

EXPLICIT ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINING THE IMAGES OF WAVE-FRONT SINGULARITIES

K. SAJI, M. UMEHARA, AND K. YAMADA

ABSTRACT. We give explicit real-analytic functions whose zero sets characterize the images of the standard maps of wave-front singularities. Such functions are realizations of the *main-analytic* sets in the sense of Ishikawa–Koike–Shiota (1984). More concretely, a subset of Euclidean space is called a *global main-analytic set* if it can be described, up to a set of smaller Hausdorff dimension, as part of the zero set of a single real-analytic function, referred to as its *main-analytic function*.

In this paper, we propose a general framework for constructing main-analytic functions by a method based on explicit resultant computations. In particular, we provide explicit formulas for the main-analytic functions associated with the standard maps of wave-front singularities of types A , D and E .

INTRODUCTION

Main-analyticity, introduced in a variant form by Ishikawa–Koike–Shiota [5], provides a useful framework for describing geometric images that are globally determined by the zero set of a single real-analytic function. A subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a *global main-analytic set* if there exists a real-analytic function Θ on \mathbb{R}^n whose zero set $\mathcal{Z}(\Theta)$ contains S , has the same Hausdorff dimension as S , and differs from S only in a subset of strictly smaller Hausdorff dimension. Such a Θ is called a *main-analytic function* for S .

Ishikawa–Koike–Shiota [5] gave a criterion ensuring the existence of main-analytic functions for the critical value sets of proper real-analytic maps. Moreover, Appendix D of this paper gives a useful criterion for main-analyticity of images of polynomial maps. Consequently, many images arising naturally in singularity theory are expected to be global main-analytic sets.

Morin singular points are the corank one stable singularities (cf. [8]). A useful criterion for Morin singular points is given in [8]. We first show the following as a demonstration of our method:

Theorem 0.1. *Let $h : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ($1 \leq m \leq 5 < n$) be the standard map defining a Morin singularity. Then h is proper and the inverse image $h^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ is finite.*

Date: December 25, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 32B20; Secondary 58C25.

Key words and phrases. main-analytic, wave front, generic singular point, Morin singular point.

The first author was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 25K07001. The second and the third authors were supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) No. 23K20794 and (B) No. 23K22392 respectively, from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Moreover, one can explicitly construct a main-analytic function showing that the image $h(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is a global main-analytic set in \mathbb{R}^n .

The restriction $m \leq 5$ is expected to be unnecessary, but our present techniques do not allow a proof in full generality.

Simple singularities of ADE type play a central role in the geometry of wave-fronts and their discriminants. Arnol'd [1] classified simple critical points of analytic functions via the ADE Dynkin diagrams. Saito [7] showed that the orbit space of a finite reflection group carries a canonical flat structure that naturally corresponds to the base of the semi-universal deformation of a simple singularity. Looijenga [6] studied the topology and real-analytic geometry of the discriminant, relating it to the reflection representation via the period map.

The main part of this paper concerns wave-front singularities of types A , D , and E . Each of these can be realized as the image

$$S = f(\mathbb{R}^{k-1}) \subset \mathbb{R}^k,$$

where f is a standard polynomial map determined by a generating family. The complexification $f^{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C}^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ satisfies

$$f^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{k-1}) = \{\Delta_S = 0\},$$

where Δ_S is the canonical discriminant polynomial, which is known to be square-free. Moreover, its real zero set $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_S) \cap \mathbb{R}^k$ has real singular locus of codimension at least two, by a result of Looijenga [6]. Hence Δ_S is a main-analytic function for $f(\mathbb{R}^{k-1})$. For type A , the explicit form of Δ_S has long been known. For type D , by contrast, to the best of our knowledge, no explicit formula has been written in the canonical coordinates on \mathbb{R}^k as the target space of the image of the standard map. Our main result provides such formulas using a new method based on resultant computations with small primes.

Theorem 0.2. *Let $h : \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be a standard map defining a wave-front singularity of type A , D , or E . Then there exist explicit polynomials $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ and $B^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ in one variable v , depending polynomially on $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$, such that a main-analytic function Θ of $h(\mathbb{R}^{k-1})$ in \mathbb{R}^k is given by*

$$\Theta(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}(v), B^{\mathbf{x}}(v))}{r(\mathbf{x})^2},$$

where $r(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$. Moreover, the polynomial $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$ agrees with the discriminant polynomial Δ_S , up to a nonzero scalar.

When h is of type A or D , one may take $r(\mathbf{x}) := 1$ and $B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) := dA^{\mathbf{x}}(v)/dv$, so that Θ is, up to a constant, the discriminant of $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$. For the cases E_6 , E_7 , and E_8 , the factor $r(\mathbf{x})$ is nontrivial.

If one is interested only in proving that $h(\mathbb{R}^{k-1})$ is a global main-analytic set without writing down an global main-analytic function explicitly, the criterion of Appendix D applies effectively, especially in the E -type cases.

Section 1 recalls preliminaries, including localization of main-analyticity and standard maps of singularities. Section 2 treats Morin singularities. Sections 3 and 4 handle the A - and D -types, while Sections 5–7 deal with the E_6 , E_7 , and

E_8 cases. Appendices A–D discuss resultants, dimension estimates for common zero sets of polynomials, a unified treatment of the E -types, and the criterion for main-analyticity of polynomial maps.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Consider a proper real analytic map $h_S : (\mathbb{R}^k, o) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbf{0})$, ($k \leq l$) where o is the origin of \mathbb{R}^k and $\mathbf{0}$ is the origin of \mathbb{R}^l . We think of h_S as the “standard map” of a singular point o .

Definition 1.1. We consider the map

$$\tilde{h}_S : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k} \ni (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mapsto (h_S(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{0}) \in \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-l-m+k} = \mathbb{R}^n$$

called the *suspension* of the map h_S , where $k \leq m$, $l \leq n$.

Proposition 1.2. *If $h_S(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is a global main-analytic set, then so is $\tilde{h}_S(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k})$.*

Proof. In fact, the main-analytic function $\Theta_{\tilde{h}_S}$ of $\tilde{h}_S(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k})$ is given by

$$\Theta_{\tilde{h}_S}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) := \Theta_{h_S}(\mathbf{x})^2 + |\mathbf{z}|^2 \quad (\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-l-m+k}),$$

where Θ_{h_S} is the main-analytic function for the image of h_S and

$$|\mathbf{z}|^2 := \sum_{i=1}^{n-l-m+k} |z_i|^2.$$

□

Definition 1.3. Let X^m be a real-analytic m -manifold. A real-analytic map $f : X^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ($m \leq n$), is called an *m -dimensional real analytic map* if $\text{rank } df = m$ on a dense open subset of X^m .

The following fact is useful.

Proposition 1.4. *If $f : X^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an m -dimensional real analytic map, then $f(X^m)$ has Hausdorff dimension m .*

Proof. Indeed, by definition there exists a nonempty open subset $U \subset X^m$ on which f is a real-analytic embedding, and so it preserves Hausdorff dimension. Hence

$$\dim_H f(X^m) \geq \dim_H f(U) = m,$$

while the local Lipschitz property of f implies $\dim_H f(X^m) \leq m$. □

Definition 1.5. Let $f : X^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ($m \leq n$) be an m -dimensional real analytic map. We say that a point $p \in X^m$ is a *singular point of f modeled on \tilde{h}_S* if there exist local real analytic diffeomorphisms

$$\varphi : (\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k}, (o, \mathbf{0})) \rightarrow (X^m, p), \quad \Psi : (\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, f(p))$$

such that

$$(1.1) \quad \Psi^{-1} \circ f \circ \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \tilde{h}_S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

holds for each point (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) lying in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin of \mathbb{R}^m .

We can localize the property of “main-analyticity” as follows:

Fact 1.6 (cf. [4]). *Let $h : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ($m \leq n$) be an m -dimensional real analytic map such that $h^{-1}(h(o)) = \{o\}$ and $h(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is a global main-analytic set of \mathbb{R}^n . Let $f : X^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an m -dimensional real analytic map and $p_0 \in X^m$ a singular point of f modeled on h . If we fix a neighborhood \mathcal{W} of p_0 , then there exist*

- a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of x_0 ,
- an open neighborhood $\Omega(\subset \mathbb{R}^n)$ of $f(x_0)$,
- a real analytic function $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and
- a subset \mathcal{L} of Ω satisfying $\dim_H(\mathcal{L}) < m$

such that

- (1) $(f|_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}(f(x_0)) = \{x_0\}$, and
- (2) $\mathcal{V} := (f|_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}(\Omega)$ satisfies $\mathcal{Z}(F) = f(\mathcal{V}) \cup \mathcal{L}$ and $f(\mathcal{V}) \cap \mathcal{L} = \emptyset$. In particular, $f(\mathcal{V})$ is a global main-analytic set of Ω .

Remark 1.7. In [4], an m -image-analytic point is defined to be a point $p_0 \in X$ satisfying conditions (1) and (2) of Fact 1.6. As shown in [4], if an m -dimensional real analytic map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ($m \leq n$) satisfies the arc-properness condition, which is a weak form of properness, and admits only m -image-analytic points, then it has no nontrivial m -dimensional real analytic extensions.

In this paper, we consider the following standard maps:

- (1) We fix integers n, m satisfying $n > m \geq 2$, and an integer $r \geq 1$ satisfying $m \geq r(n - m + 1)$. The standard map $h_M : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of r -Morin singularity of type (m, n) is given by

$$(1.2) \quad h_M(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, h_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, h_{n-m+1}(\mathbf{x})),$$

where $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ and

$$(1.3) \quad h_i(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{j=1}^r x_{j+r(i-1)} x_m^j \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-m),$$

$$(1.4) \quad h_{n-m+1}(\mathbf{x}) := x_m^{r+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} x_{j+r(n-m)} x_m^j.$$

For example, $(m, n, r) = (2, 3, 1)$ is the case of the standard map of a cross cap. If $(m, n, r) = (4, 5, 1)$, we have

$$h_M(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_1 x_4, x_4^2),$$

and, if $(m, n, r) = (6, 7, 3)$, we have

$$(1.5) \quad h_M(x_1, \dots, x_6) = (x_1, \dots, x_5, x_1 x_6 + x_2 x_6^2 + x_3 x_6^3, x_4 x_6 + x_5 x_6^2 + x_6^4).$$

- (2) Fix an integer $k (\geq 2)$. The standard map $h_{A_k} : \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ of an A_k -singular point is defined by

$$(1.6) \quad h_{A_k}(v, \mathbf{x}_2) := \left(k v^{k+1} + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} (i-1) x_i v^i, -(k+1) v^k - \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} i x_i v^{i-1}, \mathbf{x}_2 \right),$$

where $\mathbf{x}_2 := (x_2, \dots, x_{k-1})$.

(3) We fix an integer k satisfying $k \geq 4$. The standard map $h_{D_k} : \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ of a D_k -singular point is defined by

$$h_{D_k}(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) := (h_0(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3), h_1(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3), h_2(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3), \mathbf{x}_3)$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad h_0(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) := \pm 2u^2v + (k-2)v^{k-1} + \sum_{i=3}^{k-1} (i-2)x_i v^{i-1},$$

$$(1.8) \quad h_1(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) := \mp 2uv,$$

$$(1.9) \quad h_2(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) := \mp u^2 - (k-1)v^{k-2} - \sum_{i=3}^{k-1} (i-1)x_i v^{i-2},$$

where $\mathbf{x}_3 := (x_3, \dots, x_{k-1})$. The map $h := h_{D_k}$ has a \pm -ambiguity $h = h_+$ or $h = h_-$ (see Remark 4.2).

(4) The standard map $h_{E_6} : \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$ of an E_6 -singular point is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{E_6}(u, v, x_3, x_4, x_5) := & (2u^3 + 3v^4 + v^2 x_3 + uv x_4 + 2uv^2 x_5, \\ & - 3u^2 - vx_4 - v^2 x_5, \\ & - 4v^3 - 2vx_3 - ux_4 - 2uvx_5, x_3, x_4, x_5). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the standard maps $h_{E_7} : \mathbb{R}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$, and $h_{E_8} : \mathbb{R}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^8$ of E_7 and E_8 singular points are defined in Sections 6 and 7.

2. OUR STRATEGY TO FIND MAIN-ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

Basic materials on resultants. The key to our proof of Theorem 0.2 lies in the use of “resultants” of two polynomials (cf. Appendix A).

In *Mathematica*, the built-in function

$$\text{Subresultants}[\text{poly1}, \text{poly2}, \text{var}]$$

generates the list of the (principal) subresultant coefficients

$$\text{Res}_{\text{var}}^{(i)}(\text{poly1}, \text{poly2}) \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

of the polynomials poly1 and poly2 with respect to the variable var . Each (principal) subresultant coefficient is the determinant of a submatrix of the Sylvester matrix. In particular, when $i = 0$,

$$\text{Res}_{\text{var}}(\text{poly1}, \text{poly2}) := \text{Res}_{\text{var}}^{(0)}(\text{poly1}, \text{poly2})$$

is the usual resultant between poly1 and poly2 . For two polynomials $a(v)$ and $b(v)$ whose leading coefficients are non-vanishing, $a(v)$ and $b(v)$ have k common roots in \mathbb{C} if and only if

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Res}_v^{(0)}(a, b) = \dots = \text{Res}_v^{(k-1)}(a, b) = 0.$$

This criterion will be useful in the following discussion. The definitions of the resultant $\text{Res}_v^{(0)}(a, b)$ (i.e. the 0-th (principal) subresultant coefficient) and the first (principal) subresultant coefficient denoted by

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Psc}_v(a, b) := \text{Res}_v^{(1)}(a, b)$$

are given in Appendix A. Precise properties of subresultants are in Vega [9].

