_{xx} \end{pmatrix}$$

is the Frechet derivatives of $E(\vec{u})$. As in [7, 8], since $T_1'(0) = JB_1$, $T_2'(0) = JB_2$, one gets

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -2i\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$Q_1(\vec{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle B_1 \vec{u}, \vec{u} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int -\phi' n + \phi n' - 2i\varepsilon' \varepsilon dx = - \int \phi' n dx + \text{Im} \int \varepsilon' \bar{\varepsilon} dx,$$

$$Q_2(\vec{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle B_2 \vec{u}, \vec{u} \rangle = \int |\varepsilon|^2 dx.$$

It is easy to verify that $E(\vec{u})$, $Q_1(\vec{u})$ and $Q_2(\vec{u})$ are invariant under T_1 and T_2 , namely

$$E(T_1(s_1)T_2(s_2)\vec{u}) = E(\vec{u}), \quad Q_1(T_1(s_1)T_2(s_2)\vec{u}) = Q_1(\vec{u}), \quad Q_2(T_1(s_1)T_2(s_2)\vec{u}) = Q_2(\vec{u})$$

for any $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, they are formally conserved under the flow of (1.2), that is

$$E(\vec{u}(t)) = E(\vec{u}(0)), \quad Q_1(\vec{u}(t)) = Q_1(\vec{u}(0)), \quad Q_2(\vec{u}(t)) = Q_2(\vec{u}(0)). \quad (3.2)$$

By (2.1), we deduce that $\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}$ is a critical point of function $E - vQ_1 - \omega Q_2$,

$$E'(\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}) - vQ_1'(\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}) - \omega Q_2'(\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}) = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where $Q_1'(\vec{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} -2i\varepsilon' \\ -\phi' \\ n' \end{pmatrix}$ and $Q_2'(\vec{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\varepsilon \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Now, we define the operator $H_{\omega,v} : X \rightarrow X^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\omega,v} &= E''(\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}) - vQ_1''(\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}) - \omega Q_2''(\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -2\partial_{xx} + 2n + 2\gamma(|\varepsilon|^2 + 2\varepsilon^2) + 2iv\partial_x - 2\omega & 2\varepsilon & 0 \\ 2\varepsilon & 1 - \alpha\partial_{xx} + 2\beta n & v\partial_x \\ 0 & -v\partial_x & -\partial_{xx} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and we get that

$$H_{\omega,v} \vec{\psi} = \begin{pmatrix} -2\psi_{1xx} + 2n\psi_1 + 2\gamma(|\varepsilon|^2\psi_1 + \varepsilon\bar{\psi}_1\varepsilon + \bar{\varepsilon}\psi_1\varepsilon) + 2iv\psi_{1x} - 2\omega\psi_1 + 2\varepsilon\psi_2 \\ \bar{\varepsilon}\psi_1 + \varepsilon\bar{\psi}_1 + 2\beta n\psi_2 - \alpha\psi_{2xx} + \psi_2 + v\psi_{3x} \\ -v\psi_{2x} - \psi_{3xx} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.4)$$

with $\vec{\psi} = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3) \in X$. Observe that $H_{\omega,v}$ is self-adjoint operator. The spectrum of $H_{\omega,v}$ consists of the real numbers λ such that $H_{\omega,v} - \lambda I$ is not invertible. Concerning the operator $H_{\omega,v}$ we have the following spectral properties.

Proposition 3.1. (1) Zero is a simple isolated eigenvalue of $H_{\omega,v}$ with $T_1'(0)\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}(x)$ and $T_2'(0)\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}(x)$ as its eigenfunction.

(2) On the negative axis $(-\infty, 0)$, the spectrum set of $H_{\omega,v}$ admits nothing but only one simple eigenvalue.

(3) Essential spectrum $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_{\omega,v})$ lies on the positive real axis, admitting a positive distance to the origin.

