

PERFECT CODES AND REGULAR SETS IN VERTEX-TRANSITIVE GRAPHS

ALIREZA ABDOLLAHI¹, ZEINAB AKHLAGHI^{2,3}, AND MAJID AREZOOMAND⁴

ABSTRACT. A subset C of the vertex set V of a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is termed an (r, s) -regular set if each vertex in C is adjacent to exactly r other vertices in C , while each vertex not in C is adjacent to precisely s vertices in C . A specific case, known as a $(0, 1)$ -regular set, is referred to as a perfect code. In this paper, we will delve into (r, s) -regular sets in the context of vertex-transitive graphs. It is noteworthy that any vertex-transitive graph can be represented as a coset graph $\text{Cos}(G, H, U)$. When examining a group G and a subgroup H of G , a subgroup A that encompasses H is identified as an (r, s) -regular set related to the pair (G, H) if there exists a coset graph $\text{Cos}(G, H, U)$ such that the set of left cosets of H in A forms an (r, s) -regular set within this graph. In this paper, we present both a necessary and sufficient condition for determining when a normal subgroup A that includes H as a normal subgroup qualifies as an (r, s) -regular set for the pair (G, H) . Furthermore, if A is a normal subgroup of G containing H , we establish a relationship between A being a perfect code of (G, H) and the quotient $N_A(H)/H$ being a perfect code of $(N_G(H)/H, 1_{N_G(H)/H})$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we focus on finite groups. For a given graph Γ , we denote its vertices as $\mathbf{V}(\Gamma)$ and its edges as $\mathbf{E}(\Gamma)$. Thus, we represent Γ as a simple graph $(\mathbf{V}(\Gamma), \mathbf{E}(\Gamma))$. If x and y are adjacent for some $x, y \in \mathbf{V}(\Gamma)$, we say $x \sim y$. A subset C of $\mathbf{V}(\Gamma)$ is referred to as a perfect code if every vertex in the complement $\mathbf{V}(\Gamma) \setminus C$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex in C , and there are no edges connecting any two vertices within C . A regular set serves as a generalization of the perfect code concept in graph theory, as outlined in [4]. Specifically, for non-negative integers r and s , a subset C is termed an (r, s) -regular set within Γ if each vertex in $\mathbf{V}(\Gamma) \setminus C$ is adjacent to precisely s vertices in C , while every vertex in C has exactly r adjacent vertices also within C . Importantly, a perfect code qualifies as a $(0, 1)$ -regular set. For our graph $\Gamma = (\mathbf{V}(\Gamma), \mathbf{E}(\Gamma))$, a partition of $\mathbf{V}(\Gamma)$ into cells $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ is known as an equitable partition if each cell induces a regular subgraph, and the edges connecting any two distinct cells form a biregular bipartite graph, as detailed in [9, Section 9.3]. In other words, for $i \neq j$, any

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C25, 05C69, 94B25.

Key words and phrases. Perfect code · Subgroup perfect code · Regular set · Coset graph · Vertex-transitive graph.

The second author is supported by a Grant from IPM (no. 1404320014).

vertex x in V_i is adjacent to b_{ij} vertices in V_j , irrespective of the specific choice of x . The quotient matrix corresponding to this partition \mathcal{V} is defined as a $k \times k$ matrix $M = (b_{ij})$.

Considering a connected k -regular graph Γ , all row sums of the quotient matrix M equal k . Thus, k emerges as a simple eigenvalue of M [9, Theorem 9.3.3]. An equitable partition \mathcal{V} of Γ is designated as μ -equitable if all eigenvalues of its quotient matrix M , excluding k , are consistently equal to μ . Furthermore, it was established in [1, Corollary 2.3] that a non-trivial coarsening of a μ -equitable partition maintains its μ -equitable status. Consequently, it becomes essential to thoroughly examine equitable partitions that consist of precisely two parts. In addition, an (r, s) -regular set within a k -regular graph Γ is precisely a completely regular code C in Γ (refer to, for instance, [17]). This configuration results in a distance partition that consists of exactly two parts: $\{C, \mathbf{V}(\Gamma) \setminus C\}$. An equitable partition with these two parts is also referred to as a perfect 2-coloring [7]. The concept of perfect coloring is a frequent topic of investigation in the realm of coding theory [2, 16].

A graph with a distance function d is defined as distance-transitive if for any vertices u, v, x , and y where $d(u, v) = d(x, y)$, there exists an automorphism of the graph that maps u to x and v to y . It is well-known that Hamming graphs are distance-transitive. In the field of coding theory, Lloyd's Theorem [13] is an important tool used to show that perfect codes do not exist. Biggs [3] built on Lloyd's Theorem and applied it to perfect codes found in distance-transitive graphs. This work highlighted that distance-transitive graphs are crucial for studying perfect codes. For more information on perfect codes in these graphs, one can check out the references in [5, 10, 15, 18, 19, 20].

Distance-transitive graphs are a subset of vertex-transitive graphs. A graph Γ is termed G -vertex-transitive (or vertex-transitive when G is implicit) if G is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ acting transitively on $\mathbf{V}(\Gamma)$. Specifically, a G -vertex-transitive graph is recognized as a Cayley graph on G if G acts regularly on the vertex set, meaning that non-identity elements do not fix any vertex. There has been significant interest in exploring perfect codes and regular sets within Cayley graphs alongside recent studies in [8, 11, 12, 21, 22, 24].

Although distance-transitive and Cayley graphs are both vertex-transitive, it is noteworthy that certain vertex-transitive graphs do not qualify as distance-transitive or Cayley graphs. It is a well-established theorem of Lorimer [14] stating a graph is G -vertex transitive if and only if it can be expressed as a coset graph $\text{Cos}(G, H, U)$. Let G be a group and $H \leq G$. Let U be a union of some double cosets of H in G such that $H \cap U = \emptyset$ and $U = U^{-1}$. The coset graph $\Gamma = \text{Cos}(G, H, U)$ on $G/\ell H$, , the set of left cosets of H in G , is a graph defined as follows: the vertex set of Γ is $G/\ell H$ and two vertices $g_1 H$ and $g_2 H$ are adjacent if and only if $g_1^{-1}g_2 \in U$. In the case $H = 1$, the coset graph $\text{Cos}(G, H, U)$ is called the Cayley graph of G with respect to U and denoted by $\text{Cay}(G, U)$. To

advance the study of perfect codes in vertex-transitive graphs, in [23] a generalization of the concept surrounding a subgroup perfect code of a finite group is proposed as follows: Given a group G and a subgroup H of G , a subgroup A of G containing H is called a subgroup perfect code of the pair (G, H) if there exists a coset graph $\text{Cos}(G, H, U)$ such that the set of left cosets of H in A is a subgroup perfect code in $\text{Cos}(G, H, U)$. In this paper, we generalize the study of perfect code of coset graphs to regular sets of such a graph and in our study we focus on regular sets that are subgroups of underlying group of a coset graph. Given a group G and a subgroup H of G , a subgroup A of G containing H is called an (r, s) -regular set of the pair (G, H) if there exists a coset graph $\text{Cos}(G, H, U)$ such that the set of left cosets of H in A is an (r, s) -regular set in $\text{Cos}(G, H, U)$. A subgroup A of G is called (r, s) -regular set of G if it is an (r, s) -regular set of $(G, 1)$, equivalently if A is r -regular set in a Cayley graph of G .

