

ON NON-FREENESS OF GROUPS GENERATED BY TWO PARABOLIC MATRICES WITH RATIONAL PARAMETERS: LIMIT POINTS AND THE ORBIT TEST

WONYONG JANG AND DONGRYUNG YI

ABSTRACT. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$G_\alpha := \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle < \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}).$$

K. Kim and the first author established the orbit test, which provides a sufficient condition for G_α not to be a rank-2 free group. In this article, we present two main applications of the orbit test. First, using the corresponding modulo homomorphism, we show that the converse of the orbit test does not hold. In particular, we construct explicit counterexamples, all of which are rational. As another application, we construct sequences of non-free rational numbers converging to 3. These sequences are given by

$$3 + \frac{3}{2(9n-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad 3 + \frac{9n+5}{3(2n+1)(9n+4)},$$

and their construction relies on the orbit test together with a modified Pell's equation.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a complex number α , consider the subgroup G_α of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, generated by two parabolic matrices:

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_\alpha := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad G_\alpha := \langle A, B_\alpha \rangle < \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

The problem of determining whether G_α is a rank-2 free group or not has a long history and has been actively studied in the literature. We say that $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is a *free number* if G_α is isomorphic to a rank-2 free group, and that $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is a *non-free number*, or a *relation number*, otherwise.

Many people have contributed to this problem, and different conventions have been used in the literature. For $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, if we let

$$A_{\alpha_1} := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_{\alpha_2} := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} := \langle A_{\alpha_1}, B_{\alpha_2} \rangle < \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}),$$

then $H_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \cong H_{1, \alpha_1 \alpha_2} = G_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}$ [9]. Many previous results were obtained in the settings $H_{2, \lambda}$, $H_{\mu, \mu}$ and $H_{\alpha, 1}$. Nonetheless, we adopt the notation $G_\alpha = H_{1, \alpha}$ since this formulation is most convenient for the orbit test, which is the main focus of this article. Moreover, the above isomorphism tells us that all previous results can be converted into our setting.

The ultimate goal of this line of research is to characterize non-free numbers. First, almost all complex numbers are free numbers due to the following results.

Proposition 1.1. *The following complex numbers are free:*

- (1) *transcendental numbers* [12],
- (2) *real numbers α with $|\alpha| \geq 4$ ([25] and [6]), and*
- (3) *elements of the Riley slice of the Schottky space* [17].

We refer to [21], [17], [19], and [1] for details on the Schottky space and the Riley slice. These results provide a geometric perspective on the problem. Also, readers can find simple descriptions of free numbers in [9], [20], and [15]. Note that if $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ are algebraically conjugate, then the corresponding Galois conjugation induces a group isomorphism $G_a \cong G_b$. Combining with Proposition 1.1, this implies that the set of algebraic free numbers is dense in \mathbb{C} . On the other hand, it is known that the set of non-free numbers is dense in the open unit disc $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ by Ree [23]. In particular, the set of all non-free numbers is dense in the closed interval $[-4, 4] \subset \mathbb{R}$ [9]. However, it remains challenging to determine whether a given number is free or not. In particular, the following conjecture is a long-standing open problem.

Conjecture 1.2. *Every rational number α with $|\alpha| < 4$ is non-free.*

This conjecture was first suggested in [20] in the setting of the groups $H_{2,\lambda}$ and $H_{\mu,\mu}$. Subsequently, the conjecture was reformulated by S. Kim and T. Koberda [18]. There has been considerable effort devoted to resolving this conjecture. It is straightforward to show that the integers 1, 2, and 3 are non-free. Moreover, Farbman proved in [11] that all rational numbers $\frac{q}{p}$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$) with $|q| \leq 16$ and $\left| \frac{q}{p} \right| < 4$ are non-free. This result is extended as follows:

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 1.4 in [18]). *Every rational number $\frac{q}{p}$ with $|q| \leq 27$, $|q| \neq 24$, and $\left| \frac{q}{p} \right| < 4$ is non-free.*

In addition, there are many results on the characterization of non-free numbers via polynomials ([24], [5], [2]). In particular, an interesting connection between non-free numbers and the generalized Chebyshev polynomials was established in [10]. This relationship was then used to prove that every rational number $\frac{q}{p}$ with $|p| \leq 22$ and $\left| \frac{q}{p} \right| < 4$ is non-free with only six exceptions. For further results on non-free rational numbers, we refer the reader to [13, Theorem 7.7].

In [16], Kyeongro Kim and the first author introduced *the orbit test*. The orbit test provides a sufficient condition for a real number to be non-free.

Proposition 1.4 (The orbit test, Proposition 5.4 in [16]). *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. If there exists $g \in G_\alpha$ such that*

$$g \cdot 0 = \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } g \cdot \infty = \frac{1}{2},$$

then α is non-free.

For the detailed account, such as the action of G_α , we refer to Subsection 2.1 and [16]. Using this tool, many rational numbers, e.g.,

$$\frac{41}{18}, \frac{57}{25}, \frac{59}{26}, \frac{43}{27},$$

are known to be non-free. See Table 1 in [16]. This naturally raises the question of whether the converse of the orbit test holds. The first main result of this paper shows that the converse of the orbit test is false. Moreover, the counterexamples turn out to be rational.

Theorem 1.5 (Corollary 3.2). *The converse of the orbit test is false. Moreover, there are infinitely many non-free rational numbers that never satisfy the orbit test.*

A key observation from the previous result is that the numerator should be ± 2 or odd (Proposition 3.1). Consequently, although such counterexamples exist, the orbit test remains useful to show that α is non-free rational when the numerator is a large odd integer. We point out that when α approaches to 4, the length of all relations in G_α diverges to ∞ [14]. Thus, for rational numbers with a sufficiently large numerator, the orbit test becomes more effective. We also refer to [28] for further discussion of relations in G_α .

In [4], A. F. Beardon revealed an interesting relationship between Pell's equation and non-free rational numbers. This result was later extended in [27]. Both papers provide classes of convergent sequences of non-free rational numbers. Smilga constructed sequences of non-free rational numbers converging to several real numbers in [26]. The list of limit points includes $2 + \sqrt{2}$, which is currently the largest known limit

point of non-free rational numbers. Generalizing Smilga's work, further families of sequences of non-free rational numbers were obtained in [8]. Despite these contributions, it remains unknown whether there exists a sequence of non-free rational numbers converging to 3 or 4 (see [10] and [8]). In this paper, we address the case of 3.

