

PARAMETER-DEPENDENT INHOMOGENEOUS BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS IN SOBOLEV SPACES

OLENA ATLASIUK, VLADIMIR MIKHAILET, AND JARI TASKINEN

ABSTRACT. We study a wide class of linear inhomogeneous boundary-value problems for r th order ODE-systems depending on a parameter μ in a general metric space \mathcal{M} . The solutions belong to the Sobolev spaces $(W_p^{n+r})^m$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The boundary conditions are of a most general form $By = c$, where B is an arbitrary continuous operator from $(W_p^{n+r})^m$ to \mathbb{C}^m . They may thus contain derivatives of the unknown vector function of integer and/or fractional orders $\geq r$. We find necessary and sufficient conditions for the continuity of solutions with respect to the parameter μ . We also prove that the solutions of the original problems can be approximated in the space $(W_p^{n+r})^m$ by solutions of ODE-systems with polynomial coefficients and multipoint boundary conditions, which do not depend on the right-hand sides of the original problem.

Keywords: differential system; boundary-value problem; Sobolev space; continuity in parameter, generic boundary conditions, multipoint boundary conditions.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34B05, 34B08, 34B10, 47A53 1

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. General results on the continuous parameter dependence	3
3. Limit theorems concerning the operators of the boundary-value problem	12
4. Approximation by solutions of multipoint boundary-value problems	17
5. Acknowledgment	20
References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the central, contemporary questions in the theory of systems of ordinary differential equations concerns parameter-dependent systems and, in particular, the continuous dependence on the parameter or the limit behavior of the solutions. As for classical, fundamental results on the continuous parameter dependence, we mention the results of I.I. Gikhman [15], M.A. Krasnoselskii and S.G. Krein [34], J. Kurzweil and Z. Vorel [36] concerning for the Cauchy problem for nonlinear differential systems. For linear systems, these results were improved and generalized by A.Yu. Levin [37], Z. Opial [49], W.T. Reid [53], T.K. Nguen [48], and more recently, by the second named author and his collaborators [8, 9, 30, 43–45].

Unlike solutions to the Cauchy problem, solutions to boundary-value problems may not exist or may not be unique. The questions about the solvability of linear boundary-value problems and, in particular, their Fredholm indices and d -characteristics in various function spaces were studied in the papers [44, 46]. Parameter dependence of boundary-value problems have attained much less attention

than the corresponding question for the Cauchy problem. For a long time, limit theorems for the parameter dependence were only established for inhomogeneous boundary-value conditions in boundary-value problems

$$(1.1) \quad Ly = f, \quad By = c,$$

where L is a system of m linear differential equations of order $r \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ with summable coefficients, and B is a linear continuous finite-dimensional operator

$$B: (W_1^r)^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{rm}.$$

In this case, the general boundary conditions may only contain derivatives of order $\leq r-1$ of the unknown function [1, 13, 17, 23, 32, 33, 35, 38, 50, 52, 54, 55, 57]. Sufficient conditions for the continuity of solutions in the case $r = 1$ were proved in the articles of I.T. Kiguradze [25–28], and M. Ashordia [2, 4]. These results were improved and generalized to systems of differential equations of order $r \geq 2$ in the series of works [29, 51].

In some applications and problems of optimization theory, there naturally arise problems with boundary conditions that contain higher derivatives than the order of the differential equation. If the coefficients of the system of order $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and its right-hand side even belong to the Sobolev space $(W_p^n)^{m \times m}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ instead of just being in L_p , then all solutions of the differential system have additional smoothness and belong to the Sobolev space $(W_p^{n+r})^m$. In this case, additional "boundary" conditions should be added: indeed, we introduce new *generic* inhomogeneous boundary conditions in Sobolev spaces as the operator equation $By = c$, where

$$B: (W_p^{n+r})^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\ell,$$

is a the continuous operator and ℓ is the number of linearly independent scalar boundary conditions. The resulting conditions can be either overdetermined or underdetermined. This new direction of research has been developed in a series of works by the second named author and his co-authors [16, 18, 19]. The applications include multipoint boundary-value problems [3, 5–7], as well as problems of the spectral theory of differential operators with singular coefficients [21]. Note that for such boundary-value problems, the formal conjugate problem and Lagrange formula are not defined, therefore, novel approaches and methods are required. Generic boundary-value problems have also been studied in other spaces of differentiable functions [39–41, 47, 56]. It is worth noting that even in the case of $n = 0$, $p = 1$ the class of generic boundary-value problems is wider than the class of problems (1.1).

The article is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the formulation and proofs of general results on the continuous parameter dependence, and in Section 3 we prove limit theorems for the operators appearing in the boundary-value problems. Also, in Theorem 3.2 we find a concrete representation for the abstract boundary operator of the generic boundary-value problem, which leads to more detailed formulation of the results of Section 2. Section 4 contains applications of the main results, namely, Theorem 4.1 on the approximation of solutions of an arbitrary boundary-value problem by solutions of a system with polynomial coefficients and multipoint boundary conditions.

2. GENERAL RESULTS ON THE CONTINUOUS PARAMETER DEPENDENCE

Let $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a finite interval and let the parameters

$$n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \quad \{m, r, k\} \subset \mathbb{N}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

be arbitrary. We denote by

$$\begin{aligned} W_p^{n+r}([a, b]; \mathbb{C}) \\ := \{y \in C^{n+r-1}([a, b]; \mathbb{C}) : y^{(n+r-1)} \in AC[a, b], y^{(n+r)} \in L_p[a, b]\} \end{aligned}$$

the usual complex Sobolev space and we set $W_p^0 := L_p$. This space is Banach with respect to the norm

$$\|y\|_{n+r,p} = \sum_{s=0}^{n+r} \|y^{(s)}\|_p,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_p$ stands for the norm in the Lebesgue space $L_p([a, b]; \mathbb{C})$. We will need the Sobolev spaces

$$(W_p^{n+r})^m := W_p^{n+r}([a, b]; \mathbb{C}^m) \quad \text{and} \quad (W_p^{n+r})^{m \times m} := W_p^{n+r}([a, b]; \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}),$$

which consist, respectively, of vector- and matrix-valued functions with elements belonging to W_p^{n+r} . The norms in these spaces are defined to be the sums of the Sobolev-norms of the components, and the same notation $\|\cdot\|_{n+r,p}$ is used in all cases, which will be clear from the context. The same convention will be applied to all other Banach spaces. Recall that, if $p < \infty$, the Sobolev spaces in question are separable and have a Schauder basis.

Let \mathcal{M} be an arbitrary metric space. In the sequel, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ will denote a free parameter whereas $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ denotes an arbitrarily fixed one. We consider the following linear boundary-value problem for an unknown vector-valued function $y(\cdot, \mu) \in (W_p^{n+r})^m$,

$$(2.1) \quad (L(\mu)y(\mu))(t) := y^{(r)}(t, \mu) + \sum_{\ell=1}^r A_{r-\ell}(t, \mu)y^{(r-\ell)}(t, \mu) = f(t, \mu), \quad t \in (a, b),$$

$$(2.2) \quad B(\mu)y(\mu) = c(\mu),$$

where matrix-valued functions $A_{r-\ell}(\cdot, \mu) \in (W_p^n)^{m \times m}$, a vector-valued function $f(\cdot, \mu) \in (W_p^n)^m$, a vector $c(\mu) \in \mathbb{C}^{rm}$ and a continuous linear operator

$$(2.3) \quad B(\mu): (W_p^{n+r})^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{rm}$$

are given and arbitrary. The boundary condition (2.2) consists of rm scalar conditions for a system of m differential equations of r -th order. In the sequel, we will use the rules of standard matrix algebra, thus, vectors and vector-valued functions will be presented as columns.

A solution to problem (2.1), (2.2) is understood as a vector-valued function $y \in (W_p^{n+r})^m$ which satisfies both equation (2.1) (everywhere if $n \geq 1$, and almost everywhere if $n = 0$) on (a, b) and equality (2.2). As explained above, we call the general boundary condition (2.2) with an arbitrary continuous operator (2.3) as *generic* for the differential system (2.1). It covers all classical types of boundary conditions, such as initial conditions in the Cauchy problem, various multipoint conditions, integral conditions, mixed boundary conditions, as well as non-classical conditions containing fractional derivatives, where the order of the derivatives may

exceed the order of the differential equation. Finally, we do not pose any *a priori* assumption on the regularity of the matrix-value functions $A_{r-l}(t, \mu)$ with respect to μ .

We write problems (2.1), (2.2) in the form of a linear operator equation

$$(L(\mu), B(\mu))y(\mu) = (f(\mu), c(\mu)),$$

where $(L(\mu), B(\mu))$ is the family of continuous linear operators

$$(2.4) \quad (L(\mu), B(\mu)) : (W_p^{n+r})^m \rightarrow (W_p^n)^m \times \mathbb{C}^{rm}.$$

Let E_1 and E_2 be Banach spaces. A linear bounded operator $T : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ is called a Fredholm operator if its kernel and co-kernel are finite-dimensional. If T is a Fredholm operator, then its range $T(E_1)$ is closed in E_2 , and its index

$$\text{ind } T := \dim \ker T - \dim (E_2/T(E_1)) \in \mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$$

is finite (see, e.g., [22, Lemma 19.1.1]). According to [44, Theorem 1], all operators in the family (2.4) are Fredholm with index zero for every μ .

Definition 2.1. *We say that a solution to the boundary-value problem (2.1), (2.2) depends continuously on the parameter μ at a limit point μ_0 of the metric space \mathcal{M} , if the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- (*) *There exists a positive number ε such that, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{B}(\mu_0, \varepsilon)$ and arbitrary right-hand sides $f(\cdot; \mu) \in (W_p^n)^m$ and $c(\mu) \in \mathbb{C}^{rm}$, the problem has a unique solution $y(\cdot; \mu)$ in the space $(W_p^{n+r})^m$;*
- (**) *The convergence of the right-hand sides $f(\cdot; \mu) \rightarrow f(\cdot; \mu_0)$ in $(W_p^n)^m$ and $c(\mu) \rightarrow c(\mu_0)$ in \mathbb{C}^{rm} as $\mu \rightarrow \mu_0$ implies the convergence of the solutions*

$$y(\cdot, \mu) \rightarrow y(\cdot, \mu_0) \quad \text{in } (W_p^{n+r})^m \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0.$$

Throughout this article, we will assume that the following condition (0) for the point $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ is fulfilled.

