

Asymmetry in Spectral Graph Theory: Harmonic Analysis on Directed Networks via Biorthogonal Bases

(Random-Walk Laplacian Formulation)

Chandrasekhar Gokavarapu *

Abstract

The operator-theoretic dichotomy underlying diffusion on directed networks is *symmetry versus non-self-adjointness* of the Markov transition operator. In the reversible (detailed-balance) regime, a directed random walk P is self-adjoint in a stationary π -weighted inner product and admits orthogonal spectral coordinates; outside reversibility, P is genuinely non-self-adjoint (often non-normal), and stability is governed by biorthogonal geometry and eigenvector conditioning. In this paper we develop an original harmonic-analysis framework for directed graphs anchored on the random-walk transition matrix $P = D_{\text{out}}^{-1}A$ and the random-walk Laplacian $L_{\text{rw}} = I - P$. Using biorthogonal left/right eigenvectors we define a *Biorthogonal Graph Fourier Transform* (BGFT) adapted to directed diffusion, propose a diffusion-consistent frequency ordering based on decay rates $\Re(1 - \lambda)$, and derive operator-norm stability bounds for iterated diffusion and for BGFT spectral filters. We prove sampling and reconstruction theorems for P -bandlimited (equivalently L_{rw} -bandlimited) signals and quantify noise amplification through the conditioning of the biorthogonal eigenbasis. A simulation protocol on directed cycles and perturbed non-normal digraphs demonstrates that asymmetry alone does not dictate instability, whereas non-normality and eigenvector ill-conditioning drive reconstruction sensitivity, making BGFT the correct analytical language for directed diffusion processes.

Key words: directed graphs; random walks; non-normal matrices; biorthogonal eigenvectors; graph Fourier transform; sampling; reversibility.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 05C50; Secondary 15A18, 47A10, 60J10, 94A12.

1 Introduction

1.1 Symmetry vs. non-self-adjointness: Markov operators on directed networks

A directed network naturally carries a *one-step evolution operator*: the random-walk (Markov) transition matrix

$$P = D_{\text{out}}^{-1}A, \quad P\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1},$$

and its generator $L_{\text{rw}} = I - P$. From the operator-theoretic viewpoint, the central dichotomy is not “directed vs. undirected” per se, but *symmetry vs. non-self-adjointness* of the Markov operator.

The *symmetry regime* is the reversible (detailed-balance) case: there exists a stationary distribution π with $\Pi = \text{diag}(\pi)$ such that

$$\Pi P = P^\top \Pi,$$

*Lecturer in Mathematics, Government College (A), Rajahmundry, A.P., India and Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur, A.P., India
Email: chandrasekhargokavarapu@gmail.com

equivalently P is self-adjoint in the weighted Hilbert space $(\mathbb{C}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\pi)$. In that regime, P is similar to a *symmetric* matrix $S = \Pi^{1/2} P \Pi^{-1/2}$, hence the spectrum is real and there is an orthonormal eigenbasis in the π -metric. This is precisely the mechanism by which a directed diffusion can *retain symmetry* (in a stationary metric), recovering Parseval-type identities and a clean variational frequency ordering. The *asymmetry regime* is non-reversibility, where P is genuinely non-self-adjoint and may be non-normal; then orthogonality is lost, spectral coordinates can be ill-conditioned, and stability is governed by eigenvector conditioning and non-normal effects [7, 6].

Our goal is to build a harmonic-analysis calculus that is *native to the Markov operator P* : it should reduce to the classical orthogonal theory in the reversible (symmetric) regime, and it should remain exact and analyzable in the non-reversible (non-self-adjoint) regime. The correct language here is *biorthogonality*: left/right eigenvectors provide an exact analysis/synthesis pair even when P is not normal.

1.2 Position relative to graph Fourier analysis

Graph Fourier analysis is often introduced through symmetric operators (undirected Laplacians/adjacencies), which guarantee orthogonal eigenvectors and stable spectral coordinates [2, 3, 16]. Directed graph settings typically replace symmetry by alternative constructions: optimization-based directed transforms and directed Laplacians associated with random walks and Cheeger-type theory [4, 1], as well as survey-level treatments of directed-graph signal processing [19]. Our approach is complementary and more “operator first”: we start from the canonical diffusion operator P and develop an *exact* biorthogonal Fourier calculus for directed diffusion, with a transparent symmetry/asymmetry interpretation in terms of reversibility [9, 11].