Let G be a group and let $H \leq A \leq G$. By [23, Theorem 3.1], A is a perfect code of (G, H) if and only if there exists a left transversal X of A within G such that the remarkable condition $XH = HX^{-1}$ holds. We wish to extend this magnificent result to (r, s) -regular sets through the following theorem:

Theorem A. Let $H \leq A \leq G$. Then A is an (r, s) -regular set of (G, H) if and only if there exists a subset $X \subseteq G$ satisfying $XH = HX^{-1}$ and $XH \cap A$ is a union of precisely r left cosets of H in $G \setminus H$, while $XH \cap tA$ is a union of precisely s left cosets of H for each $t \in G \setminus A$.

Let A be a non-trivial subgroup of G . It is proved in [11, Theorem B] that for an integer r and an even integer s , with $0 \leq r \leq |A| - 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq |A|$, such that $\gcd(2, |A| - 1)$ divides r , the subgroup A is indeed an (r, s) -regular set in G . Additionally, by [11, Theorem C], A is a perfect code of G if and only if it is an (r, s) -regular set of G for a pair of integers r, s with $0 \leq r \leq |A| - 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq |A|$, where $\gcd(2, |A| - 1)$ divides r , and s is an odd integer.

It becomes a natural quest to seek such profound relationships within a coset graph of a group, serving as a generalization of the renowned Cayley graph. The subsequent result elegantly shows this relationship when $H \trianglelefteq A \trianglelefteq G$:

Theorem B. Let $H \trianglelefteq A \trianglelefteq G$ with $0 \leq r \leq |A : H| - 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq |A : H|$. The set A is characterized as an (r, s) -regular set of (G, H) if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) $\gcd(2, |A : H| - 1)$ divides r ,
- (b) $|H|/|H \cap H^t|$ divides s for all $t \in G \setminus A$,
- (c) for every $x \in G \setminus A$ where $x^2 \in A$ and $s|H \cap H^x|/|H|$ is odd, there exists $a \in A$ such that $HxaH = H(xa)^{-1}H$.

By Theorem B, in the case $H = 1$, we obtain the following result:

Corollary B1. Let $A \trianglelefteq G$, $0 \leq r \leq |A| - 1$, and $0 \leq s \leq |A|$, with $\gcd(2, |A| - 1)$ dividing r . Then

- (a) If s is even, then A is an (r, s) -regular set of G .

(b) If s is odd, then A is an (r, s) -regular set of G if and only if xA possesses an involution for every $x \in G \setminus A$ such that $x^2 \in A$.

Let G be a group and A a normal subgroup of G . On a complementary note, [8, Theorem 2.2] states that A is a perfect code of G if and only if for all $x \in G$, $x^2 \in A$ implies $(xa)^2 = 1$ for some $a \in A$. Thus, we derive the following result:

Corollary B2. Let $A \trianglelefteq G$, $0 \leq r \leq |A| - 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq |A|$, where $\gcd(2, |A| - 1)$ divides r . If s is odd then A is an (r, s) -regular set of G if and only if A is a perfect code of G .

The result mentioned above is among the main results of [22, 24]. Thus, Theorem B offers both a generalization and a reproof for those main results of [22, 24]. Additionally, we discovered a connection between a normal subgroup A being a perfect code of (G, H) and $N_A(H)/H$ serving as a perfect code of $N_G(H)/H$. This relationship can be articulated as follows:

Theorem C. Let $H \leq A \trianglelefteq G$, $0 \leq r \leq |N_A(H)/H| - 1$ with $\gcd(2, |N_A(H)/H| - 1)$ divides r and $0 \leq s \leq |N_A(H)/H|$. If $G = N_G(H)A$ and $N_A(H)/H$ is an (r, s) -regular set of $N_G(H)/H$, then A is an (r, s) -regular set of (G, H) . The converse direction holds if $s = 1$. In particular, A is a perfect code of (G, H) if and only if $G = N_G(H)A$ and $N_A(H)/H$ is a perfect code of $N_G(H)/H$.

Remark 1.1. The converse of above result is not true in general. To see this, put $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, 3)$, $Q_8 \cong A \in \mathrm{Syl}_2(G)$ and $C_4 \cong H < A$. Then $N_G(H) = A \trianglelefteq G$ and so $G \neq N_G(H)A$. Let $H = \langle h \rangle$ and $\langle x \rangle \cong C_3$ be a Sylow 3-subgroup of G . Take $X = \{hx, hx^{-1}, x, x^{-1}\}$. Then $XH = xA \cup x^{-1}A = HX^{-1}$ and $XH \cap xA = hxH \cup xH$ and $xH \cap x^{-1}A = hx^{-1}H \cup x^{-1}H$. This means that A is a $(0, 2)$ -regular set of (G, H) .

In [23, Corollary 3.9] it is stated that:

Lemma 1.2. Let G be a group, H a subgroup of G and A a normal subgroup of G such that $H \leq A \leq G$. If A is a perfect code of (G, H) , then for any $x \in G$ with $x^2 \in A$ there exists $b \in A$ such that $(xb)^2 \in H$.

