

# PHOTON: Hierarchical Autoregressive Modeling for Lightspeed and Memory-Efficient Language Generation

Yuma Ichikawa<sup>1,2</sup>, Naoya Takagi<sup>1</sup>, Takumi Nakagawa<sup>1,3</sup>,  
Yuzi Kanazawa<sup>1</sup>, Akira Sakai<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Fujitsu Limited, <sup>2</sup>RIKEN Center for AIP, <sup>3</sup>Institute of Science Tokyo, <sup>4</sup>Tokai University

Correspondence: [ichikawa.yuma@fujitsu.com](mailto:ichikawa.yuma@fujitsu.com)

## Abstract

Transformers operate as horizontal *token-by-token scanners*; at each generation step, the model attends to an ever-growing sequence of token-level states. This access pattern increases prefill latency and makes long-context decoding increasingly memory-bound, as KV-cache reads and writes dominate inference throughput rather than arithmetic computation. We propose **Parallel Hierarchical Operation for TOP-down Networks (PHOTON)**, a hierarchical autoregressive model that replaces flat scanning with vertical, multi-resolution context access. PHOTON maintains a hierarchy of latent streams: a bottom-up encoder progressively compresses tokens into low-rate contextual states, while lightweight top-down decoders reconstruct fine-grained token representations. Experimental results show that PHOTON is superior to competitive Transformer-based language models regarding the throughput-quality trade-off, offering significant advantages in long-context and multi-query tasks. This reduces decode-time KV-cache traffic, yielding up to  $10^3 \times$  higher throughput per unit memory.

## 1 Introduction

Transformer-based language models have achieved remarkable capabilities; however, the inference cost increases rapidly with context length under recent serving workloads (Bahdanau et al., 2014; Vaswani et al., 2017). Even with KV caching, autoregressive Transformers operate as horizontal *token-by-token scanners*; each new token is generated by attending over a single, ever-growing *flat* history of token-level states. As a result, the *prefill* stage computes and stores the KV cache for the entire prompts. During *decoding*, throughput increasingly becomes *memory-bound* as the context grows, because each step repeatedly reads and updates a large KV cache. This process is often limited by memory bandwidth rather than arithmetic throughput. This bottleneck is especially

pronounced in long-context, multi-query serving.

This raises a simple question: ***Must generation remain horizontal token-by-token scanning over a flat history?*** The structure of natural language suggests otherwise (Chomsky, 2002; Lambek, 1958; Hauser et al., 2002; Halle, 1973). Natural Language is inherently hierarchical: subwords compose words, words compose sentences, and sentences create documents. Moreover, coherent generation relies on maintaining an evolving discourse state rather than repeatedly revisiting all fine-grained token representations (Mann and Thompson, 1988; Grosz and Sidner, 1986; Grosz et al., 1995). These observations motivate *vertical scanning*: representing context using compact coarse states and descending to token-level detail only when necessary.

Prior work has improved attention efficiency through optimized kernels and inference systems (Dao et al., 2022; Kwon et al., 2023; Andonian et al., 2023), but generation still progresses on a single token timeline. Architectural approaches have also explored hierarchical or multi-scale sequence modeling (Pappagari et al., 2019; Han et al., 2021; Dai et al., 2020; Nawrot et al., 2022, 2023; Fleshman and Van Durme, 2023; Mujika, 2023; Yu et al., 2023), typically pooling fine-grained inputs into coarser units and sometimes upsampling to recover higher-resolution representations. Several methods further restrict computation to local neighborhoods to improve efficiency. Specifically, Block Transformer (Ho et al., 2024) separates global block-level modeling from local token decoding, thereby reducing inference-time KV overhead. However, its hierarchy is effectively one level deep: generation is driven by a single global stream, and the model does not maintain a persistent multi-level state that is updated across abstractions.

We present **Parallel Hierarchical Operation for TOP-down Networks (PHOTON)**, a hierarchical autoregressive model that replaces flat scanning




Figure 1: Overview of PHOTON. A bottom-up encoder aggregates token-level states into progressively coarser latent representations. A top-down decoder reconstructs finer-grained representations using a context converter and bounded local autoregressive decoding, with attention strictly limited to each chunk. At inference time, PHOTON performs a hierarchical prefill to construct multi-level states, then generates by updating coarse latents and decoding tokens in parallel across chunks, reducing global KV cache growth and decode-time memory traffic.

with vertical, multi-resolution access to context. PHOTON builds a hierarchy of latent streams through a bottom-up encoder that compresses the token sequence into low-rate contextual states and a top-down decoder stack that reconstructs finer-grained representations using lightweight local autoregressive modules with *strictly bounded* attention. Inference proceeds by updating this *hierarchical state*: after a single hierarchical prefill, generation primarily advances at coarse levels, while token-level details are produced locally within chunks and summarized upward. PHOTON is trained using standard next-token prediction alongside auxiliary objectives that ensure *recursive consistency* across hierarchical levels by aligning bottom-up summaries with their top-down reconstructions and regularizing latent dynamics via next-context prediction.

