

RATIONAL HOMOTOPY EQUIVALENCE

– AN ALGORITHMIC APPROACH

MÁRIA ŠIMKOVÁ

ABSTRACT. This article proposes an algorithm that constructs a Sullivan minimal model for any simply connected simplicial set with effective homology and thereby allows one to decide algorithmically whether two simply connected spaces represented by finite simplicial sets have the same rational homotopy type.

1. INTRODUCTION

The main goal of this article is to present an algorithm that finds a minimal Sullivan model for a simply connected simplicial set with effective homology. (Any finite simplicial complex is a special case.) Using this construction and an algorithm on orbits and stabilizers of algebraic groups, one can algorithmically decide whether two finite simply connected simplicial sets have the same rational homotopy type.

In [MB20] the authors describe an algorithm that finds a minimal Sullivan model of any simply connected differential graded algebra which is finitely presentable with generators of positive degree. The construction follows the classical proof of the existence of such a minimal model; see Proposition 12.2 in [FHT01]. However, this algorithm cannot be used to compute a minimal Sullivan model of a simply connected finite simplicial set X , since the differential graded algebra $\mathcal{A}_{PL}(X)$ has generators of degree 0 and is not usually given as a quotient of a free algebra by a differentiable ideal. Therefore, we first generalize their algorithm to a class of more suitable differential graded algebras. These are differential graded algebras that are strongly homotopy equivalent to chain complexes which are finitely generated in all degrees (see Chapter 2).

In the next step, we show that the differential graded algebra $\mathcal{A}_{PL}(X)$ of a simplicial set X with effective homology satisfies the assumptions of our algorithm. To do this, we use Dupont's result [Dup78] on the reduction of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{PL}(X)$ to a cochain complex of X with rational coefficients and show that all mappings that appear in the reduction are algorithmically computable.

Finally, we exploit the fact that two simply connected spaces with finitely generated homotopy groups have the same rational homotopy type if and only if their minimal Sullivan models are isomorphic. We translate the decision whether two simply connected simplicial sets have the same rational homotopy type into the decision whether their minimal Sullivan models are isomorphic. We solve this problem using Sarkisyan's algorithm on algebraic \mathbb{Q} -groups, see [Sar82a].

The inspiration for our research was the article by Nabutowski and Weinberger [NW99], in which they argue that the question of whether two simply connected spaces are homotopy equivalent is algorithmically solvable. They propose to use rational homotopy theory for the algorithm, but do not provide any description of the algorithm. This article, together with the article [Šim24], paves the way to the realization of their idea.

The paper starts with a quick recapitulation of basic concepts on simplicial sets and effective homology framework, which is behind the algorithmic approach to homotopy

Date: December 25, 2025.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 55S45, 68U05, 55U10.

Key words and phrases. Differential graded algebra, Sullivan model, effective homology, rational homotopy type, Postnikov tower, Hirsch extension, algorithm, orbit-stabilizer problem.

theory. In Section 3 we recall notions concerning differential graded algebras, minimal algebras, and minimal Sullivan models and describe the algorithm which assigns a minimal model to every differential graded algebra that is strongly homotopy equivalent to a cochain complex with finite homology (Theorem A). The next Section deals with algebraic groups and automorphisms of differential graded algebras. Section 5 is devoted to rational homotopy theory. We recall the notion of homotopy in DGAs and summarize existing results related to a correspondence of rational homotopy equivalences and isomorphisms of minimal models. At the end of this section, we define Hirsch extensions of a DGA and their relation to minimal models of Postnikov stages. The aim of the next chapter is to recall the de Rham Theorem that the algebra of polynomial differential forms on a simplicial set can be reduced to the cochain complex of this set with rational coefficients. In the last section, we describe an algorithm assigning a minimal model to a finite simply connected simplicial set (Theorem C) and design our main algorithm which decides if two finite simply connected simplicial sets are rationally homotopy equivalent (Theorem D).

2. PRELIMINARIES ON SIMPLICIAL SETS WITH EFFECTIVE HOMOLOGY

We will work with simplicial sets. For basic concepts on simplicial sets, we refer to comprehensive sources [May92, Cur71, GJ09]. In this section, we show briefly what we need to use an algorithmic approach to the homotopy theory of simplicial sets.

Simplicial sets. The standard (geometric) n -simplex is the set

$$\Delta^n = \{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; \sum_{i=0}^n x_i = 1, x_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i\}.$$

Its vertices will be denoted e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n . The symbol $\Delta[n]$ stands for the simplicial set, k -simplices of which are $(k+1)$ -tuples (i_0, i_1, \dots, i_k) of integers such that $0 \leq i_0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n$. The geometric realization of $\Delta[n]$ is Δ^n .

Let π be an abelian group. In the context of simplicial sets, the Eilenberg-MacLane simplicial set $K(\pi, n)$ is defined through its *standard minimal* model in which k -simplices are given by cocycles on nondegenerate n -simplices of $\Delta[k]$:

$$K(\pi, n)_k = Z^n(\Delta[k], \pi).$$

It will be essential that $K(\pi, n)$ is a simplicial group in the mentioned representation and hence a Kan complex. Similarly, we define a simplicial set $E(\pi, n)$ where its k -simplices are given by cochains:

$$E(\pi, n)_k = C^n(\Delta[k], \pi).$$

The previous definitions lead to a natural principal fibration known as *Eilenberg-MacLane fibration* $\delta: E(\pi, n) \rightarrow K(\pi, n+1)$ for $n \geq 1$. These fibrations play an important role in the construction of Postnikov towers.

Definition 2.1. Let Y be a simplicial set. A simplicial Postnikov tower for Y is the following collection of mappings and simplicial sets organized into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & Y_n & & \\
 & & \downarrow p_n & & \\
 & & \vdots & & \\
 & \varphi_n \nearrow & & \downarrow p_2 & \\
 & & Y_1 & & \\
 & \varphi_1 \nearrow & & \downarrow p_1 & \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} & Y_0 & &
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

such that for each $n \geq 0$ the map $\varphi_n: Y \rightarrow Y_n$ induces isomorphisms $\varphi_{n*}: \pi_k(Y) \rightarrow \pi_k(Y_n)$ of homotopy groups with $0 \leq k \leq n$, and $\pi_k(Y_n) = 0$ for $k \geq n + 1$. The simplicial set Y_n is called the n -th Postnikov stage.

Definition 2.2. Let Y be a simply connected simplicial set. A *standard Postnikov tower* is a Postnikov tower such that Y_n is the pullback of the fibration δ along a map $k_{n-1}: Y_{n-1} \rightarrow K(\pi_n(Y), n+1)$ for all $n \geq 1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Y_n & \xrightarrow{r_n} & E(\pi_n(Y), n) \\
 p_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta \\
 Y_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{k_{n-1}} & K(\pi_n(Y), n+1)
 \end{array}$$

The map k_{n-1} is called a *Postnikov map*. Since p_n are pullbacks of the Kan fibrations δ , they are also Kan fibrations.

Effective homology. Here we look at the basic notions of the effective homology framework. This paradigm was developed by Sergeraert and his coworkers to deal with infinitary objects, see [RS12] (or [ČKM⁺14]) for more details.

A *locally effective* simplicial set is a simplicial set whose simplices have a specified finite encoding, and whose face and degeneracy operators are specified by algorithms.

We will work with non-negatively graded chain or cochain complexes of free abelian groups or \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces. Such a chain complex is *locally effective* if elements of the graded module can be represented in a computer and the operations of zero, addition, and differential are computable.

In all parts of the paper where we deal with algorithms, all simplicial sets are locally effective, and all chain complexes are non-negatively graded locally effective chain complexes of free \mathbb{Z} -modules or \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces. All simplicial maps, chain maps, chain homotopies, etc., are computable.

An *effective* chain complex is a (locally effective) free chain complex equipped with an algorithm that generates a list of elements of the distinguished basis in any given dimension (in particular, the distinguished bases are finite in each dimension).

Definition 2.3 ([RS12]). Let (C, d_C) and (D, d_D) be chain complexes. A triple of mappings $(f: C \rightarrow D, g: D \rightarrow C, h: C \rightarrow C)$ is called a *reduction* if the following holds

- i) f and g are chain maps of degree 0,

- ii) h is a map of degree 1,
- iii) $fg = \text{id}_D$ and $\text{id}_C - gf = [d_C, h] = d_C h + h d_C$,
- iv) $fh = 0$, $hg = 0$ and $hh = 0$ known as side conditions.

The reductions are denoted as $(f, g, h): (C, d_C) \Rightarrow (D, d_D)$. (If we deal with cochain complexes, d_C and d_D have degree 1 and h is a map of degree -1 .)

A *strong homotopy equivalence* $C \rightleftarrows D$ between chain complexes C, D is the chain complex E together with a pair of reductions $C \rightleftarrows E \Rightarrow D$.

Let C be a chain complex. We say that C is *equipped with effective homology* if there is a specified strong equivalence $C \rightleftarrows C^{\text{ef}}$ of C with some effective chain complex C^{ef} .

Similarly, we say that a *simplicial set has* (or can be equipped with) *effective homology* if its chain complex generated by nondegenerate simplices is equipped with effective homology.

Remark. Note that if we have a triple of maps $(f: C \rightarrow D, g: D \rightarrow C, h: C \rightarrow C)$ such that conditions (i)-(iii) hold together with $hh = 0$ and $fh = 0$, then $hg = 0$. In particular, the identity $\text{id}_C - gf = [d_C, h]$ implies $h = h d_C h$ and $h - hg f = h d_C h$. It follows that $h g f = 0$ and as f is surjective, so $h g = 0$.