1.3 Main contributions

Main contributions (original).

1. **(Markov-operator BGFT)** We define the *Biorthogonal Graph Fourier Transform* (BGFT) for the random-walk operator P (equivalently $L_{\text{rw}} = I - P$) via left/right eigenvectors, yielding exact analysis/synthesis identities and diagonal dynamics for diffusion iterates.
2. **(Symmetry principle via reversibility)** We identify reversibility (detailed balance) as the precise *symmetry* notion for directed diffusion: in the π -metric, reversible P becomes self-adjoint, restoring orthogonality/Parseval identities and an exact diffusion-variational frequency ordering.
3. **(Diffusion-consistent frequency)** We propose a diffusion-consistent frequency ordering based on the decay rate $\Re(1 - \lambda)$ (and magnitude alternatives), aligning with the symmetry limit and the long-time behavior of $x_{t+1} = Px_t$.
4. **(Stability theorems for non-self-adjoint diffusion)** We prove operator-norm bounds for diffusion iterates P^t and for BGFT spectral filters $h(P)$, explicitly separating eigenvalue decay from eigenvector conditioning, the key instability driver in non-normal settings.
5. **(Sampling and reconstruction)** We prove sampling/reconstruction theorems for P -bandlimited signals and quantify noise amplification through $\sigma_{\min}(P_M V_\Omega)$ and conditioning of the biorthogonal eigenbasis.
6. **(Asymmetry vs. non-normality: numerical separation)** We introduce simple indices for directedness and departure from normality and provide experiments (directed cycle vs. perturbed non-normal digraphs) showing that *asymmetry alone* need not cause instability, whereas non-normality and eigenvector ill-conditioning do.

1.4 Organization

Section 2 introduces directed diffusion operators and asymmetry/non-normality indices. Section 3 presents reversibility as the symmetry regime in the stationary metric. Sections 4–5 develop BGFT and stability bounds for diffusion and filtering. Section 6 proves sampling and reconstruction results, followed by algorithms and illustrative experiments.

2 Preliminaries: directed diffusion operators

2.1 Directed graphs, adjacency, and out-degree

Let $G = (V, E, w)$ be a directed weighted graph with $|V| = n$ and adjacency $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$:

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} w(i, j), & (i, j) \in E, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define out-degrees $d_i^{\text{out}} = \sum_j A_{ij}$ and $D_{\text{out}} = \text{diag}(d_1^{\text{out}}, \dots, d_n^{\text{out}})$.

2.2 Transition matrix and random-walk Laplacian

Definition 2.1 (Random-walk transition matrix). Assume $d_i^{\text{out}} > 0$ for all i (no sinks). Define

$$P := D_{\text{out}}^{-1} A.$$

Then P is row-stochastic: $P\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$.

Definition 2.2 (Random-walk Laplacian). Define

$$L_{\text{rw}} := I - P.$$

Proposition 2.3 (Basic properties). (i) $P\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ and $L_{\text{rw}}\mathbf{1} = 0$. (ii) If P is irreducible and aperiodic, then the diffusion $x_{t+1} = Px_t$ converges to the stationary component (Markov mixing perspective).

Proof. (i) Row-stochasticity gives $P\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$, hence $(I - P)\mathbf{1} = 0$.

(ii) This is standard Markov chain theory; see [6, 9, 11]. □

2.3 Asymmetry and non-normality indices

Definition 2.4 (Asymmetry index). For any matrix M , define $\alpha(M) := \|M - M^\top\|_F / \|M\|_F$ (with $\alpha(0) = 0$).

Definition 2.5 (Departure from normality). For any matrix M , define $\delta(M) := \|MM^* - M^*M\|_F / \|M\|_F^2$ (with $\delta(0) = 0$).

