

Isoperiodic deformations of Toda curves and chains, the difference Korteweg - de Vries equation, and $SU(N)$ Seiberg-Witten theories

Vladimir Dragović¹ and Vasilisa Shramchenko²

Dedicated to the memory of Igor Moiseevich Krichever (1950-2022).

Abstract

We introduce the dynamics of Toda curves of order N and derive differential equations governing this dynamics. We apply the obtained results to describe isoperiodic deformations of N -periodic Toda chains and periodic difference Korteweg-de Vries equation. We describe deformations of the essential spectra of N -periodic two-sided Jacobi matrices. We also study singular regimes of $SU(N)$ Seiberg-Witten theory and describe their deformations preserving the number of singularities where new massless particles may occur. We introduce and describe isoequilibrium deformations of arbitrary collections of d real disjoint closed intervals. We conclude by providing explicit triangular solutions to constrained Schlesinger systems.

MSC: 30F30, 31A15, 32G15 (35Q07, 14H40, 14H70)

Keywords: polynomial Pell's equations; Chebyshev polynomials; hyperelliptic Toda curves; periodic Toda lattices; isoequilibrium deformations; constrained Schlesinger equations; Rauch variational formulas; massless particles.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Toda curves and Pell equations	3
2.1	Toda families of Riemann surfaces	5
3	Meromorphic differentials on Toda curves	6

¹Department of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Texas at Dallas, 800 West Campbell Road, Richardson TX 75080, USA. Mathematical Institute SANU, Kneza Mihaila 36, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia. E-mail: Vladimir.Dragovic@utdallas.edu—the corresponding author

²Department of mathematics, University of Sherbrooke, 2500, boul. de l'Université, J1K 2R1 Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada. E-mail: Vasilisa.Shramchenko@Usherbrooke.ca

4	Variation of the curve	8
4.1	Rauch variation	8
4.2	Variation of dependent branch points on the Toda families of curves	9
4.3	Variational formulas for the Toda families of curves	10
5	Isoperiodicity equations	16
6	Generalized Toda families of Riemann surfaces	21
7	Isoequilibrium deformations	24
7.1	Deformations of the essential spectra of periodic Jacobi matrices	27
8	Isoperiodic deformations of periodic Toda lattices	29
9	The Korteweg - de Vries difference equation and Chebyshev polynomials	32
10	Applications to the $SU(N)$ Seiberg-Witten theory	36
11	Triangular solution to constrained Schlesinger system.	39
	Bibliography	42

1 Introduction

Toda curves of order N are real hyperelliptic curves, as well as the corresponding compact Riemann surfaces, having two points ∞^- and ∞^+ at infinity, carrying a meromorphic function with a pole of order N at ∞^- and a zero of order N at ∞^+ and no other zeros or poles [28]. Toda curves encode the equations of the periodic Toda chain and give rise to solutions of those equations [27]. Toda curves arise also from polynomial solutions to the Pell equation, some of which are the generalized Chebyshev polynomials. The question we address here is finding continuous g -parameter families of Toda curves in the moduli space of all hyperelliptic curves. This question can be reformulated in terms of an Abelian differential of the third kind Ω having simple poles at ∞^- and ∞^+ with residues -1 and $+1$, respectively, and no other poles. The families of Toda curves we describe here are the families of hyperelliptic real surfaces along which the periods of Ω with respect to some chosen homology basis stay constant. We call these families *isoperiodic families relative to Ω* .

Denoting the set of branch points of the hyperelliptic coverings of \mathbb{CP}^1 by $\{0, 1, x_1, \dots, x_g, u_1, \dots, u_g\}$, we show that isoperiodic families can be parametrized by g branch points. We derive a system of differential equations of order two for u_1, \dots, u_g as functions of x_1, \dots, x_g that are satisfied along isoperiodic families. Notably, these equations are rational in u_j, x_j and polynomial in the first order derivatives of u_j . We also prove that, under some genericity assumptions, the families of hyperelliptic curves with above branch points for which $u_j(x_1, \dots, x_g)$ for $j = 1, \dots, g$ satisfy our system of differential equations are isoperiodic. In the case of families of genus one curves we obtain an ordinary differential equation of the second order with rational coefficients, see (5.15).

The existence of isoperiodic families of hyperelliptic surfaces implies the existence of continuous families of Toda curves and of solutions to the Pell equation. On the other hand, periods of meromorphic differentials of the third kind appear in algebro-geometric solutions of equations of mathematical

physics, such as the Toda chain equations and the difference Korteweg - de Vries equation. Some conditions for the periods of Ω single out periodic solutions of these equations. It is difficult though to find Riemann surfaces for which these conditions are satisfied. We show the existence of continuous families of Riemann surfaces with given fixed periods of Ω . Once one such hyperelliptic Riemann surface is known, it suffices to evolve it according to our equations to obtain a continuous family of hyperelliptic surfaces satisfying the required condition for the periods of Ω .

Remarkably, there is a relationship between hyperelliptic curves of the form

$$\mu^2 = \mathcal{P}_N^2(z) - 1,$$

where \mathcal{P}_N is a polynomial of degree N , and the Seiberg-Witten theory, see [4, 24, 19]. We extend it here to a fruitful relationship between the Seiberg-Witten theory and Pell's equations. In this case, isoperiodic families of hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces, relative to a differential of the third kind, lead to local continuous deformations of singular regimes in the $SU(N)$ super-symmetric Yang-Mills theory. In the singular regimes, new massless particles appear. Our deformations preserve the number of these singularities where new massless particles in the theory may occur.

2 Toda curves and Pell equations

The Pell equation is the following equation for three polynomials: \mathcal{P}_N of degree N , \mathcal{Q}_{N-g-1} of degree $N - g - 1$, and Δ_{2g+2} a monic polynomial of degree $2g + 2$ vanishing at the ends of $g + 1$ real intervals

$$\mathcal{P}_N^2(u) - \Delta_{2g+2}(u)\mathcal{Q}_{N-g-1}^2(u) = 1. \quad (2.1)$$

Here N and g are positive integers such that $g \geq 1$ and $N \geq g + 1$. We also say that \mathcal{P}_N is a solution of the Pell equation, if there exist polynomials Δ_{2g+2} and \mathcal{Q}_{N-g-1} such that (2.1) holds. In the case when all zeros of Δ_{2g+2} and of \mathcal{Q}_{N-g-1} are real, solutions \mathcal{P}_N of the Pell equation are the (generalized) Chebyshev polynomials [39]. They are also called *extremal* polynomials on the set of $g + 1$ real intervals, each connecting two consecutive zeros of Δ_{2g+2} and for which $|\mathcal{P}_N| \leq 1$. Such a set of $g + 1$ real intervals is called *support of the Pell equation*. The extremal polynomials are minimal in the sense of the following extremality condition [5] that they satisfy: *The monic polynomial obtained from \mathcal{P}_N by dividing out the leading coefficient is the polynomial that deviates from zero over the given set of intervals less than any other monic polynomial of degree N having the same signature as \mathcal{P}_N .* The *signature* of \mathcal{P}_N is a vector with $g + 1$ integer components where the j -th component gives the number of local maxima and minima of \mathcal{P}_N inside the j -th interval. The support of the corresponding Pell equation is then the maximal subset of the real line for which \mathcal{P}_N is minimal in the above sense. We use the term *support of the Chebyshev polynomial \mathcal{P}_N* to mean the support of the corresponding Pell equation.

Supports obtained from each other by an affine transformation preserving the orientation of the real line are considered equivalent. Therefore we may assume the leftmost interval of the support to be $[0, 1]$. Thus, we consider the polynomial Δ_{2g+2} from (2.1) to be

$$\Delta_{2g+2}(u) = u(u - 1) \prod_{j=1}^g (u - x_j)(u - u_j), \quad (2.2)$$

and the support of the Pell equation to be of the form $[0, 1] \cup (\cup_{j=1}^g [x_j, u_j])$.

The study of extremal polynomials was initiated by Chebyshev for the case of one interval. Zolotarev studied minimal polynomials on two intervals. Important results of the theory of generalized Chebyshev polynomials were obtained by Markov, Bernstein, Borel, Akhiezer in the early 20th century. Research in this field continues nowadays with various applications, see eg. [1], [2], [5], [32], [36], [38], [39], [41] and references therein.

Associated with each solution of the Pell equation is a hyperelliptic algebraic curve

$$T = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid v^2 = \Delta_{2g+2}(u)\}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\Delta_{2g+2}(u)$ is given by (2.2) with x_j and u_j fixed real numbers. The curve T is a Toda curve according to Definition 1 given below, see also [28].

The compact projective curve $\hat{T} \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ obtained from T by adding a point at infinity $[u : v : \lambda] = [0 : 1 : 0]$ is singular at the point at infinity. This singularity is a double point giving rise to two points ∞^+ and ∞^- on the associated compact hyperelliptic Riemann surface \mathcal{T} . This surface is of genus g and is such that there is a holomorphic map $\pi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$ where $\pi(\mathcal{T}) = \hat{T}$ and

$$\pi : \mathcal{T} \setminus \pi^{-1}([0 : 1 : 0]) \rightarrow \hat{T} \setminus \{[0 : 1 : 0]\} = T \quad (2.4)$$

is a biholomorphism with $\pi^{-1}([0 : 1 : 0]) = \{\infty^+, \infty^-\}$. Using this map, and given that the algebraic curve (2.3) is non-singular, we identify the points of the Riemann surface \mathcal{T} with those of the projective curve \hat{T} or of algebraic curve T as follows. We say that $P = (u, v)$ is a point of the surface \mathcal{T} if $P = \pi^{-1}([u : v : 1])$.

The function $u : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined naturally on the algebraic curve as the projection to the first coordinate may be extended to the Riemann surface $u : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ by setting $u(\infty^\pm) = \infty$. This allows us to represent the hyperelliptic Riemann surface as the surface of the two-fold ramified covering (\mathcal{T}, u) ramified over the points of the set

$$\mathcal{B} := \{0, 1, x_1, u_1, \dots, x_g, u_g\}, \quad (2.5)$$

called the branch points of the covering. We denote the corresponding ramification points by $P_{a_j} = (a_j, 0)$ for $a_j \in \mathcal{B}$ and $j = 1, \dots, 2g + 2$. The two points at infinity ∞^\pm are characterized by the condition $v \simeq \pm u^{g+1}$ for $P = (u, v) \simeq \infty^\pm$.

Definition 1 *A hyperelliptic Riemann surface \mathcal{T} corresponding to the algebraic curve T defined by (2.3), (2.2) with $1 < x_j < u_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq g$ is called a Toda curve of order N if there is a nonzero integer N such that $N(\infty^+ - \infty^-)$ is a principal divisor.*

Let us now show that a surface defined by (2.3), (2.2) is a Toda curve of order N if $[0, 1] \cup (\cup_{j=1}^g [x_j, u_j])$ is a support of the Pell equation. Let \mathcal{P}_N be the Chebyshev polynomial of degree N satisfying the Pell equation (2.1) with Δ_{2g+2} given by (2.2). On the surface \mathcal{T} of the algebraic curve (2.3), consider a function given by $f(P) = \mathcal{P}_N(u) + v\mathcal{Q}_{N-g-1}(u)$ for $P = (u, v) \in \mathcal{T}$. Denoting by P^* the hyperelliptic involution of the point $P = (u, v)$, that is $P^* = (u, -v)$, we may write the Pell equation as $f(P^*)f(P) = 1$ since $f(P^*) = \mathcal{P}_N(u) - v\mathcal{Q}_{N-g-1}(u)$. Given that the function f has a pole of order N at ∞^+ , the property $f(P^*)f(P) = 1$ allows us to conclude that f has a zero of order N at $\infty^- = (\infty^+)^*$. Since f does not have other poles on \mathcal{T} , we conclude that its divisor is $(f) = N(\infty^+ - \infty^-)$ and thus \mathcal{T} is a Toda curve of order N .

The converse question, under what condition a Toda curve corresponds to a generalized Chebyshev polynomial, is discussed in Corollary 4.

Let us denote by $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_g; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_g\}$ a fixed canonical homology basis on \mathcal{T} , with the following intersection indices: $\mathbf{a}_k \circ \mathbf{a}_j = \mathbf{b}_k \circ \mathbf{b}_j = 0$ and $\mathbf{a}_k \circ \mathbf{b}_j = \delta_{kj}$.

2.1 Toda families of Riemann surfaces

We express the Riemann surface structure on a Toda curve by means of the following *standard local charts* on the surface \mathcal{T} induced by the ramified covering $u : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{a_k}(P) &= \sqrt{u(P) - a_k} \quad \text{if } P \sim P_{a_k}, \quad \text{with } a_k \in B, \\ \zeta_\infty(P) &= \frac{1}{u(P)} \quad \text{if } P \sim \infty^+ \text{ or } P \sim \infty^-, \\ \zeta_Q(P) &= u(P) - u(Q) \quad \text{if } P \sim Q \text{ and } Q \notin (\{P_0, \dots, P_{a_{2g+2}}\} \cup \{\infty^+\} \cup \{\infty^-\}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

We may now consider a variation of the branch points a_k , which induces a variation of the curve (2.3) and of the associated Riemann surface. We thus obtain a family of compact Riemann surfaces associated with the family of algebraic curves (2.3). However, these curves may not be Toda curves. In order to construct a continuous family of Toda curves of a given order N , we use the correspondence between supports of the Pell equation and Toda curves as well as Theorems 2.7 and 2.12 from [32]. These theorems imply that there exists a continuous family of supports of the Pell equation of the form $[0, 1] \cup (\cup_{j=1}^g [x_j, u_j])$, where the endpoints of the intervals denoted by u_j , $j = 1, \dots, g$ are smooth functions of the endpoints denoted by x_j , $j = 1, \dots, g$, while (x_1, \dots, x_g) varies in some neighbourhood in \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, each support $[0, 1] \cup (\cup_{j=1}^g [x_j, u_j])$ from such a family corresponds to the Chebyshev polynomial of a fixed degree N and a fixed signature, fixed for all supports of the family. Since any support of the Pell equation corresponds to a Toda curve, we have a continuous family of Toda curves of order N , parameterized by the g real parameters x_1, \dots, x_g . Let us introduce notation $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ and denote by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ the Toda curve (that is the corresponding Riemann surface) from the family corresponding to the values \mathbf{x} of the parameters.

We may assume that the variation of x_1, \dots, x_g is such that a canonical homology basis can be chosen on all the curves from the family in such a way that the images of the cycles $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_g; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_g\}$ by u are fixed for all curves from the family, that is do not depend on x_1, \dots, x_g .

The family of curves $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ (2.3) admits two sections at infinity, we denote them $s_{\infty+}$ and $s_{\infty-}$. We have $\mu \sim u^{g+1}$ locally near $s_{\infty+}$ and $\mu \sim -u^{g+1}$ at $s_{\infty-}$. The divisor $N(s_{\infty+}(\mathbf{x}) - s_{\infty-}(\mathbf{x}))$ is principal on the corresponding curve $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ from the family.

More generally, *abandoning the requirement of reality of the branch points*, we define a Toda family of which the constructed family of Toda curves is an example.

Definition 2 *A triple $(T, s_{\infty+}, s_{\infty-})$ is called a Toda family of order N , if $T : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ is a smooth fibration with fibers given by compact hyperelliptic genus g Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in X$ such that*

- $X \subset \mathbb{C}^g \setminus \Delta$ with $\Delta = \{(x_1, \dots, x_g) \in \mathbb{C}^g \mid \exists k \neq j \text{ with } x_k = x_j\}$,
- $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ is a function of degree two on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$,
- $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_g; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_g\}$ is a canonical homology basis on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in X$ where $u(\mathbf{a}_k)$ and $u(\mathbf{b}_k)$ are independent of $\mathbf{x} \in X$ for all $1 \leq k \leq g$,
- $s_{\infty+}$ and $s_{\infty-}$ are two sections of T such that $N(s_{\infty+}(\mathbf{x}) - s_{\infty-}(\mathbf{x}))$ is a principal divisor on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$.

In the rest of the paper we assume that the Riemann surfaces from a Toda family correspond to hyperelliptic curves of the equation (2.3), (2.2) with $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ varying in some appropriate subset X of \mathbb{C}^g . In Section 5 we derive a system of differential equations for u_1, \dots, u_g as functions of x_1, \dots, x_g . All subsequent results for Toda families are valid in particular for Toda families with real branch points corresponding to supports of the Pell equation.

3 Meromorphic differentials on Toda curves

Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ be a Riemann surface of genus g from a Toda family corresponding to the hyperelliptic curve of the equation

$$v^2 = u(u-1) \prod_{j=1}^g (u-x_j)(u-u_j), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g), (u_1, \dots, u_g) \in \mathbb{C}^g$. Let us denote $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g)^T$ the vector of holomorphic differentials normalized by the condition $\oint_{\mathbf{a}_j} \omega_k = \delta_{jk}$ with respect to a -cycles of the chosen canonical homology basis of the family as in Definition 2, $j, k = 1, \dots, g$. The b -periods of these differentials define the *Riemann matrix* \mathbb{B} of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$:

$$\oint_{\mathbf{b}_j} \omega_k = \mathbb{B}_{jk}, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, g, \quad (3.2)$$

which is symmetric and has a strictly positive imaginary part.

Note that, due to the Abel theorem, Definition 1 of a Toda curve of order N implies that there exist rational column vectors M_1 and M_2 such that $NM_1, NM_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^g$ and

$$\int_{\infty^-}^{\infty^+} \omega = M_1 + \mathbb{B}M_2. \quad (3.3)$$

Another basis in the space of holomorphic differentials on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ consists of differentials $\phi, u\phi, \dots, u^{g-1}\phi$ where

$$\phi(P) = \frac{du(P)}{v}. \quad (3.4)$$

For our purposes, it is convenient to define a third basis in the space of holomorphic differentials on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ formed by differentials v_1, \dots, v_g defined by

$$v_k(P) = \frac{\varphi(P) \prod_{\beta=1, \beta \neq k}^g (u - u_\beta)}{\varphi(P_{u_k}) \prod_{\alpha=1, \alpha \neq k}^g (u_k - u_\alpha)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, g. \quad (3.5)$$

These explicit expressions show that the zeros of v_k at the ramification points P_{u_m} with $m \neq k$ are of second order and thus there are no other zeros; in particular, the differentials v_k do not vanish at the points at infinity ∞^+ and ∞^- .

A convenient tool for working with meromorphic differentials is the so-called Riemann fundamental bidifferential $W(P, Q)$, which can be defined on surfaces $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ of the Toda family for the given choice of a canonical homology basis $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_g; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_g\}$ as in Definition 2, and two points P and Q on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$. The bidifferential can be expressed in terms of an odd theta-function with non-degenerate characteristics or defined by its three properties as follows:

- Symmetry: $W(P, Q) = W(Q, P)$;
- A second order pole along the diagonal $P = Q$ with the following local expansion in terms of a local parameter ζ near $P = Q$ and no other singularities:

$$W(P, Q) \underset{P \sim Q}{=} \left(\frac{1}{(\zeta(P) - \zeta(Q))^2} + \mathcal{O}(1) \right) d\zeta(P) d\zeta(Q); \quad (3.6)$$

- Normalization by vanishing of the a -periods: $\oint_{\mathbf{a}_j} W(P, Q) = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, g$. Due to the symmetry, these integrals can be computed with respect to either P or Q .

This definition can be shown to imply

$$\oint_{\mathbf{b}_j} W(P, Q) = 2\pi i \omega_j(P), \quad j = 1, \dots, g, \quad (3.7)$$

for the holomorphic normalized differentials $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g)^T$.