Condition (0). *The homogeneous boundary-value problem*

$$L(\mu_0)y(t, \mu_0) = 0, \quad t \in (a, b), \quad B(\mu_0)y(\cdot, \mu_0) = 0$$

has only a trivial solution.

We will also consider the following two conditions on the left-hand sides of the problem (2.1), (2.2).

Limit Conditions as $\mu \rightarrow \mu_0$:

- (I) $A_{r-\ell}(\cdot; \mu) \rightarrow A_{r-\ell}(\cdot; \mu_0)$ in the space $(W_p^n)^{m \times m}$ for every $\ell \in \{1, \dots, r\}$;
- (II) $B(\mu)y \rightarrow B(\mu_0)y$ in the space \mathbb{C}^m for all $y \in (W_p^{n+r})^m$.

Now, we can formulate necessary and sufficient conditions for the continuity of the solutions to the boundary-value problem (2.1), (2.2) with respect to an abstract parameter.

Theorem 2.2. *The solution to the boundary-value problem (2.1), (2.2) depends continuously on the parameter μ at $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ if and only if this problem satisfies Condition (0) and Limit Conditions (I) and (II).*

Corollary 2.3. *If Condition (0) and Limit Conditions (I) and (II) are satisfied for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ and the right-hand sides f and c are fixed, then the solution to the boundary-value problem (2.1), (2.2) exists and is unique for every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ and belongs to the space $C(\mathcal{M}; (W_p^{n+r})^m)$.*

It is worth noting that using an arbitrary metric space \mathcal{M} in Theorem 2.2 yields a unified approach to both continuous and discrete parameters.

In the case of $r = 1$, $\mathcal{M} = [0, \varepsilon_0]$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $\mu_0 = 0$, Theorem 2.2 was proved in [9, Theorem 1] and in the case of $r = 1$, $\mathcal{M} = I \subset \mathbb{R}$, where I is an interval on \mathbb{R} , in [42, Theorem 1].

To prove Theorem 2.2, we will need the homeomorphism theorem, which is proved in article [8, Theorem 3]. For the convenience of readers, we will give the formulation of this result for our case.

Let us introduce a metric space of non-degenerate matrix-valued functions

$$\mathcal{Y}_p^n := \{Y(\cdot) \in (W_p^n)^{m \times m} : Y(a) = I_m, \quad \det Y(t) \neq 0, \quad t \in [a, b]\}$$

with the metric

$$d_{n,p}(Y, Z) := \|Y(\cdot) - Z(\cdot)\|_{n,p}.$$

Theorem 2.4. *A nonlinear mapping*

$$A(\cdot) \mapsto Y(\cdot),$$

which associates to each matrix-valued function $A(\cdot) \in (W_p^n)^{m \times m}$ a unique solution $Y(\cdot)$ of the Cauchy matrix problem

$$Y'(t) + A(t)Y(t) = 0, \quad t \in (a, b), \quad Y(a) = I_m,$$

is a homeomorphism of the Banach space $(W_p^n)^{m \times m}$ onto the metric space \mathcal{Y}_p^n .

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let us prove the necessity in Theorem 2.2. So, we assume that the solution of the boundary-value problem (2.1), (2.2) depends continuously on μ in the sense of Definition 2.1. Then, Condition (0) obviously holds true, and there remains to prove that the problem also satisfies Limit Conditions (I) and (II). We divide the proof into three steps.

Step 1. We consider Condition (I). To show that it holds, we use the canonical reduction of the system to a system of first order differential equations (see, e.g., [12]). Denoting by \top the matrix transposition, we put

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} x(\cdot, \mu) &:= (y(\cdot, \mu), y'(\cdot, \mu), \dots, y^{(r-1)}(\cdot, \mu))^\top \in (W_p^{n+r})^{rm}, \\ g(\cdot, \mu) &:= (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(r-1)m}, f(\cdot, \mu))^\top \in (W_p^n)^{rm}, \\ c(\mu) &:= (c_1(\mu), \dots, c_r(\mu))^\top \in \mathbb{C}^{rm}, \end{aligned}$$

and define the block matrix-valued function $K(\cdot, \mu) \in (W_p^n)^{rm \times rm}$ by

$$(2.6) \quad K(\cdot, \mu) := \begin{pmatrix} O_m & -I_m & O_m & \dots & O_m \\ O_m & O_m & -I_m & \dots & O_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ O_m & O_m & O_m & \dots & -I_m \\ A_0(\cdot, \mu) & A_1(\cdot, \mu) & A_2(\cdot, \mu) & \dots & A_{r-1}(\cdot, \mu) \end{pmatrix},$$

where O_m and I_m denote the null and identity matrices of dimension $m \times m$, respectively. A vector-valued function $y(\cdot, \mu) \in (W_p^{n+r})^m$ is a solution to the system (2.1) if and only if the vector-valued function (2.5) is a solution to the system

$$x'(t, \mu) + K(t, \mu)x(t, \mu) = g(t, \mu), \quad t \in (a, b).$$

We denote by

$$[B(\mu)Y(\cdot, \mu)] := ([B(\mu)Y_0(\cdot, \mu)], \dots, [B(\mu)Y_{r-1}(\cdot, \mu)]) \in \mathbb{C}^{rm \times rm}$$

the numerical block matrix of dimension $rm \times m$. It consists of r square block columns $[B(\mu)Y_l(\cdot, \mu)] \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ in which j th column of the matrix $[B(\mu)Y_l(\cdot, \mu)]$ is the result of the action of the operator $B(\mu)$ on the j -th column of the matrix-valued function $Y_l(\cdot, \mu)$. Consider the following matrix boundary-value problem,

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_\ell^{(r)}(t, \mu) + \sum_{\ell=1}^r A_{r-j}(t, \mu)Y_\ell^{(r-\ell)}(t, \mu) &= O_{m \times rm}, \quad t \in (a, b), \\ [B(\mu)Y_\ell(\cdot, \mu)] &= I_{rm}. \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$Y_\ell(\cdot, \mu) := \left(y_\ell^{j,k}(\cdot, \mu) \right)_{\substack{j=1, \dots, m \\ k=1, \dots, rm}}$$

is an unknown matrix-valued function of dimension $m \times rm$ and with entries in W_p^{n+r} , and $O_{m \times rm}$ and I_{rm} are the zero and identity matrices of dimensions $m \times rm$ and $m \times m$, respectively. Problem (2.7) is a collection of rm boundary-value problems (2.1), (2.2), the right-hand sides of which do not depend on μ . Therefore, the problem has a unique solution $Y(\cdot; \mu)$ for every $\mu \in \mathcal{B}(\mu_0, \varepsilon)$, due to condition $(*)$ of Definition 2.1. Moreover, by $(**)$, there holds the convergence

$$(2.8) \quad y_{j,k}(\cdot, \mu) \rightarrow y_{j,k}(\cdot, \mu_0) \quad \text{in } W_p^{n+r} \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0.$$

For every $k \in \{1, \dots, rm\}$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{B}(\mu_0, \varepsilon)$, we define the vector-valued function $x_k(\cdot, \mu) \in (W_p^{n+r})^{rm}$ by formula (2.5), where take

$$y(\cdot; \mu) := (y_{1,k}(\cdot; \mu), \dots, y_{m,k}(\cdot; \mu))^\top.$$

Let $X(\cdot; \mu) \in (W_p^{n+r})^{rm \times rm}$ denote the matrix-valued function such that its k th column is $x_k(\cdot, \mu)$ for each $k \in \{1, \dots, rm\}$. This function satisfies the matrix differential equation

$$(2.9) \quad X'(t, \mu) + K(t, \mu)X(t, \mu) = O_{rm}, \quad t \in (a, b),$$

where O_{rm} is the null matrix of dimension $rm \times rm$. We obtain $\det X(t; \mu) \neq 0$ for all $t \in [a, b]$, since otherwise the columns of $X(\cdot; \mu)$ and, hence, of $Y(\cdot; \mu)$ would be linearly dependent on $[a, b]$, contrary to (2.7). Due to (2.8), we have the convergence $X(\cdot; \mu) \rightarrow X(\cdot; \mu_0)$ in the Banach algebra $(W_p^{n+r})^{rm \times rm}$ as $\mu \rightarrow \mu_0$. Hence,

$$(X(\cdot; \mu))^{-1} \rightarrow (X(\cdot; \mu_0))^{-1}$$

in the algebra. In view of (2.9), we thus conclude that

$$K(\cdot; \mu) = -X'(\cdot; \mu)(X(\cdot; \mu))^{-1} \rightarrow -X'(\cdot; \mu_0)(X(\cdot; \mu_0))^{-1} = K(\cdot; \mu_0)$$

in $(W_p^n)^{rm \times rm}$ as $\mu \rightarrow \mu_0$. Thus, by the definition of K in (2.6), the problem (2.1), (2.2) satisfies Limit Condition (I). In particular,

$$(2.10) \quad \|A_{r-\ell}(\cdot, \mu)\|_{n,p} = O(1) \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0, \quad \text{for all } \ell \in \{1, \dots, r\}.$$

Step 2. We next prove that

$$(2.11) \quad \|B(\mu)\| = O(1) \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0.$$

Suppose the contrary holds; then there exists a sequence $(\mu^{(k)})_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{B}(\mu_0, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$(2.12) \quad \mu^{(k)} \rightarrow \mu_0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \|B(\mu^{(k)})\| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\|B(\mu^{(k)})\| \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we choose a vector-valued function $\omega_k \in (W_p^{n+r})^m$ that satisfies the conditions

$$(2.13) \quad \|\omega_k\|_{n+r,p} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|B(\mu^{(k)})\omega_k\|_{\mathbb{C}^{rm}} \geq \frac{1}{2}\|B(\mu^{(k)})\|.$$

In addition, we put

$$\begin{aligned} y(\cdot; \mu^{(k)}) &:= \|B(\mu^{(k)})\|^{-1} \omega_k \in (W_p^{n+r})^m, \\ f(\cdot; \mu^{(k)}) &:= L(\mu^{(k)}) y(\cdot; \mu^{(k)}) \in (W_p^n)^m, \\ c(\mu^{(k)}) &:= B(\mu^{(k)}) y(\cdot; \mu^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{C}^{rm}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to (2.12) and (2.13), there holds

$$(2.14) \quad y(\cdot; \mu^{(k)}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } (W_p^{n+r})^m \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence,

$$(2.15) \quad f(\cdot; \mu^{(k)}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } (W_p^n)^m \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

because the problem (2.1), (2.2) satisfies Limit Condition (I), by Step 1.