Let $h_S : (\mathbb{R}^k, o) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbf{0})$ be the standard map of a singular point o . We now describe our strategy to find the main-analytic function of the image of h_S : We will find real polynomials $A_i^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ ($i = 1, \dots, j$) in v with parameter $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ so that

$$h_S(\mathbb{R}^k) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k ; \exists v \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } A_i^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, j\},$$

where j is a positive integer. We say that such a family of polynomials $(A_i^{\mathbf{x}}(v))_{i=1}^j$ is the *characteristic family of polynomials*. When $j = 2$, we set $A^{\mathbf{x}} := A_1^{\mathbf{x}}$ and $B^{\mathbf{x}} := A_2^{\mathbf{x}}$, and call $(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}})$ the *characteristic pair of polynomials* associated with the map h . If $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$ for some non-real $v \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, then the first (principal) subresultant coefficient $\text{Psc}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}})$ vanishes at \mathbf{x} as well as $\text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}})$, since the conjugate \bar{v} of v is another common root. So, if

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim_H \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k ; \text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}}) = \text{Psc}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}}) = 0\} \\ < \dim_H \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k ; \text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}}) = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

holds, we can conclude that

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}) := \text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}})$$

is a main-analytic function of $h_S(\mathbb{R}^k)$. To check the inequality (2.3), we prepare useful methods in Appendix B. However, in general, $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})$ can be factorized into the form $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}) = q(\mathbf{x})\Theta(\mathbf{x})$, and $\Theta(\mathbf{x})$ may be the desired main-analytic function. This actually occurs in the case of the singularity of type E .

We give here an elementary example.

Example 2.1. The real analytic map $h : \mathbb{R}^2 \ni (u, v) \mapsto (u, uv, v^2) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ gives the standard map of the *cross cap*. If we set $h(u, v) = (x, y, z)$, then we have

$$u = x, \quad uv = y, \quad v^2 = z,$$

which induce the two polynomials $A(v) := xv - y$ and $B(v) := v^2 - z$ giving the characteristic pair of polynomials, and $\Theta(x, y, z) := y^2 - zx^2$ coincides with the resultant $\text{Res}_v(A, B)$. Since $\mathcal{S} := \text{Psc}_v(A, B) = x$ (cf. (2.2)), $\mathcal{Z}(\Theta) \setminus h(\mathbb{R}^2)$ lies in the zero set

$$\{x = y = 0\} = \mathcal{Z}(\Theta) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{S}),$$

the resultant Θ gives a main-analytic function of $h(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

The proof of Theorem 0.1. We now fix integers n, m satisfying $n > m \geq 2$. The standard map $h_{r,m} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of an r -Morin singular point is defined when $m \geq r(n - m + 1)$ (see (1.2)). If $m \leq 5$, then we have

$$r \leq \frac{m}{n - m + 1} \leq \frac{m}{2} \leq 2.$$

Such a possibility for r is 1 or 2.

The case of $r = 1$. When $r = 1$, each Morin map is obtained as the suspension (cf. Definition 1.1) of the standard m -dimensional cross cap $h_{1,m} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2m-1}$ ($m \geq 2$) defined by

$$(2.5) \quad h_{1,m}(u_1, \dots, u_m) := (u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}, u_1 u_m, \dots, u_{m-1} u_m, u_m^2).$$

If $m = 2$, then $h_{1,2} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is the standard map of the cross cap given in Example 2.1. It can be easily checked that $h_{1,m}$ is a proper map and also an m -dimensional real analytic map having exactly one isolated singular point at the origin in \mathbb{R}^m satisfying $h_{1,m}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}) = \{o\}$. The image of $h_{1,m}$ is contained in the following subset of \mathbb{R}^{2m-1} :

$$\mathcal{W}_{1,m} := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{2m-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2m-1} ; x_{m-1+i}^2 = x_i^2 x_{2m-1} \ (i = 1, \dots, m-1) \right\}.$$

More precisely, it holds that

$$(2.6)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{1,m} = h_{1,m}(\mathbb{R}^m) \cup \mathcal{L}$$

$$\mathcal{L} := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{2m-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2m-1} ; x_1 = \dots = x_{2m-2} = 0, x_{2m-1} < 0 \right\}.$$

We remark that \mathcal{L} coincides with the image of the set of self-intersections of $h_{1,m}$. This indicates that $h_{1,m}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is a global main-analytic subset of \mathbb{R}^{2m-1} , whose main-analytic function is

$$\Theta_{1,m}(x_1, \dots, x_{2m-1}) := \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (x_{m-1+i}^2 - x_i^2 x_{2m-1})^2.$$

The case of $r = 2$. Since $m \leq 5$, the possibilities of Morin maps are the standard map $h_{2,4} : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ defined by

$$(2.7) \quad h_{2,4}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_1 x_4 + x_2 x_4^2, x_3 x_4 + x_4^3)$$

and its suspension. So it is sufficient to consider the main-analyticity of the map $h_{2,4}$. It can be easily checked that $h_{2,4}$ is a 4-dimensional real analytic map. We write $(h^1, \dots, h^5) := h_{2,4}$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} a(y_1, \dots, y_5, t) &:= -y_4 + h^4(y_1, y_2, y_3, t) = -y_4 + y_1 t + y_2 t^2, \\ b(y_1, \dots, y_5, t) &:= -y_5 + h^5(y_1, y_2, y_3, t) = -y_5 + y_3 t + t^3. \end{aligned}$$

Then the image of $h_{2,4}$ satisfies

$$(2.8) \quad h_{2,4}(\mathbb{R}^4) = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^5 ; \text{there exists } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } a(t, \mathbf{y}) = b(t, \mathbf{y}) = 0\},$$

that is, (a, b) gives the characteristic pair of polynomials associated with $h_{2,4}(\mathbb{R}^4)$. Since the leading term of $b(t)$ is t^3 , we can apply the computation of resultant as in Appendix A. The resultant $\Theta_{2,4}(\mathbf{y}) := \text{Res}_t(a, b)$ of the two polynomials a, b in t can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{2,4}(\mathbf{y}) &:= -y_1^2 y_3 y_4 - y_2^2 y_3^2 y_4 - 2 y_2 y_3 y_4^2 - y_4^3 + y_1^3 y_5 \\ &\quad + y_1 y_2^2 y_3 y_5 + 3 y_1 y_2 y_4 y_5 + y_2^3 y_5^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 0.1 is accomplished by the following statement.

Proposition 2.2. *The standard map $(h :=)h_{2,4} : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ is a proper 4-dimensional real analytic map whose singular set is the two dimensional real analytic set*

$$\Sigma := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^4; x_1 + 2x_2x_4 = x_3 + 3x_4^2 = 0\}.$$

Moreover, $h^{-1}(\mathbf{y})$ is finite for each $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^5$, and $h(\mathbb{R}^4)$ is a global main-analytic set of \mathbb{R}^{2m-1} with a main-analytic function $\Theta_{2,4}$.

Proof. We first prove the properness of h : Let \mathcal{K} be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^5 . Consider a sequence $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of points in $h^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$. It is sufficient to prove that $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ has a convergent subsequence. For each positive index i , we can write

$$p_i = (\mathbf{y}^{(i)}, t^{(i)}), \quad h(p_i) = \left(\mathbf{y}^{(i)}, t^{(i)}, h^4(\mathbf{y}^{(i)}, t^{(i)}), h^5(\mathbf{y}^{(i)}, t^{(i)})\right) \in \mathcal{K},$$

where $t^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{y}^{(i)} = (y_1^{(i)}, y_2^{(i)}, y_3^{(i)}) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Since \mathcal{K} is bounded, there exists a constant C not depending on i such that the five components of $h_{2,4}$ in (2.7) with $t := x_4$ can be estimated by

$$|y_1^{(i)}|, |y_2^{(i)}|, |y_3^{(i)}|, |y_1^{(i)}t^{(i)} + y_2^{(i)}(t^{(i)})^2|, |y_3^{(i)}t^{(i)} + (t^{(i)})^3| < C.$$

We set $z_0 := y_3^{(i)}t^{(i)} + (t^{(i)})^3$. Then any solution $t = \alpha$ of $t^3 + y_3^{(i)}t - z_0 = 0$ satisfies $|\alpha| < 1 + C$ because of Cauchy's upper bounds for roots of the polynomials (see the remark below). In particular, we have $|t^{(i)}| < 1 + C$, and can conclude that $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is bounded in \mathbb{R}^4 , proving the assertion.

The finiteness of the set $h^{-1}(\mathbf{y})$ can be proved easily. Let \mathbf{y} be a point in the set $\mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{2,4})$. We examine the possibility of t satisfying $h(y_1, y_2, y_3, t) = \mathbf{y}$. We set $\mathcal{S} := \text{Psc}_v(a, b)$. If $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, the conjugate \bar{t} is also a common root of a, b . So \mathbf{y} must lie in the set $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^5; \Theta_{2,4}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{y}) = 0\}$. We obtain

$$\mathcal{S}(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5) = y_4y_2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2y_3.$$

If $y_2 = 0$, then $\mathcal{S} = 0$ implies $y_1 = 0$. Then $\Theta_{2,4} = 0$ reduces to $y_4 = 0$. So we consider the case that $y_2 \neq 0$. Then $\mathcal{S} = 0$ implies $y_3 = -(y_1^2 + y_4y_2)/y_2^2$ and

$$0 = \Theta_{2,4} = \frac{(y_4y_1 + y_5y_2^2)^2}{y_2}.$$

So we can conclude that $\dim_H(\mathcal{L}) = 3$. \square

Remark 2.3 (Cauchy's bound for complex roots). For a given polynomial $t^n + a_{n-1}t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1t + a_0$ in t for complex coefficients, any root $t = \alpha(\in \mathbb{C})$ of the polynomial satisfies $|\alpha| < 1 + \max_{i=0, \dots, n-1} |a_i|$.

3. SINGULARITIES OF TYPE A

As defined in the previous section, the standard map $h := h_{A_k} : \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ for an A_k -singular point ($k \geq 2$) is given by (1.6).

Proposition 3.1. *The image of the map h coincides with the set*

$$\mathcal{W}_{A_k} := \left\{ \mathbf{x} := (x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^k; \begin{array}{l} \text{the polynomial } A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) \text{ in } v \text{ has a real double root} \end{array} \right\},$$

where

$$(3.1) \quad A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) := v^{k+1} + x_{k-1}v^{k-1} + \cdots + x_1v + x_0.$$

Proof. We set

$$(3.2) \quad B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) := \frac{dA^{\mathbf{x}}(v)}{dv}.$$

We remark that $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{W}_{A_k}$ if and only if there exists $v \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$. So we assume the existence of such a $v \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = (k+1)v^k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ix_i v^{i-1}$, we set

$$(3.3) \quad X_1(v, \mathbf{x}_2) := -(k+1)v^k - \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} ix_i v^{i-1},$$

where $\mathbf{x}_2 := (x_2, \dots, x_{k-1})$. Substituting this into (3.1), $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$ reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= -v^{k+1} + v \left((k+1)v^k + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} ix_i v^{i-1} \right) - \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} x_i v^i \\ &= kv^{k+1} + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} (i-1)x_i v^i. \end{aligned}$$

So, if we set

$$(3.4) \quad X_0(v, \mathbf{x}_2) := kv^{k+1} + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} (i-1)x_i v^i,$$

then we have $h(v, \mathbf{x}_2) = (X_0(v, \mathbf{x}_2), X_1(v, \mathbf{x}_2), \mathbf{x}_2)$, which proves the assertion. \square

Proposition 3.2. *The map $h : \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ and its complexification $h^{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C}^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ are proper.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, we have $h(v, \mathbf{x}_2) = (X_0(v, \mathbf{x}_2), X_1(v, \mathbf{x}_2), \mathbf{x}_2)$. Since the leading coefficients of X_0 and X_1 as polynomials in v are nonzero constant, h is proper. The same argument applies to $h^{\mathbb{C}}$. \square

For the unified treatment, set $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , and define

$$(3.5) \quad \hat{h} := \begin{cases} h & \text{if } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \\ h^{\mathbb{C}} & \text{if } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.3. *For each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^k$, the inverse image $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ consists of at most k points. Moreover, $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}) = \{o\}$ holds, where o and $\mathbf{0}$ are the origins of \mathbb{K}^{k-1} and \mathbb{K}^k , respectively.*

Proof. By (3.3), the number of candidates for a real number v satisfying $\hat{h}(v, \mathbf{x}_2) = (x_0, x_1, \mathbf{x}_2)$ is at most k . For each such v , the corresponding x_0 is uniquely determined by (3.4). This proves the first assertion. The second assertion $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}) = \{o\}$ is obvious. \square

Proposition 3.4. *The map h is a $(k-1)$ -dimensional real analytic map.*

Proof. We write $h = (h_0, \dots, h_{k-1})$. If we remove the first two columns and rows, the Jacobian matrix J of h gives the identity matrix of rank $k-2$. So, if the 1×2 -submatrix $M := ((h_0)_v, (h_1)_v)$ of J does not vanish at a certain point, we obtain the conclusion: Since $h_0 = x_0$ and $h_1 = x_1$, by setting $(x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}) = (0, \dots, 0)$, $M = k(k+1)v^{k-1}(v, -1)$ is obtained, which does not vanish if $v \neq 0$. \square

Theorem 3.5. *The image \mathcal{W}_{A_k} ($= h(\mathbb{R}^{k-1})$) ($k \geq 2$) is a global main-analytic set of \mathbb{R}^k whose main-analytic function is $\Theta_{A_k} := \text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}})$ (cf. (3.2)).*

Proof. Using $A^{\mathbf{x}}$ given in (3.1), we have

$$(3.6) \quad h(\mathbb{R}^{k-1}) = \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{A_k}) \setminus \mathcal{L}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L} := \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{A_k}) ; \text{every common root } v \in \mathbb{C} \text{ of } A^{\mathbf{x}} \text{ and } B^{\mathbf{x}} \text{ is non-real} \right\}.$$

By (3.6) with Proposition 1.4, we have

$$\dim_H \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{A_k}) = \dim_H h(\mathbb{R}^{k-1}) = k-1.$$

So, to prove that Θ_{A_k} is a main-analytic function of the set $h(\mathbb{R}^{k-1})$, it is sufficient to show that the dimension of the set \mathcal{L} is less than $k-1$.