In this paper, the main role is played by the meromorphic differential of the third kind defined by

$$\Omega(P) = \Omega_{\infty^+ \infty^-}(P) - 2\pi i M_2^T \omega, \quad (3.8)$$

where $M_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^g$ is a constant column vector from (3.3) and $\Omega_{\infty^+ \infty^-}$ is the differential of the third kind having simple poles at ∞^+ and ∞^- with residues $+1$ and -1 , respectively, and normalized by vanishing of its a -periods, $\oint_{\mathbf{a}_k} \Omega_{\infty^- \infty^+} = 0$ for all $k = 1, \dots, g$. This latter differential can be defined through the fundamental bidifferential as follows

$$\Omega_{\infty^- \infty^+}(P) = \int_{\infty^-}^{\infty^+} W(P, Q). \quad (3.9)$$

Note that the b -periods of (3.9) are $\oint_{b_k} \Omega_{\infty^- \infty^+} = 2\pi i \int_{\infty^-}^{\infty^+} \omega_k$ and thus due to (3.3), the b -periods of Ω (3.8) are given by

$$\oint_{\mathbf{b}_k} \Omega = 2\pi i M_{1k}, \quad (3.10)$$

where M_{1k} is the k -th component of M_1 . The a -periods of Ω are constant and equal to the components of the vector M_2 .

We need to work with meromorphic differentials *evaluated* at ramification points of the covering $u : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$. The evaluation is defined through the standard local parameters from Section 2.1 as follows. Let Υ be a meromorphic differential on \mathcal{T} . We define its *value* at a point $Q_o \in \mathcal{T}$ which is not a pole of Υ as the constant term of the Taylor series expansion of the differential with respect to the standard local parameter ζ from the list (2.6) at Q_o , that is

$$\Upsilon(Q_o) = \left. \frac{\Upsilon(P)}{d\zeta(P)} \right|_{P=Q_o}. \quad (3.11)$$

As an example, for the value at a ramification point P_{a_j} with $a_j \in B$ of the holomorphic differential (3.4), we use the local parameter $\zeta_{a_j}(P) = \sqrt{u(P) - a_j}$ for which we have $du(P) = 2\zeta_{a_j}(P)d\zeta_{a_j}(P)$. Thus

$$\phi(P_{a_j}) = \left. \frac{\phi(P)}{d\zeta_{a_j}(P)} \right|_{\zeta_{a_j}=0} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{2g+1} (a_j - a_i)}}. \quad (3.12)$$

Using this evaluation, we can characterize differentials v_1, \dots, v_g (3.5) as the holomorphic differentials normalized by the values at g points P_{u_j} on the surface as follows:

$$v_k(P_{u_j}) = \delta_{kj}, \quad \text{with } 1 \leq k, j \leq g. \quad (3.13)$$

This definition of evaluation extends to the bidifferential W by considering one of the arguments of W fixed and thus obtaining a differential with respect to the other argument. Thus,

$$W(P, Q_o) = \frac{W(P, Q)}{d\zeta_{Q_o}(Q)} \Big|_{\zeta_{Q_o}=0}, \quad (3.14)$$

with ζ_{Q_o} being the standard local parameter in a neighbourhood of Q_o defined in Section 2.1. We end this section by obtaining some useful identities for the introduced differentials.

Lemma 1 *Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ be the compact Riemann surface corresponding to the algebraic curve (3.1) and let P_{a_j} denote the ramification point of the covering $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ corresponding to the branch point $a_j \in \mathcal{B} = \{0, 1, x_1, u_1, \dots, x_g, u_g\}$. Let the differentials Ω, ϕ, v_j be the differentials defined respectively by (3.8), (3.4), (3.5) on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ and W be the Riemann bidifferential on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$. Then for any $m = 1, \dots, g$, the following identities hold*

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a_j \in \mathcal{B} \\ a_j \neq u_m}} \frac{\Omega(P_{a_j})\varphi(P_{a_j})}{a_j - u_m} + \operatorname{res}_{P=P_{u_m}} \frac{\Omega(P)\varphi(P)}{(u - u_m)du} = 0; \quad (3.15)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a_j \in \mathcal{B} \\ a_j \neq u_m}} \Omega(P_{a_j})W(P_{a_j}, P_{u_m}) + \operatorname{res}_{P=P_{u_m}} \frac{\Omega(P)W(P, P_{u_m})}{du} = 0; \quad (3.16)$$

$$\Omega(P_0)v_m(P_0) + \Omega(P_1)v_m(P_1) + \sum_{i=1}^g \Omega(P_{x_i})v_m(P_{x_i}) + \Omega(P_{u_m}) = 0; \quad (3.17)$$

$$\Omega(P_1)v_m(P_1) + \sum_{i=1}^g x_i \Omega(P_{x_i})v_m(P_{x_i}) + u_m \Omega(P_{u_m}) = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. All these relations are obtained by equating to zero the sums of residues of appropriate differentials on the compact surface $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$. Namely, (3.15) corresponds to the sum of residues of the differential $\frac{\Omega(P)\varphi(P)}{(u - u_m)du}$. And (3.16) corresponds to the differential $\frac{\Omega(P)W(P, P_{u_m})}{du}$. For (3.17) and (3.18), the differentials are respectively $\frac{\Omega(P)v_m(P)}{du(P)}$ and $\frac{u(P)\Omega(P)v_m(P)}{du(P)}$ where v_m is the holomorphic differential (3.5) vanishing at every P_{u_j} with $j \neq m$. \square

4 Variation of the curve

4.1 Rauch variation

In this section we consider a family of hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces corresponding to the curves defined by equation (3.1) where x_1, \dots, x_g and u_1, \dots, u_g are independent complex parameters varying in some small neighbourhood without coinciding. These variables parameterize complex structures of the curves through the standard local charts from Section 2.1. Let us denote these surfaces by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{xu}}$. All the meromorphic differentials defined on our hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces depend on the complex structure of the surface and thus vary under the variation of the branch points x_j and u_j . This variation is captured by the Rauch formulas [15], see also [25], which we give in this section. As before, we use the notation a_j for an arbitrary branch point from the set \mathcal{B} (3.2) although in this section we naturally assume that $a_j \notin \{0, 1\}$.

A meromorphic differential $\Upsilon(P)$ defined on a surface from our family depends on the surface in question through the parameters x_j and u_j and on a point P of that surface. The *Rauch variation* is the variation of the branch points x_j and u_j of the covering $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ while keeping $u(P)$ fixed. Thus we define the *Rauch derivative* as follows for $a_k \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \{0, 1\}$:

$$\frac{\partial^{\text{Rauch}}}{\partial a_k} \Upsilon(P) := \frac{\partial}{\partial a_k} \Big|_{u(P)=\text{const}} \Upsilon(P). \quad (4.1)$$

In the case of the Riemann bidifferential we need to require that both $u(P)$ and $u(Q)$ stay fixed. We have the following Rauch variational formula for the W , see [15, 25]:

$$\frac{\partial^{\text{Rauch}}}{\partial a_k} W(P, Q) := \frac{\partial}{\partial a_k} \Big|_{\substack{u(P)=\text{const} \\ u(Q)=\text{const}}} W(P, Q) = \frac{1}{2} W(P, P_{a_k}) W(P_{a_k}, Q).$$

Assuming that the projections on the u -sphere of the chosen canonical homology basis do not change under the variation of branch points, the Rauch variation of the Riemann bidifferential implies the following Rauch formulas for the holomorphic normalized differentials ω_j due to (3.7) and for the Riemann matrix \mathbb{B} of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{xu}}$ (3.2):

$$\frac{\partial^{\text{Rauch}} \omega_j(P)}{\partial a_k} = \frac{1}{2} \omega_j(P_{a_k}) W(P, P_{a_k}), \quad \frac{\partial^{\text{Rauch}} \mathbb{B}_{ij}}{\partial a_k} = \pi i \omega_j(P_{a_k}) \omega_i(P_{a_k}), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, g. \quad (4.2)$$

These Rauch variational formulas applied to definition (3.8), (3.9) of the differential of the third kind Ω , yield

$$\frac{\partial^{\text{Rauch}} \Omega(P)}{\partial a_k} = \frac{1}{2} \Omega(P_{a_k}) W(P, P_{a_k}). \quad (4.3)$$

Evaluate both sides of the equation at $P = P_{a_j}$ for some $a_j \in \mathcal{B}$ and $a_j \neq a_k$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^{\text{Rauch}} \Omega(P_{a_j})}{\partial a_k} = \frac{1}{2} \Omega(P_{a_k}) W(P_{a_j}, P_{a_k}) \quad (4.4)$$

and we may do similarly for other Rauch formulas. It is important to note that Rauch variation does not allow us to express the derivative of $\Omega(P_{a_k})$ with respect to a_k . This situation is not covered by our definition of the Rauch derivative as in (4.1) the u -image of the argument of the differential has to stay fixed under the variation. One can also see that the right hand side of (4.3) cannot be evaluated at P_{a_k} as the bidifferential W has a pole when both arguments coincide. However, for our purposes, we need to express $\partial_{a_k} \Omega(P_{a_k})$ as well, and we do so in Section 4.3.

4.2 Variation of dependent branch points on the Toda families of curves

Let us now consider a Toda family of the curves defined by equation (3.1) and find an expression for derivatives of the dependent branch points u_1, \dots, u_g with respect to the independent branch points x_1, \dots, x_g .

Theorem 1 *Let T be a Toda family of Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ corresponding to the curves of the equation (3.1) where the branch points u_1, \dots, u_g of the covering $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ are functions of the independently varying branch points x_1, \dots, x_g . Let the chosen canonical homology basis $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_g; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_g\}$ be as in Definition 2 and Ω and v_m be differentials (3.8) and (3.5). Then we have for the functions $u_m(x_1, \dots, x_g)$:*

$$\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{\Omega(P_{x_i})}{\Omega(P_{u_m})} v_m(P_{x_i}). \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. Let us differentiate (3.3) with respect to an independent branch point x_i using the Rauch formulas from Section 4.1 and using (3.9) to denote the integrals of W . In this way we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(P_{x_i})\Omega_{\infty-\infty+}(P_{x_i}) + \sum_{k=1}^g \omega(P_{u_k})\Omega_{\infty-\infty+}(P_{u_k}) \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} \\ = 2\pi i \omega(P_{x_i})\omega^T(P_{x_i})M_2 + 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^g \omega(P_{u_k})\omega^T(P_{u_k})M_2 \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

or equivalently, using definition (3.8) for Ω ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^g \omega(P_{u_k})\Omega(P_{u_k}) \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} = -\omega(P_{x_i})\Omega(P_{x_i}). \quad (4.7)$$

Both sides of this equation are g -component column vectors because ω is a vector of holomorphic normalized differentials. Thus, this is a linear system of equations for the g unknown functions $\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i}$, the matrix of coefficients being the $g \times g$ matrix $[\omega(P_{u_1})\Omega(P_{u_1}), \dots, \omega(P_{u_g})\Omega(P_{u_g})]$. Solving this system by Cramer's rule, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{\Omega(P_{x_i})}{\Omega(P_{u_m})} \frac{\det \mathcal{M}_m}{\det \mathcal{M}}, \quad (4.8)$$

where \mathcal{M} stands for the $g \times g$ matrix $[\omega(P_{u_1}), \dots, \omega(P_{u_g})]$ and \mathcal{M}_m is the matrix obtained from \mathcal{M} by replacing the m th column with $\omega(P_{x_i})$. Note that expressions (4.7) and (4.8) are invariant under replacing the column vector ω by a column vector whose components form any basis in the space of holomorphic one-forms on the surface $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$. Thus by replacing the vector ω by the column vector $v = (v_1, \dots, v_g)^T$ of the differentials (3.5), we have the identity matrix instead of the matrix \mathcal{M} in (4.8) and thus (4.8) turns into (4.5) \square

Remark 1 *Note that in particular, for a continuous family of supports of the Pell equation of the form $[0, 1] \cup (\cup_{j=1}^g [x_j, u_j])$ parameterized by x_1, \dots, x_g , formula (4.5) expresses derivatives of the positions of right-end points of the intervals with respect to the positions of their left-end points.*

4.3 Variational formulas for the Toda families of curves

Here we again consider a family of Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$, $\mathbf{x} \in X$ corresponding to the curves of the equation (3.1) forming a Toda family in the sense of Definition 2. In particular, the branch points u_1, \dots, u_g of the coverings $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ are functions of the independently varying branch points x_1, \dots, x_g . Our goal is to obtain derivatives of various meromorphic differentials defined on the family $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$, $\mathbf{x} \in X$ with respect to x_1, \dots, x_g .

The first such formulas can be easily obtained for $\varphi(P_{a_j})$ and $v_m(P_{a_j})$, the holomorphic differentials φ (3.4) and v_m (3.5) evaluated at ramification points P_{a_j} according to (3.11). Let us assume that u_α stands for a dependent branch point and a_j denotes any of the independently varying branch points different from x_i . The following formulas are obtained by a straightforward differentiation of (3.12)

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(P_{a_j})}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\varphi(P_{a_j})}{2} \left(\frac{1}{a_j - x_i} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^g \frac{1}{a_j - u_\alpha} \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_i} \right), \quad (4.9)$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(P_{u_m})}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\varphi(P_{u_m})}{2} \left(\frac{1}{u_m - x_i} - \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \sum_{\substack{a_j \in B \\ a_j \neq u_m}} \frac{1}{u_m - a_j} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - u_\alpha} \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_i} \right). \quad (4.10)$$

Similarly, the evaluation of v_m (3.5) at P_{a_j} with any $a_j \in B$ gives

$$v_m(P_{a_j}) = \frac{\varphi(P_{a_j}) \prod_{\beta=1, \beta \neq m}^g (a_j - u_\beta)}{\varphi(P_{u_m}) \prod_{\alpha=1, \alpha \neq m}^g (u_m - u_\alpha)}, \quad m = 1, \dots, g, \quad (4.11)$$

which can be differentiated in a straightforward way using the obtained derivatives for $\varphi(P_{a_j})$. This yields for $a_j \notin \{u_1, \dots, u_g, x_i\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{v_m(P_{a_j})} \frac{\partial v_m(P_{a_j})}{\partial x_i} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{a_j - x_i} - \frac{1}{u_m - x_i} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{a_j - u_m} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{a_j - u_\alpha} \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_i} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{1}{u_m} + \frac{1}{u_m - 1} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^g \frac{1}{u_m - x_\alpha} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - u_\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_i} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{v_m(P_{x_i})} \frac{\partial v_m(P_{x_i})}{\partial x_i} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x_i} + \frac{1}{x_i - 1} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq i}}^g \frac{1}{x_i - x_\alpha} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{x_i - u_\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{u_m} + \frac{1}{u_m - 1} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq i}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - x_\alpha} \right) \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - u_\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_i} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

In order to obtain further variational formulas and for the rest of the paper, we need the simple rational identities proven in the next lemma.

Lemma 2 *Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and a set $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^M \subset \mathbb{C}$ be given. For and any x not in the set $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^M$, we have*

$$\sum_{k=1}^M \frac{1}{(x - u_k) \prod_{\alpha=1, \alpha \neq k}^M (u_k - u_\alpha)} = \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha=1}^M (x - u_\alpha)}; \quad (4.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^M \frac{1}{(u_k - x)(u_k - u_m) \prod_{\alpha=1, \alpha \neq k}^M (u_k - u_\alpha)} &= \frac{\sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^M \frac{1}{u_m - u_\alpha}}{(u_m - x) \prod_{k=1, k \neq m}^M (u_m - u_k)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(u_m - x) \prod_{k=1}^M (x - u_k)} + \frac{1}{(u_m - x)^2 \prod_{k=1, k \neq m}^M (u_m - u_k)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Proof. Identity (4.14) is proven by comparing the poles and residues of the right and left hand sides. To establish identity (4.15), let us first rewrite the left hand side as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{u_m - x} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^M \left(\frac{1}{u_k - u_m} - \frac{1}{u_k - x} \right) \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha \neq k} (u_k - u_\alpha)} &= \frac{1}{u_m - x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_m} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^M \frac{1}{(u_k - u_m) \prod_{\alpha \neq k, m} (u_k - u_\alpha)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{u_m - x} \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{1}{(x - u_k) \prod_{\alpha \neq k} (u_k - u_\alpha)} + \frac{1}{(u_m - x)^2 \prod_{\alpha \neq m} (u_m - u_\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to use (4.14) in the first sum relative to the set $\{u_\alpha\}_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^M \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $x = u_m$ as well as in the second sum. \square

Now, let us differentiate the quantity $\Omega(P_{a_j})$ with a_j being an independently varying branch point of the coverings $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$.

Proposition 1 *Let $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ be a Toda family of Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ as in Definition 2 corresponding to the curves of equation (3.1) where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ are the independently varying branch points of the coverings $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}, u)$ and the set of all branch points is \mathcal{B} (2.5). Let Ω and φ be, respectively, the meromorphic differential of the third kind defined by (3.8) and the holomorphic differential defined by (3.4) on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ for each $\mathbf{x} \in X$. Then for any $a_j \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \{x_i, u_1, \dots, u_g\}$ and $i = 1, \dots, g$, we have for the evaluation of Ω at a ramification point P_{a_j} obtained with respect to the standard local parameters $\sqrt{u(P) - a_j}$ according to (3.11):*

$$\frac{\partial \Omega(P_{a_j})}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\Omega(P_{x_i}) \phi(P_{x_i})}{2(x_i - a_j) \phi(P_{a_j})} \prod_{\alpha=1}^g \frac{x_i - u_\alpha}{a_j - u_\alpha}.$$

Proof. From the Rauch formulas, we have

$$\frac{\partial \Omega(P_{a_j})}{\partial x_i} = \frac{1}{2} W(P_{x_i}, P_{a_j}) \Omega(P_{x_i}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^g W(P_{u_\alpha}, P_{a_j}) \Omega(P_{u_\alpha}) \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_i}.$$

Now, let us use the following form for $W(P, P_{a_j})$

$$W(P, P_{a_j}) = \frac{1}{u - a_j} \frac{\phi(P)}{\phi(P_{a_j})} - \sum_{k=1}^g \beta_k^{(a_j)} \omega_k(P) \quad (4.16)$$

where ω_k are the holomorphic normalized differentials and $\beta_k^{(a_j)}$ are normalization constants ensuring that the a -periods of $W(P, P_{a_j})$ vanish. Plugging this into our derivative and using (4.16) with $P = P_{x_i}$ and $P = P_{u_\alpha}$, we see that the terms containing the normalization constants $\beta_k^{(a_j)}$ cancel out due to (4.7). We obtain

$$\frac{\partial \Omega(P_{a_j})}{\partial x_i} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega(P_{x_i}) \phi(P_{x_i})}{x_i - a_j \phi(P_{a_j})} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^g \frac{\Omega(P_{u_\alpha}) \phi(P_{u_\alpha})}{u_\alpha - a_j \phi(P_{a_j})} \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_i}.$$

Using (4.5) for the derivatives of u_α , we have

$$\frac{\partial \Omega(P_{a_j})}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\Omega(P_{x_i}) \varphi(P_{x_i})}{2 \varphi(P_{a_j})} \left(\frac{1}{x_i - a_j} - \sum_{\alpha=1}^g \frac{1}{u_\alpha - a_j} \prod_{\beta=1, \beta \neq \alpha}^g \frac{x_i - u_\beta}{u_\alpha - u_\beta} \right).$$

Rewriting the sum over α slightly to facilitate the next step,

$$\frac{\partial \Omega(P_{a_j})}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\Omega(P_{x_i})\varphi(P_{x_i})}{2(x_i - a_j)\varphi(P_{a_j})} \left(1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^g \left(\frac{1}{x_i - u_\alpha} - \frac{1}{a_j - u_\alpha} \right) \frac{\prod_{s=1}^g (x_i - u_s)}{\prod_{\beta=1, \beta \neq \alpha}^g (u_\alpha - u_\beta)} \right)$$

and then applying identity (4.14) with $x = x_i$ and $x = a_j$, we finish the proof of the proposition. \square
The next step is to differentiate the quantity obtained by evaluation of the differential Ω at a ramification point whose position is not an independent variable. In this case, when applying the chain rule, we need the following lemma, to obtain a derivative of $\Omega(P_{u_m})$ with respect to u_m .