Experimental results demonstrate that PHOTON outperforms competitive Transformer-based language models regarding the performance-quality trade-off, providing significant advantages for long-context and multi-query tasks. This reduces

decode-time KV cache traffic and yields up to  $10^3 \times$  higher throughput per unit of memory.

## 2 PHOTON

PHOTON is a hierarchical autoregressive language model designed for sequence modeling across multiple resolutions. It consists of two modules: (i) a *hierarchical encoder* that progressively compresses a token sequence into coarser latent streams, and (ii) a *hierarchical decoder* that reconstructs finer-grained streams in a top-down manner using local autoregressive decoders with strictly bounded attention.

### 2.1 Architecture

#### 2.1.1 Notation

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  denote the vocabulary, and let  $t_{1:T} \in \mathcal{V}^T$  be a token sequence of length- $T$ . Let  $X^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D_0}$  be the corresponding token embedding matrix, where  $D_0$  represents the base hidden dimension. We define a hierarchy of  $L$  levels indexed by  $l \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ . Each level  $l$  is associated with a *chunk length*  $C_l \in \mathbb{N}$ , which determines how

level  $(l - 1)$  states are grouped and summarized at level  $l$ . Let  $M_0 := T$  define the number of units at level  $l$  as  $M_l := M_{l-1}/C_l$ . Thus, level  $l$  contains  $M_l$  contiguous chunks, each spanning  $C_l$  units of level  $(l - 1)$ . For each  $g \in [M_l]$ , define the index set of the  $g$ -th level- $l$  chunk as

$$I_g^{(l)} := \{(g - 1)C_l + i \mid i \in [C_l]\} \subseteq [M_{l-1}].$$

The corresponding sub-tensor at level  $(l - 1)$  is represented as  $X_{I_g^{(l)}}^{(l-1)} := [X_{(g-1)C_l+1}^{(l-1)}, \dots, X_{gC_l}^{(l-1)}]$ .

The collection  $\{I_g^{(l)}\}_{g=1}^{M_l}$  divides  $[M_l C_l]$  into contiguous blocks. Given  $C_l \geq 1$ , we have  $M_l \leq M_{l-1}$ ; therefore,  $M_0 \geq M_1 \geq \dots \geq M_L$ . All learnable parameters are represented by  $\theta$ .

### 2.1.2 Hierarchical Encoder

At each level  $l$ , the encoder (i) aggregates level- $(l - 1)$  representations into chunk-level features and (ii) contextualizes these features with an autoregressive context encoder. Formally,

$$X^{(l)} = \mathcal{E}_\theta^{(l)}(X^{(l-1)}) := \mathcal{F}_\theta^{(l)} \circ \mathcal{C}_\theta^{(l)}(X^{(l-1)}),$$

where the chunker  $\mathcal{C}_\theta^{(l)}$  and the context encoder  $\mathcal{F}_\theta^{(l)}$  are defined as follows. A conceptual illustration of this encoding function is shown in Figure 1.

**Context Chunker.** The chunker maps each representation  $X_{I_g^{(l)}}^{(l-1)}$  to a single chunk representation:

$$A^{(l)} := [A_{1:M_l}^{(l)}] \in \mathbb{R}^{M_l \times D_l}, A_g^{(l)} := \mathcal{C}_\theta^{(l)}(X_{I_g^{(l)}}^{(l-1)}).$$

In practice,  $\mathcal{C}_\theta^{(l)}$  can be implemented using concatenation followed by projection or through a one-dimensional convolution. This study uses concatenation as a representative example.

**Context Encoder.** The context encoder models dependencies among chunk representations  $\{A_g^{(l)}\}_{g=1}^{M_l}$  using an autoregressive Transformer as follows:

$$X^{(l)} = \mathcal{F}_\theta^{(l)}(A^{(l)}),$$

yielding contextualized chunk-level states at level  $l$ . This differs from the *token-by-token scanning* of standard Transformers, as it operates at the contextual level.

### 2.1.3 Hierarchical Decoder

At each level  $l$ , the decoder (i) expands the level- $l$  representations into a brief conditioning sequence and (ii) reconstructs the level- $(l - 1)$  streams using a

local autoregressive decoder applied independently within each chunk. Formally,

$$\hat{X}^{(l-1)} = \mathcal{D}_\theta^{(l)}(\hat{X}^{(l)}) := \mathcal{G}_\theta^{(l)} \circ \mathcal{U}_\theta^{(l)}(\hat{X}^{(l)}),$$

where the converter  $\mathcal{U}_\theta^{(l)}$  and the local autoregressive decoder  $\mathcal{G}_\theta^{(l)}$  are defined as follows. A conceptual illustration of this decoding function is shown in Figure 1.