Such non-normality measures (and related bounds) are classical in matrix analysis; see [12, 14, 13, 7].

We will use these for $M = P$ and $M = L_{\text{rw}}$ to separate structural directedness from numerical instability drivers.

3 Reversibility as the symmetry regime for directed diffusion

Let $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be row-stochastic ($P\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$). Assume P has a stationary distribution $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\pi_i > 0$ and $\pi^\top P = \pi^\top$. Let $\Pi := \text{diag}(\pi)$.

Define the π -weighted inner product and norm by

$$\langle x, y \rangle_\pi := x^\top \Pi y, \quad \|x\|_\pi^2 := \langle x, x \rangle_\pi.$$

The adjoint of P with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\pi$ is

$$P^\dagger := \Pi^{-1} P^\top \Pi, \quad \text{so that} \quad \langle Px, y \rangle_\pi = \langle x, P^\dagger y \rangle_\pi.$$

Definition 3.1 (Reversibility / detailed balance). P is *reversible* (w.r.t. π) if

$$\Pi P = P^\top \Pi, \quad \text{equivalently} \quad P = P^\dagger.$$

This detailed-balance condition is standard in reversible Markov chain theory; see [10, 9, 6].

Theorem 3.2 (Weighted symmetry equivalences). *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) P is reversible: $\Pi P = P^\top \Pi$.
- (ii) P is self-adjoint in $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\pi$: $P = P^\dagger$.
- (iii) The similarity transform $S := \Pi^{1/2} P \Pi^{-1/2}$ is symmetric: $S = S^\top$.

In this case, P has a complete π -orthonormal eigenbasis, and all eigenvalues are real.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) is the definition of P^\dagger . For (i) \Rightarrow (iii), multiply $\Pi P = P^\top \Pi$ on the left by $\Pi^{-1/2}$ and on the right by $\Pi^{-1/2}$ to get $\Pi^{1/2} P \Pi^{-1/2} = (\Pi^{1/2} P \Pi^{-1/2})^\top$. Conversely, (iii) \Rightarrow (i) follows by reversing the steps. If S is symmetric, it is orthogonally diagonalizable with real eigenvalues, hence so is P by similarity. \square

See also [9, 11] for related equivalences and consequences.

Remark 3.3 (Symmetry/asymmetry interpretation for this paper). Undirected diffusion is symmetric in the standard Euclidean inner product. Directed diffusion can still be symmetric in the *weighted* π -inner product exactly in the reversible regime. Non-reversibility is the correct notion of *asymmetry* for random-walk harmonic analysis.

4 Biorthogonal Graph Fourier Transform (BGFT) for random-walk diffusion

4.1 Left/right eigenvectors and BGFT

Assumption 4.1 (Diagonalizability). Assume P is diagonalizable over \mathbb{C} :

$$P = V \Lambda V^{-1}, \quad \Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n),$$

with right eigenvectors $V = [v_1 \ \dots \ v_n]$.

Define $U^* := V^{-1}$ so $U^* V = I$ and $u_k^* v_\ell = \delta_{k\ell}$.

Definition 4.2 (BGFT (diffusion version)). For a graph signal $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, define BGFT coefficients

$$\hat{x} := U^* x, \quad \hat{x}_k = u_k^* x, \tag{1}$$

and synthesis

$$x = V \hat{x} = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k \hat{x}_k. \tag{2}$$

Theorem 4.3 (Perfect reconstruction). *Under Assumption 4.1, for all $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$,*

$$I = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k u_k^*, \quad x = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k u_k^* x.$$

Proof. Since $U^*V = I$, we have $VU^* = I$; expand VU^* in columns/rows. \square

4.2 Diffusion dynamics are diagonal in BGFT coordinates

Theorem 4.4 (BGFT-domain diffusion). *Let $x_{t+1} = Px_t$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then*

$$\hat{x}_t = U^* x_t = \Lambda^t \hat{x}_0, \quad x_t = V \Lambda^t U^* x_0.$$

Equivalently, for $L_{\text{rw}} = I - P$,

$$\widehat{(L_{\text{rw}}x)} = (I - \Lambda)\hat{x}.$$

Proof. Use $P = V\Lambda U^*$ and $U^*V = I$. Then $U^*(Px) = \Lambda(U^*x)$ and iterate. \square

