

A SUPERSOLUTION APPROACH TO DOUBLY DEGENERATE PARABOLIC EQUATIONS WITH WEIGHTS

DANIELE ANDREUCCI AND ANATOLI F. TEDEEV

ABSTRACT. We consider the Cauchy problem in the Euclidean space for a doubly degenerate parabolic equation with a space-dependent exponential weight, where the exponent satisfies the doubling condition. In particular, both the so called logconvex and logconcave cases may be considered. Under the additional natural assumptions we construct supersolutions and subsolutions allowing us to control the precise sharp temporal decay bounds. We apply our results also to an equation with inhomogeneous density, via a suitable variable transformation.

1. INTRODUCTION

We look at the Cauchy problem for the doubly degenerate weighted parabolic equation

$$f(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \left(f(x) u^{m-1} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right) = 0, \quad \text{in } S_T, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^N. \quad (1.2)$$

Here $S_T = \mathbf{R}^N \times (0, T)$, $0 < T \leq +\infty$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$, ∇u [respectively, div] is the gradient [respectively, the divergence] with respect to

Date: 2025-12-23.

The first author is member of the Gruppo Nazionale per la Fisica Matematica (GNFM) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM). The first author thanks the PRIN 2022 project “Mathematical Modelling of Heterogeneous Systems (MMHS)”, financed by the European Union - Next Generation EU, CUP B53D23009360006, Project Code 2022MKB7MM, PNRR M4.C2.1.1.

The second author carried out his work at the North-Caucasus Centre of Mathematical Research of the Vladikavkaz Scientific Centre of the Russian Academy of Sciences, agreement 075-02-2025-1633.

Keywords: Doubly degenerate parabolic equation, exponentially growing weights, weighted Sobolev inequality, finite speed of propagation, time decay estimates.

AMS Subject classification: 35K55, 35K65, 35B40.

x , and we denote

$$f(x) = e^{g(|x|)}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^N. \quad (1.3)$$

We assume in this paper, even without further reference, that $u \geq 0$, $u_0 \geq 0$, $u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^N)$ is compactly supported, and that

$$1 < p < N, \quad p + m - 3 > 0. \quad (1.4)$$

On g we assume that $g \in C([0, +\infty]) \cap C^1((0, +\infty))$ is such that $g(0) = 0$, $g(s) > 0$ for $s > 0$, and for given $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 < p$

$$\alpha_1 \frac{g(s)}{s} \leq g'(s) \leq \alpha_2 \frac{g(s)}{s}, \quad s > 0. \quad (1.5)$$

In [4] we obtained sup bounds for solutions to (1.1)–(1.2) in the range $\alpha_2 < 1$, and estimates of the support for all $\alpha_2 < Np/(p-1)$. The approach of [4] relies on suitable embedding inequalities and iterative estimates, and is valid for radial or compactly supported solutions; see also in this connection [1] and [3]. Here we present instead new results both for the decay of the solutions in the L^∞ norm and for the finite speed of propagation, by means of comparison with explicit supersolutions and subsolutions, in the range $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, p)$. Our approach is inspired by the paper [8], where the authors considered the porous media equation on manifolds. We treat here the case of the doubly nonlinear equation, and, as a further novelty even in the case of the porous media equation, we deal with the case of non-power nonlinearities (satisfying (1.5)). For example the Zygmund function

$$g(s) = s^\alpha [\log(s+c)]^\beta, \quad s \geq 0, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, c > 1,$$

falls in this class with $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha + \beta$.

We show here that the asymptotic rates found in [4] are indeed optimal. These bounds are given by

$$u(x, t) \leq \gamma \left[\frac{g^{(-1)}(\log t)^p}{t \log t} \right]^{\frac{1}{p+m-3}}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^N, t \gg 1, \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$\bar{R}(t) \leq \gamma g^{(-1)}(\log t), \quad t \gg 1, \quad (1.7)$$

where, for solutions whose support is bounded for $t \geq 0$ we define

$$\bar{R}(t) = \inf\{r > 0 \mid \text{supp } u(t) \subset B_r(0)\}. \quad (1.8)$$

Here $\gamma > 0$ is a constant depending on u_0 . According to our present results, the estimates in (1.6), (1.7) are indeed sharp, at least for $\alpha_2 < p$, and, even more, they are extended to such a range for bounded and compactly supported initial data. In addition the comparison results

obtained here yield more precise pointwise estimates, tracking the dependence on $|x|$, in comparison to the bounds for the $L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^N)$ obtained in the quoted papers of the present authors. This is an obvious effect of the barrier function method, which however is in principle less general than the approach through integral inequalities.

As in [8], we may employ our barrier functions to investigate an equation with inhomogeneous density, i.e.,

$$\rho(|x|)\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}(v^{m-1}|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^N, t > 0. \quad (1.9)$$

For the concept of solutions of (1.9), and other related information, we refer the reader to [2]. In that paper, in fact in a more general setting, we considered equations modeled after (1.9), with, roughly speaking, $\rho(s)$ behaving like $s^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha < p$ for large $s > 0$. Here we deal with the new case (1.20), in which essentially $\rho(s)$ behaves like the power s^{-p} multiplied by a powerlike function of $\log s$; this factor may be increasing or decreasing in s according to the values of α_1, α_2 . We refer to Remark 1.8 for further comments on this point.

The Cauchy problem for nonlinear, and linear, parabolic equations involving coefficients strongly depending on the space variable has received great attention in the literature. We quote [6] which analyzes stochastic completeness and other qualitative properties of weighted manifolds. In [7] the authors study the smoothness and temporal decay estimates of the solution to the Cauchy problem for the porous media equation on Cartan-Hadamard manifolds supporting Poincaré inequality. See also [8] for precise two-sides space-time estimates of the solution to the same problem, in the setting of various classes of Cartan-Hadamard manifolds, [9] for a survey of results, and again [10] for new Sobolev type inequalities as well as sharp bounds of solutions. We also quote [11], dealing with the influence of an inhomogeneous density on the interface blow up phenomenon, on finite speed of propagation, and in general on the behavior of the solution to the Cauchy problem for doubly degenerate parabolic equations. Finally [12] investigates the blow up of the solution itself in the same setting.

1.1. Main results. We define here the spaces $L_f^p(\mathbf{R}^N)$ in a standard way, as the L^p spaces relative to the measure $f(x) dx$.

Definition 1.1. A function $u \geq 0$ is a weak solution to (1.1) if

$$u \in C([0, +\infty); L_f^1(\mathbf{R}^N)) \cap L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^N \times (\bar{t}, +\infty))$$

for all $\bar{t} > 0$, and

$$\nabla u^{\frac{p+m-2}{p-1}} \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(S_\infty).$$

In addition we require the standard integral formulation, i.e., for all $\eta \in C_0^\infty(S_\infty)$,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \{-u\eta_t + u^{m-1} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \eta\} f(x) dx dt = 0. \quad (1.10)$$

If we also have $u_t \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, +\infty); L_{f, \text{loc}}^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$, then u is a strong solution.

Supersolutions [respectively, subsolutions] to (1.1) are defined similarly, with the difference that, for $\eta \geq 0$, we require in (1.10) an inequality sign \geq [respectively, \leq].

Solutions to the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.2) are solutions to (1.1) satisfying $u(0) = u_0$ in the sense of $C([0, +\infty); L_f^1(\mathbf{R}^N))$.

Existence and comparison results for strong solutions to the problems which we consider here can be proved, at least for sufficiently regular initial data, according for example to the methods of [5], [13]; here we focus rather on estimates of such solutions.