**Context Converter.** To preserve causality, the decoder generates the  $g$ -th chunk at level  $(l - 1)$  based on the *previous* level- $l$  latent unit. We introduce a learned start latent  $\hat{X}_0^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^{D_l}$  and define

$$U_{g-1}^{(l)} := \mathcal{U}_\theta^{(l)}(\hat{X}_{g-1}^{(l)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{R_l \times D_{l-1}}, g \in [M_l].$$

In our implementation,  $\mathcal{U}_\theta^{(l)}$  is achieved through a one-dimensional convolution that expands a single vector into  $R_l$  conditioning vectors.

**Context Decoder.** Given  $U_{g-1}^{(l)}$ , the local decoder produces the block  $\hat{X}_{I_g^{(l)}}^{(l-1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{C_l \times D_{l-1}}$  autoregressively within the chunk, given by

$$\hat{X}_{I_g^{(l)}}^{(l-1)} = \mathcal{G}_\theta^{(l)}(U_{g-1}^{(l)}, M \odot \hat{X}_{I_g^{(l)}}^{(l-1)}), \quad g \in [M_l],$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_\theta^{(l)}$  is a causal Transformer applied to the length- $(R_l + C_l)$  concatenated sequence  $[U_{g-1}^{(l)}; \hat{X}_{I_g^{(l)}}^{(l-1)}]$  using a standard causal mask  $M$ .

Equivalently, the  $j$ -th row of  $\hat{X}_{I_g^{(l)}}^{(l-1)}$  can attend only to the  $R_l$  converter outputs and the preceding  $(j - 1)$  rows within the same chunk.

Under the causal mask above, the  $j$ -th position within a chunk can attend to at most  $R_l + (j - 1)$  context vectors. Thus, the maximum attention length within a chunk is  $R_l + C_l - 1$ , independent of the global sequence length  $T$ .

### 2.1.4 Overall Model

Overall, PHOTON maps token embeddings through the encoder hierarchy and back through the decoder hierarchy:

$$\hat{X}^{(0)} = \mathcal{D}_\theta^{(1)} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{D}_\theta^{(L)} \circ \mathcal{E}_\theta^{(L)} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{E}_\theta^{(1)}(X^{(0)}).$$

Finally,  $\hat{X}^{(0)}$  is projected onto the vocabulary logits.

## 2.2 Learning Objective

PHOTON is trained to model the next-token distribution while regularizing the hierarchical representation to be (i) self-consistent across levels and (ii) predictive at the chunk scale. We minimize a weighted sum of three losses:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{PHOTON}}(\theta; \mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{token}} + \alpha \mathcal{L}_{\text{rec}} + \beta \mathcal{L}_{\text{context}},$$

where  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes the training corpus and  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  represents scalar weights. Let  $D(\cdot, \cdot)$  denote a dissimilarity between tensors of the same shape.

**Next-Token Loss.** The primary objective is standard autoregressive maximum likelihood. Given the token-level logits produced from  $\hat{X}^{(0)}$ , we minimize the negative log-likelihood of the next token:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{token}} = - \sum_{i=1}^{T-1} \log p_{\theta}(t_{i+1} \mid t_{1:i}).$$

This ensures that PHOTON remains a conventional decoder-only language model at the output level.

**Recursive Reconstruction Loss.** While  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{token}}$  supervises only the final token predictions, it does not ensure that the intermediate latent streams encode information in a manner that can be reliably reconstructed from the top down. We encourage *recursive consistency* by aligning encoder representations with their corresponding decoder reconstructions at each level:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{rec}} = \frac{1}{\sum_{l=1}^L M_l C_l D_{l-1}} \sum_{l,g} D(\hat{X}_{I_g^{(l)}}^{(l-1)}, X_{I_g^{(l)}}^{(l-1)}).$$

This regularizer intuitively enables the hierarchy to function as a coherent multi-resolution state, enabling top-down decoders to retrieve bottom-up summaries.

**Next-Context Loss.** Finally, we regularize chunk-level dynamics to ensure that coarse representations are both compressive and *predictive*. At level  $l$ , the context encoder generates contextualized chunk states  $X^{(l)}$  from aggregated inputs  $A^{(l)}$ . We encourage each chunk representation to be predicted from its preceding chunks, i.e., its causal prefix, by minimizing:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{context}} = \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{1}{M_l - 1} \sum_{g=2}^{M_l} D(X_g^{(l)}, A_g^{(l)}),$$

where  $X_g^{(l)}$  is computed from the prefix  $A_{1:g-1}^{(l)}$  by the causal Transformer chunk encoder  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta}^{(l)}$ .

## 2.3 Generation

### 2.3.1 Inference Bottlenecks in Transformers

Autoregressive Transformers incur two distinct inference bottlenecks that become pronounced at long-context lengths. During *prefill*, all prompt tokens are processed in parallel, with the dominant cost being dense self-attention and feed-forward computation; this stage is typically *compute-bound*. During *decoding*, the model advances one token at a time, continuously reading from and attending to the accumulated KV cache of prior tokens. As the KV cache grows linearly with context length and batch size, decoding often becomes *memory-bound*, with throughput governed by KV traffic rather than arithmetic intensity. These effects are amplified in long-context and multi-query workloads, where large prompt prefixes are reused, necessitating efficient serving of KV states.

