

RIBBONLENGTH BOUNDS FOR PRETZEL LINKS AND KNOTS WITH ≤ 9 CROSSINGS

ELIZABETH DENNE

ABSTRACT. Given a thin strip of paper, tie a knot, connect the ends, and flatten into the plane. This is a physical model of a folded ribbon knot in the plane, first introduced by Louis Kauffman. We study the folded ribbonlength of these folded ribbon knots, which is defined as the knot's length-to-width ratio. The *ribbonlength problem* asks to find the infimal folded ribbonlength of a knot or link type. We prove that any $P(p, q, r)$ pretzel link can be constructed so that its infimal folded ribbonlength is $\leq \frac{55}{\sqrt{3}} \leq 31.755$. We prove that any n -strand pretzel link $P(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ can be constructed so that its infimal folded ribbonlength is $\leq \frac{18n+1}{\sqrt{3}}$. This means that there is an infinite link family with a uniform bound on infimal folded ribbonlength. That is, we have shown $\alpha = 0$ in the equation $c \cdot \text{Cr}(L)^\alpha \leq \text{Rib}([L])$, where L is any link and c is a constant. This paper also contains a table showing the best known upper bounds on the infimal folded ribbonlength for all knots with ≤ 9 crossings.

1. INTRODUCTION TO FOLDED RIBBON KNOTS AND LINKS

A *knot* is an embedding of the unit circle S^1 into \mathbb{R}^3 , and a *link* is a disjoint union of a finite number of knots. Thus a knot is a one component link. Physical knots encountered in our everyday world can be modeled mathematically. For example, knot theorists have studied the *ropelength problem*, which asks to find the minimum-length configuration of a knotted diameter-one tube embedded in Euclidean three-space [2, 3, 12, 13, 14]. In this paper we look at the *folded ribbonlength problem*, which is a discrete two-dimensional analogue of the ropelength problem. This problem first appeared in recreational mathematics [16, 30], where trefoil knots are tied in long rectangular strips of paper, then pulled “tight” to form a pentagonal shape. In 2005, the formal mathematical concept of a *folded ribbon knot* was introduced by L. Kauffman [18]. Figure 1 shows a folded ribbon trefoil knot in the center, while on the right we see the “tight” pentagonal shape well known to recreational mathematicians.

Before we give a formal definition of a folded ribbon knot, we remind readers that a *polygonal knot diagram* is the image of a piecewise linear immersion of $K : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ with consistent

Date: December 16, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57K10, Secondary 49Q10.

Key words and phrases. Knots, links, pretzel links, folded ribbon knots, ribbonlength, crossing number.




FIGURE 1. On the left, a polygonal knot diagram for a trefoil knot. In the center, the corresponding folded ribbon trefoil knot. On the right, the folded ribbon trefoil has been “pulled tight” to give a pentagonal shape.

crossing information. We use K to denote both the map and its image in \mathbb{R}^2 . We denote the finite number of vertices in the polygonal diagram by v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n and the edges connecting consecutive vertices by $e_1 = [v_1, v_2], \dots, e_n = [v_n, v_1]$. If the knot is oriented, we assume the labeling of the vertices follows the orientation.

When we consider folded ribbon knots (defined formally below), we see that near a fold line there is a choice of which piece of ribbon lies over the other. We say there is an *overfold* at vertex v_i if the ribbon corresponding to edge e_i lies over the ribbon of edge e_{i-1} . Similarly, an *underfold* if the ribbon corresponding to edge e_i lies under the ribbon corresponding to edge e_{i-1} . In the center image in Figure 1, there is an overfold at vertex p and an underfold at vertex q . This choice of over or underfold at each fold line of K_w is called the *folding information*. We construct a folded ribbon link from a polygonal link diagram as follows (see also [6, 9]).

Definition 1. Given an oriented polygonal knot diagram K , we define the *fold angle* θ_i at vertex v_i (where $0 \leq \theta_i \leq \pi$) to be the angle between edges e_{i-1} and e_i . The *oriented folded ribbon knot of width w* , denoted K_w is constructed as follows.

- (1) At each vertex we place a fold line that acts like a mirror segment. That is at each vertex v_i , if the fold angle $\theta_i < \pi$, place a fold line of length $w / \cos(\frac{\theta_i}{2})$ centered at v_i perpendicular to the angle bisector of θ_i . If $\theta_i = \pi$ at vertex v_i , there is no fold line.
- (2) Add in the ribbon boundary lines parallel to each edge e_i by joining the ends of the fold lines at v_i . By construction, each boundary line is parallel to and at distance $w/2$ from K .
- (3) The ribbon has no singularities (is immersed) except at the fold lines, which are assumed to be disjoint.
- (4) K_w has consistent crossing information, which agrees with the crossing information given by the knot diagram K and with the folding information at each vertex.
- (5) The ribbon inherits the orientation from K .

A *folded ribbon link* L_w is defined similarly, as each component is a folded ribbon knot.