4.3 Diffusion-consistent frequency ordering

For diffusion, the mode with eigenvalue λ evolves as λ^t . If $|\lambda| < 1$, it decays; if $\lambda \approx 1$, it is slowly varying (low frequency). We define the *diffusion decay rate*:

$$\omega_{\text{diff}}(\lambda) := \Re(1 - \lambda).$$

Low ω_{diff} corresponds to persistent/slow modes; high ω_{diff} corresponds to fast decay. In the symmetric undirected limit (where $\lambda \in [-1, 1]$ for normalized settings), this ordering aligns with classical low/high frequency intuition.

5 Directed diffusion filtering and stability bounds

5.1 Spectral filters

Definition 5.1 (BGFT spectral filter for diffusion). Let $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Define

$$H := V h(\Lambda) U^*.$$

Proposition 5.2 (Diagonal action in BGFT domain). *For $\hat{x} = U^*x$,*

$$\widehat{Hx} = U^* Hx = h(\Lambda)\hat{x}.$$

Proof. Compute $U^*Vh(\Lambda)U^*x = h(\Lambda)\hat{x}$. \square

5.2 Operator-norm stability: diffusion and filtering

Theorem 5.3 (Norm bound for diffusion iterates). *Assume $P = V\Lambda V^{-1}$. Then for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|P^t\|_2 \leq \text{cond}(V) \max_k |\lambda_k|^t, \quad \text{cond}(V) = \|V\|_2 \|V^{-1}\|_2.$$

Proof. $P^t = V\Lambda^t V^{-1}$, hence $\|P^t\|_2 \leq \|V\|_2 \|\Lambda^t\|_2 \|V^{-1}\|_2 = \text{cond}(V) \max_k |\lambda_k|^t$. \square

Theorem 5.4 (Norm bound for spectral filters). *Let $H = Vh(\Lambda)V^{-1}$. Then*

$$\|H\|_2 \leq \text{cond}(V) \max_k |h(\lambda_k)|.$$

Proof. $\|H\|_2 \leq \|V\|_2 \|h(\Lambda)\|_2 \|V^{-1}\|_2 = \text{cond}(V) \max_k |h(\lambda_k)|$. \square

Remark 5.5 (Symmetry/asymmetry interpretation). When P is normal and diagonalizable by a unitary basis, $\text{cond}(V) = 1$ and the bounds become tight and symmetry-like. For non-normal P , $\text{cond}(V)$ can be large, creating instability even if $|\lambda_k| \leq 1$. Sharper growth control for non-normal matrices can be expressed via pseudospectral/Kreiss-type constants; see [15, 7].

6 BGFT energy in the stationary metric and its symmetry limit

Assume P is diagonalizable over \mathbb{C} : $P = V\Lambda V^{-1}$ and define $U^* := V^{-1}$. Let $\hat{x} := U^*x$ be BGFT coefficients so that $x = V\hat{x}$.

Theorem 6.1 (π -metric Parseval identity). *For any $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$,*

$$\|x\|_\pi^2 = \hat{x}^* G_\pi \hat{x}, \quad G_\pi := V^* \Pi V.$$

Proof. Since $x = V\hat{x}$, $\|x\|_\pi^2 = x^* \Pi x = \hat{x}^* (V^* \Pi V) \hat{x}$. \square

Corollary 6.2 (Two-sided bounds via conditioning in π). *Let $W := \Pi^{1/2}V$. Then*

$$\sigma_{\min}(W)^2 \|\hat{x}\|_2^2 \leq \|x\|_\pi^2 \leq \sigma_{\max}(W)^2 \|\hat{x}\|_2^2.$$

Equivalently, energy distortion is controlled by $\kappa(W) = \sigma_{\max}(W)/\sigma_{\min}(W)$.

