

# DISPERSIVE DECAY FOR THE INTER-CRITICAL NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION IN $\mathbb{R}^3$

BOYU JIANG, JIAWEI SHEN, KEXUE LI\*

**Abstract.** This paper investigates the Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) in the mass-supercritical and energy-subcritical regime within three spatial dimensions. For initial data in the critical homogeneous Sobolev space  $\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  (where  $s_c = \frac{5}{6}$ ), we get a uniform decay estimate for the long-time dynamics of solutions, which extends the previous results.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we primarily discuss the  $H^{s_c}$ -critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS). The general form of the equation is as follows, where  $s_c = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{2}{p}$ . The equation takes the form:

$$iu_t + \Delta u \pm |u|^p u = 0, \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x) \in \dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (1.1)$$

When the sign of the nonlinear term is positive, it is referred to as the focusing case, and when it is negative, it is referred to as the defocusing case. The solution of equation 1.1 remains invariant under the scaling

$$u(t, x) \rightarrow u_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{p}} u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x) \quad \text{for } \lambda > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

and the initial value transforms into

$$u(0) \rightarrow u_\lambda(0) := \lambda^{\frac{2}{p}} u_0(\lambda x) \quad \text{for } \lambda > 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Then the scaling leaves  $\dot{H}^{s_c}$  norm invariant, that is

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}} = \|u_\lambda\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}},$$

which is called the critical regularity  $s_c$ . This is also considered to be the minimal regularity of the initial data required to guarantee the well-posedness of equation (1.1). In fact, Christ, Colliander, and Tao[1] have already proved that there exist some initial data belonging to  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $s < s_c$  that cause (1.1) to be ill-posed.

The  $H^1$  solutions of equation 1.1 satisfy the conservation laws of mass, momentum, and energy, which read

$$\begin{aligned} M(u(t)) &:= \int |u(t, x)|^2 dx = M(u_0), \\ P(u(t)) &:= \text{Im} \int \overline{u(t, x)} \nabla u(t, x) dx = P(u_0), \\ E(u(t)) &:= \int |\nabla u(t, x)|^2 dx + \frac{2\mu}{p+2} \int |u(t, x)|^{p+2} dx = E(u_0). \end{aligned}$$

For the Cauchy problem of equation (1.1), the well-posedness and scattering theory (with initial data in  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ) have been extensively studied. Local well-posedness can be derived from standard fixed-point theorems: for all  $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , there exists a  $T_0 > 0$  such that its solution

$u \in C([0, T_0), H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$  (strong solution). In fact, this  $T_0$  depends on  $\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}$  when  $s > s_c$ . When  $s = s_c$ , it also depends on the profile of  $u_0$ . Some results can be found in Cazenave and Weissler [2].

These techniques can be directly applied to prove the global well-posedness of equation (1.1) with small initial data in  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  ( $s \geq s_c$ ). The main theorem is stated as follows, see [3]

**Theorem 1.1.** (Well-posedness) *Let  $d \geq 1$ , and  $u_0(x) \in H^{s_c}$ . Furthermore, assume  $0 \leq s_c \leq 1$ . If  $\|\nabla|^{s_c} u_0\|_{L^2} < \delta(d)$  is sufficiently small, then  $u(t)$  does not blow up forward or backward in time (global existence holds). That is, scattering holds, and*

$$\|\nabla|^{s_c} u\|_{L_t^q(\mathbb{R})L_x^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|\nabla|^{s_c} u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $(q, r)$  is a Schrödinger admissible pair.

In the mass-supercritical and energy subcritical case  $\frac{4}{d} < p < \frac{4}{d-2}$ , when the solution is considered in the energy space  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we can use local theory together with conservation to yield global well-posedness for any initial data  $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in the defocusing case and some special focusing cases. Furthermore, scattering theory under the same conditions was studied by Ginibre and Velo [4, 5]. However, in the mass-critical and energy-critical case, it is not easy to obtain global well-posedness because conservation does not imply the global existence of the solutions. The problem was firstly considered by Bourgain [6], and he considered the radial function under the energy-critical and defocusing case. After that, many author studied the case, and please read [7, 8, 9, 10]. On the other hand, the focusing case was also considered by Kenige and Merle [11] when the initial data is a radial function, and about non-radial case, please refer to [12, 13, 14]. In the mass-critical, Killip, Tao, Visan [15] first studied the global well-posedness and scattering with radial data in dimension two, and Killip, Visan, Zhang [15] consider it in dimension higher than two. About non-radial case, please read a series of papers of Dodson [13, 16, 17].

When considering the general nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the critical space  $\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , more complex situations arise. Recently, results on conditional global existence and scattering under the assumption that  $u \in L_t^\infty(I, \dot{H}_x^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d))$  (where  $I$  denotes the maximal lifespan interval) have also been studied by many authors. This topic of research began with the papers [18, 19] and was subsequently refined in works such as [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33]. Specifically, if the initial data  $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and the solution satisfies an a priori estimate

$$\sup_{0 < t < T_{\text{out}}(u_0)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}_x^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)} < +\infty, \quad (1.5)$$

then  $T_{\text{out}}(u_0) = +\infty$ , and the solution scatters in  $\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $[0, T_{\text{out}}(u_0))$  is the maximal forward lifespan of the solution. Hence, these results establish a blow-up criterion where the lifespan depends only on the critical norm  $\|u\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^{s_c}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)}$ . However, we have limited understanding regarding large initial data problems if we only require  $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Subsequently, many scholars have approached global solutions with large initial data from a probabilistic perspective. Specifically, they construct a broad class of initial data sets with supercritical regularity such that global solutions exist. For more details, refer to [34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50].

