

# Degenerate Vertex Cuts in Sparse Graphs

Thilo Hartel

Johannes Rauch

Dieter Rautenbach

Institute of Optimization and Operations Research

Ulm University, Ulm, Germany

`thilo.hartel@uni-ulm.de`

`johannes.rauch@mailbox.org`

`dieter.rautenbach@uni-ulm.de`

## Abstract

For a non-negative integer  $k$ , a vertex cut in a graph is  $k$ -degenerate if it induces a  $k$ -degenerate subgraph. We show that a graph of order  $n$  at least  $2k + 2$  without a  $k$ -degenerate cut has the size at least  $\frac{1}{2} \left( k + \Omega(\sqrt{k}) \right) n$  and that a graph of order  $n$  at least 5 without a 2-degenerate cut has the size at least  $\frac{27n-35}{10}$ . For  $k \geq 2$ , we show that a connected graph  $G$  of order  $n$  at least  $k + 6$  and size  $m$  at most  $\frac{k+3}{2}n + \frac{k-1}{2}$  has a minimum  $k$ -degenerate cut.

## 1 Introduction

We consider finite, simple, and undirected graphs and use standard terminology. A graph  $G$  is  $k$ -degenerate for some non-negative integer  $k$  if every non-empty subgraph  $H$  of  $G$  has a vertex  $u$  of degree  $d_H(u)$  at most  $k$ . In particular, a graph is 0-degenerate if it has no edges, and 1-degenerate if it is a forest. A set  $S$  of vertices of a graph  $G$  is a *cut of  $G$*  if  $G - S$  is disconnected.

In the present paper we study the existence of  $k$ -degenerate cuts in sparse graphs, which are cuts inducing  $k$ -degenerate subgraphs. Answering a question of Caro, it was shown by Chen and Yu [6] that every graph of order  $n$  with less than  $2n - 3$  edges has an *independent cut*, which is a 0-degenerate cut. This seminal result with its elegant inductive proof led to considerable further research, cf. [7, 10–12] and the references therein. At the 7th C5 Graph Theory Workshop (Kurort Rathen, 2003) [13], Atsushi Kaneko proposed the conjecture that every graph of order  $n$  with less than  $3n - 6$  edges has a *forest cut*, which is a 1-degenerate cut. This conjecture we rediscovered by Chernyshev et al. [9] who verified it for planar graphs and showed that every graph of order  $n$  with less than  $(11n - 18)/5$  edges has a forest cut. This bound was improved to  $(9n - 15)/4$  by Botler et al. [5] and to  $(19n - 28)/8$  for  $n \geq 4$  by Bogdanov et al. [4] but the conjecture remains open. Bogdanov et al. also consider the existence of *bipartite cuts*, which are cutsets inducing a 2-colorable subgraph.

Chen and Yu's result and Kaneko's conjecture suggest the conjecture that every graph of sufficiently large order  $n$  with less than

$$(k + 2)n - \binom{k + 3}{2}$$

edges has a  $k$ -degenerate cut, which would be best possible in view of the join of  $K_{k+2}$  and  $\overline{K}_{n-k-2}$ . Unfortunately, this naive conjecture turns out to be quite false. Modifying a construction of Bessy et

al. [3] based on results of Axenovich et al. [2], Aubian et al. [1] construct graphs of arbitrarily large order  $n$  and less than

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( k + O \left( \sqrt{k \ln(k)} \right) \right) n$$

edges that do not have a  $k$ -degenerate cut or even a cut inducing a  $(k + 1)$ -colorable subgraph. Note that a graph  $G$  of order at least  $k + 2$  that does not have a  $k$ -degenerate cut has minimum degree at least  $k + 2$ , because, otherwise, the neighborhood  $N_G(u)$  of a vertex  $u$  of minimum degree is a  $k$ -degenerate cut. This trivial argument already implies that graphs of sufficiently large order  $n$  that do not have a  $k$ -degenerate cut have at least  $\frac{1}{2} (k + 2) n$  edges.