Lemma 2.4. *Any strong homotopy equivalence $C \rightleftarrows D$ between chain complexes C_* and D_* of free Abelian groups induces strong homotopy equivalences $C \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q} \rightleftarrows D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(C, \mathbb{Q}) \rightleftarrows \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(D, \mathbb{Q})$ of chain and cochain complexes of \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces, respectively.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let $C \rightleftarrows D$ be a strong homotopy equivalence between chain complexes. Then there are maps $f: C_* \rightarrow D_*$, $g: D_* \rightarrow C_*$, $h_C: C_* \rightarrow C_{*+1}$ and $h_D: D_* \rightarrow D_{*+1}$ such that*

$$gf - \text{id}_C = d_C h_C + h_C d_C \quad \text{and} \quad fg - \text{id}_D = d_D h_D + h_D d_D.$$

It is clear that all finite simplicial sets have effective homology. It is essential from the algorithmic point of view that many infinite simplicial sets also have effective homology. Moreover, there is a way to construct them from the underlying simplicial sets and their effective chain complexes.

Proposition 2.6 ([ČKM⁺14], Section 3). *Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and π a finitely generated abelian group. The standard simplicial model of the Eilenberg-MacLane space can be equipped with effective homology.*

If P is a simplicial set equipped with effective homology and $f: P \rightarrow K(\pi, n+1)$ is computable, then the pullback Q of $\delta: E(\pi, n) \rightarrow K(\pi, n+1)$ along f can be equipped with effective homology.

In [ČKM⁺14], Section 4 it has been described the algorithmic construction of a standard Postnikov tower for any simply connected simplicial set with effective homology. A shorter summarization of the construction can also be found in [Šim24], Section 3. Due to the previous proposition, the constructed Postnikov stages have effective homology. The Postnikov tower obtained by the construction is called effective.

3. DGAS AND MINIMAL MODELS

In this section we recall the basic notions concerning differential graded algebras. We emphasize that in the paper all vector spaces and algebras are over the field \mathbb{Q} .

Definition 3.1. A commutative cochain algebra (or differential graded algebra or DGA for short) is a graded vector space

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \mathcal{A}^p$$

together with

- a multiplication $\cdot: \mathcal{A}^p \otimes \mathcal{A}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p+q}$ satisfying $a \cdot b = (-1)^{pq} b \cdot a$,
- a differential $d: \mathcal{A}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p+1}$ satisfying $d^2 = 0$ and the Leibnitz rule

$$d(a \cdot b) = d(a) \cdot b + (-1)^p a \cdot d(b).$$

If $\mathcal{A}^0 = \mathbb{Q}$, then we say that \mathcal{A} is connected. If, moreover, $H^0(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{Q}$ and $H^1(\mathcal{A}) = 0$, \mathcal{A} is called *simply connected*.

Definition 3.2. A DGA \mathcal{A} is said to be *minimal* if

- \mathcal{A} is free as a graded-commutative algebra on generators of degrees ≥ 2 ,
- d is decomposable i.e. $d(\mathcal{A}^+) \subset \mathcal{A}^+ \cdot \mathcal{A}^+$ where $+$ denotes positive degree.

A DGA is free as a graded-commutative algebra if it is a tensor product of polynomial algebras on generators of even degrees and exterior algebra on generators of odd degrees.

A homomorphism of DGAs that induces isomorphism in cohomology is called a *quasi-isomorphism*.

The essential notion for us is the notion of a minimal model for a DGA.

Definition 3.3. A *minimal model* for a DGA \mathcal{A} is a quasi-isomorphism $m: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that \mathcal{M} is a minimal DGA.

Proposition 3.4 (Proposition 12.2 in [FHT01]). *For every DGA (\mathcal{A}, d) such that $H^0(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{Q}$ and $H^1(\mathcal{A}) = 0$, there is a minimal model $m: (\mathcal{M}, d) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, d)$.*

The purpose of this section is to describe an algorithm which constructs a minimal model for a certain class of differential graded algebras. But before that, let us recall the concept of homotopy between two homomorphisms of DGAs.

Definition 3.5. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be DGAs. Homomorphisms $f, g: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ are homotopic if there exists a homomorphism of DGAs $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Lambda(t, dt) \otimes \mathcal{B}$ such that the projections for $t = 0$ and $t = 1$ are equal to f and g , respectively. We denote this relation with \sim .

The following lemma provides a sufficient condition for \sim to be an equivalence relation.

Lemma 3.6 (Corollary 11.4 in [GM13]). *Let \mathcal{A} be a DGA and \mathcal{M} be a minimal DGA. The relation being homotopic on homomorphisms from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{A} is an equivalence.*

Let \mathcal{M} be a minimal model and \mathcal{A} a DGA. The set of homotopy classes of homomorphisms $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is denoted by $[\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}]$.

The next three statements summarize key results from [GM13].

Lemma 3.7 (Lemma 11.7 in [GM13]). *Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}' be minimal DGAs, and suppose that $\varphi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Then, φ is an isomorphism.*

Proposition 3.8 (Lemma 11.5 in [GM13]). *Let $\varphi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a quasi-isomorphism of DGAs and let \mathcal{M} be a minimal DGA. Then $\varphi_*: [\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}] \rightarrow [\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}]$ is a bijection.*

Corollary 3.9 (Theorem 11.6 in [GM13]). *Let \mathcal{A} be a DGA and $m: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $m': \mathcal{M}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be two minimal models for \mathcal{A} . Then, there is up to homotopy just one isomorphism $\varphi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ such that $m' \circ \varphi \sim m$.*

The paper by V. Manero and M. M. Buzunáriz [MB20] presents a method to compute a minimal model for finitely presented DGA up to a specified degree, together with a map that is a quasi-isomorphism up to the given degree. The method works by adding generators one by one. It terminates if and only if the minimal model is finitely generated up to the given degree. Our intention is to extend the result to a broader set of input objects. The algorithm adjusts the classical result showing minimal model existence; see Proposition 3.4.

Definition 3.10. A DGA \mathcal{M} is said to be *n-minimal* if it is minimal and all generators are up to dimension n . The DGAs \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are *n-quasi-isomorphic* if there exists a morphism of DGAs $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $f^*: H^j(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^j(\mathcal{B})$ is an isomorphism for every $j \leq n$ and $f^*: H^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(\mathcal{B})$ is a monomorphism. An *n-minimal* model of the DGA \mathcal{A} is an *n-minimal* differential algebra \mathcal{M} together with an *n-quasi-isomorphism* $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

The following theorem extends the paper [MB20] and uses similar methods to prove the statement.

Theorem A. *There is an algorithm such that for given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a simply-connected DGA \mathcal{A} which is strongly homotopy equivalent to a cochain complex $C^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ where C^* is a cochain complex of Abelian groups with finite dimensional homology constructs an n -minimal model.*

Proof. We will search for the minimal model in the form of the free algebra ΛV on a graded vector space V . The subspace of elements of degree $\leq k$ will be denoted by $V^{\leq k}$.

Note that we can always compute a finite list of representatives of cohomology generators of \mathcal{A} using the cohomology of the effective chain complex $C^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Let us denote the components of the provided strong equivalence $\mathcal{A}^* \iff C^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ as $f: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow C^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, $g: C^* \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ and $h: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*-1}$, see Lemma 2.5.

We proceed by induction. Since \mathcal{A} is simply connected, the induction starts at degree 2. Let us define $V^2 = V^{\leq 2}$ as a rational vector subspace of \mathcal{A} generated by a finite list of representatives v_1, \dots, v_l of the basis of $H^2(\mathcal{A})$. Let $m_2: (\Lambda V^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, d)$ be the extension of the inclusion $V^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Then $H^1(m_2) = 0$ is an isomorphism, $H^2(m_2)$ is an isomorphism, since $V^2 \cong H^2(\mathcal{A})$, and $H^3(m_2)$ is injective since $(\Lambda(V^2))^3$ does not have elements of degree 3. Clearly, $\dim V^2 < \infty$.

In the induction step, suppose that $m_k: (\Lambda V^{\leq k}, d) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, d)$ is constructed such that $H^i(m_k)$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq k$, $H^{k+1}(m_k)$ is a monomorphism and $\dim V^{\leq k} < \infty$. We extend it to $m_{k+1}: (\Lambda V^{\leq k+1}, d) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, d)$ with the same properties.

First, we find a finite list of elements $w_p \in \mathcal{A}^{k+1}$ such that

$$H^{k+1}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Im } H^{k+1}(m_k) \oplus \bigoplus_p \mathbb{Q}[w_p].$$

We can do it in the following steps:

- Compute representatives $u_j^{k+1} \in \mathcal{A}^{k+1}$ of the basis of $H^{k+1}(\mathcal{A})$ using a strong equivalence between \mathcal{A}^* and $C^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ with effective homology.
- Compute a finite basis g_i^{k+1} of \mathbb{Q} -vector subspaces $\ker d^{k+1} \subseteq (\Lambda V^{\leq k})^{k+1}$.
- Since $H^{k+1}(m_k)$ is a monomorphism, the elements $m_k(g_i^{k+1})$ are representatives of the basis of $\text{Im } H^{k+1}(m_k) \subseteq H^{k+1}(\mathcal{A})$. We want to express $m_k(g_i^{k+1})$ as linear combinations of u_j^{k+1} modulo $\text{Im } d^k$ in \mathcal{A}^{k+1} . This means to find $a_i \in \mathcal{A}^k$ and $\gamma_j^i \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$m_k(g_i^{k+1}) = \sum_j \gamma_j^i u_j^{k+1} + d^k(a_i).$$

- As \mathcal{A}^{k+1} could be infinitely generated, we have to transfer computation to the effective cochain complex $C^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$

$$f(m_k(g_i^{k+1})) = \sum_j \gamma_j^i f(u_j^{k+1}) + d^k(f(a_i)).$$

Write $f(a_i) = \sum_l \epsilon_l^i c_l^k$, where $c_l^k \in C^k$ are basis elements, and solve the equation for unknowns $\gamma_j^i, \epsilon_l^i \in \mathbb{Q}$

$$f(m_k(g_i^{k+1})) = \sum_j \gamma_j^i f(u_j^{k+1}) + \sum_l \epsilon_l^i d^k(c_l^k).$$

in the finite dimensional space $C^{k+1} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

- Go back to \mathcal{A}^{k+1} by applying the map g to the preceding equation

$$gf(m_k(g_i^{k+1})) = \sum_j \gamma_j^i gf(u_j^{k+1}) + \sum_l \epsilon_l^i d^k g(c_l^k).$$

