

OPERATIONAL CALCULUS FOR THE n TH-LEVEL PRABHAKAR TYPE FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE WITH APPLICATIONS

IMTIAZ WAHEED^{1,2}, ERKINJON KARIMOV¹, MUJEEB UR REHMAN²

ABSTRACT. This study investigates the n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative, a generalization encompassing some well-known fractional derivatives. We establish its fundamental properties, particularly its relationship with the corresponding Prabhakar fractional integral. Furthermore, we develop Mikusiński-type operational calculus for this derivative, providing a framework for solving differential equations involving this operator. To illustrate its application, we present analytical solutions of two problems: a fractional order ordinary differential equation and the time fractional heat equation, both of which include the n th-level Prabhakar derivative.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional calculus (FC) originated from L'Hôpital's classical query concerning the meaning of a derivative of non-integer order. In response, Leibniz philosophically predicted that such an idea would one day lead to significant applications [37]. Throughout the twentieth century, the mathematical properties and diverse applications of FC were extensively developed [41, 19]. Fractional differential equations, in particular, have attracted increasing attention due to their successful applications in various fields such as economics [8], fractional kinetics [42] and electrodynamics [43].

Fractional calculus operators exist in numerous forms, many of which can be unified under generalized frameworks. Examples include general fractional calculus [1], n -fold fractional derivatives [28], modified Erdélyi–Kober and Prabhakar fractional calculus operators [39, 26]. Several of these generalizations employ different kernel functions, such as analytic kernels [13] or Sonine kernels, which allow for more flexible modeling of nonlocal behaviors.

The origins of Prabhakar fractional calculus can be traced back to Prabhakar's 1971 paper [39]. However, the operator he introduced was only identified as a fractional integral, later in 2004, by Kilbas et al. [25]. The concept of Prabhakar's fractional derivative was subsequently introduced in 2014 by Garra et al. [16]. Since then, the mathematical framework of Prabhakar fractional calculus has been further explored in numerous studies [17, 38], with applications emerging in areas such as dielectrics, viscoelasticity, and option pricing [44, 7]. This formulation can be viewed as a generalized class encompassing several other well-known operators in fractional calculus, including both singular and nonsingular types.

Key words and phrases. Prabhakar fractional calculus, operational calculus, Mikusiński operational calculus, n th level Prabhakar fractional derivative.

To avoid redundant research efforts, first-level generalized fractional derivatives (GFDs) were recently introduced in [31] and [33]. These first-level GFDs provide a unified framework for both Riemann Liouville type and regularized GFDs, similar to how the Hilfer fractional derivative unifies the Riemann Liouville and Caputo derivatives [19]. As a result, any findings for first-level GFDs automatically apply to both Riemann Liouville type and regularized GFDs.

The concept of operational calculus based on purely algebraic methods was first introduced in the 1950s by the Polish mathematician Jan Mikusiński [36, 46]. In his framework, the first-order derivative of a continuous function was interpreted as a multiplication operation within a special field of convolution quotients. The Mikusiński approach was later extended to specific cases of the hyper-Bessel differential operator [10] and subsequently to the general hyper-Bessel operator of order n [9].

During the 1990s, Mikusiński type operational calculi were further developed for various fractional derivatives, including the multiple Erdélyi Kober fractional derivative [35], the Riemann Liouville fractional derivative [18, 34], and the Caputo fractional derivative [27]. An operational calculus for the Hilfer fractional derivative was later proposed in [20]. Moreover, Mikusiński type operational calculi have been developed for the generalized fractional derivatives (GFDs) [32] and the regularized GFDs [30, 2]. These frameworks have been successfully applied to obtain closed form solutions for initial value problems involving multiterm fractional differential equations with sequential GFDs and sequential regularized GFDs, respectively.

Motivated by this analogy, we present the notion of the n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative in this study. This generalized operator provides a unified framework in which results remain valid simultaneously for the Riemann Liouville, Caputo, and Hilfer derivatives, as well as for the n th level general fractional derivative. The main goals of this paper are given below:

- (i) The n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative is defined as a general operator that unifies and includes some well-known fractional derivatives as particular cases.
- (ii) We establish the relationship between the Prabhakar integral and the n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative and derive fundamental properties of this generalized fractional derivative.
- (iii) We develop the operational calculus structure associated with the n th level Prabhakar fractional derivative, providing a framework for solving differential equations involving this operator.
- (iv) Using the operational calculus technique, we obtain explicit solutions to a Cauchy type initial value problem and a time-fractional heat equation that incorporates the n th level Prabhakar fractional derivative.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the necessary preliminaries and key mathematical results used throughout the paper. Section 2.2 introduces the definition and fundamental properties of the proposed n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative. In Section 3, we

develop the framework of the Mikusiński operational calculus for the n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative. Finally, Section 4 discusses some applications.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Fractional integrals and derivatives. In this section, we present the fractional integrals and derivatives, collectively referred to as differintegrals, and some basic results.

Definition 2.1. [41, 21] For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, the Riemann Liouville fractional integral of order α for a suitable function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by:

$${}_0\mathbb{I}_x^\alpha f(x) = \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt, \quad t \in (0, \infty). \quad (2.1)$$

Definition 2.2. [41, 6, 11] Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \geq 0$. The Riemann Liouville and Caputo fractional derivatives of order α are defined, respectively, as:

$${}_0\mathbb{D}_x^\alpha f(x) = \frac{d^n}{dx^n} {}_0\mathbb{I}_x^{n-\alpha} f(t), \quad (2.2)$$

$${}_0\mathbb{D}_x^\alpha f(x) = {}_0\mathbb{I}_x^{n-\alpha} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} f(t), \quad (2.3)$$

where $n = \lfloor \operatorname{Re} \alpha \rfloor + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Both definitions constitute natural extensions of the Riemann Liouville integral. Specifically, the Riemann Liouville derivative is obtained by analytical continuation of the Riemann Liouville integral to negative orders, following the convention

$${}_0\mathbb{I}_x^{-\alpha} = {}_0\mathbb{D}_x^\alpha, \quad (2.4)$$

that allows extension to all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. In contrast, while Riemann Liouville fractional differential equations require fractional initial conditions, the Caputo derivative is often preferred in applications since it involves only classical integer order initial conditions [21].

Lemma 2.3. [24, 41] *Riemann Liouville differintegrals have natural composition properties both when the inner operator is a fractional integral and when the outer operator is an ordinary derivative:*

$${}_0\mathbb{I}_x^\alpha \circ {}_0\mathbb{I}_x^\beta = {}_0\mathbb{I}_x^{\alpha+\beta}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n} \circ {}_0\mathbb{D}_x^\alpha = {}_0\mathbb{D}_x^{n+\alpha}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (2.6)$$

where we follow the convention (2.4) so that the operator of order α in each case may be either a fractional integral or a fractional derivative, according to the sign of α .

There is also a modified composition property for fractional derivatives, which includes a finite sum of initial value terms:

$${}_0\mathbb{D}_x^\alpha {}_0\mathbb{D}_x^\beta f(x) = {}_0\mathbb{D}_x^{\alpha+\beta} f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{x^{-\alpha-k-1}}{\Gamma(-\alpha-k)} \left[{}_0\mathbb{D}_x^{\beta-k-1} f(x) \right]_{x=0}, \quad (2.7)$$

where $m = \lfloor \operatorname{Re} \beta \rfloor + 1$.

Now, here we present the fractional integral and derivative known as the Prabhakar fractional integral. Before doing so, we first recall the definitions of the Prabhakar function, also called the three parameter Mittag Leffler function, introduced by T. R. Prabhakar in 1971 [39], is defined as

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_k z^k}{k! \Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0, z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (2.8)$$

where $(\gamma)_k \equiv \Gamma(\gamma+k)/\Gamma(\gamma)$ is the Pochhammer symbol and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes the Euler gamma function. Note that $E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(z)$ is an entire function of order $\rho = 1/\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)$.

Definition 2.4. [39] Let $f \in L^1[a, b]$, $a < b$, then, the left, and right sided Prabhakar integral operators are defined as

$${}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} f(x) = \int_a^x (x-\xi)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(\delta(x-\xi)^{\alpha}) f(\xi) d\xi, \quad x > a, \quad (2.9)$$

$${}_b\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} f(x) = \int_x^b (\xi-x)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(\delta(\xi-x)^{\alpha}) f(\xi) d\xi, \quad x < b. \quad (2.10)$$

where the condition $\alpha > 0$ is necessary for the convergence of the series expansion (2.8), whereas the restriction $\beta > 0$ is imposed in order to guarantee the convergence of the integral.

This integral operator was originally proposed in [39], which is nowadays recognized as the Prabhakar fractional integral. Throughout this article, we denote the left sided Prabhakar fractional integral by ${}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}$, and the Prabhakar function (or the three parameter Mittag Leffler function) by $E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}$.

