

On general Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities involving non-doubling weights in the case of $p = 1$

Toshio Horiuchi

December 29, 2025

Abstract

We study the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities in the case of $p = 1$ and generalize them adopting weight functions $w(|x|)$ on \mathbf{R}^n with $w(t) \in W(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Here $W(\mathbf{R}_+)$ is a general class of weight functions on \mathbf{R}_+ including non-doubling weights like $e^{\pm 1/t}$. *

1 Introduction

The main purpose of the present paper is to study the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities, which are abbreviated as the CKN-type inequalities. The CKN-type inequalities were introduced in [2] as multiplicative interpolation inequalities, but here we refer to the simple weighted Sobolev inequalities. There is a great deal of research in that case alone and we also studied in [5, 6, 9, 3, 4]. Recently in [8, 1, 7], we revisited the CKN-type inequalities in the case that $p > 1$ and established the CKN-type inequalities involving non-doubling weights. Furthermore, the CKN-type inequalities, which differ greatly in critical and non-critical cases, were successfully unified by adopting a new framework. In the present paper we will proceed to study the CKN-type inequalities in the case of $p = 1$.

First we define a class of weight functions $W(\mathbf{R}_+)$ which is a slight modification of the space introduced in [8] to suit our purpose (c.f. Remark 2.2). By $C^{0,1}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ we denote the space of all Lipschitz continuous functions on \mathbf{R}_+ .

Definition 1.1 *Let $\mathbf{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$.*

1. *For $a \in [0, \infty]$ we define*

$$W_a(\mathbf{R}_+) = \{w \in C^{0,1}(\mathbf{R}_+) : w > 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} w(t) = a\} \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$W(\mathbf{R}_+) = \bigcup_{a \in [0, \infty]} W_a(\mathbf{R}_+). \quad (1.2)$$

2. *In particular we set*

$$V(\mathbf{R}_+) = W_0(\mathbf{R}_+) \cup W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+). \quad (1.3)$$

We will study the CKN-type inequalities for weights $w(t) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ mainly in the subsequent. If $w(t) \in W(\mathbf{R}_+) \setminus V(\mathbf{R}_+)$, then $w(t) \in C^{0,1}(\mathbf{R}_+) \cap C([0, \infty))$ and $0 < \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} w(t) < \infty$, hence $w(t)$ behaves tamely as $t \rightarrow +0$.

We introduce a kind of monotone rearrangement of weight functions $w(t) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$.

*2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35J70; Secondary 35J60.

Key words and phrases. the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities, non-doubling weights, the case of $p = 1$
This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 21K03304).

Definition 1.2 1. For $w(t) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$ and $0 < \eta \leq \infty$, we define

$$\varphi_w(t; \eta) = \begin{cases} \inf_{t \leq s \leq \eta} w(s) & (0 \leq t \leq \eta), \\ w(\eta) & (\eta \leq t). \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

Here $\varphi_w \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$ and φ_w is called the largest increasing function with respect to w satisfying $\varphi_w(t; \eta) \leq w(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq \eta$.

2. For $w(t) \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$ and $0 < \eta \leq \infty$, we define

$$\psi_w(t; \eta) = \begin{cases} \inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} w(s) & (0 \leq t \leq \eta), \\ \inf_{0 \leq s \leq \eta} w(s) & (\eta \leq t). \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Here $\psi_w \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$ and ψ_w is called the largest decreasing function with respect to w satisfying $\psi_w(t) \leq w(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq \eta$.

3. For $w(t) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ and $0 < \eta \leq \infty$, we define

$$v_w(t; \eta) = \begin{cases} \varphi_w(t; \eta), & w(t) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+), \\ \psi_w(t; \eta), & w(t) \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+). \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

4. For $w(t) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$, $q \geq 1$ and $0 < \eta \leq \infty$, we define $V_w^q(t; \eta) \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty((0, \eta])$ by

$$V_w^q(t; \eta) = \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}(\varphi_w(t; \eta))^q, & w(t) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+), \\ -\frac{d}{dt}(\psi_w(t; \eta))^q, & w(t) \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+). \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

5. $\varphi_w(t; \eta)$, $\psi_w(t; \eta)$, $v_w(t; \eta)$ and $V_w^q(t; \eta)$ are abbreviated as $\varphi_w(t)$, $\psi_w(t)$, $v_w(t)$ and $V_w^q(t)$ respectively.

Remark 1.1 Since $\varphi_w(t)$ and $\psi_w(t)$ are Lipschitz continuous on \mathbf{R}_+ for $w(t) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$, they are differentiable a.e. on \mathbf{R}_+ . In particular the first order derivatives in the distribution sense $\varphi'_w(t), \psi'_w(t) : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ coincide with those in the classical sense a.e. on \mathbf{R}_+ , i.e.

$$\varphi'_w(t) = D\varphi_w(t) \left(= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (\varphi_w(t+h) - \varphi_w(t)) \right) \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

In particular we see that $\varphi'_w(t), \psi'_w(t) \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty((0, \eta))$.