In our article, we consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the mass-supercritical and energy-subcritical regime (inter-critical), with initial data belonging to the critical space  $\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We study the long-time behavior of solutions to the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u \pm |u|^3 u = 0 \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \in \dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}(\mathbb{R}^d). \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

Prior to the advent of Strichartz estimates, our understanding of the long-time behavior of solutions relied on a different expression of dispersion, namely, quantitative decay pointwise in time. For the linear Schrödinger equation, this is exemplified by the classical dispersive estimate

$$\|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim |t|^{-d(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \|u_0\|_{L_x^{p'}} \quad \text{for } t \neq 0 \text{ and } 2 \leq p \leq \infty. \quad (1.7)$$

The requirement that  $u_0 \in L^{p'}$  constitutes a spatial concentration requirement for the initial data. This is what breaks the time translation symmetry and so makes a quantitative decay estimate possible. Our main theorem is as follows:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Fix  $2 < p < \infty$ . Given  $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , we denote by  $u$  the global solution generated by Theorem 1.1. Then we have the following estimate:*

$$\sup_{t \neq 0} |t|^{3(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \|u(t)\|_{L_x^p} \leq C \left( \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\frac{5}{6}}} \right) \|u_0\|_{L_x^{p'}}. \quad (1.8)$$

**Notation.** Throughout this paper,  $C$  is a positive finite constant which is independent of the essential variables.  $A \lesssim B$  mean  $A \leq CB$  for some constant. If both  $A \lesssim B$  and  $B \lesssim A$ , then we denote  $A \sim B$ . We will use  $X \hookrightarrow Y$  to mean a continuous embedding, that, for two function spaces  $X, Y$ , there an inclusion map  $X \rightarrow Y$  with  $\|f\|_Y \lesssim \|f\|_X$ .

Our conventions for the Fourier transform are

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int e^{-i\xi \cdot x} f(x) dx \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int e^{-i\xi \cdot x} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

We get that Fourier transform is a unitary on  $L^2$  with  $\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2}$ . If  $f(x, t)$  is defined on both space and time, we use  $\hat{f}(\xi, t)$  to denote the fourier transform on spatial variable.

## 2. SOME SETTING AND BASIC LEMMA

In this section, we will introduce two kind of function space:

**2.1. Homogeneous Sobolev Space.** Now, we begin to introduce the homogeneous Sobolev space  $\dot{H}^s$  definded by

$$\dot{H}^s := \{f \text{ is tempered distribution and } \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} \leq \infty\}$$

where  $\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \int |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\xi$ . Now, in order to be convenience, we introduce the Litterwood-Paley decomposition to study  $\dot{H}^s$ . Let  $\phi$  be smooth function supported in  $B(0, 2)$  and  $\phi \equiv 1$  when  $\xi \leq 1$ . So, for dyadic  $2^N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , we then define  $P_{\leq N}$ ,  $P_N$  and  $P_{>N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{P_{\leq N}f}(\xi) &= \phi(\xi/N) \hat{f}(\xi) \\ \widehat{P_Nf}(\xi) &= (\phi(\xi/N) - \phi(2\xi/N)) \hat{f}(\xi) \\ \widehat{P_{>N}f}(\xi) &= (1 - \phi(\xi/N)) \hat{f}(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

To be simple, we can also write  $f_{\leq N} = P_{\leq N}f$ ,  $f_N = P_Nf$  and  $f_{>N} = P_{>N}f$ . It is easy to check that

$$\|f_N\|_{\ell_N^2} \sim \|f\|_{L^p}$$

for  $1 < p < \infty$ . For a function  $f(x, t)$  defined on both space and time, we also use  $P_N f(x, t)$  to denote Littlewood-Paley projection of  $f$  in only the spatial variable  $x$ .

**Theorem 2.1** (Bernstein inequality). *Let  $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$ , and  $P_{\leq N}, P_N$  is Littlewood-Paley operator. For any  $s \geq 0$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^s P_N f\|_{L^p} &\approx N^s \|P_N f\|_{L^p} \\ \|\nabla^s P_{\leq N} f\|_{L^p} &\lesssim N^s \|P_N f\|_{L^p} \\ \|P_N f\|_{L^p}, \|P_{\leq N} f\|_{L^p} &\lesssim N^{d(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})} \|P_N f\|_{L^q}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

**2.2. Lorentz Space.** In this section, we will offer some basic knowledge about Lorentz space. About some detail, please read [51]

**Definition 2.2** (Lorentz space). *Fix  $d \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and  $0 < q \leq \infty$ . The Lorentz space  $L^{p,q}$  is the space of measurable functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which have finite quasinorm*

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \left\{ \left( p^{1/q} \left\| \lambda \left| \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |f(x)| > \lambda \} \right|^{1/p} \right\|_{L^q((0,\infty), \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda})} \right)^q \right\}^{1/q}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

It follows that  $L^{p,q}$  is a quasi-Banach space for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$ . Furthermore,  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ , we find that

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \sim_{p,q} \sup_{\|g\|_{L^{p',q'}}=1} \left| \int f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx \right|$$

where  $p', q'$  are the respective Hölder conjugates. Therefore for all  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ , it follows that  $L^{p,q}$  is normable. In the case of  $p = q$ ,  $L^{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  coincides with the standard Lebesgue space  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . By the Definition 2.2, it is easy to check that

$$\| |x|^{-d/p} \|_{L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sim_d 1, \quad (2.2)$$

and hence  $|x|^{-d/p} \in L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for all  $p \geq 1$ . This is the extent to which we will use the exact form of (2.1).

In the same manner as the sequence spaces  $\ell^q$ , the Lorentz spaces  $L^{p,q}$  satisfy a nesting property in the second index  $q$ . In particular, we have the continuous embedding  $L^{p,q_1} \hookrightarrow L^{p,q_2}$ , i.e.

$$\| \cdot \|_{L^{p,q_2}} \lesssim_{p,q_1,q_2} \| \cdot \|_{L^{p,q_1}},$$

for all  $0 < q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \infty$ .

Lorentz spaces arise most naturally as real interpolation spaces between the usual  $L^p$  spaces. This is achieved through the Hunt interpolation inequality, otherwise known as the off-diagonal Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem; see [51]. We recall a specific case of the theorem here:

**Lemma 2.3** (Hunt interpolation). *Fix  $1 \leq p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$  such that  $p_1 \neq p_2$  and  $q_1 \neq q_2$ . Let  $T$  be a sublinear operator which satisfies*

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{p_i}} \lesssim_{p_i, q_i} \|f\|_{L^{q_i}}$$

for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then for all  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and all  $0 < r \leq \infty$ ,

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{p_\theta, r}} \lesssim_{p_0, q_0, \theta} \|f\|_{L^{q_\theta, r}}$$

where  $\frac{1}{p_\theta} = \frac{\theta}{p_1} + \frac{1-\theta}{p_2}$  and  $\frac{1}{q_\theta} = \frac{\theta}{q_1} + \frac{1-\theta}{q_2}$ .