6.1 Diffusion variation and frequency ordering

Define the random-walk Laplacian $L_{\text{rw}} := I - P$ and the diffusion variation

$$\text{TV}_\pi(x) := \|L_{\text{rw}}x\|_\pi^2 = \|(I - P)x\|_\pi^2.$$

Theorem 6.3 (BGFT-domain bounds for diffusion variation). *With $x = V\hat{x}$ and $W = \Pi^{1/2}V$,*

$$\sigma_{\min}(W)^2 \sum_{k=1}^n |1 - \lambda_k|^2 |\hat{x}_k|^2 \leq \text{TV}_\pi(x) \leq \sigma_{\max}(W)^2 \sum_{k=1}^n |1 - \lambda_k|^2 |\hat{x}_k|^2.$$

Proof. $(I - P)x = V(I - \Lambda)\hat{x}$. Then $\|(I - P)x\|_\pi = \|\Pi^{1/2}V(I - \Lambda)\hat{x}\|_2 = \|W(I - \Lambda)\hat{x}\|_2$. Apply $\sigma_{\min}(W)\|z\|_2 \leq \|Wz\|_2 \leq \sigma_{\max}(W)\|z\|_2$ to $z = (I - \Lambda)\hat{x}$ and square. \square

Remark 6.4 (Exact symmetry limit). If P is reversible, one can choose V π -orthonormal, hence $W = \Pi^{1/2}V$ is unitary and $\sigma_{\min}(W) = \sigma_{\max}(W) = 1$. Then the inequalities become equalities and $|1 - \lambda_k|$ becomes an exact diffusion frequency.

7 Sampling and reconstruction for diffusion-bandlimited signals

Let $\Omega \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|\Omega| = K$ represent the “low diffusion-frequency” modes (e.g. smallest $\omega_{\text{diff}}(\lambda)$ or largest $\Re(\lambda)$). Let $V_\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times K}$ contain $\{v_k\}_{k \in \Omega}$.

Definition 7.1 (Diffusion-bandlimited signals). A signal x is Ω -bandlimited (relative to P) if $x = V_\Omega c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^K$.

Bandlimited sampling on graphs has a substantial literature; see, e.g., [18, 17].

Let $M \subset V$, $|M| = m$, and $P_M \in \{0, 1\}^{m \times n}$ be the restriction operator.

Theorem 7.2 (Exact recovery). *If $x = V_\Omega c$ and $P_M V_\Omega$ has full column rank K , then x is uniquely determined by samples $y = P_M x$ and recovered by*

$$\hat{c} = (P_M V_\Omega)^\dagger y, \quad \hat{x} = V_\Omega \hat{c}.$$

Proof. Full column rank makes $P_M V_\Omega$ injective; solve the linear system in least squares. \square

Related sampling-set conditions and reconstruction stability on graphs are discussed in [18, 17].

Theorem 7.3 (Noise sensitivity). *If $y = P_M x + \eta$, then the least-squares reconstruction satisfies*

$$\|\hat{x} - x\|_2 \leq \|V_\Omega\|_2 \left\| (P_M V_\Omega)^\dagger \right\|_2 \|\eta\|_2 = \|V_\Omega\|_2 \frac{\|\eta\|_2}{\sigma_{\min}(P_M V_\Omega)}.$$

Proof. $\hat{c} - c = (P_M V_\Omega)^\dagger \eta$ and $\hat{x} - x = V_\Omega(\hat{c} - c)$. \square

8 Algorithms

Algorithm 1 BGFT for random-walk diffusion

Require: A (directed adjacency), D_{out} invertible, signal x

Ensure: BGFT coefficients \hat{x} , eigenpairs (Λ, V)

- 1: $P \leftarrow D_{\text{out}}^{-1}A$, $L_{\text{rw}} \leftarrow I - P$
 - 2: Compute eigendecomposition $P = V\Lambda V^{-1}$ (complex arithmetic)
 - 3: $U^* \leftarrow V^{-1}$
 - 4: $\hat{x} \leftarrow U^*x$
 - 5: **return** (\hat{x}, Λ, V)
-

Algorithm 2 Diffusion filtering and bandlimited reconstruction

Require: $P = V\Lambda V^{-1}$, response $h(\cdot)$, bandlimit Ω , sample set M , samples y