Then we study inequalities of the following type: For $1 \leq q < \infty$, $0 < \eta \leq \infty$ and $w \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$, there exists a positive number $C = C(q, \eta, w) \geq 1$ such that we have for any $u(x) \in C_c^\infty(B_\eta \setminus \{0\})$

$$\int_{B_\eta} |\nabla u(x)|w(|x|)|x|^{1-n} dx \geq C \left(\int_{B_\eta} |u(x)|^q V_w^q(|x|) |x|^{1-n} dx \right)^{1/q}, \quad (1.8)$$

where $B_\infty = \mathbf{R}^n$ and $B_\eta = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x| < \eta\}$. It is worth saying that the inequality (1.8) does not hold unconditionally, unless $n = 1$ or $q = 1$. In order to study the validity of (1.8) for each $w \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$, we introduce the **non-degenerate condition** (NDC) in Section 3, which controls the behavior of w near $t = 0$, and then we make clear the validity of (1.8) under (NDC) as Theorem 2.2. Roughly speaking, (NDC) assures that $w(t)$ does not behave so badly as $t \rightarrow +0$, and hence the function function $K(r)$ given by Definition (2.2) is bounded away from 0. On the contrary if $\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} K(r) = 0$ is assumed, then by Theorem 2.3 the inequality (1.8) is not valid. In Theorem 2.4 we also characterize a set of weight functions for which (NDC) is violated. The proofs of Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 are given in Section 3, Section 4 and Section 5 respectively.

2 Main results

First we state a result in the one dimensional case which is rather simple.

Theorem 2.1 *Assume that $1 \leq q < \infty$, $0 < \eta \leq +\infty$ and $w(t) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Then, there exists a positive number $C = C(q, \eta, w) \geq 1$ such that for any $u \in C_c^1((0, \eta))$ we have*

$$\int_0^\eta |u'(t)|w(t) dt \geq C \left(\int_0^\eta |u(t)|^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q}. \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover either if $w(t) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$ or if $w(t) \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$, $\eta = \infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) = 0$, then the best constant C in (2.1) equals 1.

Remark 2.1 *If $w(t) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ is monotone, then (2.1) simply becomes*

$$\int_0^\eta |u'(t)|w(t) dt \geq C \left(\int_0^\eta |u(t)|^q |(w(t)^q)'| dt \right)^{1/q}, \quad u \in C_c^1((0, \eta)). \quad (2.2)$$

Theorem 2.1 can be derived from classical one-dimensional inequalities with some modifications, but for the sake of self-completion we give a direct proof in §3 (c.f.[10]). In order to proceed to the the n -dimensional case, let us prepare more notations.

Definition 2.1 *For a locally Lipschitz continuous $v(r)$ on \mathbf{R}_+ we define the followings:*

$$Z[v] = \{r \in \mathbf{R}_+ : v \text{ is differentiable at } r \text{ and } v'(r) \neq 0\}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$Z_0[v] = \{r \in \mathbf{R}_+ : v \text{ is differentiable at } r \text{ and } v'(r) = 0\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Here, local Lipschitz continuousness of $v(t)$ means that $v(t)$ is Lipschitz continuous over each compact set of \mathbf{R}_+ , hence $v(t)$ is differentiable a.e. on \mathbf{R}_+ .

Definition 2.2 *For $w(r) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ we set*

$$K(r) = \left| \frac{w(r)}{rw'(r)} \right| \quad (r \in Z[v_w]), \quad (2.5)$$

where v_w is defined by Definition 1.2,3.

Now we introduce **the non-degenerate condition** (NDC) on $K(r)$ which assures that $K(r)$ is bounded away from 0 as $r \rightarrow +0$.

Definition 2.3 (the non-degenerate condition) *Let $\eta > 0$ and $w \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$. A weight function w is said to satisfy the non-degenerate condition (NDC) if*

$$C_0 := \inf_{r \in (0, \eta] \cap Z[v_w]} K(r) > 0. \quad (\text{NDC})$$

Then we state the n -dimensional CKN-type inequality as a natural extension of Theorem 2.1 and the classical CKN-type inequalities in Appendix, and the proof will be given in §4.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $n > 1$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, $0 \leq 1 - 1/q \leq 1/n$ and $\eta > 0$. Assume that $w(r) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Moreover assume that if $1 < q$, $K(r)$ satisfies (NDC). Then, there exists a positive number $C = C(q, \eta, w)$ such that we have for any $u \in C_c^\infty(B_\eta \setminus \{0\})$*

$$\int_{B_\eta} |\nabla u(x)|w(|x|)|x|^{1-n} dx \geq C \left(\int_{B_\eta} |u(x)|^q V_w^q(|x|) |x|^{1-n} dx \right)^{1/q}. \quad (2.6)$$

Conversely we have the following which is proved in §5:

Theorem 2.3 *Let $n > 1$, $1 < q < \infty$, $0 \leq 1 - 1/q \leq 1/n$ and $\eta > 0$. Assume that $w(r) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$. If*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \sup_{r \in (0, \varepsilon] \cap Z[v_w]} K(r) = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

then the inequality (2.6) does not hold.

Roughly speaking, either if w vanishes infinitely at the origin or if w blows up infinitely at the origin, then (NDC) is violated. To explain more accurately, we introduce the following notion.

Definition 2.4 *For $w(r) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ we define the following:*

1. *For $w(r) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$, $w(r)$ is said to vanish in infinite order at the origin, if and only if for some $C > 0$ and for an arbitrary positive integer m there exists positive number r_m satisfying $r_m \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ such that we have*

$$w(r_m) \leq C(r_m)^m. \quad (2.8)$$

2. *For $w(r) \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$, $w(r)$ is said to blow up at the origin in infinite order, if and only if for some $C > 0$ and for an arbitrary positive integer m there exists a positive number r_m satisfying $r_m \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ such that we have*

$$w(r_m) \geq C(r_m)^{-m}. \quad (2.9)$$

By Definition 1.2 and Remark 1.1 we immediately have the following:

Lemma 2.1

1. *Assume that $w(r) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Then $w(t)$ vanishes in infinite order at the origin, if and only if $\varphi_w(r)$ vanishes in infinite order at the origin.*
2. *Assume that $w(r) \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Then $w(t)$ blows up in infinite order at the origin, if and only if $\varphi_w(r)$ blows up in infinite order at the origin.*