Lorentz spaces enjoy many of the standard estimates used in the Lebesgue spaces  $L^p$ . In particular, Hölder's inequality carries over in the following form:

**Lemma 2.4** (Hölder's inequality). *Given  $1 \leq p, p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$  and  $0 < q, q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$  and  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}$ ,*

$$\|fg\|_{L^{p,q}} \lesssim_{d,p,q} \|f\|_{L^{p_1,q_1}} \|g\|_{L^{p_2,q_2}}.$$

In addition, Lorentz spaces satisfy the Young–O’Neil convolutional inequality, see [52, 53, 54], of which the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality is a special case:

**Lemma 2.5** (Young–O’Neil convolutional inequality). *Given  $1 < p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$  and  $0 < q, q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} + 1 = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$  and  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}$ ,*

$$\|f * g\|_{L^{p,q}} \lesssim_{d,p_i,q_i} \|f\|_{L^{p_1,q_1}} \|g\|_{L^{p_2,q_2}}.$$

From Hunt interpolation and the usual Sobolev embedding theorems, we also find an analog of Sobolev embedding in Lorentz spaces,

**Lemma 2.6** (Sobolev embedding). *Fix  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , and  $0 < \theta \leq \infty$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{s}{d} = \frac{1}{q}$ . Then*

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim_{p,s,\theta} \|\nabla^s f\|_{L^{q,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Finally, we may show a basic Leibniz rule in Lorentz spaces. We recall that the Schwartz functions  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  are dense in  $L^{p,q}$  for  $q \neq \infty$ , see [51]. By the classical Leibniz rule and extending by density, we then find the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.7** (Leibniz rule). *Given  $1 \leq p, p_i < \infty$ ,  $s \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $0 < q, q_i < \infty$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{1}{p_4}$  and  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{q_3} + \frac{1}{q_4}$ ,*

$$\|\nabla^s [fg]\|_{L^{p,q}} \lesssim_{d,p,q,p_i,q_i} \|\nabla^s f\|_{L^{p_1,q_1}} \|g\|_{L^{p_2,q_2}} + \|f\|_{L^{p_3,q_3}} \|\nabla^s g\|_{L^{p_4,q_4}}.$$

### 2.3. Lorentz–Strichartz estimates.

**Definition 2.8** (Schrödinger-admissible). *Fix a spatial dimension  $d \geq 3$ . We say that a pair  $2 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  is Schrödinger-admissible if*

$$\frac{2}{p} + \frac{d}{q} = \frac{d}{2}.$$

We say that  $(p, q)$  is a non-endpoint Schrödinger-admissible pair if  $2 < p, q < \infty$ . Finally, we say that  $(p, q)$  is Schrödinger-admissible with  $s$  spatial derivatives if

$$\frac{2}{p} + d \left( \frac{1}{q} + \frac{s}{d} \right) = \frac{d}{2}.$$

**Proposition 2.9** (Lorentz-Strichartz estimates). *Suppose that  $2 < p, q < \infty$  is Schrödinger-admissible. Then for all  $f \in L^2$  and any spacetime slab  $J \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , the linear evolution satisfies*

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f\|_{L_t^p L_x^{q,\theta}(J)} \lesssim_{p,q} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, for all  $0 < \theta \leq \infty$ ;  $1 \leq \phi \leq \infty$ ; and any time-dependent interval  $I(t) \subset J$ ,

$$\left\| \int_{I(t)} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} F(s, x) ds \right\|_{L_t^p L_x^{q,\theta,\phi}(J)} \lesssim_{p,q,\theta,\phi} \|F\|_{L_t^{p'} L_x^{q',\theta',\phi'}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (2.4)$$

**2.4. Lorentz spacetime bounds.** We may now prove global bounds in mixed Lorentz spacetime norms for solutions to  $(\text{NLS})$ . We present the proof for all spatial dimensions  $d \geq 3$  and all non-endpoint Schrödinger-admissible pairs.

**Proposition 2.10** (Spacetime bounds). *Fix  $d \geq 3$  and  $\phi, \theta \geq 2$ . Suppose that  $2 < p, q < \infty$  is a Schrödinger-admissible pair and suppose that  $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. Then the corresponding global solution  $u(t)$  to  $(\text{NLS})$  with initial data  $u_0$  satisfies*

$$\|\nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} u\|_{L_t^p L_x^{q, \theta, \phi}} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}}).$$

*Proof.* At the beginning of the proof, we first recall the classical Strichartz estimate and we have

$$\|\nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}}).$$

where  $(p, q)$  is any Schrödinger-admissible pair. Now, we turn into the Lorentz Space, and we can write  $u$  as

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta} u_0 \mp i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [|u|^3 u] (s) ds$$

by the Duhamel formula. Furthermore, By Proposition 2.9, it is easy to get the follow estimate

$$\|\nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} u\|_{L_t^p L_x^{q, \theta, \phi}} \lesssim \|\nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} u_0\|_{L^2} + \left\| \nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} |u|^3 u \right\|_{L_t^{p', \theta'} L_x^{q', \phi'}}.$$

where  $p' \leq \theta$  and  $q' \leq \phi$ . Furthermore,

$$\|\nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} u\|_{L_t^p L_x^{q, \theta, \phi}} \lesssim \|\nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} u_0\|_{L^2} + \left\| \nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} |u|^3 u \right\|_{L_t^{p'} L_x^{q'}} \lesssim \|\nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} u_0\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q} \|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{3p}{p-2}} L_x^{\frac{3q}{q-2}}}^3.$$

A quick calculation shows that  $(\frac{3p}{p-2}, \frac{3q}{q-2})$  is a non-endpoint Schrödinger-admissible pair with  $\frac{5}{6}$  spatial derivative. With, this concludes the proof of the proposition for the initial-value problem.  $\square$

An unfortunate weakness of Proposition 2.10 is the inability to control Lorentz exponents below 2, which will be necessary in the proof of Lemma 3.3; see (3.13). Though this level of control appears inaccessible for the linear evolution, with (2.4) we can gain additional control over the nonlinear correction.