Ensure: filtered signal Hx or reconstructed \hat{x}

- 1: **Filtering:** $H \leftarrow Vh(\Lambda)V^{-1}$, output $Hx \leftarrow Hx$
 - 2: **Reconstruction:** form V_Ω , solve $\hat{c} \leftarrow \arg \min_c \|P_M V_\Omega c - y\|_2^2$
 - 3: $\hat{x} \leftarrow V_\Omega \hat{c}$
-

9 Experiments: directed cycle vs perturbed non-normal digraphs

9.1 Graphs

Use $n \in \{32, 64, 128\}$ and compare:

1. **Undirected cycle** C_n (convert to diffusion by symmetrizing and normalizing).
2. **Directed cycle** \vec{C}_n : P is a permutation shift (asymmetric but normal/unitary).
3. **Perturbed directed cycle** $\vec{C}_n^{(\epsilon)}$: add a directed chord then renormalize rows to keep P stochastic; this typically yields non-normal P and large $\text{cond}(V)$.

9.2 Tasks and metrics

- **Diffusion filtering:** low-pass via $h(\lambda) = \exp(-\tau(1 - \lambda))$ (BGFT-defined), compare smoothing strength on the three graphs.
- **Forecasting:** iterate diffusion $x_{t+1} = Px_t$ and compare $\|x_t\|_2$ trends with Theorem 5.3.
- **Sampling/reconstruction:** generate Ω -bandlimited signals and recover from m samples; report RelErr and $\sigma_{\min}(P_M V_\Omega)$.

Report tables/figures:

$$\alpha(P), \delta(P), \text{cond}(V), \text{cond}(P_M V_\Omega), \text{RelErr} = \frac{\|\hat{x} - x\|_2}{\|x\|_2}.$$

Observed separation. The directed cycle is asymmetric ($\alpha(P) > 0$) but normal ($\delta(P) = 0$) with a well-conditioned eigenbasis ($\kappa(V) = 1$), whereas the perturbed digraph remains asymmetric but becomes non-normal ($\delta(P) > 0$) and strongly ill-conditioned ($\kappa(V) \gg 1$), leading to markedly larger reconstruction error, consistent with the stability bounds.

Table 1: Minimal numerical illustration for the transition-operator BGFT.

Graph	$\alpha(P)$	$\delta(P)$	$\kappa(V)$	$\kappa(P_M V_\Omega)$	RelErr
Undirected cycle A_{und}	0	0	1.2453204511204569	36.59492056037454	0.0000031215500108761767
Directed cycle A_{\rightarrow}	1.4142135623730951	0	1	93.04681515171424	0.000007080903224516353
Perturbed A_ε ($\varepsilon = 20$)	1.414213562373095	0.02987165083714049	28.011585066632986	352.8935063092261	0.00002523914083929862

10 Conclusion

We developed an original diffusion-centered harmonic analysis for directed graphs using the random-walk transition matrix P and Laplacian $L_{\text{rw}} = I - P$. The BGFT provides exact analysis/synthesis, diagonalizes diffusion dynamics, motivates a diffusion-consistent frequency ordering, and yields explicit stability bounds for iterated diffusion and spectral filtering governed by eigenvector conditioning. Sampling and reconstruction theorems quantify how non-normality amplifies noise through $\sigma_{\min}(P_M V_\Omega)$ and $\text{cond}(V)$. This establishes a principled symmetry/asymmetry narrative: symmetry yields orthogonality and stability; asymmetry forces biorthogonal geometry; non-normality determines practical robustness.

Acknowledgements

The author expresses his gratitude to the Commissioner of Collegiate Education (CCE), Government of Andhra Pradesh, and the Principal, Government College (Autonomous), Rajahmundry, for continued support and encouragement.

Author Contributions

The author is solely responsible for conceptualization, methodology, analysis, software, validation, and writing.

Funding

No external funding was received.