Proof: Assume that $w(r)$ vanishes in infinite order at the origin. Since $\varphi_w(r) \leq w(r)$ for $t \in [0, \eta]$, so $\varphi_w(r)$ does. Conversely assume that $\varphi_w(r)$ vanishes in infinite order at the origin, namely, we have (2.8) for some sequence of positive numbers $\{r_m\}$. Since $\varphi_w(r)$ is a constant on each component $Z_0[\varphi_w]$, we can assume $\varphi_w(r_m) = w(r_m)$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Hence the assertion 1 follows. The assertion 2 can be shown in a similar way. \square

Then we have the following which is proved in §6:

Theorem 2.4 *Let $w(r) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$. If w vanishes in infinite order at the origin or if w blows up in infinite order at the origin, then then (NDC) is violated.*

To make the theorem easier to understand, we present typical examples.

Example 2.1 *Let $\alpha > 0$. When either $w(r) = e^{-r^{-\alpha}} \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$ or $w(r) = e^{r^{-\alpha}} \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$, $K(r) = O(r^\alpha)$ as $r \rightarrow +0$.*

Example 2.2 *Let $1 \leq q < \infty$ and $0 < \eta$. Let $w(r) = r^\gamma$.*

1. *If $\gamma > 0$, then $w(t) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$ and we have $K(r) = 1/\gamma$.*
2. *If $\gamma < 0$, then $w(r) \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$ and we have $K(r) = -1/\gamma$.*

Remark 2.2 1. In [8], we have established the CKN-type inequalities for $p > 1$ with C^1 -weight functions, and they remain valid for weight functions in $W(\mathbf{R}_+)$ defined by (1.1).

2. According to [8], if we define subclasses $P(\mathbf{R}_+)$ and $Q(\mathbf{R}_+)$ by

$$\begin{cases} P(\mathbf{R}_+) = \{w(t) \in W(\mathbf{R}_+) : w(t)^{-1} \notin L^1((0, \eta)) \text{ for some } \eta > 0\}, \\ Q(\mathbf{R}_+) = \{w(t) \in W(\mathbf{R}_+) : w(t)^{-1} \in L^1((0, \eta)) \text{ for any } \eta > 0\}, \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

then, we see that $W(\mathbf{R}_+) = P(\mathbf{R}_+) \cup Q(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Here we have the relations

$$P(\mathbf{R}_+) \subset W_0(\mathbf{R}_+), \quad W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+) \subset W(\mathbf{R}_+) \setminus W_0(\mathbf{R}_+) \subset Q(\mathbf{R}_+) \quad \text{and} \quad W_0(\mathbf{R}_+) \cap Q(\mathbf{R}_+) \neq \emptyset.$$

Remark 2.3 Interestingly the inequality (2.1) can be proved by taking the limit ($p \rightarrow 1 + 0$) in the one-dimensional CKN-type inequalities for $p > 1$ in [8] (Theorem 3.1 with $w^{p-1} = v^p$ ($v \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$)).

3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Without loss of generality we assume that $u(t) \geq 0$. First we consider the case that $w(t) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Recall $V_w^q(t) = \frac{d}{dt}(\varphi_w(t))^q \geq 0$ a.e. in $(0, \eta]$. For $u(t) \in C_c^1((0, \eta))$ we set $f(t) = |u'(t)|$. Noting that $0 \leq u(t) \leq \int_t^\eta f(s) ds$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^\eta |u(t)|^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} &\leq \left(\int_0^\eta \left| \int_t^\eta f(s) ds \right|^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \left(\int_0^\eta \left| \int_0^\eta f(s) V_w^q(t)^{1/q} \chi_{[t, \eta]}(s) ds \right|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \leq \int_0^\eta \left(\int_0^\eta |f(s)|^q V_w^q(t) \chi_{[t, \eta]}(s) dt \right)^{1/q} ds \\ &= \int_0^\eta |f(s)| \left(\int_0^s V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} ds \leq \int_0^\eta |f(s)| (\varphi_w(s)^q - \varphi_w(0)^q)^{1/q} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^\eta |u'(s)| \varphi_w(s) ds \leq \int_0^\eta |u'(s)| w(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have (2.1) with $C \geq 1$.

Secondly we assume that $w \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Recall $V_w^q(t) = -\frac{d}{dt}(\psi_w(t))^q \geq 0$ ($0 < t \leq \eta$). Then by noting that $u(t) \leq \int_0^t f(s) ds$ with $f(t) = |u'(t)|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^\eta |u(t)|^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} &\leq \left(\int_0^\eta \left| \int_0^t f(s) ds \right|^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \left(\int_0^\eta \left| \int_0^\eta f(s) V_w^q(t)^{1/q} \chi_{[0, t]}(s) ds \right|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \leq \int_0^\eta \left(\int_0^\eta |f(s)|^q V_w^q(t) \chi_{[0, t]}(s) dt \right)^{1/q} ds \\ &= \int_0^\eta |f(s)| \left(\int_s^\eta V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} ds \leq \int_0^\eta |f(s)| (\psi_w(s)^q - \psi_w(\eta)^q)^{1/q} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^\eta |f(s)| \psi_w(s) ds \leq \int_0^\eta |f(s)| w(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have (2.1) with $C \geq 1$.

