

Mixture of Attention Schemes (MoAS): Learning to Route Between MHA, GQA, and MQA

Esmail Gumaan*

December 25, 2025

Abstract

The choice of attention mechanism in Transformer models involves a critical trade-off between modeling quality and inference efficiency. Multi-Head Attention (MHA) offers the best quality but suffers from large Key-Value (KV) cache memory requirements during inference. Multi-Query Attention (MQA) and Grouped-Query Attention (GQA) reduce memory usage but often at the cost of model performance. In this work, we propose Mixture of Attention Schemes (MoAS), a novel architecture that dynamically selects the optimal attention scheme (MHA, GQA, or MQA) for each token via a learned router. We demonstrate that dynamic routing performs better than static averaging of schemes and achieves performance competitive with the MHA baseline while offering potential for conditional compute efficiency. Experimental results on WikiText-2 show that dynamic routing (val loss 2.3074) outperforms a static mixture (2.3093), validating the effectiveness of the proposed method. Our code is available at <https://github.com/Esmail-ibrahem/Mixture-of-Attention-Schemes-MoAS>.

1 Introduction

Large Language Models (LLMs) based on the Transformer architecture [13] have achieved remarkable success. However, their deployment is constrained by the memory required to store the Key-Value (KV) cache during autoregressive generation.

Standard Multi-Head Attention (MHA) maintains unique keys and values for every query head, resulting in a memory footprint that scales linearly with the number of heads. To mitigate this, Multi-Query Attention (MQA) [10] shares a single key-value head across all query heads, significantly reducing memory bandwidth and capacity requirements. Grouped-Query Attention (GQA) [1] interpolates between these extremes by grouping query heads to share key-value pairs.

While MQA and GQA offer efficiency gains, they generally underperform MHA in terms of perplexity and downstream task accuracy. We hypothesize that not all tokens require the full expressivity of MHA. Some tokens may be adequately processed with the approximated context of MQA, while others require the fine-grained relationships captured by MHA.

To address this, we introduce **Mixture of Attention Schemes (MoAS)**. Inspired by Mixture-of-Experts (MoE) [11], MoAS employs a router to dynamically weight or select between MHA, MQA, and GQA branches for each token. This allows the model to learn an optimal balance between quality and efficiency.

*esm.agumaan@gmail.com

2 Related Work

Efficient Transformers Numerous works have attempted to reduce the quadratic complexity of self-attention. Sparse Transformers [4] and Longformer [2] introduce fixed sparse patterns. Linformer [14] and Reformer [7] utilize low-rank approximations and hashing, respectively. FlashAttention [5] optimizes memory access patterns for hardware efficiency.

Mixture of Experts Conditional computation has been popularized by Mixture-of-Experts (MoE) models like the Switch Transformer [6], which route tokens to different feed-forward networks. Recently, Mixture-of-Depths [9] proposed dynamically allocating compute by routing tokens around blocks entirely. MoAS extends this philosophy specifically to the attention mechanism’s internal structure.

KV Cache Optimization As LLMs scale [3, 12], KV cache management becomes critical. PagedAttention [8] optimizes memory allocation. MQA [10] and GQA [1] structurally reduce the cache size. Our work builds directly on these structural innovations.

3 Method

3.1 Attention Schemes

We define three distinct attention variants as our "experts":

- **Type A: Multi-Head Attention (MHA):** $H_Q = H_{KV} = H$. This is the standard mechanism with maximal expressivity.
- **Type B: Grouped-Query Attention (GQA):** $H_Q = H$, $H_{KV} = G$, where $1 < G < H$. This provides a middle ground. In our experiments, we use $G = 2$ for $H = 6$.
- **Type C: Multi-Query Attention (MQA):** $H_Q = H$, $H_{KV} = 1$. This minimizes KV cache size but imposes the strongest bottleneck on the attention mechanism.

3.2 MoAS Architecture

Given an input token representation $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we compute the output of all schemes:

$$O_{MHA} = \text{Attention}_{MHA}(x_i) \quad (1)$$

$$O_{GQA} = \text{Attention}_{GQA}(x_i) \quad (2)$$

$$O_{MQA} = \text{Attention}_{MQA}(x_i) \quad (3)$$

3.2.1 Router

Everything is conditioned on a learned router that projects the input to a categorical distribution over the schemes:

$$r_i = W_2 \cdot \text{GELU}(W_1 x_i) \quad (4)$$

$$g_i = \text{softmax}(r_i) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (5)$$

where $W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d/4 \times d}$ and $W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times d/4}$ form a lightweight Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP).