Lemma 3 *For \mathcal{B} as in (2.5), let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ be a family of Riemann surfaces corresponding to the curves of equation (3.1), where we assume that all variable branch points of the coverings $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}, u)$ vary independently of each other. Let Ω be the meromorphic differential of the third kind defined by (3.8) and W be the fundamental Riemann bidifferential defined in Section 3. Then for any $a_k \in \mathcal{B}$, the evaluation of Ω at a ramification point P_{a_k} obtained with respect to the standard local parameters $\sqrt{u(P) - a_k}$ according to (3.11) satisfies*

$$\frac{\partial \Omega(P_{a_k})}{\partial a_k} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a_j \in \mathcal{B} \\ a_j \neq a_k}} \Omega(P_{a_j}) W(P_{a_k}, P_{a_j}).$$

Proof. Let us consider the family of Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{T}_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}}$ corresponding to hyperelliptic curves of the equation

$$v^2 = \prod_{j=1}^{2g+2} (u - a_j), \quad (4.17)$$

parameterized by the elements of the set $\hat{\mathcal{B}} := \{a_1, \dots, a_{2g+1}\}$. The branch points a_j of the covering $(\mathcal{T}_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}}, u)$ vary continuously in some open set $D \in \mathbb{C}^{2g+1}$, for which we assume that i) the points of D are vectors with distinct components and ii) a canonical homology basis can be chosen for all the surfaces of the family $\mathcal{T}_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}}$ with $(a_1, \dots, a_{2g+1}) \in D$ in such a way that their u -images are fixed for all $(a_1, \dots, a_{2g+1}) \in D$, that is independent of a_j .

Let now ε be a complex number with small absolute value such that for some fixed $(a_1^o, \dots, a_{2g+1}^o) \in D$ we have $(a_1^o + \varepsilon, \dots, a_{2g+1}^o + \varepsilon) \in D$ and consider the covering $u_\varepsilon : \mathcal{T}_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ defined by $u_\varepsilon(P) = u(P) + \varepsilon$. The covering u_ε is ramified at the ramification points $P_{a_j} = (a_j, 0)$, $j = 1, \dots, 2g+1$, which are zeros of du_ε , and at $P_\infty = (\infty, \infty)$ for any ε ; the corresponding branch points are at $a_1 + \varepsilon, \dots, a_{2g+1} + \varepsilon, \infty$.

We may evaluate the differential Ω defined on the surface corresponding to the equation (4.17) at a ramification point P_{a_k} with respect to the standard local parameter at P_{a_k} induced either by the covering u or by the covering u_ε . However, standard local parameters (2.6) are not affected by the shift $u \mapsto u + \varepsilon$. Therefore, the quantity $\Omega(P_{a_k})$ is independent of ε . On the other hand, $\Omega(P_{a_k})$ depends on the complex structure of the surface and thus is a function of branch points $\{a_j\}$ of the covering u . In the same way, $\Omega(P_{a_k})$ is a function of branch points $\{a_j + \varepsilon\}$ of the covering u_ε . This allows us to write

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \Omega(P_{a_k}) = \sum_{j=1}^{2g+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial (a_j + \varepsilon)} \Omega(P_{a_k}) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = \sum_{j=1}^{2g+1} \frac{\partial \text{Rauch}}{\partial a_j} \Omega(P_{a_k}) = \frac{\partial \Omega(P_{a_k})}{\partial a_k} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{2g+1} \frac{\partial \text{Rauch}}{\partial a_j} \Omega(P_{a_k}).$$

This, together with the Rauch formulas (4.4) proves the lemma for any set $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ of branch points, and in particular for $\hat{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}$ (2.5). \square

Now we are ready for the next proposition which gives the derivative of Ω evaluated at a ramification point which is not included in Proposition 1.

Proposition 2 *Let $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ be a Toda family of Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ as in Definition 2 corresponding to the curves of equation (3.1) where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ are the independently varying branch points of the coverings $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ and the set of all branch points is \mathcal{B} (2.5). Let Ω and φ be the meromorphic differential of the third kind (3.8) and the holomorphic differential (3.4) on each $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$, respectively. Then for any $i, m = 1, \dots, g$, we have for the evaluation of Ω at a ramification point P_{u_m} obtained with respect to the standard local parameter $\sqrt{u(P) - u_m}$ according to (3.11):*

$$\frac{\partial \Omega(P_{u_m})}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\Omega(P_{u_m})}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{x_i - u_m} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - u_\alpha} - \frac{1}{\Omega(P_{u_m})\varphi(P_{u_m})} \sum_{\substack{a_j \in \mathcal{B} \\ a_j \neq u_m}} \frac{\Omega(P_{a_j})\varphi(P_{a_j})}{a_j - u_m} \right) \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i}.$$

Proof. Differentiating $\Omega(P_{u_m})$ with respect to x_i by the chain rule with the help of Rauch formulas (4.4) and Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Omega(P_{u_m})}{\partial x_i} &= \frac{\partial^{\text{Rauch}} \Omega(P_{u_m})}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^{g-1} \frac{\partial^{\text{Rauch}} \Omega(P_{u_m})}{\partial u_k} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \Omega(P_{u_m})}{\partial u_m} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Omega(P_{x_i}) W(P_{x_i}, P_{u_m}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^{g-1} \Omega(P_{u_k}) W(P_{u_k}, P_{u_m}) \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a_j \in \mathcal{B} \\ a_j \neq u_m}} \Omega(P_{a_j}) W(P_{a_j}, P_{u_m}) \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now represent $W(\cdot, P_{u_m})$ similarly to (4.16) but with the help of the basis (3.5) in the space of holomorphic differentials as follows. For any $a_j \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$W(P, P_{a_j}) = \frac{1}{u - a_j} \frac{\varphi(P)}{\varphi(P_{a_j})} - \sum_{\alpha=1}^g \gamma_\alpha^{(a_j)} v_\alpha(P), \quad (4.18)$$

where, again, $\gamma_\alpha^{(a_j)}$ are normalizing constants ensuring that the a -periods of $W(\cdot, P_{a_j})$ vanish. Using this with $a_j = u_m$, and evaluating P at other ramification points $P = P_{x_i}$, $P = P_{a_j}$, $P = P_{u_k}$ with $k \neq m$, using at the same time the defining conditions (3.13) for the differentials v_α as well as (3.16), the above expression for our derivative becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Omega(P_{u_m})}{\partial x_i} &= \frac{\Omega(P_{x_i})}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x_i - u_m} \frac{\varphi(P_{x_i})}{\varphi(P_{u_m})} - \sum_{\alpha=1}^g \gamma_\alpha^{(u_m)} v_\alpha(P_{x_i}) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^g \frac{\Omega(P_{u_k})}{2} \left(\frac{1}{u_k - u_m} \frac{\varphi(P_{u_k})}{\varphi(P_{u_m})} - \gamma_k^{(u_m)} \right) \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} + \text{res}_{P=P_{u_m}} \frac{\Omega(P) W(P, P_{u_m})}{du} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i}. \quad (4.19) \end{aligned}$$

Now we note that, multiplying (4.18) by $\frac{\Omega(P)}{du}$, and using the characteristic properties (3.13) of the differentials v_j and $du(P) = 2\zeta_{u_m}(P)\zeta_{u_m}(P)$ for $P \sim P_{u_m}$ and ζ_{u_m} being the standard local coordinate

from (2.6), one has

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(P_{u_m})} \operatorname{res}_{P=P_{u_m}} \frac{\Omega(P)\varphi(P)}{(u-u_m)du} - \operatorname{res}_{P=P_{u_m}} \frac{\Omega(P)W(P, P_{u_m})}{du} = \operatorname{res}_{P=P_{u_m}} \frac{\Omega(P)}{du} \sum_{k=1}^g \gamma_k^{(u_m)} v_k(P) = \frac{1}{2} \Omega(P_{u_m}) \gamma_m^{(u_m)}.$$

Together with (3.15), this allows us to express the residue appearing in (4.19). Plugging in this result as well as the derivatives (4.5) of u_m into (4.19), we obtain an expression without terms containing normalization constants $\gamma_k^{(u_m)}$:

$$\frac{\partial \Omega(P_{u_m})}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\Omega(P_{x_i})\varphi(P_{x_i})}{2(x_i - u_m)\varphi(P_{u_m})} - \frac{\Omega(P_{x_i})}{2\varphi(P_{u_m})} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^g \frac{v_k(P_{x_i})\varphi(P_{u_k})}{u_k - u_m} + \frac{\Omega(P_{x_i})v_m(P_{x_i})}{2\Omega(P_{u_m})\varphi(P_{u_m})} \sum_{a_j \neq u_m} \frac{\Omega(P_{a_j})\varphi(P_{a_j})}{a_j - u_m}.$$

Let us now plug in explicit expressions (3.5) for the differentials v_k , and evaluate the sum over k using identity (4.15) with $x = x_i$ and $N = g$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Omega(P_{u_m})}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\Omega(P_{x_i})\varphi(P_{x_i})}{2(x_i - u_m)\varphi(P_{u_m})} & \left(- \sum_{\beta \neq m} \frac{1}{u_m - u_\beta} - \frac{1}{u_m - x_i} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{\Omega(P_{u_m})\varphi(P_{u_m})} \sum_{a_j \neq u_m} \frac{\Omega(P_{a_j})\varphi(P_{a_j})}{a_j - u_m} \right) \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^g (x_i - u_\alpha)}{\prod_{\alpha=1, \alpha \neq m}^g (u_m - u_\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the statement of the proposition due to expression (4.5) for $\partial_{x_i} u_m$. \square

Proposition 3 *In the situation of Proposition 2, for any $k = 1, \dots, g$, we have for the evaluation of Ω at a ramification point P_{x_k} obtained with respect to the standard local parameter $\sqrt{u(P) - x_k}$ according to (3.11):*

$$\frac{\partial \Omega(P_{x_k})}{\partial x_k} = -\frac{\Omega(P_{x_k})}{2} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^g \frac{1}{u_\alpha - x_k} \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq \alpha}}^g \frac{x_k - u_\beta}{u_\alpha - u_\beta} + \sum_{\substack{a_j \in B \\ a_j \neq x_k}} \frac{1}{a_j - x_k} \frac{\Omega(P_{a_j})\varphi(P_{a_j})}{\Omega(P_{x_k})\varphi(P_{x_k})} \right).$$

Proof. Lemma 3 and the chain rule imply

$$\frac{\partial \Omega(P_{x_k})}{\partial x_k} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a_j \in B \\ a_j \neq x_k}} \Omega(P_{a_j})W(P_{a_j}, P_{x_k}) + \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{\partial^{\text{Rauch}} \Omega(P_{x_k})}{\partial u_j} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k}. \quad (4.20)$$

Now we replace the first sum by the residue at P_{x_k} of $\frac{\Omega(P)W(P, P_{x_k})}{du}$ analogously to relation (3.16) and use the Rauch formulas (4.3) in the second sum as well as (4.5) for $\partial_{x_k} u_j$. In doing so, we write the bidifferential W as in (4.18) replacing a_j by x_k . This yields for the residue:

$$\operatorname{res}_{P=P_{x_k}} \frac{\Omega(P)W(P, P_{x_k})}{du} = \frac{1}{\phi(P_{x_k})} \operatorname{res}_{P=P_{x_k}} \frac{\Omega(P)\varphi(P)}{(u - x_k)du} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^g \Omega(P_{x_k})v_j(P_{x_k})\gamma_j^{(x_k)}.$$

The sum over j cancels against the terms coming out of the sum over j in (4.20)

$$\sum_{j=1}^g \frac{\partial^{\text{Rauch}} \Omega(P_{x_k})}{\partial u_j} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k} = -\frac{\Omega(P_{x_k})}{2} \sum_{j=1}^g v_j(P_{x_k}) \left(\frac{1}{u_j - x_k} \frac{\varphi(P_{u_j})}{\varphi(P_{x_k})} - \gamma_j^{(x_k)} \right).$$

Replacing now the remaining residue through (3.15) which holds upon replacing u_m by x_k , and replacing $v_j(P_{x_k})$ by their explicit representation (3.5), we finish the proof. \square

5 Isoperiodicity equations

In this section, we derive a system of differential equations for the positions of dependent branch points with respect to the independent ones of the coverings defining a Toda family of Riemann surfaces. In Section 6, we interpret this system as isoperiodic deformations of a Riemann surface carrying a differential of the third kind with fixed periods on it. Recall that existence of Toda families follows from Theorems 2.7 and 2.12 from [32]; we also prove it in Section 6.

Theorem 2 *Let $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ be a Toda family of hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ corresponding to the curves of equation (3.1) parameterized by $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g) \in X$ as in Definition 2. Then the branch points (u_1, \dots, u_g) of the coverings $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ satisfy the following system with respect to variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$.*

For $m, k, n \in \{1, \dots, g\}$ with $k \neq n$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u_m}{\partial x_k \partial x_n} = & \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x_k - x_n} - \frac{1}{u_m - x_n} \right) \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x_n - x_k} - \frac{1}{u_m - x_k} \right) \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_n} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{u_m} + \frac{2}{u_m - 1} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k, m}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - x_j} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - u_j} \right) \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_n} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \left(\frac{1}{u_m - u_\alpha} - \frac{1}{x_k - u_\alpha} \right) \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_n} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_n} \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \left(\frac{1}{u_m - u_\alpha} - \frac{1}{x_n - u_\alpha} \right) \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_k} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_n} \sum_{i=1}^g \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - u_j} + \frac{1}{u_m} \right) - \frac{1}{2u_m(u_m - 1)} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_n} \sum_{i=1}^g x_i \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_n} \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha=1}^g (x_j - u_\alpha)} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_j} \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq m}}^g (u_m - u_\beta) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_n} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^g \sum_{i=1}^g \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{1}{u_m - u_j} - \frac{1}{x_i - u_j} \right) \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq j}}^g (u_j - u_\alpha)} \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq m}}^g (u_m - u_\beta); \quad (5.1) \end{aligned}$$

and for any $m, k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 u_m}{\partial x_k^2} = & \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{u_m}{x_k - 1} - \frac{u_m - 1}{x_k} - \frac{1}{x_k - u_m} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^g \left(\frac{1}{x_k - u_m} - \frac{1}{x_k - x_j} \right) \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_j} \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} \left(\frac{2}{x_k} + \frac{2}{x_k - 1} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq k}}^g \frac{1}{x_k - x_\alpha} - \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{x_k - u_\alpha} - \frac{1}{x_k - u_m} \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x_k} - \frac{1}{x_k - 1} \right) \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^g x_i \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x_k - u_m} - \frac{1}{x_k} \right) \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^g \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} \right)^2 \left(\frac{2}{u_m} + \frac{2}{u_m - 1} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq k}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - x_\alpha} - \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - u_\alpha} + \frac{1}{x_k - u_m} \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \left(\frac{1}{u_m - u_\alpha} - \frac{1}{x_k - u_\alpha} \right) \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_k} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} \right)^2 \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha=1}^g (x_j - u_\alpha)} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_j} \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq m}}^g (u_m - u_\beta) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} \right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^g \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{1}{u_m} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - u_j} \right) - \frac{1}{2u_m(u_m - 1)} \left(\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} \right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^g x_i \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} \right)^2 \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^g \sum_{i=1}^g \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{1}{u_m - u_j} - \frac{1}{x_i - u_j} \right) \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq j}}^g (u_j - u_\alpha)} \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq m}}^g (u_m - u_\beta). \quad (5.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1 *Let $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_g$ be independent real variables and (u_1, \dots, u_g) be functions of x_1, \dots, x_g such that $x_j < u_j$ and $[0, 1] \cup (\cup_{j=1}^g [x_j, u_j]) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous family of supports of the Pell equation (2.1). Then the functions $u_m(x_1, \dots, x_g)$ satisfy system (5.1), (5.2).*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2, Definition 2 of a Toda family, of which the situation of the corollary is a particular case, and Theorems 2.7 and 2.12 from [32] stating the existence of continuous families of supports of the Pell equation of the form in question. \square

To prove Theorem 2, we need an auxiliary result of the next lemma.