When we consider radial solutions of the form $u(x, t) = U(r, t)$, $r = |x|$, the equation (1.1) can be written as

$$r^{N-1} f(r) \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [r^{N-1} f(r) U^{m-1} |U_r|^{p-2} U_r], \quad r > 0, t > 0, \quad (1.11)$$

which in turn can be rephrased as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [U^{m-1} |U_r|^{p-2} U_r] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{N-1}{r} + g' \right) [U^{m-1} |U_r|^{p-2} U_r], \quad r > 0, t > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

Of course the differential inequalities characterizing radial supersolutions and subsolutions can be rephrased similarly. Specifically, we consider supersolutions and subsolutions of the form

$$\tilde{u}(x, t) = \frac{C_*}{(t + t_0)^{\frac{1}{p+m-3}}} \left[E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right]_+^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}}, \quad r \geq r_0, \quad (1.13)$$

$$\tilde{u}(x, t) = \frac{C_*}{(t + t_0)^{\frac{1}{p+m-3}}} \left[E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - I(r) \right]_+^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}}, \quad r < r_0, \quad (1.14)$$

where $r = |x|$, and

$$\begin{aligned} G(r) &= \int_0^r \frac{g(s)}{s} ds, \quad r \geq 0; \quad J(r) = \frac{r^p}{G(r)}, \quad r > 0. \\ \tau &= \Gamma \log(t + t_0), \quad t \geq 0; \\ E(\tau) &= J(G^{(-1)}(\tau)) = \frac{G^{(-1)}(\tau)^p}{\tau}, \quad \tau > 0; \\ I(r) &= \nu_0 \left(\frac{r^p}{G(r_0)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + (1 - \nu_0) J(r_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad r > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the integral defining G converges according to (2.3).

Here C_* , Γ , $t_0 > 1$, r_0 and ν_0 are positive constants to be chosen. In particular, $\nu_0 \in (0, 1)$ will be selected so that \tilde{u} and \tilde{u}_r are continuous even at $r = r_0$; in fact, clearly, the continuity of \tilde{u} holds true for any $\nu_0 \in (0, 1)$.

We also remark that the radius $\tilde{r}(t)$ of the support of $\tilde{u}(t)$ is characterized by $E(\tau) = J(\tilde{r}(t))$, i.e., by $\tilde{r}(t) = G^{(-1)}(\tau)$; according to Lemma 2.1 and (2.6) this yields

$$\gamma_1 g^{(-1)}(\log t) \leq \tilde{r}(t) \leq \gamma_2 g^{(-1)}(\log t), \quad t > t_0, \quad (1.15)$$

for two suitable constants $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$.

Proposition 1.2. *The constants C_* , Γ , $t_0 > 1$, r_0 and ν_0 can be selected so that \tilde{u} as defined in (1.13)–(1.14) is a strong supersolution to (1.1). Alternatively, we may select such constants so that \tilde{u} is a strong subsolution to (1.1).*

Theorem 1.3. *Assume (1.4) and (1.5). Let u be a strong solution to (1.1)–(1.2) with a compactly supported and bounded data u_0 . Then there exist constants t_0 , C_* , r_0 and Γ such that \tilde{u} as in (1.13)–(1.14) is a supersolution and*

$$u(x, t) \leq \tilde{u}(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in S_\infty. \quad (1.16)$$

We have also

$$\text{supp } u(t) \subset B_{R(t)}(0), \quad R(t) = \gamma g^{(-1)}(\log t), \quad t > t_0, \quad (1.17)$$

for a suitable constant $\gamma > 0$. The constants here depend on the parameters appearing in the assumptions and on $\sup_{\mathbf{R}^N} u_0$, $R(0)$.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume (1.4) and (1.5). Let u be a strong solution to (1.1)–(1.2) with a compactly supported and bounded data u_0 , such that $u_0(x) \geq \varepsilon > 0$ for $|x| \leq \ell$, $\ell > 0$.*

Then there exist constants t_0 , C_* , r_0 and Γ such that \tilde{u} as in (1.13)–(1.14) is a subsolution and

$$u(x, t) \geq \tilde{u}(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in S_\infty. \quad (1.18)$$

We have also

$$\text{supp } u(t) \supset B_{R(t)}(0), \quad R(t) = \gamma g^{(-1)}(\log t), \quad t > t_0, \quad (1.19)$$

for a suitable constant $\gamma > 0$. The constants here depend on the parameters appearing in the assumptions and on ε , ℓ .

Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. The proof follows straightforwardly from an application of the method given in the proof of [13, Theorem 1], when we take into account Proposition 1.2 and Lemmas 3.1 and 4.1. \square

Next we apply our results to a different kind of equation, that is (1.9); see also [14], [8] for the case of the porous media equation.

Theorem 1.5. *Radial solutions $U(r, t)$ [respectively, supersolutions and subsolutions] of (1.1) correspond, thru a suitable transformation of the space variable, to radial solutions $v(s, t)$ [respectively, supersolutions and subsolutions] of (1.9), for a suitable positive function $\rho \in C([0, +\infty))$ such that $\rho(0) = 1$ and*

$$\rho(s) \sim \frac{g^{(-1)}(\log s)^p}{(\log s)^p} \frac{1}{s^p}. \quad (1.20)$$

In fact, the transformation $r = \hat{r}(s)$, $s > 0$, is such that

$$\hat{r}(s) \sim g^{(-1)}(\log s). \quad (1.21)$$

Here and in the following we use the notation, for positive functions F_1 , F_2 ,

$$F_1(s) \sim F_2(s) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad C_1 \leq \frac{F_2(s)}{F_1(s)} \leq C_2, \quad s \geq \bar{s}, \quad (1.22)$$

for suitable C_1 , C_2 , $\bar{s} > 0$.

Owing to Theorem 1.5, supersolutions and subsolutions of the type (1.13)–(1.14) yield radial counterparts for equation (1.9). Thus, from our Theorems 1.3, 1.4 the following comparison results follow immediately.

Theorem 1.6. *Let v be a strong solution to (1.9), for the specific ρ found in Theorem 1.5, under assumptions (1.4) and (1.5), such that $v(x, 0)$ is compactly supported and bounded.*

Then there exist constants t_0 , C_ , r_0 and Γ such that $\tilde{u}(\hat{r}(|x|), t)$ as in (1.13)–(1.14) is a supersolution to (1.9), and*

$$v(x, t) \leq \tilde{u}(\hat{r}(|x|), t), \quad (x, t) \in S_\infty. \quad (1.23)$$

We have also

$$\text{supp } v(t) \subset B_{\tilde{R}(t)}(0), \quad \log \tilde{R}(t) \sim \log t, \quad t > t_0. \quad (1.24)$$

The constants involved in (1.23)–(1.24) depend on the parameters appearing in the assumptions and on $v(x, 0)$.

Theorem 1.7. *Let v be a strong solution to (1.9), for the specific ρ found in Theorem 1.5, under assumptions (1.4) and (1.5), such that $v(x, 0)$ is compactly supported, bounded and $v(x, 0) \geq \varepsilon > 0$ for $|x| \leq \ell$, $\ell > 0$.*

Then there exist constants t_0 , C_ , r_0 and Γ such that $\tilde{u}(\hat{r}(|x|), t)$ as in (1.13)–(1.14) is a subsolution (1.9), and*

$$v(x, t) \geq \tilde{u}(\hat{r}(|x|), t), \quad (x, t) \in S_\infty. \quad (1.25)$$

We have also

$$\text{supp } v(t) \supset B_{\tilde{R}(t)}(0), \quad \log \tilde{R}(t) \sim \log t, \quad t > t_0, \quad (1.26)$$

The constants involved in (1.25)–(1.26) depend on the parameters appearing in the assumptions and on $v(x, 0)$.

Remark 1.8. Clearly the sup estimate valid for v as in Theorems 1.6 and 1.7 is the same as in (1.6), which should be compared with the result valid for $\rho(s) \sim s^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha > p$, that is the universal bound $t^{-1/(p+m-3)}$ (see [2]). Our present bound however depends on the initial data, as the known bound valid in the subcritical case $\alpha < p$, whose decay rate is $t^{-(N-\alpha)/K}$, $K = (N - \alpha)(p + m - 3) + p - \alpha$.

Plan of the paper. Section 2 contains some elementary auxiliary results. Then Proposition 1.2 is proved in Sections 3 (supersolutions) and 4 (subsolutions). Finally in Section 5 we carry out the transformation of variables linking (1.1) and (1.9).

2. TECHNICAL PRELIMINARIES

Note that as a consequence of (1.5) we have

$$\alpha_2^{-1} \frac{g^{(-1)}(t)}{t} \leq \frac{dg^{(-1)}}{dt}(t) \leq \alpha_1^{-1} \frac{g^{(-1)}(t)}{t}, \quad t > 0, \quad (2.1)$$

and also the standard inequalities

$$\lambda^{\alpha_1} g(r) \leq g(\lambda r) \leq \lambda^{\alpha_2} g(r), \quad \lambda \geq 1, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\lambda^{\alpha_2} g(r) \leq g(\lambda r) \leq \lambda^{\alpha_1} g(r), \quad \lambda \leq 1. \quad (2.3)$$

We need the following technical Lemmas. As a matter of fact, in Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 we only need $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$; in Lemma 2.4 we also assume $\alpha_2 < p$.