Proof. First, by (1.5), (2.2)-(2.4) and (3.6), it holds that

$$H_{\omega,v}T'_1(0)\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\omega,v}T'_2(0)\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}(x) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Let

$$Z = \{kT'_1(0)\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}(x) + k_2T'_2(0)\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}(x) | k_1, k_2 \in R\}, \quad (3.6)$$

then by (3.5), Z is contained in the kernel of $H_{\omega,v}$.

Second, for any $\vec{\psi} \in X$, rewrite it as

$$\vec{\psi} = (e^{i\frac{v}{2}x}z_1, z_2, z_3) \quad (3.7)$$

with $z_1 = y_1 + iy_2$, $y_1 = \text{Re}z_1$, $y_2 = \text{Im}z_1$, then by (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_{\omega,v}\vec{\psi}, \vec{\psi} \rangle &= \int -2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \sigma - n \right) z_1^2 + 2\gamma (|\varepsilon|^2 z_1^2 + \hat{\varepsilon}^2 z_1^2 + \hat{\varepsilon}^2 \bar{z}_1^2) \\ &\quad + 2\hat{\varepsilon}z_2\bar{z}_1 + 2\hat{\varepsilon}z_2z_1 + \left(-\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 1 + 2\beta n \right) z_2^2 + 2vz'_3z_2 + (z'_3)^2 dx \\ &= \int -2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \sigma - n - 3\gamma\hat{\varepsilon}^2 \right) y_1^2 dx + \int -2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \sigma - n - \gamma\hat{\varepsilon}^2 \right) y_2^2 dx \\ &\quad + 4 \int 2\hat{\varepsilon}z_2y_1 dx + \left(-\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 1 + 2\beta n - v^2 \right) z_2^2 dx + \int (vz_2 + z'_3)^2 dx \\ &= \langle \bar{L}_1 y_1, y_1 \rangle + \langle L_2 y_2, y_2 \rangle + 4 \int 2\hat{\varepsilon}z_2y_1 dx + \langle \bar{L}_3 z_2, z_2 \rangle + \int (vz_2 + z'_3)^2 dx \\ &= \langle L_1 y_1, y_1 \rangle + \langle L_2 y_2, y_2 \rangle + \langle L_3 z_2, z_2 \rangle + \int (vz_2 + z'_3)^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int \left(\frac{2\hat{\varepsilon}y_1}{\sqrt{\eta}} + \sqrt{\eta}z_2 \right)^2 dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where $\bar{L}_1 = -2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \sigma - n - 3\gamma\hat{\varepsilon}^2 \right)$, $L_1 = \bar{L}_1 - \frac{4\hat{\varepsilon}^2}{\eta} = -2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 2\sigma + 2n + (6\gamma - \frac{4}{\eta})\hat{\varepsilon}^2$, $L_2 = -2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 2\sigma + 2n + 2\gamma\hat{\varepsilon}^2$, $\bar{L}_3 = -\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 1 + 2\beta n - v^2$ and $L_3 = \bar{L}_3 - \eta = -\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 4\alpha\sigma + 2\beta n$. Combining (2.1) and (2.5), one gets

$$L_1\hat{\varepsilon}_x = 0, \quad L_2\hat{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L_3n_x = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

and $\hat{\varepsilon}_x$ and n_x have a simple zero point at $x = 0$, respectively. Thanks to the Sturm-Liouville theorem in [9], we know that 0 is the second eigenvalue of L_1 and L_3 . Thus L_1 and L_3 only have one strictly negative eigenvalue with an eigenfunction, respectively. In fact, by the simple calculation, we have

$$L_1(\hat{\varepsilon}^2) = -6\sigma(\hat{\varepsilon}^2) \quad \text{and} \quad L_3(2n\hat{\varepsilon}) = -5\alpha\sigma(2n\hat{\varepsilon}). \quad (3.10)$$

We rewrite $L_1 = -2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 2\sigma + M_1(x)$, $L_3 = -\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 4\alpha\sigma + M_3(x)$ with $M_1(x) = 2n + (6\gamma - \frac{4}{\eta})\hat{\varepsilon}^2 \rightarrow 0$ and $M_3(x) = 2\beta n \rightarrow 0$, as $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$, then by Weyl's theorem on the essential spectrum, it follows that

$$\sigma_{ess}(L_1) = [2\sigma, +\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{ess}(L_3) = [4\alpha\sigma, +\infty), \quad \sigma > 0, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