Our first contribution is the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $k$  be a positive integer. If  $G$  is a graph of order  $n$  at least  $2k+2$  without a  $k$ -degenerate cut, then the size of  $G$  is at least  $\frac{1}{2} \left( k + \frac{13\sqrt{k}}{190} - \frac{1}{38} \right) n$ .*

The proof of Theorem 1 only considers vertices at distance at most 2 from small degree vertices, that is, it relies on a relatively local argument. Nevertheless, the results of Aubian et al. [1] imply that Theorem 1 is best possible up to a factor of order  $\sqrt{\ln(k)}$  at the  $\sqrt{k}$ -term.

For  $k = 2$ , we improve Theorem 1 as follows.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $n$  at least 5 without a 2-degenerate cut, then the size of  $G$  is at least  $\frac{27n-35}{10}$ .*

The old and new results discussed so far concerned  $k$ -degenerate cuts of arbitrary order. Already Chen et al. [7] study under which conditions there are small independent cuts. In particular, for  $s \leq 3$  and any positive integer  $n$ , they determine the largest value of  $m$  — up to a small additive error for  $s = 3$  — such that a graph of order  $n$  and size at most  $m$  has an independent cut of order at most  $s$ . Recently, Cheng et al. [8] consider the existence of minimum cuts that are  $k$ -degenerate for  $k \in \{0, 1\}$ . In particular, they show that every connected graph of order  $n \geq 7$  and size at most  $\lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \rfloor$  has an independent minimum cut, and that every connected graph of order  $n \geq 7$  and size at most  $2n$  has a minimum cut that induces a forest. In both cases, the bounds on the size are best possible.

Our third result concerns the existence of minimum cuts that are  $k$ -degenerate.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $k$  be an integer at least 2. If  $G$  is a connected graph of order  $n$  at least  $k + 6$  and size  $m$  at most  $\frac{k+3}{2}n + \frac{k-1}{2}$ , then  $G$  has a minimum  $k$ -degenerate cut.*

Similarly as in [8], an explicit construction shows that Theorem 3 is best possible.

All proofs are given in the following section.

## 2 Proofs

A  $k$ -core in a graph  $G$  is a set  $C$  of vertices of  $G$  inducing a subgraph with no vertex of degree at most  $k$ , that is, the minimum degree  $\delta(G[C])$  of the subgraph  $G[C]$  of  $G$  induced by  $C$  is at least  $k + 1$ . Clearly, every  $k$ -core contains at least  $k + 2$  vertices, the only  $k$ -core of order exactly  $k + 2$  is a clique, and a graph  $G$  is  $k$ -degenerate if and only if it contains no  $k$ -core.

We proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $k$ ,  $G$ , and  $n$  be as in the statement. Since  $G$  has order at least  $k + 2$  and no  $k$ -degenerate cut, the minimum degree of  $G$  is at least  $k + 2$ .

**Claim 1.** *If  $u$  is a vertex of  $G$  of degree at most  $k + \frac{\sqrt{k}}{5}$ , then  $u$  has least  $k - \frac{2k}{25} - \frac{2\sqrt{k}}{5}$  neighbors of degree at least  $k + \frac{\sqrt{k}}{5}$ .*

*Proof of Claim 1.* Let  $u$  be a vertex of  $G$  of degree  $d_G(u)$  at most  $k + \frac{\sqrt{k}}{5}$ . Let  $R = V(G) \setminus N_G[u]$ . Let  $X$  be a set of  $\left\lfloor \frac{2\sqrt{k}}{5} \right\rfloor$  neighbors of  $u$  minimizing the number of edges between  $X$  and  $R$ . Let  $Y = N_G(X) \setminus N_G[u]$  and  $Z = N_G(u) \setminus X$ . Since  $G$  has no  $k$ -degenerate cut and  $n > 1 + d_G(u)$ , there is a  $k$ -core  $C$  in  $G$  contained in  $N_G(u)$ .