As a consequence of Theorem C, we prove the following, which shows that the converse of a modification of the above Lemma is true:

Corollary D. Let G be a group, H a subgroup of G and A a normal subgroup of G such that $H \leq A \leq G$. Then A is a perfect code of (G, H) , if and only if $G = AN_G(H)$ and for any $x \in G$ with $x^2 \in A$ there exists $b \in A$ such that $xb \in N_G(H)$ and $(xb)^2 \in H$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

The proof of Theorem A. Let A be an (r, s) -regular set of (G, H) . There exists a coset graph $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cos}(G, H, U)$ such that $A/\ell H$ forms an (r, s) -regular set of Γ . According to the definition of a coset

graph, we have $H \cap U = \emptyset$ and $U^{-1} = U$. Assuming that $|G : A| = n$, let $T = \{1, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}\}$ be a left transversal of A in G . For any $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, it follows that $t_i \notin A$, which means $t_i^{-1}H \notin A/\ell H$. Consequently, $t_i^{-1}H$ is adjacent to exactly s elements in $A/\ell H$, denoted as $\alpha_1^i H, \alpha_2^i H, \dots, \alpha_s^i H$. There are exactly r elements $\beta_1 H, \dots, \beta_r H$ in $A/\ell H$ that are adjacent to H . We will define two sets:

$$Y = \{\beta_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\},$$

and

$$Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \{t_i \alpha_j^i \mid 1 \leq j \leq s\}.$$

It indicates that for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $1 \leq j \leq s$, and $1 \leq k \leq r$, we have $t_i \alpha_j^i, \beta_k \in U$. Clearly, $(Z \cup Y)H \subseteq U$. Next, we will demonstrate that $U = (Y \cup Z)H$. Assuming, for the sake of contradiction, that there is an element $u \in U \setminus (Y \cup Z)H$. This means we can express $u = ta_0$ for some $t \in T$ and $a_0 \in A$. Therefore, $t^{-1}H$ is adjacent to $a_0 H$. If $t = 1$, then it follows that $a_0 = \beta_j h$ for some $1 \leq j \leq r$ and $h \in H$, leading to $u = a_0 \in YH$, which is a contradiction. Thus, we can assume that $t \neq 1$. In this case, $t = t_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Since $t_i^{-1}H$ is adjacent to only $\alpha_i^j H$, it follows that $a_0 H = \alpha_i^j H$ for some $1 \leq j \leq s$. Consequently, we have $u = t_i \alpha_i^j h$ for some $1 \leq j \leq s$ and $h \in H$, which implies $u \in ZH$, again leading to a contradiction. Thus, we conclude that $U \subseteq (Y \cup Z)H$, which means $U = (Y \cup Z)H$. Since $U = U^{-1}$, we also find that $(Y \cup Z)H = H(Y \cup Z)^{-1}$. We will now denote $X := Y \cup Z$, and this completes our proof.

Conversely, assume X is a subset of G such that $XH \cap A = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \alpha_i H$ (a union of r left cosets of H), where $\alpha_i H \neq H$. For each $t \in G \setminus A$, we have $XH \cap tA = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \beta_i^t H$ (a union of s left cosets of H), and $XH = HX^{-1}$. Therefore, $XH = HXH = (HXH)^{-1}$. Let $U = XH$. Then $U = U^{-1}$. Moreover, $U \cap H = \emptyset$, since $\alpha_i H \neq H$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Next, we examine the graph $\Gamma = \text{Cos}(G, H, U)$. Clearly, every element in $A/\ell H$, such as $a_0 H$, is adjacent to exactly r elements $a_0 \alpha_i H$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Now let $t \in G \setminus A$. Then $t^{-1}A \cap XH = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \beta_i^{t^{-1}} H$ implies that $t \beta_i^{t^{-1}} \in A$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Thus, $tH \sim t \beta_i^{t^{-1}} H$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Consequently, every vertex in $A/\ell H$ is adjacent to at least r vertices in $A/\ell H$, and every vertex outside $A/\ell H$ is adjacent to at least s vertices in $A/\ell H$.

Assume $cH \sim tH$ for some $c \in A$ and $t \in G \setminus A$. Then $t^{-1}c \in XH \cap t^{-1}A$. Hence, there exists $\beta_j^{t^{-1}} H \subseteq XH \cap t^{-1}A$ such that $t^{-1}cH = \beta_j^{t^{-1}} H$, where $1 \leq j \leq s$. Assume $cH \sim tH$ for some $c \in A$ and $t \in A$. Then, $t^{-1}c \in XH \cap A$. Consequently, there exists $\alpha_i H \subseteq XH \cap A$ such that $t^{-1}cH = \alpha_i H$, where $1 \leq i \leq r$. Therefore, tH is adjacent to exactly s elements of $A/\ell H$ if $t \in G \setminus A$, and it is adjacent to exactly r vertices in $A/\ell H$ if $t \in A$, as desired. This indicates that $A/\ell H$ forms an (r, s) -regular set of (G, H) . ■

If A is an (r, s) -regular set of (G, H) , then it holds that $0 \leq r \leq |A : H| - 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq |A : H|$. We will demonstrate the validity of Theorem B using the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $H \leq A \leq G$. Then A is an (r, s) -regular set of (G, H) if and only if A is a $(0, s)$ -regular set and $(r, 0)$ -regular set of (G, H) .*

Proof. If A is an (r, s) -regular set of (G, H) , then, according to Theorem A, there exists a subset $X \subseteq G$ such that $U := XH = HX^{-1}$ forms a union of certain double cosets of H . Furthermore, $U = U^{-1}$, and for all $t \in G \setminus A$, the intersection $U \cap tA$ is a union of s disjoint left cosets of H . Similarly, $U \cap A$ is a union of r disjoint left cosets of H . We can define $U_0 = U \cap A$ and $U_1 = U \cap (G \setminus A)$. It is clear that both U_0 and U_1 are unions of certain double cosets of H , and we have $U_0 = U_0^{-1}$ and $U_1 = U_1^{-1}$. Additionally, $U_0 \cap tA$ is the empty set for all $t \in G \setminus A$, while $U_0 \cap A = U_0$ represents a union of r disjoint left cosets of H . In a similar manner, $U_1 \cap A$ is empty, and $U_1 \cap tA = U \cap tA$ constitutes a union of s disjoint left cosets of H . Therefore, A is recognized as both a $(r, 0)$ -regular set and a $(0, s)$ -regular set of (G, H) . In fact, A is a $(r, 0)$ -regular set of $\text{Cos}(G, H, U_0)$ and a $(0, s)$ -regular set of $\text{Cos}(G, H, U_1)$.

Conversely, suppose A is a $(r, 0)$ -regular set and a $(0, s)$ -regular set of (G, H) . In this case, there exist inverse-closed subsets U_0 and U_1 (which are clearly unions of specific double cosets of H) such that $U_0 \cap (A \setminus H)$ is a union of r disjoint left cosets of H , while $U_0 \cap (G \setminus A) = \emptyset$. Additionally, $U_1 \cap tA$ forms a union of s disjoint left cosets of H , with $U_1 \cap A = \emptyset$. Consequently, by setting $U = U_0 \cup U_1$, we confirm that A is an (r, s) -regular set of $\text{Cos}(G, H, U)$. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.2. *Let $H \trianglelefteq A \trianglelefteq G$ and $0 \leq s \leq |A : H|$ be an integer. Then A is a $(0, s)$ -regular set of (G, H) if and only if both of the following conditions hold*

- (1) $|H|/|H \cap H^t|$ divides s for every $t \in G \setminus A$,
- (2) for each $x \in G \setminus A$ where $x^2 \in A$ and $s|H \cap H^x|/|H|$ is odd, there exists $a \in A$ such that $HxaH = H(xa)^{-1}H$.