Equation (2.9) reduces to the Riemann Liouville integral for $\gamma = 0$ or $\delta = 0$. The Prabhakar fractional derivatives of Riemann–Liouville (RL) type, Caputo type, and Hilfer type are given by.

Definition 2.5. [16, 44] For any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq 0$, $a \geq 0$, the left sided Prabhakar fractional derivatives of Riemann Liouville (RL) type, Caputo type, and Hilfer type are defined, respectively, as follows, each acting on a suitable function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\text{PR}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} f(x) &= \mathbb{D}^n {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,n-\beta,-\gamma,\delta} f(x), \\ {}^{\text{PC}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} f(x) &= {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,n-\beta,-\gamma,\delta} \mathbb{D}^n f(x), \\ {}^{\text{PH}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta} f(x) &= {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\theta(n-\beta),-\gamma\theta,\delta} \mathbb{D}^n {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,(1-\theta)(n-\beta),-\gamma(1-\theta),\delta} f(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $n = \lfloor \operatorname{Re}(\beta) \rfloor + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ in all three cases, and $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ in the last case. Similarly, the right-sided case can be defined by using the right-sided Prabhakar fractional integral given in equation (2.10). For further details on the left- and right sided versions of these operators, we refer the reader to [4].

Lemma 2.6. [25, 39] *The left sided Prabhakar integral has a semigroup property in its second and third parameters:*

$${}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta_1,\gamma_1,\delta} \circ {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta_2,\gamma_2,\delta} = {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta_1+\beta_2,\gamma_1+\gamma_2,\delta},$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\beta_1) > 0$, and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta_2) > 0$. As a consequence of this, we have

$${}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \circ {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \circ \dots \circ {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} = {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,n\beta,n\gamma,\delta},$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, $a \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Moreover, an additional noteworthy connection between the Riemann Liouville and Prabhakar integrals arises through their series representations. Specifically, for $f \in L^1[0, T]$, the parameters $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

Lemma 2.7. [12] *The left sided Prabhakar integral and left sided Prabhakar derivative of RL type have the following locally uniformly convergent series formulae:*

$$\begin{aligned} {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} f(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_k}{k!} \frac{\delta^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} {}_a\mathbb{I}_x^{\alpha k + \beta} f(x), \\ {}^{\text{PR}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} f(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma)_k}{k!} \frac{\delta^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k - \beta)} {}_a\mathbb{I}_x^{\alpha k - \beta} f(x), \end{aligned}$$

in both cases for functions $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, $a \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0$ in the first case or $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq 0$ in the second case.

2.2. Function Spaces. In this subsection, we define the functional spaces that will be used in formulating our main results. The use of appropriately chosen spaces (such as C_α) allows the formulation of fractional differential and integral operators, ensuring that they are well defined, closed under the associated norms, and exhibit the required mapping properties within the operational calculus framework.

Definition 2.8. [21] Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. The function space X_0 is defined by

$$X_0 = \left\{ f \in L^1(0, 1) \mid {}_a\mathbb{I}_x^{1-\alpha} f(x) = {}_a\mathbb{I}_x^{1-\alpha} {}_a\mathbb{I}_x^\alpha \varphi(x) = {}_a\mathbb{I}_x^1 \varphi(x) \right\}$$

for some $\varphi \in L^1(0, 1)$.

Definition 2.9. [21] Let $AC([0, 1])$ denote the space of absolutely continuous functions on $[0, 1]$. A function f belongs to this space if and only if there exists a function $\varphi \in L^1(0, 1)$ such that

$$f(x) = f(0) + \int_0^x \varphi(t) dt, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

That is,

$$f \in AC([0, 1]) \iff \exists \varphi \in L^1(0, 1) \text{ such that } f(x) = f(0) + \int_0^x \varphi(t) dt.$$

For further details, we refer the reader to [28]. Next, we discuss the function space C_μ and its related subspaces, which are essential for the formulation of the Mikusiński structure.

Definition 2.10. [9] For any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, the function space C_μ is defined as the set of all functions $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$f(x) = x^p f_1(x), \quad p > \mu, \quad f_1 \in C[0, \infty).$$

In particular, we are mainly interested in the case $\mu = -1$, since the space C_{-1} is contained in $L^1(0, \infty)$ and includes $C[0, \infty)$.

Definition 2.11. [18, 20, 29] Let $\mu \geq -1$. Within the vector space C_μ , we define the following subspaces, corresponding to various differentiability conditions required for defining different fractional derivative operators:

- (i) The space ${}^R\Omega_\mu^\alpha$, for a given $\alpha > 0$, consists of all functions $f \in C_\mu$ such that the left sided Riemann Liouville derivatives ${}_a^R\mathbb{D}_x^\beta f$ exist as functions in C_μ for all β satisfying $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$.
- (ii) The space ${}^H\Omega_\mu^\alpha$, for a given $\alpha > 0$, consists of all functions $f \in C_\mu$ such that the left sided Hilfer derivatives ${}_a^H\mathbb{D}_x^{\alpha,v} f$ exist as functions in C_μ for all β satisfying $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$ and all $v \in [0, 1]$.
- (iii) The space C_μ^n , for a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consists of all functions $f \in C_\mu$ such that the n th-order derivative $f^{(n)}$ exists as a function in C_μ .

All of these spaces are vector subspaces of C_μ . Particular attention is given to the case $\mu = -1$.

Hence, we retain the classical definitions of ${}^R\Omega_\mu^\alpha$ and ${}^H\Omega_\mu^\alpha$, with both μ and α considered as real parameters.

Proposition 2.12. [9, 18, 40] *For any $\mu \geq -1, a \geq 0$, the function space C_μ forms a commutative ring (i.e., a ring without multiplicative identity) under pointwise addition and Laplace convolution. Moreover, the left Riemann-Liouville fractional integral and the left Prabhakar fractional integral act on this space as*

$${}_a^R\mathbb{I}_x^\alpha : C_\mu \longrightarrow C_{\mu+\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)} \subset C_\mu, \quad {}_a^R\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} : C_\mu \longrightarrow C_{\mu+\operatorname{Re}(\beta)} \subset C_\mu,$$

for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0$.

Proposition 2.13. [18, 40] *Every left sided Riemann Liouville fractional derivative and every left sided Prabhakar fractional derivative of Riemann Liouville type acts on the corresponding subspace of C_{-1} as follows:*

$${}_a^R\mathbb{D}_x^\alpha : {}^R\Omega_{-1}^{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)} \longrightarrow C_{-1}, \quad {}_a^R\mathbb{D}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} : {}^R\Omega_{-1}^{\operatorname{Re}(\beta)} \longrightarrow C_{-1},$$

for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq 0$.

In the next section we present the fractional derivative called the n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative. The construction of the n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative involves n successive compositions of first order derivatives and Prabhakar fractional integrals. Consequently, this process yields n distinct families of parameter fractional derivatives, referred to as the n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivatives.

We suppose that the parameters $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$0 \leq \beta + s_n \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \theta_n \leq 1 \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (2.11)$$

where, for convenience, we used the notation

$$s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad \theta_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Let $f \in L^1[a, b]$, $a < b$, then, the n th-level left right Prabhakar fractional derivatives are defined as follows:

$${}_a\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta_n} f(x) = {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,s_n,-\gamma\theta_n,\delta} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,n-\beta-s_n,-\gamma(n-\theta_n),\delta} f(x), \quad (2.12)$$

$${}_b\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta_n} f(x) = {}_b\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,s_n,-\gamma\theta_n,\delta} \left(-\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n {}_b\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,n-\beta-s_n,-\gamma(n-\theta_n),\delta} f(x). \quad (2.13)$$

We can recover several well-known fractional derivatives as special cases of the general operator defined in (2.12), which we refer to as the left and right sided n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative.

- (i) In (2.12), by taking $n = 1$ and selecting $s_1 = \theta_1(1 - \beta)$, the n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative reduces to the Hilfer Prabhakar fractional derivative [19].
- (ii) By taking $n = 1$, $\theta_1 = 1$, and choosing $s_1 = \theta_1(1 - \beta)$, the n th level Prabhakar fractional derivative reduces to the Prabhakar Caputo fractional derivative [19].
- (iii) By taking $n = 1$, $\theta_1 = 0$, and choosing $s_1 = \theta_1(1 - \beta)$, the n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative, it reduces to the Prabhakar Riemann Liouville fractional derivative [19].
- (iv) By setting $\delta = 0$ or $\gamma = 0$ in (2.12), the kernel of the n th-level Prabhakar derivative reduces to a power function form, and the operator collapses to the n th-level fractional derivative introduced in [3].
- (v) The n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative reduces to the classical Hilfer fractional derivative when $n = 1$, $\gamma = 0$, and $s_1 = \theta_1(1 - \beta)$; see [19].
- (vi) The n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative reduces to the classical Riemann Liouville fractional derivative when $\delta = 0$ or $\gamma = 0$; see [21].
- (vii) Likewise, the n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative reduces to the classical Caputo fractional derivative when $\delta = 0$ or $\gamma = 0$; see [6, 11].