If some vertex in  $X$  has at least  $\frac{\sqrt{k}}{5}$  neighbors in  $R$ , then, by the choice of  $X$ , all vertices in  $Z$  have at least  $\frac{\sqrt{k}}{5}$  neighbors in  $R$ . Now, each of the

$$|C \cap Z| \geq |C| - |X| \geq k + 2 - \left\lfloor \frac{2\sqrt{k}}{5} \right\rfloor$$

vertices in  $C \cap Z$  has degree at least  $k + \frac{\sqrt{k}}{5}$ , which completes the proof of the claim. Hence, we may assume that every vertex in  $X$  has less than  $\frac{\sqrt{k}}{5}$  neighbors in  $R$ , which implies

$$|Y| < \frac{\sqrt{k}}{5} \cdot |X| \leq \frac{\sqrt{k}}{5} \cdot \frac{2\sqrt{k}}{5} = \frac{2k}{25}.$$

If  $Z$  contains a set  $Z'$  of at least  $k - \frac{2k}{25}$  vertices that have at least  $\frac{\sqrt{k}}{5}$  neighbors in  $R$ , then each of the

$$\begin{aligned} |C \cap Z'| &\geq |C| - |N_G(u) \setminus Z'| \\ &= |C| - |N_G(u)| + |Z'| \\ &\geq (k + 2) - \left(k + \frac{\sqrt{k}}{5}\right) + \left(k - \frac{2k}{25}\right) \\ &> k - \frac{2k}{25} - \frac{2\sqrt{k}}{5} \end{aligned}$$

vertices in  $C \cap Z'$  has degree at least  $k + \frac{\sqrt{k}}{5}$ , which completes the proof of the claim. Hence, we may assume that

$$Z \text{ contains less than } k - \frac{2k}{25} \text{ vertices that have at least } \frac{\sqrt{k}}{5} \text{ neighbors in } R. \quad (1)$$

Note that

$$|Z| = d_G(u) - |X| \leq k + \frac{\sqrt{k}}{5} - \left\lfloor \frac{2\sqrt{k}}{5} \right\rfloor \leq k - \frac{\sqrt{k}}{5} + 1.$$

Since  $G$  has no  $k$ -degenerate cut and

$$1 + |X| + |Y| + |Z| = 1 + d_G(u) + |Y| < 1 + k + \frac{\sqrt{k}}{5} + \frac{2k}{25} < n,$$

the set  $Y \cup Z$  is a cut, and, hence, there is a  $k$ -core  $C'$  in  $G$  contained in  $Y \cup Z$ . Since every vertex in  $Z$  has at most  $|Z| - 1 \leq k - \frac{\sqrt{k}}{5}$  neighbors in  $Z$ , every vertex in  $C' \cap Z$  has at least  $k + 1 - \left(k - \frac{\sqrt{k}}{5}\right) > \frac{\sqrt{k}}{5}$  neighbors in  $Y \subseteq R$ . Hence, the set  $Z$  contains at least

$$|C' \setminus Y| \geq |C'| - |Y| \geq k + 2 - \frac{2k}{25}$$

vertices that have at least  $\frac{\sqrt{k}}{5}$  neighbors in  $R$ . This contradicts (1), which completes the proof of the claim.  $\square$

Let  $V_\ell$  be the set of vertices of  $G$  of degree at least  $k + \frac{\sqrt{k}}{5}$  and let  $V_s = V(G) \setminus V_\ell$ . We use a discharging argument to obtain a lower bound on the degree sum of  $G$ . Initially, each vertex has charge equal to its degree. Now, each vertex in  $V_\ell$  sends a charge of  $\frac{5}{38\sqrt{k}}$  to its neighbors in  $V_s$ . By Claim 1, every vertex in  $V_s$  has at least  $k - \frac{2k}{25} - \frac{2\sqrt{k}}{5} \geq \frac{13k}{25}$  neighbors in  $V_\ell$ . Therefore a vertex in  $V_s$  receives from its large degree neighbors a total amount of charge of at least  $\frac{5}{38\sqrt{k}} \cdot \frac{13k}{25} = \frac{13\sqrt{k}}{190}$ , meaning that it has a final charge of at least  $k + \frac{13\sqrt{k}}{190}$ . On the other hand, a vertex in  $V_\ell$  with degree  $d$  sends a total amount of charge of at most  $d \cdot \frac{5}{38\sqrt{k}}$ , implying that it has a final charge of at least

$$d \cdot \left(1 - \frac{5}{38\sqrt{k}}\right) \geq \left(k + \frac{\sqrt{k}}{5}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{5}{38\sqrt{k}}\right) = k + \frac{13\sqrt{k}}{190} - \frac{1}{38}.$$