### 2.3.2 Hierarchical Generation

PHOTON reduces sequence-length dependence by shifting global computation to coarser latent streams while confining fine-grained decoding to bounded local contexts. Let  $C_{\leq l} := \prod_{k=1}^l C_k$  represent the cumulative coarsening up to level  $l$ , such that  $M_l \approx T/C_{\leq l}$ .

**Computation.** At level  $l$ , the global encoder attends to  $M_l \approx T/C_{\leq l}$  units, so its prefill cost scales as

$$\sum_{l=1}^L \mathcal{O}(M_l^2) = \sum_{l=1}^L \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{T}{C_{\leq l}}\right)^2\right),$$

replacing the vanilla  $\mathcal{O}(T^2)$  dependence with a sum over shorter sequences. Local decoders operate within chunks and have a bounded attention span of at most  $R_l + C_l$ , resulting in  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  computations per generated position concerning  $T$ .

**KV Cache Size.** The global encoder caches keys and values for  $M_l$  latent units at level  $l$ , giving total global KV storage

$$\sum_{l=1}^L \mathcal{O}(M_l) = \sum_{l=1}^L \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{T}{C_{\leq l}}\right).$$

In contrast, each local decoder needs only the KV cache of the *current* chunk, which is bounded by  $\mathcal{O}(R_l + C_l)$  at level  $l$  and does not grow with  $T$ .

Table 1: Inference efficiency and language modeling quality of PHOTON and Vanilla/Block Transformer models. Memory usage per sample is reported in GiB and throughput is reported in K token/s. TPM is reported in K token/s/GiB.

Models	TPM		Memory		Throughput		PPL and Zero-shot Accuracy			
	PF $\uparrow$	DE $\uparrow$	PF $\downarrow$	DE $\downarrow$	PF $\uparrow$	DE $\uparrow$	Wikitext $\downarrow$	HS $\uparrow$	SCiQ $\uparrow$	ARCe $\uparrow$
<b>Vanilla Transformer</b>										
600 M	3.24	7.35	0.275	0.230	0.89	1.69	22.3793	41.24	72.30	43.94
1.2 B	1.21	2.56	0.439	0.390	0.53	1.00	19.6831	45.65	81.50	49.33
<b>Block Transformer</b>										
600 M	562.73	1528.71	0.044	0.031	24.76	47.39	27.2478	37.01	70.30	42.63
1.2 B	205.00	540.20	0.070	0.051	14.35	27.55	22.8429	41.74	74.90	45.83
<b>PHOTON</b>										
600 M	1262.58	3062.17	0.031	0.023	39.14	70.43	29.9055	35.49	67.70	42.97
1.2 B	543.86	1216.67	0.044	0.036	23.93	43.80	23.7863	40.70	69.30	46.25

**KV Cache Access.** In long-running contexts, throughput at decode-time is often limited by KV reads. At level  $l$ , global latent units are advanced once for every  $C_{\leq l}$  token positions, i.e., once per level- $l$  chunk, with each step attending to  $\Theta(M_l)$  cached units. Thus, the amortized global KV read volume per generated token scales as

$$\sum_{l=1}^L \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M_l}{C_{\leq l}}\right) = \sum_{l=1}^L \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{T}{C_{\leq l}^2}\right).$$

Local KV reads are constrained by the chunk context size; decoding a level- $l$  unit produces  $C_l$  outputs with an attention span of  $\mathcal{O}(R_l + C_l)$ . Therefore, the amortized local KV reads per *token* scale as

$$\sum_{l=1}^L \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{C_l(R_l + C_l)}{C_{\leq l}}\right) = \sum_{l=1}^L \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{R_l + C_l}{C_{\leq (l-1)}}\right),$$

where  $C_{\leq 0} := 1$ . In practice, the global term dominates for large  $T$ , and the hierarchy significantly reduces decode-time KV traffic by (i) shortening the cached sequence at coarse levels and (ii) decreasing the frequency of updates to those levels.

### 3 Experiments

#### 3.1 Experimental Setup

**Architecture Parameters.** PHOTON has two levels ( $l = 2$ ) with chunk lengths  $C_1 = 4$  and  $C_2 = 4$ . Each layer is based on an LLaMA Transformer block with a different hidden size. We employ a vanilla Transformer based on the Llama architecture and Block Transformer as a baseline model. The architecture is configured to ensure that

each model has approximately the same number of learnable parameters as its corresponding PHOTON model. Implementation details are provided in Appendix A.

**Training Setting.** We employ the 134B Pile-uncopyrighted dataset, consisting of 177,008,913 documents and 134,217,728,000 tokens (Gao et al., 2020). We also utilize the Llama tokenizer, which has a vocabulary size of  $\#(\mathcal{V}) = 32,000$ . For training, we set the total batch size to 256, the context window to 2048, and the number of training epochs to 1 for PHOTON. For both Vanilla Transformer and Block Transformer, we align the training compute budget with the corresponding PHOTON model in terms of total Flops. We employ the Adam optimizer with a learning rate of  $3 \times 10^{-4}$  and a warm-up period of 3,000 steps. The scalar hyperparameters of PHOTON are set to  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = 0$ . All experiments are conducted on an NVIDIA DGX H200 system.