If $k=2$, then h is the standard map of a cusp and \mathcal{L} is empty (see Example 3.7). In particular, the assertion is obvious. So we may set $k \geq 3$. Suppose that $(\alpha, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ satisfies $h(\alpha, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in \mathcal{L}$. Then α is a non-real double root of $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$. Since the coefficients of $A^{\mathbf{x}}$ are real numbers, the conjugate $\bar{\alpha}$ is also a non-real double root of $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$. In particular, we can write

$$(3.7) \quad A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = \left(v^2 - (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})v + |\alpha|^2 \right)^2 \left(v^{k-3} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-4} c_i v^i \right).$$

Comparing coefficients in (3.1) and (3.7), we have $c_{k-4} = 2(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})$. Thus, the expression (3.7) implies that $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}$ depends only on the parameters $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and c_0, \dots, c_{k-5} . So we have

$$\dim_H \mathcal{L} \leq \dim_H \mathbb{C} + \dim_H \mathbb{R}^{k-4} = 2 + (k-4) = k-2,$$

proving the assertion. \square

Remark 3.6. From the above discussion, in the complex setting, one can easily verify that $h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{k-1}) = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{A_k})$, where $h^{\mathbb{C}}$ is the complexification of h , and

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{A_k}) := \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^k ; \Theta_{A_k}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \}.$$

Moreover, Θ_{A_k} is well-known to be irreducible over \mathbb{C} . Therefore, Θ_{A_k} coincides with the defining polynomial of $h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{k-1})$. In particular, Θ_{A_k} coincides with the discriminant polynomial of type A_k as in the introduction.

Example 3.7. When $k=2$, the standard map $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is $h(v) = (2v^3, -3v^2)$, which has a cusp singular point at $v=0$. In this case

$$A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = v^3 + x_1 v + x_0, \quad B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 3v^2 + x_1,$$

and

$$\Theta_{A_2}(x_0, x_1) := \text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}}) = 27x_0^2 + 4x_1^3$$

is a main-analytic function of $h(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, $\mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{A_2}) \setminus h(\mathbb{R}) = \emptyset$.

Example 3.8. When $k = 3$, the standard map $h : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is

$$h(v, x_2) = (3v^4 + x_2v^2, -4v^3 - 2x_2v, x_2),$$

which has a swallowtail singular point at $(v, x_2) = (0, 0)$. Here

$$A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = v^4 + x_2v^2 + x_1v + x_0, \quad B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 4v^3 + 2x_2v + x_1,$$

and the function $\Theta_{A_3}(x_0, x_1, x_2) := \text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}})$ is computed as

$$\Theta_{A_3} = 256x_0^3 - 128x_2^2x_0^2 + (144x_1^2x_2 + 16x_2^4)x_0 - (27x_1^4 + 4x_1^2x_2^3),$$

which gives a main-analytic function of $h(\mathbb{R}^2)$. If we set $\mathcal{L} := \left\{ \left(\frac{t^2}{4}, 0, t \right) ; t > 0 \right\}$, then $\mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{A_3}) \setminus h(\mathbb{R}^2) = \mathcal{L}$.

4. SINGULARITIES OF TYPE D

The standard map $h := h_{D_k} : \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ ($k \geq 4$) of D_k -singular points is defined by $h(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) := (h_0(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3), h_1(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3), h_2(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3), \mathbf{x}_3)$, where $\mathbf{x}_3 := (x_3, \dots, x_{k-1})$ and h_i ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are given in (1.7), (1.8) and (1.9). As an analogue of Proposition 3.1, the following assertion holds:

Proposition 4.1. *The image of the standard map h coincides with the set*

$$\mathcal{W}_{D_k} := \left\{ \mathbf{x} := (x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^k ; \text{there exists } (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ such that} \right.$$

$$\left. F(u, v, \mathbf{x}) = F_u(u, v, \mathbf{x}) = F_v(u, v, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \right\},$$

where

$$(4.1) \quad F(u, v, \mathbf{x}) := \pm u^2v + v^{k-1} + x_1u + x_0 + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} x_i v^{i-1}.$$

Proof. We assume $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{W}_{D_k}$. Since $F_u = \pm 2uv + x_1$, we have $x_1 = \mp 2uv$, which corresponds to (1.8). On the other hand, since

$$F_v = \pm u^2 + (k-1)v^{k-2} + x_2 + \sum_{i=3}^{k-1} (i-1)x_i v^{i-2},$$

we have

$$(4.2) \quad x_2 = \mp u^2 - (k-1)v^{k-2} - \sum_{i=3}^{k-1} (i-1)x_i v^{i-2},$$

which corresponds to (1.9). Substituting this and $x_1 = \mp 2uv$ into the equation $F = 0$, we have

$$x_0 = \pm 2u^2v + (k-2)v^{k-1} + \sum_{i=3}^{k-1} (i-2)x_i v^{i-1}.$$

Since this corresponds to (1.7), we have $\mathcal{W}_{D_k} \subset h(\mathbb{R}^{k-1})$. By reversing the above argument, we also obtain the converse inclusion $\mathcal{W}_{D_k} \supset h(\mathbb{R}^{k-1})$. \square

Remark 4.2. The \pm -ambiguity of the map h affects the type of singular points only when k is even. In fact, if k is odd, then $k-1$ is even, and hence the replacement of v by $-v$ does not affect the leading v^{k-1} of F in (4.1) as a polynomial in v , but replaces the term $\pm u^2 v$ by $\mp u^2 v$. So h for $+$ is right-left equivalent to h for $-$ when k is odd. On the other hand, if k is even, h for $+$ is not right-left equivalent to h for $-$.

Set $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} and define $\hat{h} = h$ or $h^{\mathbb{C}}$ as in (3.5).

Proposition 4.3. *For each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^k$, the inverse image $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ consists of at most k points. Moreover, $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}) = \{o\}$ holds. Furthermore, $\hat{h} : \mathbb{K}^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^k$ is proper.*

Proof. The statement $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}) = \{o\}$ is easily checked. First consider the case $x_1 = 0$. If $v \neq 0$, then $u = 0$. By (1.7), the possible values of v with $v \neq 0$ are at most $k-3$. Including $v = 0$, the possibilities for v are at most $k-2$.

Hence we may assume $x_1 \neq 0$. Then $v \neq 0$, and by (1.8) we have

$$(4.3) \quad u = \mp \frac{x_1}{2v} \in \mathbb{K}.$$

Substituting this into (4.2) shows that the possible values of v are at most k , proving the first assertion.

It remains to prove the properness of \hat{h} . Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{K}^k$ be compact and fix

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Then there exists $C > 0$ such that $\max(|x_0|, \dots, |x_{k-1}|) < C$. If $v = 0$, then by (1.9) we have $|x_2| = |u|^2$, hence $|u| < \sqrt{C}$, so $\{v = 0\} \cap \hat{h}^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ is bounded. Assume $v \neq 0$. By (1.8), $u = \mp x_1/(2v)$. Substituting this into the relation $x_0 = h_0(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3)$ yields

$$x_0 v = (k-2)v^k + \left(\sum_{i=3}^{k-1} (i-2)x_i v^i \right) \pm \frac{x_1^2}{2}.$$

By Remark 2.3, $|v|$ is bounded in terms of C . Then from $x_2 = h_2(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3)$, we also obtain a bound for $|u|$. Hence $\{v \neq 0\} \cap \hat{h}^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ is bounded. Therefore, $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ is bounded. Since \hat{h} is continuous and \mathcal{K} is closed, $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ is closed. So $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ is compact. Thus \hat{h} is proper. \square

The following is an analogue of Proposition 3.4:

Proposition 4.4. *The map h is a $(k-1)$ -dimensional real analytic map.*

Proof. We set

$$\mathcal{M} := \begin{pmatrix} (h_0)_u & (h_0)_v \\ (h_2)_u & (h_2)_v \end{pmatrix}.$$

Substituting $x_3 = \dots = x_{k-1} = 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 4uv & \pm 2u^2 + (k-1)(k-2)v^{k-2} \\ \mp 2u & -(k-1)(k-2)v^{k-3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Removing the first three columns and rows of the Jacobian matrix of h , we obtain the identity matrix of rank $k-3$. To prove the assertion, it is sufficient

to show that \mathcal{M} is of rank two for some point. In fact, \mathcal{M} is of rank 2 at $(u, 0)$ if $u \neq 0$. \square

Substituting (4.3) into the definition of $F(u, v, \mathbf{x})$, we define

$$(4.4) \quad A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) := v F\left(\mp \frac{x_1}{2v}, v, \mathbf{x}\right).$$

To simplify notation, we denote the derivative of this polynomial with respect to v by

$$(4.5) \quad B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) := \frac{dA^{\mathbf{x}}(v)}{dv}.$$

Proposition 4.5. *Let \mathbb{K} be either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^k$, there exists $(u, v) \in \mathbb{K} \times (\mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\})$ such that $\hat{h}(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) = \mathbf{x}$ if and only if there exists $v \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$.*

Proof. Throughout the proof we assume $v \neq 0$. Since $F_u = \pm 2uv + x_1$, we set $u(v) := \pm x_1/(2v)$. Then, we can write $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = vF(u(v), v)$. Since $F_u(u(v), v) = 0$, differentiating it, we have

$$(4.6) \quad B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = A_v^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = F(u(v), v) + vF_v(u(v), v).$$

So $A^{\mathbf{x}} = B^{\mathbf{x}} = 0$ holds under the assumption that $F = F_u = F_v = 0$. Conversely, suppose that there exists $v \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$ holds. For such a $v \in \mathbb{K}$, we set $u := u(v)$. Then $F_u(u(v), v) = 0$, that is, we have $u = u(v)$. Moreover, $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$ implies $F(u(v), v) = 0$. Since (4.6) implies that $B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = vF_v(u(v), v)$, we also have $F_v(u(v), v) = 0$. \square

We set $\Theta_{D_k}(\mathbf{x}) := \text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}})$.

Theorem 4.6. *The image \mathcal{W}_{D_k} of the standard map $h := h_{D_k}$ ($k \geq 4$) is a global main-analytic set of \mathbb{R}^k whose main-analytic function is Θ_{D_k} .*

Proof. We set $\mathcal{L}_1 := \{\mathbf{x} := (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^k; x_0 = x_1 = 0\}$. If $v = 0$, then $h(u, 0, \mathbf{x}_3) = (0, 0, \mp u^2, \mathbf{x}_3)$. In particular, we have

$$h(\{(u, 0, \mathbf{x}_3) \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}; \mathbf{x}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{k-3}\}) = \{(0, 0, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^k; \mp x_2 \geq 0\} \subset \mathcal{L}_1.$$

On the other hand, we set

$$\mathcal{L}_2 := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k; \text{there exists } v \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0\}.$$

By Proposition 4.5, we have $h(\mathbb{R}^{k-1}) \subset (\mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{D_k}) \setminus \mathcal{L}_2) \cup \mathcal{L}_1$. If $x_0 = x_1 = 0$, then $v = 0$ is a double root of the polynomial $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$, and hence $\Theta_{D_k}(\mathbf{x})$ vanishes. In particular, we have $h(\mathbb{R}^{k-1}) \subset \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{D_k}) \setminus \mathcal{L}_2$. It is sufficient to prove that the set \mathcal{L}_2 is of dimension less than $k - 1$ in \mathbb{R}^k : The polynomial $A^{\mathbf{x}}$ can be explicitly written as

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) &= \pm u^2 v^2 + v^k + x_{k-1} v^{k-1} + \dots + x_2 v^2 + x_1 v v + x_0 v \Big|_{u=\mp x_1/(2v)} \\ &= v^k + x_{k-1} v^{k-1} + \dots + x_2 v^2 + x_0 v \mp \frac{1}{4} x_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

We fix a non-real double root α of the equation $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$. Then $\bar{\alpha}$ is also a double root, and we can write

$$A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = \left(v^2 - (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})v + |\alpha|^2\right)^2 \left(v^{k-4} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-5} c_i v^i\right),$$

where $c_0, \dots, c_{k-5} \in \mathbb{R}$. This expression implies that $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}_2$ depends only on the parameters α and c_0, \dots, c_{k-5} . So we have

$$\dim_H \mathcal{L}_2 \leq \dim_H \mathbb{C} + \dim_H \mathbb{R}^{k-4} = 2 + (k-4) = k-2,$$

proving the assertion. \square

Remark 4.7. Since Proposition 4.5 holds for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, we have $h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{k-1} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(v)) \subset \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{D_k})$. As $h^{\mathbb{C}}$ is proper,

$$h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{k-1}) = h^{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{\mathbb{C}^{k-1} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(v)}) = \overline{h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{k-1} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(v))} \subset \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{D_k}).$$

Moreover, $h^0(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) = h^1(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) = 0$ when $v = 0$, and this case occurs only for $x_0 = x_1 = 0$. Hence Proposition 4.5 also gives the inclusion

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{D_k}) \setminus \mathcal{L} \subset h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{k-1}) \quad (\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(x_0) \cap \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(x_1)).$$

By the properness of $h^{\mathbb{C}}$, the image $h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{k-1})$ is closed in \mathbb{C}^k . Since \mathcal{L} has empty interior in $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{D_k})$, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{D_k}) = \overline{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{D_k}) \setminus \mathcal{L}} \subset \overline{h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{k-1})} = h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{k-1}),$$

and $h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{k-1}) = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{D_k})$.