By (2.5) and (3.9), $\hat{\varepsilon}$ has no zero point and 0 is the first simple eigenvalue of L_2 with a eigenfunction $\hat{\varepsilon}(x)$. Rewrite L_2 as $L_2 = -2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 2\sigma + M_2(x)$ with $M_2(x) = 2n + 2\gamma\hat{\varepsilon}^2$, then $M_2(x) \rightarrow 0$ when $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$, thus

$$\sigma_{ess}(L_2) = [2\sigma, +\infty), \quad \sigma > 0$$

and we complete the proof of Proposition 3.1. \square

Let us state the assumption on the spectral decomposition of $H_{\omega,v}$, which will be used to prove the orbital stability of solitary waves.

Assume 3.2. *[[7] Spectral decomposition of $H_{\omega,v}$] The space X is decomposed as a direct sum*

$$X = N + Z + P, \quad (3.11)$$

where Z is defined in (3.6), N is a finite-dimensional subspace such that

$$\langle H_{\omega,v} \vec{u}, \vec{u} \rangle < 0, \text{ for } 0 \neq \vec{u} \in N, \quad (3.12)$$

and P is a closed subspace such that

$$\langle H_{\omega,v} \vec{u}, \vec{u} \rangle \geq \delta \|\vec{u}\|_X^2, \text{ for } \vec{u} \in P \quad (3.13)$$

with some constant $\delta > 0$ independent of \vec{u} .

According to [1], we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. *For any real functions $y_1 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying*

$$\langle y_1, \hat{\varepsilon}^2 \rangle = \langle y_1, \hat{\varepsilon}_x \rangle = 0,$$

then there exists a positive number $\bar{\delta}_1, \delta_1 > 0$ such that $\langle L_1 y_1, y_1 \rangle \geq \bar{\delta}_1 \|y_1\|_{L^2}^2$. Moreover, we have

$$\langle L_1 y_1, y_1 \rangle \geq \delta_1 \|y_1\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Lemma 3.4. *For any real functions $y_2 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying*

$$\langle y_2, \hat{\varepsilon} \rangle = 0,$$

then there exists a positive number $\delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$\langle L_2 y_2, y_2 \rangle \geq \delta_2 \|y_2\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Lemma 3.5. *For any real functions $z_2 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying*

$$\langle z_2, 2n\hat{\varepsilon} \rangle = \langle z_2, n_x \rangle = 0,$$

then there exists a positive number $\bar{\delta}_3, \delta_3 > 0$ such that $\langle L_3 z_2, z_2 \rangle \geq \bar{\delta}_3 \|z_2\|_{L^2}^2$. Moreover, we have

$$\langle L_3 z_2, z_2 \rangle \geq \delta_3 \|z_2\|_{H^1}^2.$$

For any $\vec{\psi} \in X$, from (3.7), we can simply denote $\vec{\psi}$ by $\vec{\psi} = (y_1, y_2, z_2, z_3)$. Choose $y_1^- = \hat{\varepsilon}^2$, $y_2^- = 0$, $z_2^- = 2n\hat{\varepsilon}$ and $z_{3x}^- = -vz_2^-$, $\vec{\psi}^- = (y_1^-, y_2^-, z_2^-, z_3^-)$, then by (3.8) and (3.10), we obtain

$$\langle H_{\omega,v} \vec{\psi}^-, \vec{\psi}^- \rangle = -6\sigma \langle \hat{\varepsilon}^2, \hat{\varepsilon}^2 \rangle - 5\alpha\sigma \langle 2n\hat{\varepsilon}, 2n\hat{\varepsilon} \rangle < 0.$$