Summing the total final charge, we obtain

$$2m = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_G(v) \geq \left(k + \frac{13\sqrt{k}}{190} - \frac{1}{38}\right)n,$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

For a vertex  $u$  in a graph  $G$  and a set  $U$  of vertices of  $G$ , let  $d_U(u) = |U \cap N_G(u)|$  denote the number of neighbors of  $u$  in  $U$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $G$  is a counterexample of minimum order  $n$ . In particular, the graph  $G$  has order  $n \geq 5$ , no 2-degenerate cut but less than  $\frac{27n-35}{10}$  edges. If  $n = 5$ , then  $G$  has less than  $\frac{27 \cdot 5 - 35}{10} = 10$  edges, which implies that  $G$  has two non-adjacent vertices, say  $u$  and  $v$ . Now, the set  $V(G) \setminus \{u, v\}$  is a 2-degenerate cut, which is a contradiction. Hence, we have  $n \geq 6$ . If  $G$  has a cut  $S$  of order at most 4, then it follows that  $S$  has order exactly 4 and induces a  $K_4$ . Let  $K$  be a component of  $G - S$ . Let  $G_1 = G[K \cup S]$  and  $G_2 = G - V(K)$ . Clearly, the two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have orders  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , respectively, at least 5. If  $G_1$  or  $G_2$  has a 2-degenerate cut  $S'$ , then, since  $S$  is complete, the set  $S'$  is also a 2-degenerate cut in  $G$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  both have no 2-degenerate cut. By the choice of  $G$ , it follows that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have at least  $\frac{27n_1-35}{10}$  and  $\frac{27n_2-35}{10}$  edges, respectively. This implies that  $G$  has at least  $\frac{27n_1-35}{10} + \frac{27n_2-35}{10} - 6 > \frac{27n-35}{10}$  edges, which is a contradiction. Hence, the vertex connectivity  $\kappa$  of  $G$  is at least 5. If  $n \leq 17$ , then the average degree of  $G$  is less than  $\frac{27 \cdot 17 - 35}{5 \cdot 17} < 5$ . This implies that the minimum degree, and, hence, also the vertex connectivity of  $G$  is at most 4, which is a contradiction. Hence, we have  $n \geq 18$ . Let  $u$  be a vertex of minimum degree in  $G$ . Since  $5 \leq \kappa \leq d_G(u) < \frac{27 \cdot n - 35}{5 \cdot n} \leq \frac{27}{5}$ , the degree of  $u$  is exactly 5.

**Claim 2.**  $\sum_{v \in N_G(u)} d_G(v) \geq 29$ .

*Proof of Claim 2.* Let  $N_G(u) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ . Let  $X$  be the set of vertices in  $N_G(u)$  with at most one neighbor outside of  $N_G[u]$ . Let  $\ell = |X|$ . By symmetry, we may assume that  $X = \{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\}$ . If some vertex  $v \in X$  has no neighbor outside of  $N_G[u]$ , then  $N_G(u) \setminus \{v\}$  is a cut of order 4, which is a contradiction. If two vertices  $v, v' \in X$  have the same neighbor  $w$  outside of  $N_G[u]$ , then  $(N_G(u) \setminus \{v, v'\}) \cup \{w\}$  is a cut of order 4, which is a contradiction. Hence, the vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_\ell$  have

distinct unique neighbors  $w_1, \dots, w_\ell$  outside of  $N_G[u]$ , respectively. Since  $N_G(u)$  is not a 2-degenerate cut, the set  $N_G(u)$  contains a 2-core. We consider two cases.