We observe that for a given polygonal knot diagram, if the width is too large, then the fold lines of consecutive vertices may touch. In [8], we prove that every polygonal link diagram has a corresponding folded ribbon link for a small enough width.

A natural question is to try to work out the least amount of ribbon needed to tie any folded ribbon link. This is the folded ribbonlength problem. To model this mathematically, we need a scale-invariant quantity, called the folded ribbonlength.

Definition 2. The *folded ribbonlength* $\text{Rib}(L_w)$ of a folded ribbon link L_w is the quotient of the length of the link diagram L to the width w of the ribbon, that is $\text{Rib}(L_w) = \frac{\text{Len}(L)}{w}$. The (*infimal*) *folded ribbonlength* of a link type $[L]$ is defined to be

$$\text{Rib}([L]) = \inf_{L_w \in [L]} \text{Rib}(L_w).$$

To simplify computations, we often assume that our folded ribbon link has width $w = 1$. The folded ribbonlength is then just the length of the link. Observe that we just consider the link type when finding the infimal folded ribbonlength for a link. We do not consider the topological type of the ribbon (Möbius band or annulus), nor do we consider the framing of the ribbon around the knot.

When computing folded ribbonlength it is helpful to use the local geometry and trigonometry of folds and crossings — complete details can be found in [8]. As an example of this, we observe that locally, a fold in a piece of ribbon consists of two overlapping triangles, while a crossing consists of two overlapping parallelograms. The ribbonlength of any square ribbon segment is 1. If we fold a piece of ribbon so that the fold angle $\theta = \pi/2$, we get two overlapping isosceles right triangles, each with a ribbonlength of $1/2$. This $\pi/2$ -fold has a total ribbonlength of 1.

In order to find upper bounds on the infimal folded ribbonlength of a link type, one simply needs to construct a folded ribbon link and compute the folded ribbonlength. Many authors [8, 11, 18, 19, 20, 21, 29] have worked on this strategy over the years, often finding folded ribbonlength of infinite families of knots and links. In addition, many of these papers give the upper bounds in terms of the crossing number¹ $\text{Cr}(L)$ of the link L .

The relationship between the infimal folded ribbonlength of a knot type $[K]$ and its crossing number $\text{Cr}(K)$ is a well-known problem in the study of folded ribbon knots. The *ribbonlength crossing number problem* seeks to establish constants c_1 , c_2 , α , and β such that the following inequality holds for all knot and link types:

$$(1) \quad c_1 \cdot \text{Cr}(L)^\alpha \leq \text{Rib}([L]) \leq c_2 \cdot \text{Cr}(L)^\beta.$$

Y. Diao and R. Kusner [18] conjectured that $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\beta = 1$, and G. Tian [29] proved $\beta \leq 2$, while the author [7] proved that $\alpha \leq 1/2$ and $\beta \leq 3/2$. However, we now know that the ribbonlength crossing number problem has recently been completely solved! In 2024, H. Kim, S. No, and H. Yoo [22] gave a solution for the upper bound in Equation 1 by proving that that $\beta \leq 1$. Specifically, they proved that for any knot or link L ,

$$(2) \quad \text{Rib}([L]) \leq 2.5\text{Cr}(L) + 1.$$

In 2025, the author and T. Patterson [11] gave a solution for the lower bound in Equation 1 for knots by proving that $\alpha = 0$. As will be seen below (in (4) and (6)), we proved that the $(2, q)$ -torus knots and the twist knots have a uniform upper bound for folded ribbonlength, while the crossing numbers are unbounded.

Here is a summary of the best upper bounds of folded ribbonlength to date. (Only a few results have been given in terms of crossing number.)

¹The crossing number $\text{Cr}(L)$ of a link L is a knot invariant which is defined to be the minimum number of crossings in any regular projection of the link.

- (1) Any link L has $\text{Rib}([L]) \leq 2.5\text{Cr}(L) + 1$ from [22].
- (2) Any trefoil knot K has $\text{Rib}([K]) \leq 6$ from [4, 8].
- (3) Any (p, q) -torus link L has $\text{Rib}([L]) \leq 2p$ where $p \geq q \geq 2$ from [8]. This means if $p = aq + b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then $\text{Rib}([L]) \leq \sqrt{6}(a + \frac{b}{3})(\text{Cr}(L))^{1/2}$.
- (4) Any $(2, q)$ -torus link has

$$\text{Rib}([T(2, q)]) \leq \begin{cases} 8\sqrt{3} \leq 13.86 & \text{for } q \text{ odd from [11]}, \\ q + 3 & \text{for any } q \text{ from [4]}. \end{cases}$$

- (5) Any figure-8 knot K has $\text{Rib}([K]) \leq 8$ from [4].
- (6) Any twist knot T_n , with n half-twists has

$$\text{Rib}([T_n]) \leq \begin{cases} 9\sqrt{3} + 2 \leq 17.59 & \text{when } n \text{ is odd from [11]}, \\ 8\sqrt{3} + 2 \leq 15.86 & \text{when } n \text{ is even from [11]}, \\ n + 6 & \text{for } n \leq 9 \text{ and } 11 \text{ from [4]}. \end{cases}$$