If we take $x = \psi(x)$ and define the parameters as in (i)–(vii), then the left and right sided versions of these operators are obtained; see [4]. These reductions demonstrate that the proposed n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative serves as a unified framework that includes some classical fractional operators as particular cases.

Now, we consider a power function and determine its n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative.

Example 2.14. Let $f(x) = x^r$, $r > -1$, and consider the $n = 1$ in n th level Prabhakar fractional derivative defined by

$$({}_0\mathbb{D}_{1L,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta_1})f(x) = \left({}_0\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,s_1,-\gamma\theta_1,\delta} \frac{d}{dx} \right) \left({}_0\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,1-\beta-s_1,-\gamma(1-\theta_1)} f \right) (x).$$

Using the definition of the Prabhakar integral operator,

$${}_0\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} f(x) = \int_0^x (x-t)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(\delta(x-t)^{\alpha}) f(t) dt.$$

Applying the inner operator to $f(x) = x^r$ and using the result of [39],

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,1-\beta-s_1,-\gamma(1-\theta_1),\delta} x^r &= \int_0^x t^r (x-t)^{1-\beta-s_1-1} E_{\alpha,1-\beta-s_1}^{-\gamma(1-\theta_1)}(\delta(x-t)^{\alpha}) dt \\ &= \Gamma(r+1) x^{r+1-\beta-s_1} E_{\alpha,r+2-\beta-s_1}^{-\gamma(1-\theta_1)}(\delta x^{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} {}_0\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,1-\beta-s_1,-\gamma(1-\theta_1),\delta}(x^r) = \Gamma(r+1) x^{r-\beta-s_1} E_{\alpha,r+1-\beta-s_1}^{-\gamma(1-\theta_1)}(\delta x^{\alpha}).$$

Now applying ${}_0\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,s_1,-\gamma(1-\theta_1)\theta_1,\delta}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_0\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,s_1,-\gamma\theta_1,\delta} \left(\Gamma(r+1) x^{r-\beta-s_1} E_{\alpha,r+1-\beta-s_1}^{-\gamma(1-\theta_1)}(\delta x^{\alpha}) \right) \\ &= \Gamma(r+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma\theta_1)_k \delta^k}{k! \Gamma(\alpha k + s_1)} \times \\ &\quad \int_0^x (x-t)^{s_1-1+\alpha k} t^{r-\beta-s_1} E_{\alpha,r+1-\beta-s_1}^{-\gamma(1-\theta_1)}(\delta t^{\alpha}) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Using the result of [39], we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^x (x-t)^{s_1-1+\alpha k} t^{r-\beta-s_1} E_{\alpha,r+1-\beta-s_1}^{-\gamma(1-\theta_1)}(\delta t^{\alpha}) dt = \\ &\quad \Gamma(r+1-\beta-s_1) x^{r-\beta+\alpha k} E_{\alpha,r+1-\beta+\alpha k}^{-\gamma(1-\theta_1)}(\delta x^{\alpha}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Substituting (2.15) into (2.14), we obtain

$$= \Gamma(r+1) \Gamma(r+1-\beta-s_1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma\theta_1)_k \delta^k}{k! \Gamma(\alpha k + s_1)} x^{r-\beta+\alpha k} E_{\alpha,r+1-\beta+\alpha k}^{-\gamma(1-\theta_1)}(\delta x^{\alpha}).$$

Here, In the above expression, $(-\gamma\theta_1)_k$ represents the Pochhammer symbol. The first level Prabhakar fractional derivative of x^r is

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0\mathbb{D}_{1L,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta_1} x^r &= \Gamma(r+1) \Gamma(r+1-\beta-s_1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma\theta_1)_k \delta^k}{k! \Gamma(\alpha k + s_1)} \times \\ &\quad x^{r-\beta+\alpha k} E_{\alpha,r+1-\beta+\alpha k}^{-\gamma(1-\theta_1)}(\delta x^{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we present an interpretation of the n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative in the function space ${}^H\Omega_{-1}^{\alpha}$, and then establish the relationship between the Prabhakar integral and the n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative.

Theorem 2.15. *Let $\alpha > 0, \beta \geq 0, a < b$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$. The left n th level Prabhakar fractional derivative maps the function space ${}^H\Omega_{-1}^{\alpha}$ into C_{-1} :*

$${}_a\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta_n} : {}^H\Omega_{-1}^{\alpha} \longrightarrow C_{-1}. \quad (2.16)$$

Moreover, it can be related to the derivative of the left Prabhakar Riemann Liouville type by the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_a\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta_n} f(x) &= {}^{\text{PR}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^\beta f(x) - \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{k=0}^{M_j-1} \frac{(-\gamma(n-\theta_n))_j \delta^j}{j!} \times \\ &\quad \left({}^{\text{R}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f \right) (0) x^{s_n - k - 1} E_{\alpha, s_n - k}^{-\gamma\theta_n}(\delta x^\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

for any function $f \in {}^{\text{H}}\Omega_{-1}^\alpha$, where $N = \left\lfloor \frac{\beta + s_n}{\alpha} \right\rfloor$ and $M_j = \lfloor -\alpha j + \beta + s_n \rfloor + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for each $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$.

Proof. Let $f \in {}^{\text{H}}\Omega_{-1}^\alpha \subset {}^{\text{R}}\Omega_{-1}^\alpha$, therefore, by [[14], Theorem 3.1]. Using the series formula (Lemma 2.7) and the convention (2.4), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_a\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta_n} f(x) &= {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha, s_n, -\gamma\theta_n, \delta} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha, n - \beta - s_n, -\gamma(n - \theta_n), \delta} f(x) \\ &= {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha, s_n, -\gamma\theta_n, \delta} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha, n - \beta - s_n, -\gamma(n - \theta_n), \delta} f(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma\theta_n)_i \delta^i}{i!} {}^{\text{R}}{}_a\mathbb{I}_x^{\alpha i + s_n} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma(n - \theta_n))_j \delta^j}{j!} \times \\ &\quad {}^{\text{R}}{}_a\mathbb{I}_x^{\alpha j + n - \beta - s_n} f(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma\theta_n)_i \delta^i}{i!} {}^{\text{R}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha i - s_n} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma(n - \theta_n))_j \delta^j}{j!} \times \\ &\quad {}^{\text{R}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j - n + \beta + s_n} f(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma\theta_n)_i \delta^i}{i!} {}^{\text{R}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha i - s_n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma(n - \theta_n))_j \delta^j}{j!} {}^{\text{R}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j - n + \beta + s_n + n} f(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma\theta_n)_i \delta^i}{i!} {}^{\text{R}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha i - s_n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma(n - \theta_n))_j \delta^j}{j!} {}^{\text{R}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the outer operator ${}^{\text{R}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha i - s_n}$ represents a left fractional integral for all values of i , whereas the inner operator ${}^{\text{R}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n}$ acts as a left fractional derivative when j is negative and satisfies $-\alpha j + \beta + s_n \geq 0$, or as a fractional integral for sufficiently large j such that $-\alpha j + \beta + s_n < 0$.

This distinction is crucial due to the composition relations given in (2.4)-(2.5), which imply that:

$${}^{\text{R}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha i - s_n} {}^{\text{R}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n} f(x) = {}^{\text{R}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha i - \alpha j + \beta} f(x).$$

if $-\alpha j + \beta + s_n < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_a\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta_n} f(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma\theta_n)_i \delta^i}{i!} {}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha i - s_n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma(n-\theta_n))_j \delta^j}{j!} \times \\
&\quad {}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n} f(x) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma\theta_n)_i \delta^i}{i!} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma(n-\theta_n))_j \delta^j}{j!} {}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha i - \alpha j + \beta} f(x) - \\
&\quad \sum_{k=0}^{M_j-1} \frac{x^{\alpha i + s_n - k - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha i + s_n - k)} \left({}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f \right) (0).
\end{aligned}$$