Proof of Optimality: First we assume that $w(t) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$, then we have (2.1). By the previous argument, we also have for an arbitrary measurable function $f(t) \geq 0$

$$C \left(\int_0^\eta \left| \int_t^\eta f(s) ds \right|^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} \leq \int_0^\eta f(s) v_w(s) ds.$$

Now we assume that $\text{supp } f \subset (x, x+h)$ with $x \in (0, \eta) \cap (Z[v_w] \cup Z_0[v_w])$, $0 < h < \eta - x$. Then

$$\int_x^{x+h} f(t)v_w(t) dt \geq C \left(\int_0^x \left(\int_x^{x+h} f(s) ds \right)^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} = C\varphi_w(x) \int_x^{x+h} f(s) ds.$$

Setting $f(t) = \varphi_w(t)^{-1}$ in $(x, x+h)$, we have

$$1 \geq C \frac{\varphi_w(x)}{h} \int_x^{x+h} \varphi_w(s)^{-1} ds.$$

By letting $h \rightarrow 0$ we see $C \leq 1$. This proves the assertion.

Secondly we assume that $\eta = \infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) = 0$. We have for an arbitrary measurable function $f(t) \geq 0$ having a compact support

$$C \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^t f(s) ds \right|^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} \leq \int_0^\infty f(s)v_w(s) ds.$$

Now we assume that $\text{supp } f \subset (x-h, x)$ with $x \in Z[v_w] \cup Z_0[v_w]$ and $0 < h < x$. Then

$$\int_{x-h}^x f(t)v_w(t) dt \geq C \left(\int_x^\infty \left(\int_{x-h}^x f(s) ds \right)^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} = C\psi_w(x) \int_{x-h}^x f(s) ds.$$

Setting $f(t) = \psi_w(t)^{-1}$ in $(x-h, x)$, we have

$$1 \geq C \frac{\psi_w(x)}{h} \int_{x-h}^x \psi_w(s)^{-1} ds.$$

By letting $h \rightarrow 0$ we see $C \leq 1$. This proves the assertion. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 2.2

Assume that $w(t) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$, $0 < \eta < \infty$ and $0 \leq 1 - 1/q \leq 1/n$. By μ_1 we denote (1-dimensional) Lebesgue measure. Then we prepare the following.

Lemma 4.1 *Assume that $w(t) \in V\mathbf{R}_+$.*

1. *We have for an arbitrary compact set $K \subset \mathbf{R}_+$*

$$\mu_1(\mathbf{R}_+ \setminus (Z(v_w) \cup Z_0(v_w))) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_1(v_w(Z_0(v_w)) \cap K) = 0.$$

2. *We have $v_w(r) = w(r)$ for all $r \in Z[v_w]$. In particular we have $v'_w(r) = w'(r)$ for all $r \in Z[v_w]$.*

3. *Let $\tilde{\eta} = v_w(\eta)$. Then, $\rho = v_w(r)$ is invertible on $(0, \eta) \setminus Z_0[v_w]$ and the inverse mapping $v_w^{-1} : \rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}) \setminus v_w(Z_0[v_w]) \mapsto r \in (0, \eta) \setminus Z_0[v_w]$ is differentiable a.e. to obtain $(v_w^{-1})'(\rho) = 1/v'_w(r)$.*

Proof: 1. From Remark 1.1 for $w(r) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ both $\varphi_w(r)$ and $\psi_w(r)$ are monotone continuous piecewise C^1 function on \mathbf{R}_+ . Hence $v_w(r)$ is a locally Lipschitz continuous function on \mathbf{R}_+ and is differentiable a.e. on \mathbf{R}_+ . In particular we have $\mu_1(\mathbf{R}_+ \setminus (Z[v_w] \cup Z_0[v_w])) = 0$. Moreover we have for an arbitrary Borel set $A \subset (0, \infty)$

$$\mu_1(v_w(A) \cap K) \leq \int_{A \cap (v_w)^{-1}(K)} |v'_w(r)| dr.$$

Here, $v_w(A) = \{v_w(r) : r \in A\}$ and $(v_w)^{-1}(K) = \{r : v_w(r) \in K\}$. Particularly we have

$$\mu_1(v_w(Z_0[v_w]) \cap K) \leq \int_{Z_0[v_w] \cap (v_w)^{-1}(K)} |v'_w(r)| dr = \int_{Z_0[v_w] \cap (v_w)^{-1}(K)} |Dv_w(r)| dr = 0.$$

2. This follows direct from Definition 1.2.

3. $v_w(r)$ is monotone and $v'_w(r) \neq 0$ over $(0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$, hence the assertion follows. \square

We use a polar coordinate system $x = r\omega$ for $r = |x|$ and $\omega \in S^{n-1}$. By $\Delta_{S^{n-1}}$ we denote the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a unit sphere S^{n-1} , and by dS we denote surface elements on S^{n-1} . Then a gradient operator Λ on S^{n-1} is defined by

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} (-\Delta_{S^{n-1}} \xi_1) \xi_2 dS = \int_{S^{n-1}} \Lambda \xi_1 \cdot \Lambda \xi_2 dS \quad \text{for } \xi_1, \xi_2 \in C^2(S^{n-1}). \quad (4.1)$$

Here we note that

$$\Delta \xi_1 = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \partial_r (r^{n-1} \partial_r \xi_1) + \frac{1}{r^2} \Delta_{S^{n-1}} \xi_1, \quad |\nabla \xi_1|^2 = |\partial_r \xi_1|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} |\Lambda \xi_1|^2, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\partial_r \xi_1(x) = x/|x| \cdot \nabla \xi_1(x)$. By a polar coordinate system, the inequality (2.6) is transformed to the following: There exists a positive number $C = C(q, \eta, w)$ such that we have for any $u(x) = u(r\omega) \in C_c^\infty(B_\eta \setminus \{0\})$

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^\eta \left((\partial_r u)^2 + \frac{(\Lambda u)^2}{r^2} \right)^{1/2} w(r) dr \geq C \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^\eta |u|^q V_w^q(r) dr \right)^{1/q}. \quad (4.3)$$

Define a change of variables in harmony with subclasses as follows:

1. If $w(r) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$, then we set $v_w(r) = \rho$, $(0 < r \leq \eta)$.
2. If $w(r) \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$, then we set $v_w(r) = 1/\rho$, $(0 < r \leq \eta)$.

