

# On general Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities involving non-doubling weights in the case of $p = 1$

Toshio Horiuchi

December 29, 2025

## Abstract

We study the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities in the case of  $p = 1$  and generalize them adopting weight functions  $w(|x|)$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with  $w(t) \in W(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Here  $W(\mathbf{R}_+)$  is a general class of weight functions on  $\mathbf{R}_+$  including non-doubling weights like  $e^{\pm 1/t}$ . \*

## 1 Introduction

The main purpose of the present paper is to study the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities, which are abbreviated as the CKN-type inequalities. The CKN-type inequalities were introduced in [2] as multiplicative interpolation inequalities, but here we refer to the simple weighted Sobolev inequalities. There is a great deal of research in that case alone and we also studied in [5, 6, 9, 3, 4]. Recently in [8, 1, 7], we revisited the CKN-type inequalities in the case that  $p > 1$  and established the CKN-type inequalities involving non-doubling weights. Furthermore, the CKN-type inequalities, which differ greatly in critical and non-critical cases, were successfully unified by adopting a new framework. In the present paper we will proceed to study the CKN-type inequalities in the case of  $p = 1$ .

First we define a class of weight functions  $W(\mathbf{R}_+)$  which is a slight modification of the space introduced in [8] to suit our purpose (c.f. Remark 2.2). By  $C^{0,1}(\mathbf{R}_+)$  we denote the space of all Lipschitz continuous functions on  $\mathbf{R}_+$ .

**Definition 1.1** Let  $\mathbf{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$ .

1. For  $a \in [0, \infty]$  we define

$$W_a(\mathbf{R}_+) = \{w \in C^{0,1}(\mathbf{R}_+) : w > 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} w(t) = a\} \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$W(\mathbf{R}_+) = \cup_{a \in [0, \infty]} W_a(\mathbf{R}_+). \quad (1.2)$$

2. In particular we set

$$V(\mathbf{R}_+) = W_0(\mathbf{R}_+) \cup W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+). \quad (1.3)$$

We will study the CKN-type inequalities for weights  $w(t) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$  mainly in the subsequent. If  $w(t) \in W(\mathbf{R}_+) \setminus V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , then  $w(t) \in C^{0,1}(\mathbf{R}_+) \cap C([0, \infty))$  and  $0 < \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} w(t) < \infty$ , hence  $w(t)$  behaves tamely as  $t \rightarrow +0$ .

We introduce a kind of monotone rearrangement of weight functions  $w(t) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ .

---

\*2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35J70; Secondary 35J60.

*Key words and phrases.* the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities, non-doubling weights, the case of  $p = 1$   
This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 21K03304).

**Definition 1.2** 1. For  $w(t) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$  and  $0 < \eta \leq \infty$ , we define

$$\varphi_w(t; \eta) = \begin{cases} \inf_{t \leq s \leq \eta} w(s) & (0 \leq t \leq \eta), \\ w(\eta) & (\eta \leq t). \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

Here  $\varphi_w \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$  and  $\varphi_w$  is called the largest increasing function with respect to  $w$  satisfying  $\varphi_w(t; \eta) \leq w(t)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \eta$ .

2. For  $w(t) \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$  and  $0 < \eta \leq \infty$ , we define

$$\psi_w(t; \eta) = \begin{cases} \inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} w(s) & (0 \leq t \leq \eta), \\ \inf_{0 \leq s \leq \eta} w(s) & (\eta \leq t). \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Here  $\psi_w \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$  and  $\psi_w$  is called the largest decreasing function with respect to  $w$  satisfying  $\psi_w(t) \leq w(t)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \eta$ .

3. For  $w(t) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$  and  $0 < \eta \leq \infty$ , we define

$$v_w(t; \eta) = \begin{cases} \varphi_w(t; \eta), & w(t) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+), \\ \psi_w(t; \eta), & w(t) \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+). \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

4. For  $w(t) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ ,  $q \geq 1$  and  $0 < \eta \leq \infty$ , we define  $V_w^q(t; \eta) \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty((0, \eta])$  by

$$V_w^q(t; \eta) = \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}(\varphi_w(t; \eta))^q, & w(t) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+), \\ -\frac{d}{dt}(\psi_w(t; \eta))^q, & w(t) \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+). \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

5.  $\varphi_w(t; \eta)$ ,  $\psi_w(t; \eta)$ ,  $v_w(t; \eta)$  and  $V_w^q(t; \eta)$  are abbreviated as  $\varphi_w(t)$ ,  $\psi_w(t)$ ,  $v_w(t)$  and  $V_w^q(t)$  respectively.

**Remark 1.1** Since  $\varphi_w(t)$  and  $\psi_w(t)$  are Lipschitz continuous on  $\mathbf{R}_+$  for  $w(t) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , they are differentiable a.e. on  $\mathbf{R}_+$ . In particular the first order derivatives in the distribution sense  $\varphi'_w(t), \psi'_w(t) : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  coincide with those in the classical sense a.e. on  $\mathbf{R}_+$ , i.e.

$$\varphi'_w(t) = D\varphi_w(t) \left( = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (\varphi_w(t+h) - \varphi_w(t)) \right) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

In particular we see that  $\varphi'_w(t), \psi'_w(t) \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty((0, \eta))$ .