We here prove that Θ_{D_k} ($k \geq 4$) is squarefree: We fix $\sigma \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $x_1 \neq 0$, and consider

$$A_s(v) = G(v)((v - x_1/2)^2 + s),$$

where $G(v)$ is a monic polynomial of degree $k-2$ with real coefficients such that $G(0) = -\sigma$ and $G(x_1/2) \neq 0$. Since G does not depend on s , all roots of G remain fixed as s varies. In particular they stay simple. Hence the only roots of A_s depending on s are $v_{\pm}(s) = x_1/2 \pm i\sqrt{s}$, and

$$v_+(s) - v_-(s) = 2i\sqrt{s}.$$

All other factors in the Vandermonde product stay nonzero and smooth in s , so

$$\Delta(A_s) = C s + O(s^2), \quad C \neq 0.$$

Thus, the discriminant vanishes to order exactly one at $s = 0$, which shows that Θ_{D_k} is squarefree. Therefore, Θ_{D_k} coincides, up to a nonzero scalar, with the defining polynomial of $h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{k-1})$. In particular, it agrees with the discriminant polynomial of type D_k , which is known to be irreducible over \mathbb{C} .

Example 4.8. Consider the case $k = 4$ and fix $\sigma \in \{\pm 1\}$. Set

$$F(u, v, \mathbf{x}) := \sigma u^2 v + v^3 + x_1 u + x_0 + x_2 v + x_3 v^2.$$

Substituting $u := -x_1/(2\sigma v)$, we obtain

$$A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = v^4 + x_3 v^3 + x_2 v^2 + x_0 v - \frac{\sigma}{4} x_1^2.$$

5. SINGULARITIES OF TYPE E_6

As mentioned in Section 1, the standard map $h := h_{E_6} : \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$ of an E_6 -singular point is defined by

$$h(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) := (h_0(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3), h_1(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3), h_2(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3), \mathbf{x}_3),$$

where $\mathbf{x}_3 := (x_3, x_4, x_5)$ is the subvector of $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_5) \in \mathbb{R}^6$ and

$$(5.1) \quad h_0(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) := 2u^3 + 3v^4 + v^2x_3 + uv\delta_6(v),$$

$$(5.2) \quad h_1(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) := -3u^2 - vx_4 - v^2x_5,$$

$$(5.3) \quad h_2(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) := -4v^3 - 2vx_3 - \delta_6(v)u,$$

$$(5.4) \quad \delta_6(v) := x_4 + 2vx_5.$$

The following is an analogue of Propositions 3.1 and 4.1:

Proposition 5.1. *The image of h coincides with the following set*

$$\mathcal{W}_{E_6} := \left\{ \mathbf{x} := (x_0, \dots, x_5) \in \mathbb{R}^6 ; \text{there exists } (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ such that} \right.$$

$$\left. F(u, v, \mathbf{x}) = F_u(u, v, \mathbf{x}) = F_v(u, v, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \right\},$$

where

$$(5.5) \quad F(u, v, \mathbf{x}) := u^3 + v^4 + x_5uv^2 + x_4uv + x_3v^2 + x_2v + x_1u + x_0.$$

Proof. Computing F_u (resp. F_v) and replacing x_1 by $h_1(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3)$ (resp. x_2 by $h_2(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3)$) we obtain (5.2) and (5.3). On the other hand, $F_u = F_v = 0$ imply

$$x_1 = -3u^2 - x_4v - x_5v^2, \quad x_2 = -4v^3 - 2x_3v - x_4u - 2x_5uv.$$

By substituting them into $F = 0$, we obtain (5.1). \square

As in Propositions 3.4 and 4.4, the following assertion can be proved easily.

Proposition 5.2. *The map h is a 5-dimensional real analytic map.*

Set $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} and define $\hat{h} = h$ or $h^{\mathbb{C}}$ as in the case of type D:

Proposition 5.3. *The map $\hat{h} : \mathbb{K}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^6$ is proper. Moreover, for each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^6$, the inverse image $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ is finite. Furthermore, $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}) = \{o\}$.*

Proof. The fact $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}) = \{o\}$ is immediate. Write $x_i = h_i(u, v, x_3, x_4, x_5)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) as in (5.1) and (5.4). From (5.2), we have

$$(5.6) \quad u^2 = \frac{-x_1 - vx_4 - v^2x_5}{3}.$$

If $\delta_6(v) := x_4 + 2vx_5 = 0$, then (5.3) gives $x_2 = -4v^3 - 2vx_3$, so v is a root of a cubic. Hence v and then u are finite in number. If $\delta_6(v) \neq 0$, then from (5.3)

$$(5.7) \quad u = -\frac{4v^3 + 2vx_3 + x_2}{\delta_6(v)}.$$

Substituting (5.7) into (5.6), we have

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) := & 48v^6 + 4(12x_3 + x_5^3)v^4 + 8(3x_2 + x_4x_5^2)v^3 \\ & + (4x_1x_5^2 + 5x_4^2x_5 + 12x_3^2)v^2 \\ & + (4x_1x_4x_5 + 12x_2x_3 + x_4^3)v + x_1x_4^2 + 3x_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

The leading term is $48v^6$, so v (hence u) has finitely many possibilities. Thus $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ is finite.

For properness, let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{K}^6$ be compact and assume $\mathbf{x} = \hat{h}(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) \in \mathcal{K}$. If $\delta_6(v) = 0$, the cubic equation in v above yields a bound on $|v|$ by Remark 2.3. By (5.6), $|u|$ is bounded. Therefore $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ is bounded and closed, hence compact. Thus \hat{h} is proper. \square

Substituting (5.6) and (5.7) into the equation $h_0(u, v, x_3, \dots, x_5) = x_0$ (cf. (5.1)), we obtain the equation $B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$, where $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_5) \in \mathbb{R}^6$ and

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) := & 2x_5v^5 + 5x_4v^4 + (8x_1 - 2x_3x_5)v^3 + (x_3x_4 - 4x_2x_5)v^2 \\ & + (-6x_0x_5 + 4x_1x_3 - x_2x_4)v - 3x_0x_4 + 2x_1x_2. \end{aligned}$$

If $h(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) = \mathbf{x}$, then the two polynomials $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ and $B^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ must have a common root in \mathbb{R} , and the resultant

$$(5.10) \quad \mathcal{R}(x_0, \dots, x_5) := \text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}})$$

must vanish. For the latter discussions, we also set (cf. (2.2))

$$(5.11) \quad \mathcal{S}(x_0, \dots, x_5) := \text{Psc}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}}).$$

Corresponding to (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3), for each $\mathbf{x} := (x_0, \dots, x_5) \in \mathbb{R}^6$, we set

$$(5.12) \quad g_0^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v) := 2u^3 + 3v^4 + v^2x_3 - x_0 + uv\delta_6(v),$$

$$(5.13) \quad g_1^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v) := 3u^2 + vx_4 + v^2x_5 + x_1,$$

$$(5.14) \quad g_2^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v) := -4v^3 - 2vx_3 - x_2 - \delta_6(v)u.$$

By definition, we have the following: Set $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} and define $\hat{h} = h$ or $h^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proposition 5.4. *For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^6$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{K}$, $\hat{h}(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) = \mathbf{x}$ is equivalent to the simultaneous vanishing of $g_i^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$).*

We set $r_6(\mathbf{x}) := -\frac{1}{4}\text{Res}_v(g_2^{\mathbf{x}}, \delta_6)$, then a direct computation with *Mathematica* yields

$$(5.15) \quad r_6(\mathbf{x}) = x_4^3 + 2x_3x_5^2x_4 - 2x_2x_5^3.$$

Proposition 5.5. *Fix $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^6$. If $\mathbf{x} \in \hat{h}(\mathbb{K}^5)$, then there exists $v \in \mathbb{K}$ satisfying $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$. Conversely, if there exists $v \in \mathbb{K}$ satisfying $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$ and $\delta_6(v) \neq 0$, then $\mathbf{x} \in \hat{h}(\mathbb{K}^5)$.*

Proof. The first statement of the proposition follows from Lemma C.1. To prove the second statement, we set $G_i(u, v) := g_i^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) and $\delta := \delta_6$ for fixed $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^6$ in Appendix C. Then the two polynomials $\alpha(v)$ and $\beta(v)$ given in (C.4) and (C.6) coincide with $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ and $B^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ respectively, and Proposition C.2

implies the conclusion. In fact, $\mathbf{x} \in h(\mathbb{K}^5)$ if and only if $\hat{h}(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) = \mathbf{x}$, which is equivalent to the condition that $g_i^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v) = 0$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$). \square

The following assertion follows from Proposition 5.5 immediately:

Corollary 5.6. *The image $h(\mathbb{R}^5)$ (resp. $h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^5)$) is a subset of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$ (resp. $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{R})$).*

In (5.10) and (5.11), we defined the two polynomials $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x})$. Here we regard them as polynomials in x_0 . Then we obtain

$$(5.16) \quad \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}) = 2^{20}3^{11}r_6(\mathbf{x})^2x_0^6 + (\text{lower-order terms in } x_0),$$

$$(5.17) \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}) = -2^{21}3^9x_5^5x_0^5 + (\text{lower-order terms in } x_0).$$

So, if we set

$$(5.18) \quad \mathcal{T} := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^6; r_6(\mathbf{x}) = x_5 = 0\} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^6; x_4 = x_5 = 0\},$$

then the leading terms of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x})$ as polynomials in x_0 vanish at the same time if and only if $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{T}$. From the right-hand side of (5.18), it is clear that the dimension of \mathcal{T} is less than 5.

Theorem 5.7. *The dimension of the set $\mathcal{E}_1 := \mathcal{T} \cup (\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{S}))$ is less than 5, and*

$$(5.19) \quad \Xi_{E_6} := \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) \setminus \mathcal{E}_1$$

is an open subset of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$. Moreover, for each $\mathbf{x} \in \Xi_{E_6}$, there exists a unique real number $v(\mathbf{x})$ which is a common root of $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ and $B^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$.

Proof. Corollary 5.6 together with Proposition 5.2 implies $\dim_H \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) \geq 5$. We remark that $r_6(\mathbf{x})$ does not involve x_0 . Since $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})$ is a non-constant polynomial, $\dim_H \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) \leq 5$. So, we can conclude that

$$(5.20) \quad \dim_H \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) = 5.$$

We set $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_5) := \text{Res}_{x_0}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S})$. Then it can be computed that

$$\varphi(0, 0, 0, 1, 1) \equiv 2 \pmod{5}.$$

By Corollary B.4 with (5.18), we can conclude that

$$\mathcal{L} := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^6 \setminus \mathcal{T}; \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$$

has dimension less than 5. Since we have already observed that $\dim_H(\mathcal{T}) < 5$, it follows that $\dim_H(\mathcal{E}_1) < 5$ since $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{L}$.

In particular, Ξ_{E_6} is an open subset of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$. We fix $\mathbf{x} \in \Xi_{E_6}$. Since $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) \setminus \mathcal{T}$, there exists $v \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0.$$

If v were not unique, then we would have $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, which contradicts $\mathbf{x} \in \Xi_{E_6}$. Hence v is uniquely determined, and we denote it by $v(\mathbf{x})$. Moreover, if $v(\mathbf{x})$ were non-real, its complex conjugate would also be a common root of $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ and $B^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$, leading again to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore $v(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

We have not yet determined the main-analytic function, but the following statement can already be established.

Corollary 5.8. *The set $h(\mathbb{R}^5)$ is a global main-analytic set of \mathbb{R}^6 .*

Proof. Set $U := h^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^6 \setminus \mathcal{E}_1)$. By the last statement of Theorem 5.7, the map $h|_U$ is injective. To apply Proposition D.2, it suffices to show that U is dense in \mathbb{R}^5 . Otherwise, $h^{-1}(\mathcal{E}_1)$ would have a nonempty interior, and hence $\dim_H(h^{-1}(\mathcal{E}_1)) = 5$. Since h is m -dimensional, this with Proposition 1.4 implies $\dim_H(\mathcal{E}_1) = 5$, contradicting the first statement of Theorem 5.7. \square

We next try to find an explicit formula for the main-analytic function.