Since the finite-dimensional space \mathbb{C}^{rm} is locally compact, the bounds (2.13) yield

$$1/2 \leq \|c(\mu^{(k)})\|_{\mathbb{C}^{rm}} \leq 1.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|c(\mu^{(k)})\|_{\mathbb{C}^{rm}} &\leq \|B(\mu^{(k)})\| \|y(\cdot, \mu^{(k)})\|_{n+r,p} = \\ &= \|B(\mu^{(k)})\| \|B(\mu^{(k)})\|^{-1} \|\omega_k\|_{n+r,p} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\|c(\mu^{(k)})\|_{\mathbb{C}^{rm}} = \|B(\mu^{(k)})\| \left(\|B(\mu^{(k)})\|^{-1} \omega_k \right) = \|B(\mu^{(k)})\|^{-1} \|B(\mu^{(k)})\omega_k\| \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence, there exists a subsequence

$$(c(\mu^{(k_s)}))_{s=1}^\infty \subset (c(\mu^{(k)}))_{k=1}^\infty$$

and a nonzero vector $c(\mu_0) \in \mathbb{C}^{rm}$ such that

$$(2.16) \quad c(\mu^{(k_s)}) \rightarrow c(\mu_0) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}^{rm} \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty.$$

For every integer $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the vector-valued function $y(\cdot; \mu^{(k_s)}) \in (W_p^{n+r})^m$ is a unique solution to the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mu^{(k_s)}) y(t; \mu^{(k_s)}) &= f(t; \mu^{(k_s)}), \quad t \in (a, b), \\ B(\mu^{(k_s)}) y(\cdot; \mu^{(k_s)}) &= c(\mu^{(k_s)}). \end{aligned}$$

Due to (2.15) and (2.16) and the condition $(**)$ of Definition 2.1, we conclude that the function $y(\cdot; \mu^{(k_s)})$ converges to the unique solution $y(\cdot; \mu_0)$ of the boundary-value problem

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} L(\mu_0)y(t, \mu_0) &= 0, \quad t \in (a, b), \\ B(\mu_0)y(\cdot; \mu_0) &= c(\mu_0) \end{aligned}$$

in the space $(W_p^{n+r})^m$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. But $y(\cdot; \mu_0) \equiv 0$ due to (2.14). We obtain a contradiction with the boundary condition (2.17), in which $c(\mu_0) \neq 0$. This proves the claim (2.11).

Step 3. Using the results of the previous steps, we now show that problem (2.1), (2.2) satisfies Limit Condition (II). According to (2.10) and (2.11), there exist numbers $\gamma' > 0$ and $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that

$$(2.18) \quad \|(L(\mu), B(\mu))\| \leq \gamma' \quad \text{for every } \mu \in \mathcal{B}(\mu_0, \varepsilon'),$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm of the bounded operator (2.4). We choose an arbitrary vector-valued function $y \in (W_p^{n+r})^m$ and set $f(\cdot; \mu) := L(\mu)y$ and $c(\mu) := B(\mu)y$. Hence,

$$(2.19) \quad y = (L(\mu), B(\mu))^{-1}(f(\cdot; \mu), c(\cdot; \mu)) \quad \text{for every } \mu \in \mathcal{B}(\mu_0, \varepsilon').$$

Here, $(L(\mu), B(\mu))^{-1}$ denotes the inverse of the operator (2.4); the invertibility follows from condition $(*)$ of Definition 2.1. Using (2.18) and (2.19), we obtain, for every $\mu \in \mathcal{B}(\mu_0, \varepsilon')$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|B(\mu)y - B(\mu_0)y\|_{\mathbb{C}^{rm}} &\leq \|(f(\cdot; \mu), c(\mu)) - (f(\cdot; \mu_0), c(\mu_0))\|_{(W_p^n)^m \times \mathbb{C}^{rm}} \\ &= \|(L(\mu), B(\mu))(L(\mu), B(\mu))^{-1}(f(\cdot; \mu), c(\mu)) - (f(\cdot; \mu_0), c(\mu_0))\|_{(W_p^n)^m \times \mathbb{C}^{rm}} \\ &\leq \gamma' \|(L(\mu), B(\mu))^{-1}((f(\cdot; \mu), c(\mu)) - (f(\cdot; \mu_0), c(\mu_0)))\|_{n+r, p} \\ &= \gamma' \|(L(\mu_0), B(\mu_0))^{-1}(f(\cdot; \mu_0), c(\mu_0)) - (L(\mu), B(\mu))^{-1}(f(\cdot; \mu_0), c(\mu_0))\|_{n+r, p}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.19) for the last identity. Here, the right hand side tends to 0 as $\mu \rightarrow \mu_0$, by the condition $(**)$ of Definition 2.1. This and (2.11) show that the boundary-value problem (2.1), (2.2) satisfies Limit Condition (II), which completes the proof of the necessity.

Let us prove *the sufficiency* in Theorem 2.2. Suppose that the boundary-value problem (2.1), (2.2) satisfies Condition (0) and Limit Conditions (I) and (II). We show that the solution of this problem depends continuously in the space $(W_p^{n+r})^m$ on the parameter μ at μ_0 . We divide the proof into four steps.

Step 1. For every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, we first consider the Cauchy problem

$$(2.20) \quad L(\mu)y(t, \mu) = f(t, \mu), \quad t \in (a, b),$$

$$(2.21) \quad \hat{y}^{(j-1)}(a, \mu) = c_j(\mu), \quad j \in \{1, \dots, r\}.$$

Here, for every μ , the vector-valued function $f(\cdot, \mu) \in (W_p^n)^m$ and the vectors $c_j(\mu) \in \mathbb{C}^{rm}$ are arbitrary. The unique solution $\hat{y}(\cdot, \mu)$ of this problem belongs to the space $(W_p^{n+r})^m$.

We show that the convergence of the right-hand sides

$$(2.22) \quad f(\cdot, \mu) \rightarrow f(\cdot, \mu_0) \quad \text{in } (W_p^n)^m \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0,$$

$$(2.23) \quad c_j(\mu) \rightarrow c_j(\mu_0) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}^{rm} \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0 \text{ for every } j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$$

implies the convergence of the solutions

$$(2.24) \quad \hat{y}(\cdot, \mu) \rightarrow \hat{y}(\cdot, \mu_0) \quad \text{in } (W_p^{n+r})^m \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0.$$

The Cauchy problem (2.20), (2.21) can be reduced to the following Cauchy problem for the first order system,

$$(2.25) \quad x'(t, \mu) + K(t, \mu)x(t, \mu) = g(t, \mu), \quad t \in (a, b),$$

$$(2.26) \quad x(a, \mu) = c(\mu).$$

Here, the matrix-valued function $K(\cdot, \mu)$ and the vector-valued function $g(\cdot, \mu)$ are the same as in Step 1 of the necessity proof. Moreover,

$$x(\cdot, \mu) := (\hat{y}(\cdot, \mu), \hat{y}'(\cdot, \mu), \dots, \hat{y}^{(r-1)}(\cdot, \mu))^\top \in (W_p^{n+r})^{rm},$$

$$c(\mu) := (c(\mu), \dots, c_r(\mu))^\top \in \mathbb{C}^{rm}.$$

By assumption, the boundary-value problem (2.1), (2.2) satisfies Limit Condition (I), hence,

$$K(\cdot, \mu) \rightarrow K(\cdot, \mu_0) \quad \text{in } (W_p^n)^{rm \times rm} \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0.$$

Now, conditions (2.22) and (2.23) imply the convergence of the right-hand sides of the problem (2.25), (2.26)

$$g(\cdot, \mu) \rightarrow g(\cdot, \mu_0) \quad \text{in } (W_p^n)^{rm} \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0,$$

$$c(\mu) \rightarrow c(\mu_0) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}^{rm} \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0.$$

Therefore, [9, Theorem 1], (2.22) and (2.23) imply the convergence (2.24).

Step 2. We prove that the boundary-value problem (2.1), (2.2) satisfies the condition (*) of Definition 2.1, that is, for sufficiently small $d(\mu, \mu_0)$, the operator $(L(\mu), B(\mu))$ is invertible.

For every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ and $k \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$, we consider matrix Cauchy problem

$$(2.27) \quad Y_k^{(r)}(t, \mu) + \sum_{j=1}^r A_{r-j}(t, \mu) Y_k^{(r-j)}(t, \mu) = O_m, \quad t \in (a, b),$$

with initial conditions

$$Y_k^{(j)}(t_0, \mu) = \delta_{k,j} I_m, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}.$$

Here,

$$Y_k(t, \mu) = \left(y_k^{\alpha, \beta}(t, \mu) \right)_{\alpha, \beta=1}^m$$

is an unknown $m \times m$ -matrix-valued function, the point $t_0 \in [a, b]$ is fixed, $\delta_{k,j}$ is the Kronecker symbol, O_m and I_m are as in (2.6). Problem (2.27) consists of m Cauchy problems of the form (2.20), (2.21) with $f = 0$ for the unknown vector functions $\hat{y}(\cdot, \mu)$, which are the columns of the matrix $Y_k(\cdot, \mu)$.