Then we study inequalities of the following type: For  $1 \leq q < \infty$ ,  $0 < \eta \leq \infty$  and  $w \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , there exists a positive number  $C = C(q, \eta, w) \geq 1$  such that we have for any  $u(x) \in C_c^\infty(B_\eta \setminus \{0\})$

$$\int_{B_\eta} |\nabla u(x)| w(|x|) |x|^{1-n} dx \geq C \left( \int_{B_\eta} |u(x)|^q V_w^q(|x|) |x|^{1-n} dx \right)^{1/q}, \quad (1.8)$$

where  $B_\infty = \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $B_\eta = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x| < \eta\}$ . It is worth saying that the inequality (1.8) does not hold unconditionally, unless  $n = 1$  or  $q = 1$ . In order to study the validity of (1.8) for each  $w \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , we introduce **the non-degenerate condition** (NDC) in Section 3, which controls the behavior of  $w$  near  $t = 0$ , and then we make clear the validity of (1.8) under (NDC) as Theorem 2.2. Roughly speaking, (NDC) assures that  $w(t)$  does not behave so badly as  $t \rightarrow +0$ , and hence the function  $K(r)$  given by Definition (2.2) is bounded away from 0. On the contrary if  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} K(r) = 0$  is assumed, then by Theorem 2.3 the inequality (1.8) is not valid. In Theorem 2.4 we also characterize a set of weight functions for which (NDC) is violated. The proofs of Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 are given in Section 3, Section 4 and Section 5 respectively.

## 2 Main results

First we state a result in the one dimensional case which is rather simple.

**Theorem 2.1** *Assume that  $1 \leq q < \infty$ ,  $0 < \eta \leq +\infty$  and  $w(t) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Then, there exists a positive number  $C = C(q, \eta, w) \geq 1$  such that for any  $u \in C_c^1((0, \eta))$  we have*

$$\int_0^\eta |u'(t)|w(t) dt \geq C \left( \int_0^\eta |u(t)|^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q}. \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover either if  $w(t) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$  or if  $w(t) \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$ ,  $\eta = \infty$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) = 0$ , then the best constant  $C$  in (2.1) equals 1.

**Remark 2.1** *If  $w(t) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$  is monotone, then (2.1) simply becomes*

$$\int_0^\eta |u'(t)|w(t) dt \geq C \left( \int_0^\eta |u(t)|^q |(w(t)^q)'| dt \right)^{1/q}, \quad u \in C_c^1((0, \eta)). \quad (2.2)$$

Theorem 2.1 can be derived from classical one-dimensional inequalities with some modifications, but for the sake of self-completion we give a direct proof in §3 (c.f.[10]). In order to proceed to the  $n$ -dimensional case, let us prepare more notations.

**Definition 2.1** *For a locally Lipschitz continuous  $v(r)$  on  $\mathbf{R}_+$  we define the followings:*

$$Z[v] = \{r \in \mathbf{R}_+ : v \text{ is differentiable at } r \text{ and } v'(r) \neq 0\}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$Z_0[v] = \{r \in \mathbf{R}_+ : v \text{ is differentiable at } r \text{ and } v'(r) = 0\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Here, local Lipschitz continuousness of  $v(t)$  means that  $v(t)$  is Lipschitz continuous over each compact set of  $\mathbf{R}_+$ , hence  $v(t)$  is differentiable a.e. on  $\mathbf{R}_+$ .

**Definition 2.2** *For  $w(r) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$  we set*

$$K(r) = \left| \frac{w(r)}{rw'(r)} \right| \quad (r \in Z[v_w]), \quad (2.5)$$

where  $v_w$  is defined by Definition 1.2,3.

Now we introduce **the non-degenerate condition** (NDC) on  $K(r)$  which assures that  $K(r)$  is bounded away from 0 as  $r \rightarrow +0$ .

**Definition 2.3 (the non-degenerate condition)** *Let  $\eta > 0$  and  $w \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . A weight function  $w$  is said to satisfy the non-degenerate condition (NDC) if*

$$C_0 := \inf_{r \in (0, \eta] \cap Z[v_w]} K(r) > 0. \quad (\text{NDC})$$

Then we state the  $n$ -dimensional CKN-type inequality as a natural extension of Theorem 2.1 and the classical CKN-type inequalities in Appendix, and the proof will be given in §4.

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $n > 1$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ ,  $0 \leq 1 - 1/q \leq 1/n$  and  $\eta > 0$ . Assume that  $w(r) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Moreover assume that if  $1 < q$ ,  $K(r)$  satisfies (NDC). Then, there exists a positive number  $C = C(q, \eta, w)$  such that we have for any  $u \in C_c^\infty(B_\eta \setminus \{0\})$*

$$\int_{B_\eta} |\nabla u(x)|w(|x|)|x|^{1-n} dx \geq C \left( \int_{B_\eta} |u(x)|^q V_w^q(|x|)|x|^{1-n} dx \right)^{1/q}. \quad (2.6)$$

Conversely we have the following which is proved in §5:

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $n > 1$ ,  $1 < q < \infty$ ,  $0 \leq 1 - 1/q \leq 1/n$  and  $\eta > 0$ . Assume that  $w(r) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . If*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \sup_{r \in (0, \varepsilon] \cap Z[v_w]} K(r) = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

*then the inequality (2.6) does not hold.*

Roughly speaking, either if  $w$  vanishes infinitely at the origin or if  $w$  blows up infinitely at the origin, then (NDC) is violated. To explain more accurately, we introduce the following notion.

**Definition 2.4** *For  $w(r) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$  we define the following:*

1. *For  $w(r) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$ ,  $w(r)$  is said to vanish in infinite order at the origin, if and only if for some  $C > 0$  and for an arbitrary positive integer  $m$  there exists positive number  $r_m$  satisfying  $r_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  such that we have*

$$w(r_m) \leq C(r_m)^m. \quad (2.8)$$

2. *For  $w(r) \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$ ,  $w(r)$  is said to blow up at the origin in infinite order, if and only if for some  $C > 0$  and for an arbitrary positive integer  $m$  there exists a positive number  $r_m$  satisfying  $r_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  such that we have*

$$w(r_m) \geq C(r_m)^{-m}. \quad (2.9)$$

By Definition 1.2 and Remark 1.1 we immediately have the following:

**Lemma 2.1** 1. *Assume that  $w(r) \in W_0(R_+)$ . Then  $w(t)$  vanishes in infinite order at the origin, if and only if  $\varphi_w(r)$  vanishes in infinite order at the origin.*