Proposition 5.9. *The polynomial $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})$ is divisible by $r_6(\mathbf{x})^2$ and*

$$\Theta_{E_6}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})}{r_6(\mathbf{x})^2}$$

is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Proof. Using *Mathematica*, we can check that $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})$ is divisible by $r_6(\mathbf{x})^2$, and $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})/r_6(\mathbf{x})^2$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . \square

Since r_6 and $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})$ are both irreducible over \mathbb{Q} , the following assertion follows from Proposition B.1 in the appendix:

Corollary 5.10. *The dimension of the set $\mathcal{E}_0 := \mathcal{Z}(r_6) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_6})$ is less than 5.*

Remark 5.11. Each coefficient of $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ does not involve x_0 , while each coefficient of $B^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ involves x_0 but not x_0^i for any $i \geq 2$. We define $B_0^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ to be the polynomial obtained from $B^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ by retaining only those terms containing x_0 , that is,

$$(5.21) \quad B_0^{\mathbf{x}}(v) := x_0 \left(\frac{d}{dx_0} B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) \right).$$

Then $B_0^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = -3(2x_5 + x_4)x_0$. By this definition of $B_0^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$, in principle, the leading term of $\text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B_0^{\mathbf{x}})$ (resp. $\text{Res}_v^{(1)}(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B_0^{\mathbf{x}})$) with respect to x_0 must be a nonzero scalar multiple of the corresponding leading term of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x})$). Indeed, by comparing

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B_0^{\mathbf{x}}) &= 2^4 \cdot 3^7 r_6(\mathbf{x})^2 x_0^6 + (\text{lower-order terms in } x_0), \\ \text{Psc}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B_0^{\mathbf{x}}) &= -2^5 \cdot 3^5 x_5^5 x_0^5 \end{aligned}$$

with (5.16) and (5.17), this principle can be verified directly.

Since $B_0^{\mathbf{x}}$ is simpler than $B^{\mathbf{x}}$, this technique reduces the computation of the leading terms of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x})$. We will exploit this simplification in Section 7.

If we solve $\delta_6(v) = 0$, we have $v := -x_4/(2x_5)$. By substituting it into $g_0^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v)$ and $g_1^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v)$, the two polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} k_0^{\mathbf{x}}(u) &:= 8x_5^3 u^3 - 4x_0 x_5^3 - x_4^4 + x_4^3 x_5, \\ k_1^{\mathbf{x}}(u) &:= 24x_5^3 u^2 - 8x_1 x_5^3 - 4x_4 x_5^3 - x_4^3 \end{aligned}$$

are obtained, and their resultant

$$(5.22) \quad \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}) := \text{Res}_u(k_0^{\mathbf{x}}, k_1^{\mathbf{x}}) \quad (\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^6)$$

is a polynomial not containing x_2 as its variable.

Theorem 5.12. *Fix $\mathbf{x} \in \Xi_{E_6}$ (cf. (5.19)).*

(1) If $r_6(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$, then $\delta_6(v(\mathbf{x})) \neq 0$ holds, and then there exists $u \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $h(u, v(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}_3) = \mathbf{x}$. In particular, we have

$$(5.23) \quad \Xi_{E_6} \setminus \mathcal{Z}(r_6) \subset h(\mathbb{R}^5).$$

(2) Suppose that $\mathbf{x} \in h(\mathbb{R}^5) \cap \mathcal{Z}(r_6)$. Then $\delta_6(v(\mathbf{x})) = 0$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ hold. Moreover, the dimension of the set $h(\mathbb{R}^5) \cap \mathcal{Z}(r_6)$ is less than 5.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{x} \in \Xi_{E_6}$, the component x_5 never vanishes, and we can write

$$(5.24) \quad A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = P_1^{\mathbf{x}}(v)\delta_6(v) + \frac{3r_6(\mathbf{x})^2}{4x_5^6},$$

$$(5.25) \quad B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = P_2^{\mathbf{x}}(v)\delta_6(v) + \frac{(x_4^2 - 4x_1x_5)r_6(\mathbf{x})}{4x_5^4},$$

where $P_j^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ ($j = 1, 2$) are certain polynomials. We assume $r_6(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$. If $\delta_6(v(\mathbf{x})) = 0$, then $v(\mathbf{x}) = -x_4/(2x_5)$. Substituting $v := v(\mathbf{x})$ into $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$, we have

$$0 = A^{\mathbf{x}}(v(\mathbf{x})) = \frac{3r_6(\mathbf{x})^2}{4x_5^6},$$

which implies $r_6(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, a contradiction. So $\delta_6(v(\mathbf{x})) \neq 0$, and the remaining assertions of (1) follow from the second assertion of Proposition 5.5.

We next consider the case that $\mathbf{x} \in h(\mathbb{R}^5) \cap \mathcal{Z}(r_6)$. Since $\delta_6(v) = 0$ is a linear equation, $\hat{v}(\mathbf{x}) := -x_4/(2x_5)$ is the solution. Then (5.24) and (5.25) imply that $A^{\mathbf{x}}(\hat{v}(\mathbf{x})) = B^{\mathbf{x}}(\hat{v}(\mathbf{x})) = 0$. So the uniqueness of $v(\mathbf{x})$ (cf. Theorem 5.7) yields that $v(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{v}(\mathbf{x}) = -x_4/(2x_5)$. Then we have $\delta_6(v(\mathbf{x})) = 0$ and

$$g_2^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v(\mathbf{x})) = -4v^3 - 2vx_3 - x_2 \Big|_{v=-x_4/(2x_5)} = \frac{-r_6(\mathbf{x})}{2x_5^3} = 0.$$

If $\mathbf{x} \in h(\mathbb{R}^5) \cap \mathcal{Z}(r_6)$, then by Proposition 5.4, there exists $u \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$k_0^{\mathbf{x}}(u) = g_0^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v(\mathbf{x})) = 0, \quad k_1^{\mathbf{x}}(u) = g_1^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v(\mathbf{x})) = 0,$$

which imply that $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ (cf. (5.22)), proving the first part of (2). Since $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x})$ does not contain x_2 as its variable but $r_6(\mathbf{x})$ does, Lemma B.2 in the appendix yields that the dimension of $\mathcal{Z}(r_6) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{H})$ is less than 6. Since

$$h(\mathbb{R}^5) \cap \mathcal{Z}(r_6) \subset (\mathcal{Z}(r_6) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{H})) \cup \mathcal{E}_1,$$

the first statement of Theorem 5.7 yields $\dim_H(h(\mathbb{R}^5) \cap \mathcal{Z}(r_6)) < 5$. \square

Corollary 5.13. Fix $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{E_6})$. If $r_6(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$, then there exist $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $h(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) = \mathbf{x}$.

Proof. The condition $\Theta_{E_6}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ implies the existence of $v \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$. Since $r_6(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$, we have $\delta_6(v) \neq 0$. Then we can set $u \in \mathbb{C}$ by (5.7). Since $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$, this u also satisfy (5.6). This implies the existence of $u \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $h(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) = \mathbf{x}$. \square

We now arrive at the following assertion:

Theorem 5.14. The polynomial $\Theta_{E_6}(\mathbf{x})$ is a main-analytic function of $h(\mathbb{R}^5)$.

This provides an alternative proof of the main-analyticity of $h(\mathbb{R}^5)$, without use of Appendix D.

Proof. By Proposition 5.9, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{Z}(r_6) \cup \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_6})$ holds. Thus, from (5.19) and (5.23) we obtain

$$\mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_6}) \setminus (\mathcal{E}_0 \cup \mathcal{E}_1) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) \setminus (\mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{Z}(r_6)) = \Xi_{E_6} \setminus \mathcal{Z}(r_6) \subset h(\mathbb{R}^5).$$

Together with Corollary 5.10 and Theorem 5.7, this yields

$$(5.26) \quad \dim_H(\mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_6}) \setminus h(\mathbb{R}^5)) < 5.$$

Moreover, Corollary 5.6 implies

$$(5.27) \quad h(\mathbb{R}^5) \subset \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_6}) \cup \mathcal{E}_2, \quad \mathcal{E}_2 := h(\mathbb{R}^5) \cap \mathcal{Z}(r_6).$$

By (2) of Theorem 5.12, we know that $\dim_H(\mathcal{E}_2) < 5$. Suppose that $h^{-1}(\mathcal{E}_2)$ has non-empty interior. Since h is a 5-dimensional real analytic map, this would imply that $h(h^{-1}(\mathcal{E}_2))$ has dimension 5 (cf. Proposition 1.4), contradicting $\dim_H(\mathcal{E}_2) < 5$.

Now fix $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}_2$. Then there exists $u \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $h(u, v(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}_3) = \mathbf{x}$. Since $h^{-1}(\mathcal{E}_2)$ has no interior points, we can choose a sequence

$$\{(u_n, v_n, \mathbf{z}_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}^5 \setminus h^{-1}(\mathcal{E}_2)$$

converging to $(u, v(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}_3)$. By (5.27), we then have $h(u_n, v_n, \mathbf{z}_n) \in \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_6})$, which implies

$$\mathbf{x} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(u_n, v_n, \mathbf{z}_n) \in \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_6}).$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{E}_2 \subset \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_6})$. Combining this with (5.27), we conclude that Θ_{E_6} is a main-analytic function of $h(\mathbb{R}^5)$. \square

Remark 5.15. By Proposition 5.5, we have $h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^5 \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\delta_6)) \subset \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{E_6})$. Since $h^{\mathbb{C}}$ is proper, we obtain

$$(5.28) \quad h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^5) = h^{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{\mathbb{C}^5 \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\delta_6)}) = \overline{h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^5 \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\delta_6))} \subset \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{E_6}).$$

Let $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{E_6}) \cap \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(r_6)$. Since the complex dimension of \mathcal{L} is less than 5 (by the same reason as in the proof of Corollary 5.10), \mathcal{L} has no interior point. On the other hand, Corollary 5.13 implies that $\mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_6}) \setminus \mathcal{L} \subset h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^5)$. By the properness of $h^{\mathbb{C}}$, it follows that

$$(5.29) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{E_6}) = \overline{\mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_6}) \setminus \mathcal{L}} \subset \overline{h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^5)} = h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^5).$$

Combining (5.28) and (5.29), we have $h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^5) = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{E_6}) \subset \mathbb{C}^6$.

Since Θ_{E_6} is an irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{Q} (cf. Proposition 5.9), it coincides with the defining polynomial of $h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^5)$. In particular, up to a nonzero scalar, Θ_{E_6} coincides with the discriminant polynomial of type E_6 which is known to be irreducible over \mathbb{C} .

Assigning weights $(12, 8, 9, 6, 5, 2)$ to (x_0, \dots, x_5) , we obtain:

- $r_6(\mathbf{x})$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of degree 15,
- $\text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}})$ is weighted homogeneous of degree 102,
- the main-analytic function Θ_{E_6} is weighted homogeneous of degree 72.

6. SINGULARITIES OF TYPE E_7

The standard map $h := h_{E_7} : \mathbb{R}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$ of E_7 -singular points is defined by

$$h(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) := (h_0(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3), h_1(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3), h_2(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3), \mathbf{x}_3),$$

where $\mathbf{x}_3 := (x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)$ is the subvector of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^7$, and

$$(6.1) \quad h_0(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) := 2u^3 + x_3v^2 + 2x_4v^3 + uv\delta_7(v),$$

$$(6.2) \quad h_1(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) := -3u^2 - v^3 - x_5v - x_6v^2,$$

$$(6.3) \quad h_2(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) := -2x_3v - 3x_4v^2 - u\delta_7(v),$$

$$(6.4) \quad \delta_7(v) := 3v^2 + 2x_6v + x_5.$$

As an analogue of Proposition 5.1, the following assertion holds:

Proposition 6.1. *The image of the standard map h coincides with the following set*

$$\mathcal{W}_{E_7} := \left\{ \mathbf{x} := (x_0, \dots, x_6) \in \mathbb{R}^7 ; \text{there exists } (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ such that} \right.$$

$$\left. F(u, v, \mathbf{x}) = F_u(u, v, \mathbf{x}) = F_v(u, v, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \right\},$$

where

$$(6.5) \quad F(u, v, \mathbf{x}) := u^3 + uv^3 + x_6uv^2 + x_5uv + x_4v^3 + x_3v^2 + x_2v + x_1u + x_0.$$

As in Propositions 3.4, 4.4 and 5.2, the following assertion holds:

Proposition 6.2. *The map h is a 6-dimensional real analytic map.*

Proposition 6.3. *The map $h : \mathbb{R}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$ (resp. $h^{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^7$) is proper. Moreover, for each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^7$ (resp. $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^7$), the inverse image $h^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ is finite. Furthermore, $h^{-1}(\mathbf{0}) = \{o\}$ (resp. $(h^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(\mathbf{0}) = \{o\}$).*

Set $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} and define \hat{h} as in the previous sections:

Proposition 6.4. *The map $\hat{h} : \mathbb{K}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^7$ is proper. Moreover, for each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^7$, the inverse image $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ is finite. Furthermore, $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}) = \{o\}$.*

Proof. The fact $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}) = \{o\}$ is obvious. Write $x_i = h_i(u, v, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$). If $\delta_7(v) := 3v^2 + 2x_6v + x_5 = 0$, then v is a root of a quadratic, hence finite. Since

$$(6.6) \quad u^2 = \frac{1}{3}(-v^3 - x_6v^2 - x_5v - x_1),$$

the possibility of u is finite. If $\delta_7(v) \neq 0$, we have

$$(6.7) \quad u = \frac{-x_2 - 2x_3v - 3x_4v^2}{\delta_7(v)}.$$

By (6.6) and (6.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.8) \quad A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) := & 9v^7 + 21x_6v^6 + (15x_5 + 16x_6^2)v^5 \\
& + (9x_1 + 27x_4^2 + 22x_5x_6 + 4x_6^3)v^4 \\
& + (12x_1x_6 + 36x_3x_4 + 7x_5^2 + 8x_5x_6^2)v^3 \\
& + (6x_1x_5 + 4x_1x_6^2 + 18x_2x_4 + 12x_3^2 + 5x_5^2x_6)v^2 \\
& + (4x_1x_5x_6 + 12x_2x_3 + x_5^3)v + x_1x_5^2 + 3x_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the leading term is $9v^7$, the possibility of v (and u) is finite. Thus $h^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ is finite.