We write the solution of the homogeneous differential equation (2.1) in the form

$$(2.28) \quad y(\cdot, \mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} Y_k(\cdot, \mu) q_k(\mu),$$

where $q_k(\mu) \in \mathbb{C}^{rm}$ are arbitrary column vectors, cf. [12]. The right-hand sides of this problem do not depend on μ , hence,

$$(2.29) \quad Y_k(\cdot, \mu) \rightarrow Y_k(\cdot, \mu_0) \quad \text{in } (W_p^{n+r})^{m \times m} \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0,$$

according to Step 1 and Theorem 2.4. In view of Limit Condition (II), this yields the convergence

$$(2.30) \quad \begin{aligned} & ([B(\mu)Y_0(\cdot, \mu)], \dots, [B(\mu)Y_{r-1}(\cdot, \mu)]) \rightarrow \\ & \rightarrow ([B(\mu_0)Y_0(\cdot, \mu)], \dots, [B(\mu_0)Y_{r-1}(\cdot, \mu)]) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}^{rm \times rm} \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0. \end{aligned}$$

However, the limit matrix is nondegenerate, due to Limit Condition (0) and [9, Lemma 1]. Therefore, one can find $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that, for every $\mu \in \mathcal{B}(\mu_0, \varepsilon)$,

$$(2.31) \quad \det(M(L(\mu), B(\mu))) \neq 0.$$

Hence, by [9, Lemma 1], the operator (2.4) is invertible.

Step 3. We show that the boundary-value problem (2.1), (2.2) satisfies the condition (**) of Definition 2.1. Let us first analyze the case $f(\cdot, \mu) \equiv 0$: we consider a μ -dependent semihomogeneous boundary-value problem

$$(2.32) \quad L(\mu)v(\cdot; \mu) \equiv 0,$$

$$(2.33) \quad B(\mu)v(\cdot; \mu) = c(\mu).$$

According to Step 2, this problem has a unique solution $v(\cdot; \mu) \in (W_p^{n+r})^m$ for every $\mu \in \mathcal{B}(\mu_0, \varepsilon')$, where $\varepsilon' > 0$ is sufficiently small. Assuming

$$(2.34) \quad c(\mu) \rightarrow c(\mu_0) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}^{rm} \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0,$$

we next show that

$$(2.35) \quad v(\cdot, \mu) \rightarrow v(\cdot, \mu_0) \quad \text{in } (W_p^{n+r})^m \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0.$$

For every $\mu \in \mathcal{B}(\mu_0, \varepsilon')$, we write the general solution of (2.32) in the form (2.28), that is

$$(2.36) \quad v(\cdot, \mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} Y_k(\cdot, \mu) q_k(\mu),$$

for some $q_k(\mu) \in \mathbb{C}^{rm}$ and matrix-valued functions $Y_k(\cdot, \mu) \in (W_p^{n+r})^{m \times m}$ as in Step 2. By virtue of [8, Lemma 6], there holds

$$B(\mu)v(\cdot, \mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} B(\mu)(Y_k(\cdot, \mu)q_k(\mu)) = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} [B(\mu)Y_k(\cdot, \mu)] q_k(\mu),$$

and we thus see that the boundary problem (2.33) is equivalent with

$$\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} [B(\mu)Y_k(\cdot, \mu)] q_k(\mu) = c(\mu).$$

This equation can be rewritten in the form of a linear algebraic system

$$([B(\mu)Y_0(\cdot, \mu)], \dots, [B(\mu)Y_{r-1}(\cdot, \mu)]) q(\mu) = c(\mu), \text{ or, } [B(\mu)Y(\cdot, \mu)] q(\mu) = c(\mu),$$

where $q(\mu) := (q_0(\mu), \dots, q_{r-1}(\mu))^T$. Now, (2.30), (2.31) and assumption (2.34) yield

$$q(\mu) [B(\mu)Y(\cdot, \mu)]^{-1} c(\mu) \rightarrow [B(\mu_0)Y(\cdot, \mu_0)]^{-1} c(\mu_0) = q(\mu_0) \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0.$$

Therefore, (2.35) follows from formulas (2.29), (2.36), since

$$v(\cdot, \mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} Y_k(\cdot, \mu) q_k(\mu) \rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} Y_k(\cdot, \mu_0) q_k(\mu_0) = v(\cdot, \mu_0)$$

in $(W_p^{n+r})^m$ as $\mu \rightarrow \mu_0$.

Step 4. We finally consider the general case of an inhomogeneous differential equation (2.1). Suppose that conditions (2.34) and the condition

$$(2.37) \quad f(\cdot, \mu) \rightarrow f(\cdot, \mu_0) \quad \text{in } (W_p^n)^m \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0$$

are satisfied. For every $\mu \in \mathcal{B}(\mu_0, \varepsilon_1)$, we set

$$z(\cdot; \mu) = y(\cdot; \mu) - \hat{y}(\cdot; \mu),$$

where the vector-valued function $y(\cdot; \mu)$ is a solution of the inhomogeneous boundary-value problem (2.1), (2.2) and $\hat{y}(\cdot; \mu)$ is a solution of the Cauchy problem (2.20), (2.21), with $c_j(\mu) \equiv 0$. Then, $z(\cdot; \mu)$ is a solution of the semihomogeneous boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mu)z(\cdot, \mu) &\equiv 0, \\ B(\mu)z(\cdot, \mu) &= \tilde{c}(\mu), \\ \tilde{c}(\mu) &= c(\mu) - B(\mu)\hat{y}(\cdot, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^{rm}. \end{aligned}$$

In Step 1 it was shown that $\hat{y}(\cdot, \mu)$ satisfies property (2.24) if condition (2.37) is fulfilled. This, (2.34) and the assumption that problem (2.1), (2.2) satisfies Limit Condition (I), imply

$$\tilde{c}(\mu) \rightarrow \tilde{c}(\mu_0) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}^{rm} \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0.$$

Hence, applying Step 3, we conclude that

$$z(\cdot, \mu) \rightarrow z(\cdot, \mu_0) \quad \text{in } (W_p^{n+r})^m \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0,$$

and we obtain the desired convergence from formula (2.24):

$$\begin{aligned} y(\cdot, \mu) &= \hat{y}(\cdot, \mu) + z(\cdot, \mu) \rightarrow \hat{y}(\cdot, \mu_0) + z(\cdot, \mu_0) = y(\cdot, \mu_0) \\ &\quad \text{in } (W_p^{n+r})^m \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the sufficiency. \square

We supplement the previous result with an error estimate for the solution $y(\cdot; \mu)$, namely, considering $y(\cdot; \mu)$ as an approximate solution of the problem (2.1) for the parameter value μ_0 , (2.2), we show that $\|y(\cdot; \mu_0) - y(\cdot; \mu)\|_{n+r,p}$ is proportional to the discrepancy

$$\tilde{d}_{n,p}(\mu) := \|L(\mu)y(\cdot; \mu_0) - f(\cdot; \mu)\|_{n,p} + \|B(\mu)y(\cdot; \mu_0) - c(\mu)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{rm}}.$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ and assume that the boundary-value problem (2.1), (2.2) satisfies Condition (0) and Limit Conditions (I) and (II). Then there exist positive numbers ε , γ_1 , and γ_2 such that*

$$(2.38) \quad \gamma_1 \tilde{d}_{n,p}(\mu) \leq \|y(\cdot; \mu_0) - y(\cdot; \mu)\|_{n+r,p} \leq \gamma_2 \tilde{d}_{n,p}(\mu),$$

for all $\mu \in \mathcal{B}(\mu_0, \varepsilon)$. Here, ε , γ_1 , and γ_2 do not depend on $y(\cdot; \mu_0)$ or $y(\cdot; \mu)$.

Proof. The left-hand side of (2.38) follows from (2.18), once ε_0 is chosen to be smaller than or equal to ε' :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_{n,p}(\mu) &= \|L(\mu)y(\cdot; \mu_0) - L(\mu)y(\cdot; \mu)\|_{n,p} + \|B(\mu)y(\cdot; \mu_0) - B(\mu)y(\cdot; \mu)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{rm}} \leq \\ &\leq \|L(\mu)\| \|y(\cdot; \mu_0) - y(\cdot; \mu)\|_{n+r,p} + \|B(\mu)\| \|y(\cdot; \mu_0) - y(\cdot; \mu)\|_{n+r,p} \leq \\ &\leq \gamma' \|y(\cdot; \mu_0) - y(\cdot; \mu)\|_{n+r,p}. \end{aligned}$$

To see the right-hand side, by Theorem 2.2, the boundary-value problem (2.1), (2.2) satisfies Definition 2.1 so that the operator (2.4) is invertible for every small $d(\mu, \mu_0)$. Moreover, there holds the strong convergence

$$(L(\mu), B(\mu))^{-1} \xrightarrow{s} (L(\mu_0), B(\mu_0))^{-1}, \quad \mu \rightarrow \mu_0.$$

Indeed, for arbitrary $f \in (W_p^n)^m$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}^{rm}$, the condition $(**)$ of Definition 2.1 yields

$$(L(\mu), B(\mu))^{-1}(f, c) =: y(\cdot, \mu) \rightarrow y(\cdot, \mu_0) := (L(\mu_0), B(\mu_0))^{-1}(f, c)$$

in the space $(W_p^{n+r})^m$, as $\mu \rightarrow \mu_0$. Hence, by the Banach – Steinhaus theorem, the norms of these inverse operators are bounded, namely, there exist positive numbers ε and γ_2 such that

$$\|(L(\mu), B(\mu))^{-1}\| \leq \gamma_2 \quad \text{for every } \mu \in \mathcal{B}(\mu_0, \varepsilon).$$

Thus, for every $\mu \in \mathcal{B}(\mu_0, \varepsilon)$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y(\cdot, \mu_0) - y(\cdot, \mu)\|_{n+r,p} &= \|(L(\mu), B(\mu))^{-1}(L(\mu), B(\mu))(y(\cdot, \mu_0) - y(\cdot, \mu))\|_{n+r,p} \leq \\ &\leq \gamma_2 \|(L(\mu), B(\mu))(y(\cdot, \mu_0) - y(\cdot, \mu))\|_{(W_p^n)^m \times \mathbb{C}^{rm}} = \gamma_2 \tilde{d}_{n,p}(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

This yields the right-hand side of (2.38). \square

3. LIMIT THEOREMS CONCERNING THE OPERATORS OF THE BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEM

Our aim in this section is to present conditions on the coefficients of differential expressions and operators $B(\mu)$ under which $(L(\mu), B(\mu))$ converges to the operator $(L(\mu_0), B(\mu_0))$ in the strong and uniform operator topologies. First, we formulate necessary and sufficient conditions for the strong and uniform convergence of the family of operators $L(\mu)$ to the operator $L(\mu_0)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The following convergence conditions are equivalent, when $\mu \rightarrow \mu_0$ in the metric space \mathcal{M} :*

- (I) $A_{r-\ell}(\cdot, \mu) \rightarrow A_{r-\ell}(\cdot, \mu_0)$ in the Banach space $(W_p^n)^{m \times m}$ for all $\ell \in \{1, \dots, r\}$;
- (II) $L(\mu) \rightarrow L(\mu_0)$ in the uniform operator topology;
- (III) $L(\mu) \rightarrow L(\mu_0)$ in the strong operator topology.