Theorem 1.6 (Corollary 4.4). *3 is a limit point of non-free rational numbers.*

Indeed, we prove that any rational number of the form

$$-\frac{2n_3 + 2n_5 - 1}{n_3 n_4 (2n_5 - 1)} \quad (n_3, n_4 \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}, n_5 \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

turns out to be a limit point of non-free rational numbers, and that the rational numbers

$$3 + \frac{3}{2(9n-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad 3 + \frac{9n+5}{3(2n+1)(9n+4)}$$

are non-free. See Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 5.2, respectively.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly recall the orbit test, the corresponding principal congruence subgroup, and Pell's equation. We use the orbit test and the corresponding modulo homomorphism to prove the first main result, Corollary 3.2, in Section 3. Using a similar technique, we also characterize which G_α contains special types of matrices in this section. In Section 4, we provide rational numbers that are limit points of non-free rational numbers (Theorem 4.3). As a corollary, 3 turns out to be a limit point of non-free rational numbers (Corollary 4.4). In Section 5, we explicitly construct sequences of non-free rational numbers that converges to 3.

Acknowledgement Both authors are grateful to Hyungryul Baik and Sang-hyun Kim for helpful discussions and suggestions for qualitative improvement. We also thank Dongha Lee for helpful comments on an earlier draft of this manuscript. The first author has been supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) RS-2025-00513595. The second author has been supported by 2025 Summer-Fall KAIST Undergraduate Research Participation Program.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The orbit test. In [16], the orbit test is established. Since the orbit test is one of the main ingredients of this paper, we briefly recall it. Throughout this paper, we assume that α is real; hence G_α is a subgroup of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. The group $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ acts on the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 , and this action induces an action on the circle at infinity $\partial\mathbb{H}^2 \cong S^1 \cong \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. Consequently, G_α acts on $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, and a precise description of this action is given by the following formula:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot r := \frac{ar+b}{cr+d}.$$

The following proposition is called the orbit test.

Proposition 2.1 (Proposition 5.4, [16]). *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. If there exists $g \in G_\alpha$ such that*

$$g \cdot 0 = \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } g \cdot \infty = \frac{1}{2},$$

then α is non-free.

2.2. The corresponding modulo homomorphisms. Now we assume that α is rational so put $\alpha = \frac{q}{p}$ where p is prime and q is integer with $p, q > 0$, $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. Recall that for fixed prime p , $G_{\frac{1}{p}} = \mathrm{SL}_2\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]\right)$ so $G_{\frac{q}{p}} < \mathrm{SL}_2\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]\right)$ [22, Proposition 2.1].

Then we can consider the following surjective group homomorphism

$$\pi : \mathrm{SL}_2\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right] / q\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]\right) \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let $K_{\frac{q}{p}}$ be the kernel of $\pi_{\frac{q}{p}} := \pi|_{G_{\frac{q}{p}}}$. Then $K_{\frac{q}{p}}$ is a finite index normal subgroup of $G_{\frac{q}{p}}$ (actually the index is q) and is called the *corresponding principal congruence subgroup* of $G_{\frac{q}{p}}$. The homomorphism $\pi_{\frac{q}{p}}$ is called the *corresponding modulo homomorphism* of $G_{\frac{q}{p}}$.

2.3. Pell's equation. We dedicate this subsection to introducing Pell's equation and its variation for our purpose. In elementary number theory, the following fact is well known.

Lemma 2.2 (Pell's equation). *Let d be a non-square positive integer. Then the following Diophantine equation*

$$u^2 - dv^2 = 1$$

has infinitely many integer solutions $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. In particular, the equation has an integer solution that satisfies $v \neq 0$.

We refer to [3] and [7] for readers who are interested in Pell's equation. We use Pell's equation to prove the following observation.

Lemma 2.3. *Let d be a non-square positive integer and c be an integer. Suppose that $(u, v) = (u_0, v_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is one of the solutions of the following Diophantine equation*

$$u^2 - dv^2 = c.$$

Then this Diophantine equation has infinitely many integer solutions $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ that satisfy the congruence condition

$$u \equiv u_0, v \equiv v_0 \pmod{d}.$$

Proof. Since d is a non-square positive integer, we can find integers $u_1, v_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $u_1^2 - dv_1^2 = 1$ and $v_1 \neq 0$, by Pell's equation, Lemma 2.2. Now we consider the quotient ring $R := \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]/(d)$. Then it is a finite commutative ring. We denote the natural quotient map by $q : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}] \rightarrow R$.

Claim: $q(u_1 + v_1\sqrt{d})$ is a unit of R .

Proof of the Claim. Since $u_1^2 - dv_1^2 = 1$, $\gcd(u_1, d) = 1$. Let $I = (u_1 + v_1\sqrt{d}, d)$ be an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$. Then since

$$u_1\sqrt{d} = (\sqrt{d})(u_1 + v_1\sqrt{d}) + (-v_1)(d),$$

we have $u_1\sqrt{d} \in I$. Together with $d\sqrt{d} \in I$ and $\gcd(u_1, d) = 1$, we obtain $\sqrt{d} \in I$. Recall that $u_1 + v_1\sqrt{d}$ is in I , thus $u_1 \in I$. Therefore, $1 = \gcd(u_1, d) \in I$. In other words, we can pick $\mu, v \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ such that

$$(u_1 + v_1\sqrt{d})\mu + dv = 1.$$

By applying q to both sides, we get

$$q(u_1 + v_1\sqrt{d})q(\mu) = q(1),$$

which means that $q(u_1 + v_1\sqrt{d})$ is a unit of R . ■

Recall that R is finite, hence the group R^\times of units of R is also finite. This implies that $q(u_1 + v_1\sqrt{d}) \in R^\times$ has a finite order, say $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Now we complete the proof by showing that every pair of integers $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ determined by the equation

$$(2.1) \quad u + v\sqrt{d} = (u_0 + v_0\sqrt{d})(u_1 + v_1\sqrt{d})^{tk} \in \mathbb{R} \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z})$$

provide distinct integer solutions of the Diophantine equation $u^2 - dv^2 = c$ which satisfy the congruence condition.