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$\frac{g(r)}{\alpha_2} \leq G(r) \leq \frac{g(r)}{\alpha_1}, \quad r > 0, \quad (2.4)$$

and its obvious consequence

$$\alpha_1 \frac{G(r)}{r} \leq G'(r) = \frac{g(r)}{r} \leq \alpha_2 \frac{G(r)}{r}, \quad r > 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. We get from (2.3)

$$G(r) = \int_0^1 \frac{g(\lambda r)}{\lambda} d\lambda \leq g(r) \int_0^1 \lambda^{\alpha_1-1} d\lambda = \frac{g(r)}{\alpha_1},$$

i.e., the second inequality in (2.4). The first inequality can be proved in the same way. \square

By virtue of (2.5), it follows that G and $G^{(-1)}$ satisfy estimates similar to (2.1)–(2.3). We note specifically that for $r > 0$

$$\lambda^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2}} G^{(-1)}(r) \leq G^{(-1)}(\lambda r) \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}} G^{(-1)}(r), \quad \lambda \geq 1. \quad (2.6)$$

Lemma 2.2. *The following estimates are in force:*

$$\eta_1 G(r) \leq r^2 G''(r) \leq \eta_2 G(r), \quad r > 0, \quad (2.7)$$

where we may select

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= (\alpha_1 - 1)\alpha_2, & \alpha_1 < 1; & & \eta_1 &= (\alpha_1 - 1)\alpha_1, & \alpha_1 \geq 1; \\ \eta_2 &= (\alpha_2 - 1)\alpha_1, & \alpha_2 < 1; & & \eta_2 &= (\alpha_2 - 1)\alpha_2, & \alpha_2 \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have in any case

$$(\alpha_2 - 1)g(r) \geq r^2 G''(r) = rg'(r) - g(r) \geq (\alpha_1 - 1)g(r).$$

Then the claim follows from using (2.4) according to the various possible cases. \square

Lemma 2.3. *We have*

$$(p - \alpha_2) \frac{J(r)}{r} \leq J'(r) \leq (p - \alpha_1) \frac{J(r)}{r}, \quad r > 0, \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$c_1 \frac{J(r)}{r^2} \leq J''(r) \leq c_2 \frac{J(r)}{r^2}, \quad r > 0, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= p(p - 1 - 2\alpha_2) + 2\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 1), & \alpha_2 \geq 1, \\ c_1 &= p(p - 1 - 2\alpha_2) + 2\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_1(\alpha_2 - 1), & \alpha_2 < 1, \\ c_2 &= p(p - 1 - 2\alpha_1) + 2\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_1(\alpha_1 - 1), & \alpha_1 \geq 1, \\ c_2 &= p(p - 1 - 2\alpha_1) + 2\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_2(\alpha_1 - 1), & \alpha_1 < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The inequalities in (2.8), when we also invoke (2.5), follow from

$$J'(r) = \frac{J(r)}{r} \left[p - \frac{rG'(r)}{G(r)} \right]. \quad (2.10)$$

Then we calculate

$$J''(r) = \frac{J(r)}{r^2} \left[p(p-1) - 2pr \frac{G'(r)}{G(r)} - r^2 \frac{G''(r)}{G(r)} + 2r^2 \frac{G'(r)^2}{G(r)^2} \right]. \quad (2.11)$$

The proof is then concluded by estimating the ratios G'/G in (2.11) by means of (2.5), and the ratio G''/G by means of (2.7). \square

We do not make any claim on the signs of the constants c_i appearing in Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.4. *The function*

$$\varphi(r) = J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(\delta_1 + \frac{\delta_2}{G(r)} \right),$$

where $\delta_1 > 0$, $\delta_2 > 0$ are given constants, is increasing in the interval $(r_1, +\infty)$, for a suitable r_1 given by

$$G(r_1) = \frac{\delta_2 p(\alpha_2 - 1)_+}{\delta_1 p - \alpha_2}. \quad (2.12)$$

Proof. By direct differentiation, and with the help of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we get, on using (2.10) in the third equality and (2.8) in the inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(r) &= J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}-1} \frac{J'(r)}{p-1} \left(\delta_1 + \frac{\delta_2}{G(r)} \right) - \delta_2 J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{G'(r)}{G(r)^2} \\ &= \delta_1 J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}-1} \frac{J'(r)}{p-1} + \delta_2 \frac{J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{rG(r)} \left[\frac{r}{p-1} \frac{J'(r)}{J(r)} - \frac{G'(r)r}{G(r)} \right] \\ &= \delta_1 J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}-1} \frac{J'(r)}{p-1} + \frac{p}{p-1} \delta_2 \frac{J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{rG(r)} \left[1 - \frac{G'(r)r}{G(r)} \right] \\ &\geq \frac{J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{r} \left\{ \delta_1 \frac{p - \alpha_2}{p-1} + \frac{p}{p-1} \frac{\delta_2}{G(r)} \left[1 - \frac{G'(r)r}{G(r)} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

The claim follows from the inequality $\varphi'(r) \geq 0$, which can be proved, for r as in (2.12), on applying next (2.4). \square

3. SELF SIMILAR SUPERSOLUTIONS

We assume here $\Gamma \geq 1$ and

$$G(r_0) < \log t_0 \leq \Gamma \log t_0, \quad (3.1)$$

so that the support of $\tilde{u}(t)$ contains the ball $r \leq r_0$ for all $t \geq 0$; this follows from the fact that J , and therefore E , is an increasing function as proved in Lemma 2.3. By the same token, the support of $\tilde{u}(t)$ is the ball of radius $G^{(-1)}(\tau)$.

For the reader's convenience we recall that we assume $\alpha_2 < p$, and note that r_0 will be chosen as in (3.25), C_* as in (3.26), ν_0 as in (3.33), Γ as in (3.29), while t_0 is required to satisfy (3.1) and (3.40).

1) Case $r \geq r_0$. With the notation above, we write

$$\tilde{u}(x, t) = \frac{C_* A(r, \tau)^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}}}{(t + t_0)^{\frac{1}{p+m-3}}}, \quad A(r, \tau) := \left[E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right]_+. \quad (3.2)$$

Clearly we may restrict in our calculations to the open set where $A > 0$. Thus we compute

$$\tilde{u}(x, t)^{m-1} = \frac{C_*^{m-1} A(r, \tau)^{\frac{(m-1)(p-1)}{p+m-3}}}{(t + t_0)^{\frac{m-1}{p+m-3}}}, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\tilde{u}_r(x, t) = -\frac{1}{p+m-3} \frac{C_* A(r, \tau)^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}-1}}{(t + t_0)^{\frac{1}{p+m-3}}} J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}-1} J'(r). \quad (3.4)$$

Thus, on recalling $J'(r) \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \tilde{u}^{m-1} |\tilde{u}_r|^{p-2} \tilde{u}_r \\ &= -\frac{C_*^{p+m-2}}{(p+m-3)^{p-1}} \frac{A(r, \tau)^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}}}{(t + t_0)^{\frac{p+m-2}{p+m-3}}} J(r)^{2-p} J'(r)^{p-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

We have for the first term on the right hand side of (1.12)

$$\frac{\partial I_1}{\partial r} = -\frac{C_*^{p+m-2}}{(p+m-3)^{p-1}} \frac{1}{(t + t_0)^{\frac{p+m-2}{p+m-3}}} I_2, \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(A(r, \tau)^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}} \right) J(r)^{2-p} J'(r)^{p-1} \\ &\quad + A(r, \tau)^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(J(r)^{2-p} J'(r)^{p-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We calculate by direct differentiation