Note that in the case where the metric parameter μ is a natural number, Theorem 3.1 was proved in the paper [44, Lemma 6.1]. The proof of the general case is similar, but we present the details for the convenience of the reader.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. It suffices to show that (I) \Rightarrow (II) and (III) \Rightarrow (I). If (I) holds, we get for all $n > 0$ and $y \in (W_p^{n+r})^m$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(L(\mu) - L(\mu_0))y\|_{n,p} &\leq c_{n,p} \sum_{\ell=1}^r \|(A_{r-\ell}(\cdot, \mu) - A_{r-\ell}(\cdot, \mu_0))\|_{n,p} \|y\|_{n,p} \\ &\leq c_{n,p} \sum_{\ell=1}^r \|(A_{r-\ell}(\cdot, \mu) - A_{r-\ell}(\cdot, \mu_0))\|_{n,p} \|y\|_{n+r,p} \end{aligned}$$

because W_p^n is a Banach algebra. If $n = 0$, then for every $y \in (W_p^r)^m$ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|(L(\mu) - L(\mu_0))y\|_{0,p} &\leq \sum_{\ell=1}^r \|(A_{r-\ell}(\cdot, \mu) - A_{r-\ell}(\cdot, \mu_0))\|_{0,p} \|y^{(r-\ell)}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq c'_{r,p} \sum_{\ell=1}^r \|(A_{r-\ell}(\cdot, \mu) - A_{r-\ell}(\cdot, \mu_0))\|_{0,p} \|y\|_{r,p}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c'_{r,p}$ is the norm of the bounded embedding operator $W_p^r \hookrightarrow C^{(r-1)}$. These estimates imply (II).

Suppose (III) is valid. This implies

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{l=1}^r A_{r-l}(\cdot, \mu) Y^{(r-l)} \rightarrow \sum_{l=1}^r A_{r-l}(\cdot, \mu_0) Y^{(r-l)},$$

in $(W_p^n)^{m \times m}$ for all $Y \in (W_p^{n+r})^{m \times m}$. Putting $Y(t) := I_m$ here yields $A_0(\cdot, \mu) \rightarrow A_0(\cdot, \mu_0)$. Moreover, choosing $Y(t) := tI_m$ in (3.1) gives us

$$A_1(t, \mu) + A_0(t, \mu)t \rightarrow A_1(t, \mu_0) + A_0(t, \mu_0)t,$$

which implies that $A_1(\cdot, \mu) \rightarrow A_1(\cdot, \mu_0)$, and $Y(t) := t^2 I_m$ yields

$$2A_2(t, \mu) + 2A_0(t, \mu)t + A_0(t, \mu)t^2 \rightarrow 2A_2(t, \mu_0) + 2A_0(t, \mu_0)t + A_0(t, \mu_0)t^2$$

and thus $A_2(\cdot, \mu) \rightarrow A_2(\cdot, \mu_0)$. Continuing this process proves (I). \square

The following result will be needed for treating the convergence of the operators $B(\mu)$. It plays a crucial point in proving the results of Section 4.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, and let $t_0 \in [a, b]$, the matrix $(\alpha_s)_{s=1}^{n+1-r} \subset \mathbb{C}^{rm \times rm}$ and the matrix-valued function $\Phi(\cdot) \in L_{p'}([a, b]; \mathbb{C}^{rm \times rm})$ be given.*

(1) *The operator*

$$(3.2) \quad By = \sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} \alpha_s y^{(s)}(t_0) + \int_a^b \Phi(t) y^{(n+r)}(t) dt, \quad y(\cdot) \in (W_p^{n+r})^m,$$

acts continuously from $(W_p^{n+r})^m$ into \mathbb{C}^{rm} and its norm satisfies

$$\|B\| \leq \gamma \max_s \{|\alpha_s|\} + \|\Phi\|_{L_{p'}},$$

where $\gamma > 0$ is a constant independent of α_s and $\Phi(\cdot)$.

(2) *If $p \neq \infty$, then every bounded operator $B: (W_p^{n+r})^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{rm}$ admits a unique canonical representation of the form (4).*

It should be noted that in the case of $p = \infty$, not all operators B can be presented in the form (4), since there are continuous operators B that are defined by integrals over finitely additive measures (see, for instance, [10, 14, 24]).

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let us prove claim (1). From the continuity of the embedding $W_p^{n+r} \hookrightarrow C^{(n+r-1)}$ it follows that there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that $\|y\|_{C^{(n+r-1)}} \leq \gamma \|y\|_{n+r,p}$. Moreover, denoting by $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ the usual sup-norm,

$$\left| \sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} \alpha_s y^{(s)}(t_0) \right| \leq \sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} |\alpha_s| \|y^{(s)}\|_{\infty} \leq \max_s \{|\alpha_s|\} \|y\|_{C^{(n+r-1)}} \leq \gamma \|y\|_{n+r,p}.$$

By Hölder's inequality

$$\left| \int_a^b \Phi(t) y^{(n+r)}(t) dt \right| \leq \|\Phi\|_{L_{p'}} \|y^{(n+r)}\|_{L_p} \leq \|\Phi\|_{L_{p'}} \|y\|_{n+r,p}.$$

Hence, the norm of the operator B admits the estimate

$$\|B\| \leq \gamma \max_s \{|\alpha_s|\} + \|\Phi\|_{L_{p'}}.$$

To show (2), we recall the so-called Triple lemma (e.g. [31, Chapter IV, Section 5]): if E, E_1, E_2 are Banach spaces and the continuous linear operators $B: E \rightarrow E_1$ and $A: E \rightarrow E_2$ are such that

$$AE = E_2, \quad \ker B \supset \ker A,$$

then there exists a linear operator $C: E_2 \rightarrow E_1$ such that $B = CA$.

Let $B: (W_p^{n+r})^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{rm}$ be an arbitrary continuous linear operator. Denote by $(W_{p,0}^{n+r})^m$ the space of vector-valued functions $f \in (W_p^{n+r})^m$ such that $f^{(s)}(t_0) = 0$ for all $s \in \{0, \dots, n+r-1\}$. Let us introduce the operator $A: (W_{p,0}^{n+r})^m \rightarrow (L_p)^{rm}$, $Af := f^{(n+r)}$. In the triple lemma, we choose $E := (W_{p,0}^{n+r})^m$, $E_1 := \mathbb{C}^{rm}$, $E_2 := (L_p)^{rm}$, and $\tilde{B} := B|_{(W_{p,0}^{n+r})^m}$, which is the restriction of the operator B to the space $(W_{p,0}^{n+r})^m$. According to the lemma, there exists a continuous linear operator $C: (L_p)^{rm} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{rm}$ such that $\tilde{B}g = CAg$ for every $g \in (W_{p,0}^{n+r})^m$. By the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a unique $\Phi \in L_q^{rm}$ such that $Ch = \int_a^b \Phi(t)h(t)$ for all $h \in (L_p)^{rm}$. We obtain

$$\tilde{B}g = CAg = \int_a^b \Phi(t)g^{(n+r)}(t)dt \quad \text{for all } g \in (W_{p,0}^{n+r})^m.$$

Now, every $f \in (W_p^{n+r})^m$ has a unique representation

$$f(t) = \sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} \frac{(t-t_0)^s}{s!} f^{(s)}(t_0) + g(t), \quad \text{where } g \in (W_{p,0}^{n+r})^m.$$

Denoting by e_j , $j = 1, \dots, rm$, the canonical basis vectors of \mathbb{C}^{rm} , we define the vectors $h_{j,s} = B((t-t_0)^s e_j) \in \mathbb{C}^{rm}$ for all $s = 1, \dots, n+r-1$. Then, we define for every s the matrix α_s to be such that

$$\alpha_s \left(\sum_{j=1}^{rm} x_{j,s} e_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{rm} x_{j,s} h_{j,s}$$

Hence, taking the coordinates $x_{j,s}$ to be equal to those of $f^{(s)}(t_0)$ in the basis $\{e_j\}_{j=1, \dots, rm}$, we obtain

$$B \left(\sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} \frac{(t-t_0)^s}{s!} f^{(s)}(t_0) \right) = \sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} \alpha_s f^{(s)}(t_0)$$

and thus

$$Bf = \sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} \alpha_s f^{(s)}(t_0) + \tilde{B}(g) = \sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} \alpha_s f^{(s)}(t_0) + \int_a^b \Phi(t) f^{(n+r)}(t) dt.$$

To prove the uniqueness of the canonical representation, assume that B also has a representation

$$Bf = \sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} \beta_s y^{(s)}(t_0) + \int_a^b \Psi(t) y^{(n+r)}(t) dt, \quad y \in (W_p^{n+r})^m.$$

We get

$$\sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} (\alpha_s - \beta_s) y^{(s)}(t_0) = \int_a^b (\Psi(t) - \Phi(t)) y^{(n+r)}(t) dt \quad \text{for all } y \in (W_p^{n+r})^m.$$

This relation is equivalent to the similar equality for square matrix functions

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} (\alpha_s - \beta_s) Y^{(s)}(t_0) = \int_a^b (\Psi(t) - \Phi(t)) Y^{(n+r)}(t) dt$$

for all $Y \in (W_p^{n+r})^{m \times m}$. The right-hand side of (3.3) is identically zero (matrix), if the elements of Y are polynomials of degree at most $n + r - 1$. For all $s, \ell \in \{0, \dots, n + r - 1\}$ we choose such matrices, also having the property

$$Y_\ell^{(s)}(t_0) = \delta_{\ell s} I_m$$

with the Kronecker delta $\delta_{\ell s}$. This is possible by applying the Taylor formula for polynomials at the point t_0 : every element y_{ij} of the matrix $Y = (y_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^m$ can be chosen to be a polynomial of degree $\leq n + r - 1$ such that the vector $y_{i,j}^{(s)}(t_0)$ takes a predetermined value belonging to \mathbb{C}^{n+r} . This implies $\alpha_s = \beta_s$ for all $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, n + r - 1\}$.

