

UNIQUENESS FOR THE HOMOGENEOUS LANDAU-COULOMB EQUATION IN $L^{3/2}$

MARIA PIA GUALDANI AND WEIRAN SUN

ABSTRACT. We prove the uniqueness of H -solutions to the homogeneous Landau-Coulomb equation satisfying $\langle v \rangle^{k_0} f \in C([0, T]; L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $\langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v((\langle v \rangle^{k_0} f)^{3/4}) \in L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $k_0 \geq 5$. In particular, this shows that the solutions constructed in [9] are unique. The present work thus completes the global well-posedness theory in the critical space $L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Our proof is part of a broader effort to use the \mathcal{M} -operator technique developed in [1, 2] to establish the uniqueness of rough solutions to nonlinear kinetic equations. When applied to the space-homogeneous case, the \mathcal{M} -operator can be taken simply as a Bessel potential operator.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Technical Lemmas	4
3. <i>A Priori</i> Estimates	7
3.1. Estimate of $\text{Int}(I_1)$	8
3.2. Estimates for $\text{Int}(I_2)$	14
3.3. Estimates of $\text{Int}(I_3)$ and $\text{Int}(I_4)$	16
3.4. Estimates of $\text{Int}(I_5)$ and $\text{Int}(I_6)$	24
4. Uniqueness	26
Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 2.1	30
Acknowledgments	32
References	32

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the space-homogeneous Landau-Coulomb equation

$$\partial_t f = \nabla_v \cdot (A[f] \nabla_v f - f \nabla_v a[f]), \quad f|_{t=0} = f^{in}(v), \quad (1.1)$$

with $A[f]$, $a[f]$ being defined as

$$\begin{aligned} A[f](t, v) &:= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{P(v-z)}{|v-z|} f(t, z) dz, \quad P(z) := I - \frac{z \otimes z}{|z|^2}, \\ a[f](t, v) &:= \text{Tr}(A[f]) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|v-z|} f(t, z) dz. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

The goal of this paper is to establish the uniqueness of smooth solutions generated from rough initial data and the uniqueness of H -solutions satisfying additional regularity properties. Using the standard notation $\langle v \rangle := \sqrt{1 + |v|^2}$ for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, our main result is summarized as follows.

Main Theorem. *Let $k_0 \geq 5$, $\langle v \rangle^{k_0} f^{in} \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $\|f^{in}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 1$. Let $T_0 > 0$ be arbitrary. If f is a nonnegative H -solution to (1.1) that additionally satisfies*

$$\langle v \rangle^{k_0} f \in C([0, T_0]; L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{3k_0}{4}} \nabla_v(f^{3/4}) \in L^2((0, T_0) \times \mathbb{R}^3). \quad (1.3)$$

Then it is unique.

Recall the definition of the H -solution (see Corollary 1.1 in [5]): for any test function $\phi \in C_c^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f^{in} \phi(0, v) dv - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \partial_t \phi dv dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A[f] f : \nabla^2 \phi dv dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla a[f] f \cdot \nabla \phi dv dt. \end{aligned}$$

Although the existence of smooth solutions to (1.1) for initial data $f^{in} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (with suitable moment conditions) is well understood (see [6, 9, 11, 16] and references therein), the question of uniqueness remains largely open. In particular, beyond the major unresolved problem of uniqueness for H -solutions, even the uniqueness of smooth solutions with initial data in L^p with $1 \leq p \leq \frac{3}{2}$, such as those constructed in [6, 9, 16], has not yet been established.

The Main Theorem above provides two contributions: First, it shows that smooth solutions with initial data in $L^{3/2}$ are unique. The existence of such solutions was proved in [9]. Our result thus completes the global well-posedness analysis of the Landau equation with initial data in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $p \geq \frac{3}{2}$. Second, it yields a uniqueness result for H -solutions: if an H -solution additionally satisfies uniform bounds in $L^{3/2}$ then it is unique.

The conditional uniqueness of H -solutions is reminiscent of the work in [8]. In [8], uniqueness of H -solutions is obtained under a conditional uniform bound in $L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Many works have built their uniqueness theory based on such $L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))$ bound, and therefore, by estimating the blow-up rate of $\|f(t)\|_{L^\infty}$ at $t = 0$ and showing that it is integrable (see, for example, [10, 15, 18]). In this regard, it has been shown in [9] that if $f^{in} \in L_{k_0}^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $p > 3/2$, then the corresponding solution f belongs to $L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))$, and consequently, it is unique. Recently, an alternative proof of the uniqueness theorem in [8] has been provided in [4] using a novel estimate on the contractivity of the 2-Wasserstein distance.

However, as shown in [6, 9, 16], if the initial data is not smooth enough, one can often only expect $\|f(t)\|_{L^\infty} \sim \frac{1}{t}$. In this case, the uniqueness requires a novel approach and has been an open problem until now.

Weak-strong uniqueness is another concept that is widely used to study kinetic equations (see, for example, [13–15, 19]). The basic idea is to show that if there exists a solution with sufficient regularity, then any weak solutions with the same initial data will agree with the stronger solution. In this direction, the most recent result for the homogeneous Landau is in [19], where it is shown that the relative entropy between an H -solution f and a regular solution g is controlled by the initial relative entropy and the norms of g . The regularity of g is stronger than $L^{3/2}$.

The two routes mentioned above differ from our framework. There is one recent work [12] that is closely related to ours, in which negative Sobolev spaces and energy methods are used for the homogeneous Landau-Coulomb equation. The energy estimates are carried out using a Littlewood-Paley type decomposition and pseudo-differential analysis. The main uniqueness result in [12] is established for initial data in a logarithmically modified Sobolev space $H^{-1/2,r}$. Note that in \mathbb{R}^3 , the space $H^{-1/2}$ is precisely the H^s -space that $L^{3/2}$ embeds into. It is, however, unclear to us whether this embedding still holds for the modified space $H^{-1/2,r}$. In contrast, we directly work with $L^{3/2}$ -spaces and our analysis is performed in the physical space.

Methodology. The main idea in this work is to study uniqueness in suitable negative Sobolev spaces via direct energy estimates. To do so, we have been developing an \mathcal{M} -operator framework to tackle the issue of rough solutions. We initiated our program in a preliminary work on spatial inhomogeneous kinetic equations in [1], where a model system and a modified Landau-Coulomb

equation are shown to have unique bounded solutions. In [1], we choose a particular \mathcal{M} with symbol

$$\mathcal{M} \sim \frac{1}{1 + \delta \int_t^T \langle \xi + (t - \tau) \eta \rangle^2 d\tau},$$

where (ξ, η) are the Fourier variables of (v, x) respectively, and δ is a small parameter appropriately chosen. Such an operator was explicitly designed to have a manageable commutator with the transport operator $\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x$. The \mathcal{M} -operator defined above was first introduced in [2] to show the regularization from H^{-s} to L^2 of the linearized non-cutoff Boltzmann equation, where s is the singularity strength of the Boltzmann operator.

The current work is part of this program and is an application of the \mathcal{M} -operator method to the space-homogeneous Landau-Coulomb equation. For space-homogeneous equations, the \mathcal{M} -operator above simplifies significantly. We simply choose \mathcal{M} to be the Bessel potential operator:

$$\mathcal{M} = (I - \Delta_v)^{-1},$$

where I is the identity operator. The advantage is that such \mathcal{M} has an integral representation in terms of the Bessel potential:

$$(\mathcal{M}h)(v) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-|v-v_1|}}{|v-v_1|} h(v_1) dv_1. \quad (1.4)$$

Although \mathcal{M} has a simple symbol in the Fourier space, we choose to work in the physical space using its integral representation (1.4). The main idea is to derive a closed L^2 -energy estimate of $\mathcal{M}w$, where w is the difference of two solutions generated from the same initial data (weighted with $\langle v \rangle^2$). Working with $\mathcal{M}w$ instead of w allows one to consider less regular functions w . Unlike in [1], the particular choice of the Bessel potential avoids the need of pseudo-differential calculus to treat commutators. Instead, we use the following obvious yet convenient identity

$$h = (I - \Delta_v)\mathcal{M}h, \quad (1.5)$$

and then work with the commutators generated by $I - \Delta_v$. This makes our computation explicit and elementary.

Another important application of (1.4) and (1.5) is that it enables us to rewrite the non-local operators $A[w]$ and $a[w]$ in the Landau equation precisely into combinations of $A[\mathcal{M}w]$, $A[\Delta_v \mathcal{M}w]$, $a[\mathcal{M}w]$, and $a[\Delta_v \mathcal{M}w]$. Consequently, we obtain a closed-form equation for $\mathcal{M}w$. If one only relies on upper bounds and inequalities on $A[w]$ or $a[w]$, one might end up with terms of the form $\mathcal{M}|w|$ which cannot be controlled by $|\mathcal{M}w|$. We are currently investigating whether the techniques developed here for the homogeneous case can be used to improve the results in [1] for the inhomogeneous Landau equation. We also believe this \mathcal{M} -operator strategy could apply to the non-cutoff Boltzmann equations in both space-homogeneous and inhomogeneous cases.

A final remark is in order. Our uniqueness result for H -solutions concerns the energy space associated with $C([0, T]; L^{3/2})$ and the bound on $\nabla f^{3/4}$ as in the energy inequality. It is natural to ask whether uniqueness holds for H -solutions under the sole additional assumption that $f \in C([0, T]; L^{3/2})$. We show at the end of this paper that, in the *a priori* sense, the condition $f \in C([0, T]; L^{3/2})$ does indeed imply the desired bound on $\nabla f^{3/4}$. However, it is unclear whether H -solutions satisfy this *a priori* estimate. This may not be merely a technical limitation. In fact, as a comparison, in the case of incompressible Navier-Stokes, it is known that there are “wild” weak solutions in $C(0, T; L^2)$ which are not Leray solutions [3], even though in an *a priori* sense, the bound in $C(0, T; L^2)$ would yield a bound on the $L^2(0, T; H^1)$ norm.

Notation: The following notations are adopted throughout this work. We denote $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as the usual Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces. They are often abbreviated as L^p and $W^{k,p}$ when there is no confusion. The weighted space $L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$, or simply L_k^p , consists of functions satisfying

$$h \in L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^3) \iff \langle v \rangle^k h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

We reserve $A[\cdot]$ and $a[\cdot]$ as the nonlocal operators defined in (1.2). The operators ∇_v , ∇_{v^\cdot} and Δ_v always act on the velocity variable v , and the subscript v is often omitted for brevity. The Hessian matrix of a function h is denoted either as $Hess(h)$ or $\nabla^2 h$. The constant C may change from line to line. The equivalence $\alpha \sim \beta$ is

$$\alpha \sim \beta \iff c_1\alpha \leq \beta \leq c_2\alpha,$$

for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$. Moreover, for any $\delta > 0$, $\eta_\delta = \frac{1}{\delta^3} \eta_0(x/\delta)$ is the usual mollifier with support $B(0, \delta)$, $0 \leq \eta_0 \leq 1$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta_0(v) dv = 1$.

2. TECHNICAL LEMMAS

Here we collect some technical lemmas that will be often used in later sections.

Lemma 2.1. *(Basic Inequalities) Suppose f, g are sufficiently regular such that each term in the inequalities is well-defined.*

(a) *Young's inequality:*

$$\|f * g\|_{L^r} \leq \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q}, \quad 1 + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}, \quad 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty.$$

(b) *(L^p, L^q) -interpolation:*

$$\|f\|_{L^r} \leq \|f\|_{L^p}^\theta \|f\|_{L^q}^{1-\theta}, \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p}\theta + \frac{1}{q}(1-\theta), \quad p < r < q, \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

(c) *Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev (HLS): denote*

$$I_\lambda f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^\lambda} dy, \quad 0 < \lambda < n.$$

Then

$$\|I_\lambda f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad 1 + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\lambda}{n}, \quad 1 < p < q < \infty.$$

(d) *Sobolev embedding: if $1/p > k/n$, then*

$$\dot{W}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{k}{n}.$$

If $1/p < k/n$, then

$$W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C^{\ell,r}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \ell + \alpha = k - \frac{n}{p}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1), \quad \ell = k - \left\lceil \frac{n}{p} \right\rceil - 1.$$

(e) *Bounds of the Bessel potential operator:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{n} \leq \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{p}, \quad p < \frac{n}{2}, \\ \|\mathcal{M}^\beta f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad \beta \geq 0, \\ \|\nabla_v^\alpha \mathcal{M}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad |\alpha| = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

(f) *Equivalent bounds:*

$$C_2 \|\mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^\alpha f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|\langle v \rangle^\alpha \mathcal{M}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_1 \|\mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^\alpha f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (2.1)$$

for any $1 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The constants C_1, C_2 only depend on α, p . Moreover, it holds that

$$\left\| \langle v \rangle^\beta \nabla^\alpha \mathcal{M} f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left\| \langle v \rangle^\beta f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad |\alpha| = 1, 2, \quad (2.2)$$

for any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p < \infty$.