If $-\alpha j + \beta + s_n \geq 0$, we define $M_j = \lfloor -\alpha j + \beta + s_n \rfloor + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. By splitting the inner series according to the sign of $-\alpha j + \beta + s_n$ using the above equation, and denoting the cutoff index by N , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_a\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta_n} f(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{(-\gamma\theta_n)_i}{i!} \cdot \frac{(-\gamma(n-\theta_n))_j}{j!} \delta^{i+j} {}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha i - \alpha j + \beta} f(x) - \\
&\quad \sum_{k=0}^{M_j-1} \frac{x^{\alpha i + s_n - k - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha i + s_n - k)} \cdot {}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f(0) + \\
&\quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma\theta_n)_i}{i!} \cdot \frac{(-\gamma(n-\theta_n))_j}{j!} \delta^{i+j} {}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha i - \alpha j + \beta} f(x) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma\theta_n)_i}{i!} \frac{(-\gamma(n-\theta_n))_j}{j!} \delta^{i+j} {}_a\mathbb{I}_x^{\alpha i + \alpha j - \beta} f(x) - \\
&\quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{M_j-1} \frac{(-\gamma\theta_n)_i}{i!} \frac{(-\gamma(n-\theta_n))_j}{j!} \delta^{i+j} \frac{x^{\alpha i + s_n - k - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha i + s_n - k)} \times \\
&\quad \left({}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f \right) (0).
\end{aligned}$$

The first (double) sum here can be rewritten as follows,

$$S_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma\theta_n)_i}{i!} \frac{(-\gamma(n-\theta_n))_j}{j!} \delta^{i+j} {}_a\mathbb{I}_x^{\alpha i + \alpha j - \beta} f(x).$$

Taking $i + j = k$ and using the Chu-Vandermonde identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta^{i+j} \sum_{i+j=k}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{k! i! j!} (\gamma \theta_n)_i (-\gamma(n - \theta_n))_j {}^R\mathbb{I}_x^{\alpha i + \alpha j - \beta} f(x) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta^k \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{k! i! (k-i)!} (\gamma \theta_n)_i (-\gamma(n - \theta_n))_{k-i} {}^R\mathbb{I}_x^{\alpha i + \alpha j - \beta} f(x) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^k}{k!} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{i! (k-i)!} (\gamma \theta_n)_i (-\gamma(n - \theta_n))_{k-i} \right) {}^R\mathbb{I}_x^{\alpha i + \alpha j - \beta} f(x) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^k}{k!} (-\gamma n)_k {}^R\mathbb{I}_x^{\alpha i + \alpha j - \beta} f(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in {}^H\Omega_{-1}^{\alpha} \subset {}^R\Omega_{-1}^{\alpha}$, this Prabhakar derivative is in the space C_{-1} . The second triple summation can be equivalently expressed by moving the infinite sum over i inside, resulting in a Mittag-Leffler power series:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2 &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{M_j-1} \frac{(-\gamma \theta_n)_i}{i!} \frac{(-\gamma(n - \theta_n))_j}{j!} \delta^{i+j} \frac{x^{\alpha i + s_n - k - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha i + s_n - k)} \times \\
&\quad {}^R\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f(0) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{k=0}^{M_j-1} \frac{(-\gamma(n - \theta_n))_j}{j!} \delta^j \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma \theta_n)_i}{i!} \delta^i \frac{x^{\alpha i + s_n - k - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha i + s_n - k)} \times \\
&\quad {}^R\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f(0) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{k=0}^{M_j-1} \frac{(-\gamma(n - \theta_n))_j}{j!} \delta^j x^{s_n - k - 1} E_{\alpha, s_n - k}^{-\gamma \theta_n}(\delta x^{\alpha}) {}^R\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f(0).
\end{aligned}$$

To show that this function belongs to the space C_{-1} , we consider suppose that for every k such that $s_n - k \leq 0$, the initial term ${}^R\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f(0)$ must vanish.

If $j \leq N$, then $-\alpha j + \beta + s_n \geq 0$ holds. Moreover, for $k + 1 \leq M_j$, these two inequalities together ensure that $-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - (k + 1) \geq 0$.

Here, if we consider $n = 1$ and $s_1 = \theta_1(1 - \beta)$, the fractional order becomes $-\alpha j + \beta + \theta_1(1 - \beta) - k - 1$, where $\theta_1 \in [0, 1]$. We exclude the trivial cases $\theta_1 = 0$ and $\theta_1 = 1$, for which the Hilfer fractional derivative reduces to the Riemann-Liouville and Caputo derivatives, and the corresponding results are straightforward as mentioned in [27, 20]. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.16. *Let $\alpha > 0, \beta \geq 0, a < b$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$. The right n th level Prabhakar fractional derivative maps the function space ${}^H\Omega_{-1}^{\alpha}$ into C_{-1} :*

$${}_b\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; \theta_n} : {}^H\Omega_{-1}^{\alpha} \longrightarrow C_{-1}. \quad (2.18)$$

Moreover, it can be related to the derivative of right Prabhakar Riemann Liouville type by the following relation:

$${}_b\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta_n} f(x) = {}^{\text{PR}}{}_b\mathbb{D}_x^\beta f(x) - \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{k=0}^{M_j-1} \frac{(-\gamma(n-\theta_n))_j \delta^j}{j!} \left({}^{\text{R}}{}_b\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f \right) (0) x^{s_n - k - 1} E_{\alpha, s_n - k}^{-\gamma\theta_n}(\delta x^\alpha). \quad (2.19)$$

for any function $f \in {}^{\text{H}}\Omega_{-1}^\alpha$, where $N = \left\lfloor \frac{\beta + s_n}{\alpha} \right\rfloor$ and $M_j = \lfloor -\alpha j + \beta + s_n \rfloor + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for each $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$.

The proof follows along the same lines as that of Theorem 2.15. \square

Theorem 2.17. Let $\alpha > 0, \beta \geq 0, a < b$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$. For any $f \in L^1[a, b], a < b$, the left sided n th level Prabhakar fractional derivative has the following inversion relation:

$${}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} {}_a\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta_n} f(x) = f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{A-1} x^{\beta + s_n - k - 1} E_{\alpha, \beta + s_n - k}^{\gamma(n-\theta_n)}(\delta x^\alpha) \times \left(\sum_{j=0}^B \frac{(-\gamma(n-\theta_n))_j \delta^j}{j!} \cdot {}^{\text{R}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f(0) \right), \quad (2.20)$$

where A and B are

$$A = \lfloor \beta + s_n \rfloor + 1 \quad B = \left\lfloor \frac{\beta + s_n - k}{\alpha} \right\rfloor. \quad (2.21)$$

Proof. First, we use the definitions of the left Prabhakar fractional integral and the left n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative, followed by the use of composition relations between Prabhakar operators, specifically, the semigroup property presented in Lemma 2.6 and the differentiation property [[16], Eq. (17)], and Lemma 2.7. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} ({}_a\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta_n} f)(x) \\ &= {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta+s_n,\gamma(1-\theta_n),\delta} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,n-\beta-s_n,-\gamma(n-\theta_n),\delta} f(x) \\ &= {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta+s_n,\gamma(1-\theta_n),\delta} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,n-\beta-s_n,-\gamma(n-\theta_n),\delta} f(x) \\ &= {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta+s_n,\gamma(1-\theta_n),\delta} {}^{\text{PR}}{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{\alpha,\beta+s_n,\gamma(n-\theta_n),\delta} f(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Now, it remains to establish an inversion result for the left Prabhakar integral corresponding to the left Riemann–Liouville-type Prabhakar derivative, which generalizes the result presented in [[40], Theorem 3.4]. This will be achieved using the series representations provided in Lemma 2.7, as

follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \text{PR}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} f(x) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_i \delta^i}{i!} {}_a\mathbb{I}_x^{\alpha i + \beta} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma)_j \delta^j}{j!} {}_a\mathbb{I}_x^{\alpha j - \beta} f(x) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_i (-\gamma)_j \delta^{i+j}}{i! j!} \cdot {}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha i - \beta} {}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta} f(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we split the inner sum into two parts according to whether $-\alpha j + \beta$ is positive or negative, with $j = \mathbb{N}$ being the cutoff point, and use the composition relations (2.4)-(2.7):

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} {}_a\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} f(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_i (-\gamma)_j \delta^{i+j}}{i! j!} {}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha i - \alpha j} f(x) - \\
&\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_i (-\gamma)_j \delta^{i+j}}{i! j!} \sum_{k=0}^{M_j-1} \frac{x^{\alpha i + \beta - k - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha i + \beta - k)} {}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta - k - 1} f(0),
\end{aligned}$$

where $M_j = \lfloor -\alpha j + \beta \rfloor + 1$. The first double sum is simplified by the Chu-Vandermonde identity to $f(x)$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} {}_a\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} f(x) &= f(x) - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{k=0}^{M_j-1} \frac{(\gamma)_i (-\gamma)_j \delta^{i+j}}{i! j!} \frac{x^{\alpha i + \beta - k - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha i + \beta - k)} \times \\
&{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta - k - 1} f(0) \\
&= f(x) - \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{k=0}^{M_j-1} \frac{(-\gamma)_j \delta^j}{j!} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_i \delta^i}{i!} \cdot \frac{x^{\alpha i + \beta - k - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha i + \beta - k)} \right] \times \\
&{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta - k - 1} f(0) \\
&= f(x) - \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{k=0}^{M_j-1} \frac{(-\gamma)_j \delta^j}{j!} x^{\beta - k - 1} E_{\alpha, \beta - k}^{\gamma} (\delta x^{\alpha}) \times \\
&{}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta - k - 1} f(0).
\end{aligned}$$