Proof. Let $t \in G \setminus A$ and $x \in tA \cup t^{-1}A$. In this case, we find that $HxH = HatH$ or $Hat^{-1}H$ for some $a \in A$. Since H is normal in A and $|H \cap H^t| = |H \cap H^{t^{-1}}|$, it follows that for any $x \in tA \cup t^{-1}A$, we have:

$$\frac{|HxH|}{|H|} = \frac{|H|}{|H \cap H^t|}.$$

Consequently, this leads us to conclude that $|HxH| = |HyH|$ for all $x, y \in tA \cup t^{-1}A$. Now, assume A is a $(0, s)$ -regular set of (G, H) where $t \in G \setminus A$. By Theorem A, there exists a subset X such that $XH = HX^{-1}$. We define $U := HXH$. Thus, $U = XH = U^{-1}$ and we find that $HXH \cap tA = \bigcup_{i=1}^l Ht_iH$ for some integer l and $t_i \in tA$, since $A \trianglelefteq G$. Furthermore, $HXH \cap tA$ consists of exactly s left cosets of

H , leading to the relation:

$$s = l \frac{|Ht_iH|}{|H|} = l \frac{|HtH|}{|H|} = l \frac{|H|}{|H \cap H^t|}.$$

This verifies our desired result.

Let $x \in G \setminus A$ with $x^2 \in A$, and suppose that $s|H \cap H^x|/|H|$ is odd. Therefore, we have $xA = x^{-1}A = \bigcup_{i=1}^l H\{t_i, t_i^{-1}\}H$, where $t_i \in xA$ and l is an integer. Given that A is a $(0, s)$ -regular set, we can assume that $HXH \cap xA = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l'} H\{t_i, t_i^{-1}\}H$, for some integer $l' \leq l$. If for all $1 \leq i \leq l'$, it holds that $Ht_iH \neq Ht_i^{-1}H$, then $HXH \cap xA$ would represent a union of $s = 2l'(|H|/|H \cap H^x|)$ disjoint left cosets of H , which leads to a contradiction under our assumption. Thus, there must exist some t_i (where $1 \leq i \leq l'$) such that $Ht_iH = Ht_i^{-1}H$. It is important to note that $t_i \in xA$, which implies that there exists $a \in A$ such that $t_i = xa$, as required.

Assume that s is divided by $\frac{|H|}{|H \cap H^t|}$ for each $t \in G \setminus A$ and if $x^2 \in A$ and $\frac{s|H \cap H^x|}{|H|}$ is odd for some $x \in G \setminus A$, then there exists $a \in A$ such that $HxaH = H(xa)^{-1}H$. We define $l_t = \frac{s}{\frac{|H|}{|H \cap H^t|}}$.

Now, assume $tA \neq t^{-1}A$. We have $tA = \bigcup_{i=1}^l Ht_iH$ and $t^{-1}A = \bigcup_{i=1}^l Ht_i^{-1}H$ for some $t_i \in tA$ and an integer l . It is clear that $l_t \leq \frac{|A:H|}{\frac{|HtH|}{|H|}} = \frac{|A|}{|HtH|} = l$. Next, we define $U_t = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l_t} H\{t_i, t_i^{-1}\}H$. Notice that $U_t^{-1} = U_t$ and $U_t \cap tA$ is a union of $l_t \times \frac{|H|}{|H \cap H^t|} = s$ disjoint left cosets of H .

Now, assume that $tA = t^{-1}A = \bigcup_{i=1}^l H\{t_i, t_i^{-1}\}H$, where $t_i \in tA$ and l is an integer. It is possible that for some i , we have $Ht_iH = Ht_i^{-1}H$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists $m \leq l$ such that for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $Ht_iH \neq Ht_i^{-1}H$, and for $i > m$, $Ht_iH = Ht_i^{-1}H$.

First, suppose that l_t is an even integer. If $l_t < 2m$, we define $U_t = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l_t/2} H\{t_i, t_i^{-1}\}H$ and if $l_t > 2m$, we set $U_t = \bigcup_{i=1}^m H\{t_i, t_i^{-1}\}H \cup \bigcup_{i=m+1}^{l_t-2m} Ht_iH$. In both scenarios, we find that $U_t = U_t^{-1}$ and that U_t is a union of $s = \frac{l_t \cdot |H|}{|H \cap H^t|}$ disjoint left cosets of H . Next, consider the case when l_t is an odd integer. According to our assumption, there exists $a \in A$ such that $HtaH = H(ta)^{-1}H$. This indicates that $m < l$, allowing us to assume that $Ht_lH = Ht_l^{-1}H$. If $l_t \leq 2m + 1$, we define $U_t = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{(l_t-1)/2} H\{t_i, t_i^{-1}\}H \right) \cup Ht_lH$. On the other hand, if $l_t > 2m + 1$, we set $U_t = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m H\{t_i, t_i^{-1}\}H \right) \cup \bigcup_{i=m+1}^{l_t-2m} Ht_iH$. In both cases, we again find $U_t = U_t^{-1}$, and U_t is a union of s disjoint left cosets of H .

We know that $G = \bigcup_{t \in T} (tA \cup t^{-1}A)$ for some subset $T \subseteq G$, and that $(tA \cup t^{-1}A) \cap (yA \cup y^{-1}A) = \emptyset$ for each $y \neq t \in T$. We then define $U = \bigcup_{t \in T \setminus A} U_t$. It is clear that $U^{-1} = U$, $U \cap A = \emptyset$, and consequently $U \cap H = \emptyset$. Furthermore, since $U \cap (tA \cup t^{-1}A) = U_t$, we conclude that $U \cap tA$ is a union of s disjoint left cosets of H . Therefore, we establish that A is a $(0, s)$ -regular set of (G, H) , thus completing the proof. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.3. *Let $H \trianglelefteq A \leq G$ and $0 \leq r \leq |A : H| - 1$ is an integer. Then A is an $(r, 0)$ -regular set of (G, H) if and only if $\gcd(2, |A : H| - 1)$ divides r .*

Proof. Let $\bar{A} = A/H$ and let $e = \bar{H}$ be the trivial element of \bar{A} . First, we assume that A is an $(r, 0)$ -regular set of (G, H) . This implies the existence of a set U , which is an inverse-closed union of some double cosets of H . Furthermore, since $U \cap (G \setminus A) = \emptyset$, it follows that $U \subseteq A$. Consequently, U must be a union of r disjoint left cosets of H . Thus, we have $U \subseteq \bar{A}$, where $U \cap H = \emptyset$ and U is inverse-closed. This means that $\bar{A} \setminus \{e\}$ contains an inverse-closed set with r elements. If $|\bar{A}|$ is odd, then all non-trivial elements of \bar{A} have odd order, and since U is an inverse-closed subset of $\bar{A} \setminus \{e\}$, we deduce that U is a union of an even number of elements of \bar{A} . Therefore, $2 = \gcd(2, |\bar{A}| - 1)$ divides r . If $|\bar{A}|$ is even, it is evident that r is divided by $1 = \gcd(2, |\bar{A}| - 1)$.