Bounds in (a) – (d) are classical. Bounds in (e) and (f) are also classical in harmonic analysis. For completeness, we provide proofs of (e) and (f) in Appendix A.

We will frequently use the bounds on $A[\cdot]$ and $a[\cdot]$ summarized in the lemma below.

Lemma 2.2. (A) *Let $F \geq 0$ be such that*

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &\leq \int F \, dv \leq c_2, \\ \int F |v|^2 \, dv &\leq c_3, \quad \int F \ln F \, dv \leq c_4. \end{aligned}$$

There exists $c_0 > 0$ depending on c_1, c_2, c_3 and c_4 such that

$$\xi \cdot A[F] \cdot \xi \geq c_0 \langle v \rangle^{-3} |\xi|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (2.3)$$

(B) *Suppose h is sufficiently smooth such that every term in the following inequalities is well-defined. Then,*

(a) *for each $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and any $k \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\left| \langle v \rangle^2 \nabla_v A[h] \right| + \left| \langle v \rangle^2 \nabla_v a[h] \right| \leq C_k \langle v \rangle^{-1-k} \int_{|v-v_1| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle v \rangle} \frac{|\langle v_1 \rangle^{3+k} h(v_1)|}{|v-v_1|^2} \, dv_1 + C \|h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

(b) *If, in addition, $\langle v \rangle^3 h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then*

$$\left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^2 \nabla_v A[h] \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^2 \nabla_v a[h] \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left\| \langle v \rangle^3 h \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + C \|h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

(c) *for each $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and any $k \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$|A[h]| + |a[h]| \leq C_k \langle v \rangle^{-k} \int_{|v-v_1| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle v \rangle} \frac{|\langle v_1 \rangle^{1+k} h(v_1)|}{|v-v_1|^2} \, dv_1 + C \|h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Proof. (A). The proof of the now-standard inequality (2.3) can be found in [7] or in [17].

(B). (a) By the definition of A ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle v \rangle^2 \nabla_v A[h] \right| &\leq C \langle v \rangle^2 \int_{|v-v_1| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle v \rangle} \frac{|h(v_1)|}{|v-v_1|^2} \, dv_1 + C \langle v \rangle^2 \int_{|v-v_1| \geq \frac{1}{2} \langle v \rangle} \frac{|h(v_1)|}{|v-v_1|^2} \, dv_1 \\ &\leq C \langle v \rangle^{-1-k} \int_{|v-v_1| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle v \rangle} \frac{\langle v_1 \rangle^{3+k} |h(v_1)|}{|v-v_1|^2} \, dv_1 + C \|h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that on the domain where $|v-v_1| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle v \rangle$, it holds that

$$c_2 \langle v \rangle \leq \langle v_1 \rangle \leq c_1 \langle v \rangle, \quad (2.4)$$

with c_1, c_2 being generic constants. The bound on $\langle v \rangle^2 \nabla a[h]$ holds similarly since ∇a and ∇A have similar upper bounds.

(b) The proof follows immediately from the inequality in part (a) with $k = 0$, since

$$\langle v \rangle^{-1} \int_{|v-v_1| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle v \rangle} \frac{1}{|v-v_1|^2} \left| \langle v_1 \rangle^3 h(v_1) \right| \, dv_1 \leq C \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^3 h \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

(c) The proof is similar to part (a). By the definition of A ,

$$|A[h]| \leq C \int_{|v-v_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}\langle v \rangle} \frac{|h(v_1)|}{|v-v_1|} dv_1 + C \int_{|v-v_1| \geq \frac{1}{2}\langle v \rangle} \frac{|h(v_1)|}{|v-v_1|} dv_1,$$

where for $|v-v_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}\langle v \rangle$, we have $\frac{1}{|v-v_1|} \leq \frac{\langle v \rangle}{2} \frac{1}{|v-v_1|^2}$ and $\langle v \rangle \sim \langle v_1 \rangle$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |A[h]| &\leq C \int_{|v-v_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}\langle v \rangle} \frac{\langle v \rangle}{|v-v_1|^2} |h(v_1)| dv_1 + C \|h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C \langle v \rangle^{-k} \int_{|v-v_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}\langle v \rangle} \frac{\langle v_1 \rangle^{1+k}}{|v-v_1|^2} |h(v_1)| dv_1 + C \|h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have applied the equivalence in (2.4) over the domain $|v-v_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}\langle v \rangle$. \square

In later proofs, we often need to separate f into its smooth and non-smooth parts. The following lemma shows the uniform smallness of the non-smooth part of f .

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $f \in C([0, T_0]; L_{k_0}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Let $f_\delta = f * \eta_\delta$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta_*, t_* > 0$ small enough such that for any $0 < \delta < \delta_*$,*

$$\text{Sup}_{t \in [0, t_*]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{k_0} (f(t) - f_\delta(t)) \right\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \epsilon.$$

This also implies that

$$\text{Sup}_{t \in [0, t_*]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{k_0} f_\delta \right\|_{L^{3/2}} \leq C_1 < \infty, \quad (2.5)$$

where C_1 is independent of δ .

Proof. First, by the continuity in time, there exists t_* small enough such that

$$\text{Sup}_{t \in [0, t_*]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{k_0} f(t) - \langle v \rangle^{k_0} f^{in} \right\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \epsilon/4.$$

Young's inequality gives that for each $t \leq t_*$,

$$\left\| (\langle \cdot \rangle^{k_0} f) * \eta_\delta - (\langle v \rangle^{k_0} f^{in}) * \eta_\delta \right\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \left\| \langle v \rangle^{k_0} f(t) - \langle v \rangle^{k_0} f^{in} \right\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \epsilon/4.$$

Choose $\delta_* < 1$ small enough such that for any $0 < \delta < \delta_* < 1$,

$$\left\| \langle v \rangle^{k_0} f^{in} - (\langle v \rangle^{k_0} f^{in}) * \eta_\delta \right\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \epsilon/4.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle v \rangle^{k_0} f_\delta - (\langle v \rangle^{k_0} f) * \eta_\delta \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \langle v \rangle^{k_0} - \langle v_1 \rangle^{k_0} \right| |f(v_1)| \eta_0 \left(\frac{v-v_1}{\delta} \right) dv_1 \\ &\leq C \int_{|v-v_1| \leq \delta} |v_1-v| \left| \langle v_1 \rangle^{k_0-1} f(v_1) \right| \eta_0 \left(\frac{v-v_1}{\delta} \right) dv_1 \\ &\leq C \delta \left(\langle \cdot \rangle^{k_0-1} |f| \right) * \eta_\delta, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $|v_1-v| \leq \delta < 1$, and consequently, $|v_1-v| \leq 2\langle v_1 \rangle$. By Young's inequality, there exists $C > 0$ independent of t, δ such that for each $t \in [0, t_*]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{k_0} f_\delta - (\langle v \rangle^{k_0} f_\delta) * \eta_\delta \right\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C \delta \left\| (\langle \cdot \rangle^{k_0-1} |f|) * \eta_\delta \right\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C \delta \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^{k_0-1} f \right\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \delta < \epsilon/4, \end{aligned}$$

by taking $\delta < \frac{\epsilon}{4C}$. Therefore, by applying the triangle inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Sup}_{t \in [0, t_*]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{k_0} f(t) - \langle v \rangle^{k_0} f_\delta(t) \right\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
& \leq \text{Sup}_{t \in [0, t_*]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{k_0} f(t) - \langle v \rangle^{k_0} f^{in} \right\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
& \quad + \text{Sup}_{t \in [0, t_*]} \left\| (\langle v \rangle^{k_0} f) * \eta_\delta(t) - (\langle v \rangle^{k_0} f^{in}) * \eta_\delta \right\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
& \quad + \text{Sup}_{t \in [0, t_*]} \left\| (\langle v \rangle^{k_0} f^{in}) * \eta_\delta - \langle v \rangle^{k_0} f^{in} \right\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
& \quad + \text{Sup}_{t \in [0, t_*]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{k_0} f_\delta - (\langle v \rangle^{k_0} f) * \eta_\delta \right\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \epsilon. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.1. Note that the space $C([0, T_0]; L_{k_0}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ in Lemma 2.3 can be replaced by any $C([0, T_0]; L_{k_0}^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with $1 < p < \infty$.

From now on, we will restrict the time interval to $[0, T] \subseteq [0, t_*] \subseteq [0, T_0]$ and $0 < \delta < \delta_*$.

3. *A Priori* ESTIMATES

In this section, we perform the *a priori* estimates for the Landau equation. More specifically, suppose f, g are two solutions to (1.1) with the same initial data f^{in} and f, g satisfy (1.3). Denote

$$w_0 := f - g, \quad w := \langle v \rangle^2 (f - g).$$

Then w satisfies the linear equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t w &= \nabla_v \cdot (A[f] \nabla_v w) - \nabla_v \cdot (w \nabla a[f]) + \langle v \rangle^2 \nabla_v \cdot (A[w_0] \nabla_v g) \\
&\quad - \langle v \rangle^2 \nabla_v \cdot (g \nabla a[w_0]) + R_1 + R_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

with $w^{in} = 0$ and

$$R_1 = \langle v \rangle^2 \nabla_v \cdot (A[f] \nabla_v w_0) - \nabla_v \cdot (A[f] \nabla_v w), \tag{3.2}$$

$$R_2 = \nabla_v \cdot (w \nabla a[f]) - \langle v \rangle^2 \nabla_v \cdot (w_0 \nabla_v a[f]). \tag{3.3}$$

We can simplify R_1 and R_2 as

$$R_1 = -2v \cdot A[f] \nabla_v w_0 - 2\nabla_v \cdot (A[f] v w_0), \quad R_2 = 2v w_0 \cdot \nabla_v a[f]. \tag{3.4}$$

Multiplying (3.1) by the test function $\phi = \mathcal{M}^2 w$ and integrating in (t, v) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{M}w(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}w \nabla_v \mathcal{M} \cdot (A[f] \nabla_v w) \, dv \, dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}^2 w \nabla_v \cdot (w \nabla_v a[f]) \, dv \, dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}^2 w \langle v \rangle^2 \nabla_v \cdot (A[w_0] \nabla_v g) \, dv \, dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}^2 w \langle v \rangle^2 \nabla_v \cdot (g \nabla_v a[w_0]) \, dv \, dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}^2 w R_1 \, dv \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}^2 w R_2 \, dv \, dt \\
&=: \text{Int}(I_1) + \text{Int}(I_2) + \text{Int}(I_3) + \text{Int}(I_4) + \text{Int}(I_5) + \text{Int}(I_6). \tag{3.5}
\end{aligned}$$

In the following subsections, we estimate each I_k separately. As mentioned in the introduction, to convert estimates on w, w_0 into bounds on $\mathcal{M}w, \mathcal{M}w_0$, we will often use the identities

$$w = (I - \Delta_v)\mathcal{M}w, \quad w_0 = (I - \Delta_v)\mathcal{M}w_0. \quad (3.6)$$

3.1. Estimate of $\text{Int}(I_1)$. Denote

$$I_1 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}w \nabla_v \cdot \mathcal{M}((A[f]\nabla_v w)) \, dv, \quad \text{Int}(I_1) = \int_0^T I_1 \, dt.$$

Through integration by parts and (3.6), we decompose I_1 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}w \nabla_v \cdot \mathcal{M}((A[f]\nabla_v w)) \, dv \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \cdot \mathcal{M}((A[f]\nabla_v w)) \, dv \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \cdot \mathcal{M}(A[f]\mathcal{M}^{-1}\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \, dv, \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that $\nabla_v w = \nabla_v(\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{M}w) = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w$. From I_1 , we will get a purely coercive term

$$D := - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w, A[f]\nabla \mathcal{M}w \rangle \, dv$$

and lower order terms. For that, we first have to commute \mathcal{M}^{-1} with $A[f]$: recall that $\mathcal{M}^{-1} = I - \Delta_v$ and

$$h_1 \mathcal{M}^{-1} h_2 = \mathcal{M}^{-1}(h_1 h_2) + h_2 \Delta_v h_1 + 2\nabla_v h_1 \cdot \nabla_v h_2,$$

which yields

$$\mathcal{M}(A[f]\mathcal{M}^{-1}\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) = A[f]\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w + \mathcal{M}(\Delta_v A[f]\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) + 2\mathcal{M}(\partial_{v_i} A[f]\nabla_v \partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}w).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w, A[f]\nabla \mathcal{M}w \rangle \, dv \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w, \mathcal{M}(\Delta_v A[f]\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \rangle \, dv \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w, \mathcal{M}(\partial_{v_i} A[f]\nabla_v \partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}w) \rangle \, dv \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w, A[f]\nabla \mathcal{M}w \rangle \, dv \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w, \Delta_v A[f]\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \rangle \, dv \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w, \partial_{v_i} A[f]\nabla_v \partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}w \rangle \, dv. \end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts in the last integral yields

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w, A[f]\nabla \mathcal{M}w \rangle \, dv \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \partial_{v_i} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w, \partial_{v_i} A[f]\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \rangle \, dv \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w, \Delta_v A[f]\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \rangle \, dv \\ &=: D + I_{1,1} + I_{1,2}. \end{aligned}$$

The dissipation term D gives

$$D \leq -c_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt, \quad (3.7)$$

where c_0 depends on the mass, second moment, and entropy of the function f .