2. *Assume that  $w(r) \in W_\infty(R_+)$ . Then  $w(t)$  blows up in infinite order at the origin, if and only if  $\varphi_w(r)$  blows up in infinite order at the origin.*

**Proof:** Assume that  $w(r)$  vanishes in infinite order at the origin. Since  $\varphi_w(r) \leq w(r)$  for  $t \in [0, \eta]$ , so  $\varphi_w(r)$  does. Conversely assume that  $\varphi_w(r)$  vanishes in infinite order at the origin, namely, we have (2.8) for some sequence of positive numbers  $\{r_m\}$ . Since  $\varphi_w(r)$  is a constant on each component  $Z_0[\varphi_w]$ , we can assume  $\varphi_w(r_m) = w(r_m)$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence the assertion 1 follows. The assertion 2 can be shown in a similar way.  $\square$

Then we have the following which is proved in §6:

**Theorem 2.4** *Let  $w(r) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . If  $w$  vanishes in infinite order at the origin or if  $w$  blows up in infinite order at the origin, then (NDC) is violated.*

To make the theorem easier to understand, we present typical examples.

**Example 2.1** *Let  $\alpha > 0$ . When either  $w(r) = e^{-r^{-\alpha}} \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$  or  $w(r) = e^{r^{-\alpha}} \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$ ,  $K(r) = O(r^\alpha)$  as  $r \rightarrow +0$ .*

**Example 2.2** *Let  $1 \leq q < \infty$  and  $0 < \eta$ . Let  $w(r) = r^\gamma$ .*

1. *If  $\gamma > 0$ , then  $w(t) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$  and we have  $K(r) = 1/\gamma$ .*
2. *If  $\gamma < 0$ , then  $w(r) \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$  and we have  $K(r) = -1/\gamma$ .*

**Remark 2.2** 1. In [8], we have established the CKN-type inequalities for  $p > 1$  with  $C^1$ -weight functions, and they remain valid for weight functions in  $W(\mathbf{R}_+)$  defined by (1.1).

2. According to [8], if we define subclasses  $P(\mathbf{R}_+)$  and  $Q(\mathbf{R}_+)$  by

$$\begin{cases} P(\mathbf{R}_+) = \{w(t) \in W(\mathbf{R}_+) : w(t)^{-1} \notin L^1((0, \eta)) \text{ for some } \eta > 0\}, \\ Q(\mathbf{R}_+) = \{w(t) \in W(\mathbf{R}_+) : w(t)^{-1} \in L^1((0, \eta)) \text{ for any } \eta > 0\}, \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

then, we see that  $W(\mathbf{R}_+) = P(\mathbf{R}_+) \cup Q(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Here we have the relations

$$P(\mathbf{R}_+) \subset W_0(\mathbf{R}_+), \quad W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+) \subset W(\mathbf{R}_+) \setminus W_0(\mathbf{R}_+) \subset Q(\mathbf{R}_+) \quad \text{and} \quad W_0(\mathbf{R}_+) \cap Q(\mathbf{R}_+) \neq \emptyset.$$

**Remark 2.3** Interestingly the inequality (2.1) can be proved by taking the limit ( $p \rightarrow 1+0$ ) in the one-dimensional CKN-type inequalities for  $p > 1$  in [8] (Theorem 3.1 with  $w^{p-1} = v^p$  ( $v \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ )).

### 3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Without loss of generality we assume that  $u(t) \geq 0$ . First we consider the case that  $w(t) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Recall  $V_w^q(t) = \frac{d}{dt}(\varphi_w(t))^q \geq 0$  a.e. in  $(0, \eta]$ . For  $u(t) \in C_c^1((0, \eta))$  we set  $f(t) = |u'(t)|$ . Noting that  $0 \leq u(t) \leq \int_t^\eta f(s) ds$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_0^\eta |u(t)|^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} \leq \left( \int_0^\eta \left| \int_t^\eta f(s) ds \right|^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \left( \int_0^\eta \left| \int_0^\eta f(s) V_w^q(t)^{1/q} \chi_{[t, \eta]}(s) ds \right|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \leq \int_0^\eta \left( \int_0^\eta |f(s)|^q V_w^q(t) \chi_{[t, \eta]}(s) dt \right)^{1/q} ds \\ &= \int_0^\eta |f(s)| \left( \int_0^s V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} ds \leq \int_0^\eta |f(s)| (\varphi_w(s)^q - \varphi_w(0)^q)^{1/q} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^\eta |u'(s)| \varphi_w(s) ds \leq \int_0^\eta |u'(s)| w(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have (2.1) with  $C \geq 1$ .

Secondly we assume that  $w \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Recall  $V_w^q(t) = -\frac{d}{dt}(\psi_w(t))^q \geq 0$  ( $0 < t \leq \eta$ ). Then by noting that  $u(t) \leq \int_0^t f(s) ds$  with  $f(t) = |u'(t)|$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_0^\eta |u(t)|^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} \leq \left( \int_0^\eta \left| \int_0^t f(s) ds \right|^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \left( \int_0^\eta \left| \int_0^\eta f(s) V_w^q(t)^{1/q} \chi_{[0, t]}(s) ds \right|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \leq \int_0^\eta \left( \int_0^\eta |f(s)|^q V_w^q(t) \chi_{[0, t]}(s) dt \right)^{1/q} ds \\ &= \int_0^\eta |f(s)| \left( \int_s^\eta V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} ds \leq \int_0^\eta |f(s)| (\psi_w(s)^q - \psi_w(\eta)^q)^{1/q} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^\eta |f(s)| \psi_w(s) ds \leq \int_0^\eta |f(s)| w(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have (2.1) with  $C \geq 1$ .