**Case 1.**  $G[N_G(u)]$  has minimum degree at least 3.

This implies that at least 8 edges have both endpoints in  $N_G(u)$ . If  $|X| \geq 4$ , then  $\{v_1, v_2, w_3, w_4, v_5\}$  is a 2-degenerate cut, which is a contradiction. If  $|X| \leq 2$ , then

$$\sum_{v \in N_G(u)} d_G(v) \geq 5 + 2 \cdot 8 + 3 \cdot 2 + 2 = 29.$$

Hence, we may assume that  $|X| = 3$ . If  $v_1$  is not adjacent to  $v_2$  or  $v_4$ , then  $\{v_1, v_2, w_3, v_4, v_5\}$  is a 2-degenerate cut, which is a contradiction. By symmetry, this implies that  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  is complete and that there are all possible 6 edges between  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  and  $\{v_4, v_5\}$ . It follows that at least 9 edges have both endpoints in  $N_G(u)$  and, hence,

$$\sum_{v \in N_G(u)} d_G(v) \geq 5 + 2 \cdot 9 + 2 \cdot 2 + 3 = 30.$$

**Case 2.**  $G[N_G(u)]$  has minimum degree at most 2.

Since  $N_G(u)$  contains a 2-core, we may assume, by symmetry, that  $v_1, v_2, v_3$ , and  $v_4$  induce a  $K_4$ , and that  $v_5$  has at most two neighbors in  $N_G(u)$ . Note that  $v_5 \notin X$ . If  $d_{N_G(u)}(v_5) \geq \ell$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} d_G(v) &\geq 5 + (12 + 2 \cdot d_{N_G(u)}(v_5)) + 2(4 - \ell) + \ell + (4 - d_{N_G(u)}(v_5)) \\ &= 29 + d_{N_G(u)}(v_5) - \ell \geq 29. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we may assume  $d_{N_G(u)}(v_5) < \ell$ . If  $d_{N_G(u)}(v_5) = 1$ , then  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $\{w_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$  is a 2-degenerate cut, which is a contradiction. If  $d_{N_G(u)}(v_5) = 2$ , then  $\ell \geq 3$ . By symmetry, we may assume that  $v_5$  and  $v_1$  are adjacent. Again, the set  $\{w_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$  is 2-degenerate cut, which is a contradiction. If  $d_{N_G(u)}(v_5) = 0$  and  $\ell \geq 2$ , then  $\{w_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$  is a 2-degenerate cut, which is a contradiction. Hence, we may assume that  $d_{N_G(u)}(v_5) = 0$  and  $\ell = 1$ . If  $v_2$  is not adjacent to  $w_1$ , then  $\{w_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$  is a 2-degenerate cut, which is a contradiction. Hence, by symmetry, we may assume that  $w_1$  is adjacent to  $v_2, v_3$ , and  $v_4$ . If  $v_2$  has more than 2 neighbors outside of  $N_G[u]$ , the claim follows. Hence, by symmetry, we may assume that  $v_2, v_3$ , and  $v_4$  all have exactly one neighbor  $w_2, w_3$ , and  $w_4$  outside of  $N_G[u] \cup \{w_1\}$ , respectively. If  $w_2 = w_3$ , then  $\{w_1, w_2, v_4, v_5\}$  is a cut of order 4, which is a contradiction. Hence, by symmetry, the vertices  $w_2, w_3$ , and  $w_4$  are distinct. Now, the set  $\{w_1, w_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$  is a 2-degenerate cut, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of the claim.  $\square$

Let  $V_i$  be the set of vertices of  $G$  of degree  $i$  for  $i \in \{5, 6, 7, 8\}$ , and let  $V_9$  be the set of vertices of  $G$  of degree at least 9. We use a discharging argument to obtain a lower bound on the degree sum of  $G$ . Initially, each vertex has charge equal to its degree. Then each vertex  $u$  in  $V_i$  for  $i \in \{6, 7, 8, 9\}$  sends a charge of  $\frac{i-5}{10}$  to each neighbor. By Claim 2, a vertex  $u$  in  $V_5$  receives at least 4 times  $\frac{1}{10}$  charge from its neighbors. This implies that the final charge of  $u$  is at least  $\frac{27}{5}$ . The final charge of a

vertex  $u$  in  $V_6 \cup V_7 \cup V_8$  is at least  $d_G(u) \left(1 - \frac{d_G(u)-5}{10}\right) \geq \frac{27}{5}$ . The final charge of a vertex  $u$  in  $V_9$  is at least  $9 \left(1 - \frac{2}{5}\right) = \frac{27}{5}$ . Summing the total final charge, we obtain  $2m = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_G(v) \geq \frac{27n}{5}$ , which is a contradiction and completes the proof.  $\square$