Estimates of $\text{Int}(I_{1,2})$ In $\text{Int}(I_{1,2})$, we first remove the gradient from $\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w$. Integrating by parts, we rewrite $I_{1,2}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,2} &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \cdot (\partial_{v_i v_i} A[f] \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w) \mathcal{M}w dv \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \partial_{v_i v_i} a[f] \cdot \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \mathcal{M}w dv - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}w \text{Tr}(\partial_{v_i v_i} A[f] \text{Hess}(\mathcal{M}^2 w)) dv \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v f \cdot \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \mathcal{M}w dv - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}w \text{Tr}(\partial_{v_i v_i} A[f] \text{Hess}(\mathcal{M}^2 w)) dv, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where we have used

$$\nabla_v \cdot A[h] = \nabla_v a[h], \quad -h = \Delta_v a[h].$$

In the second term of $I_{1,2}$, we now remove one derivative from $A[f]$, yielding:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}w \text{Tr}(\partial_{v_i v_i} A[f] \text{Hess}(\mathcal{M}^2 w)) dv &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}w \text{Tr}(\partial_{v_i} A[f] \text{Hess}(\mathcal{M}^2 w)) dv \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}w \text{Tr}(\partial_{v_i} A[f] \text{Hess}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w)) dv. \end{aligned}$$

In summary, $I_{1,2}$ is the sum of three terms of the form

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,2} &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}w \text{Tr}(\partial_{v_i} A[f] \text{Hess}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w)) dv \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}w \text{Tr}(\partial_{v_i} A[f] \text{Hess}(\mathcal{M}^2 w)) dv \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_v f \cdot \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w) \mathcal{M}w dv =: I_{1,2}^{(1)} + I_{1,2}^{(2)} + I_{1,2}^{(3)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

We start with $I_{1,2}^{(1)}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be an arbitrarily small number. Then there exists $C_{\epsilon_0} > 0$ such that*

$$\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(1)}) \leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 dt.$$

Proof. To deal with the term $\partial_{v_i} A[f]$ we separate f into the smooth and less smooth parts, as highlighted in Lemma 2.3. For that, fix any $\epsilon > 0$ small. Let δ_* be defined in Lemma 2.3 and denote $\tilde{f} = f - f_\delta$ with $0 < \delta < \delta_*$. Then we have

$$f = f_\delta + \tilde{f}, \quad \text{Sup}_{t \in [0, t_*]} \left\| \tilde{f}(t) \right\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \epsilon.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(1)}) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}w \text{Tr}(A[\partial_{v_i} f_\delta] \text{Hess}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w)) dv \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}w \text{Tr}(\partial_{v_i} A[\tilde{f}] \text{Hess}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w)) dv \\ &=: \text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(1,1)}) + \text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(1,2)}). \end{aligned}$$

The integral with the smooth f_δ is bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(1,1)}) \right| &\leq C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \text{Hess}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w) \right| \left| \langle v \rangle^{3/2} \partial_{v_i} A[f_\delta] \right| |\mathcal{M}w| \, dv \, dt \\ &\leq C \left(\left\| \langle v \rangle^3 f_\delta \right\|_{L^\infty} + 1 \right) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \text{Hess}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w) \right| |\mathcal{M}w| \, dv \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Commuting $\langle v \rangle^{-3/2}$ with Hess in the first L^2 -norm, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \text{Hess}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w)\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\text{Hess}(\langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w)\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|\langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_{v_i} \partial_{v_j} \mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^2} \\ &= \|\Delta_v(\langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \mathcal{M}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}w))\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|\langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta \mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following bounds: since $\Delta = -\mathcal{M}^{-1} + I$, we get

$$\|\Delta \mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^2} = \|\mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^2} + \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2} \leq 2\|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}, \quad (3.10)$$

using the second inequality in Lemma 2.1 with $\beta = 1$ and $p = 2$. Moreover

$$\|\langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^2} = \|\langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \mathcal{M}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}w)\|_{L^2} \leq \|\langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}, \quad (3.11)$$

thanks to Lemma 2.1(f) and the second inequality of Lemma 2.1(e) (with $\beta = 1$). To estimate the term with the Laplacian, we first observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_v(\langle v \rangle^{-3/2} (\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w)) &= \Delta_v(\langle v \rangle^{-3/2}) \partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w \\ &\quad + \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \Delta_v(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w) \\ &\quad + 2\nabla_v \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \cdot \nabla_v (\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w) \\ &= \Delta_v(\langle v \rangle^{-3/2}) \mathcal{M}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}w) \\ &\quad - \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}w + \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \mathcal{M}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}w) \\ &\quad + 2\nabla_v \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \cdot \nabla_v (\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w). \end{aligned}$$

Each term can be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_v(\langle v \rangle^{-3/2}) \mathcal{M}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}w)\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}, \\ \|\langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \mathcal{M}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}w)\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}, \\ \|2\nabla_v \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \cdot \nabla_v (\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w)\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\text{Hess}(\mathcal{M}^2 w)\|_{L^2} \leq \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where in the last inequality we apply the third estimate of Lemma 2.1 part (e) with $\alpha = 2$. Summarizing, from (3.10), (3.11), and (3.12) we obtain

$$\|\langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \text{Hess}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2} + \|\langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}.$$

The bound above and Young's inequality applied to $I_{1,2}^{(1,1)}$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(1,1)}) \right| &\leq C \left(\left\| \langle v \rangle^3 f_\delta \right\|_{L^\infty} + 1 \right) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \text{Hess}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w) \right| |\mathcal{M}w| \, dv \, dt \\ &\leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \frac{C \left(\left\| \langle v \rangle^3 f_\delta \right\|_{L^\infty} + 1 \right)^2}{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 dt. \quad (3.13) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\left\| \langle v \rangle^3 f_\delta \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\delta^3} \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^3 f \right\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta_0^3 \left(\frac{z}{\delta} \right) dz \right)^{1/3} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^2} \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^3 f \right\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Next, we estimate $\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(1,2)})$. Using Lemma 2.2 part (a) with $k = 1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \text{Int} \left(I_{1,2}^{(1,2)} \right) \right| &\leq C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}(\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \right| \left| \langle v \rangle^{3/2} \nabla_v A[\tilde{f}] \right| |\mathcal{M}w| dv dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}(\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \right| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\langle v_1 \rangle^{7/2} \tilde{f}(v_1)|}{|v - v_1|^2} dv_1 \right) \left| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \mathcal{M}w \right| dv dt \\ &\quad + C \int_0^T \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}(\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \right| |\mathcal{M}w| dv dt \quad (3.14) \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}(\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\langle v_1 \rangle^{7/2} \tilde{f}(v_1)|}{|v - v_1|^2} dv_1 \right\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \\ &\quad + C \|f\|_{L_t^\infty(L^1(\mathbb{R}^3))} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}(\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \right| |\mathcal{M}w| dv dt. \end{aligned}$$

In the last term, we apply Young's inequality and then (2.2) with $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = -\frac{3}{2}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} C \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}(\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \right| |\mathcal{M}w| dv dt \\ \leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{4} \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt + \frac{C}{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt, \quad (3.15) \end{aligned}$$

where C depends on the L^1 norm of f .

For the other term, HLS inequality yields

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\langle v_1 \rangle^{7/2} \tilde{f}(v_1)|}{|v - v_1|^2} dv_1 \right\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^{7/2} \tilde{f} \right\|_{L^{3/2}}.$$

Hence, by the Sobolev embedding of $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and (2.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} C \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}(\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\langle v_1 \rangle^{7/2} \tilde{f}(v_1)|}{|v - v_1|^2} dv_1 \right\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ \times \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \\ \leq \left(C \sup_{[0,T]} \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^{7/2} \tilde{f} \right\|_{L^{3/2}} \right) \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt \quad (3.16) \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.15) and (3.16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \text{Int} \left(I_{1,2}^{(1,2)} \right) \right| &\leq \left(C \sup_{[0,T]} \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^{7/2} \tilde{f} \right\|_{L^{3/2}} + \frac{\epsilon_0}{4} \right) \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, we can choose δ small enough such that

$$C \sup_{[0,T]} \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^{7/2} \tilde{f} \right\|_{L^{3/2}} = C \sup_{[0,T]} \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^{7/2} (f - f_\delta) \right\|_{L^{3/2}} \leq \epsilon_0/4,$$

which gives

$$\left| \text{Int} \left(I_{1,2}^{(1,2)} \right) \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt + C \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt. \quad (3.17)$$

Combining (3.13) and (3.17), we obtain the desired bound for $\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(1)})$. \square

Next, we estimate $\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(2)})$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be an arbitrarily small number. There exists $C_{\epsilon_0} > 0$ such that*

$$\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(2)}) \leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 dt.$$

Proof. This term can be handled with similar techniques as in $\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(1)})$. The only difference is that the operator $\nabla^2 \mathcal{M}$ acts on $\nabla \mathcal{M}w$ instead of $\mathcal{M}w$. We have

$$\left| \text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(2)}) \right| \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \right| \left| \langle v \rangle^{3/2} \nabla_v A[f] \right| |\nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M} \mathcal{M}w| dv dt.$$

For the smooth part of f , we obtain an estimate similar to (3.13) after using (2.2) to estimate $\|\nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M} \mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}$. Similar estimates for $\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(1)})$ can be applied to bound the term with the non smooth part of f . The resulting bound is similar to (3.17). The details are henceforth omitted to avoid repetition. \square

The last term to be estimated in the decomposition (3.9) is $\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(3)})$. This is the content of the next lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be an arbitrarily small number. Then there exists $C_{\epsilon_0} > 0$ such that*

$$\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(3)}) \leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \epsilon_0 \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 dt.$$

Proof. Recall

$$I_{1,2}^{(3)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_v f \cdot \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w) \mathcal{M}w dv.$$

We first write

$$\nabla_v f = \frac{4}{3} f^{\frac{1}{4}} \nabla_v f^{\frac{3}{4}}.$$

We separate $\nabla_v f^{3/4} = \nabla_v(f^{3/4}) * \eta_{\delta} + h$, where

$$h(t, x) = \nabla_v(f^{3/4}) - \nabla_v(f^{3/4}) * \eta_{\delta}. \quad (3.18)$$

Note that $f^{3/4} \in L^{\infty}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $\nabla_v f^{3/4} \in L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. Together with Young's inequality, this implies that

$$\nabla_v(f^{3/4}) * \eta_{\delta} \in L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3), \quad h \in L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3).$$

First, we show that h is small in the sense of (3.19).