Since $gf = \text{id} + hd^{k+1} + d^k h$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} gf(m_k(g_i^{k+1})) &= m_k(g_i^{k+1}) + hd^{k+1}(m_k(g_i^{k+1})) + d^k h(m_k(g_i^{k+1})) \\ &= m_k(g_i^{k+1}) + d^k h(m_k(g_i^{k+1})). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$gf(u_j^{k+1}) = u_j^{k+1} + hd^{k+1}(u_j^{k+1}) + d^k h(u_j^{k+1}) = u_j^{k+1} + d^k h(u_j^{k+1})$$

that implies

$$m_k(g_i^{k+1}) + d^k h(m_k(g_i^{k+1})) = \sum_j \gamma_j^i (u_j^{k+1} + d^k h(u_j^{k+1})) + \sum_l \epsilon_l^i d^k g(c_l^k).$$

and so

$$m_k(g_i^{k+1}) = \sum_j \gamma_j^i u_j^{k+1} + d^k \left(\sum_j \gamma_j^i h(u_j^{k+1}) + \sum_l \epsilon_l^i g(c_l^k) - h(m_k(g_i^{k+1})) \right).$$

- Thus, we get $[m_k(g_i^{k+1})] \in H^{k+1}(\mathcal{A})$ as linear combinations $\sum_j \gamma_j^i [u_j^{k+1}]$. Hence, using cohomology classes $[u_j^{k+1}]$ we can complete the elements $[m_k(g_i^{k+1})]$ to the basis of $H^{k+1}(\mathcal{A})$. These u_j^{k+1} are required w_p .

Next, we find a finite list of elements $z_p \in (\Lambda(V^{\leq k}))^{k+2}$ such that

$$\ker H^{k+2}(m_k) = \bigoplus_q \mathbb{Q}[z_q]$$

as follows:

- Compute a finite list of basis elements g_i^{k+2} of $\ker d^{k+2} \subseteq (\Lambda V^{\leq k})^{k+2}$.
- A linear combination $\sum_i \beta_i g_i^{k+2}$ represents an element of $\ker H^{k+2}(m_k)$ if and only if there is an element $a^{k+1} \in \mathcal{A}^{k+1}$ such that

$$\sum_i \beta_i m_k(g_i^{k+2}) = d^{k+1}(a^{k+1}) \in \mathcal{A}^{k+2}$$

- We again transfer this equation in unknowns $\beta_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $a^{k+1} \in \mathcal{A}^{k+1}$ to $C^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$

$$\sum_i \beta_i f m_k(g_i^{k+2}) = d^{k+1}(f(a^{k+1})) \in C^{k+2} \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

Write $f(a^{k+1}) = \sum_j \alpha_j c_j^{k+1}$ with basis elements c_j^{k+1} of C^{k+1} and unknowns $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Q}$. Now, the equation

$$\sum_i \beta_i f m_k(g_i^{k+2}) = \sum_j \alpha_j d^{k+1}(c_j^{k+1}) \in C^{k+2} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

in unknowns β_i and α_j is easily solvable.

- Move back to \mathcal{A}^{k+2} by applying the mapping g to above equation

$$\sum_i \beta_i g f(m_k(g_i^{k+2})) = \sum_j \alpha_j d^{k+1} g(c_j^{k+1}) \in \mathcal{A}^{k+2}.$$

Using again $gf = \text{id} + hd^{k+2} + d^{k+1}h$ we get

$$\sum_i \beta_i (m_k(g_i^{k+2}) + d^{k+1}h(m_k(g_i^{k+2}))) = \sum_i \beta_i g f(m_k(g_i^{k+2})) = \sum_j \alpha_j d^{k+1} g(c_j^{k+1}) \in \mathcal{A}^{k+2}$$

which leads to

$$\sum_i \beta_i m_k(g_i^{k+2}) = d^{k+1} \left(\sum_j \alpha_j g(c_j^{k+1}) - \sum_i \beta_i h(m_k(g_i^{k+2})) \right).$$

The representatives $z_q \in (\Lambda V^{\leq k})^{k+2}$ of the basis of $\ker H^{k+2}(m_k)$ are linear combinations $\sum_i \beta_i g_i^{k+2}$ with the concrete β_i calculated in the effective cochain complex $C^{k+2} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. For each of them there is a corresponding element $b_q = \sum_j \alpha_j g(c_j^{k+1}) - \sum_i \beta_i h(m_k(g_i^{k+2})) \in \mathcal{A}^{k+1}$ such that $m_k(z_q) = d^{k+1} b_q$. There are only finitely many z_q as we have a linear system over the finite-dimensional vector space $C^{k+2} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

At this stage, we have found elements w_p and b_q with which we can continue the inductive construction of a minimal model. Define V^{k+1} as the vector space of degree $k+1$ generated by the basis $\{w'_p, b'_q\}$. Put $V^{\leq k+1} = V^{\leq k} \oplus V^{k+1}$ and extend m_k to the morphism $m_{k+1} : V^{\leq k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$

$$m_{k+1} w'_p = w_p, \quad m_{k+1} b'_q = b_q.$$

Extend d to a derivation in $\Lambda V^{\leq k+1}$

$$dw'_p = 0, \quad db'_q = z_q.$$

By construction, $d^2 = 0$ in both V^{k+1} and $\Lambda V^{\leq k}$, thus $d^2 = 0$ in $\Lambda V^{\leq k+1}$. Similarly, $m_{k+1} d = dm_{k+1}$ in V^{k+1} and in $\Lambda V^{\leq k}$, and so $m_{k+1} d = dm_{k+1}$ in $\Lambda V^{\leq k+1}$. We added only a finite number of generators, so we have $\dim V^{k+1} < \infty$ again.

By induction $H^i(m_{k+1}) = H^i(m_k)$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq k$. We have chosen $[m_k(g_i^{k+1})]$ and $[w_p]$ to form a basis of $H^{k+1}(\mathcal{A})$. Since $d(w'_p) = 0$, a basis of $H^{k+1}(\Lambda V^{\leq k+1})$ is determined by elements $[g_i^{k+1}]$ and $[w'_p]$. Hence $H^{k+1}(m_{k+1})$ is an isomorphism.

Finally, $\ker H^{k+2}(m_{k+1})$ is generated by elements $[z_q]$. Since

$$m_{k+1}(z_q) = m_{k+1}(db'_q) = dm_{k+1}(b'_q),$$

the kernel is zero and $H^{k+2}(m_{k+1})$ is a monomorphism.

We terminate our construction at the required degree of n . □

4. ALGEBRAIC GROUPS AND AUTOMORPHISMS OF DGAs

Can we algorithmically decide whether two minimal models are isomorphic? In solving this problem, the fact that the automorphisms of the minimal model form an explicitly given algebraic group plays an important role.

Definition 4.1. A subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is an *algebraic matrix group* of degree n defined over \mathbb{Q} if it is the set of common zeros in $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ of finitely many polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{Q}[x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{nn}]$ where $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{nn}$ represent matrix entries. In short, we will call the group a \mathbb{Q} -group of degree n . Next we define

$$G_{\mathbb{Q}} = G \cap \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q}).$$

The \mathbb{Q} -group G is *given explicitly* if the polynomials p_1, \dots, p_k are explicitly given.

Definition 4.2. Let G be a \mathbb{Q} -group and $W \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$ be a vector subspace. A homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W)$ is a *rational linear representation* if the components of $\rho(g) \in \mathrm{GL}(W)$ are rational functions in the entries of the matrix g . The homomorphism ρ determines the right action of the group G on W , $w \mapsto w \cdot \rho(g)$.

We say that the rational linear representation ρ is *explicitly given* if there is an effective procedure which for each $w \in W$ and each $g \in G$ produces all components of $w \cdot \rho(g) \in W$. Moreover, for $w \in W \cap \mathbb{Q}^m$ and $g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the vector $w \cdot \rho(g) \in \mathbb{Q}^m$.

For our purposes, we will need the following result by R. A. Sarkisyan in the special case of the algebraic number field \mathbb{Q} .

Theorem 4.3 (Theorem 4.1 in [Sar82a]). *There exists an algorithm which for any explicitly given \mathbb{Q} -group G , any explicitly defined right representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{C})$ and any two fixed vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}^m$ verifies the existence of an element $g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with the property*

$$x \cdot \rho(g) = y$$

and explicitly finds some such element $g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

The author proved this theorem in [Sar82a] under the assumption that for $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, G)$ the Hasse principle holds. The results of F. Grunewald and O. Segal [GS80] suggested a method that allowed him to abandon this assumption. More details are available in [Sar80].

If we accept the definition of an algebra as a vector space with bilinear multiplication, the previous result gives the following:

Corollary 4.4 (Theorem 8.1 in [Sar82b]). *The isomorphism problem for finite-dimensional algebras over \mathbb{Q} is algorithmically decidable.*

In a similar way, we use Sarkisyan's theorem to prove:

Theorem B. *There is an algorithm that decides whether two finitely generated differential graded algebras \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} over \mathbb{Q} are isomorphic. If they are isomorphic, the algorithm computes an isomorphism.*

Proof. Suppose that all generators of both algebras are in degrees $\leq D$. Taking the bases of rational vector spaces, we establish isomorphisms $\mathcal{M}^p \cong \mathbb{Q}^{k_p}$ and $\mathcal{N}^p \cong \mathbb{Q}^{l_p}$. Since every isomorphism of DGA's $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ induces underlying \mathbb{Q} -linear bijections $g^p: \mathcal{M}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^p$ for $p \leq 2D$, we can assume that $k_p = l_p$ and $g^p \in GL(k_p, \mathbb{Q})$.