**Evaluation.** We evaluate (i) inference efficiency and (ii) language modeling quality. For efficiency, we adopt the empirical setting of Ho et al. (2024) and measure the per-sample KV cache memory footprint and throughput (K tokens/s) under two complementary regimes: *prefill-heavy* (PF) with a long prompt and short generation (2048 input / 128 output), and *decode-heavy* (DE) with a short prompt and long generation (128 input / 2048 output). We also report throughput-per-memory (TPM), defined as Throughput/Memory in K tokens/s/GiB, which directly captures memory efficiency in multi-query serving. For quality, we measure Wikitext perplexity (PPL) (Merity et al., 2017)



and zero-shot accuracy on HellaSwag (HS) (Zellers et al., 2019), SciQ (Welbl et al., 2017), and ARC-Easy (ARCE) (Clark et al., 2018).

### 3.2 Main Results

**Throughput-per-memory Gains.** The main advantages of PHOTON include reduced memory usage through more efficient KV cache management, resulting in higher memory efficiency and throughput. Table 1 demonstrates memory consumption and throughput in benchmark tasks. Across both model sizes, PHOTON significantly reduces the KV cache footprint and improves throughput, resulting in substantial TPM gains. In the PF regime, PHOTON reduces KV cache memory per sample by approximately  $8.9\times$  (600M) and  $10.0\times$  (1.2B). Photon also increases throughput by around  $44.0\times$  for (600M) and  $45.2\times$  (1.2B). In the DE regime, PHOTON reduces KV memory by approximately  $10.0\times$  (600M) and  $10.8\times$  (1.2B), and increases throughput by approximately  $41.7\times$  (600M) and  $43.8\times$  (1.2B).

As a result, PHOTON achieves orders-of-magnitude higher TPM than Vanilla Transformer in both regimes and achieves better TPM than Block models. These results support our assertion that hierarchical state reduces decode-time KV traffic and enables more efficient memory usage.

**TPM vs. Language Modeling Quality.** Figure 2 shows the trade-off between TPM and language modeling quality. PHOTON achieves significantly higher TPM while incurring only moderate degradation in Wikitext PPL. For example, at the 600M model, TPM increases from 3.24 K tokens/s/GiB to 1262.58 K tokens/s/GiB in the PF regime, and from 7.35 to 3062.17 in DE regime, while PPL increases slightly from 22.38 to 29.91. For the 1.2B model, TPM increases from 1.21 K tokens/s/GiB to 543.86 K tokens/s/GiB in PF regime and from 2.56 K tokens/s/GiB to 1216.67 K tokens/s/GiB in DE regime, while PPL increases moderately from 19.68 to 23.79.

As a result, PHOTON achieves significantly higher TPM than Vanilla models in both regimes. Compared to the Block Transformer, PHOTON also achieves higher TPM at both model sizes and in both regimes; it dominates the Block Transformer with respect to the Pareto frontier in Figure 2. This suggests that PHOTON provides a superior TPM-quality operating point, and the saved memory bandwidth can be traded back for quality

through test-time scaling within a fixed memory budget.

## 4 Related Work


PHOTON is related to (i) hierarchical and multi-scale Transformers, (ii) architectures and systems for KV-efficient long-context inference, and (iii) global-local modeling in tokenizer-free language models.

**Hierarchical and Multi-scale Transformers.** A broad literature introduces intermediate representations to shorten the effective sequence length seen by global attention, including hierarchical encoders for long documents (Pappagari et al., 2019), patch- or segment-based Transformers (Han et al., 2021), and downsample-upsample designs such as Funnel and Hourglass models (Dai et al., 2020; Nawrot et al., 2022; Zhu and Soricut, 2021). These approaches are typically motivated by representation learning, training stability, or training-time efficiency. However, at inference time, generation is usually still performed by token-level autoregression and thus retains the characteristic linear growth of the KV cache with context length.


PHOTON differs in that it elevates the hierarchy from a modeling convenience to an inference primitive: it maintains multi-rate latent streams that carry global state, while restricting token-level computation to bounded local refinement.

**KV-Efficient Inference.** Another line of work addresses long-context inference by reducing attention costs through sparse or windowed patterns (Child et al., 2019; Beltagy et al., 2020) or by dynamically selecting subsets of tokens during training or inference (Nawrot et al., 2023; Fu et al., 2025). While effective at reducing attention complexity, these methods typically operate over a single token timeline. Decoding repeatedly consults an expanding token-level history, which can limit inference bandwidth due to KV-cache reads and writes in long contexts.