Now, we need to prove the converse direction. Assume $|\bar{A}|$ is even, which implies that \bar{A} has an involution. Let I denote the set of all involutions of \bar{A} . To complete the proof, it suffices to demonstrate that for every integer $0 \leq r \leq |\bar{A}| - 1$, there exists an inverse-closed subset U in $\bar{A} \setminus \{e\}$ of size r . In fact, if r is an even integer less than $|\bar{A} \setminus (\{e\} \cup I)|$, then U can be any inverse-closed subset of $\bar{A} \setminus (\{e\} \cup I)$. If $r > |\bar{A} \setminus (\{e\} \cup I)|$ is an even integer, we can take $U = \bar{A} \setminus (\{e\} \cup I) \cup J$, where $J \subset I$ has size $r - |\bar{A} \setminus (\{e\} \cup I)|$. If $r \leq |\bar{A} \setminus (\{e\} \cup I)| + 1$ is odd, we can choose an inverse-closed subset $U_0 \subseteq \bar{A} \setminus (\{e\} \cup I)$ of size $r - 1$ and set $U = U_0 \cup \{\alpha\}$, where $\alpha \in I$ is an arbitrary element. If $r \geq |\bar{A} \setminus (\{e\} \cup I)| + 1$ is odd, we set $U = (\bar{A} \setminus (\{e\} \cup I)) \cup J$, where $J \subseteq I$ is any arbitrary subset of size $r - |\bar{A} \setminus (\{e\} \cup I)|$. In the case when $|\bar{A}|$ is odd, a similar argument leads to the conclusion that all inverse-closed subsets of $\bar{A} \setminus \{e\}$ have even size. Furthermore, in this case for all even integers $r \leq |\bar{A} \setminus \{e\}| = |A : H| - 1$, we can find at least one inverse-closed subset U of size r . \blacksquare

The proof of Theorem B. It is straightforward using Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3. \blacksquare

We employ the following lemma to demonstrate Theorem C. It is important to note that if we include the assumption of normality for H in the statement of the lemma below, it can be derived as a consequence of Theorem B.

Lemma 2.4. (see [11, Theorem B]) *Let H be a nontrivial subgroup of G . Then, for an integer r and an even integer s , with $0 \leq r \leq |H| - 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq |H|$, such that $\gcd(2, |H| - 1)$ divides r , H is an (r, s) -regular set in G .*

The proof of Theorem C. Assume first that $G = N_G(H)A$ and that $N_A(H)/H$ is an (r, s) -regular set of $N_G(H)/H$. Let $T \subseteq N_G(H)$ be a left transversal of A in G . Consequently, T serves as a left transversal of $N_A(H)$ in $N_G(H)$, which implies that $\{tH \mid t \in T\}$ is a left transversal of $N_A(H)/H$ in $N_G(H)/H$. Since $N_A(H)/H$ is an (r, s) -regular set of $N_G(H)/H$, according to Theorem A, there exists $XH \subset N_G(H)/H$ such that $(XH)^{-1} = XH$ and $XH - N_A(H)/H$ is a union of s disjoint left transversals of $N_A(H)/H$ in $N_G(H)/H - N_A(H)/H$. Additionally, the intersection $XH \cap N_A(H)/H$

consists of r left cosets of H . In other words, for each $t \in T \setminus A$, we have $|XH \cap (tH)N_A(H)/H| = s$ and $|XH \cap N_A(H)/H| = r$. It is important to note that $N_A(H)/H \subseteq A/\ell H$ and $tN_A(H)/H \subseteq t(A/\ell H)$. Thus, since $XH \subseteq N_G(H)/H$, we can conclude that $XH \cap tA$ contains at least s left cosets of H , denoted as $\{x_1H, \dots, x_sH\}$, where $t \in G \setminus A$. If $XH \cap tA$ contained more than s left cosets of H , there would exist $x_{s+1} \in X$ such that $x_{s+1}H \subseteq tA$. Furthermore, $x_{s+1}H$ must belong to $t_0N_A(H)/H$ for some other $t \neq t_0 \in T$, since XH is a subset of $N_G(H)/H$ and T is a left transversal of $N_A(H)$ in $N_G(H)$. This leads to $x_{s+1}H \subseteq (tA \cap t_0A)$, which is a contradiction, as $tA \cap t_0A = \emptyset$. Therefore, for each $t \in G \setminus A$, $XH \cap tA$ is precisely a union of s left cosets of H . Similarly, $XH \cap A$, by the same reasoning, is a union of exactly r left cosets of H . This indicates that A is an (r, s) -regular set of (G, H) , thereby completing the proof of the first part.

Assume that A is an $(r, 1)$ -regular set of (G, H) . According to Theorem A, there exists a subset $X \subseteq G$ such that $XH = HX^{-1}$, and $XH \cap A$ is a union of r distinct left cosets of H . Additionally, for each $t \in G \setminus A$, $XH \cap tA$ is a left coset of H . Let us define $XH \cap A = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \alpha_i H$ and $XH \cap tA = \beta^t H$, where $\alpha_i, \beta^t \in X$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $t \in G \setminus A$.