To form an algebra isomorphism, the underlying isomorphisms g^p have to respect multiplications $m_{i,j}: \mathcal{M}^i \otimes \mathcal{M}^j \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{i+j}$ and $n_{i,j}: \mathcal{N}^i \otimes \mathcal{N}^j \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{i+j}$ for all $i, j \leq D$:

$$n_{i,j} \circ (g^i \otimes g^j) = g^{i+j} \circ m_{i,j}.$$

Note that the multiplications $m_{i,j}$ are uniquely determined by rational numbers $\alpha_c^{ab}(i, j)$ of the image of $m_{i,j}$ in the identification of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{k_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{k_j}, \mathbb{C}^{k_{i+j}})$ with $\mathbb{C}^{k_i \cdot k_j \cdot k_{i+j}}$ where $a \leq k_i, b \leq k_j, c \leq k_{i+j}$. Similarly, we have coefficients $\beta_c^{ab}(i, j)$ of the image of $n_{i,j}$.

The next requirement for g^p 's ($p \leq 2D - 1$) to form an isomorphism of DGAs is the commutativity with the differentials $d_M^p: \mathcal{M}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{p+1}$ and $d_N^p: \mathcal{N}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{p+1}$

$$g^{p+1} \circ d_M^p = d_N^p \circ g^p.$$

The differentials $d_M^p, d_N^p \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{k_p}, \mathbb{C}^{k_{p+1}}) \cong \mathbb{C}^{k_p \cdot k_{p+1}}$ are identified with vectors of rational numbers $\gamma_b^a(p)$ and $\delta_b^a(p)$, respectively.

Put $k = k_0 + k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_{2D}$ and let $G \subseteq GL(k, \mathbb{C})$ be the group of block diagonal matrices with blocks g^p in ascending order. We consider the following right actions of G on $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{k_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{k_j}, \mathbb{C}^{k_{i+j}})$

$$(n_{i,j}, g) \mapsto (g^{i+j})^{-1} \circ n_{i,j} \circ (g^i \otimes g^j).$$

The second series of right actions of G is related to differentials, i.e., the actions of G on $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{k_p}, \mathbb{C}^{k_{p+1}})$

$$(d_N^p, g) \mapsto (g^{p+1})^{-1} \circ d_N^p \circ g^p.$$

The group $G \subseteq GL(k, \mathbb{C})$ is explicitly given. The right representation of $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(m, \mathbb{C})$ is a concatenation of the previous explicit right actions, i.e., $\rho(g)$ is a block diagonal matrix such that each block represents a single right action from the above list of right actions. That representation is an explicitly given rational linear representation. Now, to decide whether $(m_{i,j}, d_M^p)$ and $(n_{i,j}, d_N^p)$ are in the same orbit of the required right actions, we denote x the sequences of $\beta_c^{ab}(i, j)$ and $\delta_b^a(p)$, and y the sequences of $\alpha_c^{ab}(i, j)$ and $\gamma_b^a(p)$, and we use Theorem 4.3 to find algorithmically $g \in G$ such that

$$x \cdot \rho(g) = y.$$

□

5. PRELIMINARIES ON RATIONAL HOMOTOPY THEORY

Rational homotopy theory studies properties of spaces that are invariant under rational homotopy equivalence. For brevity, the notion of a space stands for a simplicial set or a topological space.

Definition 5.1 ([FHT01], Chapter 9(c)). A continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between simply connected topological spaces is a *rational homotopy equivalence* if one of the three equivalent conditions holds:

- (1) $\pi_*(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is an isomorphism,
- (2) $H_*(f; \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism,
- (3) $H^*(f; \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism.

The fact that these conditions are equivalent is the content of the Serre-Whitehead theorem. For the proof, see Theorem 8.6 in [FHT01].

Let \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} be simplicial sets. A simplicial map $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ is a rational homotopy equivalence if $|f|: |\tilde{X}| \rightarrow |\tilde{Y}|$ is a rational homotopy equivalence (equivalently, condition (2) or (3) is satisfied for f).

We say that simply connected spaces W and Z have the same *rational homotopy type* if there is a chain of rational homotopy equivalences

$$W \leftarrow Z(0) \rightarrow \cdots \leftarrow Z(k) \rightarrow Z.$$

Definition 5.2. A simply connected space Y is a *rational space* if $\pi_*(Y)$ is a \mathbb{Q} -module (or equivalently $H_*(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ is a \mathbb{Q} -module). A *rationalization* of a simply connected space X is a map $\varphi: X \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{Q}}$ to a simply connected rational space $X_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that φ induces an isomorphism $\pi_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \pi_*(X_{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Remark. A simply connected space can be rationalized by induction using its Postnikov tower. See [GM13, Section 8.2].

The following definition provides a suitable base for an algebraic-simplicial model for simplicial sets and topological spaces.

Definition 5.3. The graded algebra $(A_{PL})_n$ is the free graded commutative algebra

$$(A_{PL})_n = \Lambda(t_0, \dots, t_n, y_0, \dots, y_n) \left/ \left(\sum_i t_i - 1, \sum_j y_j \right) \right.,$$

$$dt_i = y_i \quad \text{and} \quad dy_i = 0.$$

where t_i are elements of degree 0. The elements of this algebra can therefore be understood as polynomial differential forms with rational coefficients on the standard simplex Δ^n . The subspace $(A_{PL})_n^p$ of elements of degree p is called the subspace of polynomial p -forms. The simplicial DGA A_{PL} is the functor $\Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{DGA}$ that acts on objects by the prescription $[n] \mapsto (A_{PL})_n$ for each $[n] \in \Delta$ and any morphism $f: [n] \rightarrow [m]$ in Δ maps to $f^*: (A_{PL})_m \rightarrow (A_{PL})_n$ such that

$$f^*(t_i) = \sum_{f(j)=i} t_j \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

Remark. The simplicial DGA A_{PL} coincides with the graded algebra $A_{PL} = \{(A_{PL})_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ together with face operators $\partial_i: (A_{PL})_{n+1} \rightarrow (A_{PL})_n$ and degeneracy operators $s_j: (A_{PL})_n \rightarrow (A_{PL})_{n+1}$ uniquely defined by the conditions

$$\partial_i(t_k) = \begin{cases} t_k & k < i \\ 0 & k = i \\ t_{k-1} & k > i \end{cases} \quad s_j(t_k) = \begin{cases} t_k & k < j \\ t_k + t_{k+1} & k = j \\ t_{k+1} & k > j \end{cases}.$$

It is immediate to see that $\partial_i = d_i^*$ and $s_j = \rho_j^*$ where $d_i: [n] \rightarrow [n+1]$ is a standard face map and $\rho_j: [n+1] \rightarrow [n]$ is a standard degeneracy map.

Let X be a simplicial set. Next, we define $A_{PL}(X)$ using the following general construction applicable for any simplicial cochain algebra or simplicial cochain complex \mathcal{A} .

Construction 5.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a simplicial DGA. Then, for every simplicial set X , we define*

$$\mathcal{A}(X) = \{\mathcal{A}^p(X)\}_{p \geq 0}$$

as the DGA such that:

- $\mathcal{A}^p(X)$ is the set $\text{Hom}_{\text{SSet}}(X, \mathcal{A}^p)$ of simplicial set homomorphisms from X to \mathcal{A}^p .
- The addition, multiplication, scalar multiplication, and differential of $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{SSet}}(X, \mathcal{A}^p)$ computed on $\sigma \in X$ are given by

$$(\varphi + \psi)_\sigma = \varphi_\sigma + \psi_\sigma, \quad (\varphi \cdot \psi)_\sigma = \varphi_\sigma \cdot \psi_\sigma, \quad (\lambda \cdot \psi)_\sigma = \lambda \cdot \psi_\sigma, \quad (d\psi)_\sigma = d\psi_\sigma.$$

A similar construction also applies to simplicial cochain complexes.

The only nondegenerate n -simplex $c_n = (0, 1, \dots, n)$ in $\Delta[n]_n$ is called the fundamental class of $\Delta[n]$. It turns out that the following proposition holds.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_n\}$ be a simplicial DGA. For $n \geq 0$, the prescription $\phi \mapsto \phi_{c_n}$ defines an isomorphism of DGAs $\mathcal{A}(\Delta[n]) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$. In particular, $A_{PL}(\Delta[n])$ is isomorphic $(A_{PL})_n$ for all $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. See Proposition 10.4 in [FHT01]. □

In Section 6 we use the fact that $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is a functor covariant in \mathcal{A} and contravariant in X .

Definition 5.6. A minimal model of a simplicial set X is a minimal model for $A_{PL}(X)$. If T is a topological space then $A_{PL}(T) := A_{PL}(S_*(T))$ where $S_*(T)$ stands for the simplicial set of singular simplices. We say that \mathcal{M} is a minimal model for T if \mathcal{M} is a minimal model for $A_{PL}(T)$.

Remark. In Section 6 we will see that there is a quasi-isomorphism $A_{PL}(X) \rightarrow C^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ of cochain complexes.

Rational homotopy theory and DGAs. The following theorem establishes the equivalence between the homotopy category of rational spaces and the homotopy category of minimal DGAs.

Proposition 5.7 (Theorem 15.7. in [GM13]). *Let Y be a simply connected rational Kan complex with homotopy groups that are finite-dimensional rational vector spaces, and let X be a simply connected Kan complex. Denote \mathcal{M}_X and \mathcal{M}_Y associated minimal models of X and Y , respectively. Then there is a bijection*

$$[X, Y] \rightarrow [\mathcal{M}_Y, \mathcal{M}_X].$$

Moreover, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a rational homotopy equivalence if and only if the corresponding $\hat{f}: \mathcal{M}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let's describe the map $[X, Y] \rightarrow [\mathcal{M}_Y, \mathcal{M}_X]$. Take a simplicial map $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Since m_X is a quasi-isomorphism, we can apply Proposition 3.8 on the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & \mathcal{M}_X & \\ & & \swarrow \hat{f} & & \downarrow m_X \\ \mathcal{M}_Y & \xrightarrow[m_Y]{} & A_{PL}(Y) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & A_{PL}(X) \end{array}$$

to get a lift $\hat{f}: \mathcal{M}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ unique up to homotopy. f^* is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if \hat{f} is a quasi-isomorphism and this is equivalent with \hat{f} being an isomorphism by Lemma 3.7.