**Proof of Optimality:** First we assume that  $w(t) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , then we have (2.1). By the previous argument, we also have for an arbitrary measurable function  $f(t) \geq 0$

$$C \left( \int_0^\eta \left| \int_t^\eta f(s) ds \right|^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} \leq \int_0^\eta f(s) v_w(s) ds.$$

Now we assume that  $\text{supp } f \subset (x, x+h)$  with  $x \in (0, \eta) \cap (Z[v_w] \cup Z_0[v_w])$ ,  $0 < h < \eta - x$ . Then

$$\int_x^{x+h} f(t) v_w(t) dt \geq C \left( \int_0^x \left( \int_x^{x+h} f(s) ds \right)^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} = C \varphi_w(x) \int_x^{x+h} f(s) ds.$$

Setting  $f(t) = \varphi_w(t)^{-1}$  in  $(x, x+h)$ , we have

$$1 \geq C \frac{\varphi_w(x)}{h} \int_x^{x+h} \varphi_w(s)^{-1} ds.$$

By letting  $h \rightarrow 0$  we see  $C \leq 1$ . This proves the assertion.

Secondly we assume that  $\eta = \infty$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) = 0$ . We have for an arbitrary measurable function  $f(t) \geq 0$  having a compact support

$$C \left( \int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^t f(s) ds \right|^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} \leq \int_0^\infty f(s) v_w(s) ds.$$

Now we assume that  $\text{supp } f \subset (x-h, x)$  with  $x \in Z[v_w] \cup Z_0[v_w]$  and  $0 < h < x$ . Then

$$\int_{x-h}^x f(t) v_w(t) dt \geq C \left( \int_x^\infty \left( \int_{x-h}^x f(s) ds \right)^q V_w^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} = C \psi_w(x) \int_{x-h}^x f(s) ds.$$

Setting  $f(t) = \psi_w(t)^{-1}$  in  $(x-h, x)$ , we have

$$1 \geq C \frac{\psi_w(x)}{h} \int_{x-h}^x \psi_w(s)^{-1} ds.$$

By letting  $h \rightarrow 0$  we see  $C \leq 1$ . This proves the assertion.  $\square$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2.2

Assume that  $w(t) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$ ,  $0 < \eta < \infty$  and  $0 \leq 1 - 1/q \leq 1/n$ . By  $\mu_1$  we denote (1-dimensional) Lebesgue measure. Then we prepare the following.

**Lemma 4.1** *Assume that  $w(t) \in V\mathbf{R}_+$ .*

1. *We have for an arbitrary compact set  $K \subset \mathbf{R}_+$*

$$\mu_1(\mathbf{R}_+ \setminus (Z(v_w) \cup Z_0(v_w))) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_1(v_w(Z_0(v_w)) \cap K) = 0.$$

2. *We have  $v_w(r) = w(r)$  for all  $r \in Z[v_w]$ . In particular we have  $v'_w(r) = w'(r)$  for all  $r \in Z[v_w]$ .*

3. *Let  $\tilde{\eta} = v_w(\eta)$ . Then,  $\rho = v_w(r)$  is invertible on  $(0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$  and the inverse mapping  $v_w^{-1} : \rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}] \setminus v_w(Z_0[v_w]) \mapsto r \in (0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$  is differentiable a.e. to obtain  $(v_w^{-1})'(\rho) = 1/v'_w(r)$ .*

**Proof:** 1. From Remark 1.1 for  $w(r) \in V(\mathbf{R}_+)$  both  $\varphi_w(r)$  and  $\psi_w(r)$  are monotone continuous piecewise  $C^1$  function on  $\mathbf{R}_+$ . Hence  $v_w(r)$  is a locally Lipschitz continuous function on  $\mathbf{R}_+$  and is differentiable a.e. on  $\mathbf{R}_+$ . In particular we have  $\mu_1(\mathbf{R}_+ \setminus (Z[v_w] \cup Z_0[v_w])) = 0$ . Moreover we have for an arbitrary Borel set  $A \subset (0, \infty)$

$$\mu_1(v_w(A) \cap K) \leq \int_{A \cap (v_w)^{-1}(K)} |v'_w(r)| dr.$$

Here,  $v_w(A) = \{v_w(r) : r \in A\}$  and  $(v_w)^{-1}(K) = \{r : v_w(r) \in K\}$ . Particularly we have

$$\mu_1(v_w(Z_0[v_w]) \cap K) \leq \int_{Z_0[v_w] \cap (v_w)^{-1}(K)} |v'_w(r)| dr = \int_{Z_0[v_w] \cap (v_w)^{-1}(K)} |Dv_w(r)| dr = 0.$$

2. This follows direct from Definition 1.2.

3.  $v_w(r)$  is monotone and  $v'_w(r) \neq 0$  over  $(0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$ , hence the assertion follows.  $\square$

We use a polar coordinate system  $x = r\omega$  for  $r = |x|$  and  $\omega \in S^{n-1}$ . By  $\Delta_{S^{n-1}}$  we denote the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a unit sphere  $S^{n-1}$ , and by  $dS$  we denote surface elements on  $S^{n-1}$ . Then a gradient operator  $\Lambda$  on  $S^{n-1}$  is defined by

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} (-\Delta_{S^{n-1}} \xi_1) \xi_2 dS = \int_{S^{n-1}} \Lambda \xi_1 \cdot \Lambda \xi_2 dS \quad \text{for } \xi_1, \xi_2 \in C^2(S^{n-1}). \quad (4.1)$$

Here we note that

$$\Delta \xi_1 = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \partial_r (r^{n-1} \partial_r \xi_1) + \frac{1}{r^2} \Delta_{S^{n-1}} \xi_1, \quad |\nabla \xi_1|^2 = |\partial_r \xi_1|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} |\Lambda \xi_1|^2, \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\partial_r \xi_1(x) = x/|x| \cdot \nabla \xi_1(x)$ . By a polar coordinate system, the inequality (2.6) is transformed to the following: There exists a positive number  $C = C(q, \eta, w)$  such that we have for any  $u(x) = u(r\omega) \in C_c^\infty(B_\eta \setminus \{0\})$

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^\eta \left( (\partial_r u)^2 + \frac{(\Lambda u)^2}{r^2} \right)^{1/2} w(r) dr \geq C \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^\eta |u|^q V_w^q(r) dr \right)^{1/q}. \quad (4.3)$$

Define a change of variables in harmony with subclasses as follows:

1. If  $w(r) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , then we set  $v_w(r) = \rho$ ,  $(0 < r \leq \eta)$ .
2. If  $w(r) \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , then we set  $v_w(r) = 1/\rho$ ,  $(0 < r \leq \eta)$ .