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 = A(r, \tau)^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}-1} & \left\{ -\frac{1}{p+m-3} J(r)^{-\frac{p(p-2)}{p-1}} J'(r)^p \right. \\
& + A(r, \tau) \left[(2-p) J(r)^{1-p} J'(r)^p \right. \\
& \left. \left. + (p-1) J(r)^{2-p} J'(r)^{p-2} J''(r) \right] \right\} =: A(r, \tau)^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}-1} I_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Then for the time derivative we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{u}_t(x, t) = -\frac{1}{p+m-3} & \frac{C_* A(r, \tau)^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}}}{(t+t_0)^{\frac{p+m-2}{p+m-3}}} \\
& + \frac{p-1}{p+m-3} \frac{C_* A(r, \tau)^{\frac{2-m}{p+m-3}}}{(t+t_0)^{\frac{1}{p+m-3}}} \frac{d}{dt} \left(E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Then we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \left(E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right) & = \frac{d\tau}{dt} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right) \\
& = \frac{\Gamma}{t+t_0} \frac{1}{p-1} E(\tau)^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \frac{G^{(-1)}(\tau)^{p-1}}{\tau^2} \\
& \quad \times \left[p\tau \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(G^{(-1)}(\tau) \right) - G^{(-1)}(\tau) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Denote next $y = G^{(-1)}(\tau)$; then from the elementary formula for the derivative of the inverse function we get

$$\frac{G^{(-1)}(\tau)^{p-1}}{\tau^2} \left[p\tau \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(G^{(-1)}(\tau) \right) - G^{(-1)}(\tau) \right] = \frac{y^{p-1}}{\tau^2} \left[\frac{pG(y)}{G'(y)} - y \right], \tag{3.10}$$

and, from (2.5),

$$y^p \left(\frac{p}{\alpha_1} - 1 \right) \geq y^{p-1} \left[\frac{pG(y)}{G'(y)} - y \right] \geq y^p \left(\frac{p}{\alpha_2} - 1 \right). \tag{3.11}$$

On combining (3.9)–(3.11) we obtain, after using again the definition of $E(\tau)$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right) \geq \frac{p-\alpha_2}{\alpha_2(p-1)} \frac{\Gamma}{t+t_0} \frac{1}{\tau} E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right) \leq \frac{p-\alpha_1}{\alpha_1(p-1)} \frac{\Gamma}{t+t_0} \frac{1}{\tau} E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}. \tag{3.13}$$

In order for \tilde{u} to be a supersolution, we need to show

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t} \geq \frac{\partial I_1}{\partial r} + I_1 \left(\frac{N-1}{r} + g' \right), \quad r > r_0. \tag{3.14}$$

We read the three terms appearing in (3.14), in order, in (3.8), in (3.6)–(3.7), in (3.5). We note that all these quantities share the common factor

$$\frac{C_* A(r, \tau)^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}-1}}{(p+m-3)(t+t_0)^{\frac{p+m-2}{p+m-3}}}.$$

Upon dividing (3.14) by this factor, we obtain its equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 &:= (p-1)(t+t_0) \frac{d}{dt} \left(E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right) \geq A(r, \tau) \\ &\quad - \frac{C_*^{p+m-3} A(r, \tau)}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}} J(r)^{2-p} J'(r)^{p-1} \left(\frac{N-1}{r} + g'(r) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{C_*^{p+m-3} I_3}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}} =: A(r, \tau) + K_1 + K_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

which we may rewrite as

$$K_0 - K_1 \geq A + K_2.$$

Let us start to estimate the quantities of interest.

We have from (3.12)

$$K_0 \geq \frac{p-\alpha_2}{\alpha_2} \frac{1}{\log(t+t_0)} E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}. \quad (3.16)$$

Then, from (2.8) we infer, on using also the definition of $J(r)$,

$$\begin{aligned} -K_1 &\geq \frac{C_*^{p+m-3} A(r, \tau)}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}} (p-\alpha_2)^{p-1} \frac{r}{G(r)} \left(\frac{N-1}{r} + g'(r) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{C_*^{p+m-3} A(r, \tau)}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}} (p-\alpha_2)^{p-1} \left(\frac{N-1}{G(r)} + \alpha_1^2 \right) \\ &= \mu_1 C_*^{p+m-3} A(r, \tau) \left(\frac{N-1}{G(r)} + \alpha_1^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

where we used also (2.5), and set

$$\mu_1 = \frac{(p-\alpha_2)^{p-1}}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}}. \quad (3.18)$$

Next we estimate from above $K_2 =: h_1 + h_2$, where (cf (3.7))

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &:= \frac{C_*^{p+m-3}}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}} \frac{1}{p+m-3} J(r)^{-\frac{p(p-2)}{p-1}} J'(r)^p \\ &\leq \frac{C_*^{p+m-3} (p-\alpha_1)^p}{(p+m-3)^{p-1}} J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{1}{G(r)} \\ &= \mu_2 C_*^{p+m-3} J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{1}{G(r)}; \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

we used here (2.8) and the definition of $J(r)$, setting also

$$\mu_2 = \frac{(p - \alpha_1)^p}{(p + m - 3)^{p-1}}. \quad (3.20)$$

Then we calculate (see again (3.7))

$$\begin{aligned} h_2 &:= \frac{C_*^{p+m-3}}{(p + m - 3)^{p-2}} A(r, \tau) \left[(p - 2) J(r)^{1-p} J'(r)^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (p - 1) J(r)^{2-p} J'(r)^{p-2} J''(r) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{C_*^{p+m-3} A(r, \tau)}{(p + m - 3)^{p-2}} \left[(p - 2)_+ (p - \alpha_1)^p + d \right] \frac{1}{G(r)} \\ &= \mu_3 C_*^{p+m-3} \frac{A(r, \tau)}{G(r)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

where we used (2.8), (2.9), and set

$$d = (c_1)_- (p - 1) (p - \alpha_i)^{p-2}, \quad i = 1 \text{ if } p \geq 2, i = 2 \text{ if } p < 2; \quad (3.22)$$

$$\mu_3 = \frac{(p - 2)_+ (p - \alpha_1)^p + d}{(p + m - 3)^{p-2}}. \quad (3.23)$$

Namely, h_2 does not give any contribution if $p \leq 2$ and $c_1 \geq 0$.

We collect (3.16)–(3.21) and see that (3.15) is implied by (leaving on the right hand side of (3.24) only the contribution of h_1)

$$\begin{aligned} &C_*^{p+m-3} A(r, \tau) \left(\frac{\mu_1 (N - 1) - \mu_3}{G(r)} + \mu_1 \alpha_1^2 - C_*^{-(p+m-3)} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{p - \alpha_2}{\alpha_2} \frac{1}{\log(t + t_0)} E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\ &\geq \mu_2 C_*^{p+m-3} J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{1}{G(r)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

The constants $\mu_1 > 0$, $\mu_2 > 0$ and $\mu_3 \geq 0$ are defined in (3.18), (3.20) and (3.22)–(3.23), respectively, and depend only on p , m , α_1 , α_2 . We select C_* and $r_0 > 0$ large enough to have

$$G(r_0) \geq \max \left\{ \frac{4\mu_3}{\mu_1 \alpha_1^2}, \frac{2\mu_2 p (\alpha_2 - 1)_+}{\mu_1 \alpha_1^2 (p - \alpha_2)} \right\}; \quad (3.25)$$

$$C_*^{-(p+m-3)} \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\mu_1 \alpha_1^2}{4}, \frac{(N - 1)(p - \alpha_2)^{p-1}}{(p + m - 3)^{p-2} G(r_0)} \right\}. \quad (3.26)$$

Under the assumptions (3.25)–(3.26) (actually we exploit here only the first terms in the max functions), (3.24) is implied by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 \alpha_1^2 A(r, \tau) + \frac{2(p - \alpha_2)}{C_*^{p+m-3} \alpha_2} \frac{1}{\log(t + t_0)} E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\ \geq 2\mu_2 J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{1}{G(r)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Recalling the definitions of $A(r, \tau)$ and of τ , we see that (3.27) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left[\mu_1 \alpha_1^2 + \Gamma \frac{2(p - \alpha_2)}{C_*^{p+m-3} \alpha_2} \frac{1}{\tau} \right] \\ \geq J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left[\mu_1 \alpha_1^2 + 2\mu_2 \frac{1}{G(r)} \right] =: \varphi(r). \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

We select here

$$\Gamma \geq \max \left(\frac{\mu_2 \alpha_2 C_*^{p+m-3}}{p - \alpha_2}, 1 \right), \quad (3.29)$$

so that (actually by exploiting only the first term in the max function in (3.29)) clearly the left hand side of (3.28) is greater than or equal to $\varphi(G^{(-1)}(\tau))$, and the inequality (3.28) follows from

$$\varphi(G^{(-1)}(\tau)) \geq \varphi(r). \quad (3.30)$$

However, if $A(r, \tau) > 0$, we are in the region $E(\tau) > J(r)$, implying $r < G^{(-1)}(\tau)$. Then, on invoking Lemma 2.4 with

$$\delta_1 = \mu_1 \alpha_1^2, \quad \delta_2 = 2\mu_2, \quad (3.31)$$

(3.30) follows from $r_0 \leq r \leq G^{(-1)}(\tau)$ and from our choice of r_0 (such that $r_0 \geq r_1$ as in (2.12); we exploit here only the second term in the max function in (3.25)).