The proof that the map $[X, Y] \rightarrow [\mathcal{M}_Y, \mathcal{M}_X]$ constructed above is a bijection is available in [GM13], Chapter 15. \square

Corollary 5.8. *Let X and Y be simply connected Kan complexes such that $\pi_i(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and $\pi_i(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are finite dimensional rational vector spaces for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then X and Y have the same rational homotopy type if and only if their minimal models are isomorphic*

$$\mathcal{M}_Y \cong \mathcal{M}_X.$$

Proof. Assume that there is an isomorphism $g: \mathcal{M}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ and consider rationalization $r: Y \rightarrow Y_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of Y and its associated minimal model $\mathcal{M}_{Y_{\mathbb{Q}}}$. We can use Proposition 5.7 since the pairs $(Y, Y_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $(X, Y_{\mathbb{Q}})$ satisfy its assumptions. Denote $\hat{r}: \mathcal{M}_{Y_{\mathbb{Q}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_Y$ the image of r in the bijection $[Y, Y_{\mathbb{Q}}] \cong [\mathcal{M}_{Y_{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{M}_Y]$ and use the bijection $[X, Y_{\mathbb{Q}}] \cong [\mathcal{M}_{Y_{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{M}_X]$ to get the preimage $h: X \rightarrow Y_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of the isomorphism $g \circ \hat{r}$. Hence h is a rational homotopy equivalence and the desired chain of rational homotopy equivalences is

$$X \xrightarrow{h} Y_{\mathbb{Q}} \xleftarrow{r} Y.$$

So X and Y have the same rational homotopy type.

For the opposite direction, assume that there is a chain of rational homotopy equivalences

$$X \leftarrow Z(0) \rightarrow \cdots \leftarrow Z(k) \rightarrow Y.$$

$Z(i)$ can be chosen as Kan complexes. Let $f: W \rightarrow Z$ represent an underlying rational homotopy equivalence from the previous chain. Consider rationalization $r: Z \rightarrow Z_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of the Kan complex Z and map the rational homotopy equivalence $r \circ f$ via bijection $[W, Z_{\mathbb{Q}}] \cong [\mathcal{M}_{Z_{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{M}_W]$ to the isomorphism \widehat{rf} . Similarly, the isomorphism \widehat{r} is an image of r in the bijection $[Z, Z_{\mathbb{Q}}] \cong [\mathcal{M}_{Z_{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{M}_Z]$. By composition we obtain the isomorphism $(\widehat{rf})(\widehat{r})^{-1}: \mathcal{M}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_W$. The required isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ is a composition of such isomorphisms or their inverses. \square

Corollary 5.9. *Let X and Y be simply connected Kan complexes such that $\pi_i(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and $\pi_i(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are finite dimensional rational vector spaces for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then X and Y have the same rational homotopy type if and only if there is a rational homotopy equivalence $X_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Proof. If there is a rational homotopy equivalence $f: X_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbb{Q}}$ then the chain of maps with rationalizations r_X and r_Y

$$X \xrightarrow{r_X} X_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{f} Y_{\mathbb{Q}} \xleftarrow{r_Y} Y$$

determines the same homotopy type of X and Y .

Let X and Y have the same rational homotopy type. According to Corollary 5.8 there is an isomorphism $g: \mathcal{M}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$. Due to Proposition 5.7 rational homotopy equivalences $r_X: X \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $r_Y: Y \rightarrow Y_{\mathbb{Q}}$ induce isomorphisms $\widehat{r}_X: \mathcal{M}_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ and $\widehat{r}_Y: \mathcal{M}_{Y_{\mathbb{Q}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_Y$. Then

$$\mathcal{M}_{Y_{\mathbb{Q}}} \xrightarrow{\widehat{r}_Y} \mathcal{M}_Y \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{M}_X \xrightarrow{\widehat{r}_X^{-1}} \mathcal{M}_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}}$$

is an isomorphism that induces a rational homotopy equivalence $f: X_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbb{Q}}$ according to Proposition 5.7. \square

Proposition 5.10. *Let X and Y be simply connected finite simplicial sets of dimensions $\leq d$ with standard Postnikov towers $\{X_n, p_n^X, \varphi_n^X\}$ and $\{Y_n, p_n^Y, \varphi_n^Y\}$, respectively. Then $|X|$ and $|Y|$ have the same rational homotopy type if and only if the minimal models of the Postnikov stages Y_d and X_d are isomorphic*

$$\mathcal{M}_{Y_d} \cong \mathcal{M}_{X_d}.$$

Proof. Due to Corollary 5.8, it suffices to prove that $|X|$ and $|Y|$ have the same rational homotopy type if and only if their Postnikov stages X_d and Y_d , which are Kan complexes, also have the same rational homotopy type.

Let us denote $X' := \varprojlim X_n$ and $Y' := \varprojlim Y_n$. They are Kan complexes and the induced maps $\varphi^X: X \rightarrow X'$, $\varphi^Y: Y \rightarrow Y'$ are weak homotopy equivalences and consequently rational homotopy equivalences. That is why $|X|$ and $|Y|$ have the same homotopy type if and only if X' and Y' also have it.

If X' and Y' have the same rational homotopy type, there is a chain of rational homotopy equivalences

$$X' \leftarrow Z(0) \rightarrow \cdots \leftarrow Z(k) \rightarrow Y'$$

with $Z(i)$ Kan complexes. Let $f: W \rightarrow Z$ represent an underlying rational homotopy equivalence from the previous chain. Let $\{W_n, p_n^W, \varphi_n^W\}$ and $\{Z_n, p_n^Z, \varphi_n^Z\}$ be standard Postnikov towers of W and Z , respectively. According to [Šim24, Theorem 4.2] there is a map $f_d: W_d \rightarrow Z_d$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \\ \varphi_d^W \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_d^Z \\ W_d & \xrightarrow{f_d} & Z_d \end{array}$$

commutes. If $f_*: \pi_i(W) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \pi_i(Z) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is an isomorphism for all i , then so is $f_{d*}: \pi_i(W_d) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \pi_i(Z_d) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Hence, we obtain the chain of rational homotopy equivalences

$$X_d \leftarrow Z(0)_d \rightarrow \cdots \leftarrow Z(k)_d \rightarrow Y'_d.$$

So X_d and Y_d have the same rational homotopy type.

Now suppose that X_d and Y_d have the same rational homotopy type. According to Corollary 5.9 there is a rational homotopy equivalence $f_d: (X_d)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow (Y_d)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & Y_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \varphi_d^Y \\ X & \xrightarrow[r_{X_d} \circ \varphi_d^X]{} & (X_d)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{f_d} (Y_d)_{\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$

where $r_{X_d}: X_d \rightarrow (X_d)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a rationalization of X_d . Since $|X|$ is a CW-complex of dimension d and $|\varphi_d^Y|: |Y_{\mathbb{Q}}| \rightarrow |(Y_d)_{\mathbb{Q}}|$ is an isomorphism in homotopy groups π_i for $i \leq d$ and an epimorphism for $i = d + 1$, the induced map

$$|\varphi_d^Y|_*: [|X|, |Y_{\mathbb{Q}}|] \rightarrow [|X|, |(Y_d)_{\mathbb{Q}}|]$$

is a bijection. Let $F: |X| \rightarrow |Y_{\mathbb{Q}}|$ be a map which homotopy class corresponds to the homotopy class of $|f_d \circ r_{X_d} \circ \varphi_d^X|: |X| \rightarrow |(Y_d)_{\mathbb{Q}}|$. Then F induces isomorphisms in rational homology groups $H_i(\cdot; \mathbb{Q})$ for $i \leq d$. Since $|X|$ and $|Y|$ have dimensions $\leq d$, the homology groups $H_i(X; \mathbb{Q})$ and $H_i(Y_{\mathbb{Q}}; \mathbb{Q})$ are trivial for $i > d$ and hence F induces isomorphism on all homology groups for all i . Therefore we have a chain of rational homotopy equivalences

$$F: |X| \xrightarrow{F} |Y_{\mathbb{Q}}| \xleftarrow{|\varphi_d^Y|} |Y|$$

and so $|X|$ and $|Y|$ have the same rational homotopy type. \square

This result leads us to investigate the computability of minimal models for Postnikov stages.

Minimal models for Postnikov stages. The basic property of minimal algebras is that they are increasing sequences of subalgebras that are related to each other via *Hirsch extensions*.

Throughout the chapter, we denote the associated chain complex to a rational vector space V located in degree n as $V[n]$. Denote the dual of V as V^* .

Definition 5.11. Let (\mathcal{A}, ∂) be a DGA. A Hirsch extension of \mathcal{A} is a DGA

$$(\mathcal{A} \otimes_d \Lambda(V[n]), D)$$

where

- (i) V is a (finite-dimensional) vector space,
- (ii) $\Lambda(V[n])$ is the free graded-commutative algebra generated by V in degree n ,
- (iii) $\mathcal{A} \otimes \Lambda(V[n])$ is an underlying graded algebra with identifications $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \otimes 1$ and $V = 1 \otimes V$,
- (iv) $d: V \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{n+1}$ is a homomorphism of vector spaces with $\partial(\text{Im } d) = 0$,
- (v) the differential D on $\mathcal{A} \otimes_d \Lambda(V[n])$ is determined by its restrictions

$$D|_{\mathcal{A}} = \partial \text{ and } D|_V = d.$$

The following theorem explains why there is a certain type of duality between principal minimal fibrations and Hirsch extensions.