To rearrange the sums, note that

$$k \leq M_j - 1 \iff k \leq -\alpha j + \beta \iff j \leq \frac{\beta - k}{\alpha} \iff j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\beta - k}{\alpha} \right\rfloor.$$

That is, the condition $k \leq M_j - 1$ can equivalently be expressed in terms of j as $j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\beta - k}{\alpha} \right\rfloor$. so the result becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_a\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \text{PR}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} f(x) \\
&= f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \beta \rfloor} x^{\beta - k - 1} E_{\alpha, \beta - k}^{\gamma} (\delta x^{\alpha}) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{\beta - k}{\alpha} \right\rfloor} \frac{(-\gamma)_j \delta^j}{j!} {}_a\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta - k - 1} f(0) \right), \quad (2.23)
\end{aligned}$$

where the expression in brackets is a constant. Substituting (2.23) back into (2.22), we obtain the desired result (2.20). This completes the required proof. \square

Theorem 2.18. *Let $\alpha > 0, \beta \geq 0, a < b$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$. For any $f \in L^1[a, b], a < b$, the right sided n th level Prabhakar fractional derivative has the following inversion relation:*

$${}_b\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} {}_b\mathbb{D}_{nL, x}^{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; \theta_n} f(x) = f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{A-1} x^{\beta+s_n-k-1} E_{\alpha, \beta+s_n-k}^{\gamma(n-\theta_n)} (\delta x^\alpha) \times \left(\sum_{j=0}^B \frac{(-\gamma(n-\theta_n))_j \delta^j}{j!} \cdot {}_b\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f(0) \right), \quad (2.24)$$

where A and B are

$$A = \lfloor \beta + s_n \rfloor + 1 \quad B = \left\lfloor \frac{\beta + s_n - k}{\alpha} \right\rfloor. \quad (2.25)$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.17 and omitted. \square

Remark 2.19. By taking $n = 1$ and $s_1 = \beta_1 = \theta_1(1 - \beta)$ in equation (2.20), we recover the result stated in [40, Theorem 3.4].

3. OPERATIONAL CALCULUS FOR THE n TH-LEVEL PRABHAKAR FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE

In this section, we develop a Mikusiński operational calculus for the n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivative defined in (2.12). The fundamental idea of Mikusiński-type operational calculus is to interpret differential, integral, or integro-differential operators as purely algebraic entities. Within this framework, equations involving such operators can be transformed into algebraic equations whose solutions represent generalized solutions of the corresponding differential, integral, or integro-differential equations. In some cases, by means of suitable operational relations, these generalized solutions can further be interpreted as strong (classical) solutions.

The starting point of the Mikusiński-type operational calculi for various classes of fractional operators is the observation that the set $\mathcal{R}_{-1} = (C_{-1}(0, +\infty), +, *)$, equipped with the usual addition $+$ and the Laplace convolution $*$, forms a commutative ring without zero divisors, as first established in [36].

As in the case of Mikusiński's type operational calculus we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. [40, 36] *The space C_{-1} with the operations of the Laplace convolution $*$ and ordinary addition becomes a commutative ring $(C_{-1}, *, +)$ without divisors of zero.*

This ring can be extended to the field \mathcal{M}_{-1} of convolution quotients by following the lines of the classical Mikusiński operational calculus:

$$\mathcal{M}_{-1} = C_{-1} \times (C_{-1} \setminus \{0\}),$$

where the equivalence relation (\sim) is defined, as usual, by

$$(f, g) \sim (f_1, g_1) \Leftrightarrow (f * g_1)(t) = (g * f_1)(t). \quad (3.1)$$

For the sake of convenience, the elements of the field \mathcal{M}_{-1} can be formally considered as convolution quotients f/g . The operations of addition and multiplication are then defined in \mathcal{M}_{-1} as usual:

$$\frac{f}{g} + \frac{f_1}{g_1} := \frac{f * g_1 + g * f_1}{g * g_1} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\frac{f}{g} \cdot \frac{f_1}{g_1} := \frac{f * f_1}{g * g_1}. \quad (3.3)$$

Theorem 3.2. *The space \mathcal{M}_{-1} with the operations of addition (3.2) and multiplication (3.3) becomes a commutative field $(\mathcal{M}_{-1}, \cdot, +)$.*

The ring C_{-1} can be embedded into the field \mathcal{M}_{-1} by the map ($\alpha > 0$):

$$f \mapsto \frac{h_\alpha * f}{h_\alpha}, \quad (3.4)$$

with, $h_\alpha(x) = x^{\alpha-1}/\Gamma(\alpha)$.

In the field \mathcal{M}_{-1} , the operation of multiplication with a scalar λ from the field \mathbb{R} (or \mathbb{C}) can be defined by the relation

$$\lambda \cdot \frac{f}{g} := \frac{\lambda f}{g}, \quad \frac{f}{g} \in \mathcal{M}_{-1}. \quad (3.5)$$

For $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and $\text{Re}(\beta) > 0$, we introduce the notation $\mathbb{P}_{\beta, \gamma} = \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} \in C_{-1}$. We often suppress the dependence α and δ in the notation, simply writing $\mathbb{P}_{\beta, \gamma}$, because β and γ are the most important parameters for the algebraic structure. Namely, for fixed α and δ , by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^n := \underbrace{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} * \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} * \cdots * \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}}_{n \text{ times}} = \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, n\beta, n\gamma, \delta}.$$

Thus, we can also define the fractional powers of this ring element, by

$$\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^\kappa = \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \kappa\beta, \kappa\gamma, \delta}, \quad \kappa > 0,$$

and so the set of all elements $\mathbb{P}_{\beta, \gamma} = \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}$ forms a multiplicative sub semigroup in C_{-1} . In the field \mathcal{M}_{-1} , this semigroup extends to a group, which is given by the set of all elements $\mathbb{P}_{\beta, \gamma}$ and their multiplicative inverses

$$\mathbb{S}_{\beta, \gamma} := \mathbb{P}_{\beta, \gamma}^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_{-1}$$

Now that we have negative powers as well as positive ones, we are able to define fractional powers to any real order of the elements $\mathbb{P}_{\beta, \gamma} \in C_{-1}$ and $\mathbb{S}_{\beta, \gamma} \in \mathcal{M}_{-1}$, namely as follows:

$$\mathbb{P}_{\beta, \gamma}^\kappa = \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}_{\kappa\beta, \kappa\gamma} \in C_{-1} & \text{if } \kappa > 0, \\ I \in \mathcal{M}_{-1} & \text{if } \kappa = 0, \\ \mathbb{S}_{\kappa\beta, \kappa\gamma} \in \mathcal{M}_{-1} & \text{if } \kappa < 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma}^\kappa = \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}_{\kappa\beta,\kappa\gamma} \in \mathcal{M}_{-1} & \text{if } \kappa > 0, \\ I \in \mathcal{M}_{-1} & \text{if } \kappa = 0, \\ \mathbb{P}_{\kappa\beta,\kappa\gamma} \in C_{-1} & \text{if } \kappa < 0, \end{cases}$$

where I denotes the multiplicative identity element in the field. The semigroup property of the set of elements $\mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma}$ also extends to a group property, so that we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\beta_1,\gamma_1} * \mathbb{S}_{\beta_2,\gamma_2} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}_{\beta_1-\beta_2,\gamma_1-\gamma_2} \in C_{-1} & \text{if } \beta_1 > \beta_2, \\ I \in \mathcal{M}_{-1} & \text{if } \beta_1 = \beta_2 \text{ and } \gamma_1 = \gamma_2, \\ \mathbb{S}_{\beta_2-\beta_1,\gamma_2-\gamma_1} \in \mathcal{M}_{-1} & \text{if } \beta_2 > \beta_1, \end{cases}$$

This study focuses on the singular case, and thus excludes the nonsingular class of Prabhakar operators (i.e., the case where $\beta_1 = \beta_2$ and $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$). For a treatment of the nonsingular case and the properties of the elements $\mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma}$, we refer the reader to earlier works on Mikusiński's approach [40]. The term $x^{\beta-1}E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(\delta x^\alpha)$ can be associated with the Prabhakar integral operator ${}_0\mathbb{E}_x^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\alpha > 0, \beta \geq 0$ with $n = \lfloor \beta + s_n \rfloor + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$. The n th level Prabhakar fractional differential operator ${}_0\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta_n}$ may be represented in the field \mathcal{M}_{-1} in the following form, for $f \in {}^H\Omega_{-1}^\alpha$:*

$${}_0\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta_n} f(x) = \mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma} * f - \mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma} * \sum_{k=0}^{A-1} c_k x^{\beta+s_n-k-1} E_{\alpha,\beta+s_n-k}^{\gamma(n-\theta_n)}(\delta x^\alpha), \quad (3.6)$$

where the constant c_k is defined by

$$c_k = \sum_{j=0}^B \frac{(-\gamma(n-\theta_n))_j \delta^j}{j!} \cdot \left({}_0\mathbb{D}^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f \right) (0),$$

for each $k = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$. A and B are constants defined in equation (2.25).