First, note that $u_1 + v_1\sqrt{d} \neq \pm 1$ since $v_1 \neq 0$. It is obvious that the generated pairs (u, v) are all distinct. Next, by applying the norm $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})/\mathbb{Q}}$ to both sides in Formula (2.1), we get

$$u^2 - dv^2 = (u_0^2 - dv_0^2)(u_1^2 - dv_1^2)^{tk} = c \cdot 1^{tk} = c.$$

Thus, for such u, v , the Diophantine equation is satisfied. By applying q to both sides in Formula (2.1), we have

$$q(u + v\sqrt{d}) = q(u_0 + v_0\sqrt{d})$$

since $q(u_1 + v_1\sqrt{d})^t = q(1)$. This shows that $(u - u_0) + (v - v_0)\sqrt{d} \in (d)$, namely, $u \equiv u_0$, $v \equiv v_0 \pmod{d}$. Therefore, we constructed infinitely many integer solutions $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ that satisfy the Diophantine equation and the congruence condition. \square

We now modify Pell's equation for our purpose as follows:

Lemma 2.4. *Let $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be integers such that a_1 is even and a_2 is either 0 or a non-square positive integer. Then the Diophantine equation*

$$v^2 = a_2u^2 + a_1u + a_0$$

for $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ has infinitely many solutions if the equation has at least one solution. In particular, we can find infinitely many $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_2u^2 + a_1u + a_0$ is a square integer if there is at least one such u .

Proof. First, assume $a_2 = 0$. Then it is straightforward to check that the Diophantine equation has infinitely many solutions. Thus, we may assume $a_2 \neq 0$. Hence, a_2 is a non-square positive integer. Recall that a_1 is even, so we can rewrite the equation as

$$(2.2) \quad \left(a_2u + \frac{1}{2}a_1\right)^2 - a_2v^2 = \frac{1}{4}(a_1^2 - 4a_0a_2)$$

Since a_2 is non-square, the above equation can be considered as

$$(2.3) \quad U^2 - dV^2 = c$$

by letting $U = a_2u + \frac{1}{2}a_1$, $V = v$, $d = a_2$, and $c = \frac{1}{4}(a_1^2 - 4a_0a_2)$. Recall that $U, V, d, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the assumption, we have at least one solution (U_0, V_0) for this Diophantine equation. Then Lemma 2.3 implies that Equation (2.3) has infinitely many solutions for (U, V) with $U \equiv U_0$, $V \equiv V_0 \pmod{d}$. Since $v = V$, we obtain infinitely many $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. For u , note that $U \equiv U_0 \pmod{a_2}$, so for infinitely many $U \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can find u from $U = a_2u + \frac{1}{2}a_1$. Therefore, we have infinitely many $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ as desired. We complete the proof. \square

3. THE ORBIT TEST AND THE CORRESPONDING MODULO HOMOMORPHISM

We devote this section to giving several results obtained from the orbit test, Proposition 2.1, and the corresponding modulo homomorphism. Throughout this section, we assume that p is a prime number. Then for a coprime pair (p, q) , recall that the map

$$\pi : \mathrm{SL}_2\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right] / q\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]\right) \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}),$$

induces a surjective group homomorphism

$$\pi_{\frac{q}{p}} : G_{\frac{q}{p}} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}),$$

and this map is called the corresponding modulo homomorphism. As a first application, we show that the converse of the orbit test (Proposition 2.1) is false. Using a similar technique, we characterize when G_α contains special types of matrices, such as $-I$ and hollow matrices.

3.1. The converse of the orbit test. In this subsection, we will show that the converse of the orbit test is false. We investigate the condition for $\alpha = \frac{q}{p}$ to satisfy the orbit test.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that a group $G_{\frac{q}{p}}$ satisfies the orbit test. Then $|q|$ should be 2 or odd.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $p, q > 0$. Put

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in G_\alpha.$$

First suppose $g \cdot 0 = \frac{1}{2}$. This means $\frac{b}{d} = \frac{1}{2}$. By using the homomorphism $\pi_{\frac{q}{p}}$, we have

$$\pi_{\frac{q}{p}}(g) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $0 \leq k < q$. Thus for some $n, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$b = k + a_1 \frac{q}{p^n}, \quad d = 1 + a_2 \frac{q}{p^n}.$$

From $\frac{b}{d} = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$2k + 2a_1 \frac{q}{p^n} = 1 + a_2 \frac{q}{p^n},$$

and this implies $q(a_2 - 2a_1) = (2k - 1)p^n$. Hence, $q|(2k - 1)p^n$ and $q|(2k - 1)$ since $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. Thus, q should be odd.

Now assume that $g \cdot \infty = \frac{1}{2}$. This means $\frac{a}{c} = \frac{1}{2}$. Since

$$\pi_{\frac{q}{p}}(g) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $0 \leq k < q$, for some $n, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$a = 1 + a_3 \frac{q}{p^n}, \quad c = 0 + a_4 \frac{q}{p^n}.$$

Since $\frac{a}{c} = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$2 + 2a_3 \frac{q}{p^n} = a_4 \frac{q}{p^n}.$$

This means that $q(a_4 - 2a_3) = 2p^n$ so $q|2p^n$. Since $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, we have $q|2$.

Therefore, either $q|2$ or q is odd. We conclude that $|q|$ should be either 2 or odd. \square

Recall that there are infinitely many non-free rational numbers whose numerator is even and between 4 and 27 (see [18]). Thus, the following corollary follows immediately from the previous proposition.

Corollary 3.2. *The converse of the orbit test is false. Moreover, there are infinitely many non-free rational numbers that never satisfy the orbit test.*

3.2. Special types of matrices. In this subsection, we investigate an equivalent condition for G_α to contain certain types of matrices. For convenience, we assume that $\alpha = \frac{q}{p}$ with $p, q > 0$. We begin with the negative identity matrix $-I$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\alpha = \frac{q}{p}$ be a rational number. Then*

$$-I \in G_\alpha \text{ if and only if } q = 1 \text{ or } 2.$$

Proof. The “if” part is easy. Recall that $-I \in G_1, G_2$ since $(B_2 A^{-1})^2 = -I$. Conversely, assume that $-I \in G_\alpha$ and $\alpha = \frac{q}{p}$ is rational. Since

$$\pi_{\frac{q}{p}}(-I) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $0 \leq k < q$, we have

$$-1 \equiv 1 \pmod{r} \quad \text{and} \quad k \equiv 0 \pmod{r}$$

where $r = \frac{q}{p^n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus we have $r|2$, $r|k$. From $r|2$, $\frac{q}{p^n}|2$, equivalently, $q|2p^n$. Since $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, we obtain $q|2$ hence q is either 1 or 2. \square