For properness, let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{K}^7$ be compact and assume $\mathbf{x} = \hat{h}(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) \in \mathcal{K}$. If $\delta_7(v) = 0$, the quadratic bounds $|v|$ by Remark 2.3, and then $|u|$ is bounded. If $\delta_7(v) \neq 0$, then v satisfies (6.8) with leading term $9v^7$, hence $|v|$ is bounded; consequently $|u|$ is bounded. Therefore $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ is bounded and closed, hence compact. Thus \hat{h} is proper. \square

Substituting (6.6) and (6.7) into the equation $h_0(u, v, x_3, \dots, x_6) = x_0$ (cf. (6.1)) and eliminating the term $\delta_7(v)$, we obtain the equation $B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$, where $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_6)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.9) \quad B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) := & -3x_4v^5 - 5x_3v^4 + (-7x_2 - 2x_3x_6 + 3x_4x_5)v^3 \\
& + (-9x_0 + 6x_1x_4 - 4x_2x_6 + x_3x_5)v^2 \\
& + (-6x_0x_6 + 4x_1x_3 - x_2x_5)v - 3x_0x_5 + 2x_1x_2.
\end{aligned}$$

By following the proof of Proposition 5.5, we obtain the following:

Proposition 6.5. *Fix $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^7$. If $\mathbf{x} \in \hat{h}(\mathbb{K}^6)$ holds, then there exists $v \in \mathbb{K}$ satisfying $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$. Conversely, if there exists $v \in \mathbb{K}$ satisfying $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$ and $\delta_7(v) \neq 0$, then $\mathbf{x} \in \hat{h}(\mathbb{K}^6)$.*

Corollary 6.6. *The image $h(\mathbb{R}^6)$ (resp. $h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^6)$) is a subset of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$ (resp. $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{R})$).*

We set (cf. (2.2))

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}) := \text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}}), \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}) := \text{Psc}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}}) \quad (\mathbf{x} := (x_0, \dots, x_6) \in \mathbb{R}^7).$$

Since the leading term of $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ with respect to v is $9v^7$, the condition $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$) implies that $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ and $B^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ have at least one (resp. two) common root(s). Corresponding to (6.1), (6.2) and (6.3), we set

$$(6.10) \quad g_0^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v) := 2u^3 + 2x_4v^3 + x_3v^2 - x_0 + uv\delta_7(v),$$

$$(6.11) \quad g_1^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v) := 3u^2 - v^3 - x_6v^2 - x_5v - x_1,$$

$$(6.12) \quad g_2^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v) := -3x_4v^2 - 2x_3v - x_2 - \delta_7(v)u.$$

By definition, we have:

Proposition 6.7. *For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^7$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{K}$, $\hat{h}(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) = \mathbf{x}$ is equivalent to the simultaneous vanishing of $g_i^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$).*

We set $r_7(\mathbf{x}) := \text{Res}_v(g_2^{\mathbf{x}}, \delta_7)/3$, then a direct computation with *Mathematica* yields

$$r_7(\mathbf{x}) = 3x_2^2 + (-6x_4x_5 - 4x_3x_6 + 4x_4x_6^2)x_2 + x_5(4x_3^2 + 3x_4^2x_5 - 4x_3x_4x_6)$$

and

$$(6.13) \quad \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}) = 3^{20}r_7(\mathbf{x})^2x_0^7 + (\text{lower-order terms in } x_0),$$

$$(6.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}) = 2^23^{18}(x_3 - x_4x_6)(3x_2 - 3x_4x_5 - 2x_3x_6 + 2x_4x_6^2)x_0^6 \\ + (\text{lower-order terms in } x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Regarding (6.13) and (6.14), set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_1 &:= \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^7 ; r_7(\mathbf{x}) = x_3 - x_4x_6 = 0 \right\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_2 &:= \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^7 ; r_7(\mathbf{x}) = 3x_2 - 3x_4x_5 - 2x_3x_6 + 2x_4x_6^2 = 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2$. Then $\dim_H(\mathcal{T}) < 6$, and we have:

Theorem 6.8. *The set $\mathcal{E}_1 := \mathcal{T} \cup (\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{S}))$ has dimension less than 6, and $\Xi_{E_7} := \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) \setminus \mathcal{E}_1$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$. Moreover, for each $\mathbf{x} \in \Xi_{E_7}$, there exists a unique real number $v(\mathbf{x})$ that is a common root of $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ and $B^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$.*

Proof. By (6.13), $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})$ is a non-constant polynomial, and hence $\dim_H \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) \leq 6$. By Corollary 6.6, together with Proposition 6.2, we obtain

$$(6.15) \quad \dim_H \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) = 6.$$

Setting $\xi_1 := (x_0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^7$, one checks that

$$\text{Res}_{x_0}(\mathcal{R}(\xi_1), \mathcal{S}(\xi_1)) \equiv 1 \pmod{5}.$$

Hence $\mathcal{L} := \{\mathbf{x} \notin \mathcal{T} ; \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$ has $\dim_H(\mathcal{L}) < 6$. Therefore $\dim_H(\mathcal{E}_1) < 6$, and Ξ_{E_7} is open in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$. Uniqueness and reality of $v(\mathbf{x})$ are proved as in Theorem 5.7. \square

As in Corollary 5.8, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 6.9. *The image $h(\mathbb{R}^6)$ is a global main-analytic set of \mathbb{R}^7 .*

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Corollary 5.8. \square

We now try to find an explicit formula for the main-analytic function. As an analogue of Proposition 5.9, we prove the following:

Proposition 6.10. *The polynomial $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})$ is divisible by $r_7(\mathbf{x})^2$ and*

$$\Theta_{E_7}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})}{r_7(\mathbf{x})^2}$$

is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Proof. As in the case of E_6 , we proved this fact using *Mathematica*. \square

Corollary 6.11. *The dimension of the set $\mathcal{E}_0 := \mathcal{Z}(r_7) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_7})$ is less than 6.*

Remark 6.12. Even without assuming the irreducibility of Θ_{E_7} over \mathbb{Q} , this statement can be verified by applying Corollary B.4, taking $a := r_7$ and $b := \Theta_{E_7}$ as polynomials in x_2 . For instance, with $p = 5$, one may consider the sampling point $(x_0, \dots, x_6) = (0, 1, x_2, 1, 0, 0, 0)$.

Theorem 6.13. *Fix $\mathbf{x} \in \Xi_{E_7}$.*

(1) *If $r_7(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$, then $\delta_7(v(\mathbf{x})) \neq 0$ holds and there exists $u \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $h(u, v(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}_3) = \mathbf{x}$. In particular,*

$$(6.16) \quad \Xi_{E_7} \setminus \mathcal{Z}(r_7) \subset h(\mathbb{R}^6).$$

(2) *Suppose that $\mathbf{x} \in h(\mathbb{R}^6) \cap \mathcal{Z}(r_7)$. Then $\delta_7(v(\mathbf{x})) = 0$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ hold. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}_2 := h(\mathbb{R}^6) \cap \mathcal{Z}(r_7)$ has dimension less than 6.*

Proof. All symbolic computations below were carried out with *Mathematica*. We obtain

$$(6.17) \quad \text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, \delta_7) = 3^7 r_7(\mathbf{x})^2,$$

$$(6.18) \quad \text{Res}_v(B^{\mathbf{x}}, \delta_7) = 12r_7(\mathbf{x}) \left(27x_1^2 - 18x_1x_5x_6 + 4x_1x_6^3 + 4x_5^3 - x_5^2x_6^2 \right).$$

Thus if $\delta_7(v(\mathbf{x})) = 0$ and $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v(\mathbf{x})) = 0$, then $r_7(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, a contradiction. Hence $\delta_7(v(\mathbf{x})) \neq 0$, and the rest of (1) follows from Proposition 6.5.

For (2), assume $\mathbf{x} \in h(\mathbb{R}^6) \cap \mathcal{Z}(r_7)$. Dividing $g_2^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v)$ by $\delta_7(v)$ gives

$$(6.19) \quad g_2^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v) = -(u + x_4)\delta_7(v) - 2\Delta(\mathbf{x})v - x_2 + x_4x_5,$$

where $\Delta(\mathbf{x}) := x_3 - x_4x_6$. Set $\hat{v}(\mathbf{x}) := (-x_2 + x_4x_5)/(2\Delta(\mathbf{x}))$. Then, substituting $v = \hat{v}(\mathbf{x})$ into $\delta_7(v)$, we obtain

$$(6.20) \quad \delta_7(\hat{v}(\mathbf{x})) = \frac{r_7(\mathbf{x})}{4\Delta(\mathbf{x})^2} = 0,$$

since $r_7(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. So $g_2^{\mathbf{x}}(u, \hat{v}(\mathbf{x})) = 0$ for any u . Using Lemma B.2 and Proposition B.3, one concludes $\dim_H(\mathcal{E}_2) < 6$. \square

Corollary 6.14. *Fix $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{E_7})$. If $r_7(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$, then there exist $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $h(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) = \mathbf{x}$.*

Proof. The condition $\Theta_{E_7}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ implies the existence of $v \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$. Since $r_7(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$, we have $\delta_7(v) \neq 0$. Then we can set $u \in \mathbb{C}$ by (6.7). Since $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$, this u also satisfy (6.6). This implies the existence of $u \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $h(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) = \mathbf{x}$. \square

Theorem 6.15. *The polynomial $\Theta_{E_7}(\mathbf{x})$ is a main-analytic function on $h(\mathbb{R}^6)$.*

This provides an alternative proof of the main-analyticity of $h(\mathbb{R}^6)$, without invoking Appendix D.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{Z}(r_7) \cup \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_7})$, (6.16) yields

$$\mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_7}) \setminus (\mathcal{E}_0 \cup \mathcal{E}_1) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) \setminus (\mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{Z}(r_7)) = \Xi_{E_7} \setminus \mathcal{Z}(r_7) \subset h(\mathbb{R}^6).$$

Hence $\dim_H(\mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_7}) \setminus h(\mathbb{R}^6)) < 6$. On the other hand, by (2) of Theorem 6.13,

$$(6.21) \quad h(\mathbb{R}^6) \subset \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_7}) \cup \mathcal{E}_2, \quad \dim_H(\mathcal{E}_2) < 6.$$

If $h^{-1}(\mathcal{E}_2)$ had an interior point, the image would have dimension 6, a contradiction. A limiting argument as in the E_6 case then shows $\mathcal{E}_2 \subset \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_7})$, proving the claim. \square

Remark 6.16. By Proposition 6.5, we have $h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^6 \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\delta_7)) \subset \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{E_7})$. Since $h^{\mathbb{C}}$ is proper, we obtain

$$(6.22) \quad h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^6) = h^{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{\mathbb{C}^6 \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\delta_7)}) = \overline{h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^6 \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\delta_7))} \subset \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{E_7}).$$

Let $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{E_7}) \cap \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(r_7)$. Since the complex dimension of \mathcal{L} is less than 6 by the same reason as in the proof of Corollary 6.11, \mathcal{L} has no interior point. On the other hand, Corollary 6.14 implies that $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{E_7}) \setminus \mathcal{L} \subset h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^6)$. By the properness of $h^{\mathbb{C}}$, it follows that

$$(6.23) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{E_7}) = \overline{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{E_7}) \setminus \mathcal{L}} \subset \overline{h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^6)} = h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^6).$$

Combining (6.22) and (6.23), we have $h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^6) = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{E_7}) \subset \mathbb{C}^7$.

Since $h^{\mathbb{C}}$ is an immersion on an open dense subset of \mathbb{C}^6 , the hypersurface $h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^6)$ is smooth on an open dense subset. As Θ_{E_7} is an irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{Q} (cf. Proposition 6.10), it coincides with the defining polynomial of $h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^6)$. In particular, Θ_{E_7} coincides with the discriminant polynomial of type E_7 , which is known to be irreducible over \mathbb{C} .

Assigning weights $(9, 7, 6, 5, 3, 4, 2)$ to (x_0, \dots, x_6) , we obtain:

- $r_7(\mathbf{x})$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of degree 14,
- $\text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}})$ is weighted homogeneous of degree 91,
- the main-analytic function Θ_{E_7} is weighted homogeneous of degree 63.