Our next goal is the proof of Theorem 3, which requires some preparatory results. Recall that every vertex in a minimum cut  $S$  of a graph  $G$  has a neighbor in each component of  $G - S$ . For a graph  $G$ , let  $\kappa(G)$  denote the vertex connectivity of  $G$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let be an integer at least 2. If  $G$  is a connected graph of order  $n$  at least  $k + 6$  vertices, size  $m$  at most  $\frac{k+3}{2}n + \frac{k-1}{2}$ , and minimum degree exactly  $k + 3$ , then  $G$  has a minimum  $k$ -degenerate cut.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be as in the statement. If  $\kappa(G) \leq k + 1$ , then every minimum cut of  $G$  is  $k$ -degenerate. Hence, we may assume that  $\kappa(G) \in \{k + 2, k + 3\}$ . Let  $X$  be the set of all vertices of minimum degree in  $G$ . We have

$$(k + 3)n + \sum_{u \in V(G) \setminus X} (d_G(u) - (k + 3)) = 2m \leq (k + 3)n + (k - 1),$$

which implies

$$n - |X| \leq \sum_{u \in V(G) \setminus X} (d_G(u) - (k + 3)) \leq k - 1 \text{ and, hence,} \quad (2)$$

$$|X| \geq n - (k - 1). \quad (3)$$

Let  $S$  be a minimum cut of  $G$  and suppose that  $S$  is not  $k$ -degenerate. Since  $|S| \in \{k + 2, k + 3\}$ , it follows from (3) that  $|X \cap S| \geq |S| - (k - 1) \geq 3$ . Since  $S$  contains a  $k$ -core, there is a vertex  $v$  in  $X \cap S$  such that  $v$  has at least  $k + 1$  neighbors in  $S$  and, hence, at most two neighbors outside of  $S$ . Since  $v$  has a neighbor in each component of  $G - S$ , the graph  $G - S$  has exactly two components  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . We may assume that  $n(G_1) \geq n(G_2)$ , which implies  $n(G_1) \geq 2$  as  $n \geq k + 6$ .

We consider distinct cases.

**Case 1.**  $\kappa(G) = k + 2$ .

In this case, we have  $G[S] = K_{k+2}$ . Let  $v \in X \cap S$ . The vertex  $v$  has exactly one neighbor  $w$  in  $V(G_1)$ . If  $w$  has a neighbor  $v'$  in  $X \cap S$  distinct from  $v$ , then  $w$  is the unique neighbor of  $v'$  in  $V(G_1)$ , which implies the contradiction that  $(S \setminus \{v, v'\}) \cup \{w\}$  is a cut that is smaller than  $S$ . Since  $X \cap S$  contains at least 3 vertices, the vertex  $w$  has a non-neighbor in  $S$ . It follows  $(S \setminus \{v\}) \cup \{w\}$  is a minimum cut that is not complete, and, hence,  $k$ -degenerate cut, which completes the proof in this case.

**Case 2.**  $\kappa(G) = k + 3$  and  $S$  is a  $k$ -core.

In this case, we have  $|X \cap S| \geq 4$ . Let  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \in X \cap S$ . By the same argument as in Case 1, for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , the vertex  $v_i$  has exactly one neighbor  $w_i$  in  $V(G_1)$  and the vertex  $w_i$  has no neighbor in  $(X \cap S) \setminus \{v_i\}$ . In particular, the vertices  $w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4$  are distinct, which implies

$n(G_1) \geq 4$ . Counting the edges between  $\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$  and  $S$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d_S(w_1) + d_S(w_2) + d_S(w_3) + d_S(w_4) &\leq \sum_{v \in S} \left( d_G(v) - \underbrace{(k+1)}_{\leq d_S(v)} - \underbrace{1}_{\leq d_{V(G_2)}(v)} \right) \\
&= |S| + \sum_{v \in S \setminus X} \left( d_G(v) - (k+3) \right) \\
&\stackrel{(2)}{\leq} (k+3) + (k-1) = 2k+2.
\end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, we may assume that  $d_S(w_1), d_S(w_2) \leq k$ , which implies that  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  both have at most  $k-1$  neighbors in  $S \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}$ . Now, the set  $(S \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}) \cup \{w_1, w_2\}$  is a minimum cut that is  $k$ -degenerate, which completes the proof in this case.