Without loss of generality, let

$$N = \{k \vec{\psi}^- / k \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

then it implies (3.12). In addition, one gets that the kernel of $H_{\omega,v}$ is spanned by the following two vectors

$$\vec{\psi}_{0,1} = (\hat{\varepsilon}_x, 0, n_x, \phi_x) \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\psi}_{0,2} = (0, \hat{\varepsilon}, 0, 0),$$

then Z can be rewritten as

$$Z = \{k_1 \vec{\psi}_{0,1} + k_2 \vec{\psi}_{0,2} / k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Choosing

$$P = \{\vec{p} \in X / \vec{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)\},$$

with $\langle p_1, \hat{\varepsilon}^2 \rangle + \langle p_3, 2n\hat{\varepsilon} \rangle = 0$, $\langle p_1, \hat{\varepsilon}_x \rangle + \langle p_3, n_x \rangle = 0$ and $\langle p_2, \hat{\varepsilon} \rangle = 0$. We will prove that (3.11) holds. Namely, for any $\vec{u} = (y_1, y_2, z_2, z_3) \in X$, we choose

$$a_1 = \frac{\langle y_1, \hat{\varepsilon}^2 \rangle + \langle z_2, 2n\hat{\varepsilon} \rangle}{\langle \hat{\varepsilon}^2, \hat{\varepsilon}^2 \rangle + \langle 2n\hat{\varepsilon}, 2n\hat{\varepsilon} \rangle}, \quad b_1 = \frac{\langle y_1, \hat{\varepsilon}_x \rangle + \langle z_2, n_x \rangle}{\langle \hat{\varepsilon}_x, \hat{\varepsilon}_x \rangle + \langle n_x, n_x \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad b_2 = \frac{\langle y_2, \hat{\varepsilon} \rangle}{\langle \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{\varepsilon} \rangle}$$

such that \vec{u} can be uniquely represented by

$$\vec{u} = a_1 \vec{\psi}^- + b_1 \vec{\psi}_{0,1} + b_2 \vec{\psi}_{0,2} + \vec{p},$$

with $\vec{p} \in P$. For subspace P , we have the following lemma, which implies that (3.13) holds.

Lemma 3.6. *For any $\vec{p} \in P$, there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\langle H_{\omega,v} \vec{p}, \vec{p} \rangle \geq \delta \|\vec{p}\|_X^2 \quad (3.14)$$

with δ independent of \vec{p} .

Proof. For any $\vec{p} \in P$, by (3.8) and Lemmas 3.3-3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_{\omega,v} \vec{p}, \vec{p} \rangle &\geq \delta_1 \|p_1\|_{H^1}^2 + \delta_2 \|p_2\|_{H^1}^2 + \delta_3 \|p_3\|_{H^1}^2 + \int (vp_3 + p_{4x})^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int \left(\frac{2\hat{\varepsilon}p_1}{\sqrt{\eta}} + \sqrt{\eta}p_3 \right)^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

(1) If $\|p_{4x}\|_{L^2} \geq 2|v|\|p_3\|_{L^2}$, then

$$\int (vp_3 + p_{4x})^2 dx \geq \frac{1}{4} \|p_{4x}\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \delta \|p_4\|_{H^1}^2. \quad (3.16)$$

(2) If $\|p_{4x}\|_{L^2} \leq 2|v|\|p_3\|_{L^2}$, then

$$\delta_3\|p_3\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{\delta_3}{2}\|p_3\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\delta_3}{4|v|}\|p_{4x}\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{\delta_3}{2}\|p_3\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\delta\delta_3}{4|v|}\|p_4\|_{H^1}^2. \quad (3.17)$$

Thus, for any $\vec{p} \in P$, it follows from (3.16)-(3.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_{\omega,v} \vec{p}, \vec{p} \rangle &\geq \delta_1\|p_1\|_{H^1}^2 + \bar{\delta}_2\|p_2\|_{H^1}^2 + \bar{\delta}_3\|p_3\|_{H^1}^2 + \bar{\delta}_4\|p_4\|_{H^1}^2 \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{2\hat{\varepsilon}p_1}{\sqrt{\eta}} + \sqrt{\eta}p_3 \right)^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