First we consider the case 1 to show (4.3). From Lemma 4.1,  $v_w(r)$  is invertible on  $(0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$ . We employ a polar coordinate system  $x = r\omega$  for  $r = |x|$  and  $\omega \in S^{n-1}$ .

By the change of variable  $v_w(r) = \rho$  for  $r \in (0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$ , we have for  $u(r\omega) \in C_c^\infty(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$

$$\int_0^\eta |u(r\omega)|^q V_w^q(r) dr = \int_{(0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]} |u(r\omega)|^q \frac{d}{dr} (v_w(r))^q dr = \int_{(0, \tilde{\eta}] \setminus v_w(Z_0[v_w])} |U(\rho\omega)|^q d(\rho^q)$$

where  $U(\rho\omega) = u(v_w^{-1}(\rho)\omega) \in C(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$  for  $\rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}] \setminus v_w(Z_0[v_w])$  and  $v_w(\eta) = \tilde{\eta}$ .

Since  $U(\rho\omega)$  is differentiable a.e. on  $\{(\rho, \omega) : \rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}] \setminus v_w(Z_0[v_w]), \omega \in S^{n-1}\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\eta \left( (\partial_r u)^2 + \frac{(\Lambda u)^2}{r^2} \right)^{1/2} w(r) dr &\geq \int_{(0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]} \left( (\partial_r u)^2 + \frac{(\Lambda u)^2}{r^2} \right)^{1/2} v_w(r) dr \\ &= \int_{(0, \tilde{\eta}] \setminus v_w(Z_0[v_w])} \left( (\partial_\rho U)^2 + K(r)^2 \frac{(\Lambda U)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho d\rho, \end{aligned}$$

where  $r = v_w^{-1}(\rho)$  for  $\rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}] \setminus v_w(Z_0[v_w])$  and  $K(r)$  is given by

$$K(r) = \left| \frac{v_w(r)}{rv'_w(r)} \right| = \left| \frac{w(r)}{rw'(r)} \right| \quad (r \in (0, \eta] \cap Z(v_w)). \quad (4.4)$$

Since  $\mu_1((0, \eta] \setminus (Z(v_w) \cup Z_0(v_w))) = 0$  and  $\mu_1((0, \tilde{\eta}] \cap v_w(Z_0[v_w])) = 0$  hold, together with a density argument w.r.t.  $U(y)$  in  $C_c^\infty(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$ , the inequality (4.3) is reduced to the following: For  $U(y) = U(\rho\omega) \in C_c^\infty(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} \left( (\partial_\rho U)^2 + H(\rho)^2 \frac{(\Lambda U)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho d\rho \geq C \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |U|^q d(\rho^q) \right)^{1/q}, \quad (4.5)$$

where  $\tilde{\eta} = v_w(\eta)$  and

$$H(\rho) = K(v_w^{-1}(\rho)) = \left| \frac{\rho (v_w^{-1})'(\rho)}{v_w^{-1}(\rho)} \right| \quad \text{for } \rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}] \cap v_w(Z[v_w]). \quad (4.6)$$

If  $q = 1$  holds, then (4.5) follows direct from the classical Hardy inequality, hence we assume that  $q > 1$ . From (NDC), the left hand side of (4.5) is estimated from below in the following way. For  $\rho = |y|$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\tilde{\eta}}} \left( (\partial_{\rho} U)^2 + H(\rho)^2 \frac{(\Lambda U)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{2-n} dy &\geq \min(C_0, 1) \int_{B_{\tilde{\eta}}} \left( (\partial_{\rho} U)^2 + \frac{(\Lambda U)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{2-n} dy \\ &= \min(C_0, 1) \int_{B_{\tilde{\eta}}} |\nabla_y U| \rho^{2-n} dy \\ &\geq \min(C_0, 1) S^{1,q;1} \left( \int_{B_{\tilde{\eta}}} |U|^q \rho^{q-n} dy \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used the CKN type inequality (7.1) with  $\gamma = 1$ . This proves (4.5) with  $C = \min(C_0, 1) S^{1,q;1} q^{-1/q}$ .

Secondly we consider the case 2. By the change of variable  $v_w(r) = 1/\rho$  for  $r \in (0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$ , we have for  $u(r\omega) \in C_c^{\infty}(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$

$$\int_0^{\eta} |u(r\omega)|^q V_w^q(r) dr = - \int_{(0,\eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]} |u(r\omega)|^q \frac{d}{dr} (v_w(r))^q dr = \int_{(0,\tilde{\eta}] \setminus \widetilde{v_w}(Z_0[v_w])} |U(\rho\omega)|^q d(\rho^{-q})$$

where  $\widetilde{v_w} = 1/v_w$ ,  $U(\rho\omega) = u(v_w^{-1}(1/\rho)\omega) \in C(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$  for  $\rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}] \setminus \widetilde{v_w}(Z_0[v_w])$  and  $\tilde{\eta} = \widetilde{v_w}(\eta)$  ( $= 1/v_w(\eta)$ ). Since  $U(\rho\omega)$  is differentiable a.e. on  $\{(\rho, \omega) : \rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}] \setminus \widetilde{v_w}(Z_0[v_w]), \omega \in S^{n-1}\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\eta} \left( (\partial_r u)^2 + \frac{(\Lambda u)^2}{r^2} \right)^{1/2} w(r) dr &\geq \int_{(0,\eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]} \left( (\partial_r u)^2 + \frac{(\Lambda u)^2}{r^2} \right)^{1/2} v_w(r) dr \\ &= \int_{(0,\tilde{\eta}] \setminus \widetilde{v_w}(Z_0[v_w])} \left( (\partial_{\rho} U)^2 + K(r)^2 \frac{(\Lambda U)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{-1} d\rho, \end{aligned}$$