We claim that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |h|^2 dv dt = 0$. Let \widehat{h} be the Fourier transform in v , then

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |h|^2 dv dt = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |1 - \widehat{\eta}_0(\delta \xi)|^2 |\xi|^2 |\widehat{(f^{3/4})}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi dt.$$

Since $\eta_{\delta} = \frac{1}{\delta^3} \eta_0(x/\delta)$ is the smooth mollifier with compact support, we have

$$\widehat{\eta}_0(0) = 1, \quad \widehat{\eta}_0 \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \|\widehat{\eta}_0\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|\eta_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 1.$$

This shows that for each t, ξ ,

$$|1 - \widehat{\eta}_0(\delta \xi)|^2 |\xi|^2 |\widehat{(f^{3/4})}(t, \xi)|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$|\widehat{h}|^2 \leq 4|\xi|^2 |\widehat{(f^{3/4})}|^2 \in L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3).$$

Therefore, the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence theorem applies, and we have

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |h|^2 \, dv \, dt = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

With this in mind, we rewrite $\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(3)})$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(3)}) &= \frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot f^{\frac{1}{4}} \nabla_v f^{\frac{3}{4}} \right) \mathcal{M} w \, dv \\ &= -\frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot f^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\nabla_v f^{\frac{3}{4}} * \eta_\delta \right) \right) \mathcal{M} w \, dv - \frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot f^{\frac{1}{4}} h \right) \mathcal{M} w \, dv \\ &=: \text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(3,1)}) + \text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(3,2)}), \end{aligned}$$

where h is defined in (3.18). We bound $\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(3,1)})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(3,1)}) \right| &\leq \int_0^T \|\nabla(\mathcal{M}^2 w)\|_{L^6} \|f^{\frac{1}{4}}\|_{L^6} \left\| \nabla_v f^{\frac{3}{4}} * \eta_\delta \right\|_{L^2} \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^6} \, dt \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\delta} \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2} \, dt \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{4} \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + \frac{C}{\delta^2} \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right)^2 \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where we have applied the Sobolev inequality and Young's inequality:

$$\|\nabla(\mathcal{M}^2 w)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_1 \|\nabla \mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)},$$

and

$$\left\| \nabla_v f^{\frac{3}{4}} * \eta_\delta \right\|_{L^2} = \left\| f^{\frac{3}{4}} * \nabla \eta_\delta \right\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C}{\delta} \left\| f^{\frac{3}{4}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \frac{C}{\delta} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{4}}.$$

The second term $\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(3,2)})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(3,2)}) \right| &\leq C \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M} w)\|_{L^6} \|f^{\frac{1}{4}}\|_{L^6} \|h\|_{L^2} \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^6} \, dt \\ &\leq C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2} \|h\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2} \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the limit (3.19), we choose δ sufficiently small such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(3,2)}) \right| \\ &\leq C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2} \right) \left(\int_0^T \|h\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla \mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_0^T \|h\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right) \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2} \right)^2 + C \left(\int_0^T \|h\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right) \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla \mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

The desired bound for $\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(3)})$ is obtained by combining (3.20) and (3.21). \square

By adding the bounds in Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and redefining $3\epsilon_0$ as ϵ_0 , we obtain the bound for $\text{Int}(I_{1,2})$ as follows.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be an arbitrarily small number. Then there exists $C_{\epsilon_0} > 0$ such that*

$$|\text{Int}(I_{1,2})| \leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \epsilon_0 \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 dt.$$

With the estimates above, we can now show the bound for $\text{Int}(I_1)$ defined in (3.5).

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be an arbitrarily small number. Then there exists $C_{\epsilon_0} > 0$ such that*

$$\text{Int}(I_1) \leq \text{(-c}_0 + \epsilon_0) \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \epsilon_0 \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 dt.$$

Proof. It remains to bound $\text{Int}(I_{1,1})$. Note that $I_{1,1}$ is similar to $\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(2)})$, since

$$\text{Int}(I_{1,1}) = 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}^2 w : \nabla A[f]) \cdot \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w dv dt = -2 \text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(2)}).$$

Thus the bound for $\text{Int}(I_1)$ follows from Lemma 3.4 and the lower bound for D in (3.7). \square

3.2. Estimates for $\text{Int}(I_2)$.

Recall that

$$\text{Int}(I_2) = - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}^2 w \nabla_v \cdot (w \nabla_v a[f]) dv dt.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be an arbitrarily small number. Then there exists $C_{\epsilon_0} > 0$ such that*

$$|\text{Int}(I_2)| \leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \epsilon_0 \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 dt.$$

Proof. We substitute w with $(I - \Delta) \mathcal{M}w$ and integrate by parts in $\text{Int}(I_2)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}(I_2) &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot \nabla_v a[f] (I - \Delta_v) \mathcal{M}w dv dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (I - \Delta_v) (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot \nabla_v a[f]) \mathcal{M}w dv dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (I - \Delta_v) (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w) \cdot \nabla_v a[f] \mathcal{M}w dv dt \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v (\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w) \cdot \nabla_v (\partial_{v_i} a[f]) \mathcal{M}w dv dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot (-\Delta_v) \nabla_v a[f] \mathcal{M}w dv dt \\ &=: \text{Int}(I_{2,1}) + \text{Int}(I_{2,2}) + \text{Int}(I_{2,3}). \end{aligned}$$

The term $\text{Int}(I_{2,1})$ is of a similar structure as

$$\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(1)}) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \text{Tr}(A[\partial_{v_i} f] \text{Hess}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w)) \mathcal{M}w dv,$$

with $\text{Hess}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w)$ replaced by $(I - \Delta_v) (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w)$ and $\partial_{v_i} A[f]$ by $\nabla_v a[f]$. Note that the sup norm of $\partial_{v_i} A[f]$ and of $\nabla_v a[f]$ are equivalent, as well as the L^2 norm of $\text{Hess}(\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w)$ and of $\Delta_v (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w)$. Therefore, without repeating the details, we conclude that

$$|\text{Int}(I_{2,1})| \leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 dt.$$

The term $\text{Int}(I_{2,3})$ equals $\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(3)})$ since $\Delta_v a[f] = -f$, which implies

$$|\text{Int}(I_{2,3})| \leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \epsilon_0 \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 dt.$$

It remains to estimate $\text{Int}(I_{2,2})$. Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}(I_{2,2}) &= 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{v_i} (\mathcal{M}w \nabla_v (\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w)) \cdot \nabla_v a[f] \, dv \, dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\Delta_v \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w) \cdot \nabla_v a[f] \mathcal{M}w + \partial_{v_i} (\mathcal{M}w) \nabla_v (\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w) \cdot \nabla_v a[f] \, dv \, dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w) \cdot \nabla_v a[f] \mathcal{M}w \, dv \, dt - 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \cdot \nabla_v a[f] \mathcal{M}w \, dv \, dt \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{v_i} (\mathcal{M}w) \nabla_v (\partial_{v_i} \mathcal{M}^2 w) \cdot \nabla_v a[f] \, dv \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

The first two terms are similar to $\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(1)})$ and the third term is similar to $\text{Int}(I_{1,2}^{(2)})$. Therefore, all the terms in $\text{Int}(I_2)$ are bounded similarly to the terms in $\text{Int}(I_1)$ and the desired bound holds. \square

Remark 3.1. Alternatively, the term $\text{Int}(I_{2,1})$ can be bounded using the following procedure. We have

$$\text{Int}(I_{2,1}) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \cdot \nabla_v a[f_\delta] \mathcal{M}w \, dv \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \cdot \nabla_v a[\tilde{f}] \mathcal{M}w \, dv \, dt.$$

First the first term we use Lemma 2.2 part (b) and get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \cdot \nabla_v a[f_\delta] \mathcal{M}w \, dv \, dt \right| \\ &\leq C \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{3/2} \nabla_v a[f_\delta] \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left(\int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^3 f_\delta \right\|_{L^\infty} \left(\int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\delta^2} \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|f\|_{L^{3/2}} \left(\int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + \frac{C}{\delta^4} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, the second term in $\text{Int}(I_{2,1})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w) \cdot \nabla_v a[\tilde{f}] \mathcal{M}w \, dv \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}w|^2 (-\Delta_v) a[\tilde{f}] \, dv \, dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}w|^2 \tilde{f} \, dv \, dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^6}^2 \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_{L^{3/2}} \, dt \leq \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_{L^{3/2}} \, dt \\ &\leq \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_{L^{3/2}} \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt, \end{aligned}$$

by taking δ small enough. Adding these two parts again gives that

$$|\text{Int}(I_{2,1})| \leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt.$$

3.3. Estimates of $\text{Int}(I_3)$ and $\text{Int}(I_4)$. Unlike in I_1 or I_2 , the unweighted difference $f - g$ in I_3 and I_4 appears inside the nonlocal operators $A[\cdot]$ or $a[\cdot]$. The estimates required for these terms therefore slightly differ from those used so far. In fact, the need for weighted estimates arises precisely from these contributions: we will control $A[f - g]$ and $\nabla a[f - g]$ by L^2 norms of $\mathcal{M}w$, where w was defined as $\langle v \rangle^2(f - g)$. This is the content of the next proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $w_0 = f - g$. The terms $A[w_0]$ and $\nabla_v a[w_0]$ have the following expressions in terms of $\mathcal{M}w_0$ and $\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w_0$:*

$$A[w_0] = A[\mathcal{M}w_0] - A[\Delta \mathcal{M}w_0], \quad (3.22)$$

with the bounds

$$|A[\mathcal{M}w_0](v)| \leq C \langle v \rangle \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (3.23)$$

and

$$\|A[\Delta \mathcal{M}w_0]\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + C \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (3.24)$$

where recall that $w = \langle v \rangle^2 w_0$. Moreover,

$$\nabla_v \cdot A[w_0] = \nabla_v a[w_0] = A_1 + \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w_0. \quad (3.25)$$

where A_1 satisfies

$$\|A_1\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\mathcal{M}w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (3.26)$$

Proof. The key step is again to write $w_0 = (I - \Delta) \mathcal{M}w_0$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_v \cdot A[w_0] &= \nabla_v a[w_0] = \frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla_v \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|v - v_1|} (I - \Delta_{v_1}) \mathcal{M}w_0(v_1) dv_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla_v \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (I - \Delta_{v_1}) \left(\frac{1}{|v - v_1|} \right) \mathcal{M}w_0(v_1) dv_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla_v \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|v - v_1|} \mathcal{M}w_0(v_1) dv_1 + \nabla_v \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \delta_0(v - v_1) \mathcal{M}w_0(v_1) dv_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla_v \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|v - v_1|} \mathcal{M}w_0(v_1) dv_1 + \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w_0. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla_v \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|v - v_1|} \mathcal{M}w_0(v_1) dv_1.$$

Then by HLS, A_1 satisfies

$$\|A_1\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|v - v_1|^2} \mathcal{M}w_0(v_1) dv_1 \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\mathcal{M}w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Similarly,

$$A[w_0] = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{P(v - v_1)}{|v - v_1|} (I - \Delta_{v_1}) \mathcal{M}w_0(v_1) dv_1 = A[\mathcal{M}w_0] - A[\Delta \mathcal{M}w_0].$$

Separating the integration domain, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A[\mathcal{M}w_0](v)| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|v - v_1|} |\mathcal{M}w_0(v_1)| dv_1 \\ &\leq C \int_{|v - v_1| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle v \rangle} \frac{1}{|v - v_1|} |\mathcal{M}w_0(v_1)| dv_1 + C \int_{|v - v_1| \geq \frac{1}{2} \langle v \rangle} \frac{1}{|v - v_1|} |\mathcal{M}w_0(v_1)| dv_1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz to the first term gives

$$\int_{|v - v_1| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle v \rangle} \frac{1}{|v - v_1|} |\mathcal{M}w_0(v_1)| dv_1 \leq C \langle v \rangle \|\mathcal{M}w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \langle v \rangle \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)},$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 2.1 part (f). Moreover, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|v-v_1| \geq \frac{1}{2}\langle v \rangle} \frac{1}{|v-v_1|} |\mathcal{M}w_0(v_1)| dv_1 &\leq C \langle v \rangle^{-1} \|\mathcal{M}w_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C \langle v \rangle^{-1} \left\| \langle v \rangle^2 \mathcal{M}w_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \langle v \rangle^{-1} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Adding these two bounds gives the desired bound for $|A[\mathcal{M}w_0](v)|$. Note that the embedding of $\mathcal{M}w_0$ from L^1 to a weighted- L^2 is the only reason that we need to consider a weighted energy estimate.

Finally, to bound $A[\Delta \mathcal{M}w_0]$, we use its definition and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |A[\Delta \mathcal{M}w_0]| &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{P(v-v_1)}{|v-v_1|} \Delta_{v_1} \mathcal{M}w_0(v_1) dv_1 \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_{v_1} \left(\frac{P(v-v_1)}{|v-v_1|} \right) \cdot \nabla_{v_1} \mathcal{M}w_0(v_1) dv_1 \right| \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|v-v_1|^2} |\nabla_{v_1} \mathcal{M}w_0(v_1)| dv_1. \end{aligned}$$

By HLS,

$$\|A[\Delta \mathcal{M}w_0]\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\nabla \mathcal{M}w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + C \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)},$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 2.1 part (f). \square

Now we show the bounds of $\text{Int}(I_3)$ and $\text{Int}(I_4)$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be an arbitrarily small number. Then there exists $C_{\epsilon_0} > 0$ such that*

$$|\text{Int}(I_3)| \leq \epsilon_0 \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt.$$

A similar bound holds for $\text{Int}(I_4)$.