2) Case $r < r_0$. With the notation already introduced, we write for $r < r_0$

$$\tilde{u}(x, t) = \frac{C_* B(r, \tau)^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}}}{(t + t_0)^{\frac{1}{p+m-3}}}, \quad B(r, \tau) := E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - I(r), \quad (3.32)$$

and recall that $B(r_0, \tau) = A(r_0, \tau)$. Then the continuity of \tilde{u}_r at $r = r_0$ amounts to selecting ν_0 so that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_0 \frac{p}{p-1} \frac{r_0^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{G(r_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} &= I'(r_0) = \frac{d}{dr} (J^{\frac{1}{p-1}})(r_0) = \frac{1}{p-1} J(r_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}-1} J'(r_0) \\ &= \frac{J(r_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{(p-1)r_0} \left[p - \frac{r_0 G'(r_0)}{G(r_0)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$0 < 1 - \frac{\alpha_2}{p} \leq \nu_0 = 1 - \frac{r_0 G'(r_0)}{p G(r_0)} \leq 1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{p} < 1, \quad (3.33)$$

according to (2.5) and our assumption $\alpha_2 < p$.

We work in the set $B > 0$. Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &:= \tilde{u}^{m-1} |\tilde{u}_r|^{p-2} \tilde{u}_r \\ &= -\frac{C_*^{p+m-2} (\nu_0 p)^{p-1}}{(p+m-3)^{p-1}} \frac{B(r, \tau)^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}}}{(t+t_0)^{\frac{p+m-2}{p+m-3}}} \frac{r}{G(r_0)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

We recall that, in order for \tilde{u} to be a supersolution, we need to check (see also (3.14))

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t} \geq \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial r} + L_1 \left(\frac{N-1}{r} + g'(r) \right), \quad r < r_0. \quad (3.35)$$

The time derivative \tilde{u}_t is given by (3.8), when we formally substitute $A(r, \tau)$ with $B(r, \tau)$. Next we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial r} &= \frac{C_*^{p+m-2} (\nu_0 p)^{p-1}}{(p+m-3)^{p-1}} \frac{B(r, \tau)^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}-1}}{(t+t_0)^{\frac{p+m-2}{p+m-3}}} \frac{1}{G(r_0)} \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{p\nu_0}{p+m-3} \frac{r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{G(r_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} - B(r, \tau) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

Upon dividing both sides of (3.35) by

$$\frac{C_*}{p+m-3} \frac{B(r, \tau)^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}-1}}{(t+t_0)^{\frac{p+m-2}{p+m-3}}},$$

we obtain the equivalent version of (3.35) (here K_0 is as in (3.15))

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 &= (p-1)(t+t_0) \frac{d}{dt} \left(E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right) \geq B(r, \tau) \\ &\quad + \frac{C_*^{p+m-3} (\nu_0 p)^{p-1}}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}} \frac{1}{G(r_0)} \left[\frac{p\nu_0}{p+m-3} \frac{r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{G(r_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} - B(r, \tau) \right] \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{N-1}{r} + g'(r) \right) \frac{C_*^{p+m-3} (\nu_0 p)^{p-1}}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}} \frac{B(r, \tau) r}{G(r_0)} =: K_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

On recalling (3.16), we see that $K_0 > 0$, so that we need only show

$$0 \geq K_3. \quad (3.38)$$

Clearly, this is implied by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{(N-1)C_*^{p+m-3}(\nu_0 p)^{p-1}}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}G(r_0)} - 1 \right) B(r, \tau) \geq \\ & \frac{C_*^{p+m-3}(\nu_0 p)^{p-1}}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}} \frac{1}{G(r_0)} \left[\frac{p\nu_0}{p+m-3} \frac{r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{G(r_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} - B(r, \tau) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

The left hand side of (3.39) is nonnegative, owing to our choice of C_* and to (3.33) (we use the second term in the max function in (3.26) here). Thus we only need to show that the right hand side there is nonpositive for $r < r_0$. According to the definitions of τ and of $B(r, \tau)$ this in turn follows from

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{p\nu_0}{p+m-3} \frac{r_0^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{G(r_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} + I(r_0) \leq E(\log t_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\ & \leq E(\Gamma \log t_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

where the last two inequalities follow trivially from the increasing character of $E(\tau)$ and $\Gamma \geq 1$. But since r_0 has been fixed, this amounts simply to choosing $t_0 = t_0(r_0)$ so that both (3.1) and (3.40) are satisfied; actually it is easily seen that (3.1) follows from (3.40). Note that r_0 , C_* and t_0 are independent of Γ .

3) Finally, the regularity required in Definition 1.1 can be easily shown by direct inspection and from the explicit form of \tilde{u}_t found above.

We conclude this Section with the following result, which is instrumental in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $L > 0$, $M > 0$ be given positive numbers. Then it is possible to select r_0 , C_* , t_0 , Γ so that \tilde{u} is a supersolution and*

$$\tilde{u}(x, 0) \geq M, \quad |x| \leq L. \quad (3.41)$$

Proof. First we choose above r_0 satisfying both (3.25) and $r_0 \geq L$. Then we choose C_* as in (3.26), and t_0 according to (3.40). Next we remark that, owing to (2.6), we have for $r \leq r_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(x, 0) & \geq C_* t_0^{-\frac{1}{p+m-3}} \left[E(\Gamma \log t_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - J(r_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}} \\ & \geq C_* t_0^{-\frac{1}{p+m-3}} \left[(\Gamma \log t_0)^{\frac{p-\alpha_2}{\alpha_2(p-1)}} - J(r_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}} \geq M, \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

where last inequality is guaranteed by a suitable choice of Γ , of course preserving (3.29). \square

4. SELF SIMILAR SUBSOLUTIONS

We look for subsolutions in the radial form (1.13)–(1.14). We use here the same notation introduced in Section 3, and we look at the set where $\tilde{u} > 0$. In this Section, the choice of the constants is more delicate; we find it convenient to establish it from the beginning. In this connection, let us note that the constant $\tilde{\mu}_1 > 0$ is defined in (4.12), $\tilde{\mu}_2 > 0$ in (4.14), and $\tilde{\mu}_3 \geq 0$ in (4.17); the $\tilde{\mu}_i$ depend on p, m, α_1, α_2 only. The constant ν_0 is chosen as in (3.33). We also introduce for future reference a given constant $\lambda > 0$.

We fix $t_0 > 1$ such that

$$\log t_0 \geq \max \left\{ 4^{\frac{p-\alpha_1}{\alpha_1}}, \tilde{\mu}_4^{-1}, \frac{2\tilde{\mu}_1(N-1) + \tilde{\mu}_3 + 2\tilde{\mu}_1\alpha_2^2}{\tilde{\mu}_4}, \frac{2(\nu_0 p)^{p-1}}{\tilde{\mu}_4(p+m-3)^{p-2}}(N + \alpha_2^2) \right\}, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mu}_4 = 2^{-\frac{\alpha_2(p-1)}{p-\alpha_2}} \frac{\tilde{\mu}_2\alpha_1}{p-\alpha_1} > 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Next we select $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$G(r_0) < \min \left\{ 1, \lambda^{p+m-3}, \tilde{\mu}_4 C_*^{p+m-3} \log t_0 \right\}, \quad (4.3)$$

where we set

$$C_*^{-(p+m-3)} = \max \left\{ \lambda^{-(p+m-3)}, 2^{\frac{\tilde{\mu}_1(N-1) + \tilde{\mu}_3}{G(r_0)}} + 2\tilde{\mu}_1\alpha_2^2, \frac{2(\nu_0 p)^{p-1}}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}} \frac{1}{G(r_0)}(N + \alpha_2^2 G(r_0)) \right\}. \quad (4.4)$$