**Corollary 2.11** (Nonlinear correction bounds). *Fix  $d \geq 3$ ,  $\theta \geq \frac{2d-2}{d+2}$ , and  $\phi \geq \frac{2d-2}{d+2} \vee 1$ . Suppose that  $2 < p, q < \infty$  is a Schrödinger-admissible pair, and suppose that  $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. Then the corresponding global solution  $u(t)$  to  $(\text{NLS})$  with initial data  $u_0$  satisfies*

$$\left\| \nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [|u|^3 u] (s) ds \right\|_{L_t^p L_x^{q, \theta, \phi}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}}).$$

*Proof.* We focus on the initial-value problem.

Applying the Strichartz estimate and the nesting of Lorentz spaces, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [|u|^3 u] (s) ds \right\|_{L_t^p L_x^{q, \theta}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} (u |u|^3) \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{p}{p-1}, \theta} L_x^{\frac{q}{q-1}, \phi}} \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} u\|_{L_t^{p, \frac{\theta(d+2)}{d-1}} L_x^{q, \frac{\phi(d+2)}{d-1}}} \|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{3p}{(p-2)}, \theta(d+2)} L_x^{\frac{3q}{q-2}, \phi(d+2)}}^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \|\nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} u\|_{L_t^{p,2} L_x^{q,2}} \|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{3p}{(p-2)},2} L_x^{\frac{3q}{q-2},2}}.$$

It need to point out that  $(\frac{3p}{p-2}, \frac{3q}{q-2})$  is a non-endpoint Schrödinger-admissible pair with  $\frac{5}{6}$  spatial derivative. The proof is completed.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We now commence the proof of the main theorem. By *time-reversal symmetry*, it suffices to prove the dispersive estimate for time  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Using Schwartz functions in  $\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , we only need to show that equation (1.8) holds for Schwartz solutions of (1.6). For  $T \in (0, \infty]$ , we define

$$\|u\|_{X(T)} := \sup_{t \in [0, T)} t^{3(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \|u\|_{L_x^p}.$$

We employ a small parameter  $0 < \eta < 1$  that will be chosen later depending only on absolute constants (such as those in the dispersive and Strichartz estimates) and  $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\frac{5}{6}}}$ . Thanks to 2.10, We can decompose  $[0, \infty)$  into  $J = J(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\frac{5}{6}}}, \eta)$  many intervals  $I_j = [T_{j-1}, T_j)$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L_s^{\frac{6p}{6-p},3} L_x^{\frac{3p}{p-2}}(I_j)} < \eta. \quad (3.1)$$

We will show that for each  $1 \leq j \leq J$ , we have

$$X(T_j) \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^{p'}} + C\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\frac{5}{6}}} X(T_{j-1}) + \eta^3 X(T_j). \quad (3.2)$$

Choosing  $\eta$  sufficiently small to defeat the absolute implicit constant in (3.2) and  $C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\frac{5}{6}}})$ , we readily obtain

$$X(\infty) \leq C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\frac{5}{6}}}) \|u_0\|_{L_x^{p'}}$$

This is precisely the estimate (1.8) that we set out to prove.

Now we decompose the proof of Theorem 1.2 into the cases  $2 < p \leq 6$  and  $6 < p$ . Following a similar approach as in [55], for  $2 < p \leq 6$ , we observe that the linear dispersive decay (1.7) is integrable near  $t = 0$ . Adopting the notation from [55], we refer to  $2 < p \leq 6$  as the *integrable case* of Theorem 1.2. This integrability leads to a simplified argument that parallels the proof in [56] for the mass-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. We present this proof in Subsection 3.1.

For  $p > 6$ , the linear dispersive decay (1.7) is no longer integrable near  $t = 0$ , and thus a more nuanced argument is required. The method we employ also originates from [55]. This will be completed in Subsection 3.2.

**3.1. Integrable case.** In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 in the case where  $2 < p \leq 6$ . In addition, the result in this section will be used in the proofs of Lemma 3.1.

*Proof.* We therefore focus on (3.2). Fix  $t \in [0, T_j)$  and recall the Duhamel formula (variation of parameters formula):

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta} u_0 \mp i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [|u|^3 u](s) ds.$$

By the linear dispersive decay (1.7), for the linear term we immediately obtain:

$$\|e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{X(T_j)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^{p'}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Thus we only need to focus on the nonlinear term. Using the linear dispersive estimate (1.7) and Hölder's inequality, we may estimate

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [|u|^3 u](s) ds \right\|_{L_x^p} \lesssim \int_0^t |t-s|^{-3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \|u(s)\|_{L^p} \|u(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{3p}{p-2}}}^3 ds.$$

From the earlier definition,  $|s|^{3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \|u(s)\|_{L^p} \leq \|u\|_{X(s)}$ . Hence,

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [|u|^3 u](s) ds \right\|_{L_x^p} \lesssim \int_0^t |t-s|^{-3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)} |s|^{-3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \|u\|_{X(s)} \|u(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{3p}{p-2}}}^3 ds. \quad (3.4)$$

Then we decompose  $[0, t)$  into  $[0, \frac{t}{2})$  and  $[\frac{t}{2}, t)$ . For  $s \in [0, \frac{t}{2})$ , we note that  $|t-s| \approx |t|$ , and for  $s \in [\frac{t}{2}, t)$ , we note that  $|s| \approx |t|$ . So we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [|u|^3 u](s) ds \right\|_{L_x^p} &\lesssim |t|^{-3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \int_0^{t/2} |s|^{-3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \|u\|_{X(s)} \|u(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{3p}{p-2}}}^3 ds \\ &\quad + |t|^{-3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \int_{t/2}^t |t-s|^{-3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \|u\|_{X(s)} \|u(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{3p}{p-2}}}^3 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $2 < p \leq 6$ , both  $|s|^{-3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)}$  and  $|t-s|^{-3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)}$  belong to the Lorentz space  $L_s^{\frac{2p}{3p-6}, \infty}$ . By Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [|u|^3 u](s) ds \right\|_{L_x^p} &\lesssim |t|^{-3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \left\| \|u\|_{X(s)} \|u(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{3p}{p-2}}}^3 \right\|_{L_s^{\frac{2p}{6-p}, 1}([0, t))} \left\| s^{-3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \right\|_{L_S^{\frac{2p}{3(p-2)}, \infty}}. \\ &\lesssim |t|^{-3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \left\| \|u\|_{X(s)} \|u(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{3p}{p-2}}}^3 \right\|_{L_s^{\frac{2p}{6-p}, 1}([0, t))} \end{aligned}$$