Proof. Let $f \in {}^H\Omega_{-1}^\alpha$. By Theorem 2.17, we have the following algebraic relation in the field \mathcal{M}_{-1} :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma} * {}_0\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta_n} f(x) &= f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{A-1} x^{\beta+s_n-k-1} E_{\alpha,\beta+s_n-k}^{\gamma(n-\theta_n)}(\delta x^\alpha) \times \\ &\quad \left[\sum_{j=0}^B \frac{(-\gamma(n-\theta_n))_j \delta^j}{j!} \cdot \left({}_0\mathbb{D}^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f \right) (0) \right], \end{aligned}$$

Here, the expression inside the brackets represents a constant term. Upon multiplying both sides by the algebraic inverse $\mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma}$ of the function $\mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma}$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta_n} f(x) &= \mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma} * f - \mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma} * \sum_{k=0}^{A-1} \sum_{j=0}^B \frac{(-\gamma(n-\theta_n))_j \delta^j}{j!} \times \\ &\quad \left({}_0\mathbb{D}^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f \right) (0) \cdot x^{\beta+s_n-k-1} E_{\alpha,\beta+s_n-k}^{\gamma(n-\theta_n)}(\delta x^\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

This is already in the form (3.6), after using the semigroup relation of the \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{S} elements of \mathcal{M}_{-1} , noting that the first parameter β in $\mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma}$ and $\mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma}$ should be positive for clarity of the notation.

It remains to demonstrate the equivalence between the two representations of c_k , that is, to show that each finite sum of Riemann–Liouville (RL) initial values is identical to a single Prabhakar initial value.

From the series representation provided in Lemma 2.7, we obtain

$$\left({}^{\text{RP}}\mathbb{D}_x^{\alpha, \beta+s_n-k-1, n\gamma, \delta} f\right)(0) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma(n-\theta_n))_j \delta^j}{j!} \left({}^{\text{R}}\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f\right)(0).$$

Therefore, it suffices to verify that

$$j \geq \left\lfloor \frac{\beta + s_n - k}{\alpha} \right\rfloor + 1 \implies \left({}^{\text{R}}\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f\right)(0) = 0.$$

This result follows directly from the definition of $\left\lfloor \frac{\beta + s_n - k}{\alpha} \right\rfloor$ and from the convolution properties of the C_μ spaces. Indeed,

$$j \geq \left\lfloor \frac{\beta + s_n - k}{\alpha} \right\rfloor + 1 \implies j > \frac{\beta + s_n - k}{\alpha} \implies -\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k < 0,$$

which implies that the operator ${}^{\text{R}}\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1}$ is an RL integral of order greater than one.

It is known from [18] that an RL integral of order greater than μ corresponds to convolution with an element of the space C_μ . Since $f \in C_{-1}$ by assumption, the function ${}^{\text{R}}\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f$ represents the convolution of an element of C_μ (for some $\mu > 0$) with an element of C_{-1} .

Moreover, the fundamental convolution property of the C_μ spaces (see [9]),

$$C_\mu * C_\nu \subset C_{\mu+\nu+1},$$

implies that

$${}^{\text{R}}\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f \in C_\mu$$

for some $\mu > 0$. Consequently,

$${}^{\text{R}}\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} f(0) = 0,$$

which establishes the required equivalence. \square

4. APPLICATION

In this section, we demonstrate several applications of the previously established results by solving fractional differential and integral equations involving Hilfer Prabhakar derivatives, employing the algebraic Mikusiński method.

Research on equations involving Prabhakar type fractional operators has expanded in several directions. For instance, Karimov [22] addressed a mixed wave diffusion model governed by a Prabhakar derivative. In another study, Karimov and Turdiev [23] investigated a subdiffusion equation subject to a Wentzell Neumann boundary condition in the setting of the Hilfer Prabhakar derivative.

Turdiev [45] considered a nonlocal formulation for a time fractional hyperbolic type equation incorporating the Prabhakar fractional derivative. Additional related developments include the work of Yuldashova [45] and the analysis by Bulavatsky [5], who modeled fractional filtration dynamics using Hilfer Prabhakar type operators.

4.1. Generalized Fractional Integro-Differential Equations. Consider the following initial value problem that involves a n th-order Prabhakar fractional derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0\mathbb{D}_{nL,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;\theta_n} y(x) - \lambda y(x) &= f(x), \quad x > 0; \\ {}_0^R\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} y(0) &= a_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \text{ such that } \alpha j + k \leq \beta, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$, and a_k all the are fixed constants, with $0 \leq \theta_n \leq 1$. We first rewrite the problem in an algebraic form, using (3.6)

$$\mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma} * y - \mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma} * \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k x^{\beta+s_n-k-1} E_{\alpha,\beta+s_n-k}^{\gamma(n-\theta_n)}(\delta x^\alpha) - \lambda y = f,$$

where each constant c_k is given in terms of the known initial values a_k . Rearranging the algebraic equation gives

$$(\mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma} - \lambda I) * y = f + \mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma} * \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k x^{\beta+s_n-k-1} E_{\alpha,\beta+s_n-k}^{\gamma(n-\theta_n)}(\delta x^\alpha),$$

where I is the multiplicative identity element of the field \mathcal{M}_{-1} . Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \frac{f}{\mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma} - \lambda I} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{c_k x^{\beta+s_n-k-1} E_{\alpha,\beta+s_n-k}^{\gamma(n-\theta_n)}(\delta x^\alpha)}{\mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma} - \lambda I} \\ &= \frac{f * \mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma}}{I - \lambda \mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma}} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{c_k x^{\beta+s_n-k-1} E_{\alpha,\beta+s_n-k}^{\gamma(n-\theta_n)}(\delta x^\alpha) * \mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma}}{I - \lambda \mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma}} \\ &= \frac{f * \mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma}}{I - \lambda \mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma}} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{c_k x^{\beta+s_n-k-1} E_{\alpha,\beta+s_n-k}^{\gamma(n-\theta_n)}(\delta x^\alpha) * \mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma}}{I - \lambda \mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma}} \\ &= f * \mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma} * (I - \lambda \mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma})^{-1} + \\ &\quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k x^{\beta+s_n-k-1} E_{\alpha,\beta+s_n-k}^{\gamma(n-\theta_n)}(\delta x^\alpha) * (I - \lambda \mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

at this stage all expression is represented in terms of functions belonging to C_{-1} . Since, as shown in[[14], Example 3.9], we know that

$$(I - \lambda \mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma})^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i \mathbb{P}_{i\beta, i\gamma},$$

so

$$y(x) = f * \mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma} * \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i \mathbb{P}_{i\beta, i\gamma} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k x^{\beta+s_n-k-1} E_{\alpha,\beta+s_n-k}^{\gamma(n-\theta_n)}(\delta x^\alpha) * \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i \mathbb{P}_{i\beta, i\gamma}.$$

This can also be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
y(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i \int_0^x (x-\eta)^{(i+1)\beta-1} E_{\alpha, (i+1)\beta}^{(i+1)\gamma} (\delta(x-\eta)^\alpha) f(\eta) d\eta + \\
&\quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^i c_k \eta^{\beta+i\beta+s_n-k-1} E_{\alpha, i\beta+\beta+s_n-k}^{(i\gamma+\gamma(n-\theta_n))} (\delta\eta^\alpha). \tag{4.2}
\end{aligned}$$

We have now obtained a strong solution, unique within the space ${}^H\Omega_{-1}^\beta$, to the initial value problem under consideration. It is worth noting that, in this case, the constant c_k is defined as

$$\sum_{j=0}^B \frac{(-\gamma(n-\theta_n))_j \delta^j}{j!} a_k.$$

A further definition of a Mittag-Leffler-type function of two variables that has appeared in the literature is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
E_2(x, y) &= E_2 \left(\begin{array}{c} \gamma_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1; \gamma_2, \alpha_2 \\ \delta_1, \alpha_3, \beta_2; \delta_2, \alpha_4; \delta_3, \beta_3 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array} \right) \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma_1)_{\alpha_1 m + \beta_1 n} (\gamma_2)_{\alpha_2 m}}{\Gamma(\delta_1 + \alpha_3 m + \beta_2 n)} \frac{x^m}{\Gamma(\delta_2 + \alpha_4 m)} \frac{y^n}{\Gamma(\delta_3 + \beta_3 n)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\min\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\} > 0$, for more details, see [15].