Proof. First, we introduce some notations. Separate g into its regular and irregular parts as $g = g_\delta + \tilde{g}$ and denote its weighted version as

$$G = \langle v \rangle^2 g, \quad G = G_\delta + \tilde{G}, \quad G_\delta = G * \eta_\delta = (\langle v \rangle^2 g) * \eta_\delta,$$

where, as before, η_δ is the rescaled mollifier. Decompose $\text{Int}(I_3)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}(I_3) &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}^2 w \langle v \rangle^2 \nabla_v \cdot (A[w_0] \nabla_v g) dv dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}^2 w \nabla_v \cdot (A[w_0] \nabla_v G) dv dt - 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{M}^2 w) v \cdot A[w_0] \nabla_v g dv dt \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{M}^2 w) \nabla_v \cdot (A[w_0] v g) dv dt \\ &= - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}w) \cdot (A[w_0] \nabla_v G_\delta) dv dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}^2 w : A[w_0] \tilde{G} dv dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot (\nabla_v \cdot A[w_0]) \tilde{G} dv dt + 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{M}^2 w) \nabla_v \cdot (v \cdot A[w_0]) g dv dt \\ &\quad - 4 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{M}^2 w) \nabla_v \cdot (A[w_0] v g) dv dt \\ &=: \text{Int}(I_{3,1}) + \text{Int}(I_{3,2}) + \text{Int}(I_{3,3}) + \text{Int}(I_{3,4}) + \text{Int}(I_{3,5}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

By (3.22) in Propositions 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Int}(I_{3,1})| &\leq \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}w)) (A[\mathcal{M}w_0]) \nabla_v G_\delta \, dv \, dt \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}w)) (A[\Delta \mathcal{M}w_0]) \nabla_v G_\delta \, dv \, dt \right| =: \text{Int}(I_{3,1}^{(1)}) + \text{Int}(I_{3,1}^{(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.23) in Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Int}(I_{3,1}^{(1)})| &\leq \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_v \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}w)| |\langle v \rangle \nabla_v G_\delta| \, dv \right) \, dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla_v \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}w)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\langle v \rangle \nabla_v G_\delta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \, dt \\ &\leq \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\langle v \rangle \nabla_v G_\delta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \, dt \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\delta^{3/2}} \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\langle \cdot \rangle^3 g\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \, dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

where we have applied Young's inequality to the G_δ -term, which is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle v \rangle \nabla_v G_\delta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C \left\| (\langle \cdot \rangle^3 g) * \nabla \eta_\delta \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \frac{1}{\delta} \left\| (\langle \cdot \rangle^3 g) * (\nabla \eta_0)_\delta \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^3 g \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|(\nabla \eta_0)_\delta\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^3 g \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

To bound the second term $\text{Int}(I_{3,1}^{(2)})$, we apply (3.24) in Proposition 3.3. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Int}(I_{3,1}^{(2)})| &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_v \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}w)| |A[\Delta \mathcal{M}w]| |\nabla_v G_\delta| \, dv \, dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \|\nabla_v \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}w)\|_{L^2} \|A[\Delta \mathcal{M}w]\|_{L^6} \|\nabla_v G_\delta\|_{L^3} \, dt \\ &\leq C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\nabla_v G_\delta\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2} \left(\left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2} + \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2} \right) \, dt \\ &\leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + \frac{C_{\epsilon_0}}{\delta^5} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

in which we have applied Young's inequality to get

$$\|\nabla_v G_\delta\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \|G * (\nabla \eta_0)_\delta\|_{L^3} \leq \frac{C}{\delta} \|G\|_{L^{3/2}} \|(\nabla \eta_0)_\delta\|_{L^{3/2}} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{5/2}} \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^2 g \right\|_{L^{3/2}}.$$

Together with (3.28), we obtain that

$$|\text{Int}(I_{3,1})| \leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + \frac{C_{\epsilon_0}}{\delta^5} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt. \quad (3.30)$$

Similarly, we bound $\text{Int}(I_{3,2})$ as follows:

$$|\text{Int}(I_{3,2})| \leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}^2 w| |A[\mathcal{M}w_0]| |\tilde{G}| \, dv \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}^2 w| |A[\Delta \mathcal{M}w_0]| |\tilde{G}| \, dv \, dt.$$

By Sobolev embedding $W^{\frac{1}{2}, 2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}^2 w| |A[\mathcal{M}w_0]| |\tilde{G}| \, dv \, dt &\leq \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}^2 w| |\langle v \rangle \tilde{G}| \, dv \, dt \\
&\leq \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}^2 w \right\|_{L^3} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{\frac{5}{2}} \tilde{G} \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \, dt \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left\| \nabla_v \mathcal{M} \left(\langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right) \right\|_{L^3} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{\frac{5}{2}} \tilde{G} \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \, dt \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left\| \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^{3/4} \left(\langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right) \right\|_{L^3} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{\frac{5}{2}} \tilde{G} \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \, dt \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{\frac{5}{2}} \tilde{G} \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \, dt \\
&\leq C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0, T]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{\frac{9}{2}} \tilde{G} \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2} \, dt \\
&\leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \, dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by Proposition 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}^2 w| |A[\Delta \mathcal{M}w_0]| |\tilde{G}| \, dv \, dt \\
&\leq \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla \mathcal{M}(\nabla \mathcal{M}w) \right\|_{L^6} \|A[\Delta \mathcal{M}w_0]\|_{L^6} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{3/2} \tilde{G} \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \, dt \\
&\leq C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0, T]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{3/2} \tilde{G} \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \int_0^T \left(\left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \, dt \\
&\leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + C \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt,
\end{aligned}$$

by taking δ small enough. Overall, we have

$$|\text{Int}(I_{3,2})| \leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \, dt. \quad (3.31)$$

By (3.25) in Proposition 3.3, we bound $\text{Int}(I_{3,3})$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
|\text{Int}(I_{3,3})| &= \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot (\nabla_v \cdot A[w]) \tilde{G} \, dv \, dt \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w| |A_1| |\tilde{G}| \, dv \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w| |\nabla_v \mathcal{M}w_0| |\tilde{G}| \, dv \, dt \\
&=: \text{Int}(I_{3,3}^{(1)}) + \text{Int}(I_{3,3}^{(2)}),
\end{aligned}$$

where by the bound of A_1 in (3.25),

$$|\text{Int}(I_{3,3}^{(1)})| \leq C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0, T]} \left\| \tilde{G} \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \int_0^T \|\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^6} \|\mathcal{M}w_0\|_{L^2} \, dt \leq C \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \, dt.$$

Finally, by Hölder's inequality and the relation $w = \langle v \rangle^2 w_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\text{Int}(I_{3,3}^{(2)})| &\leq \int_0^T \|\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^6} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \tilde{G} \right\|_{L^3} \, dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \|\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^6} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2} \left\| \tilde{G} \right\|_{L^3} \, dt
\end{aligned}$$

Note that by Hölder's inequality again,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{G}\|_{L^3} &\leq \|\tilde{G}\|_{L^{9/2}}^{3/4} \|\tilde{G}\|_{L^{3/2}}^{1/4} \\ &\leq C \|\tilde{G}\|_{L^{3/2}}^{1/4} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla (\langle v \rangle^4 g)^{3/4} \right\|_{L^2} + C \|\tilde{G}\|_{L^{3/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is independent of δ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}(I_{3,3}^{(2)}) &\leq C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\tilde{G}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2} \right) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^T \left(\left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2} + \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2} \right) \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla (\langle v \rangle^4 g)^{3/4} \right\|_{L^2} dt \\ &\quad + C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\tilde{G}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2} dt \\ &\leq C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\tilde{G}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla (\langle v \rangle^5 g)^{3/4} \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\tilde{G}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \nabla (\langle v \rangle^5 g)^{3/4} \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &\quad + C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\tilde{G}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

By taking δ small enough, we have

$$\left| \text{Int}(I_{3,3}^{(2)}) \right| \leq \epsilon_0 \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 dt.$$

Therefore, we obtain the bound for $\text{Int}(I_{3,3})$ as

$$|\text{Int}(I_{3,3})| \leq \epsilon_0 \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 dt. \quad (3.32)$$

The last two terms, $\text{Int}(I_{3,4})$ and $\text{Int}(I_{3,5})$, are lower order terms that can be bounded directly. More specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Int}(I_{3,4})| &\leq 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}^2 w| |\nabla_v \cdot (v \cdot A[w_0])| |g| dv dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}^2 w| |A[w_0]| |g| dv dt + C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}^2 w| |\nabla_v \cdot A[w_0]| |vg| dv dt \\ &=: \text{Int}(I_{3,4}^{(1)}) + \text{Int}(I_{3,4}^{(2)}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Compared with $\text{Int}(I_{3,2})$, $\text{Int}(I_{3,4}^{(1)})$ has a similar and more regular structure, with $\nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}^2 w$ replaced by $\mathcal{M}^2 w$. Therefore, it is expected that $\text{Int}(I_{3,4}^{(1)})$ satisfies a similar bound as $\text{Int}(I_{3,2})$ without the need to separate g into its smooth and non-smooth parts. Indeed, by Proposition 3.3,

$$\left| \text{Int}(I_{3,4}^{(1)}) \right| \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}^2 w| |A[\mathcal{M}w_0]| |g| dv dt + C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}^2 w| |A[\Delta \mathcal{M}w_0]| |g| dv dt, \quad (3.34)$$

where, by (3.22) in Proposition 3.3 and Sobolev embedding $W^{\frac{1}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}^2 w| |A[\mathcal{M} w_0]| |g| dv dt &\leq C \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}^2 w| |vg| dv \right) dt \\ &\leq C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|vg\|_{L^{3/2}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2} \|\mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^3} dt \\ &\leq C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|vg\|_{L^{3/2}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2} \left\| \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathcal{M} w \right\|_{L^3} dt \\ &\leq C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|vg\|_{L^{3/2}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

and by (3.25) in Proposition 3.3 together with Sobolev embedding $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}^2 w| |A[\Delta \mathcal{M} w_0]| |g| dv dt \\ &\leq \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|g\|_{L^{3/2}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^6} \|A[\Delta \mathcal{M} w_0]\|_{L^6} dt \\ &\leq \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|g\|_{L^{3/2}} \right) \int_0^T \left\| \mathcal{M}^{1/2} \mathcal{M} w \right\|_{L^6} \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla \mathcal{M} w \right\|_{L^2} dt \\ &\leq \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|g\|_{L^{3/2}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2} \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla \mathcal{M} w \right\|_{L^2} dt \\ &\leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla \mathcal{M} w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \text{Int}(I_{3,4}^{(1)}) \right| \leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla \mathcal{M} w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 dt. \quad (3.35)$$

The estimate for $\text{Int}(I_{3,4}^{(2)})$ is similar to $\text{Int}(I_{3,3})$. By (3.25) in Proposition 3.3,

$$\left| \text{Int}(I_{3,4}^{(2)}) \right| \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}^2 w| |A_1| |vg| dv dt + C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}^2 w| |\nabla \mathcal{M} w_0| |vg| dv dt,$$

where, by (3.26), the first term satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}^2 w| |A_1| |vg| dv dt &\leq \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|vg\|_{L^{3/2}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^6} \|A_1\|_{L^6} dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}^2 w| |\nabla \mathcal{M} w_0| |vg| dv dt &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}^2 w| |\nabla \mathcal{M} w_0| |(vg)_\delta| dv dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}^2 w| |\nabla \mathcal{M} w_0| |\widetilde{vg}| dv dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

where $\widetilde{vg} = vg - (vg)_\delta = vg - (vg) * \eta_\delta$. The first term on the right-hand side of (3.37) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}^2 w| |\nabla \mathcal{M} w_0| |(vg)_\delta| \, dv \, dt \\
& \leq \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|(vg)_\delta\|_{L^\infty} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathcal{M} w_0\|_{L^2} \, dt \\
& \leq C_\delta \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|vg\|_{L^{3/2}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla \mathcal{M} w \right\|_{L^2} \, dt \\
& \quad + C_\delta \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|vg\|_{L^{3/2}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \\
& \leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla \mathcal{M} w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt. \tag{3.38}
\end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right-hand side of (3.37) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}^2 w| |\nabla \mathcal{M} w_0| |\widetilde{vg}| \, dv \, dt \\
& \leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \|\mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^6} \|\nabla \mathcal{M} w_0\|_{L^2} \|\widetilde{vg}\|_{L^3} \, dv \, dt,
\end{aligned}$$

which has a similar structure as $\text{Int}(I_{3,3}^{(2)})$. The only difference is that we replace $\|\nabla \mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^6}$ in $\text{Int}(I_{3,3}^{(2)})$ by $\|\mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^6}$, and both of them are bounded by $C \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}$. Repeating the estimates for $\text{Int}(I_{3,3}^{(2)})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{M}^2 w| |\nabla \mathcal{M} w_0| |\widetilde{vg}| \, dv \, dt \leq \epsilon_0 \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M} w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \\
& \quad + C \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt. \tag{3.39}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.39) with (3.38) and (3.36), we obtain that

$$\left| \text{Int}(I_{3,4}^{(2)}) \right| \leq \epsilon_0 \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M} w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + C \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt. \tag{3.40}$$