where  $r = v_w^{-1}(1/\rho)$  for  $\rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}] \setminus \widetilde{v_w}(Z_0[v_w])$  and  $K(r)$  is given by (4.4). Since  $\mu_1((0, \eta] \setminus (Z(v_w) \cup Z_0(v_w))) = 0$  and  $\mu_1((0, \tilde{\eta}] \cap \widetilde{v_w}(Z_0[v_w])) = 0$  hold, together with a density argument w.r.t.  $U(y)$  in  $C_c^{\infty}(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$ , the inequality (4.3) is reduced to the following: For  $U(y) = U(\rho\omega) \in C_c^{\infty}(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} \left( (\partial_{\rho} U)^2 + H(\rho)^2 \frac{(\Lambda U)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{-1} d\rho \geq C \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |U|^q d(\rho^{-q}) \right)^{1/q}, \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$H(\rho) = K(v_w^{-1}(1/\rho)) = \left| \frac{(v_w^{-1})'(1/\rho)}{\rho v_w^{-1}(1/\rho)} \right| \quad \text{for } \rho \in (0, \tilde{\eta}] \cap \widetilde{v_w}(Z[v_w]) \quad (4.8)$$

As in the previous case, it suffices to show (4.7) for  $U(y) = U(\rho\omega) \in C_c^{\infty}(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})$ . Again we



assume that  $q > 1$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\tilde{\eta}}} \left( (\partial_{\rho} U)^2 + H(\rho)^2 \frac{(AU)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{-n} dy &\geq \min(C_0, 1) \int_{B_{\tilde{\eta}}} \left( (\partial_{\rho} U)^2 + \frac{(AU)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{-n} dy \\ &= \min(C_0, 1) \int_{B_{\tilde{\eta}}} |\nabla_y U| \rho^{-n} dy \\ &\geq \min(C_0, 1) S^{1,q;-1} \left( \int_{B_{\tilde{\eta}}} |U|^q \rho^{-q-n} dy \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used the CKN type inequality (7.1) with  $\gamma = -1$ . This proves (4.7) with  $C = \min(C_0, 1) S^{1,q;1} q^{-1/q}$ . We note that  $S^{1,q;1} = S^{1,q;-1} = S_{1,q} = \omega_n^{1-1/q} q^{1/q}$  holds by Theorem 7.1. Here by  $\omega_n$  we denote a surface area of an  $n$ -dimensional unit ball.  $\square$

**Remark 4.1** 1. If  $C_0 \geq 1$ , then  $C \geq S^{1,q;1} q^{-1/q}$ .

2. If  $C_0 \geq 1$  and  $0 < \gamma \leq n-1$ , then  $C = S^{1,q;1} q^{-1/q} = S^{1,q;-1} q^{-1/q} = S_{1,q} q^{-1/q} = \omega_n^{1-1/q}$  is the best constant. In fact, by Theorem 7.1,  $S^{1,q;1} = S^{1,q;-1} = S_{\text{rad}}^{1,q;1} = S_{\text{rad}}^{1,q;-1} = S_{1,q} = \omega_n^{1-1/q} q^{1/q}$  holds. Then one can assume  $U \in C_c^{\infty}(B_{\tilde{\eta}} \setminus \{0\})_{\text{rad}}$  so that we have  $AU \equiv 0$ . Therefore the assertion is now clear.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 2.3

**Proof: Case1.** First we assume that  $w(r) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Then  $v_w(r) = \varphi_w(r)$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} v_w(r) = 0$ . By a change of variable  $v_w(r) = \rho$  for  $r \in (0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$ ,  $\tilde{\eta} = v_w(\eta)$  and  $\tilde{\varepsilon} = v_w(\varepsilon)$ , the inequality (2.6) is equivalent to (4.5). From the assumption (2.7) and (4.6) we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \sup_{r \in (0, \varepsilon] \cap Z[v_w]} K(r) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \sup_{\rho \in (0, \tilde{\varepsilon}) \cap v_w(Z[v_w])} H(\rho) = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Let  $B(\omega) \in L^1(S^{n-1})$  with  $B(\omega) \notin L^q(S^{n-1})$  ( $q > 1$ ). Let  $B_j(\omega)$  be a mollification of  $B$  such that  $B_j(\omega) \in C^{\infty}(S^{n-1})$ ,  $B_j \rightarrow B$  in  $L^1(S^{n-1})$  but

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} |B_j(\omega)|^q dS \rightarrow \infty \quad (j \rightarrow \infty). \quad (5.2)$$

Let  $\{\varepsilon_j\}$  be a sequence of numbers such that  $0 < \varepsilon_j < 1$ ,  $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$H(\rho) \cdot \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Lambda B_j(\omega)| dS \leq 1 \quad (\rho \in (0, \varepsilon_j \tilde{\eta}] \cap (v_w(Z[v_w]) \setminus v_w(Z_0[v_w])), j = 1, 2, 3, \dots). \quad (5.3)$$

We take and fix an  $A(\rho) \in C_c^{\infty}((0, \tilde{\eta})) \setminus \{0\}$  satisfying

$$\int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |\partial_{\rho} A(\rho)| \rho d\rho = 1. \quad (5.4)$$

Define

$$A_j(\rho) = \varepsilon_j^{-1} A(\rho/\varepsilon_j) \quad (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots). \quad (5.5)$$

Then, we see that for  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$\begin{cases} A_j(\rho) \in C_c^{\infty}((0, \varepsilon_j \tilde{\eta})), \\ \int_0^{\tilde{\eta} \varepsilon_j} |\partial_{\rho} A_j(\rho)| \rho d\rho = \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |\partial_{\rho} A(\rho)| \rho d\rho = 1, \\ \int_0^{\tilde{\eta} \varepsilon_j} |A_j(\rho)|^q \rho^{q-1} d\rho = \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |A(\rho)|^q \rho^{q-1} d\rho < +\infty. \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