Recall that when $\alpha = \frac{q}{p}$, G_α has a torsion element if and only if $q = 1, 2, 3$ [11, Theorem 1]. Thus, Lemma 3.3 can be considered as a special case of Theorem 1 in [11]. Next, we consider the analogous statement for hollow matrices. Recall that a matrix is hollow if its diagonal entries are all zero.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\alpha = \frac{q}{p}$ be a rational number. Then G_α contains a hollow matrix if and only if $q = 1$.*

Proof. If $\alpha = \frac{1}{p}$, then $G_\alpha = \mathrm{SL}_2\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]\right)$ so obviously G_α contains a hollow matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -p \\ \frac{1}{p} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Conversely,

suppose $g := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in G_\alpha$. Then

$$\pi_{\frac{q}{p}}(g) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $0 \leq k < q$, so we have

$$x \equiv k, 1 \equiv 0, y \equiv 0 \pmod{r}$$

where $r = \frac{q}{p^n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $r|1$, we have $q|p^n$. Since $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, q should be 1 thus, $\alpha = \frac{1}{p}$. \square

One may ask whether an analogous statement holds for diagonal matrices. Unfortunately, we cannot obtain any analogous result in the case of diagonal matrices. Indeed, by an explicit calculation, we show that G_α contains a non-identity diagonal matrix when $\alpha = \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n}$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, as follows. Let $\alpha = \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n}$. We may assume $m, n > 0$ and find nonzero integers a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 , and a_3 satisfying the matrix

$$W := A^{a_1} B_\alpha^{b_1} A^{a_2} B_\alpha^{b_2} A^{a_3}$$

is non-identity and diagonal. From computation, recall that

$$W = A^{a_1} B_\alpha^{b_1} A^{a_2} B_\alpha^{b_2} A^{a_3} = \begin{bmatrix} * & f_2(\alpha) \\ f_1(\alpha) & f_3(\alpha) \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$f_1(\alpha) = a_2 b_1 b_2 \alpha^2 + (b_1 + b_2) \alpha$$

$$f_2(\alpha) = (a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1 b_2) \alpha^2 + (a_1 a_2 b_1 + a_1 a_3 b_1 + a_1 a_3 b_2 + a_2 a_3 b_2) \alpha + a_1 + a_2 + a_3$$

$$f_3(\alpha) = (a_2 a_3 b_1 b_2) \alpha^2 + (a_2 b_1 + a_3 b_1 + a_3 b_2) \alpha + 1.$$

First look at $f_1(\alpha)$. To make W diagonal, $f_1(\alpha)$ should be 0; so we have $a_2b_1b_2\alpha + (b_1 + b_2) = 0$. This means

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{a_2} \left(\frac{1}{b_1} + \frac{1}{b_2} \right).$$

By assumption, $\alpha = \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n}$ hence put $a_2 = 1$, $b_1 = -m$ and $b_2 = -n$.

Next consider $f_2(\alpha)$. Since W is required to be diagonal, $f_2(\alpha) = 0$. Since $a_2 = 1$, the equation is

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1a_3b_1b_2)\alpha^2 + (a_1b_1 + a_1a_3b_1 + a_1a_3b_2 + a_3b_2)\alpha + a_1 + a_3 + 1 &= 0. \\ \iff (a_1a_3(b_1b_2\alpha + b_1 + b_2) + a_1b_1 + a_3b_2)\alpha + a_1 + a_3 + 1 &= 0. \\ \iff (a_1b_1 + a_3b_2)\alpha + a_1 + a_3 + 1 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha = \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n}$ and $b_1 = -m, b_2 = -n$, we have

$$(ma_1 + na_3) \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \right) - a_1 - a_3 = 1.$$

Thus

$$\frac{m}{n}a_1 + \frac{n}{m}a_3 = 1, \text{ namely, } m^2a_1 + n^2a_3 = mn.$$

Recall that the Diophantine equation $m^2a_1 + n^2a_3 = mn$ for a_1, a_3 always has infinitely many nonzero integer solutions. If we choose a_1, a_3 satisfying the equation, then W is a diagonal matrix.

The only remaining step is to verify $W \neq I_2$. It suffices to show $f_3(\alpha) \neq 1$. Suppose $f_3(\alpha) = 1$, then $(a_2a_3b_1b_2)\alpha^2 + (a_2b_1 + a_3b_1 + a_3b_2)\alpha = 0$. Since $\alpha \neq 0$ and $a_2 = 1$, we get

$$(a_3b_1b_2)\alpha + (b_1 + a_3b_1 + a_3b_2) = 0.$$

Since $b_1 = -m, b_2 = -n$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n}$, we have

$$mna_3 \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \right) + (-m - ma_3 - na_3) = 0$$

so $a_3(n+m) - m - (m+n)a_3 = 0$, i.e., $m = 0$. This yields a contradiction since $m > 0$. Therefore $f_3(\alpha) \neq 1$ and we confirm that the matrix W with suitable a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 , and a_3 is a non-identity diagonal matrix.

Therefore, G_α contains a non-identity diagonal matrix when $\alpha = \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n}$, however, the problem is the converse. Suppose

$$X := \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \in G_{\frac{q}{p}}.$$

Put

$$\pi_{\frac{q}{p}}(X) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $0 \leq k < q$, then we obtain

$$\frac{q}{p^\mu} \left| (1-a) \text{ and } \frac{q}{p^\mu} \right| (1-b),$$

for some integer μ . Note that for some $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$a = 1 + v_1 \frac{q}{p^\mu} \text{ and } b = 1 + v_2 \frac{q}{p^\mu}.$$

Since $ab = 1$, we have

$$1 = ab = \left(1 + v_1 \frac{q}{p^\mu} \right) \left(1 + v_2 \frac{q}{p^\mu} \right) = 1 + \frac{q}{p^\mu} (v_1 + v_2) + \left(\frac{q}{p^\mu} \right)^2 v_1 v_2.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\alpha = \frac{q}{p} = -p^{\mu-1} \frac{v_1 + v_2}{v_1 v_2}.$$

However, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$, we can find μ, v_1, v_2 satisfying this identity. To show this, we prove that for any coprime pair (p, q) , there exist integers μ, v_1, v_2 satisfying

$$qv_1v_2 = -p^\mu(v_1 + v_2).$$

Equivalently, we obtain

$$(qv_1 - p^\mu)(qv_2 - p^\mu) = p^{2\mu}.$$

Thus, it is enough to find v_1, v_2, μ, l satisfying the following formulas.

$$\begin{cases} qv_1 - p^\mu = -p^{\mu+1} \\ qv_2 - p^\mu = -p^{\mu-l} \end{cases}$$

Hence, it is sufficient to find μ, l so that

$$q \mid (p^\mu - p^{\mu \pm l}).$$

This means that we need to find l such that $q \mid (p^l - 1)$. Since $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, take $l = \phi(q)$. Therefore, for a given rational number $\frac{q}{p}$, we can always find μ, v_1, v_2 satisfying

$$\frac{q}{p} = -p^{\mu-1} \frac{v_1 + v_2}{v_1 v_2},$$

and we cannot obtain any condition for G_α to include non-identity diagonal matrices.