Combining (3.40) with (3.35) gives

$$|\text{Int}(I_{3,4})| \leq \epsilon_0 \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M} w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + C \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt. \tag{3.41}$$

To bound the last term $\text{Int}(I_{3,5})$ in (3.27), we integrate by parts and apply the decomposition in Proposition 3.3:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\text{Int}(I_{3,5})| &= 4 \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot (A[w_0] v g) \, dv \, dt \right| \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w| |A[w_0]| |vg| \, dv \, dt \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w| |A[\mathcal{M} w_0]| |vg| \, dv \, dt \\
&\quad + C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w| |A[\Delta \mathcal{M} w_0]| |vg| \, dv \, dt, \tag{3.42}
\end{aligned}$$

where by (3.23), the first term on the right-hand side of (3.42) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w| |A[\mathcal{M} w_0]| |vg| \, dv \, dt &\leq \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_v \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M} w)| |\langle v \rangle^2 g| \, dv \, dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2} \left\| \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^{3/4}(\mathcal{M} w) \right\|_{L^3} \left\| \langle v \rangle^2 g \right\|_{L^{3/2}} \, dt \\ &\leq C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^2 g \right\|_{L^{3/2}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt, \end{aligned}$$

and the second term is bounded similarly to the second term for $\text{Int}(I_{3,4}^{(1)})$ in (3.34), with $\|\mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^6}$ in $\text{Int}(I_{3,4}^{(1)})$ replaced by $\|\nabla \mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^6}$ here. Therefore, we have

$$|\text{Int}(I_{3,5})| \leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla \mathcal{M} w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt. \quad (3.43)$$

Combining (3.30), (3.31), (3.32), (3.41), and (3.43), we have

$$|\text{Int}(I_3)| \leq \epsilon_0 \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M} w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \, dt.$$

To bound $\text{Int}(I_4)$, we first recall that $G = \langle v \rangle^2 g$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}(I_4) &= - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}^2 w \langle v \rangle^2 \nabla_v \cdot (g \nabla_v a[w_0]) \, dv \, dt \\ &= - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}^2 w \nabla_v \cdot (G \nabla_v a[w_0]) \, dv \, dt + 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}^2 w (vg) \cdot \nabla_v a[w_0] \, dv \, dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot (\nabla_v a[w_0]) G \, dv \, dt + 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}^2 w (vg) \cdot \nabla_v a[w_0] \, dv \, dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot (\nabla_v a[w]) G_\delta \, dv \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot (\nabla_v \cdot A[w]) \tilde{G} \, dv \, dt \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}^2 w (vg) \cdot \nabla_v a[w_0] \, dv \, dt =: \text{Int}(I_{4,1}) + \text{Int}(I_{4,2}) + \text{Int}(I_{4,3}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Int}(I_{4,2})$ is the same as $\text{Int}(I_{3,3})$, and $\text{Int}(I_{4,3})$ is upper-bounded in the same way as $\text{Int}(I_{3,4}^{(2)})$. By Proposition 3.3, $\text{Int}(I_{4,1})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot (\nabla_v a[w_0]) G_\delta \, dv \, dt \right| \\ &\leq C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|G_\delta\|_{L^{3/2}} \right) \int_0^T \|\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^6} \|A_1\|_{L^6} \, dt \\ &\quad + C \int_0^T \|\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^6} \|\nabla_v \mathcal{M} w_0\|_{L^2} \|G_\delta\|_{L^3} \, dt \\ &\leq C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^2 g \right\|_{L^{3/2}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \\ &\quad + C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|G_\delta\|_{L^3} \right) \left(\int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M} w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{C_{\epsilon_0}}{\delta^3} \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^2 g \right\|_{L^{3/2}}^2 \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M} w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \\ &\leq \frac{C_{\epsilon_0}}{\delta^3} \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^2 g \right\|_{L^{3/2}}^2 \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M} w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M} w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\text{Int}(I_4)$ satisfies a similar bound as $\text{Int}(I_3)$:

$$|\text{Int}(I_4)| \leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \epsilon_0 \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 dt. \quad \square$$

3.4. Estimates of $\text{Int}(I_5)$ and $\text{Int}(I_6)$. The last two terms, $\text{Int}(I_5)$ and $\text{Int}(I_6)$, are of lower order, and they satisfy similar bounds as some of the terms in I_1 and I_2 . We will sketch the proof of their bounds and omit some repetitive details. More precisely, we have

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be an arbitrarily small number. Then there exists $C_{\epsilon_0} > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Int}(I_5)| + |\text{Int}(I_6)| &\leq \epsilon_0 \text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &\quad + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

Proof. We start with I_6 , which satisfies

$$\text{Int}(I_6) = 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}^2 w (v w_0) \cdot \nabla_v a[f] dv dt.$$

Note that $\text{Int}(I_6)$ has a similar and more regular structure to $\text{Int}(I_2)$, where $\nabla \mathcal{M}^2 w$ and w in $\text{Int}(I_2)$ are replaced by $\mathcal{M}^2 w$ and $vw_0 = \langle v \rangle^{-1} w$ respectively. Hence, $\text{Int}(I_6)$ can be bounded in a similar way as $\text{Int}(I_2)$, which gives the part of bound for $\text{Int}(I_6)$ in (3.44).

To bound I_5 , we first rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= -2v \cdot A[f] \nabla_v w_0 - 2\nabla_v \cdot (A[f] v w_0) \\ &= -4\nabla_v \cdot (A[f] v w_0) + 2\nabla_v \cdot (v \cdot A[f]) w_0 \\ &= -4\nabla_v \cdot (A[f] v w_0) + 2a[f] w_0 + 2(v \cdot \nabla_v a[f]) w_0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last term is R_2 and we have used $\text{Tr} A[f] = a[f]$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}(I_5) &= 4 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot A[f] v w_0 dv dt + 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}^2 w a[f] w_0 dv dt + \text{Int}(I_6) \\ &=: \text{Int}(I_{5,1}) + \text{Int}(I_{5,2}) + \text{Int}(I_6). \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

We only need to bound the first two terms. By the definition of A , we have

$$A[f] v = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{P(v - v_1)}{|v - v_1|} v f(t, v_1) dv_1 = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{P(v - v_1)}{|v - v_1|} v_1 f(t, v_1) dv_1 = A[vf],$$

since $P(v - v_1)(v - v_1) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}(I_{5,1}) &= 4 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot A[vf] w_0 dv dt \\ &= 4 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot A[vf] (I - \Delta) \mathcal{M}w_0 dv dt \\ &= 4 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (I - \Delta) (\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot A[vf]) \mathcal{M}w_0 dv dt \\ &= 4 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \cdot A[vf] \mathcal{M}w_0 dv dt - 8 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}^2 w : \nabla_v A[vf] \mathcal{M}w_0 dv dt \\ &\quad - 4 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w \cdot (\Delta_v A[vf]) \mathcal{M}w_0 dv dt =: \text{Int}(I_{5,1}^{(1)}) + \text{Int}(I_{5,1}^{(2)}) + \text{Int}(I_{5,1}^{(3)}). \end{aligned}$$

The term $\text{Int}(I_{5,1}^{(2)})$ has a similar structure to $I_{1,2}^{(1)}$, with $\nabla_v^3 \mathcal{M}^2 w$ and $\mathcal{M}w$ in $I_{1,2}^{(1)}$ replaced by the more regular $\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w$ and $\mathcal{M}w_0$, respectively, and f replaced by vf . Moreover, $\text{Int}(I_{5,1}^{(3)})$ has a

similar structure to the second term in (3.8), with $\mathcal{M}w$ in (3.8) replaced by $\mathcal{M}w_0$, f replaced by vf and $\nabla_v^2 \mathcal{M}^2 w$ replaced by the more regular $\nabla_v \mathcal{M}^2 w$. Therefore, they have similar bounds to $I_{1,2}$. We focus on $\text{Int}(I_{5,1}^{(1)})$, which can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}(I_{5,1}^{(1)}) &= 4 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \cdot A[(vf)_\delta] \mathcal{M}w_0 \, dv \, dt + 4 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \cdot A[\widetilde{vf}] \mathcal{M}w_0 \, dv \, dt \\ &=: \text{Int}(I_{5,1}^{(1,1)}) + \text{Int}(I_{5,1}^{(1,2)}), \end{aligned}$$

where we have decomposed vf into its smooth and less regular parts: $(vf)_\delta = (vf) * \eta_\delta$ and $\widetilde{vf} = vf - (vf)_\delta$. Using the smoothness, we can bound $\text{Int}(I_{5,1}^{(1,1)})$ directly by

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \text{Int}(I_{5,1}^{(1,1)}) \right| &\leq C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|A[(vf)_\delta]\|_{L^\infty} \right) \left(\int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{3/2} \mathcal{M}w_0 \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for any $h \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A[h]| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|h(v_1)|}{|v - v_1|} \, dv_1 \\ &= C \int_{|v-v_1| \leq 1} \frac{|h(v_1)|}{|v - v_1|} \, dv_1 + C \int_{|v-v_1| \geq 1} \frac{|h(v_1)|}{|v - v_1|} \, dv_1 \leq C \|h\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \text{Int}(I_{5,1}^{(1,1)}) \right| &\leq C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \| (vf)_\delta \|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty} \right) \left(\int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C_\delta \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|vf\|_{L^1} \right) \left(\int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + C_\delta \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^3 f \right\|_{L^{3/2}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

To bound $\left| \text{Int}(I_{5,1}^{(1,2)}) \right|$, we apply part (c) in Lemma 2.2 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \text{Int}(I_{5,1}^{(1,2)}) \right| &\leq C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\langle v_1 \rangle^{5/2} \widetilde{v_1 f}|}{|v - v_1|^2} \, dv_1 \right| |\mathcal{M}w_0| \, dv \, dt \\ &\quad + C \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \|vf\|_{L^1} \right) \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2} \, dt, \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

where the first term satisfies a similar bound as the first term on the right-hand side of (3.14). Therefore,

$$\left| \text{Int}(I_{5,1}^{(1,2)}) \right| \leq \epsilon_0 \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + C_\delta \left(\text{Sup}_{[0,T]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^3 f \right\|_{L^{3/2}} \right) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt,$$

which, combined with the estimates for $\text{Int}(I_{5,1}^{(1,1)})$, implies that $\text{Int}(I_{5,1}^{(1)})$, thus $\text{Int}(I_{5,1})$, satisfies a similar bound. Finally, we note that $\text{Int}(I_{5,2})$ has a similar and more regular structure as $\text{Int}(I_{5,2}^{(1)})$. Thus, $\text{Int}(I_5)$ satisfies the desired bound in (3.44). \square

4. UNIQUENESS

In this section, we apply the *a priori* estimates in Section 3 to prove the main uniqueness theorem. First, we consider the $L^{3/2}$ -solutions from [9].

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $\langle v \rangle^{k_0} f^{in} \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $k_0 \geq 5$. Then the global solution to the Landau-Coulomb equation (1.1) constructed in [9] is unique.*

Proof. Recall that the solutions found in [9] are classical $\forall t > 0$ and satisfy

$$f \geq 0, \quad \|f(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 1, \quad \langle v \rangle^{k_0} f \in C([0, T]; L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

$$\langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k_0}{4}} \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}} \in L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3),$$

and $A[f]$ satisfies (2.3).

Let f, g be two such solutions with the same initial data and $w = \langle v \rangle^2 (f - g)$. Then w satisfies (3.1) and is a classical solution to (3.1) $\forall t > 0$. Therefore, the *a priori* estimates in Section 3 are rigorous. Combining Propositions 3.1, 3.2, and 3.4, we obtain the following energy estimate: for any $\epsilon_0 > 0$, there exists C_{ϵ_0} such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Sup}_{[0, T]} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ & \leq (\epsilon_0 - c_0) \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \epsilon_0 \text{Sup}_{[0, T]} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{\epsilon_0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ & \leq (\epsilon_0 - c_0) \int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla_v \mathcal{M}w \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt + (\epsilon_0 + C_{\epsilon_0} T) \text{Sup}_{[0, T]} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C_{ϵ_0} depends on ϵ_0 as well as the (uniform) bounds of $\|\langle v \rangle^{k_0} f\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^{3/2})}$ and $\|\langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k_0}{4}} \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}}\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)}$. Thus, we only need to first take $\epsilon_0 = c_0/2$ and then take T small enough to obtain that $\text{Sup}_{[0, T]} \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 = 0$, which gives the uniqueness. \square

Remark 4.1. If the initial data $f(0, v)$ and $g(0, v)$ are different, then our estimate gives a stability result since it is based on an energy method.