We finally prove that $\Psi(t) = \Phi(t)$ almost everywhere on (a, b) . Indeed, since the left-hand side of (3.3) is null, we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \int_a^b (\Psi(t) - \Phi(t)) Z(t) dt = O_m$$

for all $Z \in (L_p)^{m \times m}$. In the scalar case, the claim follows by the standard description of the dual space of L_p . The matrix case $m \geq 2$ can be reduced to the scalar case by using the m^2 numerical matrices $E_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, m$, where the entry in the position (i, j) equals one and other are zero. Substituting the matrix functions $Z = \varphi E_{i,j}$ with suitable $\varphi \in L_p$ into (3.4), we can show that all entries of $\Psi - \Phi$ are zero, which proves the claim. \square

We next formulate necessary and sufficient conditions for the strong and uniform convergence of the family $B(\mu)$. To this end, we consider the following asymptotic conditions as $\mu \rightarrow \mu_0$.

- (a) $\alpha_s(\mu) \rightarrow \alpha_s(\mu_0)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{rm \times rm}$ for every $s \in \{0, \dots, n + r - 1\}$;
- (b) $\|\Phi(\cdot, \mu)\|_{p'} = O(1)$;
- (c) $\int_a^t \Phi(\tau, \mu) d\tau \rightarrow \int_a^t \Phi(\tau, \mu_0) d\tau$ in the space $\mathbb{C}^{rm \times rm}$ for all $t \in (a, b]$;
- (d) $\|\Phi(\cdot, \mu) - \Phi(\cdot, \mu_0)\|_{p'} \rightarrow 0$.

It is easy to see that condition (d) is stronger than conditions (b) and (c).

Theorem 3.3. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. The operators $B(\mu)$ converge strongly to the operator $B(\mu_0)$ as $\mu \rightarrow \mu_0$, if and only if conditions (a), (b) and (c) hold. The convergence is uniform, if and only if (a) and (d) are satisfied.*

Proof. The sufficiency of conditions (a) and (d) for the uniform convergence of the operators $B(\mu)$ follows from the operator norm estimate for $B(\mu)$ in Theorem 3.2.

We proceed to proving that the conditions (a), (b) and (c) are sufficient for the strong convergence. It is enough to show that the right-hand side of

$$(3.5) \quad \|B(\mu)y - B(\mu_0)y\| \leq \sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} |\alpha_s(\mu) - \alpha_s(\mu_0)| |y^{(s)}(t_0)| + \left\| \int_a^b (\Phi(t, \mu) - \Phi(t, \mu_0)) y^{(n+r)}(t) dt \right\|$$

converges to zero as $\mu \rightarrow \mu_0$. Since condition (a) implies this for the first term on the right-hand side, it suffices to show that the second term also tends to 0.

To this end, the scalar case $m = 1$ follows from F. Riesz's criterion for weak convergence of linear continuous functionals on the space L_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$ and from conditions (b) and (c), because $y^{(n+r)} \in (L_p)^m$. In the case $m \geq 2$ we observe that the convergence of the right-hand side of (3.5) to 0 is equivalent with the claim that for each matrix-valued function $Y \in (L_p)^{m \times m}$ there holds

$$\left\| \int_a^b (\Phi(t, \mu) - \Phi(t, \mu_0)) Y(t) dt \right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \mu \rightarrow \mu_0.$$

Here, we again employ the matrices $E_{i,j}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, m$, and choose $Y = E_{i,j}y$ with an arbitrary $y \in L_p$. This and the scalar case $m = 1$, treated above, allow us to show that, for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$,

$$\|\varphi_{i,j}(t, \mu)\|_{L_{p'}} = O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^t \varphi_{i,j}(t, \mu) dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0,$$

where $\varphi_{i,j}(t, \mu) = \Phi(t, \mu) - \Phi(t, \mu_0)$. This is equivalent to conditions (b) and (c) of Theorem 3.3.

We next show that conditions (a), (b) and (c) are necessary for the strong convergence. Again, instead of assuming that the right-hand side of (3.5) converges to zero, we may as well assume that

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} \alpha_s(\mu) Y^{(s)}(t_0) + \int_a^b \Phi(t, \mu) Y^{(n+r)}(t) dt \rightarrow \sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} \alpha_s(\mu_0) Y^{(s)}(t_0) + \int_a^b \Phi(t, \mu_0) Y^{(n+r)}(t) dt$$

for all matrix-valued functions $Y \in (W_p^{n+r})^{m \times m}$ and then show that conditions (a), (b) and (c) hold.

Choosing $Y(t) := (t - t_0)^s I_m$ with $s = 0, 1, \dots, n + r - 1$ and substituting these into (3.6) yields

$$\alpha_s(\mu) \rightarrow \alpha_s(\mu_0), \quad \mu \rightarrow \mu_0.$$

We conclude that (a) is satisfied.

To prove the remaining statements we first the scalar case $m = 1$. Since condition (a) holds, the convergence in (3.6) means that

$$\int_a^b \Phi(t, \mu) y^{(n+r)}(t) dt \rightarrow \int_a^b \Phi(t, \mu_0) y^{(n+r)}(t) dt, \quad y \in W_p^{n+r}.$$

This is equivalent to the fact that $\Phi(t, \mu) \rightarrow \Phi(t, \mu_0)$ in the weak* topology of the space $L_{p'}$. Then, F. Riesz' theorem implies that conditions (b) and (c) are also satisfied. The case $m \geq 2$ can be reduced to the scalar case by again neglecting the terms with α_s in (3.6) (since (a) holds) and substituting the matrix-valued functions $Y(t) := E_{i,j}y^{(n+r)}$, where $y \in W_p^{n+r}$ arbitrary, into the remaining relation (see the end of the proof of Theorem 3.2 for the definition of $E_{i,j}$). We obtain that conditions (b) and (c) are satisfied even in the matrix case.

Finally, we assume $B(\mu)$ to $B(\mu_0)$ uniformly, that is,

$$(3.7) \quad \|B(\mu) - B(\mu_0)\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $\mu \rightarrow \mu_0$. Since the uniform convergence implies the strong convergence, we know that condition (a), as well as (b) and (c), is satisfied. Now, if $m = 1$, the convergence

$$\|\Phi(\cdot, \mu) - \Phi(\cdot, \mu_0)\|_{p'} \rightarrow 0$$

follows from (3.7), the defining formula (4) and condition (a). The matrix case $m \geq 2$ is again treated with the help the matrices $Y := E_{i,j}y$. This proves that condition (d) holds. \square

4. APPROXIMATION BY SOLUTIONS OF MULTIPOINT BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS

Let us next apply the above results to the approximation of solutions of an inhomogeneous boundary-value problem by solutions of a sequence of boundary-value problems with polynomial coefficients and multipoint boundary conditions. We will consider the case $p < \infty$, since the case $p = \infty$ is significantly different and will be omitted here.

To formulate the statement of the problem, we consider a well-posed boundary-value problem

$$(4.1) \quad (L_0 y_0)(t) := y_0^{(r)}(t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^r A_{r-\ell,0}(t) y_0^{(r-\ell)}(t) = f_0(t), \quad t \in (a, b),$$

with inhomogeneous boundary conditions

$$(4.2) \quad B_0 y_0 := \sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} \alpha_{s,0} y_0^{(s)}(t_0) + \int_a^b \Phi_0(t) y_0^{(n+r)}(t) dt = c_0,$$

when the matrix-valued functions $A_{r-\ell}(\cdot) \in (W_p^n)^{m \times m}$, the vector-valued function $f_0(\cdot) \in (W_p^n)^m$, the vector $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{rm}$, the numerical matrices $\alpha_{s,0} \in \mathbb{C}^{rm \times rm}$ and the matrix-valued function $\Phi_0(\cdot) \in L_{p'}([a, b]; \mathbb{C}^{rm \times rm})$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, $p^{-1} + p'^{-1} = 1$, are given. As we proved in Theorem 3.2, every arbitrary inhomogeneous boundary condition for equation (4.1) admits a unique canonical representation of the form (4.2), where t_0 is an arbitrary fixed point of the interval $[a, b]$.

Consider simultaneously a sequence of multipoint boundary-value problems

$$(4.3) \quad (L_k y_k)(t) := y_k^{(r)}(t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^r A_{r-\ell,k}(t) y_k^{(r-\ell)}(t) = f_k(t), \quad t \in (a, b),$$

$$(4.4) \quad B_k y_k := \sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} \alpha_{s,k} y_k^{(s)}(t_0) + \sum_{j=0}^{N(k)} \beta_{j,k} y_k^{(n+r-1)}(t_{j,k}) = c_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Here, the elements of the matrix-valued functions $A_{r-\ell,k}$ belong to some dense set \mathcal{F} in the space $(W_p^n)^{m \times m}$ and G is a dense set in space $(W_p^n)^m$ and $f_k \in G$ for all k . Moreover, for all indices, $\alpha_{s,k}, \beta_{j,k} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and the points $t_{j,k}$ belong to some dense set \mathcal{P} in the interval $[a, b]$, and we have

$$f_k \rightarrow f_0, \quad c_k \rightarrow c_0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

We next study the natural problem of the existence of a sequence of boundary-value problems (4.3), (4.4), whose solutions satisfy the asymptotic formula

$$y_k \rightarrow y_0, \quad \text{in } (W_p^{n+r})^m \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

We will give a positive answer to this question, which is based on Theorem 2.2 on the continuity of the solutions of boundary-value problems with respect to a parameter belonging to an abstract metric space \mathcal{M} .