Motivated by the recent emergence of several bivariate analogs of the classical Mittag Leffler function, we now rewrite the equation (4.2) in a more convenient alternative form. In particular, we note the following representations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i (x-\eta)^{(i+1)\beta-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma + \gamma i)_j (\delta(x-\eta)^\alpha)^j}{j! \Gamma(\alpha j + i\beta + \beta)} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (x-\eta)^{\beta-1} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + \gamma i + j)}{j! \Gamma(\gamma + \gamma i)} \frac{(\lambda(x-\eta)^\beta)^i (\delta(x-\eta)^\alpha)^j}{\Gamma(\alpha j + \beta i + \beta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This expression is rewritten using the following parameter assignments:

$$\Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \gamma_1 = \gamma, \quad \alpha_1 = \gamma, \quad \beta_1 = 1, \gamma_2 = 1, \quad \alpha_2 = 0, \\ \alpha_3 = \beta, \quad \beta_2 = \alpha, \quad \delta_1 = \beta, \delta_2 = \gamma, \quad \alpha_4 = \gamma, \quad \delta_3 = 1, \quad \beta_3 = 1 \end{array} \right).$$

Using these parameters, the double sum simplifies to the bivariate Mittag-Leffler function [15]:

$$\Rightarrow (x-\eta)^{\beta-1} \Gamma(\gamma) E_2 \left(\begin{array}{c} \gamma, \gamma, 1, 1, 0 \\ \beta, \beta, \alpha, \gamma, \gamma, 1, 1 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \lambda(x-\eta)^\beta \\ \delta(x-\eta)^\alpha \end{array} \right).$$

Similarly for the second term we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda^i c_k \eta^{\beta+i\beta+s_n-k-1} E_{\alpha, i\beta+\beta+s_n-k}^{(i+1)\gamma(n-\theta_n)} (\delta\eta^\alpha) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i \eta^{\beta+i\beta+s_n-k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{((\gamma i + \gamma)(n - \theta_n))_j}{j! \Gamma(\alpha j + i\beta + \beta + s_n - k)} (\delta\eta^\alpha)^j \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \eta^{\beta+s_n-k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma((\gamma i + \gamma)(n - \theta_n) + j)}{j! \Gamma(\gamma + \gamma i)} \times \\
&\quad \frac{(\lambda\eta^\beta)^i (\delta\eta^\alpha)^j}{\Gamma(\alpha j + i\beta + \beta + s_n - k)},
\end{aligned}$$

which also represents a bivariate Mittag-Leffler function [15]

$$\sum_{k=0}^n c_k \eta^{\beta+s_n-k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma((\gamma i + \gamma)(n - \theta_n) + j)}{j! \Gamma(\gamma + \gamma i)} \frac{(\lambda\eta^\beta)^i (\delta\eta^\alpha)^j}{\Gamma(\alpha j + i\beta + \beta + s_n - k)}.$$

This expression is rewritten using the following parameter assignments:

$$\left(\begin{array}{llll} \gamma_1 = 0, & \alpha_1 = 0, & \beta_1 = 0, \gamma_2 = (\gamma i + \gamma)(n - \theta_n), & \alpha_2 = 1, \quad \beta_2 = \beta, \\ \alpha_3 = \alpha, & \delta_1 = \beta + s_n - k, & \beta_3 = 0, \delta_2 = 1, & \alpha_4 = 1, \quad \delta_3 = 1 \end{array} \right).$$

Using these parameters, the expression simplifies to:

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \eta^{\beta+s_n-k-1} \Gamma(\gamma) E_2 \left(\begin{array}{l} 0, 0, 0, (\gamma i + \gamma)(n - \theta_n), 1 \\ \beta + s_n - k, \alpha, \beta, 1, 1, 1, 0 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \lambda\eta^\beta \\ \delta\eta^\alpha \end{array} \right).$$

Using E_2 function, equation (4.2) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
y(x) &= \Gamma(\gamma) \int_0^x (x - \eta)^{\beta-1} E_2 \left(\begin{array}{l} \gamma, \gamma, 1, 1, 0 \\ \beta, \beta, \alpha, \gamma, \gamma, 1, 1 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \lambda(x - \eta)^\beta \\ \delta(x - \eta)^\alpha \end{array} \right) f(\eta) d\eta \\
&+ \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \eta^{\beta+s_n-k-1} \Gamma(\gamma) E_2 \left(\begin{array}{l} 0, 1, 0, (\gamma i + \gamma)(n - \theta_n), 1 \\ \beta + s_n - k, \alpha, \beta, 1, 1, 1, 0 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \lambda\eta^\beta \\ \delta\eta^\alpha \end{array} \right). \tag{4.3}
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.1. When $n = 1$ and $s_1 = \theta_1(1 - \beta)$ is imposed in equation (4.2), the resulting expression reduces to the solution of the fractional differential equation studied in [14]. This case corresponds to the Hilfer Prabhakar fractional differential equation.

4.2. Time fractional heat equation. In recent years, considerable attention has been devoted to the study of time fractional heat equations, reflecting their important role in mathematical physics, probability theory, and anomalous diffusion modeling; see, for example, [44, 37, 8, 42]. Motivated by these developments, the present work examines a generalized form of the time fractional heat equation involving n th-level Prabhakar fractional derivatives. Within this framework, we derive new analytical results that extend existing approaches and contribute to the broader understanding of fractional diffusion processes.

We consider the following partial differential equation

$${}_0\mathbb{D}_{nL,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} u(x,t) = \tilde{k} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(x,t), \quad (4.4)$$

with the initial and boundary conditions:

$$\left({}^R\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} u \right) (x, 0) = a_k, \quad -\infty < x < \infty, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.5)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad \text{when } x \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad t > 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Applying the Fourier transform with respect to the x variable to equation (4.4), and denoting $\hat{u}(\omega, t) = \mathcal{F}[u(x, t)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x, t) e^{i\omega x} dx$ and $\hat{f}(\omega) = \mathcal{F}[f(x)]$, we obtain a fractional ordinary differential equation in time:

$${}_0\mathbb{D}_{nL,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \hat{u}(\omega, t) = -\tilde{k} \omega^2 \hat{u}(\omega, t). \quad (4.7)$$

Using the operational calculus approach, we investigate this fractional ordinary differential equation. The operational form of the equation is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma} * \hat{u}(\omega, \cdot) &= \mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma} * \sum_{k=0}^n c_k t^{\beta+s_n-k-1} E_{\alpha,\beta+s_n-k}^{\gamma(n-\theta_n)} (\delta t^\alpha) = -\tilde{k} \omega^2 u(\omega, \cdot) \\ \left(\mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma} + \tilde{k} \omega^2 \right) \hat{u}(\omega, \cdot) &= \mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma} * \sum_{k=0}^n c_k t^{\beta+s_n-k} E_{\alpha,\beta+s_n-k}^{\gamma(n-\theta_n)} (\delta t^\alpha) \\ \hat{u}(\omega, \cdot) &= \frac{\mathbb{S}_{\beta,r} * \sum_{k=0}^n c_k t^{\beta+s_n-k-1} E_{\alpha,\beta+s_n-k}^{\gamma(n-\theta_n)} (\delta t^\alpha)}{\mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma} + \tilde{k} \omega^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $*$ denotes the convolution operator and $\mathbb{S}_{\beta,\gamma}$ represents the operator associated with the corresponding fractional derivative. Where c_k is given by

$$c_k = \sum_{j=0}^B \frac{(-\gamma(n-\theta_n))_j \delta^j}{j!} \cdot {}^R\mathbb{D}_x^{-\alpha j + \beta + s_n - k - 1} u(x, 0).$$

Applying techniques analogous to those used in Example (4.1), we obtain the following result.

$$\hat{u}(\omega, \cdot) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\tilde{k} \omega^2)^i \sum_{k=0}^n c_k t^{i\beta + \beta + s_n - k - 1} E_{\alpha, i\beta + \beta + s_n - k}^{\gamma(i+1)(n-\theta_n)} (\delta t^\alpha).$$

By applying the inverse Fourier transform, we obtain the solution in the spatial domain:

$$u(x, t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \hat{u}(\omega, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{u}(\omega, t) e^{i\omega x} d\omega.$$

we obtain the solution of the space-time fractional differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-\tilde{k} \omega^2)^i \sum_{k=0}^n c_k t^{i\beta + \beta + s_n - k - 1} E_{\alpha, i\beta + \beta + s_n - k}^{\gamma(i+1)(n+\theta_n)} (\delta t^\alpha) \right) e^{-i\omega x} d\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Remark 4.2. By selecting $n = 1$ we get $s_1 = \theta(1 - \beta)$, Under these parameter choices, the general solution derived in this application reduces to the fractional heat equation governed by the non-regularized Hilfer Prabhakar derivative, as presented in [16].