Theorem 5.12 ([GM13], Theorem 12.1). *Let B and E be simplicial sets and let $f: E \rightarrow B$ be a principal $K(\pi, n)$ Kan fibration with a Postnikov class $[\kappa] \in H^{n+1}(B; \pi)$ where π is an abelian group and $V = \pi \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a finite-dimensional rational vector space. Let $\rho_B: \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow A_{PL}(B)$ be a minimal model for B . Denote $\mathcal{M}_E = \mathcal{M}_B \otimes_d \Lambda(V^*[n])$ the Hirsch extension with a differential d such that*

$$[d] \in \text{Hom}(V^*, H^{n+1}(\mathcal{M}_B))$$

is identified through ρ_B with

$$[\kappa \otimes 1] \in H^{n+1}(B; \pi) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong H^{n+1}(B; V).$$

Then, there is a map $\rho_E: \mathcal{M}_E \rightarrow A_{PL}(E)$ which is a minimal model for E and makes commutative the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_B & \xrightarrow{\rho_B} & A_{PL}(B) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f^* \\ \mathcal{M}_E & \xrightarrow{\rho_E} & A_{PL}(E) \end{array}$$

In this case, we say that Kan fibration $E \rightarrow B$ and the Hirsch extension \mathcal{M}_E of \mathcal{M}_B are dual.

Proof. Here, we only explain the relation between $[\kappa]$ and $[d]$ if $H^{n+1}(B; \mathbb{Q})$ is a rational vector space of finite dimension.

$$\begin{aligned} [d] &\in \text{Hom}(V^*, H^{n+1}(\mathcal{M}_B)) \cong \text{Hom}(V^*, H^{n+1}(A_{PL}(B))) \cong \text{Hom}(V^*, H^{n+1}(B; \mathbb{Q})) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(H_{n+1}(B; \mathbb{Q}), V) \cong H^{n+1}(B; V) \ni [\kappa \otimes 1] \end{aligned}$$

□

A direct consequence of the previous theorem is that by repeating this procedure, we obtain a minimal model for the n -th Postnikov stage X_n . As an induction base, we can use the minimal model $(\Lambda(V^*[2]), 0)$ for $K(\pi_2(X), 2)$ with

$$V = \pi_2(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

Corollary 5.13 ([GM13], Corollary 12.2). *Suppose that X is a simply connected simplicial set whose rational homology is a finite-dimensional rational vector space in each dimension with a standard Postnikov tower $\{X_n\}$. Let \mathcal{M} be a minimal model for X and let $\mathcal{M}(n)$ be a minimal sub-DGA generated by elements of \mathcal{M} in degrees $\leq n$. Then, $\mathcal{M}(n)$ is a finitely generated minimal model for X_n . In particular, $\mathcal{M}(n)$ is the Hirsch extension of $\mathcal{M}(n-1)$ dual to the principal $K(\pi_n(X), n)$ Kan fibration $X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$.*

6. ALGORITHMIC MINIMAL MODEL FOR A SIMPLICIAL SET

In this section, we show that if X is a simply connected simplicial set with effective homology $C_{*}^{\text{ef}}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, then $A_{PL}(X)$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem A. That means that one can compute an n -minimal Sullivan model for such a simplicial set X for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The aim lies in transporting the effective homology of $C_{*}^{\text{ef}}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ to $A_{PL}(X)$ through the chain of isomorphisms and reductions of rational cochain complexes.

$$C_{*}^{\text{ef}}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightleftharpoons[\textcircled{0}]{\quad} C^{*}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow[\textcircled{1}]{\quad \cong \quad} W(X) \xrightleftharpoons[\textcircled{2}]{\quad} A_{PL}(X)$$

where $C^{*}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is the cochain complex of X and $W(X)$ are Whitney forms on X . The composition of maps $\textcircled{1}$ and $\textcircled{2}$ already appeared in Dupont's work [Dup78] and it is known as explicit *simplicial de Rham theorem*.

Effective homology for $C^{*}(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Assume that we have a strong homotopy equivalence of chain complexes $C_{*}(X) \rightleftarrows C_{*}^{\text{ef}}(X)$. Then

$$C^{*}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = (C_{*}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^* \rightleftarrows (C_{*}^{\text{ef}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^* = C_{*}^{\text{ef}}(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

by Lemma 2.4.

The isomorphism between $C^{*}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and $W(X)$. We will define cochain complexes $C_{PL}(X)$ and $W(X)$ and show that the isomorphism $\textcircled{1}$ is a composition of two natural isomorphisms

$$C^{*}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong C_{PL}(X) \cong W(X).$$

Remind Construction 5.4 and Proposition 5.5. Their consequence is that $(A_{PL})_n$ are polynomial differential forms on the standard n -simplex. Similarly, $\Delta[n]$ can be substituted in $C^{*}(-)$ to obtain a simplicial cochain algebra C_{PL} , which can be found in the book [FHT01].

Definition 6.1. The simplicial cochain algebra $C_{PL} = \{(C_{PL})_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is defined by

- cochain algebras $(C_{PL})_n = C^{*}(\Delta[n]; \mathbb{Q})$ for $n \geq 0$,
- the face $\partial_i = C^{*}([d_i])$ and degeneracy $s_j = C^{*}([\rho_j])$ morphisms which are induced by standard inclusions $d_i: [n] \rightarrow [n+1]$ and standard degeneracy maps $\rho_j: [n+1] \rightarrow [n]$.

Now, Construction 5.4 defines the cochain algebra $C_{PL}(X)$.

Theorem 6.2. *Let X be a simplicial set. Then there is a natural isomorphism $C_{PL}(X) \rightarrow C^{*}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ of cochain algebras.*

Proof. The proof is due to Watkins ([Wat74]) and is available in [FHT01, Lemma 10.11]. From an algorithmic point of view, it is sufficient to prescribe the map; all other details are available in the referenced literature. For $p \geq 0$, take $\gamma \in C_{PL}^p(X)$ and $\sigma \in X_p$. Then $\gamma_\sigma \in C^p(\Delta[p]; \mathbb{Q})$ and we can define $g \in C^p(X; \mathbb{Q})$ as

$$g(\sigma) = \gamma_\sigma(c_p).$$

The inverse map $C^p(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow C_{PL}(X)$ is defined as follows. Each $\sigma \in X_n$ determines a unique simplicial map $\sigma_*: \Delta[n] \rightarrow X$ such that $\sigma_*(c_n) = \sigma$. So, the inverse map is

$$g \mapsto \gamma \text{ such that } \gamma_\sigma = C^p(\sigma_*)(g) \text{ for } \sigma \in X_n.$$

□

Whitney forms. The Whitney forms originate in the book of Hassler Whitney [Whi57], he called them elementary forms. Generally, Whitney forms are differential forms in a simplicial complex K embedded in an affine space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , see [LK21]. For our purposes, we focus only on Whitney forms in the standard n -simplex Δ^n (see [Lun18]) and the extension of the definition for every simplicial set via general construction.

Definition 6.3. *Whitney form associated to $f: [p] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ is the p -form*

$$\omega_f = p! \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i t_{f(i)} dt_{f(0)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dt_{f(i)}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{f(p)} \in (A_{PL})_n^p.$$

The Whitney p -forms W_n^p is a vector subspace in $(A_{PL})_n^p$ spanned by all Whitney forms associated with the morphisms $f: [p] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ . The Whitney forms determine the subspace $W_n = \{(W_n^p\}_{p \geq 0}$ of $(A_{PL})_n$.

If f is not an injective morphism, then the Whitney form associated with f is trivial, that is, $\omega_f = 0$, see [Lun18, Proposition 3.4 (1)]. The vector spaces W_n are finite-dimensional.

Proposition 6.4. *The space $W := \{W_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of the Whitney forms is a simplicial DG-vector subspace of A_{PL} .*

Proof. See Proposition 3.4 in [Lun18]. □

This proposition enables us to apply Construction 5.4 on W , so we obtain a notion of Whitney forms $W(X)$ for any simplicial set X . Proposition 5.5 says that $W_n \cong W(\Delta[n])$.

To remove trivial Whitney forms, we introduce the set $I(p, n) \subseteq \text{Mor}_\Delta([p], [n])$ of all injective and strictly monotone maps in the category of finite ordinals Δ .

Integration over simplices. Integrals are defined using axiomatic rules derived from the classical formula for integration by parts for the Riemann integral. More details are available in [FHT01, p. 128].

Definition 6.5. Let $\sigma = (i_0, i_1, \dots, i_k)$ be a nondegenerate k -simplex in $\Delta[n]$. Denote Δ^σ the geometric k -simplex with vertices $e_{i_0}, e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}$. The integration map on Δ^σ is the map

$$\int_{\Delta^\sigma}: (A_{PL})_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

defined by linearity using the two identities:

$$\int_{\Delta^\sigma} t_{i_0}^{a_0} t_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdots t_{i_k}^{a_k} dt_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dt_{i_j}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{i_k} = (-1)^j \frac{a_0! \cdots a_k!}{(a_0 + \cdots + a_k + k)!},$$

and

$$\int_{\Delta^\sigma} \eta = 0 \text{ if } \eta \in (A_{PL})_n^p, \text{ with } p \neq k, \text{ or } \eta = 0 \text{ on } \Delta^\sigma.$$

The first formula explains the role of $p!$ in the definition of Whitney forms ω_f for $f: [p] \rightarrow [n]$, because it forces $\int_{\Delta^\sigma} f^* \omega_f = 1$ for $\sigma = (f(0), f(1), \dots, f(p))$.

In the next step, our aim is to identify $(C_{PL})_n$ with W_n using the integration map. Since k -forms are integrable over k -simplices, each k -form ω produces a k -cochain whose values on the chains are integrals of ω .

Definition 6.6. The de Rham map $DR: W_n^k \rightarrow (C_{PL})_n^k$ is a linear map defined by the following prescription:

$$DR(\omega) \left(\sum_i a_i \sigma_i \right) = \sum_i a_i \int_{\Delta^{\sigma_i}} \omega.$$

Note that we use the same notation d for coboundary $C^p(\Delta[n]; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow C^{p+1}(\Delta[n]; \mathbb{Q})$ and the exterior derivative of the differential forms. Then, Stokes' theorem implies that

$$DR(d) = d(DR).$$

For the opposite map $(C_{PL})_n^k \rightarrow W_n^k$, we need to associate with every generator of $C^k(\Delta[n]; \mathbb{Q})$ a map $f: [k] \rightarrow [n]$. As $\Delta[n]$ is a finite simplicial complex, $C^k(\Delta[n]; \mathbb{Q})$ is a finite-dimensional vector space, and so $C^k(\Delta[n]; \mathbb{Q}) \cong C_k(\Delta[n]; \mathbb{Q})$, i.e., every nondegenerate k -simplex $\sigma = (i_0, i_1, \dots, i_k)$ uniquely determines the cochain $\tilde{\sigma}_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_k}$ of the dual basis in $C^k(\Delta[n]; \mathbb{Q})$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}(\sigma) = 1$ and $\tilde{\sigma}(\tau) = 0$ for all $\tau \neq \sigma$.

