

# Monte Carlo study of phase transitions in model orthonickelate

V. S. Ryumshin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Ural Federal University, Ekaterinburg, Russia

E-mail: vitaliy.riumshin@urfu.ru

## Abstract

The results of numerical simulation using a classical Monte Carlo method with a kinematic accounting of the bosons concentration for a pseudospin model of orthonickelates are presented. Type of the phase transitions of the model orthonickelates is investigated.

**Keywords:** orthonickelates, Monte-Carlo, phase transitions

# 1 Introduction

Orthonickelates  $RNiO_3$  ( $R$  – rare earth or Y) possess a number of unusual and interesting properties, primarily a metal-insulator transition, unusual conductivity behavior, and the presence of non-collinear magnetic structures. The main topic of discussion in nickelates is the phase transitions to insulating and magnetic states, which are also accompanied by structural changes in the system. A definitive hierarchy between the electronic, magnetic, and structural effects that occur during phase transitions in orthonickelates has yet to be established [1-4].

In this paper, model orthonickelate is considered as a charge-disproportionated phase described by a spin-triplet boson system with a quartet of states at a site. Previously, phase states within this model were investigated and phase diagrams were obtained for a number of parameters using the mean-field approximation and classical Monte Carlo numerical simulations [5,6]. This work represents a continuation of the study of model nickelate, with an emphasis on phase transitions, in particular, determining the transition types.

## 2 Monte Carlo method and Binder cumulants

For simulations used classical Monte Carlo method with a kinematic accounting of the bosons concentration. This algorithm was discussed in detail in the work [5].

Type of phase transitions was determined by Binder cumulant method [7]. The fourth-order Binder cumulants have the following form:

$$V_L = 1 - \frac{\langle E^4 \rangle_L}{3\langle E^2 \rangle_L^2},$$

$$U_L = 1 - \frac{\langle O^4 \rangle_L}{3\langle O^2 \rangle_L^2},$$

where  $V_L$  – energy cumulant,  $U_L$  – order parameter cumulant (magnetic and charge).

This method is also used for high-precision determination of critical temperatures for various types of phase transitions.

### 3 Results

Figures 1 and 2 show the dependences for the energy cumulant during the NO-AFM transition and the charge cumulant during the NO-CO transition, respectively. For a second-order phase transition, the  $V_L$  value tends to  $2/3$  at all temperatures, and  $U_L$  will intersect for various system sizes at a point corresponding to the critical temperature. For a first-order transition,  $V_L$  tends to  $2/3$  at high and low temperatures, and at the critical temperature, it tends to a value different from  $2/3$ .

Both cumulants predict a second-order phase transition for both cases in a two-dimensional system. This is also confirmed by the energy histograms. A similar result is observed in the system of singlet hard-core bosons.




Figure 1. Energy cumulant  $V_L$  of NO-AFM transition. Markers correspond to different grid sizes ( $L$ ).




Figure 2. Charge cumulant  $U_L$  of NO-CO transition. Markers correspond to different grid sizes (L).

However, in the case of a three-dimensional system, a first-order phase transition is observed during the NO-CO transition. This is demonstrated by the energy cumulant (Fig. 3), as well as the energy distribution histograms  $P(E)$  for  $L = 8$  (Fig. 4) and  $L = 32$  (Fig. 5), respectively. Furthermore, the sharp change in energy and order parameter upon transition to the CO phase supports a first-order transition.




Figure 3. Energy cumulant  $V_L$  of NO-CO transition. Markers correspond to different grid sizes (L).




Figure 4. Energy histogram of NO-CO transition, size system is 8x8x8.




Figure 5. Energy histogram of NO-CO transition, size system is 32x32x32.

## 4 Conclusion

The results of numerical simulation using the classical Monte Carlo method with a kinematic accounting of the bosons concentration predict second order phase transition for NO-CO and NO-AFM transitions for 2D system and first order phase transition for NO-CO transition for 3D system.

## Funding

This study was supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation, project FEUZ-2023-0017.

## References

1. D. J. Gawryluk, Y. M. Klein, T. Shang, D. Sheptyakov, L. Keller, N. Casati, P. Lacorre, M. T. Fernandiez-Diaz, J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, and M. Medarde. *Physical Review B* **100**, 20, 205137 (2019).
2. S. Catalano, M. Gibert, J. Fowlie, J. Íñiguez, J. M. Triscone, and J. Kreisel. *Reports on Progress in Physics* **81**, 4, 046501 (2018).
3. M. L. Medarde. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **9**, 8, 1679 (1997).
4. Y. M. Klein, M. Kozlowski, A. Linden, Ph. Lacorre, M. Medarde, and D. J. Gawryluk. *Crystal Growth & Design* **21**, 7, 4230 (2021).
5. Ю. Д. Панов, С. В. Нужин, В. С. Рюмшин, А. С. Москвин. *Физика твердого тела* **66**, 7, 1221 (2024).
6. В. С. Рюмшин, С. В. Нужин, Ю. Д. Панов, А. С. Москвин. *Физика твердого тела* **66**, 7, 1047 (2024).
7. A. M. Ferrenberg, R. H. Swendsen. *Physical review letters* **61**, 23, 2635 (1988).