Remark 3.5. We point out that the analogous result for a diagonal matrix is particularly significant from the following perspective. One motivation is the computation of stabilizer subgroups. Recall the action of G_α on $S^1 \cong \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ (see Subsection 2.1 and [16]). Using the action G_α on S^1 , K. Kim and the first author constructed a new graph called the generalized Farey graph Γ_α . The group G_α acts naturally on Γ_α , and it is natural to ask how well this action behaves. The analogous result plays a key role in computing edge stabilizer subgroups. By the construction of Γ_α , all edges in the graph are of the form $g \cdot \ell$ where $g \in G_\alpha$ and ℓ is the geodesic between 0 and ∞ in $\overline{\mathbb{H}^2} = \mathbb{H}^2 \cup \partial\mathbb{H}^2$. Thus, it is enough to calculate the stabilizer subgroup $\text{Stab}_{G_\alpha}(\ell)$. Since every diagonal matrix in G_α lies in $\text{Stab}_{G_\alpha}(\ell)$, determining which diagonal matrices belong to G_α is essential for computing this stabilizer. One of the purposes of Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 is precisely to find this stabilizer subgroup. An understanding of this stabilizer subgroup may lead to new algebraic information about G_α , such as decompositions, in a manner analogous to Bass–Serre theory.

Another motivation comes from a conjecture of Carl-Fredrik Nyberg Brodda. He proposed the following conjecture in [22].

Conjecture 3.6. Let $\frac{q}{p} \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (-4, 4)$ with $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. Then $G_{\frac{q}{p}}$ is equal to the congruence subgroup

$$\pi^{-1} \left(\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right)$$

of $G_{\frac{1}{p}} = \text{SL}_2 \left(\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \right)$. In particular, $G_{\frac{q}{p}}$ is a finite index subgroup of $G_{\frac{1}{p}}$.

This conjecture suggests another approach to solving Conjecture 1.2. Recall that $G_{\frac{1}{p}} \cong \text{SL}_2 \left(\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \right)$. This group is never virtually free since $G_{\frac{1}{p}}$ contains $\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$ as a subgroup. (Even, it is not hyperbolic.) This implies that if $G_{\frac{q}{p}}$ is a finite index subgroup of $G_{\frac{1}{p}}$, then $\alpha = \frac{q}{p}$ is non-free. Namely, Conjecture 3.6 implies Conjecture 1.2.

In order to disprove this conjecture, it suffices to find a coprime pair (p, q) with $\left| \frac{q}{p} \right| < 4$ such that the index $[G_{\frac{1}{p}} : G_{\frac{q}{p}}]$ is infinite. One approach to establishing this is to prove that $G_{\frac{q}{p}}$ has no non-identity diagonal

matrix. Let D be the set of all diagonal matrices in $G_{\frac{1}{p}} = \mathrm{SL}_2\left(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]\right)$. Then D is an infinite subgroup of $G_{\frac{1}{p}}$. If $G_{\frac{q}{p}}$ is a finite index subgroup of $G_{\frac{1}{p}}$, then the intersection $D \cap G_{\frac{q}{p}}$ is a finite index subgroup of D , hence $D \cap G_{\frac{q}{p}}$ should be infinite. Therefore, if we prove that $G_{\frac{q}{p}}$ has no non-identity diagonal matrix, then $[G_{\frac{1}{p}} : G_{\frac{q}{p}}] = \infty$. This observation suggests that our strategy may be useful in disproving Conjecture 3.6.

We finish the section by posing the following problem concerning diagonal matrices.

Question 3.7. Let $\alpha = \frac{q}{p}$ be a rational number. Then find an equivalent condition of α such that G_α contains a non-identity diagonal matrix.

4. SEQUENCES OF NON-FREE RATIONAL NUMBERS

The main purpose of this section is to show that 3 is a limit point of non-free rational numbers. We suggest real numbers that are limit points of non-free rational numbers.

Proposition 4.1. Let $y, n_3, n_4 \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, $n_5 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be integers such that

$$(sy + n_4r)^2 - 8n_3n_4ry$$

is either 0 or a non-square positive integer, where $r := 2n_5 - 1$ and $s := 2n_3 + 2n_5 - 1$. Then any of two real numbers

$$\frac{-(sy + n_4r) \pm \sqrt{(sy + n_4r)^2 - 8n_3n_4ry}}{2n_3n_4ry}$$

is a limit point of non-free rational numbers.

Proof. By the orbit test (Proposition 2.1), every real number α satisfying

$$A^{n_5}B_\alpha^{n_4}A^{n_3}B_\alpha^{n_2}A^{n_1} \cdot 0 = \frac{1}{2}$$

is non-free. We now adjust the parameters $n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5 \in \mathbb{Z}$ to make α rational. We rewrite it as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n_5 \\ n_4\alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n_3 \\ n_2\alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (2n_1n_2n_3n_4n_5 - n_1n_2n_3n_4)\alpha^2 \\ & + (2n_1n_2n_3 + 2n_1n_2n_5 + 2n_1n_4n_5 + 2n_3n_4n_5 - n_1n_2 - n_1n_4 - n_3n_4)\alpha \\ & + (2n_1 + 2n_3 + 2n_5 - 1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider n_1 and n_2 as variables, whereas n_3, n_4 , and n_5 would be regarded as constants. To distinguish variables and constants, let $x := n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y := n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. For convenience, we put $r := 2n_5 - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s := 2n_3 + 2n_5 - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. After the substitutions, we have

$$(n_3n_4rxy)\alpha^2 + (sxy + n_4rx + n_3n_4r)\alpha + (2x + s) = 0.$$

Now suppose x, y, n_3 , and n_4 are nonzero. Then $n_3n_4rxy \neq 0$ so the above equation is quadratic for α . Let α_\pm be two roots of this quadratic equation, namely,

$$(4.1) \quad \alpha_\pm := \frac{-(sxy + n_4rx + n_3n_4r) \pm \sqrt{(sxy + n_4rx + n_3n_4r)^2 - 4(n_3n_4rxy)(2x + s)}}{2n_3n_4rxy}.$$