Lemma 4 *For a Toda family $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ of Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ corresponding to the curves of equation (3.1), let Ω be the meromorphic differential of the third kind (3.8) and let φ be the holomorphic differential (3.4) on each surface from the family. Let the quantities $\phi(P_{u_m})$ and $\Omega(P_{u_m})$ be obtained according to (3.11) with respect to the standard local parameter $\sqrt{u(P) - u_m}$ at the ramification point P_{u_m} of the covering $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$. Then for any $m = 1, \dots, g$*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\Omega(P_{u_m})\phi(P_{u_m})} \operatorname{res}_{P=P_{u_m}} \frac{\Omega(P)\varphi(P)}{(u - u_m)du} = & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha=1}^g (x_j - u_\alpha)} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_j} \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq m}}^g (u_m - u_\beta) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^g \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} - 1 \right) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - u_j} + \frac{1}{2u_m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^g \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2(u_m - 1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^g \frac{x_i}{u_m} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} - 1 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^g \sum_{i=1}^g \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{1}{u_m - u_j} - \frac{1}{x_i - u_j} \right) \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq j}}^g (u_j - u_\alpha)} \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq m}}^g (u_m - u_\beta). \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. From (3.15) of Lemma 1 we have

$$\frac{\Omega(P)\varphi(P)}{\text{res}_{P=P_{u_m}}(u - u_m)du} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega(P_0)\phi(P_0)}{u_m} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega(P_1)\phi(P_1)}{1 - u_m} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{\Omega(P_{x_j})\phi(P_{x_j})}{x_j - u_m} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^g \frac{\Omega(P_{u_j})\phi(P_{u_j})}{u_j - u_m}.$$

Next, we divide by $\Omega(P_{u_m})\phi(P_{u_m})$ and substitute the expression for $\Omega(P_{u_j})$ obtained from (3.17) with $m = j$. We use (4.5) to rewrite parts of the expression in terms of derivatives of u_m . This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Omega(P_{u_m})\phi(P_{u_m})} \text{res}_{P=P_{u_m}} \frac{\Omega(P)\varphi(P)}{(u - u_m)du} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega(P_0)\phi(P_0)}{\Omega(P_{u_m})\phi(P_{u_m})} \left(\frac{1}{u_m} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^g \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^g (-u_\alpha)}{u_j(u_j - u_m)} \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq j}}^g \frac{1}{(u_j - u_\beta)} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega(P_1)\phi(P_1)}{\Omega(P_{u_m})\phi(P_{u_m})} \left(\frac{1}{u_m - 1} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^g \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^g (1 - u_\alpha)}{(u_j - 1)(u_j - u_m)} \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq j}}^g \frac{1}{(u_j - u_\beta)} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha=1}^g (x_j - u_\alpha)} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_j} \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq m}}^g (u_m - u_\beta) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^g \sum_{i=1}^g \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{1}{u_j - u_m} + \frac{1}{x_i - u_j} \right) \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq j}}^g (u_j - u_\alpha)} \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq m}}^g (u_m - u_\beta). \quad (5.4) \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply rational identity (4.15) with $N = g$ for the sums in the first and second lines: for the first line we set $x = 0$ and for the second line $x = 1$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Omega(P_{u_m})\phi(P_{u_m})} \text{res}_{P=P_{u_m}} \frac{\Omega(P)\varphi(P)}{(u - u_m)du} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha=1}^g (x_j - u_\alpha)} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_j} \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq m}}^g (u_m - u_\beta) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega(P_0)\phi(P_0)}{\Omega(P_{u_m})\phi(P_{u_m})} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - u_\alpha} + \frac{1}{u_m} \right) \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^g (-u_\alpha)}{u_m \prod_{k \neq m} (u_m - u_k)} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega(P_1)\phi(P_1)}{\Omega(P_{u_m})\phi(P_{u_m})} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - u_\alpha} + \frac{1}{u_m - 1} \right) \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^g (1 - u_\alpha)}{(u_m - 1) \prod_{k \neq m} (u_m - u_k)} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^g \sum_{i=1}^g \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{1}{u_j - u_m} + \frac{1}{x_i - u_j} \right) \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq j}}^g (u_j - u_\alpha)} \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq m}}^g (u_m - u_\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Let us now express $\frac{\Omega(P_1)\phi(P_1)}{\Omega(P_{u_m})\phi(P_{u_m})}$ from (3.18) of Lemma 1 as follows

$$\frac{\Omega(P_1)\phi(P_1)}{\Omega(P_{u_m})\phi(P_{u_m})} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^g x_i \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} - u_m \right) \prod_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{u_m - u_\alpha}{1 - u_\alpha}. \quad (5.5)$$

This and (3.17) of Lemma 1 allow to express $\frac{\Omega(P_0)\phi(P_0)}{\Omega(P_{u_m})\phi(P_{u_m})}$ as follows

$$\frac{\Omega(P_0)\phi(P_0)}{\Omega(P_{u_m})\phi(P_{u_m})} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^g (1 - x_i) \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} + u_m - 1 \right) \prod_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{u_\alpha - u_m}{u_\alpha}. \quad (5.6)$$

Plugging (5.5) and (5.6) into (5.4), we prove the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let us differentiate $\partial u_m / \partial x_k$ given by (4.5) with respect to x_n for $n \neq k$. This gives

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_m}{\partial x_k \partial x_n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \left\{ -\frac{v_m(P_{x_k})\Omega(P_{x_k})}{\Omega(P_{u_m})} \right\} = \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial v_m(P_{x_k})}{\partial x_n}}{v_m(P_{x_k})} + \frac{\frac{\partial \Omega(P_{x_k})}{\partial x_n}}{\Omega(P_{x_k})} - \frac{\frac{\partial \Omega(P_{u_m})}{\partial x_n}}{\Omega(P_{u_m})} \right). \quad (5.7)$$

Proposition (1) implies the following relation setting $a_j = x_k$:

$$\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} \frac{1}{\Omega(P_{x_k})} \frac{\partial \Omega(P_{x_k})}{\partial x_n} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_n} \left(\frac{1}{x_k - u_m} + \frac{1}{x_n - x_k} \right), \quad (5.8)$$

which gives us the second term in the right hand side of (5.7). The first term is computed in (4.12). For the third term, let us rewrite the expression obtained in Proposition 2 using relation (3.15), that is

$$\frac{1}{\Omega(P_{u_m})} \frac{\partial \Omega(P_{u_m})}{\partial x_n} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - u_\alpha} - \frac{1}{x_n - u_m} + \frac{2}{\Omega(P_{u_m})\phi(P_{u_m})} \operatorname{res}_{P=P_{u_m}} \frac{\Omega(P)\varphi(P)}{(u - u_m)du} \right) \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_n}. \quad (5.9)$$

A rational expression for the remaining residue is provided by (5.3) in Lemma (4). Plugging all these results into (5.7), we obtain an equation that coincides with (5.1) except for the third line in (5.1). Instead of the third line in (5.1), we obtain the following non-symmetric expression

$$S := \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \left(\frac{1}{u_m - u_\alpha} - \frac{1}{x_k - u_\alpha} \right) \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_n}. \quad (5.10)$$

Let us show that (5.10) is equivalent to the expression in the third line of (5.1). To this end, we rewrite the derivatives in (5.10) in terms of differentials Ω and v_j using (4.5):

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega(P_{x_k})v_m(P_{x_k})}{\Omega(P_{u_m})} \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{x_k - u_m}{(u_m - u_\alpha)(x_k - u_\alpha)} \frac{\Omega(P_{x_n})v_\alpha(P_{x_n})}{\Omega(P_{u_\alpha})} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega(P_{x_k})\Omega(P_{x_n})v_m(P_{x_k})v_m(P_{x_n})}{\Omega(P_{u_m})} \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{(x_k - u_m)(x_n - u_m)}{(u_m - u_\alpha)(x_k - u_\alpha)(x_n - u_\alpha)} \frac{\phi(P_{u_m})}{\Omega(P_{u_\alpha})\phi(P_{u_\alpha})} \frac{\prod_{j=1, j \neq m}^g (u_m - u_j)}{\prod_{i=1, i \neq \alpha}^g (u_\alpha - u_i)}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that expression (5.10) is symmetric in n and k and thus can be replaced by its symmetrization in the third line in (5.1).

Let us now prove the second equation (5.2). We need to show that the following expression is rational in u_j , x_j and $\partial_{x_i} u_j$:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_m}{\partial x_k^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left\{ -\frac{v_m(P_{x_k})\Omega(P_{x_k})}{\Omega(P_{u_m})} \right\} = \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial v_m(P_{x_k})}{\partial x_k}}{v_m(P_{x_k})} + \frac{\frac{\partial \Omega(P_{x_k})}{\partial x_k}}{\Omega(P_{x_k})} - \frac{\frac{\partial \Omega(P_{u_m})}{\partial x_k}}{\Omega(P_{u_m})} \right). \quad (5.11)$$

Such a rational expression is given by (4.13) for the first term and, upon replacing n by k , by the combination of (5.9) and (5.3) for the last term. Thus it remains to evaluate the middle term in (5.11). Let us take the expression for $\partial_{x_k} \Omega(P_{x_k})$ from Proposition 3 and replace $\Omega(P_{u_j})$ by their expression obtained from (3.17), namely, $\Omega(P_{u_j}) = -\Omega(P_0)v_j(P_0) - \Omega(P_1)v_j(P_1) - \sum_{i=1}^g \Omega(P_{x_i})v_j(P_{x_i})$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Omega(P_{x_k})} \frac{\partial \Omega(P_{x_k})}{\partial x_k} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega(P_0)\varphi(P_0)}{x_k \Omega(P_{x_k})\varphi(P_{x_k})} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{\beta=1}^g (-u_\beta) \right) \sum_{j=1}^g \left(\frac{1}{u_j - x_k} - \frac{1}{u_j} \right) \prod_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq j}}^g \frac{1}{u_j - u_\alpha} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega(P_1)\varphi(P_1)}{(x_k - 1)\Omega(P_{x_k})\varphi(P_{x_k})} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{\beta=1}^g (1 - u_\beta) \right) \sum_{j=1}^g \left(\frac{1}{u_j - x_k} - \frac{1}{u_j - 1} \right) \prod_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq j}}^g \frac{1 - u_\alpha}{u_j - u_\alpha} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^g \frac{1}{x_j - x_k} \frac{\Omega(P_{x_j})\varphi(P_{x_j})}{\Omega(P_{x_k})\varphi(P_{x_k})} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{\beta=1}^g (x_j - u_\beta) \right) \sum_{i=1}^g \left(\frac{1}{u_i - x_k} + \frac{1}{x_j - u_i} \right) \prod_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq i}}^g \frac{1}{u_i - u_\alpha} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying now rational identity (4.14) in each line, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Omega(P_{x_k})} \frac{\partial \Omega(P_{x_k})}{\partial x_k} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega(P_0)\varphi(P_0)}{x_k \Omega(P_{x_k})\varphi(P_{x_k})} \prod_{\alpha=1}^g \frac{(-u_\alpha)}{x_k - u_\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega(P_1)\varphi(P_1)}{(x_k - 1)\Omega(P_{x_k})\varphi(P_{x_k})} \prod_{\alpha=1}^g \frac{1 - u_\alpha}{x_k - u_\alpha} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^g \frac{1}{x_j - x_k} \frac{\Omega(P_{x_j})\varphi(P_{x_j})}{\Omega(P_{x_k})\varphi(P_{x_k})} \prod_{\alpha=1}^g \frac{x_j - u_\alpha}{x_k - u_\alpha}. \quad (5.12) \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying now by $\partial_{x_k} u_m$ on both sides and writing this derivative as in (4.5) in the right hand side, we see that $\Omega(P_{x_k})\varphi(P_{x_k})$ in the denominator is replaced by $\Omega(P_{u_m})$, which allows to write the terms in the last line of (5.12) using the derivatives $\partial_{x_i} u_m$. It remains to replace the quantities $\frac{\Omega(P_0)\varphi(P_0)}{\Omega(P_{u_m})}$ and $\frac{\Omega(P_1)\varphi(P_1)}{\Omega(P_{u_m})}$ using (3.17) and (3.18) similarly to (5.6) and (5.5) to obtain the following rational expression for the middle term of (5.11):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Omega(P_{x_k})} \frac{\partial \Omega(P_{x_k})}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_k} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x_k - u_m} - \frac{1}{x_k} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^g (1 - x_i) \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} + u_m - 1 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x_k - u_m} - \frac{1}{x_k - 1} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^g x_i \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} - u_m \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^g \left(\frac{1}{x_k - u_m} - \frac{1}{x_k - x_j} \right) \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_j}. \quad (5.13) \end{aligned}$$

Putting together (4.13), (5.13), and (5.9), (5.3), we obtain (5.2). \square

Corollary 2 *Let $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ be a Toda family of genus one Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ corresponding to the elliptic curves of equation*

$$\mu^2 = \lambda(\lambda - 1)(\lambda - x)(\lambda - u), \quad (5.14)$$

parameterized by $\mathbf{x} = x$ as in Definition 2. Then the position of the branch point u of the coverings $\lambda : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ as a function of x satisfies the following equation

$$u'' = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{u}{x-1} - \frac{u-1}{x} + \frac{1}{u-x} \right) - \frac{u'}{2} \left(\frac{2}{x} + \frac{2}{x-1} + \frac{1}{u-x} \right) \\ + \frac{(u')^2}{2} \left(\frac{2}{u} + \frac{2}{u-1} + \frac{1}{x-u} \right) - \frac{(u')^3}{2} \left(\frac{x}{u-1} - \frac{x-1}{u} + \frac{1}{x-u} \right). \quad (5.15)$$

Proof. This is the $g = 1$ case of equation (5.2) with $x_k = x$ and $u_m = u$. Equations (5.1) are empty in genus one. \square

Remark 2 *Note that, for a given initial value $u(x_0)$, the value of the derivative $u' = -\frac{\Omega(P_x)\phi(P_x)}{\Omega(P_u)\phi(P_u)}$ with ϕ defined by (3.4) singles out the unique solution of (5.15) defining the Toda family of genus one curves (5.14). This expression for u' is the genus one case of (4.5). The existence of Toda family for real x_0 and $u(x_0)$ is given by Theorems 2.7 and 2.12 of [32].*

6 Generalized Toda families of Riemann surfaces

In this section we define a family of hyperelliptic curves that generalizes the Toda family from Definition 2. All the results obtained in the paper for a Toda family hold for these generalized families. Let us start by generalizing Ω , the meromorphic differential of the third kind (3.8) and define the following differential on the Riemann surface corresponding to the hyperelliptic curve (3.1)

$$\Omega_{\alpha}(P) = \Omega_{\infty-\infty+}(P) - \alpha^T \omega, \quad (6.1)$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^g$ is an arbitrary constant column vector, $\Omega_{\infty-\infty+}$ is the differential (3.9) and $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g)^T$ is, as before, a vector of normalized holomorphic differentials from (3.2). Setting $\alpha = 2\pi i N$ with N from (3.3), we recover definition (3.8) of Ω used in previous sections. The a -periods of Ω_{α} are constant and given by components of the vector α . The b -periods are

$$\oint_{\mathbf{b}_k} \Omega_{\alpha} = 2\pi i \int_{\infty-}^{\infty+} \omega_k - \alpha^T \mathbb{B}_k, \quad (6.2)$$

where \mathbb{B}_k is the k th column of the Riemann matrix \mathbb{B} (3.2).

Now, we would like to deform the hyperelliptic surface by varying the branch points of the corresponding two-fold covering in such a way that the b -periods (6.2) stay constant. Assume we have such a family of surfaces $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ corresponding to the hyperelliptic curves of equation (3.1) parameterized by the values of the branch points x_1, \dots, x_g of the covering $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ and having branch points u_1, \dots, u_g as dependent functions. We assume that a meromorphic differential Ω_{α} is defined on each $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ by (6.1) and that its periods are constant for all (x_1, \dots, x_g) varying continuously in some set. Let

us then differentiate the right hand side of (6.2) with respect to x_i , and equate the derivative to zero. With the help of the Rauch formulas (4.2) for ω and \mathbb{B} , we have

$$0 = \pi i \omega_k(P_{x_i}) \Omega_{\infty-\infty+}(P_{x_i}) - \pi i \omega_k(P_{x_i}) \alpha^T \omega(P_{x_i}) \\ + \pi i \sum_{j=1}^g \omega_k(P_{u_j}) \Omega_{\infty-\infty+}(P_{u_j}) \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} - \pi i \sum_{j=1}^g \omega_k(P_{u_j}) \alpha^T \omega(P_{u_j}) \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}.$$

This is equivalent to the k th component of vector equation (4.6) with $\alpha = 2\pi i N$ and thus can be rewritten in the form (4.7) with Ω replaced by Ω_α . This implies that Theorem 1 giving an expression for $\partial u_m / \partial x_i$ in terms of Ω carries over to our new settings, for the isoperiodic deformations of Ω_α , upon replacing Ω by Ω_α . Moreover, Theorem 2 also holds for the isoperiodic deformation as it is derived directly from Theorem 1, the Rauch formulas and the structure of the curves and differentials on them.

In other words, we may define a more general family of curves including the Toda family of Definition 2 as a particular case.

Definition 3 *We call generalized Toda family or a family of Toda type, a triple $(T, s_{\infty+}, s_{\infty-})$, if $T : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ is a smooth fibration with fibers given by compact hyperelliptic genus g Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in X$ such that*

- $X \subset \mathbb{C}^g \setminus \Delta$ with $\Delta = \{(x_1, \dots, x_g) \in \mathbb{C}^g \mid \exists k \neq j \text{ with } x_k = x_j\}$,
- $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ is a function of degree two on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$,
- $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_g; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_g\}$ is a canonical homology basis on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in X$ where $u(\mathbf{a}_k)$ and $u(\mathbf{b}_k)$ are independent of $\mathbf{x} \in X$ for all $1 \leq k \leq g$,
- $s_{\infty+}$ and $s_{\infty-}$ are two sections of T such that on every surface $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ of the family there exists a meromorphic differential of the third kind with simple poles at $s_{\infty+}(\mathbf{x})$ and $s_{\infty-}(\mathbf{x})$ with residues $+1$ and -1 , respectively, whose a - and b -periods are independent of $\mathbf{x} \in X$.

We also say that the generalized Toda family provides an isoperiodic deformation of the pair $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}, \Omega(\mathbf{x}))$, or an isoperiodic deformation of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ relative to $\Omega(\mathbf{x})$, where $\Omega(\mathbf{x})$ is a meromorphic differential of the third kind on the surface $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ for some fixed \mathbf{x} having simple poles at $s_{\infty+}(\mathbf{x})$ and $s_{\infty-}(\mathbf{x})$ with residues $+1$ and -1 .

In this section, we showed that the following theorem holds.

Theorem 3 *Let $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ be a generalized Toda family of hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ corresponding to the curves of equation (3.1) parameterized by $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g) \in X$ as in Definition 3. Let Ω_α (6.1) be the differential of the third kind with poles at $s_{\infty+}(\mathbf{x})$ and $s_{\infty-}(\mathbf{x})$ with residues $+1$ and -1 , respectively, from Definition 3. Then the branch points (u_1, \dots, u_g) of the coverings $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ as functions of $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ have the derivatives expressed by*

$$\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{\Omega_\alpha(P_{x_i})}{\Omega_\alpha(P_{u_m})} v_m(P_{x_i}). \quad (6.3)$$

and satisfy system (5.1), (5.2) of Theorem 2.

Theorem 4 Let $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{C}^g \setminus \{(x_1, \dots, x_g) \mid \exists i \neq j \text{ with } x_i = x_j\}$ such that $x_{0j} \neq 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, g$. Let $\Omega_\alpha = \Omega_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_0)$ be the meromorphic differential of the third kind defined by (6.1) on a compact Riemann surface $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ of the hyperelliptic curve (3.1) of genus g with $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0$ and distinct u_1, \dots, u_g , not coinciding with any of x_1, \dots, x_g . Assume that \mathbf{x}_0 is such that $\Omega_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_0; P_{u_j}) \neq 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, g$. Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g\}$ be a canonical homology basis on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ such that the projections $u(a_j)$ and $u(b_j)$ with $j = 1, \dots, g$ do not intersect a certain neighbourhood \mathcal{X} of \mathbf{x}_0 . Then there exists a unique continuous g -parameter generalized Toda family providing an isoperiodic deformation of the pair $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}, \Omega_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_0))$ parametrized by \mathbf{x} varying in some neighbourhood $\mathcal{X} \subset \hat{\mathcal{X}}$ of \mathbf{x}_0 .

Proof. The isoperiodic deformations of $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}, \Omega_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_0))$ are defined by g conditions $\beta_k = \oint_{b_k} \Omega_\alpha(x) = \text{const}$, $k = 1, \dots, g$. These relations define implicitly the functions $\mathbf{u} := (u_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, u_g(\mathbf{x}))$ in a neighbourhood of $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0$ if the the Jacobian $\det J_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$ is non-zero at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0$, where the $g \times g$ matrix $J_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$ has the following jk -entry $J_{\mathbf{u}}^{jk}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$:

$$J_{\mathbf{u}}^{jk}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} \oint_{b_k} \Omega_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_0).$$

From (6.2) and (6.1) we obtain these derivatives using the Rauch formulas (4.2) and the relation (3.9):

$$J_{\mathbf{u}}^{jk}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = 2\pi i \int_{\infty^-}^{\infty^+} \frac{\partial \text{Rauch}}{\partial u_j} \omega_k - \alpha^T \frac{\partial \text{Rauch} \mathbb{B}_k}{\partial u_j} = \pi i \Omega_\alpha(\mathbf{x}; P_{u_j}) \omega_k(\mathbf{x}; P_{u_j}).$$

Thus $\det J_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{u}) = \pi i \det J \prod_{m=1}^g \Omega_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_0; P_{u_m})$ where by J we denote the matrix $J_{jk} = \omega_k(\mathbf{x}_0; P_{u_j})$. The product of $\Omega_\alpha(P_{u_m})$ being non-zero at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0$, we only need to show that $\det J \neq 0$. Note that the vanishing of $\det J$ is equivalent to the vanishing of $\det \hat{J}$ where \hat{J} is a matrix constructed similarly to J from another basis $\phi(P), \lambda(P)\phi(P), \dots, \lambda(P)^{g-1}\phi(P)$ (3.4) in the space of holomorphic differentials. More precisely, $\hat{J}_{jk} = u_j^{k-1}\phi(\mathbf{x}_0; P_{u_j})$. The determinant of \hat{J} is the Vandermonde determinant $V(u_1, \dots, u_g)$, which is non-zero for distinct u_1, \dots, u_g . This being fulfilled at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0$, we proved the existence of local continuous isoperiodic deformation of $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}, \Omega_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_0))$ and thus of the generalized Toda family.