Next, let $T = \{1, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$ be a left transversal of A in G . Then, we have

$$X = \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^r \cup \{\beta^{t_j}\}_{j=1}^n.$$

We need to show that $\beta^{t_j} \in N_G(H)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\beta^{t_1} \notin N_G(H)$. This leads to $H(\beta^{t_1})^{-1}H \neq (\beta^{t_1})^{-1}H$, with $H\beta^{t_1}H \subseteq t_1A$ and $H(\beta^{t_1})^{-1}H \subseteq At_1^{-1} = t_1^{-1}A$. For each $h \in H$, we find that

$$|(\beta^{t_1}H)^{-1} \cap (\beta^{t_1})^{-1}H| = |(\beta^{t_1}H)^{-1} \cap h(\beta^{t_1})^{-1}H| = |H \cap H^{\beta^{t_1}}| \geq 1.$$

Let $h(\beta^{t_1})^{-1}b \in (\beta^{t_1}H)^{-1} \cap h(\beta^{t_1})^{-1}H$ for some $b \in H$. Then, we have

$$h(\beta^{t_1})^{-1}bH \subseteq H(\beta^{t_1})^{-1}H \subseteq HXH \cap t_1^{-1}A = XH \cap t_1^{-1}A.$$

Thus, for every $h \in H$, it follows that

$$h(\beta^{t_1})^{-1}bH = h(\beta^{t_1})^{-1}H = (\beta^{t_1})^{-1}H.$$

Consequently, we arrive at the contradiction $H(\beta^{t_1})^{-1}H = (\beta^{t_1})^{-1}H$. Therefore, we conclude that $\beta^{t_1} \in N_G(H)$, as required. Thus, since X contains a left transversal of A , we can conclude that $G = N_G(H)A$. We may assume $T \subseteq N_G(H)$. Therefore, the set $\{tH \mid t \in T\}$ serves as a left transversal of $N_A(H)/H$ within $N_G(H)/H$. To complete the proof, we need to demonstrate that $|XH \cap (tN_A(H))/H| = 1$ and $|XH \cap N_A(H)/H| = r$ for each $t \in T \setminus A$. It is evident that $tN_A(H)/H \subseteq tA/\ell H$ for every $t \in T$. Hence, $XH \cap tN_A(H)/H$ contains at most one left coset of H when $t \in T \setminus A$. On the other hand, for each

$t \in T \setminus A$, we have $XH \cap tA = \beta^t H$, where $\beta^t \in N_G(H)$. Thus, $\beta^t = ta \in N_G(H)$ for some $a \in A$. Given that $t \in N_G(H)$, it follows that $a \in N_A(H)$. Consequently, $\beta^t H = taH \subseteq tN_A(H)$, which implies that $|XH \cap tN_A(H)/H| = 1$ for every $t \in T \setminus A$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.4, we know that $N_A(H)/H$ is a $(r, 0)$ -regular subset of $N_G(H)/H$. Therefore, there exists an inverse closed set YH of $N_G(H)/H$ such that YH is a union of r disjoint left cosets of H in $N_A(H)/H$. We define $X_0H = YH \cup \{\beta^{t_j} H\}_{j=1}^n$. It is noteworthy that $(XH \cap (G \setminus A))^{-1} = (\{\beta^{t_j} H\}_{j=1}^n)^{-1} = HX^{-1} \cap (G \setminus A) = XH \cap (G \setminus A) = \{\beta^{t_j} H\}_{j=1}^n$. Thus, we have $(X_0H)^{-1} = (YH)^{-1} \cup (\{\beta^{t_j} H\}_{j=1}^n)^{-1} = HY^{-1} \cup (\{\beta^{t_j} H\}_{j=1}^n)^{-1} = YH \cup \{\beta^{t_j} H\}_{j=1}^n = X_0H$. In conclusion, we determine that $N_A(H)/H$ is an $(r, 1)$ -regular set of $N_G(H)/H$, as required. \blacksquare

Corollary 2.5. *Let $H \trianglelefteq A \trianglelefteq G$. Then A is a perfect code of (G, H) if and only if $H \trianglelefteq G$ and A/H is a perfect code of G/H*

Proof. According to Theorem C, we have A is a perfect code of (G, H) if and only if $G = N_G(H)A = N_G(H)$ and $A/H = N_A(H)/H$ is a perfect code of G/H . \blacksquare

Corollary 2.6. *Let $H \leq A \trianglelefteq G$ and $|A|$ or $|G : A|$ is odd. Then A is a perfect code of (G, H) if and only if $G = N_G(H)A$.*

Proof. In this case $|N_A(H)/H|$ or $|N_G(H) : N_A(H)|$ is odd and so the result follows from Theorem C and [8, Corollary 2.3]. \blacksquare

We use the following lemma in the proof of Corollary D:

Lemma 2.7. (see [8, Theorem 2.2]) *Let G be a group and H a normal subgroup of G . Then H is a perfect code of G if and only if for all $x \in G$, $x^2 \in H$ implies $(xh)^2 = 1$ for some $h \in H$.*

Corollary D establishes the validity of the converse of a modification found in [23, Corollary 3.9].

The proof of Corollary D. Let A be a perfect code of (G, H) . From Theorem C, it follows that $G = AN_G(H)$. Furthermore, according to [23, Corollary 3.9], if $x \in G$ and $x^2 \in A$, then there exists $b \in G$ such that $(xb)^2 \in H$. To complete the proof, we only need to show that $xb \in N_G(H)$. Remind that, there exists a left transversal of A , say $X \subseteq N_G(H)$ such that $XH = HX^{-1}$ is a union of some double cosets of H and $XH \cap tA$ is a coset of H . Moreover, Since A is a normal subgroup of G , the set $A\{x, x^{-1}\}A = xA$ must contain an element xb such that $xbH = HxbH = H(xb)^{-1}H \subseteq XH$. This means that $xb \in N_G(H)$, and thus we have completed the proof. Conversely, suppose that $G = N_G(H)A$ and for every $x \in G$ with $x^2 \in A$, there exists $b \in A$ such that $xb \in N_G(H)$ and $(xb)^2 \in H$. In this case, we can conclude that $N_A(H)/H \subseteq N_G(H)/H$. Furthermore, for every $xH \in N_G(H)/H$ where $(xH)^2 \in N_A(H)/H$, there exists $b \in A$ such that $xb \in N_G(H)$ and $(xbH)^2 = H$. Since both x and xb

are in $N_G(H)$, it follows that $b \in N_A(G)$, and consequently $bH \in N_A(H)/H$. According to Theorem 2.7, we find that $N_A(H)/H$ is a perfect code of $N_G(H)/H$, which allows us to conclude the proof, using Theorem C. \blacksquare

Corollary 2.8. *Let A be a normal subgroup of G and $H \in \text{Syl}_p(A)$. Then A is a perfect code of (G, H) if and only if for every $x \in G \setminus A$ with $x^2 \in A$, there exists $a \in A$ such that $xa \in N_G(H)$ and $(xa)^2 \in H$.*

Proof. As H is a Sylow p -subgroup of G , then by well-known Frattini argument we have that $G = N_G(H)A$ and so by Corollary D we get the result. \blacksquare

The following lemma and corollary give us necessary conditions for a subgroup to be a perfect code, in case when we do not have the assumption of normality of A .