7. SINGULARITIES OF TYPE E_8

Since this is parallel to Sections 5 and 6, we only record the main points. The standard map $h := h_{E_8} : \mathbb{R}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^8$ of an E_8 -singular point is defined by

$$h(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) := (h_0(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3), h_1(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3), h_2(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3), \mathbf{x}_3),$$

where $\mathbf{x}_3 := (x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7)$ is the subvector of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^8$, and

$$(7.1) \quad h_0(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) := 2u^3 + 4v^5 + x_3v^2 + 2x_4v^3 + uv\delta_8(v),$$

$$(7.2) \quad h_1(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) := -3u^2 - x_5v - x_6v^2 - x_7v^3,$$

$$(7.3) \quad h_2(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) := -5v^4 - 2x_3v - 3x_4v^2 - u\delta_8(v),$$

$$(7.4) \quad \delta_8(v) := 3x_7v^2 + 2x_6v + x_5.$$

Proposition 7.1. *The image of the standard map h coincides with the set*

$$\mathcal{W}_{E_8} := \left\{ \mathbf{x} := (x_0, \dots, x_7) \in \mathbb{R}^8 ; \text{there exists } (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ such that} \right.$$

$$\left. F(u, v, \mathbf{x}) = F_u(u, v, \mathbf{x}) = F_v(u, v, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \right\},$$

where

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{aligned} F(u, v, \mathbf{x}) := & u^3 + v^5 + x_7uv^3 + x_6uv^2 + x_5uv \\ & + x_4v^3 + x_3v^2 + x_2v + x_1u + x_0. \end{aligned}$$

The following assertion can be easily verified:

Proposition 7.2. *The map h is a 7-dimensional real analytic map.*

Set $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} and define \hat{h} as in the previous sections:

Proposition 7.3. *The map $\hat{h} : \mathbb{K}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^8$ is proper. Moreover, for each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^8$, the inverse image $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ is finite. Furthermore, $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}) = \{o\}$.*

Proof. The assertion $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}) = \{o\}$ is immediate. Fix $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_7) \in \mathbb{K}^8$ and write $x_i = h_i(u, v, \mathbf{x}_5)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. Recall that

$$(7.6) \quad x_1 = h_1(u, v, \mathbf{x}_5) = -3u^2 - x_5v - x_6v^2 - x_7v^3,$$

$$(7.7) \quad x_2 = h_2(u, v, \mathbf{x}_5) = -5v^4 - 2x_3v - 3x_4v^2 - u\delta_8(v),$$

where $\delta_8(v) := x_5 + 2x_6v + 3x_7v^2$.

If $\delta_8(v) = 0$, then (7.7) reduces to $x_2 = -5v^4 - 2x_3v - 3x_4v^2$, so v is a root of a quartic equation over \mathbb{K} . Hence the possibilities for v are finite, and then (7.6) shows that the possibilities for u are also finite.

Assume now $\delta_8(v) \neq 0$. From (7.6) and (7.7) we obtain

$$(7.8) \quad u^2 = \frac{-x_1 - x_5v - x_6v^2 - x_7v^3}{3}, \quad u = \frac{-5v^4 - 3x_4v^2 - 2x_3v - x_2}{\delta_8(v)}.$$

Eliminating u between (7.8) yields a polynomial equation $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$, where

$$(7.9) \quad \begin{aligned} A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) := & 75v^8 + 9x_7^3v^7 + 3(30x_4 + 7x_6x_7^2)v^6 \\ & + (60x_3 + x_7(15x_5x_7 + 16x_6^2))v^5 \\ & + (9x_1x_7^2 + 30x_2 + 27x_4^2 + 22x_5x_6x_7 + 4x_6^3)v^4 \\ & + (12x_1x_6x_7 + 36x_3x_4 + 7x_5^2x_7 + 8x_5x_6^2)v^3 \\ & + (6x_1x_5x_7 + 4x_1x_6^2 + 18x_2x_4 + 12x_3^2 + 5x_5^2x_6)v^2 \\ & + (4x_1x_5x_6 + 12x_2x_3 + x_5^3)v + x_1x_5^2 + 3x_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the leading term of $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v)$ is $75v^8$, v has finitely many possibilities. So, (7.8) gives finitely many possibilities for u . Therefore, for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^8$, the inverse image $\hat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ is finite.

The properness of the map \hat{h} can be proved by imitating the case of E_6 and E_7 . \square

Substituting (7.8) into the equation $h_0(v, \mathbf{x}_3) = x_0$ (cf. (7.1)), we obtain the equation $B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) := & x_7v^7 + 4x_6v^6 + (7x_5 - 3x_4x_7)v^5 + 5(2x_1 - x_3x_7)v^4 \\ & + (-7x_2x_7 - 2x_3x_6 + 3x_4x_5)v^3 \\ & + (-9x_0x_7 + 6x_1x_4 - 4x_2x_6 + x_3x_5)v^2 \\ & + (-6x_0x_6 + 4x_1x_3 - x_2x_5)v - 3x_0x_5 + 2x_1x_2. \end{aligned}$$

As in the cases of E_6 and E_7 , we set

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}) := \text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}}), \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}) := \text{Psc}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}}).$$

With $g_i^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v) := x_i - h_i(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$), like as the cases of E_6 and E_7 , we have the following two assertions:

Proposition 7.4. For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^8$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{K}$, $\hat{h}(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) = \mathbf{x}$ is equivalent to $g_i^{\mathbf{x}}(u, v) = 0$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$).

Proposition 7.5. Fix $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^8$. If $\mathbf{x} \in \hat{h}(\mathbb{K}^7)$, then there exists $v \in \mathbb{K}$ with $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = B^{\mathbf{x}}(v) = 0$. Conversely, the existence of $v \in \mathbb{K}$ satisfying $\delta_8(v) \neq 0$ implies $\mathbf{x} \in \hat{h}(\mathbb{K}^7)$.

Corollary 7.6. The image $h(\mathbb{R}^7)$ (resp. $h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^7)$) is a subset of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$ (resp. $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{R})$).

The resultant $r_8(\mathbf{x}) := \text{Res}_v(g_2^{\mathbf{x}}, \delta_8)$ can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned} r_8(\mathbf{x}) = & 25x_5^4 - 90x_4x_7x_5^3 \\ & + (60x_4x_6^2 + 81x_4^2x_7^2 + 180x_3x_6x_7 + 90x_2x_7^2)x_5^2 \\ & + (-80x_3x_6^3 - 240x_2x_6^2x_7 - 108x_3x_4x_6x_7^2 + 108x_3^2x_7^3 - 162x_2x_4x_7^3)x_5 \\ & + x_2(80x_6^4 + 108x_4x_6^2x_7^2 - 108x_3x_6x_7^3 + 81x_2x_7^4). \end{aligned}$$

Set $B_0^{\mathbf{x}} := x_0(dB^{\mathbf{x}}/dx_0)$ (cf. (5.21)). Then we have

$$B_0^{\mathbf{x}}(v) := -3x_0x_5 - 6x_0x_6v - 9x_0x_7v^2.$$

By Remark 5.11, $\text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}})$ and $\text{Psc}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}})$ are non-zero constant multiples of $\text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B_0^{\mathbf{x}})$ and $\text{Psc}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B_0^{\mathbf{x}})$, respectively. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B_0^{\mathbf{x}}) &= 3^{10}r_8(\mathbf{x})^2x_0^8 + (\text{lower-order terms in } x_0), \\ \text{Psc}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B_0^{\mathbf{x}}) &= -2^23^8p_1(\mathbf{x})p_2(\mathbf{x})x_0^7 + (\text{lower-order terms in } x_0), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(\mathbf{x}) &= 20x_6^3 + (27x_4x_7^2 - 30x_5x_7)x_6 - 27x_3x_7^3, \\ p_2(\mathbf{x}) &= 40x_6^4 + (54x_4x_7^2 - 120x_5x_7)x_6^2 - 54x_3x_7^3x_6 \\ &+ (45x_5^2x_7^2 - 81x_4x_5x_7^3 + 81x_2x_7^4). \end{aligned}$$

It holds that

$$\text{Res}_{x_6}(r_8, p_i) \Big|_{x_5=1, x_7=0} \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Hence each

$$\mathcal{T}_i := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^8 ; r_8(\mathbf{x}) = p_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0\} \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

has $\dim_H(\mathcal{T}_i) < 7$, so $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2$ also satisfies $\dim_H(\mathcal{T}) < 7$.

Theorem 7.7. $\mathcal{E}_1 := \mathcal{T} \cup (\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{S}))$ has $\dim_H(\mathcal{E}_1) < 7$, and $\Xi_{E_8} := \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) \setminus \mathcal{E}_1$ is open in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$. Moreover, for each $\mathbf{x} \in \Xi_{E_8}$ there is a unique $v(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$ with $A^{\mathbf{x}}(v(\mathbf{x})) = B^{\mathbf{x}}(v(\mathbf{x})) = 0$.

Proof. Set $\xi_1 := (x_0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1)$. Using *Mathematica*, we have

$$\mathcal{R}(\xi_1) \equiv 3(5 + 3x_0 + 6x_0^2 + x_0^4)(4 + 3x_0^2 + 2x_0^3 + x_0^4) \pmod{7}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}(\xi_1) \equiv 3 + 2x_0 + 3x_0^2 + x_0^3 + 3x_0^4 + 4x_0^5 + x_0^6 \pmod{7}.$$

Moreover, the resultant of the two polynomials $\mathcal{R}(\xi_1)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\xi_1)$ in x_0 is equal to 1 modulo 7. Thus, by Corollary B.4 in the appendix, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{L} := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^8 \setminus \mathcal{T} ; \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$$

has dimension less than 7. Hence $Z := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^8 ; \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}) = 0\} \subset \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{T}$, which implies that Z has dimension less than 7. Thus the first claim follows, and Ξ_{E_8} is an open subset of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$. Fix $\mathbf{x} \in \Xi_{E_8}$. Then, as in the cases of E_6 and E_7 , there exists a unique $v \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $A^\mathbf{x}(v) = B^\mathbf{x}(v) = 0$. We denote this unique solution by $v(\mathbf{x})$. This is exactly the $v(\mathbf{x})$ claimed in the theorem. \square

As in Corollaries 5.8 and 6.9, we obtain the following:

Corollary 7.8. *$h(\mathbb{R}^7)$ is a global main-analytic set of \mathbb{R}^8 .*

We next show the following:

Theorem 7.9. *The polynomial $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})$ is divisible by $r_8(\mathbf{x})^2$, and $\Theta_{E_8}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})/r_8(\mathbf{x})^2$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .*

Proof. By using *Mathematica*, we computed $\Theta_{E_8}(\mathbf{x}) = \text{Res}_v(A^\mathbf{x}(v), B^\mathbf{x}(v))$. The resulting expression occupies about 13 megabytes when stored as a file, and the computation itself required approximately 7.5 hours on a standard laptop. From this explicit output we verified that $\Theta_{E_8}(\mathbf{x})$ is divisible by $r_8(\mathbf{x})^2$. Moreover, the quotient $\Theta_{E_8}(\mathbf{x})/r_8(\mathbf{x})^2$ is checked to be irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . \square

Corollary 7.10. $\dim_H(\mathcal{Z}(r_8) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_8})) < 7$.

Remark 7.11. Even without assuming the irreducibility of Θ_{E_8} , this statement can be verified by applying Corollary B.4, taking $a := r_8$ and $b := \Theta_{E_8}$ as polynomials in x_5 . For instance, with $p = 7$, one may consider the sampling point $(x_0, \dots, x_7) = (0, 1, 0, 1, 1, x_5, 1, 0)$.

The following assertion is an analogue of Theorems 5.12 and 6.13.

Theorem 7.12. *Fix $\mathbf{x} \in \Xi_{E_8}$.*

- (1) *If $r_8(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$, then $\delta_8(v(\mathbf{x})) \neq 0$ and there exists $u \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $h(u, v(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}_3) = \mathbf{x}$. In particular, $\Xi_{E_8} \setminus \mathcal{Z}(r_8) \subset h(\mathbb{R}^7)$.*
- (2) *If $\mathbf{x} \in h(\mathbb{R}^7) \cap \mathcal{Z}(r_8)$, then $\delta_8(v(\mathbf{x})) = 0$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}_2 := h(\mathbb{R}^7) \cap \mathcal{Z}(r_8)$ has $\dim_H(\mathcal{E}_2) < 7$.*

Proof. All symbolic computations were carried out with *Mathematica*. One finds

$$\text{Res}_v(A^\mathbf{x}, \delta_8) = 9r_8(\mathbf{x})^2, \quad \text{Res}_v(B^\mathbf{x}, \delta_8) = 4x_7 J(\mathbf{x}) r_8(\mathbf{x}),$$

where J is a certain polynomial. So $\delta_8(v(\mathbf{x})) = 0$ and $A^\mathbf{x}(v(\mathbf{x})) = 0$ would force $r_8(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, proving (1). For (2), the reconstruction of $\hat{v}(\mathbf{x})$ via division of $g_2^\mathbf{x}$ by δ_8 proceeds exactly as in the E_7 case, with the dimension bounds < 7 obtained by Lemma B.2 and Corollary B.4. \square

By the same argument as in the proof of Corollary 6.14, we obtain the following.

Corollary 7.13. *Fix $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{C}(\Theta_{E_8})$. If $r_8(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$, then there exist $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $h(u, v, \mathbf{x}_3) = \mathbf{x}$.*

Theorem 7.14. *The polynomial $\Theta_{E_8}(\mathbf{x})$ is a main-analytic function of $h(\mathbb{R}^7)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{Z}(r_8) \cup \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_8})$, we get

$$\mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_8}) \setminus (\mathcal{E}_0 \cup \mathcal{E}_1) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) \setminus (\mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{Z}(r_8)) = \Xi_{E_8} \setminus \mathcal{Z}(r_8) \subset h(\mathbb{R}^7).$$

Hence $\dim_H(\mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_8}) \setminus h(\mathbb{R}^7)) < 7$. Combined with Corollary 6.6 and Theorem 7.12, the standard limiting argument (as in E_7) shows $h(\mathbb{R}^7) \subset \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_8}) \cup \mathcal{E}_2$ with $\dim_H(\mathcal{E}_2) < 7$ and $\mathcal{E}_2 \subset \mathcal{Z}(\Theta_{E_8})$. \square

Remark 7.15. As in the cases of E_6 and E_7 , we have $h^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^7) = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta_{E_8}) \subset \mathbb{C}^8$. Since $h^{\mathbb{C}}$ is an immersion on an open dense subset of \mathbb{C}^7 , the same reasoning as in the E_6 and E_7 cases shows that Θ_{E_8} coincides with the discriminant polynomial of type E_8 up to a nonzero scalar, which is known to be irreducible over \mathbb{C} .