Now, Theorem 3 shows that for every continuous isoperiodic deformation, the functions $u_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, u_g(\mathbf{x})$ satisfy equations (5.1) and (5.2) for all $1 \leq m, n, k \leq g$. In addition, the first derivatives $\partial_{x_k} u_j(\mathbf{x})$ are given by (6.3) for any $1 \leq k, j \leq g$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$. Thus, for $\mathbf{x}_0 = (x_1^0, \dots, x_g^0)$, the initial values $u_j(\mathbf{x}_0)$ and first order derivatives (6.3) for any \mathbf{x} specify a unique solution of system (5.1), (5.2) as follows. On the set of points $(x_1, x_2^0, \dots, x_g^0)$ for x_1 varying in some neighbourhood of x_1^0 , the system reduces to a system of ordinary differential equations of second order with respect to the variable x_1 , and thus the initial values $u_j(\mathbf{x}_0)$ and the derivatives $\partial_{x_1} u_j(\mathbf{x}_0)$ single out a unique solution $\mathbf{u}(x_1, x_2^0, \dots, x_g^0)$ for $x_1 \in S_1$ for some open neighbourhood S_1 of x_1^0 . Now, the obtained functions $\mathbf{u}(x_1, x_2^0, \dots, x_g^0)$ give us initial values for the system of ordinary differential equations of second order with respect to the variable x_2 at any point of the set $\{(x_1, x_2^0, \dots, x_g^0) \mid x_1 \in S_1\}$. Together with the values of derivatives $\partial_{x_2} u_j((x_1, x_2^0, \dots, x_g^0))$, they single out a unique solution $\mathbf{u}(x_1, x_2, x_3^0, \dots, x_g^0)$ for $(x_1, x_2) \in S_2$ for some open neighbourhood S_2 of (x_1^0, x_2^0) . Proceeding in this way, we obtain the uniqueness of an isoperiodic deformation of $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}, \Omega_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_0))$ locally in an open neighbourhood of \mathbf{x}_0 . \square

7 Isoequilibrium deformations

For a measure μ defined on \mathbb{C} , one defines its *potential energy* by

$$\mathcal{E}(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^2} \log(|z - w|^{-1}) |d\mu(z) d\mu(w)|.$$

Let $c \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and consider all probability measures for which the support is a subset of c . If the energy of all such probability measures is equal to infinity, the set c is called of *capacity zero*. If c is not of capacity zero, then there exists a unique probability measure $\rho_e(c)$ whose support is a subset of c and whose energy is minimal among all probability measures supported in subsets of c , see e.g. [36], [37]. The measure $\rho_e(c)$ is called *the equilibrium measure* of c .

Consider c to be a union of $g + 1$ nonintersecting intervals $c = \bigcup_{j=0}^g [\alpha_j, \beta_j]$. The Lebesgue measure restricted to c and appropriately scaled has a finite potential energy, therefore c is not of capacity zero. By using an affine transformation, one can map c into a union of the interval $[0, 1]$ and g intervals with the end-points denoted by x_j, u_j , $j = 1, \dots, g$. Let us denote the Möbius transformed set of intervals also by c , that is we have

$$c = [0, 1] \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^g [x_j, u_j], \quad \text{assuming } 1 < x_j < u_j < x_{j+1}. \quad (7.1)$$

Associated with this set of real intervals, there is a compact hyperelliptic Riemann surface $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ corresponding to the algebraic curve defined by (3.1), or by (2.3) and (2.2). As before, the points $0, 1, x_j, u_j$ are the branch points of the covering $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ with $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ and $P_0, P_1, P_{x_j}, P_{u_j}$ are the corresponding ramification points on the surface $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$.

Let $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_g; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_g\}$ be a fixed canonical homology basis on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$, such that $u(\mathbf{a}_1)$ goes around the branch points 1 and x_1 , and $u(\mathbf{a}_j)$ goes around the points u_{j-1} and x_j ; while $u(\mathbf{b}_1)$ goes clockwise around the first interval $[0, 1]$, and $u(\mathbf{b}_j)$ goes clockwise around the first j intervals, from $[0, 1]$ to $[x_{j-1}, u_{j-1}]$. The a -cycles are oriented in a way to obtain the following intersection indices: $\mathbf{a}_k \circ \mathbf{a}_j = \mathbf{b}_k \circ \mathbf{b}_j = 0$ and $\mathbf{a}_k \circ \mathbf{b}_j = \delta_{kj}$.

As in Section 2, there are two points at infinity on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$, denoted by ∞^+ and ∞^- , and we may define a differential Ω_α by (6.1). We consider a special case of $\alpha = 0$, that is $\Omega_0 = \Omega_0(\mathbf{x}) = \Omega_{\infty^+ \infty^-}$ is a differential (3.9) of the third kind with poles at ∞^\pm with residues ± 1 normalized by vanishing a -periods. According to [41], [36], we have for the equilibrium measures (see e.g. equation (5.6.4) in [36] and Section 2.2 in [12]):

$$\rho_e([x_j, u_j]) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{x_j}^{u_j} \frac{|q_g(x)| dx}{\sqrt{|\Delta_{2g+2}(x)|}} \quad j = 1, \dots, g, \quad \text{assuming } x_j < u_j. \quad (7.2)$$

Here Δ_{2g+2} is given by (2.2) and q_g is the monic polynomial of degree g defined by the conditions:

$$\int_{u_{j-1}}^{x_j} \frac{q_g(x) dx}{\sqrt{\Delta_{2g+2}(x)}} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, g, \quad (7.3)$$

where $u_0 = 1$.

Lemma 5 *The equilibrium measure is related to the b -periods of the differential Ω_0 in the following way:*

$$\oint_{\mathbf{b}_1} \Omega_0 = 2\pi i \rho_e([0, 1]); \oint_{\mathbf{b}_2} \Omega_0 = 2\pi i (\rho_e([0, 1]) + \rho_e([x_1, u_1])); \dots, \oint_{\mathbf{b}_g} \Omega_0 = 2\pi i (\rho_e([0, 1]) + \sum_{j=1}^{g-1} \rho_e([x_j, u_j])), \quad (7.4)$$

where

$$\rho_e([0, 1]) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^g \rho_e([x_j, u_j]).$$

Proof. From (7.3), we see that q_g changes sign on each interval of the form (u_{j-1}, x_j) . Since the polynomial q_g is of degree g and in each of g intervals (u_{j-1}, x_j) it has at least one zero, it has exactly one zero in each of these intervals. It has no zeros outside these intervals. Thus, it has a constant sign on each of the intervals $[x_j, u_j]$ and this sign alternates on consecutive intervals of this form. On the Riemann surface $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$, from the assumption that all a -periods of Ω_0 are zero and from the structure of its poles, we get

$$\Omega_0 = -\frac{q_g(u)du}{\sqrt{\Delta_{2g+2}(u)}}. \quad (7.5)$$

Assume now that the branch cuts of the covering $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ coincide with the intervals composing the set c (7.1). The sign of the analytic continuation of $i\sqrt{\Delta_{2g+2}(x)}$ alternates on the intervals $[x_j, u_j]$ and the branch is chosen so that $i\sqrt{\Delta_{2g+2}(x)}$ is negative on the upper side of the cuts along those intervals where q_g is positive. Thus, we get:

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{x_j}^{u_j} \frac{|q_g(x)|dx}{\sqrt{|\Delta_{2g+2}(x)|}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\hat{b}_j} \Omega_0, \quad j = 1, \dots, g,$$

where \hat{b}_j is a cycle on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ such that $u(\hat{b}_j)$ goes clockwise once around $[x_j, u_j]$, that is $\hat{b}_j = \mathbf{b}_{j+1} - \mathbf{b}_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, g$. This is due to the fact that Ω_0 satisfies $\Omega_0(\mathbf{x}; P) = -\Omega_0(\mathbf{x}; P^*)$, where $P^* \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ denotes the hyperelliptic involution applied to $P \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$. Comparing to (7.2), we see that $\rho_e([x_j, u_j])$, for $j = 1, \dots, g$ uniquely determine the b -periods of Ω_0 and vice-versa, and we get (7.4). \square

Thus, the deformations of x_j, u_j preserve $\rho_e([x_j, u_j])$, for $j = 1, \dots, g$ if and only if they preserve the b -periods of Ω_0 . Our goal now is to study smooth variations of the endpoints of the intervals in a way that the equilibrium measures remain constant.

Definition 4 *Let c be a set of real intervals given by (7.1). An isoequilibrium deformation of c is a smooth transformation of the intervals $[x_j, u_j]$, $j = 1, \dots, g$, along the real line, which fixes the first interval $[0, 1]$ of c , and which leaves the equilibrium measures of the intervals $[x_j, u_j]$ for $j = 1, \dots, g$ unchanged.*

Proposition 4 *Given a set of real intervals $c(\mathbf{x})$ (7.1) such that the equilibrium measure of each interval is rational, there exists a unique g -parameter isoequilibrium deformation of $c(\mathbf{x})$ produced by varying \mathbf{x} in some neighbourhood \mathcal{X} in \mathbb{R}^g and having u_1, \dots, u_g as real-valued functions of \mathbf{x} for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$.*

Proof. It was shown in [3] that for a set of real intervals $c(\mathbf{x})$ (7.1), the equilibrium measure of each interval is rational if and only if the set of real intervals (7.1) is a support of a generalized Chebyshev polynomial. The rational numbers describing the equilibrium measures translate into the combinatorial properties of the polynomial (see Remark 3 below). From [32], Theorems 2.7 and 2.12, follows the existence and uniqueness of a continuous deformation of the generalized Chebyshev polynomial preserving its combinatorial structure, so that its support is a continuous deformation of the set of intervals (7.1), which preserves the isoequilibrium measures. \square

Isoequilibrium deformations of the set of intervals induce deformations of the corresponding surface $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$. In the next corollary we show that these deformations of surfaces are the isoperiodic deformations of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ in the sense of Definition 3 relative to the differential $\Omega_0(\mathbf{x})$ defined above.

Corollary 3 *Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ and $c = c(\mathbf{x})$ be a set of real intervals given by (7.1). Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ be the associated hyperelliptic Riemann surface corresponding to the algebraic curve of equation (3.1). Let P_{x_j} and P_{u_j} be the ramification points of the covering $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ such that $u(P_{x_j}) = x_j$ and $u(P_{u_j}) = u_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, g$. Let $c(\mathbf{x})$ be subject to isoequilibrium deformation parametrized by varying \mathbf{x} in some neighbourhood \mathcal{X} in \mathbb{R}^g . Then and only then the associated surfaces $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ with the canonical homology basis chosen as above in this section and differentials $\Omega_0(\mathbf{x})$ defined by (6.1) with $\alpha = 0$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ form a generalized Toda family, such that the branch points u_1, \dots, u_g of the coverings $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ as functions of \mathbf{x} are real and have derivatives expressed by (6.3), (3.5) with $\alpha = 0$ and satisfy system (5.1), (5.2) of Theorem 2.*

Proof. Let $c(\mathbf{x})$ for \mathbf{x} belonging to some neighbourhood $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^g$ be an isoequilibrium deformation of the set of intervals (7.1). Let us prove that the corresponding pairs $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}, \Omega_0(\mathbf{x}))$ form a generalized Toda family. The a -periods of $\Omega_0(\mathbf{x})$ are zero by definition (6.1) since $\alpha = 0$. The b -periods uniquely determine the integrals in (7.2), and thus they uniquely determine the equilibrium measures for the real intervals and vice-versa, see (7.4). Definition 4 implies that the integrals (7.2) are independent of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$, and therefore the b -periods of $\Omega(\mathbf{x})$ are independent of \mathbf{x} as well and vice-versa. Thus, pairs $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}, \Omega_0(\mathbf{x}))$ form a generalized Toda family in the sense of Definition 3 with the functions $u_j(\mathbf{x})$ being real. The statement concerning differential equations satisfied by $u_j(\mathbf{x})$ follows from Theorems 2 and 3. \square

Proposition 5 *Given a set of real intervals $c(\mathbf{x})$ (7.1) with arbitrary equilibrium measures, there exists a unique g -parameter isoequilibrium deformation produced by varying \mathbf{x} in some neighbourhood \mathcal{X} in \mathbb{R}^g and having u_1, \dots, u_g as real-valued functions of \mathbf{x} .*

Proof. Consider the hyperelliptic curve $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ (3.1) associated with the set of real intervals $c(\mathbf{x})$ with the homology basis and differential Ω_0 as in Corollary 3. Assume the pair $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}, \Omega_0(\mathbf{x}))$ is a generalized Toda family for some $\mathbf{x} \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}$. We want to prove that for \mathbf{x} varying in some subset of $\hat{\mathcal{X}} \cap \mathbb{R}^g$, the functions $u_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, u_g(\mathbf{x})$ are real.

According to the Bogatyrev–Peherstoefer–Totik Theorem, see Theorem 5.6.1 in [36] and its proof, we know that the set of real intervals (7.1) can be approximated by sets of intervals,

$$c^{(n)} = [0, 1] \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^g [x_j^{(n)}, u_j^{(n)}], \quad 1 < x_j^{(n)} < u_j^{(n)} < x_{j+1}^{(n)}, \quad (7.6)$$

such that each interval has a rational equilibrium measure and such that the difference between $c^{(n)}$ and c tends to zero as n tends to infinity, that is $x_j^{(n)} \rightarrow x_j$ and $u_j^{(n)} \rightarrow u_j$. According to Proposition 4, each

of the sets $c^{(n)}$ admits an isoequilibrium deformation parametrized by $\mathbf{x}^{(n)} = (x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_g^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^g$. According to Corollary 3, such isoequilibrium deformations generate isoperiodic deformations of the associated hyperelliptic Riemann surface $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}^{(n)}}$ given by (3.1) with \mathbf{x} replaced by $\mathbf{x}^{(n)}$ relative to the differential Ω_0 given by (3.8) with $\alpha = 0$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}^{(n)}}$ and appropriately chosen homology basis. Moreover, the functions $u_1^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}), \dots, u_g^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}^{(n)})$ are real under these deformations. Thus, their derivatives expressed according to (6.3) are real for each $c^{(n)}$.

In the limit, when n tends to infinity, the surfaces $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}^{(n)}}$ tend to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ and the chosen of homology bases on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}^{(n)}}$ produce the required homology basis on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$. Thus the differentials Ω and v_j on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}^{(n)}}$ become those on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$. Therefore, the derivatives (6.3) for the functions $u_1^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}), \dots, u_g^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}^{(n)})$ tend to derivatives of the functions $u_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, u_g(\mathbf{x})$ with \mathbf{x} restricted to some real subset of $\hat{\mathcal{X}} \subset \mathbb{R}^g$. We thus may conclude that derivatives $\partial u_j / \partial x_k$ are real. The functions u_j being solutions of the real system (5.1), (5.2) of Theorem 2 with real initial values are then real as well. Thus the restriction of the original Toda family $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}, \Omega_0(\mathbf{x}))$ on some real subset of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ for \mathbf{x} has u_1, \dots, u_g real and thus corresponds to an isoequilibrium deformation of $c(\mathbf{x})$. \square

7.1 Deformations of the essential spectra of periodic Jacobi matrices

Following [36], we now consider infinite two-sided tridiagonal Jacobi matrices J determined by two two-sided sequences $\{(c_n), (d_n)\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}$. The Jacobi matrices are defined as operators acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ in the following way: for $f = (f_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ the elements of the sequence Jf are given by

$$(Jf)_n = c_n f_{n+1} + d_n f_n + c_{n-1} f_{n-1}.$$

The Jacobi matrix J is periodic with period N if the sequences c_n, d_n are both periodic with period N . Generically, the essential spectrum of an N -periodic Jacobi matrix consists of N real intervals; the $N - 1$ intervals between them are called *gaps*. It may happen that some of the intervals merge eliminating some of the gaps, which results in the essential spectrum consisting of $g + 1 \leq N$ intervals. From [33] and [3] (see also e.g. [36], Theorem 5.13.8) we have the following statement.

Theorem 5 *A union of intervals c (7.1) is a support of a generalized Chebyshev polynomial of degree N if and only if it is the essential spectrum of a periodic Jacobi matrix J with period N . This is the case if and only if all the measures $\rho_e([x_j, u_j])$ are rational and $N\rho_e([x_j, u_j])$ are integer for all j .*

Proof. Let a set c of the form (7.1) be an essential spectrum of an N -periodic Jacobi matrix J . For an essential spectrum c of J , by formula (5.1.14) in [36], there exist natural numbers k_j , $j = 0, \dots, g$, such that

$$\rho_e([0, 1]) = \frac{k_0}{N}, \quad \rho_e([x_j, u_j]) = \frac{k_j}{N}, \quad j = 1, \dots, g, \quad \sum_{j=0}^g k_j = N.$$

For a union of real intervals, there exists a natural number N such that the equilibrium measure of each interval is a rational number, which multiplied by N gives an integer, if and only if there exists a conformal map from the upper half plane to a comb region \mathcal{C}_N of the form

$$\mathcal{C}_N = \{w \mid \Im w > 0, 0 \leq \Re w \leq N\pi\} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^N \{w \mid \Re w = j\pi, 0 \leq \Im w \leq h_j\},$$

with some nonnegative values h_1, \dots, h_N . In other words, this comb region is a vertical semi-strip with a finite number of vertical slits where the *basis* of the comb region is a real segment together with

the marked points $j\pi$ on it. The conformal map maps the union of the intervals onto the basis of the comb and ∞ to ∞ , see e.g. [39], Section 5.

According to [33], Theorem 1, such a conformal map exists for a union of real intervals with $N \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if it is a support of the Pell equation with a Chebyshev polynomial of degree N , see also e.g. [39], Theorem of Section 5.5.

For detailed proofs that every support of a Pell's equation with a polynomial of degree N is the essential spectrum of a periodic Jacobi operator with the period N , see [33] and also e.g. [36], Theorem 5.13.8. \square

For the hyperelliptic surface associated with a set of intervals to be a Toda curve, we need the surface to satisfy (3.3) with M_1, M_2 such that $NM_1, NM_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^g$. This is a weaker condition than (7.7) due to the presence of a possibly nonzero M_2 in (3.3).

Corollary 4 *Given a Toda curve of order N in the sense of Definition 1, which satisfies (3.3) with M_1, M_2 such that $NM_1, NM_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^g$, the condition $M_2 = 0$ in (3.3) is equivalent to the condition that there exists a generalized Chebyshev polynomial of degree N that satisfies the Pell equation on the set of intervals $[0, 1], [x_j, u_j]$, for $j = 1, \dots, g$.*

Proof. Let us start from a surface $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$, which is a Toda curve of order N according to Definition 1. We denote $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ and assume the choice of a canonical homology basis as above in Section 7. We define $\Omega_0(\mathbf{x})$ by (6.1) with $\alpha = 0$ as above as well. The equilibrium measures of the intervals $[0, 1], [x_j, u_j]$, for $j = 1, \dots, g$ are of the form

$$\rho_e([0, 1]) = \frac{k_0}{N}, \quad \rho_e([x_j, u_j]) = \frac{k_j}{N}, \quad k_j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad j = 1, \dots, g, \quad \sum_{j=0}^g k_j = N,$$

if and only if, by (7.2) and (7.4), the b -periods of $\Omega_0(\mathbf{x})$ are rational numbers up to a factor of $2\pi i$ of the following form:

$$\oint_{b_j} \Omega_0 = \frac{2\pi i \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} k_\ell}{N}, \quad j = 1, \dots, g. \quad (7.7)$$

Thus, according to (6.2), $M_2 = 0$ in (3.3) if and only if the equilibrium measures of the intervals $[0, 1], [x_j, u_j]$, for $j = 1, \dots, g$ are all rational.