Lemma 2.9. *Let $H \leq A \leq G$ and A be a perfect code of (G, H) . Then for every $g \in G$ there exists $a \in A$ such that $A^{ga} \cap H = H^{ga} \cap H$.*

Proof. Let $g \in G$. As A is a perfect code, by Theorem A, there exists a left transversal X of A in G such that $XH = HX^{-1}$. Thus there exist $x \in X$ and $a \in A$ such that $x = ga$. Clearly, $H^x \cap H \subseteq A^x \cap H$. Suppose, towards a contradiction, that $A^x \cap H \neq H^x \cap H$. Then there exists $b \in A^x \cap H \setminus (H^x \cap H)$. Thus, $x^{-1}, bx^{-1} \in x^{-1}A \cap Hx^{-1}$. Since $XH = HX^{-1}$, we conclude that $x^{-1}H \cup bx^{-1}H \subseteq (XH \cap x^{-1}A)$. On the other hand, X is a left transversal of A in G and so we have $|XH \cap x^{-1}A| = |H|$, which implies that $x^{-1}H = bx^{-1}H$. Hence $b \in H^x \cap H$, a contradiction. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Corollary 2.10. *Let $H \trianglelefteq A \leq G$ and A is a perfect code of (G, H) . Then for every $x \in G$ we have $|HA^x| \mid |AA^x|$.*

Proof. If $x \in A$, we have nothing further to prove. Thus, let $x \in G \setminus H$. Then $A\{x, x^{-1}\}A$ represents a union of some double cosets of H , which we can express as $A\{x, x^{-1}\}A = \bigcup_{i=1}^l H\{t_i, t_i^{-1}\}H$, where l is an integer and $t_i \in A\{x, x^{-1}\}A$. Since $H \trianglelefteq A$, we find that $Ht_iH = Ha_ixb_iH$ or $Ha_ix^{-1}b_iH$ for some $a_i, b_i \in A$. Furthermore, we have either

$$\frac{|Ht_iH|}{|H|} = \frac{|H|}{|H \cap H^{t_i}|} = \frac{|H|}{|H \cap H^{a_ixb_i}|} = \frac{|H|}{|H^{b_i^{-1}} \cap H^x|} = \frac{|H|}{|H \cap H^x|}$$

or, similarly,

$$\frac{|Ht_iH|}{|H|} = \frac{|H|}{|H \cap H^{x^{-1}}|}.$$

On another note, it follows that $|H \cap H^x| = |H \cap H^{x^{-1}}|$. Thus, we conclude that $\frac{|Ht_iH|}{|H|} = \frac{|Ht_jH|}{|H|} = \frac{|H|}{|H \cap H^x|}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq l$. In the sequel, we aim to demonstrate that $\frac{|H|}{|H \cap H^x|}$ divides $\frac{|A|}{|A \cap A^x|}$ for each $x \in G \setminus A$. As A is a perfect code of (G, H) , there exists a left transversal of A in G , denoted as X , such that

$XH = HX^{-1}$. Therefore, we can conclude that $XH = HXH = HX^{-1}H = HX^{-1}$. This leads us to $XH \cap A\{x, x^{-1}\}A = HXH \cap A\{x, x^{-1}\}A = HZH$, where $Z \subseteq A\{x, x^{-1}\}A$. In fact, we have $HXH \cap A\{x, x^{-1}\}A = \bigcup_{i=1}^k Hx_iH$, for some natural number k and elements $x_i \in A\{x, x^{-1}\}A$. Since $HXH \cap A\{x, x^{-1}\}A$ is inverse-closed, we can assume that $\bigcup_{i=1}^k Hx_iH = \bigcup_{i=1}^s H\{t_i, t_i^{-1}\}H$, for some $s \leq l$. Thus, we can assume $Z = \{\{t_i, t_i^{-1}\}\}_{i=1}^s$. Finally, we find that $\frac{|HZH|}{|H|} = \frac{|Z||H|}{|H \cap H^x|}$. On the other hand, we have $XH \cap A\{x, x^{-1}\}A = LH$, where

$$|L| = \frac{|A\{x, x^{-1}\}A|}{|A|} = \frac{2|AxA|}{|A|} = \frac{2|A|}{|A \cap A^x|},$$

if $AxA \neq Ax^{-1}A$, and

$$|L| = \frac{|A\{x, x^{-1}\}A|}{|A|} = \frac{|Ax A|}{|A|} = \frac{|A|}{|A \cap A^x|},$$

if $AxA = Ax^{-1}A$. First, assume $AxA \neq Ax^{-1}A$. In this case, since $Z = Z^{-1}$, we observe that $|Z| = 2k$, because the inverse of each element in AxA belongs to $Ax^{-1}A$. Therefore, we find that

$$|L| = \frac{2|A|}{|A \cap A^x|} = |Z| \frac{|H|}{|H \cap H^x|} = 2k \frac{|H|}{|H \cap H^x|},$$

which indicates that $\frac{|H|}{|H \cap H^x|}$ divides $\frac{|A|}{|A \cap A^x|}$. Now, consider the case where $AxA = Ax^{-1}A$. In this situation, we have

$$|L| = \frac{|A|}{|A \cap A^x|} = |Z| \frac{|H|}{|H \cap H^x|},$$

and once again, $\frac{|H|}{|H \cap H^x|}$ divides $\frac{|A|}{|A \cap A^x|}$. Moreover, according to Lemma 2.9, there exists an element $a \in A$ such that $H \cap H^{xa} = H \cap A^{xa}$. This suggests that $|H \cap H^x| = |H \cap A^x|$, meaning that $\frac{|H|}{|H \cap A^x|}$ divides $\frac{|A|}{|A \cap A^x|}$, and thus $|HA^x| \mid |AA^x|$. \blacksquare

By the following result, one can completely determine the structure of arc-transitive graphs.

Lemma 2.11. ([6, Theorem 3.2.8]) *For a vertex-transitive digraph Γ with $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ a transitive subgroup, Γ is G -arc-transitive if and only if $\Gamma \cong \text{Cos}(G, H, S)$, where $H = G_u$ is the stabilizer of u in G , for some $u \in \mathbf{V}(\Gamma)$, and $S = HsH$ is a single double coset of H for some $s \in G$.*

Building upon the aforementioned proposition, we arrive at a definitive set of necessary and sufficient conditions for a subgroup to qualify as a perfect code within the context of an arc-transitive graph. Notably, these conditions encompass the hypothesis addressed in Lemma 2.9.

Proposition 2.12. *Let $\Gamma = \text{Cos}(G, H, S)$, where $H = G_u$ for some $u \in V(\Gamma)$ and $S = HxH$ for some $x \in G$ is a G -arc-transitive graph and $H \leq A \leq G$. Then $S = S^{-1}$, and the set of left cosets of H in A is a perfect code of Γ if and only if the following hold*

- (1) $G = A \cup AxA$,

- (2) $H \cap H^x = H \cap A^x$,
- (3) for each $a \in A$ there exists $h \in H$ such that $ha \in A \cap A^x$.