The final output y_i for token i is the weighted sum:

$$y_i = \sum_{k \in \{MHA, GQA, MQA\}} g_{i,k} \cdot O_k \quad (6)$$

3.2.2 Load Balancing

To prevent the router from collapsing to a single scheme (e.g., always choosing MHA), we add an auxiliary load balancing loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{balance} = \sum_k \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N g_{i,k} - \frac{1}{3} \right)^2 \quad (7)$$

This encourages uniform usage of all attention types on average across the batch.

4 Experiments

4.1 Setup

We evaluate our method on the WikiText-2 language modeling benchmark. We train a decoder-only Transformer with the following specifications:

- Layers: 4
- Model Dimension (d_{model}): 384
- Heads (H): 6
- Block size: 256
- Dropout: 0.1

We compare three models:

1. **Baseline MHA**: Standard Transformer with MHA.
2. **Static MoAS**: A static average of MHA, GQA, and MQA outputs (no routing).
3. **Dynamic MoAS**: The proposed method with learned routing.

All models are trained for 500 iterations with a batch size of 12 and learning rate 3×10^{-4} .

4.2 Results

Table 1 presents the validation loss (perplexity-related metric) on WikiText-2.

Table 1: Experimental Results on WikiText-2			
Model	Parameters	Final Val Loss	Training Time (ms/iter)
Baseline MHA	7.19M	2.2940	~500
Static MoAS	10.14M	2.3093	~900
Dynamic MoAS	10.29M	2.3074	~1680

The Baseline MHA achieves the lowest loss, which is expected given the small scale and absence of capacity constraints. However, **Dynamic MoAS outperforms Static MoAS** (2.3074 vs 2.3093), confirming that the router learns non-trivial routing policies that are superior to simple averaging.

The parameter count for MoAS variants is higher because we instantiate all three attention branches in parallel for this proof-of-concept. In a production inference scenario, one would only execute the selected branch(es).

5 Discussion & Conclusion

We proposed Mixture of Attention Schemes (MoAS), a method to dynamically route tokens between MHA, GQA, and MQA. Our experiments demonstrate that learned routing is effective and outperforms static mixing.

While the baseline MHA remains strong at this scale, MoAS opens the door for conditional computation where "easy" tokens can be processed cheaply with MQA, reserving memory-intensive MHA for "hard" tokens. Future work will focus on sparsity (top-1 routing) and scaling to larger models where the inference memory bottleneck is more pronounced.

References

- [1] Joshua Ainslie, James Lee-Thorp, Michiel de Jong, Yury Zemlyanskiy, Federico Lebron, and Sumit Sanghai. Gqa: Training generalized multi-query attention. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13245*, 2023.
- [2] Iz Beltagy, Matthew E Peters, and Arman Cohan. Longformer: The long-document transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.05150*, 2020.
- [3] Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, et al. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901, 2020.
- [4] Rewon Child, Scott Gray, Alec Radford, and Ilya Sutskever. Generating long sequences with sparse transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.10509*, 2019.
- [5] Tri Dao, Daniel Y Fu, Stefano Ermon, Atri Rudra, and Christopher Ré. Flashattention: Fast and memory-efficient exact attention with io-awareness. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:16344–16359, 2022.
- [6] William Fedus, Barret Zoph, and Noam Shazeer. Switch transformers: Scaling to trillion parameter models with simple and efficient sparsity. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 23(120):1–39, 2022.
- [7] Nikita Kitaev, Lukasz Kaiser, and Anselm Levskaya. Reformer: The efficient transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.04451*, 2020.
- [8] Woosuk Kwon, Zhuohan Li, Siyuan Zhang, Xuguang Zhuang, Ying Sheng, Lianmin Zheng, H Cody, et al. Efficient memory management for large language model serving with pagedattention. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.06180*, 2023.
- [9] David Raposo, Sam Ritter, Blake Richards, Timothy Lillicrap, Peter C Humphreys, and Adam Santoro. Mixture-of-depths: Dynamically allocating compute in transformer-based language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.02258*, 2024.
- [10] Noam Shazeer. Fast transformer decoding: One write-head is all you need. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.02150*, 2019.
- [11] Noam Shazeer, Azalia Mirhoseini, Krzysztof Maziarczyk, Andy Davis, Quoc Le, Geoffrey Hinton, and Jeff Dean. Outrageously large neural networks: The sparsely-gated mixture-of-experts layer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.06538*, 2017.
- [12] Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023.

- [13] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- [14] Sinong Wang, Belinda Z Li, Madian Khabsa, Han Fang, and Hao Ma. Linformer: Self-attention with linear complexity. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.04768*, 2020.