Clearly we have to show that (4.3), (4.4) are compatible, that is that

$$G(r_0) C_*^{-(p+m-3)} < \tilde{\mu}_4 \log t_0 \quad (4.5)$$

can be fulfilled by choosing a small enough r_0 . Indeed, also invoking $G(r_0) < \min\{1, \lambda^{p+m-3}\}$ which is certainly meaningful, we compute from (4.4)

$$G(r_0) C_*^{-(p+m-3)} < \max \left\{ 1, 2\tilde{\mu}_1(N-1) + \tilde{\mu}_3 + 2\tilde{\mu}_1\alpha_2^2, \frac{2(\nu_0 p)^{p-1}}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}}(N + \alpha_2^2) \right\} \leq \tilde{\mu}_4 \log t_0, \quad (4.6)$$

according to our choice of t_0 . Finally we select

$$\Gamma = 2^{\frac{\alpha_2(p-1)}{p-\alpha_2}} \frac{G(r_0)}{\log t_0}. \quad (4.7)$$

1) Case $r > r_0$. In order for \tilde{u} to be a subsolution, we need to show

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t} \leq \frac{\partial I_1}{\partial r} + I_1 \left(\frac{N-1}{r} + g' \right), \quad (4.8)$$

which, exactly as in (3.15), we may reduce to the equivalent form

$$K_0 - K_1 \leq A + K_2. \quad (4.9)$$

We have from (3.13)

$$K_0 \leq \frac{p - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \frac{1}{\log(t + t_0)} E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}. \quad (4.10)$$

Next, from (2.8) we infer, on using also the definition of $J(r)$,

$$\begin{aligned} -K_1 &\leq \frac{C_*^{p+m-3} A(r, \tau)}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}} (p - \alpha_1)^{p-1} \frac{r}{G(r)} \left(\frac{N-1}{r} + g'(r) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C_*^{p+m-3} A(r, \tau)}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}} (p - \alpha_1)^{p-1} \left(\frac{N-1}{G(r)} + \alpha_2^2 \right) \\ &= \tilde{\mu}_1 C_*^{p+m-3} A(r, \tau) \left(\frac{N-1}{G(r)} + \alpha_2^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

on invoking also (2.5), and setting

$$\tilde{\mu}_1 = \frac{(p - \alpha_1)^{p-1}}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}}. \quad (4.12)$$

Next we estimate from below $K_2 = h_1 + h_2$ (see (3.19) and (3.21)). Namely

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &\geq \frac{C_*^{p+m-3} (p - \alpha_2)^p}{(p+m-3)^{p-1}} J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{1}{G(r)} \\ &= \tilde{\mu}_2 C_*^{p+m-3} J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{1}{G(r)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

where we appealed to (2.8) and to the definition of $J(r)$, and we also set

$$\tilde{\mu}_2 = \frac{(p - \alpha_2)^p}{(p+m-3)^{p-1}}. \quad (4.14)$$

Then we calculate, reasoning as in (3.21),

$$\begin{aligned} h_2 &\geq \frac{C_*^{p+m-3} A(r, \tau)}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}} \left[- (p-2) - (p - \alpha_1)^p - \tilde{d} \right] \frac{1}{G(r)} \\ &= -\tilde{\mu}_3 C_*^{p+m-3} \frac{A(r, \tau)}{G(r)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

where we set

$$\tilde{d} = (c_2)_+(p-1)(p-\alpha_i)^{p-2}, \quad i = 1 \text{ if } p \geq 2, i = 2 \text{ if } p < 2, \quad (4.16)$$

$$\tilde{\mu}_3 = \frac{(p-2)_-(p-\alpha_1)^p + \tilde{d}}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}}. \quad (4.17)$$

We remark that h_2 does not give any contribution if $p \geq 2$ and $c_2 \leq 0$.

We collect (4.10)–(4.15) and see that (4.9) is implied by

$$\begin{aligned} & C_*^{p+m-3} A(r, \tau) \left(\frac{\tilde{\mu}_1(N-1) + \tilde{\mu}_3}{G(r)} + \tilde{\mu}_1 \alpha_2^2 - C_*^{-(p+m-3)} \right) \\ & + \frac{p-\alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \frac{1}{\log(t+t_0)} E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\ & \leq \tilde{\mu}_2 C_*^{p+m-3} J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{1}{G(r)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

As in (3.24), we leave on the right hand side of (4.18) only the contribution of h_1 . The constants $\tilde{\mu}_1 > 0$, $\tilde{\mu}_2 > 0$ and $\tilde{\mu}_3 \geq 0$ are defined in (4.12), (4.14) and (4.17), respectively, and depend only on p , m , α_1 , α_2 .

Under assumption (4.4) (where we exploit only the second term in the max function), (4.18) is implied by

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} A(r, \tau) + \frac{p-\alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \frac{1}{\log(t+t_0)} E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\ & \leq \tilde{\mu}_2 C_*^{p+m-3} J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{1}{G(r)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

that is, owing to the definitions of $A(r, \tau)$ and of τ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left[1 - \Gamma \frac{2(p-\alpha_1)}{\alpha_1} \frac{1}{\tau} \right] \geq \\ & J(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left[1 - 2\tilde{\mu}_2 C_*^{p+m-3} \frac{1}{G(r)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

However, as in Section 3 we note that, if $A(r, \tau) > 0$, then $E(\tau) > J(r)$, so that $r < G^{(-1)}(\tau)$. Thus, elementarily, (4.20) follows if

$$\Gamma \frac{p-\alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \leq \tilde{\mu}_2 C_*^{p+m-3},$$

which in turn is guaranteed by our assumptions (4.2), (4.3) and (4.7).

2) Case $r < r_0$. Again, we use here the notation introduced in Section 3 as far as possible. The constant ν_0 is chosen as in (3.33), so that \tilde{u} is of class C^1 even at $r = r_0$. In order for \tilde{u} to be a subsolution,

we have to prove (L_1 is defined in (3.34))

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t} \leq \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial r} + L_1 \left(\frac{N-1}{r} + g'(r) \right), \quad r < r_0. \quad (4.21)$$

Reasoning as in (3.36)–(3.37) we obtain the equivalent version of (4.21)

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 &= (p-1)(t+t_0) \frac{d}{dt} \left(E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right) \leq B(r, \tau) \\ &+ \frac{C_*^{p+m-3}(\nu_0 p)^{p-1}}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}} \frac{1}{G(r_0)} \left[\frac{p\nu_0}{p+m-3} \frac{r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{G(r_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} - B(r, \tau) \right] \\ &- \left(\frac{N-1}{r} + g'(r) \right) \frac{C_*^{p+m-3}(\nu_0 p)^{p-1}}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}} \frac{B(r, \tau)r}{G(r_0)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

However, owing to (4.10), (4.22) is implied by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p-\alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \frac{1}{\log(t+t_0)} E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} &\leq B(r, \tau) \\ &+ \frac{C_*^{p+m-3}(\nu_0 p)^{p-1}}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}} \frac{1}{G(r_0)} \left[\frac{p\nu_0}{p+m-3} \frac{r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{G(r_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} - B(r, \tau) \right] \\ &- \left(\frac{N-1}{r} + g'(r) \right) \frac{C_*^{p+m-3}(\nu_0 p)^{p-1}}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}} \frac{B(r, \tau)r}{G(r_0)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

When we neglect the positive terms on the right hand side of (4.23) (excepting the first one), and take into account that $g'(r)r \leq \alpha_2 g(r) \leq \alpha_2^2 G(r_0)$ for $r < r_0$, we see that (4.23) in turn follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p-\alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \frac{1}{\log(t+t_0)} E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} &\leq B(r, \tau) \\ &\times \left[1 - \frac{C_*^{p+m-3}(\nu_0 p)^{p-1}}{(p+m-3)^{p-2}} \frac{1}{G(r_0)} (N + \alpha_2^2 G(r_0)) \right] =: B(r, \tau) K_4. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

According to our choice of a suitably small C_* in (4.4) (where we now exploit the third term in the max function), we have $K_4 \geq 1/2$. Then (4.24) is a consequence of

$$E(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left[1 - \Gamma \frac{p-\alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \frac{2}{\tau} \right] \geq J(r_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} = I(r_0) \geq I(r), \quad r < r_0. \quad (4.25)$$

Next we remark that

$$1 - \Gamma \frac{p-\alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \frac{2}{\tau} \geq 1 - \frac{p-\alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \frac{2}{\log t_0} \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4.26)$$

The first inequality in (4.26) follows immediately from the definition of τ , and the second one from our assumption (4.1). Then, (4.25) follows for all $\tau > 0$ if

$$E(\Gamma \log t_0) \geq 2^{p-1} J(r_0). \quad (4.27)$$

But let us compute from assumption (4.7) and from the analogue inequalities to (2.2), valid for G and J ,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Gamma \log t_0) &= E\left(2^{\frac{\alpha_2(p-1)}{p-\alpha_2}} G(r_0)\right) \geq E\left(G\left(2^{\frac{p-1}{p-\alpha_2}} r_0\right)\right) \\ &= J\left(2^{\frac{p-1}{p-\alpha_2}} r_0\right) \geq 2^{p-1} J(r_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (4.27) is proved, i.e., \tilde{u} is a subsolution.