First we consider the case 1 to show (4.3). From Lemma 4.1, $v_w(r)$ is invertible on $(0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$. We employ a polar coordinate system $x = r\omega$ for $r = |x|$ and $\omega \in S^{n-1}$.

By the change of variable $v_w(r) = \rho$ for $r \in (0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$, we have for $u(r\omega) \in C_c^\infty(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$

$$\int_0^\eta |u(r\omega)|^q V_w^q(r) dr = \int_{(0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]} |u(r\omega)|^q \frac{d}{dr} (v_w(r))^q dr = \int_{(0, \tilde{\eta}] \setminus v_w(Z_0[v_w])} |U(\rho\omega)|^q d(\rho^q)$$

where $U(\rho\omega) = u(v_w^{-1}(\rho)\omega) \in C(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$ for $\rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}] \setminus v_w(Z_0[v_w])$ and $v_w(\eta) = \tilde{\eta}$.

Since $U(\rho\omega)$ is differentiable a.e. on $\{(\rho, \omega) : \rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}] \setminus v_w(Z_0[v_w]), \omega \in S^{n-1}\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\eta \left((\partial_r u)^2 + \frac{(\Lambda u)^2}{r^2} \right)^{1/2} w(r) dr &\geq \int_{(0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]} \left((\partial_r u)^2 + \frac{(\Lambda u)^2}{r^2} \right)^{1/2} v_w(r) dr \\ &= \int_{(0, \tilde{\eta}] \setminus v_w(Z_0[v_w])} \left((\partial_\rho U)^2 + K(r)^2 \frac{(\Lambda U)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho d\rho, \end{aligned}$$

where $r = v_w^{-1}(\rho)$ for $\rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}] \setminus v_w(Z_0[v_w])$ and $K(r)$ is given by

$$K(r) = \left| \frac{v_w(r)}{r v'_w(r)} \right| = \left| \frac{w(r)}{r w'(r)} \right| \quad (r \in (0, \eta] \cap Z(v_w)). \quad (4.4)$$

Since $\mu_1((0, \eta] \setminus (Z(v_w) \cup Z_0(v_w))) = 0$ and $\mu_1((0, \tilde{\eta}] \cap v_w(Z_0[v_w]))) = 0$ hold, together with a density argument w.r.t. $U(y)$ in $C_c^\infty(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$, the inequality (4.3) is reduced to the following: For $U(y) = U(\rho\omega) \in C_c^\infty(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} \left((\partial_\rho U)^2 + H(\rho)^2 \frac{(\Lambda U)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho d\rho \geq C \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |U|^q d(\rho^q) \right)^{1/q}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $\tilde{\eta} = v_w(\eta)$ and

$$H(\rho) = K(v_w^{-1}(\rho)) = \left| \frac{\rho (v_w^{-1})'(\rho)}{v_w^{-1}(\rho)} \right| \quad \text{for } \rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}] \cap v_w(Z[v_w]). \quad (4.6)$$

If $q = 1$ holds, then (4.5) follows direct from the classical Hardy inequality, hence we assume that $q > 1$. From (NDC), the left hand side of (4.5) is estimated from below in the following way. For $\rho = |y|$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\tilde{\eta}}} \left((\partial_\rho U)^2 + H(\rho)^2 \frac{(\Lambda U)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{2-n} dy &\geq \min(C_0, 1) \int_{B_{\tilde{\eta}}} \left((\partial_\rho U)^2 + \frac{(\Lambda U)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{2-n} dy \\ &= \min(C_0, 1) \int_{B_{\tilde{\eta}}} |\nabla_y U| \rho^{2-n} dy \\ &\geq \min(C_0, 1) S^{1,q;1} \left(\int_{B_{\tilde{\eta}}} |U|^q \rho^{q-n} dy \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used the CKN type inequality (7.1) with $\gamma = 1$. This proves (4.5) with $C = \min(C_0, 1) S^{1,q;1} q^{-1/q}$.

Secondly we consider the case 2. By the change of variable $v_w(r) = 1/\rho$ for $r \in (0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$, we have for $u(r\omega) \in C_c^\infty(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$

$$\int_0^\eta |u(r\omega)|^q V_w^q(r) dr = - \int_{(0,\eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]} |u(r\omega)|^q \frac{d}{dr} (v_w(r))^q dr = \int_{(0,\tilde{\eta}] \setminus \widetilde{v_w}(Z_0[v_w])} |U(\rho\omega)|^q d(\rho^{-q})$$

where $\widetilde{v_w} = 1/v_w$, $U(\rho\omega) = u(v_w^{-1}(1/\rho)\omega) \in C(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$ for $\rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}] \setminus \widetilde{v_w}(Z_0[v_w])$ and $\tilde{\eta} = \widetilde{v_w}(\eta)$ ($= 1/v_w(\eta)$). Since $U(\rho\omega)$ is differentiable a.e. on $\{(\rho, \omega) : \rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}] \setminus \widetilde{v_w}(Z_0[v_w]), \omega \in S^{n-1}\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\eta \left((\partial_r u)^2 + \frac{(\Lambda u)^2}{r^2} \right)^{1/2} w(r) dr &\geq \int_{(0,\eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]} \left((\partial_r u)^2 + \frac{(\Lambda u)^2}{r^2} \right)^{1/2} v_w(r) dr \\ &= \int_{(0,\tilde{\eta}] \setminus \widetilde{v_w}(Z_0[v_w])} \left((\partial_\rho U)^2 + K(r)^2 \frac{(\Lambda U)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{-1} d\rho, \end{aligned}$$