The proof now follows from Theorem 5 and its proof and [33], Theorem 1. \square

Remark 3 *The numbers k_j , $j = 0, \dots, g$ from (7.7) are determined by the structure of the merging of the intervals, leading to the elimination of some of the gaps. From e.g. [36] one can conclude that the number k_0 gives the number of intervals that merged to form the leftmost interval $[0, 1]$, k_1 is the number of the intervals that merged to form the second interval from left $[x_1, u_1]$, and so on.*

Corollary 5 *Let $\mathbf{x}_0 = (x_1^0, \dots, x_g^0)$ and $c_0 = c(\mathbf{x}_0)$ be a union of $g + 1$ real intervals given by (7.1) with $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0$. Let c_0 be the essential spectrum of an N -periodic Jacobi matrix. The sets of intervals $c = c(\mathbf{x})$ (7.1) for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g) \in \mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^g$ obtained from c_0 by local smooth deformations of \mathbf{x} that keep $[0, 1]$ unchanged are the essential spectra of N -periodic Jacobi matrices with the same structure of gap intervals if and only if they are isoequilibrium deformations parametrized by \mathbf{x} . The associated hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ (2.3), (2.2) form a Toda family of order N , with $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^g$, relative to the differential Ω_0 given by (7.5). The branch points (u_1, \dots, u_g) of the coverings $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ are real-valued functions of $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g) \in \mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^g$, have derivatives expressed by (6.3), (3.5) with $\alpha = 0$, and satisfy system (5.1), (5.2) of Theorem 2.*

Proof. According to Theorem 5, a set of intervals $c_0 = c(\mathbf{x}_0)$ is the essential spectrum of an N -periodic Jacobi matrix if and only if all the equilibrium measures are rational. According to Proposition 4, there exists a unique g -parameter isoequilibrium deformation over a small neighborhood $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g) \in \mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^g$. Due to Corollary 3, this isoequilibrium deformation coincides with the restriction to $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ of the isoperiodic deformation of the associated hyperelliptic surface $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ relative to the differential Ω_0 from Corollary 3. Thus, the branch points (u_1, \dots, u_g) of the coverings $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ are real-valued functions of $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g) \in \mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^g$ and they have derivatives expressed by (6.3), (3.5) with $\alpha = 0$, and satisfy system (5.1), (5.2) of Theorem 2. \square

Remark 4 *Isoequilibrium deformations both for the general case of arbitrary periods (7.2) and in the special case where all periods are rational were studied in the physics oriented setting of Peierls models in [6]. Such transformations were called there “variations that preserve the group of periods of the differential dp ”. In [6], extremum equations with respect to variations that preserve the group of periods were derived for the functionals of the total energy under the consideration in [6].*

8 Isoperiodic deformations of periodic Toda lattices

Toda lattice was introduced more than half a century ago [40] and since then it occupies one of the central places in the theory of integrable systems, see e.g [16, 17, 29, 18, 31, 21, 36] and references therein.

Algebro-geometric approach to Toda lattice has a long and elaborated history. One of the first steps were made by S. P. Novikov in an unpublished paper which was incorporated into [13]. These ideas were further developed by I. M. Krichever and others, see e.g. [26, 31, 27] and references therein. Here, we will mostly follow [27], which appeared as an appendix of [14].

The Toda lattice describes a one-dimensional chain of particles with exponential interaction of immediate neighbours

$$\ddot{x}_n(t) = e^{x_{n+1}-x_n} - e^{x_n-x_{n-1}}, \quad (8.1)$$

where $x_n(t)$ is the position of the n -th particle at the moment t , and $\dot{x}_n(t)$ denotes the derivative with respect to t . Denoting $v_n := \dot{x}_n$ and $c_n = \exp(x_n - x_{n-1})$, $c_n > 0$, Toda lattice equations (8.1) can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{v}_n &= c_{n+1} - c_n, \\ \dot{c}_n &= c_n(v_n - v_{n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

The integration procedure from [27] starts from a hyperelliptic curve of the equation

$$\mu^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{2g+2} (z - z_i) \quad (8.3)$$

and the corresponding compact Riemann surface Γ of genus g with two points at infinity, ∞^+ and ∞^- . The central role in the integration is played by Krichever’s Baker-Akhiezer function $\psi(n, t, P)$ which is meromorphic on $\Gamma \setminus \{\infty^+, \infty^-\}$ having g poles and zeros away from the two points at infinity and an essential singularity at ∞^+, ∞^- with the following asymptotics

$$\psi(n, t, P) \underset{P \rightarrow \infty^\pm}{=} i^n \lambda_n^{\pm 1} z^{\pm n} (1 + \xi_1^\pm(n, t) z^{-1} + \dots) e^{\mp \frac{t}{2}}, \quad (8.4)$$

where $\lambda_n, \xi_1^\pm(n, t) \in \mathbb{C}$. For the difference operators $\mathbb{L} = (\mathbb{L}^{nm})$ and $\mathbb{A} = (\mathbb{A}^{nm})$, defined as follows:

$$\mathbb{L}^{nm} = -i\sqrt{c_{n+1}}\delta_{n,m-1} + v_n\delta_{n,m} + i\sqrt{c_n}\delta_{n,m+1}, \quad (8.5)$$

$$\mathbb{A}^{nm} = \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{c_{n+1}}\delta_{n,m-1} + w_n\delta_{n,m} + \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{c_n}\delta_{n,m+1}, \quad (8.6)$$

with $2(w_n - w_{n-1}) = v_n - v_{n-1} - \ln c_n$, we have

$$\dot{\psi} = \mathbb{A}\psi, \quad \mathbb{L}\psi = z\psi. \quad (8.7)$$

The Toda lattice equations (8.2) are the compatibility conditions for (8.7). Using this, solutions to the Toda equations are obtained in terms of the coefficients of the expansion (8.4) for the Baker-Akhiezer function: $c_n = (\lambda_{n-1}/\lambda_n)^2$, and $v_n = \xi^+(n+1, t) - \xi^+(n, t)$. One can express the Baker-Akhiezer function $\psi(n, t, P)$ using the theta function of the surface Γ corresponding to the curve (8.3) (see [14] for the notation and properties of theta functions noting that the normalization in [14] is different from the one we adopted in Section 3) and calculate λ_n and $\xi_1^+(n, t)$ in (8.4) in terms of the theta functions as well. This implies the following expressions for the solutions of the Toda lattice (8.2):

$$v_n = \frac{d}{dt} \ln \frac{\theta((n+1)U + tV + z_0)}{\theta(nU + tV + z_0)}, \quad (8.8)$$

$$c_n = \frac{\theta((n+1)U + tV + z_0)\theta((n-1)U + tV + z_0)}{\theta^2(nU + tV + z_0)}, \quad (8.9)$$

where z_0 is an arbitrary vector. The vector U is given by

$$U = 2\pi i \int_{\infty^-}^{\infty^+} \omega \quad (8.10)$$

with $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g)^T$ being the vector of linearly independent holomorphic differentials on Γ (8.3) normalized by the condition $\oint_{\mathbf{a}_j} \omega_k = \delta_{jk}$ (note that the normalization in [27] is different). The vector V is a linear combination of the b -periods of the normalized differentials of the second kind Ω_{∞^-} and Ω_{∞^+} having only a double pole at ∞^- and ∞^+ , respectively, and no other singularities.

As observed many times before (see e.g. [31]), for the famous continuous integrable PDEs, like Korteweg-de Vries equation or the non-linear Schrödinger equation, it is practically impossible to effectively select solutions periodic in x with a given period T . For the Toda lattice as a discrete system, the situation is remarkably different. From [27] we can extract the following

Theorem 6 ([27]) *A solution to the Toda lattice (8.2) is periodic in n with a period N if and only if the solution (v_n, c_n) is given by (8.8) and (8.9) relative to a hyperelliptic curve Γ (8.3) and the vector $U/(2\pi i)$ given by (8.10) is an N -division point of the lattice generating the Jacobian of Γ , that is*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}U = \int_{\infty^-}^{\infty^+} \omega = M_1 + \mathbb{B}M_2, \quad (8.11)$$

where $M_1, M_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^g$ are column vectors, such that $NM_1, NM_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^g$.

We can now use Möbius transformations of the z -plane to transform equation (8.3) to equation (2.3).

Theorem 7 *Consider an N -periodic in n solution to the Toda lattice (8.2) constructed by formulas (8.8) and (8.9) from the hyperelliptic surface $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ of genus g corresponding to the curve (2.3), (2.2) with $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0$. For a value of \mathbf{x}_0 away from a set of measure zero defined in Theorem 4, there exists a continuous g -parameter deformation of this solution which remains N -periodic in n . Moreover, any continuous deformation of this solution constructed from a family of curves $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$, obtained from*

$\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ by varying $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ and allowing $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_g)$ to be functions of \mathbf{x} and which remains N -periodic in n solution to the Toda lattice is obtained by formulas (8.8) and (8.9) relative to the curves $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$. In this case, the branch points u_1, \dots, u_g of the coverings $u : \Gamma_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ as functions of \mathbf{x} have the derivatives expressed by (4.5) and satisfy system (5.1), (5.2) of Theorem 2. The differentials Ω and v_m in (4.5) are defined on $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$ by (3.8) and (3.5), respectively.

Proof. If (v_n, c_n) is a periodic in n solution to the Toda lattice equation with period N constructed by (8.8) and (8.9) on $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}_0}$, then, according to Theorem 6 the surface $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ satisfies Definition 1 after relaxing the condition of reality for the branch points x_j and u_j . Let $\Omega_0(\mathbf{x}_0)$ be the differential defined on $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ by (6.1) with $\alpha = 0$. Its vector of b -periods (6.2) coincides with U (8.11). For a generic \mathbf{x}_0 , Theorem 4 shows the existence of a local variation of $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ entailing a deformation $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$, of the surface $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ in such a way that b -periods of $\Omega_0(\mathbf{x})$ stay constant for $\Omega_0(\mathbf{x})$ being the differential (6.1) with $\alpha = 0$ on the surface $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$. Thus condition (8.11) remains satisfied for the surfaces $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$. This provides a continuous deformation of the solution (v_n, c_n) given by (8.8), (8.9) for the curves $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$ preserving the period N of (v_n, c_n) .

Let now $(v_n(\mathbf{x}), c_n(x))$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$, be a continuous deformation of (v_n, c_n) which remains N -periodic in n and which is constructed from the family of surfaces $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$ obtained from $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ by varying \mathbf{x} and allowing \mathbf{u} to be functions of \mathbf{x} . Theorem 6 implies that for each \mathbf{x} , the solution $(v_n(\mathbf{x}), c_n(\mathbf{x}))$ is obtained by formulas (8.8) and (8.9) with the underlying surface $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$ satisfying condition (8.11). We thus conclude that these underlying surfaces $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ form a continuous Toda family in the sense of Definition 2. The rest of the proof follows from Theorems 1 and 2. \square

Restricting to the case of real $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^g$, the surfaces $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$ corresponding to the hyperelliptic curves (2.3), (2.2) are Toda curves and there is an integer N and a polynomial \mathcal{P}_N satisfying the Pell equation (2.1) corresponding to each $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$. Denoting $\mu^2 = \Delta_{2g+2}\mathcal{Q}_{N-d}^2$ in (2.1), we get that the curve $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$ which produces N -periodic in n solutions (8.8) and (8.9) of the Toda lattice (8.2) can be mapped to the curve of the following equation

$$\mathcal{C}_T : \mu^2 = \mathcal{P}_N^2(z) - 1, \quad (8.12)$$

where \mathcal{P}_N is a polynomial of degree N . The zeros E_j of the polynomial $\mathcal{P}_N^2(z) - 1$ are the end-points of the zones of the spectrum of the operator $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}$, which is the restriction of \mathbb{L} to the space of eigenfunctions of the operator of the shift by the period. Here $2\mathcal{P}_N$ is the trace of the monodromy matrix, see e.g. [31]. The polynomial $\mathcal{P}_N^2(z) - 1$ has double roots exactly at the roots of the polynomial \mathcal{Q}_{N-d} . They form the singular, double points of the curve \mathcal{C}_T , each of them being an image of two points from $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$. Conversely, let us start from a curve \mathcal{C}_T of the form (8.12), with a polynomial \mathcal{P}_N of degree N for which we assume that $\mathcal{P}_N(z)^2 - 1$ has at most double zeros. Then, we can collect all the double zeros into a polynomial $\mathcal{Q}_{N-d}(z)$ of degree $N - d$ and obtain the relation

$$\mathcal{P}_N^2(z) - 1 = \Delta_{2d}(z)\mathcal{Q}_{N-d}^2(z), \quad (8.13)$$

where Δ_{2d} is a polynomial of degree $2d$ with only simple zeros. Introducing $v = \mu/\mathcal{Q}_{N-d}(z)$ we have a map from \mathcal{C}_T to the Toda curve of genus $d - 1$ given by the equation $v^2 = \Delta_{2d}(z)$, which is essentially the curve (2.3), (2.2). Theorem 7 also governs deformations of the curves (8.12), which, according to Proposition 4 and Corollary 3, produces in this case real-valued functions u of real variables \mathbf{x} . We are going to exploit this further in Sections 9 and 10. Observe that all the zeros of Δ_{2d} and \mathcal{Q}_{N-d} are real if and only if \mathcal{P}_N is a generalized Chebyshev polynomial.

9 The Korteweg - de Vries difference equation and Chebyshev polynomials

The Korteweg - de Vries difference equation [13] is an instance of the Toda lattice, with $v_n = 0$ for all n . The equation is given in the form

$$\dot{c}_n = c_n(c_{n+1} - c_{n-1}), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (9.1)$$

According to [13], solutions to the KdV difference equation (9.1) are obtained by (8.9) from solutions to the Toda lattice (8.9) for the Riemann surfaces Γ of the form

$$\mu^2 = \prod_{j=1}^{g+1} (z^2 - z_j^2), \quad 0 < z_1 < z_2 < \cdots < z_{g+1}, \quad (9.2)$$

i.e. such that the set of branch points is real and symmetric with respect to $z = 0$. Thus, a solution c_n of the Korteweg - de Vries difference equation is N -periodic in n if and only if the solution $(c_n, v_n = 0)$ of the Toda lattice is N -periodic in n . From Theorem 6 and the considerations from the last part of Section 8, in particular (8.13) and reality of $\pm z_j$, we get that the N -periodic solutions to the KdV difference equation correspond to supports of Pell's equation symmetric with respect to the origin, supporting a generalized Chebyshev polynomial \mathcal{P}_N of degree N . In particular, we have $M_2 = 0$ in this case in (8.11), in the accordance with Corollary 4. Starting from a periodic solution to the KdV difference equation (9.1) of period N , we want to study deformations of the curve (9.2) which would produce new periodic solution to the KdV difference equation of the same period. We cannot apply isoequilibrium deformations directly, because *a priori* we cannot guarantee that the deformed intervals would remain symmetric with respect to the origin. Thus, to that end, we need to provide a more detailed analysis of periodic solutions to the KdV difference equation, which we do next.

Proposition 6 (i) *For odd genera $g = 2k - 1$ of the curves (9.2), the periodic solutions to the KdV difference equation (9.1) are of even period $N = 2\ell$ in n and the generalized Chebyshev polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell}(z)$ corresponding to them are even. There are no periodic solutions with odd period in this case.*

(ii) *If a generalized Chebyshev polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell}(z)$ is associated with 2ℓ periodic solution to the KdV difference equation constructed using the curve (9.2) of genus $g = 2k - 1$, then the polynomial $\hat{p}_\ell(w)$ of degree ℓ given by $\hat{p}_\ell(w) = \mathcal{P}_{2\ell}(z)$ with $w = z^2$, satisfies the Pell equation*

$$\hat{p}_\ell^2(w) - \hat{\Delta}_{2k}(w)\hat{q}_{\ell-k}^2(w) = 1, \quad (9.3)$$

where

$$\hat{\Delta}_{2k}(w) = \prod_{j=1}^{g+1=2k} (w - z_j^2) \quad (9.4)$$

is a polynomial of degree $2k$ with positive zeros and $\hat{q}_{\ell-k}$ is a polynomial of degree $\ell - k$.

(iii) *If the polynomial $\hat{p}_\ell(w)$ of degree ℓ satisfies the Pell equation (9.3) where $\hat{\Delta}_{2k}(w)$ (9.4) is a polynomial of degree $2k$ with positive zeros, then $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell}(z) = \hat{p}_\ell(w)$ with $w = z^2$ is the generalized Chebyshev polynomial associated with the 2ℓ periodic solution to the KdV difference equation constructed using the curve (9.2) of genus $g = 2k - 1$.*

Proof.

- (i) Let the curve (9.2) correspond to a support of the Pell equation. Since the support is symmetric with respect to the origin, if $\mathcal{P}_N(z)$ is the associated generalized Chebyshev polynomial, so is $(-1)^N \mathcal{P}_N(-z)$. Thus, from the uniqueness of the associated Chebyshev polynomial, it follows that $\mathcal{P}_N(z)$ is odd for N odd and it is even for N even. Thus, for N odd $\mathcal{P}_N(z)$ is odd and $\mathcal{P}_N(0) = 0$. For odd genera, the interval containing 0 is a gap interval, and this leads to the contradiction.
- (ii), (iii) Suppose now that $N = 2\ell$ is even and a polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell}(z)$ is even. Then there exists a polynomial \hat{p}_ℓ of degree ℓ , such that $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell}(z) = \hat{p}_\ell(w)$, with $w = z^2$. One can observe that $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell}^2(z) - 1$ has 4ℓ real zeros if and only if $\hat{p}_\ell^2(w) - 1$ has 2ℓ real positive zeros. We get that $\hat{p}_\ell(w)$ satisfies (9.3), where $\hat{\Delta}_{2k}$ (9.4) is a polynomial of degree $2k$ with positive zeros, if and only if

$$\mathcal{P}_{2\ell}^2(z) - \prod_{j=1}^{g+1=2k} (z^2 - z_j^2) \mathcal{Q}_{2\ell-2k}^2(z) = 1,$$

for some polynomial $\mathcal{Q}_{2\ell-2k}$ of degree $2\ell - 2k$. One should observe that in this case $\mathcal{Q}_{2\ell-2k}(z)$ is even: given that the square of $\mathcal{Q}_{2\ell-2k}(z)$ is even, which follows from the Pell equation, the polynomial $\mathcal{Q}_{2\ell-2k}(z)$ is either even or odd. But since its degree is even, it cannot be odd.

□

Proposition 7 (i) For even genera $g = 2k$ of the curves (9.2), if the periodic solutions to the KdV difference equation (9.1) are of odd period $N = 2\ell + 1$ then the generalized Chebyshev polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell+1}$ corresponding to them are odd; if the periodic solutions to the KdV difference equation (9.1) are of even period $N = 2\ell$ then the generalized Chebyshev polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell}$ corresponding to them are even.