REFERENCES

- [1] Agrawal, O. P. [2012], ‘Some generalized fractional calculus operators and their applications in integral equations’, *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* **15**(4), 700–711.
- [2] Al-Kandari, M., Hanna, L. A. and Luchko, Y. [2022], ‘Operational calculus for the general fractional derivatives of arbitrary order’, *Mathematics* **10**(9), 1590.
- [3] Al-Refai, M. and Luchko, Y. [2025], ‘On fractional derivatives of djrbashian–nersessian type with the nth-level sonin kernels and their basic properties’, *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* **28**(2), 607–621.
- [4] Anastassiou, G. A. [2021], ‘Foundations of generalized prabhakar-hilfer fractional calculus with applications’, *Cubo (Temuco)* **23**(3), 423–440.
- [5] Bulavatsky, V. [2017], ‘Mathematical modeling of fractional differential filtration dynamics based on models with hilfer–prabhakar derivative’, *Cybernetics and Systems Analysis* **53**(2), 204–216.
- [6] Caputo, M. [1967], ‘Linear models of dissipation whose q is almost frequency independent—ii’, *Geophysical journal international* **13**(5), 529–539.
- [7] Colombaro, I., Giusti, A. and Vitali, S. [2018], ‘Storage and dissipation of energy in prabhakar viscoelasticity’, *Mathematics* **6**(2), 15.
- [8] Corlay, S., Lebovits, J. and Véhel, J. L. [2014], ‘Multifractional stochastic volatility models’, *Mathematical Finance* **24**(2), 364–402.
- [9] Dimovski, I. [1966], ‘Operational calculus for a class of differential operators’, *CR Acad. Bulg. Sci* **19**(12), 1111–1114.
- [10] Ditkin, V. A. and Prudnikov, A. P. [1963], ‘On the theory of the operational calculus for the bessel equation’, *USSR Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics* **3**(2), 296–315.
- [11] Dzherbashian, M. and Nersesian, A. [2020], ‘Fractional derivatives and cauchy problem for differential equations of fractional order’, *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* **23**(6), 1810–1836.
- [12] Fernandez, A., Baleanu, D. and Srivastava, H. M. [2019], ‘Series representations for fractional-calculus operators involving generalised mittag-leffler functions’, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* **67**, 517–527.
- [13] Fernandez, A., Özarslan, M. A. and Baleanu, D. [2019], ‘On fractional calculus with general analytic kernels’, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **354**, 248–265.
- [14] Fernandez, A., Rani, N. and Tomovski, Ž. [2023], ‘An operational calculus approach to hilfer–prabhakar fractional derivatives’, *Banach Journal of Mathematical Analysis* **17**(2), 33.
- [15] Garg, M., Manohar, P. and Kalla, S. [2013], ‘A mittag-leffler-type function of two variables’, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions* **24**(11), 934–944.
- [16] Garra, R., Gorenflo, R., Polito, F. and Tomovski, Ž. [2014], ‘Hilfer–prabhakar derivatives and some applications’, *Applied mathematics and computation* **242**, 576–589.

- [17] Giusti, A., Colombaro, I., Garra, R., Garrappa, R., Polito, F., Popolizio, M. and Mainardi, F. [2020], ‘A practical guide to prabhakar fractional calculus’, *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* **23**(1), 9–54.
- [18] Hadid, S. and Luchko, Y. F. [1996], ‘An operational method for solving fractional differential equations of an arbitrary real order’, *Panamer. Math. J* **6**(1), 57–73.
- [19] Hilfer, R. [2000], *Applications of fractional calculus in physics*, World scientific.
- [20] Hilfer, R., Luchko, Y. and Tomovski, Z. [2009], ‘Operational method for the solution of fractional differential equations with generalized riemann-liouville fractional derivatives’, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal* **12**(3), 299–318.
- [21] Kai, D. [2004], ‘The analysis of fractional differential equations. an application-oriented exposition using differential operators of caputo type’, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* .
- [22] Karimov, E. and Toshpulatov, M. [2025], ‘Mixed wave-diffusion-wave equation: solvability of an initial-boundary problem’, *Gulf Journal of Mathematics* **20**, 120–139.
- [23] Karimov, E. and Turdiev, K. [2023], Boundary-value problem with wentzell–neumann condition for a subdiffusion equation involving hilfer–prabhakar fractional derivative, in ‘Proceedings of the VII International Scientific Conference “Nonlocal Boundary Value Problems and Related Problems of Mathematical Biology, Informatics and Physics”’, Nalchik, Russia, pp. 340–342.
- [24] Kilbas, A. [2006], ‘Theory and applications of fractional differential equations’, *North-Holland Mathematics Studies* **204**.
- [25] Kilbas, A. A., Saigo, M. and Saxena, R. K. [2004], ‘Generalized mittag-leffler function and generalized fractional calculus operators’, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions* **15**(1), 31–49.
- [26] Kiryakova, V. S. [1993], *Generalized fractional calculus and applications*, CRC press.
- [27] Luchko, Y. [1999], ‘Operational method in fractional calculus’, *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* **2**(4), 463–488.
- [28] Luchko, Y. [2020], ‘Fractional derivatives and the fundamental theorem of fractional calculus’, *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* **23**(4), 939–966.
- [29] Luchko, Y. [2021a], ‘General fractional integrals and derivatives of arbitrary order’, *Symmetry* **13**(5), 755.
- [30] Luchko, Y. [2021b], ‘Operational calculus for the general fractional derivative and its applications’, *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* **24**(2), 338–375.
- [31] Luchko, Y. [2022a], ‘The 1st level general fractional derivatives and some of their properties’, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **266**(5), 709–722.
- [32] Luchko, Y. [2022b], ‘Fractional differential equations with the general fractional derivatives of arbitrary order in the riemann–liouville sense’, *Mathematics* **10**(6), 849.
- [33] Luchko, Y. [2023], ‘On the 1st-level general fractional derivatives of arbitrary order’, *Fractal and Fractional* **7**(2), 183.

- [34] Luchko, Y. F. and Srivastava, H. [1995], ‘The exact solution of certain differential equations of fractional order by using operational calculus’, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* **29**(8), 73–85.
- [35] Luchko, Y. F. and Yakubovich, S. B. [1994], ‘An operational method for solving some classes of integro-differential equations’, *Differentsial’nye Uravneniya* **30**(2), 269–280.
- [36] Mikusinski, J. [2014], *Operational calculus*, Vol. 109, Elsevier.
- [37] Oldham, K. B. [2010], ‘Fractional differential equations in electrochemistry’, *Advances in Engineering software* **41**(1), 9–12.
- [38] Polito, F. and Tomovski, Z. [2015], ‘Some properties of prabhakar-type fractional calculus operators’, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.03224* .
- [39] Prabhakar, T. R. [1971], ‘A singular integral equation with a generalized mittag leffler function in the kernel’, *Yokohama Mathematical Journal* **19**(1), 7–15.
- [40] Rani, N. and Fernandez, A. [2022], ‘Mikusiński’s operational calculus for prabhakar fractional calculus’, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions* **33**(12), 945–965.
- [41] Samko, S. G., Kilbas, A. A. and Marichev, O. I. [1993], *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon.
- [42] Sokolov, I., Chechkin, A. and Klafter, J. [2004], ‘Fractional diffusion equation for a power-law-truncated lévy process’, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **336**(3-4), 245–251.
- [43] Tarasov, V. E. [2022], ‘General non-local electrodynamics: Equations and non-local effects’, *Annals of Physics* **445**, 169082.
- [44] Tomovski, Ž., Dubbeldam, J. L. and Korbel, J. [2020], ‘Applications of hilfer-prabhakar operator to option pricing financial model’, *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* **23**(4), 996–1012.
- [45] Turdiev, K. N. [2025], ‘Nonlocal problem for the time-fractional hyperbolic-type equation with the prabhakar fractional derivative’, *Vestnik KRAUNC. Fiz.-mat. nauki* **52**(3), 53–62.
- [46] Yosida, K. [2012], *Operational calculus: a theory of hyperfunctions*, Vol. 55, Springer Science & Business Media.

¹ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF NATURAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY, ISLAMABAD, PAKISTAN

² GHENT ANALYSIS & PDE CENTER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS, GHENT UNIVERSITY, KRIJGSLAAN 281, 9000 GHENT, BELGIUM

Email address: iwaheed.phdmath21sns@student.nust.edu.pk

Email address: erkinjon.karimov@ugent.be