where $r = v_w^{-1}(1/\rho)$ for $\rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}] \setminus \widetilde{v_w}(Z_0[v_w])$ and $K(r)$ is given by (4.4). Since $\mu_1((0, \eta] \setminus (Z(v_w) \cup Z_0(v_w))) = 0$ and $\mu_1((0, \tilde{\eta}] \cap \widetilde{v_w}(Z_0[v_w]))) = 0$ hold, together with a density argument w.r.t. $U(y)$ in $C_c^\infty(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$, the inequality (4.3) is reduced to the following: For $U(y) = U(\rho\omega) \in C_c^\infty(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} \left((\partial_\rho U)^2 + H(\rho)^2 \frac{(\Lambda U)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{-1} d\rho \geq C \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |U|^q d(\rho^{-q}) \right)^{1/q}, \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$H(\rho) = K(v_w^{-1}(1/\rho)) = \left| \frac{(v_w^{-1})'(1/\rho)}{\rho v_w^{-1}(1/\rho)} \right| \quad \text{for } \rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}] \cap \widetilde{v_w}(Z[v_w]) \quad (4.8)$$

As in the previous case, it suffices to show (4.7) for $U(y) = U(\rho\omega) \in C_c^\infty(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$. Again we

assume that $q > 1$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\tilde{\eta}}} \left((\partial_\rho U)^2 + H(\rho)^2 \frac{(\Lambda U)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{-n} dy &\geq \min(C_0, 1) \int_{B_{\tilde{\eta}}} \left((\partial_\rho U)^2 + \frac{(\Lambda U)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{-n} dy \\ &= \min(C_0, 1) \int_{B_{\tilde{\eta}}} |\nabla_y U| \rho^{-n} dy \\ &\geq \min(C_0, 1) S^{1,q;-1} \left(\int_{B_{\tilde{\eta}}} |U|^q \rho^{-q-n} dy \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used the CKN type inequality (7.1) with $\gamma = -1$. This proves (4.7) with $C = \min(C_0, 1) S^{1,q;1} q^{-1/q}$. We note that $S^{1,q;1} = S^{1,q;-1} = S_{1,q} = \omega_n^{1-1/q} q^{1/q}$ holds by Theorem 7.1. Here by ω_n we denote a surface area of an n -dimensional unit ball. \square

Remark 4.1 1. If $C_0 \geq 1$, then $C \geq S^{1,q;1} q^{-1/q}$.

2. If $C_0 \geq 1$ and $0 < \gamma \leq n - 1$, then $C = S^{1,q;1} q^{-1/q} = S^{1,q;-1} q^{-1/q} = S_{1,q} q^{-1/q} = \omega_n^{1-1/q}$ is the best constant. In fact, by Theorem 7.1, $S^{1,q;1} = S^{1,q;-1} = S_{\text{rad}}^{1,q;1} = S_{\text{rad}}^{1,q;-1} = S_{1,q} = \omega_n^{1-1/q} q^{1/q}$ holds. Then one can assume $U \in C_c^\infty(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})_{\text{rad}}$ so that we have $\Lambda U \equiv 0$. Therefore the assertion is now clear.

5 Proof of Theorem 2.3

Proof: Case1. First we assume that $w(r) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Then $v_w(r) = \varphi_w(r)$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} v_w(r) = 0$. By a change of variable $v_w(r) = \rho$ for $r \in (0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$, $\tilde{\eta} = v_w(\eta)$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon} = v_w(\varepsilon)$, the inequality (2.6) is equivalent to (4.5). From the assumption (2.7) and (4.6) we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \sup_{r \in (0, \varepsilon] \cap Z[v_w]} K(r) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \sup_{\rho \in (0, \tilde{\varepsilon}] \cap v_w(Z[v_w])} H(\rho) = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Let $B(\omega) \in L^1(S^{n-1})$ with $B(\omega) \notin L^q(S^{n-1})$ ($q > 1$). Let $B_j(\omega)$ be a mollification of B such that $B_j(\omega) \in C^\infty(S^{n-1})$, $B_j \rightarrow B$ in $L^1(S^{n-1})$ but

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} |B_j(\omega)|^q dS \rightarrow \infty \quad (j \rightarrow \infty). \quad (5.2)$$

Let $\{\varepsilon_j\}$ be a sequence of numbers such that $0 < \varepsilon_j < 1$, $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$H(\rho) \cdot \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Lambda B_j(\omega)| dS \leq 1 \quad (\rho \in (0, \varepsilon_j \tilde{\eta}] \cap (v_w(Z[v_w]) \setminus v_w(Z_0[v_w])), j = 1, 2, 3, \dots). \quad (5.3)$$

We take and fix an $A(\rho) \in C_c^\infty((0, \tilde{\eta})) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying

$$\int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |\partial_\rho A(\rho)| \rho d\rho = 1. \quad (5.4)$$

Define

$$A_j(\rho) = \varepsilon_j^{-1} A(\rho/\varepsilon_j) \quad (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots). \quad (5.5)$$

Then, we see that for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$\begin{cases} A_j(\rho) \in C_c^\infty((0, \varepsilon_j \tilde{\eta})), \\ \int_0^{\tilde{\eta} \varepsilon_j} |\partial_\rho A_j(\rho)| \rho d\rho = \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |\partial_\rho A(\rho)| \rho d\rho = 1, \\ \int_0^{\tilde{\eta} \varepsilon_j} |A_j(\rho)|^q \rho^{q-1} d\rho = \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |A(\rho)|^q \rho^{q-1} d\rho < +\infty. \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