Assigning weights $(15, 10, 12, 9, 6, 7, 4, 1)$ to (x_0, \dots, x_7) , we obtain:

- $r_8(\mathbf{x})$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of degree 28,
- $\text{Res}_v(A^{\mathbf{x}}, B^{\mathbf{x}})$ is weighted homogeneous of degree 176,
- the discriminant Θ_{E_8} is weighted homogeneous of degree 120.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Professors Goulwen Fichou, Toshizumi Fukui, Atsufumi Honda, Goo Ishikawa, Satoshi Koike and Toru Ohmoto for valuable comments and for fruitful discussions.

APPENDIX A. RESULTANTS OF TWO POLYNOMIALS

Let $a(t), b(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ be nonzero polynomials of degrees $n, m \geq 1$. We denote by $\text{Res}_t(a, b)$ the resultant and by $\text{Psc}_t(a, b)$ the first principal subresultant coefficient (cf. (2.2)), both defined via Sylvester-type matrices. It is classical that if $a_0 b_0 \neq 0$, then

- (1) $\text{Res}_t(a, b) = 0$ holds if and only if a, b have a common root in \mathbb{C} ,
- (2) $\text{Res}_t(a, b) = \text{Psc}_t^{(1)}(a, b) = 0$ if and only if a, b have at least two common roots in \mathbb{C} .

The same conclusions remain valid whenever $(a_0, b_0) \neq (0, 0)$: if, say, $b_0 = 0$, then

$$\text{Res}_t(a, b) = a_0 \text{Res}_t(a, \hat{b}), \quad \text{Psc}_t(a, b) = a_0 \text{Psc}_t(a, \hat{b}),$$

where \hat{b} is obtained by removing the highest vanishing coefficients of b . Thus the claim follows by induction.

APPENDIX B. ESTIMATING THE DIMENSION OF COMMON ZERO SETS OF TWO POLYNOMIALS

We begin with the following fundamental fact.

Proposition B.1. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be nonzero polynomials. If a and b have no nontrivial common factor in $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then the real zero set $\mathcal{Z}(a) \cap \mathcal{Z}(b) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has dimension strictly less than $n - 1$.*

In this appendix, we present a more efficient method for estimating the dimension of a common zero set by focusing on a single variable and employing the resultant with respect to that variable.

Lemma B.2. *Let*

$$a(t, \mathbf{x}) = \alpha(\mathbf{x})t^j + (\text{lower terms}) \quad (j \geq 1)$$

be a polynomial belonging to $\mathbb{R}[x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}][t]$, where $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$, and let $b(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}[x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ be nonzero. Then

$$S := \{(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} ; a(t, \mathbf{x}) = b(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0\},$$

$$\tilde{S} := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n ; \exists t \text{ such that } a(t, \mathbf{x}) = b(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0\}$$

are semianalytic and have dimension $< n$.

Proof. For each \mathbf{x} with $b(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ and $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$, the polynomial $t \mapsto a(t, \mathbf{x})$ has finitely many real roots. Hence,

$$\dim_H S \leq \dim_H \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n ; b(\mathbf{x}) = 0\} \leq n - 1.$$

Since the canonical projection $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a Lipschitz map and satisfies $\pi(S) = \tilde{S}$, it follows that $\dim_H \tilde{S} \leq \dim_H S \leq n - 1$. \square

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}[x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}][t]$ with $\deg_t a, \deg_t b \geq 1$ and leading coefficients $\alpha(\mathbf{x}), \beta(\mathbf{x})$. Set $\mathcal{T} := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \beta(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}) := \text{Res}_t(a, b)$.

Proposition B.3. *If there exists $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{c}_0) \neq 0$, then the sets*

$$S := \{(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : a = b = 0, \mathbf{x} \notin \mathcal{T}\},$$

$$\tilde{S} := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{T} : \exists t \text{ such that } a = b = 0\}$$

satisfy $\dim_H S < n$ and $\dim_H \tilde{S} < n$.

Proof. Since $\tilde{S} \subset \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$ and $\mathcal{R} \not\equiv 0$, we have $\dim_H \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) < n$. By Lemma B.2,

$$S_1 := \{a = \mathcal{R} = 0, \alpha \neq 0\}, \quad S_2 := \{b = \mathcal{R} = 0, \beta \neq 0\}$$

satisfy $\dim_H S_i < n$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since $S \subset S_1 \cup S_2$, we get $\dim_H S < n$ and also $\dim_H \tilde{S} < n$. \square

Corollary B.4. *If the coefficients of a, b are integers and there exist a prime p and $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{c}_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then the same dimension estimate holds.*

In practical applications, one usually chooses the smallest possible prime p to simplify computations.

APPENDIX C. A PROPERTY OF A SYSTEM OF THREE POLYNOMIAL EQUATIONS

Set $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . To investigate properties of the images of the standard maps h_{E_6}, h_{E_7} and h_{E_8} in a unified manner, we consider the following three polynomials

$$(C.1) \quad G_0(u, v) := 2u^3 + \gamma_0(v) + uv\delta(v),$$

$$(C.2) \quad G_1(u, v) := -3u^2 + \gamma_1(v), \quad G_2(u, v) := \gamma_2(v) - u\delta(v),$$

where $\delta(v)$ and $\gamma_i(v)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are polynomials in v with real coefficients.

If there exists $u_0, v_0 \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $G_i(u_0, v_0) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$, then (C.2) can be rewritten as

$$(C.3) \quad 3u_0^2 = \gamma_1(v_0), \quad u_0 = \gamma_2(v_0)/\delta(v_0),$$

where the second equation makes sense whenever $\delta(v_0) \neq 0$. If we set

$$(C.4) \quad \alpha(v) := 3\gamma_2(v)^2 - \delta(v)^2\gamma_1(v) \quad (v \in \mathbb{K}),$$

then $\alpha(v_0) = 0$ holds. Under the assumptions $G_i(u_0, v_0) = 0$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$), (C.1), (C.2) and (C.3) yield

$$(C.5) \quad 0 = G_0(u_0, v_0) = \frac{\gamma_1(v_0)}{3} \frac{\gamma_2(v_0)}{\delta(v_0)} + \gamma_0(v_0) + u_0 v_0 \delta(v_0).$$

So, the polynomial

$$(C.6) \quad \beta(v) := \gamma_1(v)\gamma_2(v) + 3\gamma_0(v)\delta(v) + 3v\gamma_2(v)\delta(v) \quad (v \in \mathbb{K})$$

vanishes at $v = v_0$.

Lemma C.1. *If there exist $u_0, v_0 \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $G_i(u_0, v_0) = 0$ for all $i = 0, 1, 2$ then $\alpha(v_0) = \beta(v_0) = 0$ holds.*

Proof. We have already observed that the assertion holds if $\delta(v_0) \neq 0$. So we consider the case $\delta(v_0) = 0$. Then $G_2(u_0, v_0) = 0$ implies that $\gamma_2(v_0) = 0$, and $\alpha(v_0) = \beta(v_0) = 0$ hold obviously. \square

Conversely, we can show the following:

Proposition C.2. *If $\delta(v_0) \neq 0$ and $\alpha(v_0) = \beta(v_0) = 0$ for some $v_0 \in \mathbb{K}$, then there exists $u_0 \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $G_i(u_0, v_0) = 0$ holds for $i = 0, 1, 2$.*

Proof. Since $\delta(v_0) \neq 0$, we can set $u_0 := \gamma_2(v_0)/\delta(v_0)$, then $G_2(u_0, v_0) = 0$ holds. Moreover, $\alpha(v_0) = 0$ implies $u_0^2 = \gamma_1(v_0)/3$. So we have $G_1(u_0, v_0) = 0$. Then $\beta(v_0) = 0$ implies $G_0(u_0, v_0) = 0$. \square

APPENDIX D. A CRITERION FOR GLOBAL MAIN-ANALYTICITY

To give a criterion for global main-analyticity as mentioned in the introduction, we here recall the following fact:

Fact D.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ($m \leq n$) be a polynomial map. Assume that f is generically injective, that is, there exists a Zariski open dense subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ such that the restriction $f|_U$ is injective. Put $S := f(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and let $\overline{S}^Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ denote the Zariski closure of S . Then the complement $\overline{S}^Z \setminus S$ has (algebraic) dimension strictly less than m .*

This fact follows from standard results in real algebraic geometry (see, for instance, Bochnak–Coste–Roy [2, §2.8 and §3.3]). In our setting, it is obtained as a special case of Coste [3, Corollary 3.19], applied to the regular map $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \overline{S}^Z$, where \mathbb{R}^m is regarded as a nonsingular real algebraic variety.

Proposition D.2. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ($m \leq n$) be a polynomial map. Assume that there exists an open dense subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ such that*

- (1) *f is an immersion on U , i.e. $\text{rank}(df_x) = m$ for all $x \in U$,*
- (2) *$f|_U$ is injective.*

Then $S := f(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is a global main-analytic set. Moreover, one may take a main-analytic function Θ to be a real polynomial on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. By assumption (2), there exists an open dense subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ on which f is injective. Let

$$T := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m ; \exists x' \neq x, f(x) = f(x')\}.$$

Then T is semialgebraic and contained in the nowhere dense set $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus U$, hence $\dim T < m$, where \dim denotes the algebraic dimension of T . In particular, the Zariski closure \overline{T}^Z is a proper algebraic subset of \mathbb{R}^m , and f is generically injective in the sense of Fact D.1.

By the Tarski–Seidenberg theorem, the image $S = f(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is a semialgebraic subset of \mathbb{R}^n , and \overline{S}^Z is a real algebraic set. Since $f|_U$ is an m -dimensional real analytic map, $\dim_H(S) = m$ holds (cf. Proposition 1.4). Since S is semi-algebraic, its Hausdorff dimension coincides with its (algebraic) dimension; thus $\dim S = m$. In particular, the algebraic dimension of \overline{S}^Z also equals m .

There exists a real polynomial Θ defining the algebraic set \overline{S}^Z so that $\mathcal{Z}(\Theta) = \overline{S}^Z \supset S$. Since \overline{S}^Z has (algebraic) dimension m , its Hausdorff dimension also equals m . Hence $\dim_H(S) = \dim_H(\mathcal{Z}(\Theta)) = m$.

Moreover, by Fact D.1, the complement $\overline{S}^Z \setminus S$ has (algebraic) dimension strictly less than m . This set is semialgebraic, so its Hausdorff dimension coincides with its (algebraic) dimension. Therefore

$$\dim_H(\mathcal{Z}(\Theta) \setminus S) = \dim_H(\overline{S}^Z \setminus S) < m = \dim_H(S).$$

So S is a global main-analytic set with Θ as a main-analytic function on \mathbb{R}^n . \square

Remark D.3. If f is a real-analytic map but not a polynomial, then the conclusion of Proposition D.2 may fail. For example, the Osgood map

$$f_O(u, v) := (u, uv, uve^v) \quad (u, v \in \mathbb{R})$$

is injective on its regular set, but its image cannot be globally main-analytic. Indeed, it is a classical fact that any real-analytic function vanishing on $f_O(\mathbb{R}^2)$ near the origin must be identically zero. Hence no nontrivial real-analytic function can have $f_O(\mathbb{R}^2)$ in its zero set.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. I. Arnol'd, *Normal forms of functions near degenerate critical points, the Weyl groups A_k , D_k , E_k and Lagrangian singularities*, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **6** (1972), 254–272.
- [2] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, and M.-F. Roy, *Real Algebraic Geometry*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)*, Vol. 36, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [3] M. Coste, *Real Algebraic Sets*, in: T. Fukui, L. van den Dries, J. Kollar (eds.), *Arc Spaces and Additive Invariants in Real Algebraic and Analytic Geometry*, *Panoramas et Synthèses* 24, Société Mathématique de France, (2007), 109–156.
- [4] S. Fujimori, Y. Kawakami, M. Kokubu, W. Rossman, M. Umehara, K. Yamada and S.-D. Yang, *Unextendability of real analytic map images*, in preparation.
- [5] G. Ishikawa, S. Koike and M. Shiota, *Critical value sets of generic mappings*, *Pacific J. Math.* **114** (1984), 165–174.
- [6] E. Looijenga, *The discriminant of a real simple singularity*, *Compositio Math.* **37** (1978), 51–82.
- [7] K. Saito, *On a linear structure of the quotient variety by a finite reflection group*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **29** (1975/76), 535–579.

- [8] K. Saji, *Criteria for Morin singularities into higher dimension (The theory of singularities of smooth mappings and around it)*, Surikaiseki Kenkyusho Kokyuroku Bessatsu B55 (2016), 205–224.
- [9] L. G. Vega, *A subresultant theory for multivariate polynomials*, Proc. ISSAC '91 (1991), 79–85.

(Kentaro Saji) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KOBE UNIVERSITY, ROKKO, KOBE 657-8501

Email address: saji@math.kobe-u.ac.jp

(Masaaki Umehara) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND COMPUTING SCIENCES, INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE TOKYO, 2-12-1-W8-34, O-OKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 152-8552, JAPAN.

Email address: umehara@comp.isct.ac.jp

(Kotaro Yamada) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE TOKYO, O-OKAYAMA, MEGURO, TOKYO 152-8551, JAPAN

Email address: kotaro@math.sci.isct.ac.jp