For  $t \in [0, T_j)$ , we now decompose  $[0, t)$  into  $[0, t) \cap [0, T_{j-1})$  and  $[0, t) \cap I_j$ . Doing so, (3.1) and proposition 2.10 then imply

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \|u\|_{X(s)} \|u(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{3p}{p-2}}}^3 \right\|_{L_s^{\frac{2p}{6-p}, 1}([0, t))} \\ &\leq \|u\|_{X(T_{j-1})} \|u\|_{L_s^{\frac{6p}{6-p}, 3} L_x^{\frac{3p}{p-2}}([0, T_{j-1})})^3 + \|u\|_{X(T_j)} \|u\|_{L_s^{\frac{6p}{6-p}, 3} L_x^{\frac{3p}{p-2}}(I_j)}^3 \\ &\leq C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}}) \|u\|_{X(T_{j-1})} + \eta^3 \|u\|_{X(T_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Along with the linear term (3.3), this yields the bootstrap statement (3.2) and concludes the proof of the integrable case of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

**3.2. Non-integrable case.** Now we consider the case  $p > 6$ . We follow the structure of the proof of the integrable case, taking care now to avoid the non-integrability of the linear dispersive decay (1.7) near  $t = 0$ .

Following the notation in [55], in the non-integrable case, for each  $t > 0$  we will decompose the integral over  $[0, t)$  into an early-time interval  $[0, \frac{t}{2})$  and a late-time interval  $[\frac{t}{2}, t)$ . Unlike the integrable case, these intervals must be treated separately.

We first consider the early-time interval  $[0, \frac{t}{2})$ . On this interval, we carefully apply the integrable case of Theorem 1.2 to produce a factor of  $\|u_0\|_{L^{p'}}$ . The purpose of this is to avoid generating a non-integrable term  $|s|^{-3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)}$ , as seen in equation (3.4). Since this argument is independent of the bootstrap structure, we present this estimate in Lemma 3.1.

**Lemma 3.1.** (Early-time interval). Fix  $6 < p < \infty$ . Suppose that  $u_0 \in H^{\frac{5}{6}} \cap L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. Then the corresponding solution  $u(t)$  to (NLS) with initial data  $u_0$  satisfies

$$\left\| \int_0^{t/2} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [|u|^3 u](s) ds \right\|_{L^p} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{5}{6}}}) |t|^{-3(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \|u_0\|_{L^{p'}}.$$

To prove this lemma, we need the following lemma concerning estimates for the linear term.

**Lemma 3.2.** Fix  $1 \leq q \leq 2$  and suppose that  $f \in L^q \cap H^{\frac{5}{6}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Then

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{4q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^q}^{1/4} \|f\|_{H^{\frac{5}{6}}}^{3/4}.$$

*Proof.* Consider a Littlewood–Paley piece  $f_N$  for some  $N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Since  $(4, 3)$  is a Schrödinger admissible pair, we may apply Strichartz estimates and Bernstein’s inequality 2.1 to obtain

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f_N\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{4q}} \lesssim N^{\frac{4q-3}{4q}} \|e^{it\Delta} f_N\|_{L_t^4 L_x^3} \lesssim N^{\frac{4q-3}{4q}} \|f_N\|_{L^2}.$$

Applying Bernstein’s inequality 2.1 once more, we obtain two distinct estimates for  $e^{it\Delta} f_N$ .

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f_N\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{4q}} \lesssim N^{\frac{2q-9}{12q}} \|\nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} f_N\|_{L^2}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f_N\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{4q}} \lesssim N^{\frac{9-2q}{4q}} \|f_N\|_{L^q}. \quad (3.6)$$

We now decompose  $f$  into high-frequency and low-frequency parts based on a frequency cutoff parameter  $M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . We apply (3.5) to the high-frequency part and (3.6) to the low-frequency part. Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\Delta} f\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{4q}} &\lesssim \sum_{N > M} N^{\frac{2q-9}{12q}} \|f_N\|_{H^{\frac{5}{6}}} + \sum_{N \leq M} N^{\frac{9-2q}{4q}} \|f_N\|_{L^q} \\ &\lesssim M^{\frac{2q-9}{12q}} \|f\|_{H^{\frac{5}{6}}} + M^{\frac{9-2q}{4q}} \|f\|_{L^q}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing

$$M^{\frac{9-2q}{3q}} \approx \frac{\|f\|_{H^{\frac{5}{6}}}}{\|f\|_{L^q}},$$

we thus complete the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

To prove Lemma 3.1, we similarly follow the approach in [55] by decomposing  $u$  into a linear term and a nonlinear correction:

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta} u_0 \mp i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [|u|^3 u](s) ds = e^{it\Delta} u_0 + u_{\text{nl}}. \quad (3.7)$$

We may now proceed with the proof of Lemma 3.1.

*Proof.* As previously noted, we observe that  $|t-s| \approx |t|$  for  $s \in [0, \frac{t}{2}]$ . Then by the linear dispersive decay,

$$\left\| \int_0^{t/2} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [|u|^3 u](s) ds \right\|_{L^p} \lesssim |t|^{-3(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \int_0^{t/2} \| [|u|^3 u](s) \|_{L^{p'}} ds.$$

Substituting the decomposition of  $u$  and applying Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \int_0^{t/2} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [|u|^3 u](s) ds \right\|_{L^p} &\lesssim |t|^{-3(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \left[ \|e^{is\Delta} u_0\|_{L_x^4 L_x^{4p'}}^3 + \sum_{\alpha=0}^3 \int_0^{t/2} \left\| (e^{is\Delta} u_0)^\alpha u_{nl}^{4-\alpha} \right\|_{L_x^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} ds \right] \\
&\leq C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}}) |t|^{-3(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \left[ \|u_0\|_{L^{p'}} + \sum_{\alpha=0}^2 \int_0^{t/2} \left\| (e^{is\Delta} u_0)^\alpha u_{nl}^{4-\alpha} \right\|_{L_x^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} ds \right] \\
&= C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}}) |t|^{-3(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \left[ \|u_0\|_{L^{p'}} + \sum_{\alpha=0}^3 I_\alpha \right]. \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