3) Let us estimate the support of $\tilde{u}(0)$; owing to (4.27), we have

$$\text{supp } \tilde{u}(0) = \{|x| \leq r_1\},$$

for some $r_1 > r_0$; specifically r_1 is defined by $J(r_1) = E(\Gamma \log t_0)$. On appealing to (4.7), this amounts to

$$G(r_1) = \Gamma \log t_0 = 2^{\frac{\alpha_2(p-1)}{p-\alpha_2}} G(r_0) \leq G\left(2^{\frac{\alpha_2(p-1)}{\alpha_1(p-\alpha_2)}} r_0\right).$$

We used here again the inequalities (2.2) written with g formally replaced by G . We conclude

$$r_1 \leq 2^{\frac{\alpha_2(p-1)}{\alpha_1(p-\alpha_2)}} r_0. \quad (4.28)$$

4) As for supersolutions, the regularity required in Definition 1.1 follows from direct inspection and from the explicit form of \tilde{u}_t found above.

Our next result is essential to the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\ell > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ be given positive numbers. Then it is possible to select r_0 , C_* , t_0 and Γ so that \tilde{u} is a subsolution and*

$$\text{supp } \tilde{u}(0) \subset \{|x| \leq \ell\}, \quad (4.29)$$

$$\tilde{u}(x, 0) \leq \varepsilon, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^N. \quad (4.30)$$

Proof. We choose t_0 as in (4.1). Then we select a suitable $\lambda > 0$ in (4.3), (4.4), obtaining r_0 , C_* and, from (4.7), also Γ such that, by virtue of (4.28) the radius r_1 of the support of $\tilde{u}(0)$ satisfies

$$r_1 \leq 2^{\frac{\alpha_2(p-1)}{\alpha_1(p-\alpha_2)}} r_0 \leq 2^{\frac{\alpha_2(p-1)}{\alpha_1(p-\alpha_2)}} G^{(-1)}(\lambda^{p+m-3}). \quad (4.31)$$

Moreover, since $t_0 > 1$, and also invoking the choices of r_0 and C_* in (4.3) and (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x \in \mathbf{R}^N} \tilde{u}(x, 0) &= \tilde{u}(0, 0) = \frac{C_*}{t_0^{\frac{1}{p+m-3}}} \left[E(\Gamma \log t_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - (1 - \nu_0) J(r_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right]_+^{\frac{p-1}{p+m-3}} \\ &\leq C_* E(\Gamma \log t_0)^{p+m-3} = C_* E\left(2^{\frac{\alpha_2(p-1)}{p-\alpha_2}} G(r_0)\right)^{p+m-3} \\ &\leq \lambda E\left(2^{\frac{\alpha_2(p-1)}{p-\alpha_2}} \lambda^{p+m-3}\right)^{p+m-3}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

Clearly, by selecting a suitable $\lambda > 0$, both (4.29) and (4.30) are satisfied. \square

5. TRANSFORMATION TO AN INHOMOGENEOUS DENSITY EQUATION

In this Section we prove Theorem 1.5.

Essentially, we want to move the weight out of the right hand side of (1.11). To this end, we perform a change of the independent variable r , introducing the function $\hat{r}(s)$, $s > 0$, and the new unknown $v(s, t) = U(\hat{r}(s), t)$. Then clearly

$$U_r = v_s \hat{r}_s^{-1}, \quad U^{m-1} |U_r|^{p-2} U_r = v^{m-1} |v_s|^{p-2} v_s |\hat{r}_s|^{-(p-2)} \hat{r}_s^{-1}.$$

On recalling also $\partial/\partial r = \hat{r}_s^{-1} \partial/\partial s$, and on assuming $\hat{r}_s > 0$, we get that the right hand side of (1.11) equals

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left[s^{N-1} v^{m-1} |v_s|^{p-2} v_s Y(s) \right] \hat{r}_s^{-1}, \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$Y(s) := f(\hat{r}(s)) \frac{\hat{r}(s)^{N-1}}{s^{N-1}} \hat{r}_s^{-(p-2)-1}, \quad s > 0. \quad (5.2)$$

We choose here \hat{r} as a solution to $Y(s) = 1$, that is more specifically to the problem

$$\hat{r}_s(s) = \left(f(\hat{r}(s)) \frac{\hat{r}(s)^{N-1}}{s^{N-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad \hat{r}(1) = r_*. \quad (5.3)$$

We prove in Section 5.1 that for a suitable $r_* > 0$, depending only on N , p and g , the solution $\hat{r}(s)$ is defined and increasing over $(0, +\infty)$ and satisfies

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0+} \hat{r}(s) = 0, \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \hat{r}(s) = +\infty,$$

so that it can be extended to a continuous function over $[0, +\infty)$. Even more, we have $\hat{r} \in C^1([0, +\infty))$, with $\hat{r}_s(0) = 1$.

Then (1.11) becomes

$$\hat{r}^{N-1} f(\hat{r}) \hat{r}_s \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left[s^{N-1} v^{m-1} |v_s|^{p-2} v_s \right],$$

that is in view of our choice $Y(s) = 1$,

$$\hat{r}_s^p \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{s^{N-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left[s^{N-1} v^{m-1} |v_s|^{p-2} v_s \right]. \quad (5.4)$$

The right hand side of (5.4) can of course be understood as the divergence in the space variable x such that $|x| = s$; we use for the sake of simplicity the old variable names. Then if we define

$$\rho(s) = \hat{r}_s(s)^p, \quad (5.5)$$

we have the equation (1.9) for the radial function v . According to the results on \hat{r} outlined above, ρ is a continuous positive function on $[0, +\infty)$.

Next we estimate the asymptotic behavior of $\rho(s)$. We denote by C_i constants depending only on N, p, g , possibly varying from line to line. We get from (5.3) that

$$\frac{1}{(f(r)r^{N-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} = \frac{1}{\hat{s}(r)^{\frac{N-1}{p-1}}} \hat{s}_r(r),$$

where $\hat{s} = \hat{r}^{(-1)}$. Thus, on defining

$$\varphi(r) := \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{dz}{(f(z)z^{N-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}}},$$

we get

$$\varphi(r) = \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\hat{s}(z)^{\frac{N-1}{p-1}}} \hat{s}_z(z) dz = \frac{p-1}{N-p} \hat{s}(r)^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}. \quad (5.6)$$

Define the function

$$\tilde{\varphi}(r) = \left[\frac{g(r)}{r} (f(r)r^{N-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right]^{-1}, \quad r > 0. \quad (5.7)$$