Thus, when the value

$$(sxy + n_4rx + n_3n_4r)^2 - 4(n_3n_4rxy)(2x + s)$$

is a square integer, α_\pm is rational. To find such square integers, consider the following Diophantine equation

$$(4.2) \quad z^2 = (sxy + n_4rx + n_3n_4r)^2 - 4(n_3n_4rxy)(2x + s),$$

where $z \in \mathbb{Z}$. By arranging the equation with respect to x , we get

$$z^2 = ((sy + n_4r)^2 - 8n_3n_4ry)x^2 + (2n_3n_4r(-sy + n_4r))x + (n_3n_4r)^2.$$

By the assumption, the value $(sy + n_4r)^2 - 8n_3n_4ry$ is either 0 or a non-square positive integer. Notice that $2n_3n_4r(-sy + n_4r)$ is even, and $(x, z) = (0, \pm n_3n_4r)$ provide examples of solutions of Diophantine equation (4.2). By Lemma 2.4, we conclude that there are infinitely many $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying Equation (4.2). Therefore, we can take the limit $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{\pm}$, and we conclude that the real numbers

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{\pm} = \frac{-(sy + n_4r) \pm \sqrt{(sy + n_4r)^2 - 8n_3n_4ry}}{2n_3n_4ry}$$

are indeed limit points of non-free rational numbers. \square

The next lemma tells us that the condition of $(sy + n_4r)^2 - 8n_3n_4ry$ is not strict.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $n_3, n_4 \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, $n_5 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be integers, and put $r := 2n_5 - 1$ and $s := 2n_3 + 2n_5 - 1$. Then*

$$(sy + n_4r)^2 - 8n_3n_4ry$$

is a non-square positive integer for all but finitely many $y \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$.

Proof. We regard n_3 , n_4 , and n_5 as constants. By arranging the equation with respect to y , we get

$$(sy + n_4r)^2 - 8n_3n_4ry = s^2y^2 + 2n_4r(s - 4n_3)y + (n_4r)^2.$$

Since $s = 2n_3 + 2n_5 - 1$ is an odd integer, the coefficient s^2 of y^2 is positive. Therefore, $s^2y^2 + 2n_4r(s - 4n_3)y + (n_4r)^2$ is a positive integer for infinitely many $y \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$.

Now suppose that for given y , $s^2y^2 + 2n_4r(s - 4n_3)y + (n_4r)^2$ is a square of an integer $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. That is, for $y \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, there exists $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying

$$(4.3) \quad w^2 = s^2y^2 + 2n_4r(s - 4n_3)y + (n_4r)^2.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} w^2 &= s^2y^2 + 2n_4r(s - 4n_3)y + (n_4r)^2 \\ &\iff s^2w^2 = s^4y^2 + 2n_4rs^2(s - 4n_3)y + (n_4rs)^2 \\ (4.4) \quad &\iff (sw)^2 = (s^2y + n_4r(s - 4n_3))^2 - n_4^2r^2(s - 4n_3)^2 + (n_4rs)^2 \\ &\iff (sw + s^2y + n_4r(s - 4n_3))(sw - s^2y - n_4r(s - 4n_3)) = 8n_3(n_4r)^2(s - 2n_3) \\ &\iff (sw + s^2y + n_4r(s - 4n_3))(sw - s^2y - n_4r(s - 4n_3)) = 8n_3n_4^2r^3. \end{aligned}$$

The last equivalence follows from $s - 2n_3 = 2n_5 - 1 = r$. Thus, whenever we find $w, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying (4.3), we confirm that $sw \pm (s^2y + n_4r(s - 4n_3))$ are divisors of the nonzero integer $8n_3n_4^2r^3$. Since $8n_3n_4^2r^3 = 8n_3n_4^2(2n_5 - 1)^3$ is fixed, there are only finitely many divisors of $8n_3n_4^2r^3$. However, in our factorization of $8n_3n_4^2r^3$, the difference between two divisors is

$$2s^2y + 2n_4r(s - 4n_3),$$

which depends on y . Therefore, for given n_3 , n_4 , and n_5 , there are only finitely many integer pairs $(y, w) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ satisfying Equation (4.3). \square

Now we give rational numbers that are limit points of non-free rational numbers.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $n_3, n_4 \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, $n_5 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be integers, and put $r := 2n_5 - 1$ and $s := 2n_3 + 2n_5 - 1$. Then a rational number*

$$-\frac{s}{n_3n_4r} = -\frac{2n_3 + 2n_5 - 1}{n_3n_4(2n_5 - 1)}$$

is a limit point of limit points of non-free rational numbers.

Proof. Fix $n_3, n_4 \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, $n_5 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and choose $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a nonzero integer satisfying

$$(sy + n_4r)^2 - 8n_3n_4ry$$

is either 0 or a non-square positive integer using Lemma 4.2. Then by Proposition 4.1, the real number

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{\pm} = \frac{-(sy + n_4r) \pm \sqrt{(sy + n_4r)^2 - 8n_3n_4ry}}{2n_3n_4ry}$$

is a limit point of non-free rational numbers. Recall that α_{\pm} is given in Formula (4.1).

We again use Lemma 4.2 to choose infinitely many $y_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $(sy_k + n_4r)^2 - 8n_3n_4ry_k$ is either 0 or a non-square positive integer. Thus, we can take the limit of the values

$$(4.5) \quad (L_k)_{\pm} := \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{\pm} = \frac{-(sy_k + n_4r) \pm \sqrt{(sy_k + n_4r)^2 - 8n_3n_4ry_k}}{2n_3n_4ry_k}.$$

Observe that

$$\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} (L_k)_{\pm} = \frac{-s \pm \sqrt{s^2}}{2n_3n_4r},$$

so one of the limit is

$$L := -\frac{s}{n_3n_4r}.$$

Since $(L_k)_{\pm}$ is a limit point of non-free rational numbers, we obtain that the number

$$L = -\frac{s}{n_3n_4r} = -\frac{2n_3 + 2n_5 - 1}{n_3n_4(2n_5 - 1)}$$

turns out to be a limit point of limit points of non-free rational numbers. In other words, L is a limit point of non-free rational numbers. \square

This theorem immediately shows the following.

Corollary 4.4. *3 is a limit point of non-free rational numbers.*

Proof. Take $n_3 = -1$, $n_4 = 1$, and $n_5 = 0$ in Theorem 4.3. \square

From our contribution, the following natural problem arises.