For notational convenience, we define  $\beta = \frac{29p-18}{14p}$ . By Hölder's inequality, we may estimate  $I_\alpha$  as

$$I_\alpha \lesssim \left\| \|e^{is\Delta} u_0\|_{L_x^{\frac{87p-54}{35p}}}^{\alpha \vee \beta} \|u_{nl}(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{87p-54}{35p}}}^{\beta - \alpha \vee \beta} \left\| (e^{is\Delta} u_0)^{\alpha - \alpha \wedge \beta} u_{nl}^{3 - \alpha \wedge \beta}(s) \right\|_{L_x^{\frac{6p}{p-6}}} \right\|_{L_s^1}, \tag{3.9}$$

where the nonlinear term  $u_{nl}$  satisfies

$$\|u_{nl}(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{87p-54}{35p}}} \leq \|e^{is\Delta} u_0\|_{L_x^{\frac{87p-54}{35p}}} + \|u(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{87p-54}{35p}}} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}}) |s|^{-3(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{35p}{87p-54})} \|u_0\|_{L_x^{\frac{87p-54}{52p-54}}}. \tag{3.10}$$

A direct computation combined with the Sobolev embedding yields

$$\|u_0\|_{L_x^{\frac{87p-54}{52p-54}}}^{\beta} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^{p'}} \|u_0\|_{L_x^{\frac{9}{2}}}^{\beta-1} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}}) \|u_0\|_{L_x^{p'}}. \tag{3.11}$$

Substituting (3.10) and (3.11) into (3.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\alpha &\lesssim \left\| |s|^{-\frac{17p-54}{28p}} \|u_0\|_{L_x^{\frac{87p-54}{52p-54}}}^{\beta} \left\| (e^{is\Delta} u_0)^{\alpha - \alpha \vee \beta} u_{nl}^{3 - \alpha \wedge \beta}(s) \right\|_{L_x^{\frac{6p}{p-6}}} \right\|_{L_s^1} \\
&\lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}}) \|u_0\|_{L_x^{p'}} \left\| |s|^{-\frac{17p-54}{28p}} \left\| (e^{is\Delta} u_0)^{\alpha - \alpha \vee \beta} u_{nl}^{3 - \alpha \wedge \beta}(s) \right\|_{L_x^{\frac{6p}{p-6}}} \right\|_{L_s^1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since for  $p > 6$  we have  $0 < \frac{17p-54}{28p} < 1$ , it follows that  $|s|^{-\frac{17p-54}{28p}} \in L^{\frac{28p}{17p-54}, \infty}$ . Applying Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$I_\alpha \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}}) \|u_0\|_{L_x^{p'}} \left\| (e^{is\Delta} u_0)^{\alpha - \alpha \vee \beta} u_{nl}^{3 - \alpha \wedge \beta}(s) \right\|_{L_s^{\frac{28p}{11p+54}, 1} L_x^{\frac{6p}{p-6}}}.$$

Noting that  $\alpha - \alpha \vee \beta + 4 - \alpha \wedge \beta = 4 - \beta$ , and applying Hölder's inequality once again, we derive the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\alpha &\lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}}) \|u_0\|_{L_x^{p'}} \|e^{is\Delta} u_0\|_{L_s^{\frac{1}{11p+54}, \infty} L_x^{\frac{6p(4-\beta)}{p-6}}}^{\alpha - \alpha \vee \beta} \|u_{nl}\|_{L_s^{\frac{28p(4-\beta)}{11p+54}, 4 - \alpha \wedge \beta} L_x^{\frac{6p(4-\beta)}{p-6}}}^{4 - \alpha \wedge \beta} \\
&= C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}}) \|u_0\|_{L_x^{p'}} \|e^{is\Delta} u_0\|_{L_s^{\frac{54p+36}{11p+54}, \infty} L_x^{\frac{81p+54}{7p-42}}}^{\alpha - \alpha \vee \beta} \|u_{nl}\|_{L_s^{\frac{54p+36}{11p+54}, 4 - \alpha \wedge \beta} L_x^{\frac{81p+54}{7p-42}}}^{4 - \alpha \wedge \beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

A direct computation shows that  $\left(\frac{54p+36}{11p+54}, \frac{81p+54}{7p-42}\right)$  is Schrödinger-admissible with  $\frac{5}{6}$  spatial derivatives, and that

$$4 - \alpha \wedge \beta \geq 4 - \beta = \frac{27p+18}{14p} \geq \frac{4}{5}$$

holds for  $p > 6$ . Using Corollary 2.11 and Proposition 2.10, we obtain

$$I_\alpha \leq C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}}) \|u_0\|_{L^{p'}},$$

for  $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . Together with (3.8), this completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

We now turn to the proof for the late-time interval  $[\frac{t}{2}, t)$ . On this interval, we employ a Sobolev embedding before applying the linear dispersive decay (1.7). This reduces the Lebesgue exponent below the integrability threshold 6. This part of the proof will be incorporated directly into the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Next, we consider the case  $6 < p < \infty$ . As before, it suffices to treat  $t > 0$ . By the density of Schwartz functions in  $\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}} \cap L^{p'}$ , we may restrict attention to Schwartz solutions of (NLS). By proposition 2.10, we decompose  $[0, \infty)$  into  $J = J(\eta, \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}})$  many intervals  $I_j = [T_{j-1}, T_j)$  on which

$$\|\nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} u\|_{L_t^{\frac{6p}{p+6}, 3} L_x^{\frac{18p}{7p-12}}(I_j)} < \eta. \quad (3.12)$$