Then we define a sequence of test functions  $U_j = A_j(\rho) \cdot B_j(\omega) \in C_c^\infty((0, \tilde{\eta})) \times C^\infty(S^{n-1})$ . If we show the following properties, then the assertion clearly follows:

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} \left( (\partial_\rho U_j)^2 + H(\rho)^2 \frac{(\Lambda U_j)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho d\rho < \infty, \quad (5.7)$$

$$\left( \int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |U_j|^q \rho^{q-1} d\rho \right)^{1/q} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.8)$$

From (5.2) and (5.6) we have (5.8), hence it suffices to show (5.7). We note that

$$\int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |\partial_\rho A_j(\rho)| \rho d\rho \int_{S^{n-1}} |B_j(\omega)| dS = \int_{S^{n-1}} |B_j(\omega)| dS < \infty. \quad (5.9)$$

From (5.3), (5.3) and the fact  $\mu_1(v_w(Z_0[v_w]) \cap \text{supp } A_j) = 0$  we have

$$\int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |A_j(\rho)| H(\rho) d\rho \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Lambda B_j(\omega)| dS \leq \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |A_j(\rho)| d\rho = \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |A(\rho)| d\rho < \infty \quad (5.10)$$

Since  $(a^2 + b^2)^{1/2} \leq 2^{1/2}(a + b)$ ,  $(a, b \geq 0)$ , we have (5.4), hence the assertion is proved.

**Case 2.** Secondly we assume that  $w \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$  and (2.7). From the assumption (2.7) and (4.8) we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \sup_{r \in (0, \varepsilon] \cap Z[v_w]} K(r) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \sup_{\rho \in (0, \varepsilon] \cap \tilde{v}_w(Z[v_w])} H(\rho) = 0. \quad (5.11)$$

Let  $B_j(\omega) \in C^\infty(S^{n-1})$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) be the same function as before. We take an  $A(\rho) \in C_c^\infty((0, \tilde{\eta})) \setminus \{0\}$  satisfying

$$\int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |\partial_\rho A(\rho)| \rho^{-1} d\rho = 1. \quad (5.12)$$

Define

$$A_j(\rho) = \varepsilon_j A(\rho/\varepsilon_j) \quad (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots). \quad (5.13)$$

Then, we see that for  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$\begin{cases} A_j(\rho) \in C_c^\infty((0, \varepsilon_j \tilde{\eta})), \\ \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |\partial_\rho A_j(\rho)| \rho^{-1} d\rho = \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |\partial_\rho A(\rho)| \rho^{-1} d\rho = 1, \\ \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |A_j(\rho)|^q \rho^{-1-q} d\rho = \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |A(\rho)|^q \rho^{-1-q} d\rho < +\infty. \end{cases} \quad (5.14)$$

Now we define a sequence of test functions  $U_j = A_j(\rho) \cdot B_j(\omega) \in C_c^\infty(0, \tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j) \times C^\infty(S^{n-1})$ . If we can show the following properties, then the assertion follows in a similar way:

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} \left( (\partial_\rho U_j)^2 + H(\rho)^2 \frac{(\Lambda U_j)^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{-1} d\rho < \infty, \quad (5.15)$$

$$\left( \int_{S^{n-1}} dS \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |U_j|^q \rho^{-1-q} d\rho \right)^{1/q} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.16)$$

Since (5.16) follows direct from (5.2) and (5.14), it suffices to show (5.15). Again we note that

$$\int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |\partial_\rho A_j(\rho)| \rho^{-1} d\rho \int_{S^{n-1}} |B_j(\omega)| dS = \int_{S^{n-1}} |B_j(\omega)| dS < \infty. \quad (5.17)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |A_j(\rho)| H(\rho) \rho^{-2} d\rho \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Lambda B_j(\omega)| dS \\ & \leq \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon_j} |A_j(\rho)| \rho^{-2} d\rho = \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} |A(\rho)| \rho^{-2} d\rho < \infty \quad ((5.3)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

Hence we have (5.15) as before, and the assertion is proved.  $\square$

## 6 Proof of Theorem 2.4

**Proof:** First we treat the case that  $w(r) \in W_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Then  $v_w(r) = \varphi_w(r)$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} v_w(r) = 0$ . From Lemma 2.1,  $v_w$  vanishes in infinite order at the origin. Namely we assume that for some  $C > 0$  and for an arbitrary positive number  $m$  there exists a positive  $r_m$  such that  $r_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$v_w(r_m) \leq C(r_m)^m. \quad (6.1)$$

Now we assume on the contrary that for some positive numbers  $C_0$  and (a small)  $\eta$ ,

$$K(r) \geq C_0, \quad 0 \leq r \leq \eta. \quad (6.2)$$

Then  $C_0 v'_w(r)/v_w(r) \leq 1/r$  holds for  $r \in (0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$ , hence this holds over  $(0, \eta]$ . By integrating the both side over an interval  $[r, \eta]$  we have

$$v_w(\eta) \left( \frac{r}{\eta} \right)^{1/C_0} \leq v_w(r), \quad r \in (0, \eta]. \quad (6.3)$$

Then from (6.1) we have

$$v_w(\eta) \left( \frac{r_m}{\eta} \right)^{1/C_0} \leq C(r_m)^m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (6.4)$$

If  $m$  is sufficiently large, then this does not hold, hence the assertion is proved by a contradiction.