In fact, we set $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Z}_+$ and introduce a metric on \mathcal{M} by

$$d(n, m) = d(m, n) = \begin{cases} \left| \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} \right|, & n \neq 0, m \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{n}, & m = 0, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0, & n = m = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, 0 is the only limit point in the metric space (\mathbb{Z}_+, d) and there holds

$$d(0, n) \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We present the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that the homogeneous boundary-value problem has only a trivial solution. Then, there exists a sequence of well-posed boundary-value problems of the form (4.3), (4.4) with polynomial coefficients and right-hand sides such that*

(i) *if* $1 < p < \infty$

$$\| (L_k, B_k)^{-1} - (L_0, B_0)^{-1} \| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty;$$

(ii) *if* $p = 1$

$$(L_k, B_k)^{-1} \xrightarrow{s} (L_0, B_0)^{-1} \quad \text{and } y_k \rightarrow y \text{ in } (W_1^{n+r})^m, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We begin by proving the statement (i). Since, by the assumption of the theorem, the operator (L_0, B_0) is invertible, it suffices to show that there exists a sequence of differential operators $\{L_k\}$ with polynomial coefficients and a sequence of operators $\{B_k\}$ of the form (4.4) with the property that

$$\| (L_k, B_k) - (L_0, B_0) \| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

The density of algebraic polynomials in Sobolev spaces with $1 \leq p < \infty$ and Theorem 3.1 imply that one can choose the coefficients $A_{l,k}$ in (4.3) in such a way that their elements are algebraic polynomials and $\|L_k - L_0\| \rightarrow 0$. Let us now prove the existence of a suitable sequence of operators $\{B_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. According to Theorem 3.2, the operator B_0 admits a unique canonical representation

$$B_0 y = \sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} \alpha_s y^{(s)}(t_0) + \int_a^b \Phi_0(t) y^{(n+r)}(t) dt, \quad y(\cdot) \in (W_p^{n+r})^m,$$

where $\Phi_0 \in (L_{p'})^{rm \times rm}$. The density of continuous matrix functions in the space $(L_{p'})^{rm \times rm}$ and the uniform continuity of continuous functions in a compact metric

space imply that the set \mathcal{F} of matrix-valued functions with step elements is dense in the space $(L_{p'})^{rm \times rm}$. Moreover, due to the density of the set of points \mathcal{P} in $[a, b]$, we may assume without loss of generality that the ends of all steps in the step elements belonging to \mathcal{F} are contained in the set \mathcal{P} .

Therefore, due to Theorem 3.3, there exists a sequence of operators $\{B_k\}$ of the form

$$(4.5) \quad B_k y = \sum_{s=0}^{n+r-1} \alpha_{s,k} y^{(s)}(t_0) + \int_a^b \Phi_k(t) y^{(n+r)}(t) dt,$$

where $\Phi_k \in \mathcal{F}$. It remains to show that operators of the form (4.5) will correspond to multipoint boundary conditions and can be rewritten in the form (4.4).

Clearly, if $m = 1$, then the step function Φ_k can be written as

$$\Phi_k = \sum_{j=0}^{N(k)} \mathcal{X}_{[t_{j,k}, t_{j+1,k}]} c_{j,k},$$

where $c_{j,k} \in \mathbb{C}$, $a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{k-1} = b$ is a partition of the interval $[a, b]$, and $\mathcal{X}_{[c,d]}$ denotes the characteristic function of an interval $[c, d]$. Therefore, a well-known integration-by-parts formula for Stieltjes integrals yields

$$\int_a^b \Phi_k(t) y^{(n+r)}(t) dt = \sum_{j=0}^{N(k)} y^{(n+r-1)}(t_{j,k}) \Delta \Phi_k(t_{j,k}),$$

where $\Delta \Phi_k(t) := \Phi_k(t+) - \Phi_k(t-)$ is the jump of the function Φ_k at the point t . We obtain that B_k is indeed of the form (4.4). The case $m \geq 2$ reduces to the scalar one, because the matrix-valued function $\varphi_{i,j}$ can be written in the form $\sum_{i,j=1}^m \varphi_{i,j} E_{i,j}$, where the numerical matrices $E_{i,j}$ were introduced earlier. This completes the proof of statement (i).

To prove the second assertion of the theorem, we will need the following result, which may be known for experts, but we nevertheless present the proof.

Theorem 4.2. *Given $f \in L_\infty(a, b)$, there is a sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of step functions on the interval $[a, b]$ which converges to f in the weak* topology of $L_\infty(a, b)$. The sequence of step functions can be chosen so that the ends of all steps belong to a given dense subset \mathcal{P} of the interval $[a, b]$.*

Proof of Theorem 4.2. By an affine transform, we may consider the case $(a, b) = (-\pi, \pi)$ without loss of generality. It is well known (see e.g. [20, Chapter II, Section 2]) that the sequence of Cesàro means $\{\sigma_k(f)\}$ of partial sums of its Fourier series converges to f in the weak* topology. Therefore, the set of continuous functions is sequentially dense in the weak* topology of the space $L_\infty(-\pi, \pi) = (L_1(-\pi, \pi))^*$. However, the Banach space $L_1(-\pi, \pi)$ is separable. Then by Banach's theorem [24], the weak* topology is metrized on bounded subsets of the space $L_\infty(-\pi, \pi)$. Hence, there exists a metric $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$ defined in some neighborhood of an arbitrary function of the same space such that

$$\sigma_k(t) \xrightarrow{w^*} f \quad \text{in } L_\infty(-\pi, \pi) \Leftrightarrow \rho(\sigma_k(t), f) \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be chosen arbitrarily. Then there exists $N(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\forall k \geq N(\varepsilon) \quad \rho(\sigma_k(f), f) < \varepsilon/2.$$

Since continuous functions $\{\sigma_k(f)\}$ can be approximated with arbitrary accuracy in the uniform norm by step functions, there exists a sequence of step functions $\{f_k\}$ that uniformly converges to the function $\sigma_k(f)$ on the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$. The step functions can be chosen such that the ends of the steps belong to the given set \mathcal{P} . The sequence can also be chosen with the property that

$$\forall k \geq N(\varepsilon) \quad \rho(\sigma_k(f), f_k) < \varepsilon/2.$$

Then $\rho(\sigma_k(f), f_k) < \varepsilon$ and the sequence of step functions $f_k \xrightarrow{w^*} f_0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Let us complete the proof of the assertion (ii) of Theorem 4.1. Consider first the scalar case of that $m = 1$. Then, the statement follows from Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 4.2, by taking into account that limit operators of the form (4.4) correspond to step functions $\Phi_k(\cdot)$.

The case $m \geq 2$ reduces to the scalar one in the same way as in the proof of assertion (i). \square

In the case of $p = 1$, there arises a natural question: what conditions should be imposed in Theorem 4.1 on the operator (L_0, B_0) in order to assure that $(L_k, B_k) \xrightarrow{s} (L_0, B_0)$ in the uniform operator topology, in addition to the strong convergence? Note that this is always true in the case $1 < p < \infty$. The answer to the question is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 be satisfied and $p = 1$. Then, there holds*

$$(4.6) \quad \|(L_k, B_k)^{-1} - (L_0, B_0)^{-1}\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

if and only if each entry of the matrix-valued function Φ_0 is equal to a regulated function almost everywhere.

Recall that a function on an interval $[a, b]$ is called regulated if it has finite one-sided limits at every point of the interval (see, for example, [11]).

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Since the operator (L_0, B_0) is invertible by assumption, condition (4.6) is equivalent to

$$\|L_k - L_0\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|B_k - B_0\| \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Due to Theorems 3.1 and 3.3, this is equivalent to the fact that condition (I) of Theorem 3.1 holds, and conditions (a), (d) of Theorem 3.3 hold. Setting $\alpha_{s,k} := \alpha_{s,0}$, condition (d) means that each entry of the matrix-function $\Phi_k(\cdot)$ converges to the corresponding entry of the matrix-function $\Phi_0(\cdot)$ in the norm of the space $L_\infty([a, b])$. The operators B_k , introduced in this section, correspond to the matrix-functions $\Phi_k(\cdot)$, the elements of which are step functions. However, as is known (see, for instance, [11]), the closure of the set of step functions in the uniform metric on the compact interval coincides with the set of regulated functions. This implies the validity of the assertion of Theorem 4.3. \square

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work of the first named author was funded by Postdoctoral Fellowship EU-MSCA4Ukraine (number: 1244691, WBS-number: 4100609). This project has received funding through the MSCA4Ukraine project, which is funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author only

and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union, the European Research Executive Agency or the MSCA4Ukraine Consortium.

Neither the European Union nor the European Research Executive Agency, nor the MSCA4 Ukraine Consortium as whole nor any individual member institution of the MSCA4Ukraine Consortium can be held responsible for them.