Then we define a sequence of test functions $U_j = A_j(\rho) \cdot B_j(\omega) \in C_c^\infty((0, \tilde{\eta})) \times C^\infty(S^{n-1})$. If we show the following properties, then the assertion clearly follows:

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} \left((\partial_\rho U_j)^2 + H(\rho)^2 \frac{(\Lambda U_j)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho d\rho < \infty, \quad (5.7)$$

$$\left(\int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |U_j|^q \rho^{q-1} d\rho \right)^{1/q} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.8)$$

From (5.2) and (5.6) we have (5.8), hence it suffices to show (5.7). We note that

$$\int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |\partial_\rho A_j(\rho)| \rho d\rho \int_{S^{n-1}} |B_j(\omega)| dS = \int_{S^{n-1}} |B_j(\omega)| dS < \infty. \quad (5.9)$$

From (5.3), (5.3) and the fact $\mu_1(v_w(Z_0[v_w]) \cap \text{supp } A_j) = 0$ we have

$$\int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |A_j(\rho)| H(\rho) d\rho \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Lambda B_j(\omega)| dS \leq \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |A_j(\rho)| d\rho = \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |A(\rho)| d\rho < \infty \quad (5.10)$$

Since $(a^2 + b^2)^{1/2} \leq 2^{1/2}(a + b)$, $(a, b \geq 0)$, we have (5.4), hence the assertion is proved.

Case 2. Secondly we assume that $w \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$ and (2.7). From the assumption (2.7) and (4.8) we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \sup_{r \in (0, \varepsilon] \cap Z[v_w]} K(r) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \sup_{\rho \in (0, \tilde{\varepsilon})] \cap \tilde{v}_w(Z[v_w])} H(\rho) = 0. \quad (5.11)$$

Let $B_j(\omega) \in C^\infty(S^{n-1})$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) be the same function as before. We take an $A(\rho) \in C_c^\infty((0, \tilde{\eta})) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying

$$\int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |\partial_\rho A(\rho)| \rho^{-1} d\rho = 1. \quad (5.12)$$

Define

$$A_j(\rho) = \varepsilon_j A(\rho/\varepsilon_j) \quad (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots). \quad (5.13)$$

Then, we see that for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$\begin{cases} A_j(\rho) \in C_c^\infty((0, \varepsilon_j \tilde{\eta})), \\ \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |\partial_\rho A_j(\rho)| \rho^{-1} d\rho = \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |\partial_\rho A(\rho)| \rho^{-1} d\rho = 1, \\ \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |A_j(\rho)|^q \rho^{-1-q} d\rho = \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |A(\rho)|^q \rho^{-1-q} d\rho < +\infty. \end{cases} \quad (5.14)$$

Now we define a sequence of test functions $U_j = A_j(\rho) \cdot B_j(\omega) \in C_c^\infty((0, \tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j)) \times C^\infty(S^{n-1})$. If we can show the following properties, then the assertion follows in a similar way:

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} \left((\partial_\rho U_j)^2 + H(\rho)^2 \frac{(\Lambda U_j)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{-1} d\rho < \infty, \quad (5.15)$$

$$\left(\int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |U_j|^q \rho^{-1-q} d\rho \right)^{1/q} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.16)$$

Since (5.16) follows direct from (5.2) and (5.14), it suffices to show (5.15). Again we note that

$$\int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |\partial_\rho A_j(\rho)| \rho^{-1} d\rho \int_{S^{n-1}} |B_j(\omega)| dS = \int_{S^{n-1}} |B_j(\omega)| dS < \infty. \quad (5.17)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |A_j(\rho)| H(\rho) \rho^{-2} d\rho \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Lambda B_j(\omega)| dS \\ & \leq \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |A_j(\rho)| \rho^{-2} d\rho = \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |A(\rho)| \rho^{-2} d\rho < \infty \quad ((5.3)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

Hence we have (5.15) as before, and the assertion is proved. \square

6 Proof of Theorem 2.4

Proof: First we treat the case that $w(r) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Then $v_w(r) = \varphi_w(r)$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} v_w(r) = 0$. From Lemma 2.1, v_w vanishes in infinite order at the origin. Namely we assume that for some $C > 0$ and for an arbitrary positive number m there exists a positive r_m such that $r_m \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$v_w(r_m) \leq C(r_m)^m. \quad (6.1)$$

Now we assume on the contrary that for some positive numbers C_0 and (a small) η ,

$$K(r) \geq C_0, \quad 0 \leq r \leq \eta. \quad (6.2)$$

Then $C_0 v'_w(r)/v_w(r) \leq 1/r$ holds for $r \in (0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$, hence this holds over $(0, \eta]$. By integrating the both side over an interval $[r, \eta]$ we have

$$v_w(\eta) \left(\frac{r}{\eta} \right)^{1/C_0} \leq v_w(r), \quad r \in (0, \eta]. \quad (6.3)$$

Then from (6.1) we have

$$v_w(\eta) \left(\frac{r_m}{\eta} \right)^{1/C_0} \leq C(r_m)^m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (6.4)$$

If m is sufficiently large, then this does not hold, hence the assertion is proved by a contradiction.