Proof. First, suppose that the set of left cosets of H in A is a perfect code of Γ . Let $y \in G \setminus A$ such that $y \in G \setminus A$. Then $S \cap yA$ is equal to a left coset of H , which means there exists $a \in A$ such that $yaH = S \cap yA \subseteq S \subseteq AxA$. Therefore, $y \in AxA$. This implies that $G = A \cup AxA = A \cup Ax^{-1}A$. Now, let $h \in H \cap A^x \setminus H^x$. Then $xhx^{-1} \notin H$, implying that $h^{-1}x^{-1}H \neq x^{-1}H$. Since $h \in A^x$, we have $h^{-1}x^{-1}A = x^{-1}A$, which means that $x^{-1}A \cap S = x^{-1}A \cap Hx^{-1}H$ contains more than one coset of H , leading to a contradiction. Thus, we conclude that $H \cap A^x \subseteq H^x$, as intended. Finally, we will show that condition (3) holds. If $a \in A \cap A^x$, there is nothing to prove. So, we assume $a \in A \setminus A^x$. Then $ax^{-1}A \neq x^{-1}A$. Therefore, there exists $h \in H$ such that $hx^{-1}H \subseteq ax^{-1}A \cap Hx^{-1}H = ax^{-1}A \cap S$. Hence, $xa^{-1}hx^{-1} \in A$, which means $a^{-1}h \in A^x$. Consequently, $h^{-1}a \in A^x \cap A$. This completes the proof of one direction.

Conversely, assume that conditions (1)-(3) hold. We only need to prove that $S \cap tA$ contains exactly one coset of H for each $t \in G \setminus A$. Let $t \notin A$. Then $tA \subseteq AxA = Ax^{-1}A$, which means $t = ax^{-1}$ for some $a \in A$. There exists $h \in H$ such that $ha \in A \cap A^x$, implying that $xhax^{-1} \in A$ or, equivalently, $ax^{-1}A = h^{-1}x^{-1}A$. Thus, $h^{-1}x^{-1}H \subseteq ax^{-1}A \cap S = tA \cap S$. Now, we claim that $tA \cap S = ax^{-1}A \cap S = h^{-1}x^{-1}H$. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists h_0 such that $h_0x^{-1}H \neq h^{-1}x^{-1}H$ and $h_0x^{-1}H \subset ax^{-1}A \cap S$. Then $hh_0 \notin H \cap H^x = H \cap A^x$. This implies that $h_0xA \neq h^{-1}x^{-1}A = ax^{-1}A$, a contradiction. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Bailey, P. J. Cameron, A. L. Gavrilyuk and S. V. Goryainov, Equitable partitions of Latin-square graphs, *J. Combin. Des.*, 27(3) (2019) 142-160.
- [2] E. A. Bespalov, D. S. Krotov, A. A. Matiushev, K. V. Vorob'ev, Perfect 2-colorings of Hamming graphs, *J. Combin. Des.* 29(6) (2021) 1-30.
- [3] N.L. Biggs, Perfect codes in graphs, *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B* 15 (1973) 289–296.
- [4] D. M. Cardoso, An overview of (κ, τ) -regular sets and their applications, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 269 (2019) 2-10.
- [5] J. Chen, Y. Wang, B. Xia, Characterization of subgroup perfect codes in Cayley graphs, *Discrete Math.* 343(5) (2020) 111813.
- [6] T. Dobson, A. Malnič and D. Marušič, *Symmetry in Graphs*, Cambridge University Press, 2022.
- [7] D. G. Fon-Der-Flaas, Perfect 2-colorings of a hypercube, *Siberian Math. J.* 48(4) (2007) 740-745.
- [8] H. Huang, B. Xia and S. Zhou, Perfect codes in Cayley graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 32 (2018) 548-559.
- [9] C. Godsil, G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [10] O. Heden, Perfect codes in antipodal distance-transitive graphs, *Math. Scand.* 35 (1974) 29–37.
- [11] Y. Khaefi, Z. Akhlaghi, B. Khosravi, On the subgroup regular sets in Cayley graphs. *J. Algebr. Comb.* 61, 38 (2025).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10801-025-01406-6>.

- [12] Y. Khaefi, Z. Akhlaghi, B. Khosravi. On the subgroup perfect codes in Cayley graphs, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* 91 (2023) 55-61.
- [13] S.P. Lloyd, Binary block coding, *Bell Syst. Tech. J.* 36 (1957) 517–535.
- [14] P. Lorimer, Vertex-transitive graphs: symmetric graphs of prime valency, *J. Graph Theory*, 8 (1984) 55-68.
- [15] X. Ma, G.L. Walls, K. Wang, S. Zhou, Subgroup perfect codes in Cayley graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 34(3) (2020) 1909-1921.
- [16] I. Mogilnykh and A. Valyuzhenich, Equitable 2-partitions of the Hamming graphs with the second eigenvalue, *Discrete Math.* 343(11) (2020) 112039.
- [17] A. Neumaier, Completely regular codes, *Discrete Math.*, 106/107 (1992) 353-360.
- [18] M. Schwartz, T. Etzion, Codes and anticodecs in the Grassman graph, *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A* 97 (2002) 27–42.
- [19] D.H. Smith, An improved version of Lloyd's theorem, *Discrete Math.* 15(2) (1976) 175–184.
- [20] D.H. Smith, Perfect codes in the graphs Ok and L(Ok), *Glasg. Math. J.* 21(2) (1980) 169–172.
- [21] Y. Wang, B.Z. Xia, S.M. Zhou, Subgroup regular sets in Cayley graphs, *Discrete Math.* 345(11) (2022) 113023.
- [22] Y. Wang, B.Z. Xia, S.M. Zhou, Regular sets in Cayley graphs, *J. Algebr. Comb.* 57 (2023) 547-558.
- [23] Y. Wang and J. Zhang, Perfect codes in vertex-transitive graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 196 (2023) 105737.
- [24] J. Zhang, Y. Zhu, A note on regular sets in Cayley graphs, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 109(1) (2024) 1-5.

¹ DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF ISFAHAN, ISFAHAN 81746-73441, IRAN.

² FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, AMIRKABIR UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY (TEHRAN POLYTECHNIC), 15914 TEHRAN, IRAN.

³ SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCE (IPM) P.O. Box:19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

⁴ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, SHAHID RAJAE TEACHER TRAINING UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, 16785-163, I. R. IRAN

Email address:

(A. Abdollahi) a.abdollahi@math.ui.ac.ir
 (Z. Akhlaghi) z.akhlaghi@aut.ac.ir
 (M. Arezoomand) arezoomand@sru.ac.ir