Again, we only need to prove (3.2). Combining the linear dispersive decay (1.7) with Lemma 3.1, the Duhamel formula implies that for all  $j$ ,

$$\|u\|_{X(T_j)} \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}}) \|u_0\|_{L^{p'}} + \left\| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [|u|^3 u](s) ds \right\|_{X(T_j)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Thus it remains only to treat the late-time interval  $[t/2, t)$ . Applying the Sobolev embedding together with the linear dispersive decay (1.7), we find that

$$\left\| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [|u|^3 u](s) ds \right\|_{L^p} \lesssim \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \left\| e^{i(t-s)\Delta} |\nabla| [|u|^3 u](s) \right\|_{L_x^{\frac{3p}{p+3}}} ds \quad (3.14)$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{p-6}{2p}} \left\| |\nabla| [|u|^3 u](s) \right\|_{L_x^{\frac{3p}{2p-3}}} ds. \quad (3.15)$$

Applying the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality and Hölder’s inequality, we obtain

$$\left\| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [|u|^3 u](s) ds \right\|_{L^p} \lesssim \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{p-6}{2p}} \|u(s)\|_{L_x^p} \|\nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} u(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{18p}{7p-12}}}^3 ds.$$

We note that  $|s| \approx |t|$  for  $s \in [t/2, t)$ . Using Hölder’s inequality, we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [|u|^3 u](s) ds \right\|_{L^p} &\lesssim |t|^{-3(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{p-6}{2p}} \|u\|_{X(s)} \|\nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} u(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{18p}{7p-12}}}^3 ds \\ &\approx |t|^{-3(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \left\| \|u(s)\|_{X(s)} \|\nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} u(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{18p}{7p-12}}}^3 \right\|_{L_s^{\frac{2p}{p+6}, 1}([0, t))}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Taking the supremum over  $t \in [0, T_j)$  and decomposing  $[t/2, t)$  into  $[t/2, t) \cap I_j$  and  $[t/2, t) \cap [0, T_{j-1})$ , we obtain the following. Since  $(\frac{6p}{p+6}, \frac{18p}{7p-12})$  is a non-endpoint Schrödinger-admissible pair, Propositions 2.10 and (3.12) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [|u|^3 u](s) ds \right\|_{X(T_j)} &\lesssim \|u\|_{X(T_{j-1})} \|\nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} u\|_{L_t^{\frac{6p}{p+6}, 3} L_x^{\frac{18p}{7p-12}}}^3 + \|u\|_{X(T_j)} \|\nabla^{\frac{5}{6}} u\|_{L_t^{\frac{6p}{p+6}, 3} L_x^{\frac{18p}{7p-12}}(I_j)}^3 \\
&\lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}}) (\|u\|_{X(T_{j-1})} + \eta^3 \|u\|_{X(T_j)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Combining (3.17) with (3.13) yields the bootstrap statement (3.2). Together with the earlier considerations, choosing  $\eta$  sufficiently small and iterating over  $j = 1, \dots, J(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{6}}})$  completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## Acknowledgements.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Michael Christ, James Colliander, and Terence Tao. Ill-posedness for nonlinear schrodinger and wave equations. 12 2003.
- [2] Thierry Cazenave and Fred B. Weissler. The cauchy problem for the critical nonlinear schrödinger equation in  $hs$ . *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 14(10):807–836, 1990.
- [3] Miao Changxing, Xu Guixiang, and Zheng Jiqiang. *Critically nonlinear dispersive equation*. Science Press, Beijing, 2023.
- [4] Thomas Duyckaerts, Justin Holmer, and Svetlana Roudenko. Scattering for the non-radial 3D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 15(6):1233–1250, 2008.
- [5] J. Ginibre and G. Velo. Scattering theory in the energy space for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 64(4):363–401, 1985.
- [6] J. Bourgain. Global wellposedness of defocusing critical nonlinear schrödinger equation in the radial case. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 12(1):145–171, 1999.
- [7] Markus Keel and Terence Tao. Endpoint Strichartz estimates. *Amer. J. Math.*, 120(5):955–980, 1998.
- [8] E. Ryckman and M. Visan. Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+4}$ . *Amer. J. Math.*, 129(1):1–60, 2007.
- [9] Monica Visan. The defocusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in higher dimensions. *Duke Math. J.*, 138(2):281–374, 2007.
- [10] Monica Vi, san. Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in four dimensions. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (5):1037–1067, 2012.
- [11] Carlos E. Kenig and Frank Merle. Global well-posedness, scattering and blow-up for the energy-critical, focusing, non-linear Schrödinger equation in the radial case. *Invent. Math.*, 166(3):645–675, 2006.
- [12] Rowan Killip and Monica Visan. The radial defocusing energy-supercritical nonlinear wave equation in all space dimensions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(5):1805–1817, 2011.
- [13] Benjamin Dodson. Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing,  $L^2$ -critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation when  $d \geq 3$ . *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 25(2):429–463, 2012.
- [14] Rowan Killip and Monica Visan. The focusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in dimensions five and higher. *Amer. J. Math.*, 132(2):361–424, 2010.
- [15] Rowan Killip, Monica Visan, and Xiaoyi Zhang. The mass-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation with radial data in dimensions three and higher. *Anal. PDE*, 1(2):229–266, 2008.
- [16] Benjamin Dodson. Global well-posedness and scattering for the mass critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation with mass below the mass of the ground state. *Adv. Math.*, 285:1589–1618, 2015.
- [17] Benjamin Dodson. Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing,  $L^2$  critical, nonlinear Schrödinger equation when  $d = 1$ . *Amer. J. Math.*, 138(2):531–569, 2016.
- [18] Carlos E. Kenig and Frank Merle. Scattering for  $h^{1/2}$  bounded solutions to the cubic, defocusing nls in 3 dimensions. *arXiv: Analysis of PDEs*, 2007.
- [19] Carlos E. Kenig and Frank Merle. Nondispersive radial solutions to energy supercritical non-linear wave equations, with applications. *Amer. J. Math.*, 133(4):1029–1065, 2011.
- [20] Aynur Bulut. The defocusing energy-supercritical cubic nonlinear wave equation in dimension five. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 367(9):6017–6061, 2015.