Data Availability Statement

No external datasets were used. The code that generates the reported numerical table/figures will be provided as a reproducible script and (upon acceptance) via a public repository link.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

A Reference Python code (P and Lrw, BGFT, filtering, reconstruction)

```
import numpy as np

def directed_cycle_A(n):
    A = np.zeros((n,n), dtype=float)
```



```

    for i in range(n):
        A[i, (i+1)%n] = 1.0
    return A

def undirected_cycle_A(n):
    A = np.zeros((n,n), dtype=float)
    for i in range(n):
        A[i, (i+1)%n] = 1.0
        A[(i+1)%n, i] = 1.0
    return A

def add_directed_chord(A, eps=0.2, i=0, j=None):
    n = A.shape[0]
    if j is None:
        j = n//2
    B = A.copy()
    B[i, j] += eps
    return B

def D_out(A):
    d = A.sum(axis=1)
    if np.any(d == 0):
        raise ValueError("Found sink node (out-degree 0). Fix by adding small outgoing weight.")
    return np.diag(d)

def transition_P(A):
    D = D_out(A)
    return np.linalg.inv(D) @ A

def L_rw(P):
    n = P.shape[0]
    return np.eye(n) - P

def asymmetry_index(M):
    den = np.linalg.norm(M, ord='fro')
    if den == 0:
        return 0.0
    return np.linalg.norm(M - M.T, ord='fro') / den

def departure_from_normality(M):
    Mf = np.linalg.norm(M, ord='fro')
    if Mf == 0:
        return 0.0
    MMstar = M @ M.conj().T
    MstarM = M.conj().T @ M
    return np.linalg.norm(MMstar - MstarM, ord='fro') / (Mf**2)

def bgft_decomposition(P):
    lam, V = np.linalg.eig(P)
    Vinv = np.linalg.inv(V)
    Ustar = Vinv
    return lam, V, Ustar

def diffusion_filter_matrix(P, tau=2.0):
    #  $h(\lambda) = \exp(-\tau(1-\lambda))$ 
    lam, V, Ustar = bgft_decomposition(P)
    h = np.exp(-tau*(1.0 - lam))

```

```

H = V @ np.diag(h) @ Ustar
return H

def sample_operator(n, M):
    m = len(M)
    Pm = np.zeros((m,n), dtype=float)
    for r, idx in enumerate(M):
        Pm[r, idx] = 1.0
    return Pm

def reconstruct_bandlimited(P, Omega, M, x, noise=0.0, seed=0):
    np.random.seed(seed)
    lam, V, _ = bgft_decomposition(P)
    V_0 = V[:, Omega]
    Pm = sample_operator(P.shape[0], M)
    y = Pm @ x
    if noise > 0:
        y = y + noise * np.random.randn(*y.shape)
    B = Pm @ V_0
    c_hat, *_ = np.linalg.lstsq(B, y, rcond=None)
    x_hat = V_0 @ c_hat
    relerr = np.linalg.norm(x_hat - x) / np.linalg.norm(x)
    condB = np.linalg.cond(B)
    return x_hat, relerr, condB

if __name__ == "__main__":
    n = 64

    A_und = undirected_cycle_A(n)
    A_dir = directed_cycle_A(n)
    A_per = add_directed_chord(A_dir, eps=20, i=0, j=n//2)

    for name, A in [("undirected", A_und), ("directed", A_dir), ("perturbed", A_per)]:
        P = transition_P(A)
        lam, V, _ = bgft_decomposition(P)
        print(name,
              "alpha(P)=", asymmetry_index(P),
              "delta(P)=", departure_from_normality(P),
              "cond(V)=", np.linalg.cond(V),
              "rho(P)~=", np.max(np.abs(lam)))

    # Create a bandlimited signal on perturbed digraph
    P = transition_P(A_per)
    lam, V, _ = bgft_decomposition(P)
    K = 8

    # Choose "low diffusion-frequency": largest Re(lambda) (closest to 1)
    Omega = np.argsort(-np.real(lam))[:K]

    c = np.random.randn(K) + 1j*np.random.randn(K)
    x = V[:, Omega] @ c

    m = 20
    M = np.sort(np.random.choice(n, size=m, replace=False))
    x_hat, relerr, condB = reconstruct_bandlimited(P, Omega, M, x, noise=0.0)

    print("RelErr=", relerr, "cond(P_M_V_Omega)=", condB)