Secondly we treat the case that $w(r) \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Then $v_w(r) = \psi_w(r)$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} v_w(r) = \infty$. From Lemma 2.1, v_w blows up in infinite order at the origin. Then we assume that for some $C > 0$ and for an arbitrary positive number m there exists a positive r_m such that $r_m \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$w(r_m) \geq C(r_m)^{-m}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (6.5)$$

As in the previous step we assume (6.2). Noting that $K(r) = -v_w(r)/(rv'_w(r))$ for $r \in (0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$, we have $-C_0 v'_w(r)/v_w(r) \leq 1/r$ holds for $r \in (0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$, hence by integrating the both side over an interval $[r, \eta]$ we have

$$v_w(\eta) \left(\frac{r}{\eta} \right)^{-1/C_0} \geq v_w(r), \quad r \in (0, \eta]. \quad (6.6)$$

Then from (6.5) we have

$$v_w(\eta) \left(\frac{r_m}{\eta} \right)^{-1/C_0} \geq C(r_m)^{-m}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (6.7)$$

If m is sufficiently large again, then this does not hold, hence the assertion is proved by a contradiction. \square

7 Appendix: The non-critical CKN-type inequalities

In the non-critical case, the CKN-type inequalities have the following form:

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\nabla u(x)| |x|^{1+\gamma-n} dx \geq S^{1,q;\gamma} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |u(x)|^q |x|^{\gamma q-n} dx \right)^{1/q}, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}), \quad (7.1)$$

where $n \geq 1$ and q, γ are real numbers satisfying

$$\gamma \neq 0, \quad q < +\infty, \quad 0 \leq 1 - 1/q \leq 1/n. \quad (7.2)$$

Here $S^{p,q;\gamma}$ is called the best constant and given by

$$S^{1,q;\gamma} = \inf\{E^{1,q;\gamma}[u] \mid u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \setminus \{0\}\}, \quad (7.3)$$

where

$$E^{1,q;\gamma}[u] = \frac{\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\nabla u(x)| |x|^{1+\gamma-n} dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |u(x)|^q |x|^{\gamma q-n} dx \right)^{1/q}} \quad \text{for } u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}). \quad (7.4)$$

We also define the radial best constant as follows.

Definition 7.1 Let Ω be a radially symmetric domain. For any function space $V(\Omega)$ on Ω , we set

$$V(\Omega)_{\text{rad}} = \{u \in V(\Omega) \mid u \text{ is radial}\}. \quad (7.5)$$

Then we define

$$S_{\text{rad}}^{1,q;\gamma} = \inf\{E^{1,q;\gamma}[u] \mid u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\})_{\text{rad}} \setminus \{0\}\}. \quad (7.6)$$

Remark 7.1 Here we remark that the best constants $S^{1,q;\gamma}$ is invariant if the whole space \mathbf{R}^n is replaced by an arbitrary bounded domain Ω containing the origin. $S_{\text{rad}}^{1,q;\gamma}$ is also invariant if \mathbf{R}^n is replaced by a radially symmetric domain Ω . For the detailed information see [8], [9].

Definition 7.2 Let ω_n be a surface area of an n -dimensional unit ball. For $1 \leq q < \infty$, we set

$$S_{1,q} = \omega_n^{1-1/q} q^{1/q}. \quad (7.7)$$

Theorem 7.1 (Symmetry) Let $n \geq 1$. Assume that $1 \leq q < \infty$ and $\tau_{1,q} = 1 - 1/q \leq 1/n$. Then it holds that:

1. $S^{1,q;\gamma} = S^{1,q;-\gamma}$, $S_{\text{rad}}^{1,q;\gamma} = S_{\text{rad}}^{1,q;-\gamma}$ for $\gamma \neq 0$.
2. $S_{\text{rad}}^{1,q;\gamma} = S_{1,q} |\gamma|^{1-\tau_{1,q}}$ for $\gamma \neq 0$.
3. $S^{1,q;\gamma} = S_{\text{rad}}^{1,q;\gamma} = S_{1,q} |\gamma|^{1-\tau_{1,q}}$ for $0 < |\gamma| \leq n-1$, $n > 1$.

References

- [1] H. Ando, T. Horiuchi, Generalized weighted Hardy's inequalities with compact perturbations, *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, **18**, Number 1 (2024), pp 103-126.
- [2] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, L. Nirenberg, First order interpolation inequalities with weights, *Compositio Math.*, **53**, 1984, No. 3, pp 259-275.
- [3] N. Chiba, T. Horiuchi, On radial symmetry and its breaking in the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities for $p = 1$, *Math. J. Ibaraki Univ.*, **47** (2015), pp 49-63.
- [4] N. Chiba, T. Horiuchi, Radial symmetry and its breaking in the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities for $p = 1$, *Proc. Japan Acad., Ser. A, Math. Sci.*, **92** (2016), No. 4, pp 51-55.
- [5] T. Horiuchi, The imbedding theorems for weighted Sobolev spaces, *Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University*, **29**, 1989, pp 365-403.

- [6] T. Horiuchi, Best constant in weighted Sobolev inequality with weights being powers of distance from the origin, *Journal of Inequality and Application*, **Vol. 1**, 1997, pp 275-292.
- [7] T. Horiuchi, Hardy's inequalities with non-doubling weights and sharp remainders, *SCMJ (in Editione Electronica) e-2022-2 Whole Number 35* (2022); arXiv:2012.08766 [math.AP]
- [8] T. Horiuchi, On general Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities involving non-doubling weights, *SCMJ (in Editione Electronica) e-2022-10 Whole Number 35* (2022)
- [9] T. Horiuchi, P. Kumlin, On the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities involving Critical and Supercritical Weights, *Kyoto journal of Mathematics*, **Vol. 52**, No.4, (2012), pp 661-742.
- [10] V.G. Maz'ja, Sobolev spaces, *Springer*, 1985.

Toshio Horiuchi
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Ibaraki University
Mito, Ibaraki, 310, Japan
e-mail: toshio.horiuchi.math@vc.ibaraki.ac.jp