Definition 6.7. The Whitney map $WH: (C_{PL})_n^k \rightarrow W_n^k$ is a linear map defined uniquely by prescription

$$WH(\tilde{\sigma}_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_k}) = \omega_f \text{ such that } f(j) = i_j \text{ for each } j = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$

Proposition 6.8. *The map $WH: (C_{PL})_n \rightarrow W_n$ is an isomorphism such that*

$$DR \circ WH = \text{id}_{(C_{PL})_n}.$$

Proof. See the proof of Proposition 4.1 in [LK21]. \square

Now, we need to show that the maps WH and DR respect simplicial structures and so they can be considered as isomorphisms between simplicial cochain complexes W and C_{PL} .

Theorem 6.9. *The maps $WH: C_{PL} \rightarrow W$ and $DR: W \rightarrow C_{PL}$ are inverse simplicial isomorphisms to each other.*

Proof. DR and WH are linear isomorphisms according to Proposition 6.8. Since DR is an isomorphism of cochain complexes, its inverse has to be also such an isomorphism. The fact that DR commutes with face ∂_i and degeneracy operators s_j has been proved in [FHT01, Theorem 10.15(i)]. This again implies that its inverse WH also commutes with these operators. \square

Corollary 6.10. *Let X be a simplicial set. Then*

$$C^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong C_{PL}^*(X) \cong W^*(X).$$

Proof. The previous theorem says that $W \cong C_{PL}$ is a simplicial isomorphism, so it also induces $C_{PL}^*(X) \cong W^*(X)$ as DG vector spaces for any simplicial set X . Moreover, Theorem 6.2 provides $C^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong C_{PL}^*(X)$. \square

The reduction of $A_{PL}(X)$ to $W(X)$. This section describes the maps providing the reduction of A_{PL} to W .

Theorem 6.11 (Dupont, [Dup76], Getzler, [Get09]). *For each $m \geq 0$ consider the inclusion $i_m: W_m \rightarrow (A_{PL})_m$ and the operator $\pi_m: (A_{PL})_m^* \rightarrow W_m^*$*

$$\pi_m(\eta) = \sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{f \in I(p, m)} \left(\int_{\Delta^p} f^* \eta \right) \omega_f.$$

There exist Dupont homotopies

$$h_{\Delta[m]}: (A_{PL})_m^* \rightarrow (A_{PL})_m^{*-1}$$

such that

- the operator π_m is a simplicial projector onto W_m i.e. $\pi_m i_m = \text{id}$,
- $h_{\Delta[m]} d + dh_{\Delta[m]} = i_m \pi_m - \text{id}$ and $h_{\Delta[m]}$ is a simplicial map,
- $h_{\Delta[m]}^2 = 0$, $\pi_m h_{\Delta[m]} = 0$ and $h_{\Delta[m]} i_m = 0$.

The triple $(\pi_m, i_m, h_{\Delta[m]})$ is a simplicial reduction of DG vector spaces. Moreover, the Dupont homotopies are constructable in an algorithmic way.

Proof. See the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [Dup76] or the proof Lemma 3.4, Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.11 in [Get09]. \square

Dupont homotopy construction. The principle of Dupont homotopy comes from the idea of the proof of Poincaré lemma. First, we define the map $f_j: [0] \rightarrow [m]$ with the assignment $f_j(0) = j$ and associate it with the dilation map $\widehat{f}_j: \Delta^1 \times \Delta^m \rightarrow \Delta^m$

$$\widehat{f}_j(s, v) = se_j + (1 - s)v$$

where $s \in [0, 1]$, $v \in \Delta^m$. For any $\eta \in A_{PL}(\Delta[m])$, there are unique forms $\alpha_\eta^j, \beta_\eta^j \in A_{PL}(\Delta[m])[s]$ (that is, forms on Δ^m the coefficients of which are polynomials in coordinates of Δ^m and s) such that

$$\widehat{f}_j^*(\eta) = (ds)\alpha_\eta^j + \beta_\eta^j$$

where $\widehat{f}_j^*: A_{PL}(\Delta[m]) \rightarrow A_{PL}(\Delta[1]) \otimes A_{PL}(\Delta[m])$ is the pullback of \widehat{f}_j . Dupont defined $h_j \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-1}(A_{PL}(\Delta[m]), A_{PL}(\Delta[m]))$ by the prescription

$$h_j(\eta) = \int_0^1 \alpha_\eta^j \, ds.$$

If

$$\alpha_\eta^j = (1 - s)^a s^b t_0^{k_0} t_1^{k_1} \dots t_m^{k_m} dt_{c_1} \dots dt_{c_l},$$

then

$$h_j(\eta) = \left(\int_0^1 (1 - s)^a s^b \, ds \right) t_0^{k_0} t_1^{k_1} \dots t_m^{k_m} dt_{c_1} \dots dt_{c_l}.$$

Consider this map as defined for the map f_j . We can extend the definition for all maps $f \in I(p, m)$ by setting

$$h_f = h_{f(p)} \circ \dots \circ h_{f(0)} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-p-1}(A_{PL}(\Delta[m]), A_{PL}([\Delta[m]])).$$

Now, the Dupont homotopy $h_{\Delta[m]} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-1}(A_{PL}(\Delta[m]), A_{PL}(\Delta[m]))$ is defined by

$$h_{\Delta[m]}(\eta) = \sum_{p=0}^{m-1} \sum_{f \in I(p, m)} \omega_f h_f(\eta).$$

Remark. A careful reader can observe different summation upper bounds in the definition of Dupont homotopy and Dupont projection. The reason is that $I(m, m) = \{\text{id}\}$ and h_{id} reduces the degree of the form by $(m + 1)$, therefore $h_{\text{id}} = 0$.

Example. Consider $f: [1] \rightarrow [2]$ defined as $f(0) = 1$, $f(1) = 2$ and $\eta = t_1^2 dt_2$. Our aim is to compute $h_f(\eta)$. At first, we compute $\widehat{f}_1^*(\eta)$ so we need to make the substitution $t_1 = (1 - s)x_1 + s$ and $t_2 = (1 - s)x_2$ according to \widehat{f}_1 . Thus, we have

$$\widehat{f}_1^*(\eta) = [(1 - s)^2 x_1^2 + 2(1 - s)s x_1 + s^2] [-x_2 \, ds + (1 - s) \, dx_2]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\eta} = h_1(\eta) &= - \int_0^1 (1 - s)^2 \, ds x_1^2 x_2 - 2 \int_0^1 (1 - s)s \, ds x_1 x_2 - \int_0^1 s^2 \, ds x_2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{3} x_1^2 x_2 - \frac{1}{3} x_1 x_2 - \frac{1}{3} x_2. \end{aligned}$$

As there is no term dx_0, dx_1, dx_2 in the form $\widetilde{\eta}$, we will get $\alpha_{\widetilde{\eta}} = 0$ and so $h_2(\widetilde{\eta}) = 0$. Finally, this implies that $h_f(\eta) = h_2(h_1(\eta)) = 0$.

Let us compute

$$h_{\Delta[2]}(\eta) = \sum_{p=0}^1 \sum_{f \in I(p, 2)} \omega_f h_f(\eta).$$

Since for $f \in I(1, 2)$ we get $h_f(\eta) = 0$, it suffices to consider only $f_i \in I(0, 2)$:

f_i	ω_{f_i}	h_{f_i}	$\omega_{f_i} h_{f_i}(\eta)$
$f_0(0) = 0$	$t_0 = 1 - t_1 - t_2$	$-\frac{1}{3}t_1^2 t_2$	$-\frac{1}{3}t_1^2 t_2 + \frac{1}{3}t_1^2 t_2^2 + \frac{1}{3}t_1^3 t_2$
$f_1(0) = 1$	t_1	$-\frac{1}{3}t_2 - \frac{1}{3}t_1 t_2 - \frac{1}{3}t_1^2 t_2$	$-\frac{1}{3}t_1 t_2 - \frac{1}{3}t_1^2 t_2 - \frac{1}{3}t_1^3 t_2$
$f_2(0) = 2$	t_2	$\frac{1}{3}t_1^2 - \frac{1}{3}t_1^2 t_2$	$\frac{1}{3}t_1^2 t_2 - \frac{1}{3}t_1^2 t_2^2$