Block Transformer (Ho et al., 2024) introduces a significant architectural change by separating coarse global computation from local token decoding, thereby substantially reducing inference-time KV overhead. PHOTON builds on this global-local principle by introducing an explicit multi-level encoder-decoder factorization: global updates are performed in compact latent streams at multiple resolutions. Simultaneously, fine-grained token




(a) TPM vs. Wikitext PPL in prefill-heavy (PF).



(b) TPM vs. Wikitext PPL in decode-heavy (DE).



(c) TPM vs. zero-shot accuracy (PF)



(d) TPM vs. zero-shot accuracy (DE)

Figure 2: TPM-quality trade-offs under PF and DE regimes. TPM (Throughput-per-memory) is computed as Throughput/Memory (K tokens/s/GiB). **(a)** PF: TPM vs. Wikitext PPL (lower is better; x-axis reversed). **(b)** DE: TPM vs. Wikitext PPL (x-axis reversed). **(c)** PF: TPM vs. average zero-shot accuracy (mean of HS, SCiQ, and ARCe; higher is better). **(d)** DE: TPM vs. average zero-shot accuracy. The dotted curve indicates the Pareto frontier within each panel. PHOTON achieves a better TPM-quality frontier than the Vanilla and Block Transformer in all settings.

states are generated by local decoders with strictly bounded attention, further reducing KV-cache traffic and the frequency of global-state updates.

**Tokenizer-free Models.** Tokenizer-free and byte-level language models often adopt a global-local structure to handle extremely long sequences, including MEGABYTE (Yu et al., 2023), Space-Byte (Slagle, 2024), and models that learn hierarchical segmentations or character-level conditioning (Zakershaharak and Ghodrattnama, 2025; Fleshman and Van Durme, 2023; Mujika, 2023). In these settings, the hierarchy primarily defines units such as bytes, patches, or segments for a *single* autoregressive stream, rather than maintaining a persistent state across multiple abstraction levels during decoding. In contrast, PHOTON targets subword LMs and uses a learned hierarchy of latent streams as a persistent representation at inference time: coarse latents summarize context, while local decoders function as conditional refiners. This design is driven by considerations of inference-time memory traffic, complementing tokenizer-free motivations focused on input granularity.

## 5 Conclusion

We presented **PHOTON**, a hierarchical autoregressive language model that replaces *flat* token-by-token scanning with *vertical* access to context through multi-resolution latent streams. PHOTON employs a bottom-up hierarchical prefill to compress token sequences into low-rate contextual states and generates outputs using lightweight top-down local decoders, whose attention is strictly bounded to chunks. This design directly addresses the main bottleneck of long-context inference by shortening the cached global sequence and reducing the frequency of global state updates.

Empirical results demonstrate that PHOTON significantly reduces per-sample KV-cache memory consumption while achieving substantial improvements in throughput-per-memory under both prefill-heavy and decode-heavy serving regimes. Across model scales, PHOTON consistently achieves a more favorable efficiency-quality Pareto frontier than Vanilla and Block Transformer baselines. These findings suggest that the hierarchical state can effectively serve as an inference primitive for long-context, multi-query serving. By facilitating a flexible trade-off between efficiency and quality, PHOTON provides a practical mechanism for test-time scaling within fixed memory budgets.

## Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, all experiments were conducted on a single training corpus, and the set of evaluation benchmarks is relatively limited, which may restrict the generality of our conclusions. Second, although the proposed hierarchical design is expected to yield larger benefits at longer context lengths, our empirical evaluation is constrained to a maximum context window of 2048 tokens, potentially underestimating its effectiveness in truly long-context regimes. Third, the largest model evaluated in this work contains 1.2B parameters; however, larger models with lower perplexity are needed to fully assess the practical impact of PHOTON in real-world deployments. Finally, we do not provide a thorough ablation study of architectural and training hyperparameters, including the number of hierarchy levels, chunk sizes, converter widths, and auxiliary loss weights, which are necessary to fully understand the contribution and sensitivity of each design choice.

## Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by JST BOOST, Japan (Grant No. JPMJBY24D0), and RIKEN Center for AIP.

## References

- Alex Andonian, Stella Biderman, Sid Black, Preetham Gali, Leo Gao, Eric Hallahan, Josh Levy-Kramer, Connor Leahy, Lucas Nestler, Kip Parker, and 1 others. 2023. Gpt-neox: Large scale autoregressive language modeling in pytorch. *Zenodo*.
- Dzmitry Bahdanau, Kyunghyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.0473*.
- Iz Beltagy, Matthew E. Peters, and Arman Cohan. 2020. Longformer: The long-document transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.05150*.
- Rewon Child, Scott Gray, Alec Radford, and Ilya Sutskever. 2019. Generating long sequences with sparse transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.10509*.
- Noam Chomsky. 2002. *Syntactic structures*. Walter de Gruyter.
- Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafjord. 2018. Think you have solved question answering? try arc, the ai2 reasoning challenge. *ArXiv*, abs/1803.05457.