The work of the second named author was funded by the Isaac Newton Institute of Mathematical Sciences "Solidarity Program", and the London Mathematical Society. The author wishes to thank the Department of Mathematics, King's College London, for their hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adomian G. Solving frontier problems of physics: the decomposition method. Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1994. doi:10.1007/978-94-015-8289-6
- [2] Ashordia M. Boundary value problems for systems of linear ordinary differential equations. Masaryk University, Brno, 1997.
- [3] Ashordia M. *Conditions of the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the multipoint boundary value problem for a system of generalized ordinary differential equations*. Georgian Math. J. 1998, **5** (1), 1–24. doi:10.1515/GMJ.1998.1
- [4] Ashordia M. *Criteria of correctness of linear boundary value problems for systems of generalized ordinary differential equations*. Czechoslovak Math. J. 1996, **46** (3), 385–404.
- [5] Ashordia M. *On the general and multipoint boundary value problems for linear systems of generalized ordinary differential equations, linear impulse and linear difference systems*. Mem. Differ. Equ. Math. Phys. 2005, **36**, 1–80.
- [6] Atlasiuk O.M. *Limit theorems for solutions of multipoint boundary-value problems in Sobolev spaces*. J. Math. Sci. 2020, **247** (2), 238–247. doi:10.1007/s10958-020-04799-w
- [7] Atlasiuk O.M. *Limit theorems for solutions of multipoint boundary-value problems with a parameter in Sobolev spaces*. Ukrain. Math. J. 2021, **72** (8), 1175–1184. doi:10.1007/s11253-020-01859-x
- [8] Atlasiuk O.M., Mikhailets V.A. *Fredholm one-dimensional boundary-value problems in Sobolev spaces*. Ukrain. Math. J. 2019, **70** (10), 1526–1537. doi:10.1007/s11253-019-01588-w
- [9] Atlasiuk O.M., Mikhailets V.A. *Fredholm one-dimensional boundary-value problems with parameter in Sobolev spaces*. Ukrain. Math. J. 2019, **70** (11), 1677–1687. doi:10.1007/s11253-019-01599-7
- [10] Bhaskara Rao K.P.S., Bhaskara Rao M. Theory of Charges: A Study of Finitely Additive Measures. Academic Press, London, 1983.
- [11] Bourbaki N., Spain P. Functions of a real variable: Elementary theory. Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 2004.
- [12] Cartan A. Differential calculus. Differential forms. Mir, Moscow, 1971. (in Russian)
- [13] Chua S.-K. *Average value problems in ordinary differential equations*. J. Differ. Equ. 2010, **249** (7), 1531–1548. doi:10.1016/j.jde.2010.07.011
- [14] Dunford N., Schwartz J.T. Linear Operators. Part I: General Theory. Interscience Publishers, New York–London, 1958.
- [15] Gikhman I.I. *Concerning a theorem of N.N. Bogolyubov*. Ukr. Mat. Zh. 1952 **4** (2), 215–219.
- [16] Gnyp E.V., Kodlyuk T.I., Mikhailets V.A. *Fredholm boundary-value problems with parameter in Sobolev spaces*. Ukrain. Math. J. 2015, **67** (5), 658–667. doi:10.1007/s11253-015-1105-1
- [17] Goodrich C., Lizama C. *Existence and monotonicity of nonlocal boundary value problems: The one-dimensional case*. Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., Sect. A, Math. 2022, **152** (1), 1–27. doi:10.1017/prm.2020.90
- [18] Gnyp E.V. *Continuity of the solutions of one-dimensional boundary-value problems with respect to the parameter in the Slobodetskii spaces*. Ukrain. Math. J. 2016, **68** (6), 846–861. doi:10.1007/s11253-016-1261-y
- [19] Gnyp Y., Mikhailets V., Murach A. *Parameter-dependent one-dimensional boundary-value problems in Sobolev spaces*. Electr. J. Differ. Equa. 2017, **2017** (81), 1–13.
- [20] Hoffman K. Banach spaces of analytic functions. Dover Publications Inc., New York, 2007.

- [21] Horyunov A.S. *Convergence and approximation of the Sturm–Liouville operators with potentials-distributions*. Ukrain. Math. J. 2015, **67** (5), 680–689. doi:10.1007/s11253-015-1107-z
- [22] Hörmander L. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. III: Pseudo-differential operators*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-49938-1
- [23] Iha F.T. *On boundary functionals and operators with finite-dimensional null spaces*. Pac. J. Math. 1975, **56** (2), 517–524. doi:10.2140/pjm.1975.56.517
- [24] Kantorovich L.V., Akilov G.P. *Functional analysis*. 2nd Edition. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1982.
- [25] Kiguradze I., Půža B. *Boundary value problems for systems of linear functional differential equations*. Masaryk University, Brno, 2003.
- [26] Kiguradze I.T. *Boundary-value problems for systems of ordinary differential equations*. J. Soviet Math. 1988, **43** (2), 2259–2339. doi:10.1007/BF01100360
- [27] Kiguradze I.T. *On boundary-value problems for linear differential systems with singularities*. Differ. Equ. 2003, **39** (2), 212–225.
- [28] Kiguradze I.T. *Some singular boundary-value problems for ordinary differential equations*. Tbilisi University, Tbilisi, 1975. (in Russian)
- [29] Kodlyuk T.I., Mikhailets V.A., Reva N.V., *Limit theorems for one-dimensional boundary-value problems*. Ukrain. Math. J. 2013, **65** (1), 77–90. doi:10.1007/s11253-013-0766-x
- [30] Kodlyuk T.I., Mikhailets V.A. *Solutions of one-dimensional boundary-value problems with a parameter in Sobolev spaces*. J. Math. Sci. 2013, **190** (4), 589–599. doi:10.1007/s10958-013-1272-2
- [31] Kolmogorov A.N., Fomin S.V. *Elements of the theory of functions and functional analysis*. Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1999.
- [32] Krall A.M. *Differential operators and their adjoints under integral and multiple point boundary conditions*. J. Differ. Equ. 1968, **4**, 327–336. doi:10.1016/0022-0396(68)90019-3
- [33] Krall A.M. *The development of general differential and general differential-boundary systems*. Rocky Mt. J. Math. 1975, **5** (4), 493–542. doi:10.1216/RMJ-1975-5-4-493
- [34] Krasnoselskii M.A., Krein S.G. *On the principle of averaging in nonlinear mechanics*. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 1955, **10** (3), 147–153. (in Russian)
- [35] Krein S.G. *Linear equations in Banach spaces*. Birkhäuser: Basel, Switzerland, 1982. doi:10.1007/978-1-4684-8068-9
- [36] Kurzweil J., Vorel Z. *Continuous dependence of solutions of differential equations on a parameter*. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 1957, **7** (4), 568–583. (in Russian)
- [37] Levin A.Yu. *The limiting transition for nonsingular systems*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 1967, **176** (4), 774–777.
- [38] Ma R. *A survey on nonlocal boundary value problems*. Appl. Math. E-Notes 2007, **7**, 257–279.
- [39] Masliuk H., Pelekhat O., Soldatov V. *Approximation properties of multipoint boundary-value problems*. Methods Funct. Anal. Topology. 2020, **26** (2), 119–125. doi:10.31392/mfat-npu26_2.2020.04
- [40] Masliuk H., Soldatov V. *One-dimensional parameter-dependent boundary-value problems in Hölder spaces*. Methods Funct. Anal. Topology, 2018, **24** (2), 143–151.
- [41] Maslyuk H.O. *Continuity of the solutions of one-dimensional boundary-value problems in Hölder spaces with respect to the parameter*. Ukrainian Math. J., 2017, **69** (1), 101–110. doi:10.1007/s11253-017-1349-z
- [42] Mikhailets V.A., Atlasiuk O.M. *Continuity in a parameter of solutions to boundary-value problems in Sobolev spaces*. Carpathian Math. Publ. 2025, **17** (2), 433–446. doi:10.15330/cmp.17.2.433-446
- [43] Mikhailets V.A., Atlasiuk O.M. *Differential systems in Sobolev spaces with generic inhomogeneous boundary conditions*. Carpathian Math. Publ. 2024, **16** (2), 523–538. doi:10.15330/cmp.16.2.523-538
- [44] Mikhailets V., Atlasiuk O. *The solvability of inhomogeneous boundary-value problems in Sobolev spaces*. Banach J. Math. Anal. 2024, **18**(2) (12). doi:10.1007/s43037-023-00316-8
- [45] Mikhailets V.A., Murach A.A., Soldatov V.O. *Continuity in a parameter of solutions to generic boundary-value problems*. Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 2016, **2016** (A87), 1–16. doi:10.14232/ejqtde.2016.1.87

- [46] Mikhailets V.A., Pelekhata O.B., Reva N.V. *Limit theorems for the solutions of boundary-value problems*. Ukrainian Math. J. 2018, **70** (2), 243–251. doi:10.1007/s11253-018-1498-8
- [47] Murach A.A., Pelekhata O.B., Soldatov V.O. *Approximation properties of solutions to multi-point boundary-value problems*. Ukrainian Math. J. 2021, **73** (3), 399–413. doi:10.1007/s11253-021-01951-w
- [48] Nguen T.K. *On the dependence of a solution to a linear system of differential equations on a parameter*. Differ. Equa. 1993, **29** (6), 830–835.
- [49] Opial Z. *Continuous parameter dependence in linear systems of differential equations*. J. Differ. Equa. 1967, **3** (4), 571–579.
- [50] Paukštaitė, G., Štikonas, A. *Green's matrices for first order differential systems with nonlocal conditions*. Math. Model. Anal. 2017, **22** (2), 213–227. doi:10.3846/13926292.2017.1291456
- [51] Pelekhata O.B., Reva N.V. *Limit theorems for the solutions of linear boundary-value problems for systems of differential equations*. Ukrain. Math. J. 2019, **71** (7), 1061–1070. doi:10.1007/s11253-019-01698-5
- [52] Providas E., Zaoutsos S., Faraslis I. *Closed-form solutions of linear ordinary differential equations with general boundary conditions*. Axioms 2021, **10** (226), 1–12. doi:10.3390/axioms10030226
- [53] Reid W.T. *Some limit theorems for ordinary differential systems*. J. Differ. Equa. 1967, **3** (3), 423–439.
- [54] Skubachevskii A.L. *Nonclassical boundary-value problems*. Int. J. Math. Sci. 2008, **155**, 199–334. doi:10.1007/s10958-008-9218-9
- [55] Smogorzhevsky O. *Les fonctions de Green des systèmes différentielles linéaires dans un domaine à une seule dimension*. Mathematical Collection 1940, **7** (49), 179–196.
- [56] Soldatov V.O. *On the continuity in a parameter for the solutions of boundary-value problems total with respect to the spaces $C^{(n+r)}[a, b]$* . Ukrainian Math. J. 2015, **67** (5), 785–794. doi:10.1007/s11253-015-1114-0
- [57] Whyburn W.M. *Differential equations with general boundary conditions*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 1942, **48** (10), 692–704. doi:10.1090/S0002-9904-1942-07760-3

UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, P.O. Box 68, PIETARI KALMIN KATU 5, 00014 HELSINKI, FINLAND AND, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF UKRAINE, ST. TERESCHENKIVSKA 3, 01024 KYIV, UKRAINE

Email address: olena.atlasiuk@helsinki.fi

KING'S COLLEGE LONDON, STRAND, WC2R 2LS LONDON, UK AND INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF UKRAINE, ST. TERESCHENKIVSKA 3, 01024 KYIV, UKRAINE (MIKHAILET@IMATH.KIEV.UA)

Email address: mikhailets@imath.kiev.ua

UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, P.O. Box 68, PIETARI KALMIN KATU 5, 00014 HELSINKI, FINLAND

Email address: jari.taskinen@helsinki.fi