The results is

$$h_{\Delta[2]}(\eta) = -\frac{1}{3}t_1 t_2 - \frac{1}{3}t_1^2 t_2.$$

To show that $dh_{\Delta[2]}(\eta) + h_{\Delta[2]} d(\eta) = \pi_2(\eta) - \eta$ we have compute $h_{\Delta[2]}(d\eta)$. Here, calculations are much more complicated since we also use maps $f^a, f^b, f^c \in I(1, 2)$, $f^a(0) = 0, f^a(1) = 1, f^b(0) = 0, f^b(1) = 2, f^c(0) = 1, f^c(1) = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{f_0} h_{f_0}(d\eta) &= \frac{2}{3}t_1 t_2 dt_1 - \frac{2}{3}t_1 t_2^2 dt_1 - \frac{2}{3}t_1^2 dt_2 + \frac{2}{3}t_1^2 t_2 dt_2 - \frac{2}{3}t_1^2 t_2 dt_1 + \frac{2}{3}t_1^3 dt_2, \\ \omega_{f_1} h_{f_1}(d\eta) &= \frac{1}{3}t_1 dt_2 + \frac{1}{3}t_1 t_2 dt_1 + \frac{1}{3}t_1^2 dt_2 + \frac{2}{3}t_1^2 t_2 dt_1 - \frac{2}{3}t_1^3 dt_2, \\ \omega_{f_2} h_{f_2}(d\eta) &= -\frac{2}{3}t_1 t_2 dt_1 + \frac{2}{3}t_1 t_2^2 dt_1 - \frac{2}{3}t_1^2 t_2 dt_2, \\ \omega_{f^a} h_{f^a}(d\eta) &= \frac{1}{3}t_2 dt_1 - \frac{1}{3}t_2^2 dt_1 + \frac{1}{3}t_1 t_2 dt_2 + \frac{1}{3}t_1 t_2 dt_1 - \frac{1}{3}t_1 t_2^2 dt_1 + \frac{1}{3}t_1^2 t_2 dt_2, \\ \omega_{f^b} h_{f^b}(d\eta) &= -\frac{1}{3}t_1^2 dt_2 - \frac{1}{3}t_1^2 t_2 dt_1 + \frac{1}{3}t_1^3 dt_2, \\ \omega_{f^c} h_{f^c}(d\eta) &= -\frac{1}{3}t_2 dt_1 + \frac{1}{3}t_2^2 dt_1 + \frac{1}{3}t_1 dt_2 - \frac{1}{3}t_1 t_2 dt_2 + \frac{1}{3}t_1 t_2^2 dt_1 - \frac{1}{3}t_1^2 t_2 dt_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3}t_1^2 t_2 dt_1 - \frac{1}{3}t_1^3 dt_2. \end{aligned}$$

Summary results are:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\Delta[2]}(d\eta) &= \frac{2}{3}t_1 dt_2 + \frac{2}{3}t_1 t_2 dt_1 - \frac{2}{3}t_1^2 dt_2, \\ dh_{\Delta[2]}(\eta) &= -\frac{1}{3}t_2 dt_1 - \frac{1}{3}t_1 dt_2 - \frac{2}{3}t_1 t_2 dt_1 - \frac{1}{3}t_1^2 dt_2, \\ \pi_2(\eta) &= \left(\int_{\Delta[1]} (f^c)^*(\eta) \right) \omega_{f^c} = \int_{\Delta[1]} t_0^2 dt_1 \omega_{f^c} = \int_{\Delta[1]} (1 - t_1)^2 dt_1 \omega_{f^c} = \frac{1}{3}(t_1 dt_2 - t_2 dt_1), \\ \eta &= t_1^2 dt_2. \end{aligned}$$

It's clear that $dh_{\Delta[2]}(\eta) + h_{\Delta[2]}(d\eta) = \pi_2(\eta) - \eta$.

Corollary 6.12. *Let X be a simplicial set. Then, there is a reduction*

$$A_{PL}(X) \implies W(X).$$

Proof. The statement is a direct consequence of the previous theorem. The homomorphisms describing the reduction $A_{PL} \implies W$ are simplicial, therefore, the induced maps between $A_{PL}(X) = \text{Hom}_{\text{sSet}}(X, A_{PL})$ and $W(X) = \text{Hom}_{\text{sSet}}(X, W)$ also form a reduction. \square

The simplicial de Rham Theorem over \mathbb{Q} . This part focuses on an explicit description of reduction $A_{PL}(X) \implies C^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ derived by Dupont [Dup78, Chapter 2]. Dupont mentions that Dennis Sullivan made a similar result.

Theorem 6.13 (Dupont, [Dup78]). *There are natural chain maps $E: C^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow A_{PL}^*(X)$ and $I: A_{PL}^*(X) \rightarrow C^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ together with natural chain homotopies $S: A_{PL}^*(X) \rightarrow A_{PL}^{*-1}(X)$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} I \circ E - \text{id} &= 0, \\ S \circ d + d \circ S &= E \circ I - \text{id}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, homotopy S has the properties $S^2 = 0$ and $I \circ S = 0$ (and so $S \circ E = 0$). That means that

$$A_{PL}(X) \implies C^*(X; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Proof. Here, we summarize key constructions from the proof of Theorem 2.16 in [Dup78]. For algorithms, one needs to supply the definitions of maps. (The remaining formalities are available in the referenced proof.)

$$\begin{aligned} I(\varphi)_\sigma &= \int_{\Delta^k} \varphi_\sigma, \quad \varphi \in A_{PL}^k(X), \sigma \in X_k, \\ E(\psi)_\sigma &= \sum_{f \in I(k, m)} \omega_f \cdot \psi_{f^*(\sigma)}, \quad \psi \in C^k(X; \mathbb{Q}), \sigma \in X_m \\ S(\varphi)_\sigma &= \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \sum_{f \in I(p, k)} \omega_f h_f(\varphi_\sigma), \quad \varphi \in A_{PL}^k(X), \sigma \in X_m, \end{aligned}$$

where $f^*: X_k \rightarrow X_m$ is a simplicial map induced by f . The additional properties of S are due to Lemma 3.4 part i) and Theorem 3.11 in [Get09]. \square

One can observe that the composition $E \circ I$ is in a relationship with the definition of π_m and S is related to $h_{\Delta[m]}$ from the previous subsection. It is straightforward to verify that the reduction (I, E, S_k) is a composition of the isomorphism ① and the reduction ②.

7. MAIN RESULTS

We are now ready to formulate and briefly prove our main results.

Theorem C. *There is an algorithm that for a simply connected simplicial set X with effective homology and for a number $d \in \mathbb{N}$ computes the minimal model of X up to degree d .*

Proof. The effective homology of X provides the strong homotopy equivalence $C^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \iff C_{ef}^*$ where $C_{ef}^* = (C_*^{\text{ef}} \otimes \mathbb{Q})^*$ and C_*^{ef} is effective homology of X . Theorem 6.13 provides a reduction $A_{PL}(X) \implies C^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$. Then, we compose both strong homotopy equivalences into one strong homotopy equivalence $C_{ef}^* \iff A_{PL}(X)$. Note that $A_{PL}(X)$ is simply connected as the same property has the simplicial set X . Now, we can apply Theorem A to get a minimal model of X up to degree d . \square

Theorem D. *There is an algorithm that decides for given finite simply connected simplicial sets X and Y if $|X|$ and $|Y|$ have the same rational homotopy type.*

Proof. Let d be an integer such that $\dim X \leq d$ and $\dim Y \leq d$. Using the algorithm of Theorem C we construct their minimal models $\mathcal{M}_X(d)$ and $\mathcal{M}_Y(d)$ up to degree d . According to Corollary 5.13 they are minimal models of Postnikov stages X_d and Y_d of X and Y , respectively. Once the minimal models of X_d and Y_d are available, we determine if they are isomorphic by applying the algorithm in Theorem B. Then we apply Proposition 5.10 to decide whether X and Y have the same rational homotopy type. \square

REFERENCES

- [ČKM⁺14] M. Čadek, M. Krčál, J. Matoušek, L. Vokřínek, and U. Wagner, *Polynomial-time computation of homotopy groups and Postnikov systems in fixed dimension*, SIAM Journal on Computing **43** (2014), no. 5, 1728–1780.
- [Cur71] E. B. Curtis, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Advances in Mathematics **6** (1971), no. 2, 107–209.
- [Dup76] J. L. Dupont, *Simplicial de Rham cohomology and characteristic classes of flat bundles*, Topology **15** (1976), no. 3, 233–245.
- [Dup78] L. J. Dupont, *Curvature and characteristic classes*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1978.
- [FHT01] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, and J. C. Thomas, *Rational homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer New York, 2001.
- [Get09] E. Getzler, *Lie theory for nilpotent L_∞ -algebras*, Annals of Mathematics **170** (2009), no. 1, 271–301.

- [GJ09] P. G. Goerss and J. F. Jardine, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Birkhäuser Basel, 2009.
- [GM13] P. Griffiths and J. Morgan, *Rational homotopy theory and differential forms*, Springer New York, 2013.
- [GS80] F. Grunewald and D. Segal, *Some general algorithms. I: Arithmetic groups*, Annals of Mathematics **112** (1980), no. 3, 531–583.
- [LK21] J. Lohi and L. Kettunen, *Whitney forms and their extensions*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics **393** (2021), 113520.
- [Lun18] L. Lunardon, *Some remarks on Dupont contraction*, Rendiconti di Matematica e delle sue Applicazioni **39** (2018), 79–96.
- [May92] J.P. May, *Simplicial objects in algebraic topology*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, 1992.
- [MB20] V. Manero and M. M. Buzunáriz, *Effective computation of degree bounded minimal models of gcdas*, Journal of Software for Algebra and Geometry **10** (2020), no. 1, 25–39.
- [NW99] A. Nabutovsky and S. Weinberger, *Algorithmic aspects of homeomorphism problems*, Tel Aviv Topology Conference: Rothenberg Festschrift (1998) (M. Rothenberg, M. Farber, W. Lück, and S. Weinberger, eds.), Contemporary mathematics - American Mathematical Society, American Mathematical Society, 1999, pp. 245–250.
- [RS12] J. Rubio and F. Sergeraert, *Constructive homological algebra and applications*, arXiv: K-Theory and Homology (2012), 1–154.
- [Sar80] R. A. Sarkisyan, *A problem of equality for Galois cohomology*, Algebra and Logic **19** (1980), no. 6, 459–472.
- [Sar82a] _____, *Algorithmic questions for linear algebraic groups. I*, Math. USSR Sb. **41** (1982), no. 2, 149.
- [Sar82b] _____, *Algorithmic questions for linear algebraic groups. II*, Math. USSR Sb. **41** (1982), no. 3, 329–359.
- [Šim24] M. Šimková, *Are two H-spaces homotopy equivalent? An algorithmic view point*, Journal of Topology and Analysis **0** (2024), no. 0, 1–34.
- [Wat74] C. Watkiss, *Cohomology of principal bundles in semisimplicial theory*, Thesis – University of Toronto (1974).
- [Whi57] H. Whitney, *Geometric integration theory*, Princeton University Press, 1957.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MASARYK UNIVERSITY, KOTLÁŘSKÁ 2, 611 37 BRNO, CZECH REPUBLIC

Email address: simkova@math.muni.cz