- (ii) For even genera $g = 2k$ and odd period $N = 2\ell + 1$, there exists a polynomial $\hat{p}_\ell(w)$ of degree ℓ such that $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell+1}(z) = z\hat{p}_\ell(w)$, with $w = z^2$. The polynomial $\hat{p}_\ell(w)$ satisfies a modified Pell's equation

$$w\hat{p}_\ell^2(w) - \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} (w - z_j^2) \hat{q}_{\ell-k}^2(w) = 1, \quad (9.5)$$

where $\hat{q}_{\ell-k}$ is a polynomial of degree $\ell - k$. The polynomial

$$\tilde{p}_{2\ell+1}(w) = 2w\hat{p}_\ell^2(w) - 1 \quad (9.6)$$

satisfies Pell's equation:

$$\tilde{p}_{2\ell+1}^2(w) - 4w \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} (w - z_j^2) \hat{p}_\ell^2(w) \hat{q}_{\ell-k}^2(w) = 1. \quad (9.7)$$

- (iii) For the even genera $g = 2k$ of the curves (9.2) and even period $N = 2\ell$, there exists a polynomial $\hat{p}_\ell(w)$ of degree ℓ such that $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell}(z) = \hat{p}_\ell(w)$, with $w = z^2$. The polynomial $\hat{p}_\ell(w)$ satisfies Pell's equation

$$\hat{p}_\ell^2(w) - w \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} (w - z_j^2) \hat{q}_{\ell-k-1}^2(w) = 1, \quad (9.8)$$

where $\hat{q}_{\ell-k-1}$ is a polynomial of degree $\ell - k - 1$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell}(z)$ is an even generalized Chebyshev polynomial.

Proof. The first part follows along the same lines as the initial part of the proof of Proposition 6.

- (ii) Let now $g = 2k$ and $N = 2\ell + 1$. Since $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell+1}(z)$ is odd, there exists a polynomial $\hat{p}_\ell(w)$ of degree ℓ such that $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell+1}(z) = z\hat{p}_\ell(w)$, with $w = z^2$.

From Pell's equation for $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell+1}(z)$,

$$\mathcal{P}_{2\ell+1}^2(z) - \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} (z^2 - z_j^2) \mathcal{Q}_{2(\ell-k)}^2(z) = 1,$$

with the observation that $\mathcal{Q}_{2(\ell-k)}(z)$ is an even function (using an argument similar to the one at the end of the proof of Proposition 6) and defining $q_{\ell-k}(w) = \mathcal{Q}_{2(\ell-k)}(z)$, we get

$$w\hat{p}_\ell^2(w) - \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} (w - z_j^2) \hat{q}_{\ell-k}^2(w) = 1.$$

One verifies directly that the polynomial $\tilde{p}_{2\ell+1}(w)$, defined by (9.6), satisfies Pell's equation (9.7).

- (iii) Let $g = 2k$ and $N = 2\ell$. Since $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell}(z)$ is even, there exists a polynomial $\hat{p}_\ell(w)$ of degree ℓ such that $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell}(z) = \hat{p}_\ell(w)$, with $w = z^2$. From Pell's equation for $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell}(z)$:

$$\mathcal{P}_{2\ell}^2(z) - \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} (z^2 - z_j^2) \mathcal{Q}_{2(\ell-k)-1}^2(z) = 1$$

with the observation that $\mathcal{Q}_{2(\ell-k)-1}(z)$ is an odd function (using an adjustment to the argument at the end of the proof of Proposition 6) and defining $z\hat{q}_{\ell-k-1}(w) = \mathcal{Q}_{2(\ell-k)-1}(z)$, we get (9.8). The converse follows directly.

□

Now, we are ready to study the main question of this section: *given a periodic solution to the KdV difference equation (9.1) of period N , how to deform the underlying curve (9.2) in order for the deformed curves to give rise to new periodic solution to the KdV difference equation of the same period.*

Theorem 8 *Given a periodic solution to the KdV difference equation (9.1) of period N , the following deformations of the underlying hyperelliptic curve (9.2) produce solutions c_n , given by (8.9), to the same KdV difference equation of the same period:*

- (i) *For $g = 2k - 1$, $N = 2\ell$, we consider isoequilibrium deformations of the set of non-negative intervals obtained from the set of positive intervals*

$$[z_1^2, z_2^2], [z_3^2, z_4^2], \dots, [z_{2k-1}^2, z_{2k}^2] \quad (9.9)$$

by an affine transformation that maps z_1^2, z_2^2 to 0, 1. This corresponds to isoperiodic deformations of Toda curves of genus $g_1 = k - 1$, defined as hyperelliptic coverings \mathcal{T}_x of \mathbb{CP}^1 having the

intervals (9.9) as branch cuts. In this case, the branch points $u_1 = z_4^2, \dots, u_{k-1} = z_{2k}^2$ of the coverings $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$, as functions of $\mathbf{x} = (z_3^2, z_5^2, \dots, z_{2k-1}^2)$, have derivatives expressed by (6.3), (3.5) with $\alpha = 0$ and satisfy system (5.1), (5.2) of Theorem 2, after an affine transformation that maps z_1^2, z_2^2 to 0, 1, respectively. The equilibrium deformations of the set of intervals (9.9) naturally generate continuous deformations of the intervals

$$[-z_{2k}, -z_{2k-1}], \dots, [-z_4, -z_3], [-z_2, -z_1], [z_1, z_2], [z_3, z_4], \dots, [z_{2k-1}, z_{2k}], \quad (9.10)$$

throughout which the intervals (9.10) remain the support of a generalized Chebyshev polynomial of degree 2ℓ .

- (ii) For $g = 2k$, $N = 2\ell$, we consider isoequilibrium deformations of the set of non-negative intervals obtained from the set of intervals

$$[0, z_1^2], [z_2^2, z_3^2], \dots, [z_{2k}^2, z_{2k+1}^2], \quad (9.11)$$

by an affine transformation that maps $0, z_1^2$ to 0, 1. These deformations keep 0 as the left end-point of the leftmost interval in (9.11). They also generate naturally deformations of the intervals

$$[-z_{2k+1}, -z_{2k}], \dots, [-z_3, -z_2], [-z_1, z_1], [z_2, z_3], \dots, [z_{2k}, z_{2k+1}], \quad (9.12)$$

throughout which the intervals (9.12) remain the support of a generalized Chebyshev polynomial of degree 2ℓ .

- (iii) For $g = 2k$, $N = 2\ell + 1$, we consider isoequilibrium deformations of the set of non-negative intervals obtained from the set of intervals

$$[0, z_1^2], [z_2^2, z_3^2], \dots, [z_{2k}^2, z_{2k+1}^2], \quad (9.13)$$

by an affine transformation that maps $0, z_1^2$ to 0, 1. These deformations keep 0 as the left end-point of the leftmost interval in (9.13) and generate naturally deformations of the intervals

$$[-z_{2k+1}, -z_{2k}], \dots, [-z_3, -z_2], [-z_1, z_1], [z_2, z_3], \dots, [z_{2k}, z_{2k+1}], \quad (9.14)$$

throughout which the intervals (9.14) remain the support of a generalized Chebyshev polynomial of degree $2\ell + 1$.

For (ii) and (iii), this corresponds to isoperiodic deformations of Toda curves of genus $g_1 = k$ defined as hyperelliptic coverings $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ of \mathbb{CP}^1 having the intervals (9.11) or (9.13), respectively, as branch cuts. In these cases, the branch points $u_1 = z_3^2, \dots, u_k = z_{2k+1}^2$ of the coverings $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ as functions of $\mathbf{x} = (z_2^2, z_4^2, \dots, z_{2k}^2)$ have derivatives expressed by (6.3), (3.5) with $\alpha = 0$ and satisfy system (5.1), (5.2) of Theorem 2, after an affine transformation that maps $0, z_1^2$ to 0, 1, respectively.

Proof. Note that isoequilibrium deformations of a set of real intervals exist due to Proposition 5. Further proof of (i) follows from Proposition 6, Theorem 5, Proposition 4, and Corollary 3.

Proofs of (ii) and (iii) follow from Proposition 7, Theorem 5, Proposition 4, Corollary 3, and, in the case (iii), the following argument.

With the initial curve (9.2) is associated a generalized Chebyshev polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell+1}$ and a polynomial \hat{p}_ℓ defined by $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell+1}(z) = z\hat{p}_\ell(w)$, with $w = z^2$. The deformations of the set of intervals (9.13) is such that the polynomial $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{2\ell+1}$ defined by (9.6) for the initial set of intervals admits a corresponding

continuous deformation throughout which it satisfies the Pell equation (9.7) for the deformed set of z_j^2 for some polynomial $\mathcal{Q}_{2\ell-k}(w)$ replacing $p_\ell(w)\hat{q}_{\ell-k}(w)$. From (9.7), we have the following factorization for the initial situation:

$$\tilde{p}_{2\ell+1}^2(w) - 1 = (\tilde{p}_{2\ell+1}(w) + 1)(\tilde{p}_{2\ell+1}(w) - 1) = 4w \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} (w - z_j^2) \hat{p}_\ell^2(w) \hat{q}_{\ell-k}^2(w). \quad (9.15)$$

From continuity of our deformations, from the continuous dependance of the polynomials on their zeros and vice-versa, and from (9.15), we conclude that the polynomial $\hat{p}_\ell(w)$ is unambiguously defined for a deformed set of intervals, for small enough deformations. Indeed, the zeros of the polynomial $\hat{p}_\ell(w)\hat{q}_{\ell-k}(w)$ are simple, which means that the zeros of $\hat{p}_\ell(w)$ are initially simple and distinct from the zeros of $\hat{q}_{\ell-k}(w)$ (as well as from the endpoints of the deformed intervals from the set (9.13)). We deform the intervals in a way that they remain being the support of a generalized Chebyshev polynomial. That means that the polynomial $\hat{q}_{\ell-k}(w)$ continuously deforms, inducing continuous deformations of its zeros. As long as the deformations are small enough that the deformed zeros remain simple, the deformed polynomial $\hat{p}_\ell(w)$ is well defined.

Thus, under small continuous deformations, $\tilde{p}_{2\ell+1}(w) + 1$ keeps being the product of the same (up to the deformation) factors of the right hand side of (9.15). Therefore, since (9.6) is satisfied for the initial situation, the same relation, up to possible small deformation of the scalar factor $\delta > 0$

$$\tilde{p}_{2\ell+1}(w) + 1 = \delta w \hat{p}_\ell^2(w) \quad (9.16)$$

holds after small deformations.

Now, from relation (9.16) and

$$\tilde{p}_{2\ell+1}(w) - 1 = \frac{4}{\delta} \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} (w - z_j^2) \hat{q}_{\ell-k}^2(w),$$

we get

$$\frac{\delta}{2} w \hat{p}_\ell^2(w) - \frac{2}{\delta} \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} (w - z_j^2) \hat{q}_{\ell-k}^2(w) = 1. \quad (9.17)$$

This relation, up to nonessential factors, which can be absorbed in the polynomials, coincides with (9.5). We can now define $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell+1}(z) = \sqrt{\delta/2} z \hat{p}_\ell(w)$, with $w = z^2$. Equation (9.17) becomes the Pell equation for $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell+1}(z)$ and thus, $\mathcal{P}_{2\ell+1}(z)$ is the generalized Chebyshev polynomial of degree $2\ell + 1$ supported by the set of intervals from (9.13). This finishes the proof. \square

10 Applications to the $SU(N)$ Seiberg-Witten theory

In their foundational works [34, 35], Seiberg and Witten considered the construction of the non-perturbative dynamics of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(2)$ -invariant super-symmetric Yang-Mills theory in the limit of low energy and momenta. The key ingredient in their construction was a family of elliptic curves and meromorphic differentials on them, along with possible degenerations of elliptic curves into rational curves with singularities.

Hyperelliptic curves of the form (8.12) of genus $N - 1$ play a crucial role in the $SU(N)$ gauge group generalizations of the Seiberg-Witten theory, as discovered in [4, 24]. It was observed in [19] that the

curves introduced in [4] and [24] coincide with the curves that had appeared before in the studies of the Toda lattices. A deeper connection of the Seiberg-Witten theory with the so-called Witham-Toda hierarchy was developed in [30].

In a special case of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(N)$ super-symmetric Yang-Mills theory, the so-called case without fundamental hypermultiplets (see e.g. [8]), the main object is the family of curves

$$\mathcal{C}_T(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \mu^2 = \mathcal{P}_N^2(z) - \hat{\Lambda}^2, \quad (10.1)$$

parametrized by n complex parameters x_1, \dots, x_n , where $\hat{\Lambda}$ is a real constant. Here $\mathcal{P}_N(z)$ is a polynomial of degree N . The parameters play the role of vacuum moduli of the Yang-Mills theory. They can be chosen as a subset of the set of zeros of the varying polynomial $\mathcal{P}_N^2(z) - \hat{\Lambda}^2$. In general, these curves are non-singular hyperelliptic curves of genus $n = N - 1$, which corresponds to the case when all zeros of $\mathcal{P}_N^2(z) - \hat{\Lambda}^2$ are simple. However, for certain values of the parameters, the curves may become singular, as some of the zeros of $\mathcal{P}_N^2(z) - \hat{\Lambda}^2$ merge to form a double zero. When such singularities occur, some of the particles in the Yang-Mills theory become massless. We will call such situations *singular regimes* and denote by $N - g - 1$ the number of double zeros of $\mathcal{P}_N^2(z) - \hat{\Lambda}^2$. We call such particles *new massless particles*.

In other words, in a singular regime, coming with $N - g - 1$ double zeros of $\mathcal{P}_N^2(z) - \hat{\Lambda}^2$, there exists a polynomial $\Delta_{2g+2}(z)$ of degree $2g + 2$ with only simple zeros (coinciding with the simple zeros of $\mathcal{P}_N^2(z) - \hat{\Lambda}^2$) and a polynomial $\mathcal{Q}_{N-g-1}(z)$ of degree $N - g - 1$ with only simple zeros, such that the polynomial \mathcal{P}_N from (10.1) satisfies Pell's equation (2.1) (assuming that we made a nonessential modification of the Pell's equation by replacing 1 in the right hand side by the constant $\hat{\Lambda}^2$). Thus, in the case when all zeros of $\Delta_{2g+2}(z)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{N-g-1}(z)$ are real, \mathcal{P}_N is a generalized Chebyshev polynomial. We will also consider in this section the situations when some of the polynomials $\Delta_{2g+2}(z)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{N-g-1}(z)$ have some of the zeros which are not real.

The desingularization of the singular curve (10.1) is a hyperelliptic curve $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$ of genus g defined by the equation

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}} : w^2 = \Delta_{2g+2}(z). \quad (10.2)$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ is a subset of the set of zeros of Δ_{2g+2} . New massless particles correspond to $N - g - 1$ zeros of the polynomial \mathcal{Q}_{N-g-1} . In the case when all zeros of $\Delta_{2g+2}(z)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{N-g-1}(z)$ are real, the zeros of the polynomial \mathcal{Q}_{N-g-1} are the *internal* critical points of the generalized Chebyshev polynomial \mathcal{P}_N , that is the critical points lying inside the intervals of the support of \mathcal{P}_N (see the proof of Theorem 5).

Example 1 *Let us consider one example from the $SU(2)$ case. Seiberg and Witten in [35] considered the curve of the equation*

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 2uX^2 + \Lambda^4X,$$

where Λ is a real constant. By a Möbius transformations in X , this curve can be brought to the form [4]:

$$\mathcal{C}_T(u) : \mu^2 = \left(z^2 - \frac{1}{2}u\right)^2 - \Lambda^4.$$

This is an elliptic curve for $u \neq \pm 2\Lambda^2$. The polynomial \mathcal{P}_2 in this example is

$$\mathcal{P}_2(z) = z^2 - \frac{u}{2}.$$

Let us consider the singular case $u = 2\Lambda^2$. Then the equation of the curve becomes $\mu^2 = (z^2 - \Lambda^2)^2 - \Lambda^4$, which is a singular cubic

$$\mathcal{C}_T(2\Lambda^2) : \mu^2 = z^2(z^2 - 2\Lambda^2).$$

With (z, μ) mapping to (z, w) by $w = \mu/z$, we get a nonsingular rational curve:

$$\Gamma : w^2 = z^2 - 2\Lambda^2.$$

The polynomial \mathcal{P}_2 in this singular case is thus

$$\mathcal{P}_2(z) = z^2 - \Lambda^2.$$

This is the monic Chebyshev polynomial of degree two corresponding to the interval $[-\Lambda\sqrt{2}, \Lambda\sqrt{2}]$. It satisfies Pell's equation

$$\mathcal{P}_2(z)^2 - w^2 z^2 = \Lambda^4,$$

where $\Delta_2(z) = w^2 = z^2 - 2\Lambda^2$ and $\mathcal{Q}_1(z) = z$. The internal critical point of \mathcal{P}_2 , which is $z = 0$, the zero of polynomial \mathcal{Q}_1 , corresponds to one massless particle which arises in this singular case. For $\Lambda = \sqrt{2}/2$ we get $\mathcal{P}_2(z) = z^2 - 1/2$ which is the classical monic Chebyshev polynomial of degree two on the interval $[-1, 1]$.

In what follows, we refer to the parameters $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ from (10.2) as the *vacuum moduli parameters* of the singular regime.

Theorem 9 Consider the vacuum moduli parameters $\mathbf{x}_0 = (x_1^0, \dots, x_g^0)$ of a singular regime of the Yang-Mills theory with $N - g - 1$ double zeros of $\mathcal{P}_N^2 - 1$. Let Δ_{2g+2}^0 of (10.2) be given by (2.2) with distinct $x_j^0, u_j^0 \in \mathbb{C}$. For generic moduli parameters \mathbf{x}_0 lying away from some set of measure zero defined in Theorem 4, there exists a local continuous deformation of this singular regime which fixes the number of double zeros of $\mathcal{P}_N^2 - 1$. This deformation is constructed from a family of curves $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$ given by (10.2) with \mathbf{x} varying in some neighbourhood of \mathbf{x}_0 where the branch points u_1, \dots, u_g of the coverings $z : \Gamma_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ as functions of $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ have derivatives expressed by (4.5) and satisfy system (5.1), (5.2) of Theorem 2. The differentials Ω and v_m in (4.5) are defined on $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$ by (3.8) and (3.5), respectively.

Proof. The above discussion shows that a singular regime of the Yang-Mills theory corresponds to a polynomial \mathcal{P}_N for which the polynomial $\mathcal{P}_N^2 - 1$ has $N - g - 1$ double zeros and $2g + 2$ simple zeros. In other words, we have the polynomial relation $\mathcal{P}_N^2 - 1 = \Delta_{2g+2}^0 \mathcal{Q}^2$ of the form of the Pell equation. This implies, as explained at the end of Section 2, that the curve $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ defined by (10.2) with $\Delta_{2g+2} = \Delta_{2g+2}^0$ is a Toda curve of order N in the sense of Definition 1 if we relax the requirement of reality of x_j^0 and u_j^0 . Therefore, (3.3) holds on $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ and one can define the differential $\Omega = \Omega(\mathbf{x}_0)$ by (3.8). Theorem 4 shows the existence of a local continuous generalized Toda family $(\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}, \Omega(\mathbf{x}))$ providing an isoperiodic deformation of the pair $(\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}_0}, \Omega(\mathbf{x}_0))$ by varying the parameters $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ in a neighbourhood of \mathbf{x}_0 . Thus $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$ is the curve defined by (10.2) with Δ_{2g+2} obtained from Δ_{2g+2}^0 by replacing \mathbf{x}_0 by \mathbf{x} . The periods of $\Omega(\mathbf{x})$ (3.8) are given by M_1 and M_2 from (3.3), see (3.8) and (3.10). Since the periods of $\Omega(\mathbf{x})$ are independent of \mathbf{x} , we have that (3.3) is satisfied for the surfaces $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$ with constant rational vectors M_1, M_2 such that $NM_1, NM_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^g$. By the Abel theorem, this implies that $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$ is a Toda curve of order N for all \mathbf{x} in the neighbourhood in question where we relax the requirement of the reality of the branch points. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}_N^2 - 1 = \Delta_{2g+2} \mathcal{Q}^2$ is satisfied for all \mathbf{x} in some neighbourhood of \mathbf{x}_0 .