```

```
# Diffusion smoothing example
H = diffusion_filter_matrix(P, tau=2.0)
x_smooth = H @ x
print("||x||2=", np.linalg.norm(x), "||Hx||2=", np.linalg.norm(x_smooth))
```

References

- [1] F. Chung, Laplacians and the Cheeger inequality for directed graphs, *Annals of Combinatorics* **9**(1) (2005), 1–19. doi:10.1007/s00026-005-0237-z
- [2] D. I. Shuman, S. K. Narang, P. Frossard, A. Ortega, and P. Vandergheynst, The emerging field of signal processing on graphs: Extending high-dimensional data analysis to networks and other irregular domains, *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine* **30**(3) (2013), 83–98. doi:10.1109/MSP.2012.2235192
- [3] A. Sandryhaila and J. M. F. Moura, Discrete signal processing on graphs: Frequency analysis, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing* **62**(12) (2014), 3042–3054. doi:10.1109/TSP.2014.2321121
- [4] S. Sardellitti, S. Barbarossa, and P. Di Lorenzo, On the graph Fourier transform for directed graphs, *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing* **11**(6) (2017), 796–811. doi:10.1109/JSTSP.2017.2726979
- [5] A. G. Marques, S. Segarra, and G. Mateos, Signal processing on directed graphs, arXiv:2008.00586 (2020). (Also circulated as an IEEE Signal Processing Magazine tutorial, Nov. 2020.)
- [6] D. A. Levin and Y. Peres, *Markov Chains and Mixing Times*, 2nd ed., American Mathematical Society, 2017. (With contributions by E. L. Wilmer.)
- [7] L. N. Trefethen and M. Embree, *Spectra and Pseudospectra: The Behavior of Nonnormal Matrices and Operators*, Princeton University Press, 2005.
- [8] N. J. Higham, What is a (non)normal matrix?, Blog post (2020). Available at: <https://nhigham.com/2020/11/24/what-is-a-nonnormal-matrix/> (accessed online).
- [9] J. R. Norris, *Markov Chains*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [10] F. P. Kelly, *Reversibility and Stochastic Networks*, Wiley, 1979.
- [11] E. Seneta, *Non-negative Matrices and Markov Chains*, Springer, 2nd ed., 1981. doi:10.1007/0-387-32792-4.
- [12] P. Henrici, Bounds for iterates, inverses, spectral variation and fields of values of non-normal matrices, *Numerische Mathematik* **4** (1962), 24–40. doi:10.1007/BF01386294.
- [13] S. L. Lee, A practical upper bound for departure from normality, *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications* **16** (1995), 462–468. doi:10.1137/S0895479893255184.
- [14] L. Elsner and M. H. C. Paardekooper, On measures of nonnormality of matrices, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **92** (1987), 107–124. doi:10.1016/0024-3795(87)90253-9.
- [15] K.-C. Toh and L. N. Trefethen, The Kreiss matrix theorem on a general complex domain, *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications* **20** (1999). doi:10.1137/S0895479897324020.

- [16] A. Ortega, P. Frossard, J. Kovačević, J. M. F. Moura, and P. Vandergheynst, Graph signal processing: Overview, challenges, and applications, *Proceedings of the IEEE* **106**(5) (2018), 808–828. doi:10.1109/JPROC.2018.2820126.
- [17] A. Anis, A. Gadde, and A. Ortega, Efficient sampling set selection for bandlimited graph signals using graph spectral proxies, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing* (2016). doi:10.1109/TSP.2016.2546233.
- [18] I. Pesenson, Sampling in Paley–Wiener spaces on combinatorial graphs, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **360** (2008), 5603–5627. doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-08-04456-8.
- [19] A. G. Marques, S. Segarra, and G. Mateos, Signal processing on directed graphs: The role of edge directionality when processing and learning from network data, *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine* **37**(6) (2020), 99–116. doi:10.1109/MSP.2020.3014597.