Question 4.5. *Can we construct a sequence of non-free rational numbers that converges to 4?*

We emphasize that 4 is a limit point of non-free algebraic integer numbers [16, Theorem 6.1]. But still, our understanding of non-free rational numbers remains fairly limited. In particular, Conjecture 1.2 is still open, and even the following conjecture is far from being well understood.

Conjecture 4.6. *Find a dense subset $S \subset \mathbb{Q} \cap (-4, 4)$ consisting of non-free numbers.*

Conjecture 4.6 would be useful to treat Conjecture 1.2, but still, we do not know the answer. Furthermore, we do not know any examples of open intervals $(a, b) \subset (-4, 4)$ such that α is non-free when $\alpha \in (a, b) \cap \mathbb{Q}$.

5. EXPLICIT SEQUENCES OF NON-FREE RATIONAL NUMBERS CONVERGING TO 3

In this section, we construct the sequence of non-free rational numbers converging to 3 explicitly. We start with the following lemma, which is a specialization of Lemma 4.2 for the $(n_3, n_4, n_5) = (-1, 1, 0)$ case. Recall that $r := 2n_5 - 1 = -1$ and $s := 2n_3 + 2n_5 - 1 = -3$.

Lemma 5.1. *For every nonzero $y \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$(sy + n_4r)^2 - 8n_3n_4ry = 9y^2 - 2y + 1$$

is a non-square positive integer.

Proof. We have $9y^2 - 2y + 1 > 0$ for any integer y . Now suppose that there is an integer $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$w^2 = 9y^2 - 2y + 1$$

for some integer $y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we can multiply both sides by 9 and rewrite the equation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (3w)^2 &= (9y - 1)^2 + 8 \\ \iff (3w + 9y - 1)(3w - 9y + 1) &= 8. \end{aligned}$$

Since the sum of $3w + 9y - 1$ and $3w - 9y + 1$ is $6w$, $3w \pm (9y - 1)$ would be integers whose product is 8 and sum is a multiple of 6. Thus, one of $3w \pm (9y - 1)$ is ± 2 , and the other one is ± 4 . Hence, their sum must be ± 6 , meaning that w should be ± 1 . Therefore, $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $9y^2 - 2y + 1 = w^2 = 1$, which implies $y = 0$. We conclude that for every $y \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, $9y^2 - 2y + 1$ is a non-square positive integer. \square

In Section 4, we proved that there exists a sequence of non-free rational relation numbers converging to 3. The following theorem provides two *explicit* sequences of non-free rational numbers converging to 3.

Theorem 5.2. *For any integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the rational numbers*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3(18n-1)}{2(9n-1)} &= 3 + \frac{3}{2(9n-1)}, \\ \frac{162n^2 + 162n + 41}{3(2n+1)(9n+4)} &= 3 + \frac{9n+5}{3(2n+1)(9n+4)} \end{aligned}$$

are non-free.

Proof. We start with the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.1. Let n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 , and n_5 be integers and observe that any real number α satisfying

$$A^{n_5}B_\alpha^{n_4}A^{n_3}B_\alpha^{n_2}A^{n_1} \cdot 0 = \frac{1}{2}$$

is non-free, by the orbit test (Proposition 2.1). Next, we regard $x := n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y := n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ as variables and n_3, n_4 , and n_5 as constants. For convenience, we again put $r := 2n_5 - 1$ and $s := 2n_3 + 2n_5 - 1$. If x, y, n_3 , and n_4 are nonzero, then the real numbers

$$\alpha_\pm := \frac{-(sxy + n_4rx + n_3n_4r) \pm \sqrt{(sxy + n_4rx + n_3n_4r)^2 - 4(n_3n_4rxy)(2x + s)}}{2n_3n_4rxy}$$

are non-free (c.f. Formula (4.1)). Again, the key point is to make the value

$$(sxy + n_4rx + n_3n_4r)^2 - 4(n_3n_4rxy)(2x + s)$$

a square integer.

We fix the values $n_3 = -1$, $n_4 = 1$, and $n_5 = 0$. Then we have $r = -1$, $s = -3$, and

$$\alpha_\pm = \frac{(3xy + x - 1) \pm \sqrt{(3xy + x - 1)^2 - 4xy(2x - 3)}}{2xy}.$$

By arranging the value $(3xy + x - 1)^2 - 4xy(2x - 3)$ with respect to x , we get

$$(5.1) \quad (9y^2 - 2y + 1)x^2 + (6y - 2)x + 1.$$

By Lemma 5.1, the coefficient $9y^2 - 2y + 1$ of x^2 is a non-square positive integer for any nonzero $y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, we can always find some $x \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ that makes Formula (5.1) a square integer for any $y \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, as in the proof of Theorem 4.3. The following claim provides an explicit integer $x \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ that makes Formula (5.1) a square integer, for each $y \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$.

Claim: For any $y \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, put

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} x &= \begin{cases} -\frac{27}{2}y + 3 & (y \equiv 0 \pmod{2}) \\ -\frac{243}{4}y^2 + \frac{3}{4} & (y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}) \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} -\frac{3}{2}(9y-2) & (y \equiv 0 \pmod{2}) \\ -\frac{3}{4}(9y-1)(9y+1) & (y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}) \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Then Formula (5.1) is a square integer.

Proof of the Claim. Assume that y is even. Then we get

$$(9y^2 - 2y + 1)x^2 + (6y - 2)x + 1 = \frac{1}{4}(81y^2 - 27y + 4)^2,$$

which is a square integer. Next, assume that y is odd. Then we have

$$(9y^2 - 2y + 1)x^2 + (6y - 2)x + 1 = \frac{1}{16}(729y^3 - 81y^2 + 27y + 1)^2,$$

which is a square integer. This completes the proof. ■

To apply the claim, first suppose that y is even. Since $81y^2 - 27y + 4 > 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_- &= \frac{-2(3xy + x - 1) + \sqrt{4((3xy + x - 1)^2 - 4xy(2x - 3))}}{-4xy} \\ &= \frac{(81y^2 + 9y - 4) + \sqrt{(81y^2 - 27y + 4)^2}}{6y(9y - 2)} \\ &= \frac{162y^2 - 18y}{6y(9y - 2)} = \frac{3(9y - 1)}{9y - 2} = 3 + \frac{3}{9y - 2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since y is a nonzero even integer, putting $y = 2n$, we conclude that every rational number of the form

$$\frac{3(18n - 1)}{2(9n - 1)} = 3 + \frac{3}{2(9n - 1)}$$

is non-free for any $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$. When $n = 0$, $3 + \frac{3}{2(9 \cdot 0 - 1)} = \frac{3}{2}$. It is already known to be non-free so we completes the proof for the first family.