Now we prove the uniqueness of H -solutions, as stated in the Main Theorem in the introduction.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose f is a nonnegative H -solution to (1.1) satisfying the extra regularity*

$$\langle v \rangle^{k_0} f \in C([0, T]; L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k_0}{4}} \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}} \in L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3), \quad k_0 > 5. \quad (4.1)$$

Then f is unique.

Proof. Since it has been shown in the proof of Theorem 4.1 that the energy estimate for $\mathcal{M}w$ implies uniqueness, we are left with the justification of the use of the test function $\phi = \langle v \rangle^2 \mathcal{M}^2 w$ in the weak formulation of the H -solution. Recall the definition of the H -solution: for any test function $\phi \in C_c^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f^{in} \phi(0, v) dv - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \partial_t \phi dv dt \\ & = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A[f] f : \nabla^2 \phi dv dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla a[f] f \cdot \nabla \phi dv dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since the bounds of f in (4.1) are global in v , we only need $\phi \in C^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. Suppose f, g are both H -solutions with the same initial data. Then their difference $w = \langle v \rangle^2 (f - g)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w \partial_t \phi \, dv \, dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A[f]w : \nabla^2 \phi \, dv \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla a[f]w \cdot \nabla \phi \, dv \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A[w]g : \nabla^2 \phi \, dv \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla a[w]g \cdot \nabla \phi \, dv \, dt, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

and w satisfies the same regularity in (4.1) with a reduced weight $k_0 - 2$. Our goal is to show that the energy inequality for $\mathcal{M}w$, and hence the *a priori* estimates in the previous section, are rigorous.

We first show that, given the regularity

$$\langle v \rangle^3 f \in C([0, T]; L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \langle v \rangle^{-3} f \in L^1(0, T; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad (4.3)$$

$$\langle v \rangle^3 g \in C([0, T]; L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \langle v \rangle^{-3} g \in L^1(0, T; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad (4.4)$$

every term on the right-hand side of (4.2) is well-defined with $\phi = \mathcal{M}^2 w$. The second condition in (4.3) and (4.4) is guaranteed for H -solutions, see Theorem 1 in [5]. First, by HLS,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A[f](t)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C \|f(t)\|_{L^{6/5}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|f(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2}, \\ \|\nabla a[f](t)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C \|f(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\langle v \rangle^3 f\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2} \|\langle v \rangle^{-3} f\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $\phi = \mathcal{M}^2 w$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 \phi(t)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} &= \|\nabla^2 \mathcal{M}^2 w(t)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C \|\mathcal{M}^{3/4} w\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|w\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \\ \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} &= \|\nabla \mathcal{M}^2 w\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\mathcal{M}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C \|\mathcal{M}^{1/4} w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|w\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Sobolev embedding in \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$W^{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^6(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad W^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A[f]w : \nabla^2 \phi \, dv \, dt \right| \leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |A[f]| |w| |\nabla^2 \phi| \, dv \, dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \|A[f]\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|w\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla^2 \phi\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \, dt \\ &\leq CT \sup_{[0, T]} \left(\|f(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2} \right) \sup_{[0, T]} \left(\|w\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla a[f]w \cdot \nabla \phi \, dv \, dt \right| \leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla a[f]| |w| |\nabla \phi| \, dv \, dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \|\nabla a[f]\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|w\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \, dt \\ &\leq C \sup_{[0, T]} \left(\|\langle v \rangle^3 f(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2} \|w\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right) \int_0^T \|\langle v \rangle^{-3} f\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2} \, dt \\ &\leq C\sqrt{T} \sup_{[0, T]} \left(\|\langle v \rangle^3 f(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2} \|w\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right) \left(\int_0^T \|\langle v \rangle^{-3} f\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \, dt \right)^{1/2} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

Similar arguments apply to the third and fourth terms of (4.2), since w , f and g enjoy the same regularity.

Once we have (4.2), the rigorous argument can then be performed by density argument via mollifiers. In particular, one considers the sequence of test functions

$$\phi_{\delta,\epsilon} = \mathcal{N}_\delta^2 \mathcal{M}^2 \mathcal{M}_\epsilon^3 w,$$

where \mathcal{N}_δ is a convolution mollifier in time and $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon = (I - \epsilon \Delta_v)^{-1}$. The energy estimate with $\phi = \mathcal{M}^2 w$ is then justified by passing $\delta, \epsilon \rightarrow 0$ via the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence theorem. \square

We remark that the condition $\langle v \rangle^m \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}}$ is not necessary to justify (4.2) with $\phi = \mathcal{M}^2 w$. It is, however, necessary to show uniqueness. In this regard, we close with a final remark: the *a priori* estimate for $\nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}}$ can be derived from the bound of f in $C([0, T]; L_k^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $k > \frac{18}{5}$. Nevertheless, as is common for nonlinear PDEs, such *a priori* estimates do not automatically apply to weak solutions. Therefore, in Theorem 4.2 we must include the condition on $\nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}}$.

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose f is a sufficiently smooth nonnegative solution satisfying*

$$\langle v \rangle^k f \in C([0, T]; L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad k > \frac{18}{5}.$$

Then $\langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{4}} \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}} \in L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof. Our goal is to derive the bound of $\langle v \rangle^{k-3} \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}}$ in terms of $\|\langle v \rangle^k f\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^{3/2})}$. Perform the $L^{3/2}$ -energy estimate for $\langle v \rangle^k$ by using $\langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{2}} \sqrt{f}$ as the test function for (1.1). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\langle v \rangle^k f\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{2}} \sqrt{f} \right) \cdot A[f] \cdot \nabla f \, dv - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{2}} \sqrt{f} \right) \cdot \nabla a[f] f \, dv \\ &= -\frac{8}{9} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{4}} \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}} \right) \cdot A[f] \cdot \left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{4}} \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}} \right) \, dv + \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{2}} f^{\frac{5}{2}} \, dv \\ &\quad - \frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{2}} \right) f^{\frac{3}{4}} \cdot A[f] \cdot \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}} \, dv - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{2}} \right) f^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \nabla a[f] \, dv \\ &=: E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where to derive E_2 , we have used $-\Delta a[f] = f$. Among these terms, E_1 and E_2 are the leading orders and E_3, E_4 are of lower order. The first term E_1 provides the diffusion:

$$E_1 \leq -c_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^{-3} \left| \langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{4}} \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}} \right|^2 \, dv_1.$$

Separating $f = f_\delta + \tilde{f}$ in E_2 . Then we have

$$E_2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{2}} f_\delta^{\frac{5}{2}} \, dv + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{2}} \tilde{f}^{\frac{5}{2}} \, dv =: E_{2,1} + E_{2,2}.$$

For any $\delta > 0$, the first term $E_{2,1}$ can be bounded directly as

$$E_{2,1} \leq C \|f_\delta\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f_\delta \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq \frac{C}{\delta} \|f\|_{L^1} \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq C \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Apply Hölder's inequality and Sobolev embedding to $E_{2,2}$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_{2,2} &\leq C \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{4}} f \right\|_{L^{\frac{9}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{8} + \frac{9}{4}} \tilde{f} \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\leq C \left(\left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{4}} \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}} \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{3/2}}^{3/2} \right) \left\| \langle v \rangle^k \tilde{f} \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\leq \frac{c_0}{2} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{4}} \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}} \right\|_{L^2}^2 + C \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{5}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have chosen δ small enough such that

$$C \left\| \langle v \rangle^k \tilde{f} \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq \frac{c_0}{2},$$

and k large enough such that $\frac{3k}{8} + \frac{9}{4} \leq k$ or equivalently, $k \geq \frac{18}{5}$. Summarizing these estimates, we have

$$E_2 \leq C \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} + C \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{c_0}{2} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3} \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_f + \frac{c_0}{2} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3} \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}} \right\|_{L^2}^2,$$

where C_f depends on $\sup_{[0,T]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}$.

Now we show the bounds for the lower order terms E_3 and E_4 . First, by integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} E_3 &= -\frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{2}} \right) f^{\frac{3}{4}} \cdot A[f] \cdot \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}} dv \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^2 \left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{2}} \right) : A[f] f^{\frac{3}{2}} dv + \frac{2}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{2}} \right) \cdot (\nabla \cdot A[f]) f^{\frac{3}{2}} dv =: E_{3,1} + E_{3,2}, \end{aligned}$$

where, by Cauchy-Schwarz and HLS,

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{3,1}| &\leq C \|A[f]\|_{L^6} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{2}-2} f \right\|_{L^{\frac{9}{5}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}} \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{9}{8}} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{9}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{8}} \\ &\leq C \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{17}{8}} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{9}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{8}}, \end{aligned}$$

for $k > 1/2$. By Young's inequality, for any $\epsilon_0 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{3,1}| &\leq C_{\epsilon_0} \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{17}{6}} + \epsilon_0 \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{9}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\leq C_f + \epsilon_0 \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \langle v \rangle^k \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}} \right\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where again, C_f depends on $\sup_{[0,T]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}$.

Finally, By Lemma 2.2 part (a) and Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{3,2}| &\leq C \|f\|_{L^1} \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} + C \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\langle v_1 \rangle^2 f(v_1)|}{|v - v_1|^2} dv_1 \right\|_{L^3} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{2}-3} f^{\frac{3}{2}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\leq C \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} + C \left\| \langle v \rangle^2 f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{9}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \\ &\leq C_f + \epsilon_0 \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle v \rangle^k \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}} \right\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

with C_f depending on $\sup_{[0,T]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}$. Therefore,

$$|E_3| \leq 2\epsilon_0 \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle v \rangle^k \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}} \right\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{\epsilon_0, f},$$

where C_{f,ϵ_0} depends on ϵ and $\sup_{[0,T]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}$. The last term E_4 satisfies that $E_4 = \frac{1}{2} E_{3,2}$. Therefore, it satisfies a similar bound as E_3 . Applying the estimates for E_1, \dots, E_4 to (4.5) and integrating in t , we have

$$\left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} - \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f^{in} \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq -\frac{c_0}{4} \int_0^t \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3} \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}} \right\|_{L^2}^2 ds + tC_f,$$

by choosing $\epsilon_0 = c_0/8$. This gives

$$\int_0^T \left\| \langle v \rangle^{-3} \langle v \rangle^{\frac{3k}{4}} \nabla f^{\frac{3}{4}} \right\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq C_f T, < \infty,$$

where C_f depends on $\sup_{[0,T]} \left\| \langle v \rangle^k f \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ algebraically. \square

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF LEMMA 2.1

In this appendix, we prove parts (e) and (f) in Lemma 2.1.

Proof. (e) Denote $M(\xi)$ as the symbol of \mathcal{M} . Then, for any $\beta > 0$, M^β satisfies

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha M^\beta(\xi)| \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|-2\beta} \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|},$$

for all multi-index α . Therefore, Mikhlin's multiplier theorem implies that \mathcal{M}^β is bounded on L^p for any $1 < p < \infty$. Similarly, the symbols of $\nabla \mathcal{M}$ and $\nabla^2 \mathcal{M}$ satisfy

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha (\xi M(\xi))| \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|-1} \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|}, \quad |\partial_\xi^\alpha (\xi \otimes \xi M(\xi))| \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|}.$$

Therefore, $\nabla \mathcal{M}$, $\nabla^2 \mathcal{M}$ are bounded on L^p for any $1 < p < \infty$. Thus, the last two inequalities in (e) hold. For the first inequality, note that for $f \in L^p$, we have $\mathcal{M}f \in W^{2,p}$ by the third inequality. Therefore, by Sobolev embedding, if $p < \frac{n}{2}$, then $\dot{W}^{2,p} \hookrightarrow L^q$ with $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{n}$. The full range of q follows by interpolation, since the second inequality gives $\mathcal{M}f \in L^p$.

(f) The inequalities in part (f) can be shown by commutator estimates for pseudo-differential operators. However, given the explicit integral representation of \mathcal{M} , we give direct proofs.

