

AN IMPROPER SURFACE GROUP ACTION

NIC BRODY

ABSTRACT. In 1999, Long and Reid proposed a proper action of a surface group on a product of trees. In this note, we show that the action is not proper.

The following question [2, 3, 4, 6] relates two of the most fundamental objects of consideration in low-dimensional topology and geometric group theory.

Question 1. Can a surface group act properly on a locally compact product of trees?

A folklore candidate action, first considered by Long and Reid in the 1990s, attempted to produce an explicit example of this. Let Σ denote the orbifold which is a torus with a single cone point of order 2. Its fundamental group is given by the presentation $\pi_1(\Sigma) = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^2 = 1 \rangle$. Magnus [5] considers the PGL_2 character variety of this group. An element of PGL_2 has order 2 if and only if it has trace 0, and so we seek two matrices whose commutator has trace zero to obtain a representation of this group. Supposing the first matrix is $a = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, the second matrix b must satisfy $2b_{11}b_{22} = (t + t^{-1})b_{12}b_{21}$. We obtain

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1+t^2 & 2 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \leq \mathrm{PGL}_2\left(\mathbb{Z}[t] \left[\frac{1}{t}, \frac{1}{t-1} \right] \right).$$

Any choice of real parameter $t > 1$ determines a faithful representation of this group, because one can construct an explicit hyperbolic rectangle with this geometric structure. We now specialize to $t = 9$, and obtain the Long-Reid group

$$\Gamma = \left\langle \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 82 & 2 \\ 9 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \leq \mathrm{PSL}_2\left(\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{6} \right] \right).$$

By [1], $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/6])$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times T_3 \times T_4$, where T_k denotes the k -regular tree. The stabilizer of a (particular) vertex in $T_3 \times T_4$ is $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and the action of Γ on $T_3 \times T_4$ is proper if and only if $\Gamma \cap \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is finite.

Theorem 1. *The action of Γ on $T_3 \times T_4$ is not proper.*

Proof. The length 82 word

$$\begin{aligned} & a^2ba^{-2}b^{-1}aba^2b^{-1}a^{-1}b^{-1}a^2ba^{-1}ba^2b^{-1}a^{-1}b^{-1}abab^{-1}aba^{-1}ba^2b^{-1}a^3ba^{-2}b^{-1} \\ & ab^{-1}a^{-2}b^2ab^{-1}a^{-2}ba^{-1}b^{-1}ab^{-1}a^{-2}bab^{-1}a^2ba^{-1}bab^{-1}aba^{-1}ba^2b^{-1}a^3ba^{-2}b^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

evaluates to the infinite-order integer matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -646279884109511971664607 & 6162511442411222450262052 \\ -4193268331567764626734 & 39984323680432243295081 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Date: December 24, 2025.

□

REFERENCES

1. Armand Borel and Harish-Chandra, *Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups*, The Annals of Mathematics **75** (1962), no. 3, 485.
2. Nic Brody, David Fisher, Mahan Mj, and Wouter van Limbeek, *Greenberg–shalom’s commensurator hypothesis and applications*, Expositiones Mathematicae (2025), 125736.
3. J. Button, *Groups acting faithfully on trees and properly on products of trees*, 2019.
4. David Fisher, Michael Larsen, Ralf Spatzier, and Matthew Stover, *Character varieties and actions on products of trees*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **225** (2018), no. 2, 889–907.
5. W. Magnus, *The uses of 2 by 2 matrices in combinatorial group theory. a survey*, Results in Mathematics **4** (1981), no. 1, 171–192.
6. Richard Evan Schwartz, *Notes on a conjecture of long-reid*, <https://www.math.brown.edu/reshwarz/MathNotes/longreid.pdf>, December 2023.