Next, we suppose that y is odd. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\pm} &= \frac{-4(3xy + x - 1) \mp \sqrt{16((3xy + x - 1)^2 - 4xy(2x - 3))}}{-8xy} \\ &= \frac{(729y^3 + 243y^2 - 9y + 1) \mp \sqrt{(729y^3 - 81y^2 + 27y + 1)^2}}{6y(9y + 1)(9y - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

By choosing one of α_{\pm} appropriately, we obtain

$$\frac{(729y^3 + 243y^2 - 9y + 1) + (729y^3 - 81y^2 + 27y + 1)}{6y(9y + 1)(9y - 1)} = \frac{81y^2 + 1}{3y(9y - 1)} = 3 + \frac{9y + 1}{3y(9y - 1)}.$$

Since y is an odd integer, putting $y = 2n + 1$, we conclude that every rational number of the form

$$\frac{162n^2 + 162n + 41}{3(2n + 1)(9n + 4)} = 3 + \frac{9n + 5}{3(2n + 1)(9n + 4)}$$

is non-free for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This completes the proof for the second family. □

Remark 5.3. From the proof, we can recover an element $g \in G_\alpha$ so that $g \cdot 0 = \frac{1}{2}$. For the first family of non-free rational numbers in Theorem 5.2, we put $y = 2n$ and

$$x = -\frac{3}{2}(9y - 2) = -3(9n - 1).$$

Therefore, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$,

$$B_\alpha A^{-1} B_\alpha^{2n} A^{-3(9n-1)} \cdot 0 = \frac{1}{2} \quad \left(\alpha = 3 + \frac{3}{2(9n-1)} \right).$$

Notice that the equation also holds for $n = 0$.

For the second family of non-free rational numbers in Theorem 5.2, we put $y = 2n + 1$ and

$$x = -\frac{3}{4}(9y - 1)(9y + 1) = -3(9n + 4)(9n + 5).$$

Therefore, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$B_\alpha A^{-1} B_\alpha^{2n+1} A^{-3(9n+4)(9n+5)} \cdot 0 = \frac{1}{2} \quad \left(\alpha = 3 + \frac{9n+5}{3(2n+1)(9n+4)} \right).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Akiyoshi, M. Sakuma, M. Wada, and Y. Yamashita. *Punctured torus groups and 2-bridge knot groups (I)*. Springer, 2007.
- [2] J. Bamberg. Non-free points for groups generated by a pair of 2×2 matrices. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 62(3):795–801, 2000.
- [3] E. Barbeau. *Pell's equation*. Springer, 2003.
- [4] A. Beardon. Pell's equation and two generator free möbius groups. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 25(6):527–532, 1993.
- [5] J. Brenner, R. MacLeod, and D. Olesky. Non-free groups generated by two 2×2 matrices. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 27(2):237–245, 1975.
- [6] J. L. Brenner. Quelques groupes libres de matrices. *CR Acad. Sci. Paris*, 241:1689, 1955.
- [7] D. Burton. *Ebook: Elementary number theory*. McGraw Hill, 2010.
- [8] C. Buyalo, J. Thadani, X. Wang, B. Zykoski, and M. Zshornack. A family of accumulation points of non-free rational numbers. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–2511, 2025.
- [9] B. Chang, S. Jennings, and R. Ree. On certain pairs of matrices which generate free groups. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 10:279–284, 1958.
- [10] P. Choi, K. Jo, H. Kim, and J. Lee. Non-freeness of parabolic two-generator groups. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 36(05):2450089, 2025.
- [11] S. P. Farbman. Non-free two-generator subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$. *Publicacions matemàtiques*, pages 379–391, 1995.
- [12] D. Fuchs-Rabinowitsch. On a certain representation of a free group. *Leningrad State Univ. Annals [Uchenye Zapiski] Math. Ser.*, 10:154–157, 1940.
- [13] J. Gilman. The structure of two-parabolic space: parabolic dust and iteration. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 131(1):27–48, 2008.
- [14] M. Gutan. Diophantine equations and the freeness of möbius groups. *Applied Mathematics*, 5(10):1400–1411, 2014.
- [15] J. A. Ignatov. Free and nonfree subgroups of generated by two parabolic elements. *Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik*, 35(1):49, feb 1979.
- [16] W. Jang and K. Kim. A sequence of algebraic integer relation numbers which converges to 4. *Topology Appl.*, 294:Paper No. 107665, 21, 2021.
- [17] L. Keen and C. Series. The riley slice of schottky space. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 3(1):72–90, 1994.
- [18] S.-h. Kim and T. Koberda. Non-freeness of groups generated by two parabolic elements with small rational parameters. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 71(4):809–833, 2022.
- [19] Y. Komori and C. Series. The riley slice revisited. *Geometry and Topology Monographs*, 1, 1998.
- [20] R. C. Lyndon and J. L. Ullman. Groups generated by two parabolic linear fractional transformations. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 21:1388–1403, 1969.
- [21] M. Y. Lyubich and V. Suvorov. Free subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ with two parabolic generators. *Journal of Soviet Mathematics*, 41(2):976–979, 1988.
- [22] C.-F. Nyberg-Brodda. On congruence subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$ generated by two parabolic elements. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11258*, 2023.
- [23] R. Ree. On certain pairs of matrices which do not generate a free group. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 4(1):49–52, 1961.
- [24] R. Riley. Parabolic representations of knot groups, i. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 3(2):217–242, 1972.
- [25] I. N. Sanov. A property of a representation of a free group. In *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (NS)*, volume 57, page 16, 1947.

- [26] I. Smilga. New sequences of non-free rational points. *Comptes Rendus. Mathématique*, 359(8):983–989, 2021.
- [27] E.-C. Tan and S.-P. Tan. Quadratic diophantine equations and two generator möbius groups. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 61(3):360–368, 1996.
- [28] R. Yaari. Long relators in groups generated by two parabolic elements. *Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics*, 2025.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KAIST, 291 DAEHAK-RO, YSEONG-GU, 34141 DAEJEON, SOUTH KOREA
Email address: jangwy@kaist.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KAIST, 291 DAEHAK-RO, YSEONG-GU, 34141 DAEJEON, SOUTH KOREA
Email address: j-invariant@kaist.ac.kr