**Case 3.**  $\kappa(G) = k+3$  and  $S$  is not a  $k$ -core.

Again, we have  $|X \cap S| \geq 4$ . Since  $S$  has order  $k+3$ , is not a  $k$ -core, but contains a  $k$ -core, there is a vertex  $u$  in  $S$  such that  $d_S(u) \leq k$  and  $S \setminus \{u\}$  is a clique. Since each vertex in  $S \setminus \{u\}$  has a neighbor in  $V(G_1)$ , a neighbor in  $V(G_2)$ , and  $k+1$  neighbors in  $S \setminus \{u\}$ , the at least 3 vertices in  $(X \cap S) \setminus \{u\}$  are not adjacent to  $u$ . In particular, we have  $d_S(u) \leq k-1$ . Let  $v \in (X \cap S) \setminus \{u\}$ . As before, it follows that the vertex  $v$  has a unique neighbor  $w$  in  $V(G_1)$ , and  $w$  has no neighbor in  $(X \cap S) \setminus \{u, v\}$ . It follows that  $(S \setminus \{v\}) \cup \{w\}$  is a minimum cut that is  $k$ -degenerate, which completes the proof in this final case.  $\square$

We are now in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 3.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Suppose, for a contradiction, that the theorem is false. Let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimum order  $n$ , that is,  $n \geq k+6$ , the size  $m$  of  $G$  is at most  $\frac{k+3}{2}n + \frac{k-1}{2}$  but  $G$  has no minimum  $k$ -degenerate cut, which implies that  $\kappa(G) \geq k+2$ . The average degree  $\frac{2m}{n}$  of  $G$  satisfies  $\frac{2m}{n} \leq k+3 + \frac{k-1}{n} < k+4$ , which implies  $\delta(G) \leq k+3$ . Lemma 4 implies  $\delta(G) \leq k+2$ . Since  $\kappa(G) \leq \delta(G)$ , we obtain  $\kappa(G) = \delta(G) = k+2$ . Let  $v$  be a vertex of minimum degree. The neighborhood  $S = N_G(v)$  of  $v$  is a minimum cut, which implies  $G[S] = K_{k+2}$ .

First, suppose that  $n = k+6$ . Let  $R = \{x, y, z\} = V(G) \setminus N_G[v]$ . The number  $m'$  of edges incident with  $\{x, y, z\}$  satisfies

$$m' \leq \frac{(k+3)(k+6)}{2} + \frac{k-1}{2} - \binom{k+3}{2} = \frac{5}{2}k + \frac{11}{2} \stackrel{k \geq 2}{<} 3k+5. \quad (4)$$

If  $G[R]$  has at most one edge, then  $m' \geq 3\delta(G) - 1 = 3(k+2) - 1$ , which contradicts (4). If  $G[R]$  contains at exactly two edges, say  $xy$  and  $xz$ , then (4) implies that  $x$  has degree  $k+2$ , and  $N_G(x)$  is a minimum  $k$ -degenerate cut, which is a contradiction. It follows that  $R$  is complete. By (4), we may assume that  $d_G(x) = d_G(y) = k+2$  and  $d_G(z) \leq k+3$ . Since  $N_G(x)$  is not a  $k$ -degenerate cut,  $N_G(x)$  is complete, which implies  $N_G(x) \cap S = N_G(y) \cap S \subseteq N_G(z) \cap S$ . Now, the set  $N_G(z) \cap S$  is a cut of order at most  $k+1$ , which contradicts  $\kappa(G) = k+2$ . Hence, we may assume that  $n > k+6$ .