- [21] Benjamin Dodson, Changxing Miao, Jason Murphy, and Jiqiang Zheng. The defocusing quintic NLS in four space dimensions. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire*, 34(3):759–787, 2017.
- [22] Thomas Duyckaerts, Carlos Kenig, and Frank Merle. Scattering for radial, bounded solutions of focusing supercritical wave equations. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (1):224–258, 2014.
- [23] Thomas Duyckaerts and Tristan Roy. Blow-up of the critical Sobolev norm for nonscattering radial solutions of supercritical wave equations on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 145(3):503–573, 2017.
- [24] Carlos E. Kenig and Frank Merle. Radial solutions to energy supercritical wave equations in odd dimensions. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 31(4):1365–1381, 2011.
- [25] Rowan Killip, Satoshi Masaki, Jason Murphy, and Monica Visan. Large data mass-subcritical NLS: critical weighted bounds imply scattering. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, 24(4):Paper No. 38, 33, 2017.
- [26] Rowan Killip, Satoshi Masaki, Jason Murphy, and Monica Visan. The radial mass-subcritical NLS in negative order Sobolev spaces. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 39(1):553–583, 2019.
- [27] Rowan Killip and Monica Visan. Energy-supercritical NLS: critical  $\dot{H}^s$ -bounds imply scattering. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 35(6):945–987, 2010.
- [28] Rowan Killip and Monica Visan. The radial defocusing energy-supercritical nonlinear wave equation in all space dimensions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(5):1805–1817, 2011.
- [29] Rowan Killip and Monica Visan. The focusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in dimensions five and higher. *Amer. J. Math.*, 132(2):361–424, 2010.
- [30] Changxing Miao, Jason Murphy, and Jiqiang Zheng. The defocusing energy-supercritical NLS in four space dimensions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 267(6):1662–1724, 2014.
- [31] Jason Murphy. Intercritical NLS: critical  $\dot{H}^s$ -bounds imply scattering. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 46(1):939–997, 2014.
- [32] Jason Murphy. The radial defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation in three space dimensions. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 40(2):265–308, 2015.
- [33] Jian Xie and Daoyuan Fang. Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing  $\dot{H}^s$ -critical NLS. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B*, 34(6):801–842, 2013.
- [34] J. Bourgain. Periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation and invariant measures. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 166(1):1–26, 1994.
- [35] Jean Bourgain. Invariant measures for the 2d-defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 176(2):421–445, 1996.
- [36] Nicolas Burq and Nikolay Tzvetkov. Random data Cauchy theory for supercritical wave equations. I. Local theory. *Invent. Math.*, 173(3):449–475, 2008.
- [37] Nicolas Burq and Nikolay Tzvetkov. Random data Cauchy theory for supercritical wave equations. II. A global existence result. *Invent. Math.*, 173(3):477–496, 2008.
- [38] Nicolas Burq and Nikolay Tzvetkov. Probabilistic well-posedness for the cubic wave equation. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 16(1):1–30, 2014.
- [39] James Colliander and Tadahiro Oh. Almost sure well-posedness of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation below  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ . *Duke Math. J.*, 161(3):367–414, 2012.
- [40] Yu Deng. Two-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation with random radial data. *Anal. PDE*, 5(5):913–960, 2012.
- [41] Yu Deng, Nikolay Tzvetkov, and Nicola Visciglia. Invariant measures and long time behaviour for the Benjamin-Ono equation III. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 339(3):815–857, 2015.
- [42] Benjamin Dodson, Jonas Lührmann, and Dana Mendelson. Almost sure scattering for the 4D energy-critical defocusing nonlinear wave equation with radial data. *Amer. J. Math.*, 142(2):475–504, 2020.
- [43] Jonas Lührmann and Dana Mendelson. Random data Cauchy theory for nonlinear wave equations of power-type on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 39(12):2262–2283, 2014.
- [44] Andrea R. Nahmod, Tadahiro Oh, Luc Rey-Bellet, and Gigliola Staffilani. Invariant weighted Wiener measures and almost sure global well-posedness for the periodic derivative NLS. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 14(4):1275–1330, 2012.
- [45] Andrea R. Nahmod and Gigliola Staffilani. Almost sure well-posedness for the periodic 3D quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation below the energy space. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 17(7):1687–1759, 2015.
- [46] Tadahiro Oh, Mamoru Okamoto, and Oana Pocovnicu. On the probabilistic well-posedness of the nonlinear Schrödinger equations with non-algebraic nonlinearities. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 39(6):3479–3520, 2019.

- [47] Tadahiro Oh and Oana Pocovnicu. Probabilistic global well-posedness of the energy-critical defocusing quintic nonlinear wave equation on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 105(3):342–366, 2016.
- [48] Oana Pocovnicu. Almost sure global well-posedness for the energy-critical defocusing nonlinear wave equation on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d = 4$  and 5. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 19(8):2521–2575, 2017.
- [49] Aurélien Poiret, Didier Robert, and Laurent Thomann. Probabilistic global well-posedness for the supercritical nonlinear harmonic oscillator. *Anal. PDE*, 7(4):997–1026, 2014.
- [50] Laurent Thomann. Random data Cauchy problem for supercritical Schrödinger equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire*, 26(6):2385–2402, 2009.
- [51] Loukas Grafakos. *Classical Fourier analysis*, volume 249 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, third edition, 2014.
- [52] A. P. Blozinski. On a convolution theorem for  $L(p, q)$  spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 164:255–265, 1972.
- [53] Kenji Nakanishi. Asymptotically-free solutions for the short-range nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 32(6):1265–1271, 2001.
- [54] Richard O’Neil. Convolution operators and  $L(p, q)$  spaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 30:129–142, 1963.
- [55] Matthew Kowalski. Dispersive decay for the energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Differential Equations*, 429:392–426, 2025.
- [56] Chenjie Fan, Rowan Killip, Monica Visan, and Zehua Zhao. Dispersive decay for the mass-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Math. Z.*, 311(1):Paper No. 21, 16, 2025.

BOYU JIANG

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, XI’AN JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, XI’AN ,710049, SHANXI,CHINA

JIawei SHEN

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE, XIANGTAN UNIVERSITY, XIANGTAN, 411105, HUNAN, CHINA

KEXUE LI

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, XI’AN JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY,XI’AN 710049, SHANXI,CHINA

*Email address:* jiangboyu@stu.xjtu.edu.cn; sjiawei633@xtu.edu.cn; kxli@mail.xjtu.edu.cn