Let us calculate, recalling that $f(r) = e^{g(r)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}'(r) &= - \left[\frac{g(r)}{r} (f(r)r^{N-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right]^{-2} (f(r)r^{N-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \left[\frac{g'(r)}{r} - \frac{g(r)}{r^2} \right] + \frac{g(r)}{(p-1)r} (f(r)r^{N-1})^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times [g'(r)f(r)r^{N-1} + (N-1)f(r)r^{N-2}] \right\} \\ &= - \left[\frac{g(r)}{r} (f(r)r^{N-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right]^{-1} \left\{ \left[\frac{g'(r)}{g(r)} - \frac{1}{r} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{p-1} \left[g'(r) + \frac{N-1}{r} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{\varphi}'(r)}{\varphi'(r)} &= \left[\frac{g(r)}{r} \right]^{-1} \left\{ \left[\frac{g'(r)}{g(r)} - \frac{1}{r} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{p-1} \left[g'(r) + \frac{N-1}{r} \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{g(r)} \left[\frac{g'(r)r}{g(r)} - 1 \right] + \frac{1}{p-1} \left[\frac{g'(r)r}{g(r)} + \frac{N-1}{g(r)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by virtue of (1.5), for all $r > 0$ we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha_1}{p-1} &\leq \left[\alpha_1 - 1 + \frac{N-1}{p-1} \right] \frac{1}{g(r)} + \frac{\alpha_1}{p-1} \leq \frac{\tilde{\varphi}'(r)}{\varphi'(r)} \\ &\leq \left[\alpha_2 - 1 + \frac{N-1}{p-1} \right] \frac{1}{g(r)} + \frac{\alpha_2}{p-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

whence

$$-C_1\varphi'(r) \leq -\tilde{\varphi}'(r) \leq -C_2\varphi'(r), \quad r \geq r_*. \quad (5.10)$$

Thus, by integration over $(r, +\infty)$ we get

$$C_1\varphi(r) \leq \tilde{\varphi}(r) \leq C_2\varphi(r), \quad r \geq r_*. \quad (5.11)$$

Then, by collecting (5.6), (5.11), we find

$$C_1 s^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \leq \tilde{\varphi}(\hat{r}(s)) \leq C_2 s^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}, \quad s \geq 1, \quad (5.12)$$

that is, by taking the logarithm and invoking (2.2)–(2.3),

$$\hat{r}(s) \sim g^{(-1)}(\log s). \quad (5.13)$$

Then, from the differential equation (5.3), the definition (5.7) of $\tilde{\varphi}$ and the estimate (5.12), we get (understanding $\hat{r} = \hat{r}(s)$)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{r}_s(s) &= \left(f(\hat{r}) \hat{r}^{N-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} s^{-\frac{N-1}{p-1}} = \tilde{\varphi}(\hat{r})^{-1} \frac{\hat{r}}{g(\hat{r})} s^{-\frac{N-1}{p-1}} \\ &\sim \frac{g^{(-1)}(\log s)}{\log s} \frac{1}{s}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

Our estimate (1.20) follows at once.

5.1. Details on the function \hat{r} . We rewrite (5.3) as

$$\hat{r}'(s) = F(\hat{r}) s^{-1-\beta}, \quad \hat{r}(1) = r_0, \quad (5.15)$$

with

$$F(\hat{r}) = (f(\hat{r}) \hat{r}^{N-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad \beta = \frac{N-p}{p-1} > 0.$$

Consider the solution $\tilde{r}(z)$ to

$$\tilde{r}' = F(\tilde{r}), \quad \tilde{r}(0) = r_*, \quad (5.16)$$

where r_* will be chosen presently. Owing to the superlinearity and positivity of F , and also taking into account that $F(0) = 0$, the solution \tilde{r} is positive over $(-\infty, z_1)$, blows up at some $z_1(r_*) \in (0, +\infty)$ and satisfies $\tilde{r}(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow -\infty$. The blow up point z_1 depends monotonically and continuously on r_* , ranging over $(0, +\infty)$. We select

r_* so that $z_1 = 1/\beta$; note that r_* depends only on N , p and g . Then the solution to (5.15) can be obtained as

$$\hat{r}(s) := \tilde{r}\left(\frac{1-s^{-\beta}}{\beta}\right),$$

which is defined in the interval

$$-\infty < \frac{1-s^{-\beta}}{\beta} < z_1 = \frac{1}{\beta}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad 0 < s < +\infty.$$

Next we rewrite (5.3) as

$$\hat{r}_s = h(s)\hat{r}^{1+\beta}, \quad \hat{r}(1) = r_*. \quad (5.17)$$

with β as above and

$$h(s) = f(\hat{r}(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} s^{-1-\beta}, \quad s > 0.$$

From (5.17) we get by elementary integration by separation of variables,

$$\hat{r}(s) = \left[r_*^{-\beta} - \beta \int_1^s h(y) dy \right]^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}, \quad s > 0, \quad (5.18)$$

so that by a direct calculation

$$\hat{r}_s(s) = \left[h(s)^{-\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} r_*^{-\beta} - \beta h(s)^{-\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} \int_1^s h(y) dy \right]^{-\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}}. \quad (5.19)$$

Note that according to the analysis above, $f(\hat{r}(s)) \rightarrow 1$ as $s \rightarrow 0+$, so that $h(s)^{-\beta/(\beta+1)} \rightarrow 0+$ as $s \rightarrow 0+$, while

$$\int_1^s h(y) dy \rightarrow -\infty, \quad s \rightarrow 0+.$$

Then, by L'Hôpital's rule, we get from (5.19) and the definition of h

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{r}_s(0)^{-\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} &= -\beta \lim_{s \rightarrow 0+} f(\hat{r}(s))^{-\frac{\beta}{(\beta+1)(p-1)}} s^\beta \int_1^s h(y) dy \\ &= -\beta \lim_{s \rightarrow 0+} s^\beta \int_1^s f(\hat{r}(y))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} y^{-1-\beta} dy \\ &= -\beta \lim_{s \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(\hat{r}(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} s^{-1-\beta}}{-\beta s^{-1-\beta}} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Andreucci and A. F. Tedeev. Optimal decay rate for degenerate parabolic equations on noncompact manifolds. *Methods Appl. Anal.*, 22(4):359–376, 2015.
- [2] D. Andreucci and A. F. Tedeev. Asymptotic properties of solutions to the Cauchy problem for degenerate parabolic equations with inhomogeneous density on manifolds. *Milan J. Math.*, 89(2):295–327, 2021.
- [3] D. Andreucci and A. F. Tedeev. Existence of solutions of degenerate parabolic equations with inhomogeneous density and growing data on manifolds. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 219:Paper No. 112818, 15, 2022.
- [4] D. Andreucci and A. F. Tedeev. The Cauchy problem for doubly degenerate parabolic equations with weights. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, 32(2):Paper No. 26, 2025.
- [5] S. P. Degtyarev and A. F. Tedeev. On the solvability of the Cauchy problem with growing initial data for a class of anisotropic parabolic equations. *Ukr. Mat. Visn.*, 8(3):356–380, 461, 2011.
- [6] A. Grigor’yan. Heat kernels on weighted manifolds and applications. In *The ubiquitous heat kernel*, volume 398 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 93–191. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [7] G. Grillo and M. Muratori. Smoothing effects for the porous medium equation on Cartan-Hadamard manifolds. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 131:346–362, 2016.
- [8] G. Grillo, M. Muratori, and J. L. Vázquez. The porous medium equation on Riemannian manifolds with negative curvature. The large-time behaviour. *Adv. Math.*, 314:328–377, 2017.
- [9] M. Muratori. Some recent advances in nonlinear diffusion on negatively-curved Riemannian manifolds: from barriers to smoothing effects. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.*, 14(1):69–97, 2021.
- [10] M. Muratori and A. Roncoroni. Sobolev-type inequalities on Cartan-Hadamard manifolds and applications to some nonlinear diffusion equations. *Potential Anal.*, 57(1):129–154, 2022.
- [11] A. F. Tedeev. The interface blow-up phenomenon and local estimates for doubly degenerate parabolic equations. *Appl. Anal.*, 86(6):755–782, 2007.
- [12] A. F. Tedeev. Some qualitative properties of solutions to the Cauchy problem of the degenerate parabolic equations with a drift term. *Differential Integral Equations*, 38(11-12):745–774, 2025.
- [13] M. Tsutsumi. On solutions of some doubly nonlinear parabolic equations with absorption. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 132:187–212, 1988.
- [14] J. L. Vázquez. Fundamental solution and long time behavior of the porous medium equation in hyperbolic space. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 104(3):454–484, 2015.

DEPARTMENT OF BASIC AND APPLIED SCIENCES FOR ENGINEERING, SAPIENZA
UNIVERSITY OF ROME, VIA A. SCARPA 16 00161 ROME, ITALY
Email address: `daniele.andreucci@uniroma1.it`

SOUTHERN MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF VSC RAS, 53 VATUTINA ST. VLADIKAVKAZ
362025, RUSSIAN FEDERATION
Email address: `a_tedeev@yahoo.com`