The graph  $G' = G - v$  has order  $n' = n - 1 \geq k+6$  and size  $m' = m - (k+2) < \frac{k+3}{2}(n-1)$ . By the choice of  $G$ , the graph  $G'$  has a minimum  $k$ -degenerate cut  $S'$ . Since  $|S'| \leq \kappa(G') \leq \delta(G') \leq k+2 = \kappa(G)$  and  $S$  is complete, the set  $S'$  is also a minimum  $k$ -degenerate cut in  $G$ . This final contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

We construct graphs showing that Theorem 3 is best possible. For integers  $k \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 3$ , let  $G$  arise from the union of  $s$  disjoint  $(k+2)$ -cliques  $C_0, \dots, C_{s-1}$  arranged in a cyclic order by

- adding sets  $M_0, \dots, M_{s-1}$  of edges, where  $M_i$  is a matching of size  $k+2$  between  $C_i$  and  $C_{i+1}$ , and
- adding one further vertex  $u$  as well as all  $k+2$  possible edges between  $u$  and  $C_0$ .

See Figure 1 for an illustration. The only minimum cut of  $G$  is  $N_G(u) = C_0$ , which is not  $k$ -degenerate. The order of  $G$  is  $n = (k+2)s + 1$  and the size is  $m = \left(\frac{k+3}{2}n + \frac{k-1}{2}\right) + 1$ .




Figure 1: The constructed graph  $G$  for  $k = 4$ ,  $s = 12$ , and a specific choice of the matchings  $M_i$ .

**Acknowledgment** The authors were partially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – project number 545935699.

## References

- [1] G. Aubian, M. Bonamy, R. Bourneuf, O. Fontaine, and L. Picasarri-Arrieta, On cuts of small chromatic number in sparse graphs, arXiv:2510.01791v1.
- [2] M. Axenovich, J.-S. Sereni, R. Snyder, and L. Weber, Bipartite independence number in graphs with bounded maximum degree, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **35** (2021), no. 2, 1136–1148.
- [3] S. Bessy, J. Rauch, D. Rautenbach, and U.S. Souza, Sparse vertex cutsets and the maximum degree, Electron. J. Combin. **32** (2025), no. 2, Paper No. 2.32, 11 pp..
- [4] I.I. Bogdanov, E. Neustroeva, G. Sokolov, A. Volostnov, N. Russkin, and V. Voronov, On forest and bipartite cuts in sparse graphs, arXiv:2505.16179.
- [5] F. Botler, Y.S. Couto, C.G. Fernandes, E.F. de Figueiredo, R. Gómez, V.F. dos Santos, and C.M. Sato, Extremal Problems on Forest Cuts and Acyclic Neighborhoods in Sparse Graphs, arXiv:2411.17885.



- [6] G. Chen and X. Yu, A note on fragile graphs, *Discrete Math.* **249** (2002), no. 1-3, 41–43.
- [7] G. Chen, R.J. Faudree, and M.S. Jacobson, Fragile graphs with small independent cuts, *J. Graph Theory* **41** (2002), no. 4, 327–341.
- [8] K. Cheng, Y. Tang, and X. Zhan, Sparse graphs with an independent or foresty minimum vertex cut, *Discrete Math.* **349** (2026), no. 1, Paper No. 114658, 6 pp..
- [9] V. Chernyshev, J. Rauch, and D. Rautenbach, Forest cuts in sparse graphs, *Discrete Math.* **348** (2025), no. 11, Paper No. 114594, 6 pp..
- [10] V. Chernyshev, J. Rauch, D. Rautenbach, and L. Redina, A faster algorithm for independent cut, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **1054** (2025), Paper No. 115542, 7 pp..
- [11] V.B. Le and F. Pfender, Extremal graphs having no stable cutsets, *Electron. J. Combin.* **20** (2013), no. 1, Paper 35, 7 pp..
- [12] J. Rauch and D. Rautenbach, Revisiting Extremal Graphs Having No Stable Cutsets, *Electron. J. Combin.* **32** (2025), no. 4, P4.25.
- [13] I. Schiermeyer, personal communication, November 19, 2024.