- Zihang Dai, Zhilin Yang, Yiming Yang, Jaime Carbonell, Quoc V. Le, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. 2020. Funnel-transformer: Filtering out sequential redundancy for efficient language processing. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 33, pages 4271–4282.
- Tri Dao, Dan Fu, Stefano Ermon, Atri Rudra, and Christopher Ré. 2022. Flashattention: Fast and memory-efficient exact attention with io-awareness. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:16344–16359.
- William Fleshman and Benjamin Van Durme. 2023. Toucan: Token-aware character level language modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.08620*.
- Zhen Fu and 1 others. 2025. Sliding window attention training for efficient large-context language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.18845*.
- Leo Gao, Stella Biderman, Sid Black, Laurence Golding, Travis Hoppe, Charles Foster, Jason Phang, Horace He, Anish Thite, Noa Nabeshima, and 1 others. 2020. The pile: An 800gb dataset of diverse text for language modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.00027*.
- Barbara J Grosz, Aravind Joshi, and Scott Weinstein. 1995. Centering: A framework for modeling the local coherence of discourse. *Computational linguistics*, 21(2):203–225.
- Barbara J Grosz and Candace L Sidner. 1986. Attention, intentions, and the structure of discourse. *Computational linguistics*, 12(3):175–204.
- Morris Halle. 1973. Prolegomena to a theory of word formation. *Linguistic inquiry*, 4(1):3–16.
- Kai Han, An Xiao, Enhua Wu, Jianyuan Guo, Chun-jing Xu, and Yunhe Wang. 2021. Transformer in transformer. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 34, pages 15908–15919.
- Marc D Hauser, Noam Chomsky, and W Tecumseh Fitch. 2002. The faculty of language: what is it, who has it, and how did it evolve? *science*, 298(5598):1569–1579.
- Namgyu Ho, Sangmin Bae, Taehyeon Kim, Hyunjik Jo, Yireun Kim, Tal Schuster, Adam Fisch, James Thorne, and Se-Young Yun. 2024. Block transformer: Global-to-local language modeling for fast inference. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 37:48740–48783.
- Woosuk Kwon, Zhuohan Li, Siyuan Zhuang, Ying Sheng, Lianmin Zheng, Cody Hao Yu, Joseph Gonzalez, Hao Zhang, and Ion Stoica. 2023. Efficient memory management for large language model serving with pagedattention. In *Proceedings of the 29th symposium on operating systems principles*, pages 611–626.
- Joachim Lambek. 1958. The mathematics of sentence structure. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 65(3):154–170.
- William C Mann and Sandra A Thompson. 1988. Rhetorical structure theory: Toward a functional theory of text organization. *Text-interdisciplinary Journal for the Study of Discourse*, 8(3):243–281.
- Stephen Merity, Caiming Xiong, James Bradbury, and Richard Socher. 2017. Pointer sentinel mixture models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Asier Mujika. 2023. Hierarchical attention encoder-decoder architectures for long-range sequence modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.01070*.
- Piotr Nawrot, Jan Chorowski, Adrian Łańcucki, and Edoardo Maria Ponti. 2023. Efficient transformers with dynamic token pooling. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 6403–6417.
- Piotr Nawrot, Szymon Tworowski, Michał Tyrolski, Łukasz Kaiser, Yuhuai Wu, Christian Szegedy, and Henryk Michalewski. 2022. Hierarchical transformers are more efficient language models. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: NAACL*, pages 1559–1571.
- Raghavendra Pappagari, Piotr Zelasko, Jesús Villalba, Yishay Carmiel, and Najim Dehak. 2019. Hierarchical transformers for long document classification. In *2019 IEEE automatic speech recognition and understanding workshop (ASRU)*, pages 838–844. iee.
- Kevin Slagle. 2024. Spacebyte: Towards deleting tokenization from large language modeling. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 37:124925–124950.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30.
- Johannes Welbl, Nelson F. Liu, and Matt Gardner. 2017. Crowdsourcing multiple choice science questions. *ArXiv*, abs/1707.06209.
- Lili Yu, Dániel Simig, Colin Flaherty, Armen Aghajanyan, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Mike Lewis. 2023. Megabyte: Predicting million-byte sequences with multiscale transformers. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36:78808–78823.
- Mehrdad Zakershahraz and Samira Ghodrathnama. 2025. H-net++: Hierarchical dynamic chunking for tokenizer-free language modelling in morphologically-rich languages. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2508.05628*.

Rowan Zellers, Ari Holtzman, Yonatan Bisk, Ali Farhadi, and Yejin Choi. 2019. Hellaswag: Can a machine really finish your sentence? *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.07830*.

Zhenhai Zhu and Radu Soricut. 2021. H-transformer-1d: Fast one-dimensional hierarchical attention for sequences. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 3801–3813.

Table 2: Parameter breakdown for the Vanilla Transformer (600M).