Secondly we treat the case that  $w(r) \in W_\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Then  $v_w(r) = \psi_w(r)$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} v_w(r) = \infty$ . From Lemma 2.1,  $v_w$  blows up in infinite order at the origin. Then we assume that for some  $C > 0$  and for an arbitrary positive number  $m$  there exists a positive  $r_m$  such that  $r_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$w(r_m) \geq C(r_m)^{-m}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (6.5)$$

As in the previous step we assume (6.2). Noting that  $K(r) = -v_w(r)/(rv'_w(r))$  for  $r \in (0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$ , we have  $-C_0 v'_w(r)/v_w(r) \leq 1/r$  holds for  $r \in (0, \eta] \setminus Z_0[v_w]$ , hence by integrating the both side over an interval  $[r, \eta]$  we have

$$v_w(\eta) \left( \frac{r}{\eta} \right)^{-1/C_0} \geq v_w(r), \quad r \in (0, \eta). \quad (6.6)$$

Then from (6.5) we have

$$v_w(\eta) \left( \frac{r_m}{\eta} \right)^{-1/C_0} \geq C(r_m)^{-m}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (6.7)$$

If  $m$  is sufficiently large again, then this does not hold, hence the assertion is proved by a contradiction.  $\square$

## 7 Appendix: The non-critical CKN-type inequalities

In the non-critical case, the CKN-type inequalities have the following form:

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\nabla u(x)| |x|^{1+\gamma-n} dx \geq S^{1,q;\gamma} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |u(x)|^q |x|^{\gamma q-n} dx \right)^{1/q}, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}), \quad (7.1)$$

where  $n \geq 1$  and  $q, \gamma$  are real numbers satisfying

$$\gamma \neq 0, \quad q < +\infty, \quad 0 \leq 1 - 1/q \leq 1/n. \quad (7.2)$$

Here  $S^{p,q;\gamma}$  is called the best constant and given by

$$S^{1,q;\gamma} = \inf\{E^{1,q;\gamma}[u] \mid u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \setminus \{0\}\}, \quad (7.3)$$

where

$$E^{1,q;\gamma}[u] = \frac{\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\nabla u(x)| |x|^{1+\gamma-n} dx}{\left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |u(x)|^q |x|^{\gamma q-n} dx \right)^{1/q}} \quad \text{for } u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}). \quad (7.4)$$

We also define the radial best constant as follows.

**Definition 7.1** Let  $\Omega$  be a radially symmetric domain. For any function space  $V(\Omega)$  on  $\Omega$ , we set

$$V(\Omega)_{\text{rad}} = \{u \in V(\Omega) \mid u \text{ is radial}\}. \quad (7.5)$$

Then we define

$$S_{\text{rad}}^{1,q;\gamma} = \inf\{E^{1,q;\gamma}[u] \mid u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\})_{\text{rad}} \setminus \{0\}\}. \quad (7.6)$$

**Remark 7.1** Here we remark that the best constants  $S^{1,q;\gamma}$  is invariant if the whole space  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is replaced by an arbitrary bounded domain  $\Omega$  containing the origin.  $S_{\text{rad}}^{1,q;\gamma}$  is also invariant if  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is replaced by a radially symmetric domain  $\Omega$ . For the detailed information see [8], [9].

**Definition 7.2** Let  $\omega_n$  be a surface area of an  $n$ -dimensional unit ball. For  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , we set

$$S_{1,q} = \omega_n^{1-1/q} q^{1/q}. \quad (7.7)$$

**Theorem 7.1 (Symmetry)** Let  $n \geq 1$ . Assume that  $1 \leq q < \infty$  and  $\tau_{1,q} = 1 - 1/q \leq 1/n$ . Then it holds that:

1.  $S^{1,q;\gamma} = S^{1,q;-\gamma}$ ,  $S_{\text{rad}}^{1,q;\gamma} = S_{\text{rad}}^{1,q;-\gamma}$  for  $\gamma \neq 0$ .
2.  $S_{\text{rad}}^{1,q;\gamma} = S_{1,q} |\gamma|^{1-\tau_{1,q}}$  for  $\gamma \neq 0$ .
3.  $S^{1,q;\gamma} = S_{\text{rad}}^{1,q;\gamma} = S_{1,q} |\gamma|^{1-\tau_{1,q}}$  for  $0 < |\gamma| \leq n-1$ ,  $n > 1$ .

## References

- [1] H. Ando, T. Horiuchi, Generalized weighted Hardy's inequalities with compact perturbations, *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, **18**, Number 1 (2024), pp 103-126.
- [2] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, L. Nirenberg, First order interpolation inequalities with weights, *Compositio Math.*, **Vol. 53**, 1984, No. 3, pp 259-275.
- [3] N. Chiba, T. Horiuchi, On radial symmetry and its breaking in the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities for  $p = 1$ , *Math. J. Ibaraki Univ.*, **Vol. 47** (2015), pp 49-63.
- [4] N. Chiba, T. Horiuchi, Radial symmetry and its breaking in the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities for  $p = 1$ , *Proc. Japan Acad., Ser. A, Math. Sci.*, **Vol. 92** (2016), No. 4, pp 51-55.
- [5] T. Horiuchi, The imbedding theorems for weighted Sobolev spaces, *Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University*, **Vol. 29**, 1989, pp 365-403.

- [6] T. Horiuchi, Best constant in weighted Sobolev inequality with weights being powers of distance from the origin, *Journal of Inequality and Application*, **Vol. 1**, 1997, pp 275-292.
- [7] T. Horiuchi, Hardy's inequalities with non-doubling weights and sharp remainders, *SCMJ (in Editone Electronica) e-2022-2 Whole Number 35* (2022); arXiv:2012.08766 [math.AP]
- [8] T. Horiuchi, On general Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities involving non-doubling weights, *SCMJ (in Editone Electronica) e-2022-10 Whole Number 35* (2022)
- [9] T. Horiuchi, P. Kumlin, On the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities involving Critical and Supercritical Weights, *Kyoto journal of Mathematics*, **Vol. 52**, No.4, (2012), pp 661-742.
- [10] V.G. Maz'ja, Sobolev spaces, *Springer*, 1985.

**Toshio Horiuchi**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Faculty of Science**  
**Ibaraki University**  
**Mito, Ibaraki, 310, Japan**  
e-mail: toshio.horiuchi.math@vc.ibaraki.ac.jp