(1) If $\|p_3\|_{L^2} \geq 2M\|p_1\|_{L^2}$, $M = \frac{2\|\hat{\varepsilon}\|_{L^\infty}}{\eta}$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{2\hat{\varepsilon}p_1}{\sqrt{\eta}} + \sqrt{\eta}p_3 \right)^2 dx \geq \frac{\eta}{4}\|p_3\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.19)$$

(2) If $\|p_3\|_{L^2} \leq 2M\|p_1\|_{L^2}$, then

$$\delta_1\|p_1\|_{H^1}^2 \geq \frac{\delta_1}{2}\|p_1\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{\delta_1}{8M^2}\|p_3\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.20)$$

Thus, for any $\vec{p} \in P$, we arrive at

$$\langle H_{\omega,v} \vec{p}, \vec{p} \rangle \geq \delta \|\vec{p}\|_X^2, \quad (3.21)$$

and the proof of Lemma 3.6 is finished. \square

Therefore, the Assumption 3.2 holds. Denote the function $d(\omega, v) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$d(\omega, v) = E(\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}) - vQ_1(\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}) - \omega Q_2(\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}),$$

and $d''(\omega, v)$ is the Hessian matrix of the function $d(\omega, v)$. Along the lines of proofs in [[8], section 3 and 4], we shall apply the abstract stability theory to prove the orbital stability of solitary waves $T_1(vt)T_2(\omega t)\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}(x)$ to system (1.2).

Theorem 3.7. [Abstract Stability Theorem [7, 8]] Assume that there exists the solitary wave solutions $T_1(vt)T_2(\omega t)\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}(x)$ of (1.2) and Assumption 3.2 holds. Let $n(H_{\omega,v})$ be the number of negative eigenvalues of $H_{\omega,v}$. Assume $d(\omega, v)$ is non-degenerate at (ω, v) and let $p(d'')$ be the number of positive eigenvalue of d'' . If $p(d'') = n(H_{\omega,v})$, then the solitary wave solutions $T_1(vt)T_2(\omega t)\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}(x)$ is orbitally stable.

Here is a more detailed results about stability of solitary wave $T_1(vt)T_2(\omega t)\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}(x)$ in this paper.

Theorem 3.8. Under the condition of the Theorem 2.1, the solitary waves $T_1(vt)T_2(\omega t)\vec{\Phi}_{\omega,v}(x)$ of system (1.2) are orbital stable if

$$-\frac{v^2}{4} - \frac{1+5v^2}{2\alpha(6+\beta)} < \omega < -\frac{v^2}{4}. \quad (3.22)$$

Proof. To accomplish the proof of Theorem 3.8, we need to prove $n(H_{\omega,v}) = p(d'') = 1$. By the Proposition 3.1 and Assumption 3.2, we just have to prove that $p(d'') = 1$. It can be deduced from (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\omega}(\omega, v) &= -Q_2(\Phi_{\omega,v}) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon} dx = -\frac{12\alpha}{\beta} \sqrt{\sigma} \eta, \\ d_v(\omega, v) &= -Q_1(\Phi_{\omega,v}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi' n dx - \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varepsilon' \bar{\varepsilon} dx = -\frac{48\alpha^2}{\beta^2} v \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{6\alpha}{\beta} v \sqrt{\sigma} \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the simple calculations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\omega\omega}(\omega, v) &= \frac{6\alpha}{\beta} \frac{\eta - 8\alpha\sigma}{\sqrt{\sigma}}, \\ d_{\omega v}(\omega, v) &= \frac{3\alpha}{\beta} \frac{v\eta}{\sqrt{\sigma}} - \frac{24\alpha}{\beta} v(\alpha - 1)\sqrt{\sigma}, \\ d_{v\omega}(\omega, v) &= \frac{3\alpha}{\beta} \frac{v\eta}{\sqrt{\sigma}} - \frac{24\alpha}{\beta} v(\alpha - \frac{3\alpha}{\beta})\sqrt{\sigma}, \\ d_{vv}(\omega, v) &= -\frac{48\alpha^2}{\beta^2} \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{36\alpha^2}{\beta^2} v^2 \sqrt{\sigma} - \frac{6\alpha}{\beta} \sqrt{\sigma} \eta - \frac{12\alpha}{\beta} v^2(\alpha - 1)\sqrt{\sigma} + \frac{3\alpha}{\beta} \frac{v^2}{2} \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \det(d'') &= d_{\omega\omega} d_{vv} - d_{\omega v} d_{v\omega} \\ &= -288 \frac{\alpha^3}{\beta^3} \eta \sigma - 36 \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} \eta^2 + 48 \cdot 48 \frac{\alpha^3}{\beta^3} \alpha \sigma^2 + 288 \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} \alpha \sigma \eta - 24 \cdot 72 \frac{\alpha^3}{\beta^3} v^2 \sigma \\ &= -36 \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} \left((\eta - 4\alpha\sigma)^2 + 8 \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \sigma (1 + 5v^2 - 12\alpha\sigma - 2\beta\alpha\sigma) \right) \\ &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