Module	Hidden/ Int. / Layers	Params
Token Embedding (vocab=32000, d=1664)	1664 / – / –	53,248,000
Transformer Blocks (atten h=32, key value h=32, head d =52)	1664/4096/16	504,418,304
Final Norm (RMSNorm)	1664/ – / –	1,664
LM Head	1664/ – / –	53,248,000
Total	–	610,915,968

Table 3: Parameter breakdown for the Vanilla Transformer (1.2B).

Module	Hidden / Int. / Layers	Params
Token Embedding (vocab=32000, d=1920)	1920 / – / –	61,440,000
Transformer Blocks (atten h=32, key value h=32, head d =60)	1920/5120/24	1,061
Final Norm (RMSNorm)	1920/ – / –	1,920
LM Head	1920/ – / –	61,440,000
Total	–	1,184,657,280

## A Additional Implementation Details

The vanilla Transformer employs an LLaMA architecture with adjusted parameter sizes. The configurations for the 600M and 1.2B models are presented in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively. Block Transformer follows the architecture proposed in the original paper with adjustment of parameters. The specifications for the 600M and 1.2B models are provided in Table 4 and Table 5, respectively. The block decoders and token decoders in PHOTON are based on the LLaMA decoder architecture. The parameter configurations for the 600M and 1.2B models are presented in Table 6 and Table 7, respectively.

Table 4: Parameter breakdown for the Block Transformer (600M).

Module	Hidden / Int. / Layers	Params
Embedder (vocab=32000, d=416)	– / – / –	13,312,000
BlockDecoder (atten h=32, key value h=32, head d =52)	1664 / 4096 / 8	252,210,816
Ctx Converter (in d = 1664, out d = 1664)	– / – / –	5,541,120
Embedder (vocab=32000, d=1664)	– / – / –	53,248,000
TokenDecoder (atten h=32, key value h=32, head d =52)	1664 / 4096 / 8	252,210,816
LM Head	– / – / –	53,248,000
Total	–	629,770,752

Table 5: Parameter breakdown for the Block Transformer (1.2B).

Module	Hidden / Int. / Layers	Params
Embedder (vocab=32000, d=480)	- / - / -	15,360,000
BlockDecoder (atten h=32, key value h=32, head d =60)	1920 / 5120 / 12	530,889,600
Ctx Converter (in d = 2048, out d = 2048)	- / - / -	7,376,640
Embedder (vocab=32000, d=1664)	- / - / -	61,440,000
TokenDecoder (atten h=32, key value h=32, head d =60)	1920 / 5120 / 12	530,889,600
LM Head	- / - / -	61,440,000
Total	-	1,207,395,840

Table 6: PHOTON (600M)

Level	Module	Hidden / Int. / Layers	Params
Enc. ( $l = 1$ )	Embedder (vocab=32000, d=416)	- / - / -	13,312,000
	Ctx Chunker (block=4, concatenate)	- / - / -	-
	Ctx Encoder (atten h=32, kv h=32, head d=52)	1664 / 4096 / 4	126,106,240
Enc. ( $l = 2$ )	Ctx Chunker (block=4, linear)	- / - / -	11,083,904
	Ctx Encoder (atten h=32, kv h=32, head d=52)	1664 / 4096 / 4	126,106,240
	Ctx Converter (in d=1664, out d=1664)	- / - / -	5541120
Dec. ( $l = 2$ )	Ctx Decoder (atten h=32, kv h=32, head d=52)	1664 / 4096 / 4	126,106,240
	Ctx Converter (in d = 1664, out d = 1664)	- / - / -	5,541,120
	Embedder (vocab=32000, d=1664)	- / - / -	53,248,000
Dec. ( $l = 1$ )	Ctx Decoder (atten h=32, kv h=32, head d=52)	1664 / 4096 / 4	126,106,240
	LM Head (in d=1664, out d=32000)	- / - / -	53,248,000
Total	-	-	646,399,104

Table 7: PHOTON (1.2B)

Level	Module	Hidden / Int. / Layers	Params
Enc. ( $l = 1$ )	Embedder (vocab=32000, d=480)	- / - / -	15,360,000
	Ctx Chunker (block=4, concatenate)	- / - / -	-
	Ctx Encoder (atten h=32, kv h=32, head d=60)	1920 / 5120 / 6	265,445,760
Enc. ( $l = 2$ )	Ctx Chunker (block=4, linear)	- / - / -	14,755,200
	Ctx Encoder (atten h=32, kv h=32, head d=60)	1920 / 5120 / 6	265,445,760
	Ctx Converter (in d=9728, out d=2432)	- / - / -	7,376,640
Dec. ( $l = 2$ )	Ctx Decoder (atten h=32, kv h=32, head d=60)	1920 / 5120 / 6	265,445,760
	Ctx Converter (in d = 2432, out d = 2432)	- / - / -	7,376,640
	Embedder (vocab=32000, d=1920)	- / - / -	61,440,000
Dec. ( $l = 1$ )	Ctx Decoder (atten h=32, kv h=32, head d=60)	1920 / 5120 / 6	265,445,760
	LM Head (in d=1920, out d=32000)	- / - / -	61,440,000
Total	-	-	1,229,531,520