Here the polynomials \mathcal{P}_N , Δ_{2g+2} , \mathcal{Q} vary smoothly as \mathbf{x} varies. This implies that for all such \mathbf{x} the polynomial $\mathcal{P}_N^2 - 1$ has $2g+2$ simple zeros and $N-g-1$ double zeros, thus corresponding to a singular regime of the Yang-Mills theory, for all \mathbf{x} in some neighbourhood of \mathbf{x}_0 . This shows the existence of a local continuous deformation of the singular regime corresponding to the moduli parameters \mathbf{x}_0 . The rest of the statement follows from Theorem 3. \square

Under the assumption that the number of new massless particles corresponding to a given type of singular regime of the theory does not depend on small deformation of the vacuum moduli parameters (x_1, \dots, x_n) , it would follow from Theorem 9 that the number of new massless particles would remain unchanged under the above isoperiodic deformations.

In Example 1, the set of the vacuum moduli parameters is empty ($g = 0$) and there are no nontrivial deformations in this case.

In a more general case of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(N)$ super-symmetric Yang-Mills theory *with* fundamental hypermultiplets (see e.g. [8]), the family of the associated curves is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_T(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \mu^2 = \mathcal{P}_N^2(z) - \hat{\Lambda}^2 R(z),$$

where $R(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{N_f} (z + m_j)$. Here N_f is the number of multiplets and their masses are m_j , $j = 1, \dots, N_f$. We will consider the situation with multiplets in a separate publication.

11 Triangular solution to constrained Schlesinger system.

In this section, we give a solution to the constrained Schlesinger system introduced in [10]. This is a restriction of the classical Schlesinger system to a submanifold in the space of independent variables. More precisely, for some integer $1 \leq K \leq 2g-1$ denote $\mathcal{B}_K = \{0, 1, x_1, \dots, x_K, u_1, \dots, u_{2g-K}\}$ so that for the set (2.5) we have $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_g$. Then for a_j being an arbitrary element of the set $\mathcal{B} = \{0, 1, x_1, \dots, x_K, u_1, \dots, u_{2g-K}\}$, the constrained Schlesinger system for matrices A_{a_j} has the following form for $i = 1, \dots, K$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_i} A_{a_j} &= \frac{[A_{x_i}, A_{a_j}]}{x_i - a_j} + \sum_{k=1}^{2g-K} \frac{[A_{u_k}, A_{a_j}]}{u_k - a_j} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i}, \quad \text{for } a_j \notin \{x_i, u_1, \dots, u_{2g-K}\}; \\ \partial_{x_i} A_{u_m} &= \frac{[A_{x_i}, A_{u_m}]}{x_i - u_m} + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^{2g-K} \frac{[A_{u_k}, A_{u_m}]}{u_k - u_m} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \sum_{\substack{a_j \in \mathcal{B} \\ a_j \neq u_m}} \frac{[A_{a_j}, A_{u_m}]}{a_j - u_m}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq m \leq 2g-K; \\ \partial_{x_i} A_{x_i} &= - \sum_{\substack{a_j \in \mathcal{B} \\ a_j \neq x_i}} \frac{[A_{x_i}, A_{a_j}]}{x_i - a_j} + \sum_{k=1}^{2g-K} \frac{[A_{u_k}, A_{x_i}]}{u_k - x_i} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (11.1)$$

The last equation may be replaced by $\sum_{a_j \in \mathcal{B}} A_{a_j} = \text{const}$. If $K = 2g$, equations (11.1) turn into the usual Schlesinger system. The submanifold in the space of the variables of the classical Schlesinger system is given by setting the first two variables to 0 and 1 and by giving u_1, \dots, u_{2g-K} as functions of x_1, \dots, x_K . If, additionally, we have equations for the partial derivatives of these functions, then system (11.1) is well determined, otherwise it is underdetermined.

Let us set $K = g$ and consider system (11.1) together with equations (5.1) and (5.2) for the functions u_j . Such a system is well determined and a solution to it is given in the next theorem in terms of the

meromorphic differentials defined over families of curves considered in this paper, where A_{a_j} are 2×2 upper triangular traceless matrices.

Theorem 10 *Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{C}^g$ be a family of Toda type as in Definition 3 carrying a meromorphic differential of the third kind $\Omega := \Omega_\alpha$ defined by (6.1) with some constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^g$. Let the family of Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ correspond to the hyperelliptic curves defined by (3.1) and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ be a subset of branch points of the coverings $u : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ as in (3.1). Let ϕ be the holomorphic differential (3.4) defined on the surfaces from the family. Then, together with the branch points u_1, \dots, u_g of the coverings u , the following matrices satisfy the constrained Schlesinger system (11.1) with $K = g$ and system (5.1), (5.2) with respect to the variables x_1, \dots, x_g . Here a_j runs through the set $\mathcal{B} = \{0, 1, x_1, u_1, \dots, x_g, u_g\}$ of all branch points of the coverings u :*

$$A_{a_j} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} & A_{a_j}^{12} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix} \quad (11.2)$$

where

$$A_{a_j}^{12} = \frac{t}{4} \Omega(P_{a_j}) \varphi(P_{a_j}) \quad (11.3)$$

with $t \in \mathbb{C}$ being an arbitrary constant.

Proof. Due to Theorem 3, the branch points u_1, \dots, u_g as functions of \mathbf{x} satisfy system (5.1), (5.2) and also (4.5). Using notation (11.3), we rewrite (4.5) in the form

$$\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{A_{x_i}^{12}}{A_{u_m}^{12}} \prod_{\alpha=1, \alpha \neq m}^g \frac{x_i - u_\alpha}{u_m - u_\alpha}. \quad (11.4)$$

The arbitrary parameter t in the solution in Theorem 10 reflects the invariance of the constrained Schlesinger system (11.1) under the following simultaneous, for all $a_j \in \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_g$, rescaling of the anti-diagonal elements of the matrices: $A_{a_j}^{12} \mapsto t A_{a_j}^{12}$ and $A_{a_j}^{21} \mapsto \frac{1}{t} A_{a_j}^{21}$.

To show that the sum of all the matrices A_{a_j} (11.2) is constant, we only need to show that the sum of $A_{a_j}^{12}$ over all $a_j \in \mathcal{B}$ is a constant. This sum is actually zero as a sum of residues of the following differential on the compact Riemann surface $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ for some fixed \mathbf{x} :

$$A^{12}(u) du := \frac{t}{2} \frac{\Omega(P) du(P)}{\varphi(P) v^2}. \quad (11.5)$$

This differential has simple poles at P_{a_j} with $a_j \in \mathcal{B}$ and no other singularities on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$. Moreover $A^{12}(u)$ is a well-defined function of $u \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ with simple poles in the set $B = \{0, 1, x_1, \dots, x_g, u_1, \dots, u_g\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and no other singularities. Thus, we can write

$$A_{a_j}^{12} = \operatorname{res}_{u=a_j} A^{12}(u) du = \frac{t}{4} \Omega(P_{a_j}) \varphi(P_{a_j}) \quad (11.6)$$

for any $a_j \in \mathcal{B}$, where the evaluation of differentials at ramification points is understood in the sense of (3.11). Therefore, we have $\sum_{a_j \in B} A_{a_j}^{12} = 0$ and

$$\sum_{a_j \in B} A_{a_j} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{g+1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{g+1}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11.7)$$

It remains to prove that the matrices (11.2), (11.3) satisfy the first two equations of (11.1). For the matrices A_{a_j} from Theorem 10, these equations reduce to the following

$$\frac{\partial A_{a_j}^{12}}{\partial x_i} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{A_{x_i}^{12} - A_{a_j}^{12}}{x_i - a_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^g \frac{A_{u_k}^{12} - A_{a_j}^{12}}{u_k - a_j} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i}, \quad a_j \notin \{u_1, \dots, u_g\}; \quad (11.8)$$

$$\frac{\partial A_{u_m}^{12}}{\partial x_i} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{A_{x_i}^{12} - A_{u_m}^{12}}{x_i - u_m} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^g \frac{A_{u_k}^{12} - A_{u_m}^{12}}{u_k - u_m} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \sum_{\substack{a_j \in B \\ a_j \neq u_m}} \frac{A_{a_j}^{12} - A_{u_m}^{12}}{a_j - u_m}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq g. \quad (11.9)$$

Let us first prove (11.8) for A_{a_j} with $a_j \notin \{u_1, \dots, u_g\}$. Differentiating $A_{a_j}^{12}$ defined by (11.6) using Proposition 1 rewritten using $A_{a_j}^{12}$ as follows

$$\frac{\partial \Omega(P_{a_j})}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\Omega(P_{a_j})}{2(x_i - a_j)} \frac{A_{x_i}^{12}}{A_{a_j}^{12}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^g \frac{x_i - u_\alpha}{a_j - u_\alpha}$$

and (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial A_{a_j}^{12}}{\partial x_i} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\{ \frac{t}{4} \Omega(P_{a_j}) \varphi(P_{a_j}) \right\} = A_{a_j}^{12} \left\{ \frac{\partial_{x_i} \Omega(P_{a_j})}{\Omega(P_{a_j})} + \frac{\partial_{x_i} \varphi(P_{a_j})}{\varphi(P_{a_j})} \right\} \\ &= \frac{A_{a_j}^{12}}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{x_i - a_j} \frac{A_{x_i}^{12}}{A_{a_j}^{12}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^g \frac{(x_i - u_\alpha)}{(a_j - u_\alpha)} + \left(\frac{1}{a_j - x_i} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^g \frac{1}{a_j - u_\alpha} \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_i} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (11.10)$$

Using this in the left hand side of (11.8), we see that it remains to prove the following equality:

$$\frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^g (x_i - u_\alpha)}{\prod_{\alpha=1}^g (a_j - u_\alpha)} = 1 + \frac{x_i - a_j}{A_{x_i}^{12}} \sum_{k=1}^g \frac{A_{u_k}^{12}}{u_k - a_j} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i}.$$

This is done by plugging in (11.4) for the derivatives of u_k and then using rational identity (4.14).

Let us now prove (11.9) with $1 \leq m \leq g$. Differentiating $A_{a_j}^{12}$ defined by (11.6) with $a_j = u_m$ and using Proposition 2 rewritten using the notation of $A_{a_j}^{12}$, and (4.10) for derivative of $\phi(P_{u_m})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial A_{u_m}^{12}}{\partial x_i} &= \frac{A_{u_m}^{12}}{2} \left\{ -\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{1}{x_i - u_m} - \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - u_\alpha} + \frac{1}{A_{u_m}^{12}} \sum_{\substack{a_j \in B \\ a_j \neq u_m}} \frac{A_{a_j}^{12}}{a_j - u_m} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{u_m - x_i} - \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \sum_{\substack{a_j \in B \\ a_j \neq u_m}} \frac{1}{u_m - a_j} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - u_\alpha} \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_i} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (11.11)$$

Using this in the left hand side of (11.9), one sees that the terms in the second line and the sum over a_j in the first line of (11.11) cancel against the corresponding terms in the right hand side of (11.9), and what remains to prove is the following identity

$$A_{u_m}^{12} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq m}}^g \frac{1}{u_m - u_\alpha} - \frac{1}{x_i - u_m} \right) = \frac{A_{x_i}^{12}}{x_i - u_m} + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^g \frac{A_{u_k}^{12}}{u_k - u_m} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i},$$

which is easily verified using (11.4) for the derivatives of u_k and rational identity (4.15) with $x = x_i$ and $N = g$ in the right hand side.

Acknowledgements. Although neither of the co-authors of this paper had Igor Moiseevich Krichever as their PhD advisor, both V.D. and V.S. have been strongly influenced by Krichever's works since their PhD times. This paper is yet another example of that. We thank Alexey Yu. Morozov and Patrick Labelle for very helpful discussions. V.D. acknowledges with gratitude the Simons Foundation grant no. 854861 and the Science Fund of Serbia grant IntegraRS. V.S. gratefully acknowledges support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada through a Discovery grant and from the University of Sherbrooke.

References

- [1] N. I. Akhiezer, *Lekcii po Teorii Approksimacii*, [in Russian], OGIZ, Moscow-Leningrad, 1947, pp. 323.
- [2] N. I. Akhiezer, *Elements of the theory of elliptic functions*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, volume 79, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990, pp. viii+237.
- [3] A. I. Aptekarev, Asymptotic properties of polynomials orthogonal on a system of contours, and periodic motions of Toda chains, Math.USSR. Sb 53 (1986), 233-260.
- [4] P. Argyres and A. Faraggi, *The vacuum structure and spectrum of $N=2$ supersymmetric $SU(N)$ gauge theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1995) 3931, hep-th/9411057
- [5] A. Bogatyrev, *Extremal polynomials and Riemann surfaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012, pp. xxvi+150 pp.
- [6] S. A. Brazovskil, N. E. Dzyaloshinskil, and I. M. Krichever, *Discrete Peierls models with exact solutions*, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 83, 389-415; Sov. Phys. JETP 56(1), July 1982, 212-225.
- [7] E. Date, and S. Takana, *Analogue of inverse scattering theory for the discrete Hill's equation and exact solutions for the periodic Toda lattice*, Progr. Theor. Phys. 55, 1976, pp. 447-465.
- [8] E. D'Hoker, D. H. Phong, *Lectures on supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory and integrable systems*, CRM Ser. Math. Phys. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002, 1-125.
- [9] V. Dragović, V. Shramchenko, *Algebro-geometric approach to an Okamoto transformation, the Painlevé VI and Schlesinger equations*, Annales Henri Poincaré, 2019, 20, no. 4, 1121-1148.
- [10] V. Dragović, V. Shramchenko, *Isoharmonic deformations and constrained Schlesinger systems*. arXiv:2112.04110
- [11] V. Dragović, M. Radnović, *Periodic Ellipsoidal Billiard Trajectories and Extremal Polynomials*, Comm. Math. Phys. , 2019, Vol. 372, p. 183-211.
- [12] V. Dragović, M. Radnović, *Resonance of ellipsoidal billiard trajectories and extremal rational functions*, Advances in Mathematics, Article 109044, 51 p. Vol. 424, 2023.

- [13] B. A. Dubrovin, V. B. Matveev, S. P. Novikov, *Nonlinear equations of Korteweg-de Vries type, finite zone operators, and Abelian varieties*, Russ. Math. Surv. 31, No. 1, 1976. 59-146.
- [14] B. A. Dubrovin, *Theta functions and non-linear equations*, Russ. Math. Surv. 1981, 36, No. 2 11-92.
- [15] J. Fay, *Kernel functions, analytic torsion, and moduli spaces*, Memoirs of the AMS **96** (1992), no 464.
- [16] H. Flaschka, *The Toda lattice: I. Existence of integrals*, Phys. Rev. B 9 1974 1924-1925
- [17] H. Flaschka, *The Toda lattice: II*, Prog. Theor. Phys. 51, 1974, pp. 703-716.
- [18] H. Flaschka H and D. McLaughlin, *Canonically conjugate variables for the Korteweg – de Vries equation and the Toda lattice with periodic boundary conditions*, Prog. Theor. Phys. 55, 1976, 438-56.
- [19] A. Gorskii, I.M. Krichever, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Integrability and Seiberg-Witten exact solution*, Phys. Lett. B355 (1995) 466, hep-th/9505035;
- [20] P. G. Grinevich, M. U. Schmidt, *Period preserving nonisospectral flows and the moduli space of periodic solutions of soliton equations: The nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Physica D, 87:73-98, 1995.
- [21] A. Henrici and T. Kappeler, *Global Birkhoff coordinates for the periodic Toda lattice*, Nonlinearity **21** (2008), no.12, 2731-2758.
- [22] E. L. Ince, *Ordinary differential equations* Dover, New York (1956).
- [23] K. Iwasaki, H. Kimura, S. Shimomura, M. Yoshida, *From Gauss to Painlevé. A modern theory of special functions*, Aspects of Mathematics, Braunschweig (1991).
- [24] A. Klemm, W. Lerche, S. Theisen, and S. Yankielowicz, *Simple singularities and $N = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories*, Phys. Lett. B 344 (1995) 169, hep-th/9411058
- [25] A. Kokotov, D. Korotkin, *A new hierarchy of integrable systems associated to Hurwitz spaces*, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. **366** (2008), no. 1867, 1055-1088.
- [26] I. M. Krichever. *Algebraic curves and non-linear difference equations*, Russ. Math. Surv. 1978, 33, No. 4 255-256.
- [27] I. M. Krichever, *The periodic non-Abelian Toda chain and its two-dimensional generalization*, pp. 82-89, Appendix in B. A. Dubrovin, *Theta functions and nonlinear equations*, Russ. Math. Surv. 1981, 36, No. 2.
- [28] H. P. McKean, P. van Moerbeke, *Hill and Toda curves*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 33 (1980), no. 1, 23-42.
- [29] P. van Moerbeke, *The spectrum of Jacobi matrices*, Inv. Math. 37. 1976, pp. 45-81.
- [30] T. Nakatsu and K. Takasaki, *Whitham-Toda Hierarchy and $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A11 (1996) 157, hep-th/9509162;

- [31] S. P. Novikov, S. V. Manakov, L. P. Pitaevskii, V. E. Zakharov, *Theory of solitons*, Contemp. Soviet Math., Consultants Bureau [Plenum], New York, 1984, xi+276 pp.
- [32] F. Peherstorfer, K. Schiefermayr, *Description of extremal polynomials on several intervals and their computation*, I, II, Acta Math. Hungar. 83 (1999), no. 1-2, 27-58, 59-83.
- [33] L. V. Perkolab, *Inverse problem for periodic Jacobi matrices*, Theory of functions, functional analysis and their applications, (1984), Vol. 42, p. 107-121.
- [34] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Electro-magnetic duality, monopole condensation, and confinement in $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, Nucl. Phys. B426 (1994) 19-53, hep-th/9407087.
- [35] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Monopoles, duality, and chiral symmetry breaking in $N = 2$ supersymmetric QCD*, Nucl. Phys. B431 (1994) 494, hep-th/9410167.
- [36] B. Simon, *Szegő's Theorem and Its Descendants*, Princeton University Press, 2011.
- [37] B. Simon, *Harmonic Analysis. A Comprehensive Course in Analysis, Part 3*, AMS, 2015.
- [38] B. Simon, *Operator Theory. A Comprehensive Course in Analysis, Part 4*, AMS, 2015.
- [39] M. L. Sodin, P. M. Yuditskii, *Functions that deviate least from zero on closed subsets of the real axis*, Algebra i Analiz 4 (1992), no. 2, 1-61 (Russian, with Russian summary); English transl., St. Petersburg Math. J. 4 (1993), no. 2, 201-249.
- [40] M. Toda, *Studies of a non-linear lattice*, Phys. Rep. 18C (1975), no. 1, 1-123.
- [41] H. Widom, *Extremal polynomials associated with a system of curves in the complex plane*, Adv. Math. 3, (1969), 127-232.