provided $-\frac{v^2}{4} - \frac{1+5v^2}{2\alpha(6+\beta)} < \omega$. It follows that d'' has exactly one positive and one negative eigenvalue, thus $p(d'') = 1$ and we complete the proof of Theorem 3.8. \square

Acknowledgements We would like to thank the referees very much for their valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] Albert, J.P., Bona, J.L. Total positive and the stability of internal waves in stratified fluids of finite depth. IMA J. Appl. Math., 1991, 46: 1–19.
- [2] Carles, R., Sparber, C. Orbital Stability vs. scattering in the cubic-quintic Schrödinger equation. Rev. Math. Phys., 2021, 33: 2150004.
- [3] Esfahani, A., Levandosky, S. Stability of solitary waves for the generalized higher-order Boussinesq equation. J. Dyn. Differ. Equ., 2012, 24: 391–425.
- [4] Esfahani, A., Pastor, A. Well-posedness and orbital stability of traveling waves for the Schrödinger-improved Boussinesq system. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 2015, 22: 206–218.

- [5] Farah, L.G., Pastor, A. On the periodic Schrödinger-Boussinesq system. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2010, 368: 330–349.
- [6] Guo, B.L., Xiao, Y.M., Ban, Y.Z. Orbital stability of solitary waves for the nonlinear Schrödinger-KdV system. *Journal of Applied Analysis and Computation*, 2022, 12: 245–255.
- [7] Grillakis, M., Shatah, J., Strauss, W. Stability theory of solitary waves in the presence of symmetry I. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 1987, 74: 160–197.
- [8] Grillakis, M., Shatah, J., Strauss, W. Stability theory of solitary waves in the presence of symmetry II. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 1990, 94: 308–348.
- [9] Hakkaev, S. Orbital stability of solitary waves of the Schrödinger-Boussinesq equation. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 2007, 6: 1043–1050.
- [10] Hona, Y.C., Fan, E.G. A series of exact solutions for coupled Higgs field equation and coupled Schrödinger-Boussinesq equation. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 2009, 71: 3501–3508.
- [11] Liang, Z.F. Modulational instability and stationary waves for the coupled generalized Schrödinger-Boussinesq system. *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung A*, 2011, 66(3-4): 143–150.
- [12] Linares, F., Navas, A. On Schrödinger-Boussinesq equations. *Adv. Diff. Eqs.*, 2004, 9: 159–176.
- [13] Li, X.F., Zhao, J.Y. Orbital stability of standing waves for Schrödinger type equations with slowly decaying linear potential. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 2020, 79: 303–316.
- [14] Wu, Y.P. Orbital stability of solitary waves of Zakharov system. *J. Math. Phys.*, 1994, 35(5): 2413–2422.
- [15] Zhang, W.G., Li, X., Li, S.W., Chen, X. Orbital stability of solitary waves for generalized Boussinesq equation with two nonlinear terms. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, 2018, 59: 629–650.