To prove (2.1), denote the commutator as

$$\mathcal{T}f := \langle v \rangle^\alpha \mathcal{M}f - \mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^\alpha f).$$

Our goal is to prove

$$\|\mathcal{T}f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\langle v \rangle^\alpha \mathcal{M}f\|_{L^p}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{T}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^\alpha f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

To prove (A.1), we rewrite $\mathcal{T}f$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}f &= \mathcal{M}(I - \Delta)(\langle v \rangle^\alpha \mathcal{M}f) - \mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^\alpha f) = -\mathcal{M}((\Delta \langle v \rangle^\alpha) \mathcal{M}f) - 2\mathcal{M}(\nabla \langle v \rangle^\alpha \cdot \nabla \mathcal{M}f) \\ &= -3\mathcal{M}((\Delta \langle v \rangle^\alpha) \mathcal{M}f) - 2\nabla \mathcal{M} \cdot ((\nabla \langle v \rangle^\alpha) \mathcal{M}f) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|\mathcal{M}((\Delta \langle v \rangle^\alpha) \mathcal{M}f)\|_{L^p} \leq C_p \|(\Delta \langle v \rangle^\alpha) \mathcal{M}f\|_{L^p} \leq C_p \|\langle v \rangle^{\alpha-2} \mathcal{M}f\|_{L^p} \leq C_p \|\langle v \rangle^\alpha \mathcal{M}f\|_{L^p},$$

and by part (e),

$$\|\nabla \mathcal{M} \cdot ((\nabla \langle v \rangle^\alpha) \mathcal{M}f)\|_{L^p} \leq C_p \|(\nabla \langle v \rangle^\alpha) \mathcal{M}f\|_{L^p} \leq C_{p,\alpha} \|\langle v \rangle^\alpha \mathcal{M}f\|_{L^p}.$$

Therefore, (A.1) holds, which implies that

$$\|\mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^\alpha f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\langle v \rangle^\alpha \mathcal{M}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

To prove (A.2), we rewrite $\mathcal{T}f$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}f &= \langle v \rangle^\alpha \mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^{-\alpha} (I - \Delta) \mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^\alpha f)) - \mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^\alpha f) \\ &= \langle v \rangle^\alpha \mathcal{M}((\Delta \langle v \rangle^{-\alpha}) \mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^\alpha f)) + 2\langle v \rangle^\alpha \mathcal{M}(\nabla \langle v \rangle^{-\alpha} \cdot \nabla (\mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^\alpha f))) \\ &= -\langle v \rangle^\alpha \mathcal{M}((\Delta \langle v \rangle^{-\alpha}) \mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^\alpha f)) + \langle v \rangle^\alpha \nabla \mathcal{M} \cdot ((\nabla \langle v \rangle^{-\alpha}) (\mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^\alpha f))). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we define

$$\mathcal{T}_1 G = \langle v \rangle^\alpha \mathcal{M}((\Delta \langle v \rangle^{-\alpha}) G), \quad \mathcal{T}_2 G = \langle v \rangle^\alpha \nabla \mathcal{M} \cdot ((\nabla \langle v \rangle^{-\alpha}) G),$$

then it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$ are both bounded on L^p . By the integral form of \mathcal{M} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_1 G &= C \langle v \rangle^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-|v-v_1|}}{|v-v_1|} (\Delta \langle v_1 \rangle^{-\alpha}) G(v_1) dv_1 \\ &\leq C \langle v \rangle^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-|v-v_1|}}{|v-v_1|} \langle v_1 \rangle^{-\alpha-2} |G(v_1)| dv_1 \\ &= C \langle v \rangle^\alpha \int_{|v_1| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle v \rangle} + C \langle v \rangle^\alpha \int_{|v_1| \geq 2 \langle v \rangle} + C \langle v \rangle^\alpha \int_{\frac{1}{2} \langle v \rangle < |v_1| < 2 \langle v \rangle},\end{aligned}$$

where over the three integration domains, we have

$$\begin{aligned}e^{-\frac{1}{2}|v-v_1|} \langle v \rangle^\alpha \langle v_1 \rangle^{-\alpha-2} &\leq e^{-\frac{1}{4}|v|} \langle v \rangle^{|\alpha|} \langle v_1 \rangle^{|\alpha|} < C, \quad |v_1| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle v \rangle, \\ e^{-\frac{1}{2}|v-v_1|} \langle v \rangle^\alpha \langle v_1 \rangle^{-\alpha-2} &\leq e^{-\frac{1}{4}|v_1|} \langle v \rangle^{|\alpha|} \langle v_1 \rangle^{|\alpha|} < C, \quad |v_1| \geq 2 \langle v \rangle, \\ \langle v \rangle^\alpha \langle v_1 \rangle^{-\alpha-2} &\leq C, \quad \frac{1}{2} \langle v \rangle < |v_1| < 2 \langle v \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, over all the subdomains, we have

$$|\mathcal{T}_1 G| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}|v-v_1|}}{|v-v_1|} |G(v_1)| dv_1.$$

Since the kernel $\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}|v_1|}}{|v_1|} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, by Young's inequality, we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}_1 G\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|G\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad \forall 1 < p < \infty.$$

The $L^p \rightarrow L^p$ bound of \mathcal{T}_2 is shown in a similar way:

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{T}_2 G| &\leq C \langle v \rangle^\alpha \nabla_v \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-|v-v_1|}}{|v-v_1|} \cdot (\nabla \langle v_1 \rangle^{-\alpha}) G(v_1) dv_1 \\ &\leq C \langle v \rangle^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-|v-v_1|}}{|v-v_1|^2} \langle v_1 \rangle^{-\alpha-1} |G(v_1)| dv_1.\end{aligned}$$

By a similar estimate as for \mathcal{T}_1 , we have

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}|v-v_1|} \langle v \rangle^\alpha \langle v_1 \rangle^{-\alpha-2} < C, \quad \forall v, v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Moreover, the kernel $\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}|v_1|}}{|v_1|^2} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Therefore, \mathcal{T}_2 is also a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $1 < p < \infty$, which shows

$$\|\langle v \rangle^\alpha \mathcal{M} f\|_{L^p} \leq \|\mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^\alpha f)\|_{L^p} + \|\mathcal{T} f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^\alpha f)\|_{L^p}.$$

Next, we show details for proving (2.2) with $|\alpha|=2$. The case of $|\alpha|=1$ can be shown in a similar way. To this end, we prove that

$$\|\langle v \rangle^\beta \nabla^2 \mathcal{M} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\langle v \rangle^\beta f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)},$$

for any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Rewrite the left-hand side as

$$\|\langle v \rangle^\beta \nabla^2 \mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^{-\beta} (\langle v \rangle^\beta f))\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Then our goal becomes showing that the operator $\langle v \rangle^\beta \nabla^2 \mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^{-\beta} \cdot)$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $1 < p < \infty$. For any h sufficiently smooth, by part (e), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\langle v \rangle^\beta \nabla^2 \mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^{-\beta} h)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} &= \|\langle v \rangle^\beta \mathcal{M}(\nabla^2 (\langle v \rangle^{-\beta} h))\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C \|\mathcal{M}(\langle v \rangle^\beta \nabla^2 (\langle v \rangle^{-\beta} h))\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)},\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v \rangle^\beta \nabla^2 (\langle v \rangle^{-\beta} h) &= \nabla^2 h - 2\nabla \langle v \rangle^\beta \otimes \nabla (\langle v \rangle^{-\beta} h) - (\nabla^2 \langle v \rangle^\beta) \langle v \rangle^{-\beta} h \\ &= \nabla^2 h - 2\nabla ((\nabla \langle v \rangle^\beta) \langle v \rangle^{-\beta} h) + (\nabla^2 \langle v \rangle^\beta) \langle v \rangle^{-\beta} h. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, continuing the estimates in (A.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{M} \left(\langle v \rangle^\beta \nabla^2 (\langle v \rangle^{-\beta} h) \right) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq \left\| \mathcal{M} \nabla^2 h \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| \mathcal{M} \left((\nabla^2 \langle v \rangle^\beta) \langle v \rangle^{-\beta} h \right) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\quad + 2 \left\| \mathcal{M} \nabla \left((\nabla \langle v \rangle^\beta) \langle v \rangle^{-\beta} h \right) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C \|h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} + C \left\| (\nabla^2 \langle v \rangle^\beta) \langle v \rangle^{-\beta} h \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} + C \left\| (\nabla \langle v \rangle^\beta) \langle v \rangle^{-\beta} h \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C \|h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second last step follows from part (e). Hence, by letting $h = \langle v \rangle^\beta f$, we obtain that

$$\left\| \langle v \rangle^\beta \nabla^2 \mathcal{M} f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \left\| \langle v \rangle^\beta \nabla^2 \mathcal{M} \left(\langle v \rangle^{-\beta} (\langle v \rangle^\beta f) \right) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left\| \langle v \rangle^\beta f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad \square$$

Acknowledgments. MPG is partially supported by the DMS-NSF 2511625. WS is partially supported by NSERC Discovery Grant R832717. This work was initiated and largely completed while both authors were attending the semester-long program *Kinetic Theory: Novel Statistical, Stochastic and Analytical Methods* at the Simons Laufer Mathematical Sciences Institute (SLMath) in Berkeley, California, in 2025. We greatly appreciate the hospitality and stimulating environment provided by SLMath.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Alonso, M.P. Gualdani, and W. Sun, *The M-operator and uniqueness of nonlinear kinetic equations*, Pure and Applied Functional Analysis, Special Issue on PDE and Applied Mathematics dedicated to Professor Peter Constantin on the occasion of his 75th Birthday, accepted (2025).
- [2] R. Alonso, Y. Morimoto, W. Sun, and T. Yang, *Non-cutoff Boltzmann equation with polynomial decay perturbation*, Revista Matematica Iberoamericana **37** (2020), no. 189–292.
- [3] T. Buckmaster and V. Vicol, *Nonuniqueness of weak solutions to the navier-stokes equation*, Ann. Math. **189** (2019), 101–144.
- [4] M. Delgadino, M. Gualdani, and F. Lopez, *Contractivity of the Wasserstein distance and other functionals for the landau equation*, Under Review (2025).
- [5] L. Desvillettes, *Entropy dissipation estimates for the Landau equation in the coulomb case and applications*, J. Func. Anal. Volume 269, Issue 5, 1359-1403 (2015).
- [6] L. Desvillettes, W. Golding, M. Gualdani, and A. Loher, *Production of the Fisher information for the Landau-Coulomb equation with l^1 initial data*, Under Review (2024).
- [7] L. Desvillettes and C. Villani, *On the spatially homogeneous Landau equation for hard potentials part. i. existence, uniqueness and smoothness.*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **25** (2000), 179–259.
- [8] N. Fournier, *Uniqueness of bounded solutions for the homogeneous Landau equation with a Coulomb potential.*, Comm. Math. Phys. **299** (2010), 765–782.
- [9] G. Golding, M. Gualdani, and A. Loher, *Global smooth solutions to the Landau-Coulomb equation in $L^{3/2}$* , Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **249** (2025).
- [10] W. Golding and A. Loher, *Local-in-time strong solutions of the homogeneous landau-coulomb equation with l^p initial datum*, La Matematica **3** (2024), 337–369.
- [11] N. Guillen and L. Silvestre, *The Landau equation does not blow up*, Acta Mathematica Vol. 234, nr. 2, 315-375 (2025).
- [12] L.-B. He, J. Ji, and Y. Luo, *Existence, uniqueness and smoothing estimates for spatially homogeneous Landau-Coulomb equation in $H^{-1/2}$ space with polynomial tail*, arXiv.2412.07287 (2024).
- [13] C. Henderson, S. Snelson, and A. Tarfulea, *Local solutions of the Landau equation with rough, slowly decaying initial data*, Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Analyse Non Linéaire **37** (2020), 2081–2097.

- [14] C. Henderson, S. Snelson, and A. Tarfulea, *Classical solutions of the Boltzmann equation with irregular initial data*, Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure **58** (2025), 107–201.
- [15] C. Henderson and W. Wang, *Kinetic Schauder estimates with time-irregular coefficients and uniqueness for the Landau equation*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems **44** (2024), 1026–1072.
- [16] S. Ji, *Dissipation estimates of the Fisher information for the Landau equation*, Under Review (2024).
- [17] L. Silvestre, *Upper bounds for parabolic equations and the landau equation*, Journ. Diff. Equations, Volume 262, Issue 3, 5 February 2017, Pages 3034-3055 (2017).
- [18] R. Strain and Z. Wang, *Uniqueness of bounded solutions for the homogeneous relativistic landau equation with coulomb interactions*, Quart. Appl. Math. **78** (2020), 107–145.
- [19] C. Tabary, *Weak-strong uniqueness for the landau equation by a relative entropy method*, arXiv.2505.21120 (2025).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, 2515 SPEEDWAY, AUSTIN TX, 78712

Email address: gualdani@math.utexas.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY, 8888 UNIVERSITY DR., BURNABY, BC, CANADA V5A 1S6

Email address: weirans@sfu.ca