- (7) Any (p, q, r) -pretzel link L has $\text{Rib}([L]) \leq (|p| + |q| + |r|) + 6$ from [4].
- (8) Any n -strand pretzel link L constructed from (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) half-twists, has $\text{Rib}([L]) \leq (\sum_{i=1}^n |p_i|) + 2n$ from [4].
- (9) Any 2-bridge knot with crossing number $\text{Cr}(K)$ has $\text{Rib}([K]) \leq 2\text{Cr}(K) + 2$ from [21].
- (10) Any twisted torus knot² $K = T_{p,q;r,s}$ (from [20]) has

$$\text{Rib}([K]) \leq \begin{cases} 2(\max\{p, q, r\} + |s|r) & \text{for } 0 < r < p + q \text{ and } s \neq 0, \\ 2(p + (|s| - 1)r) & \text{for } 0 < r \leq p - q \text{ and } s \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

We see that many of these results also show that $\beta = 1$ in Equation 1 for infinite families of knots and links. Moreover, they improve the upper bound given in Equation 2. We again remark that the $(2, q)$ torus knots and the twist knots both have a uniform upper bound on folded ribbonlength. This surprising result shows that the ribbonlength bound does not depend on the complexity of these knots as captured by the crossing number.

The primary aim of this paper is to prove that pretzel links³ also have a uniform upper bound on folded ribbonlength, independent of the number of half-twists used in their construction. The key result needed for this proof, is that we can construct any number of half-twists between two pieces of ribbon in a finite amount of ribbonlength (see Construction 15).

Theorem 3. *Assume k is a nonzero integer, and assume that we have folded k half twists between two pieces of ribbon of the same width. Then, ignoring the ends of the ribbons, these k half-twists can be constructed with folded ribbonlength*

$$\text{Rib}(k \text{ half-twists}) = \frac{12}{\sqrt{3}} + \epsilon, \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

In order to construct a pretzel link, we only need a finite amount of ribbonlength to join the half-twists together. Thus we can prove the following.

²A twisted torus knot $T_{p,q;r,s}$ is obtained from a torus knot $T_{p,q}$ by twisting r adjacent strands s full twists.

³See Definition 7.

Theorem 4. *The infimal folded ribbonlength of any $P(p, q, r)$ pretzel link is*

$$\text{Rib}([P(p, q, r)]) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{55}{\sqrt{3}} \leq 31.755 & \text{when } p, q, r \text{ have the same parity,} \\ \frac{49}{\sqrt{3}} \leq 28.291 & \text{when one of } p, q, r \text{ has the opposite parity to the others.} \end{cases}$$

It turns out that we can generalize the construction for a folded ribbon $P(p, q, r)$ pretzel link, to a construction for a folded ribbon n -strand pretzel link $P(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$. Here, the upper bound most likely will not be close to the actual infimum.

Theorem 5. *The infimal folded ribbonlength of any n -strand pretzel link type $P(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ is*

$$\text{Rib}([P(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)]) \leq \frac{18n + 1}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

Intuitively, we expect the amount of material we use to tie a physical link to increase, as the link's complexity increases. Thus finding there is a bounded amount of folded ribbonlength is surprising. Note that the pretzel link family contains both knots and links. This means we can now prove the lower bound in Equation 1 for *links* (previously this was only proven for knots). Simply by observing that the crossing number of pretzel links can be arbitrarily large while the folded ribbonlength is constant, we have proved the following.

Theorem 6. *Given any link L and positive constant c_1 , the equation $c_1 \cdot \text{Cr}(L)^\alpha \leq \text{Rib}([L])$, must have $\alpha = 0$.*

As discussed above, there have been many papers giving upper bounds on infimal ribbonlength of particular knot and link families. These bounds have not been gathered into one place and applied to small crossing knots. Thus, the second aim of this paper is to give a table showing the best known upper bounds on folded ribbonlength for each knot type. This summary is for knots with crossing number ≤ 9 and several link types. These tables are found in Section 5.

We end our introductory remarks by observing that the ribbon gives a framing around the link diagram and we can study this in addition to the link type when considering folded ribbonlength. However, we will not pursue this approach here. Instead we note that there have been a number of results about framed unknots in [1, 10, 11, 15, 26, 27, 28]. In some of these citations, the reader will see that folded ribbon unknots have been used to give results about infimal aspect ratio of embedded multi-twist Möbius bands and annuli. This is a new development given the recent results of R.E. Schwartz and his coauthors. We close by noting that the framed nontrivial knot case remains open.

The paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we review the definition of a half-twist in two arcs of a knot. These are the building blocks of pretzel links, which we define in Definition 7. We also review several properties of pretzel links needed later. In Section 3 Definition 9, we introduce the key idea of an accordion fold and then describe the geometry and distances of these folds. In Construction 15, we use accordion folds to construct k half-twists in two equal width pieces of ribbon. We then compute the folded ribbonlength of Construction 15 to prove Theorem 3. We end Section 3 with two technical lemmas giving the folded ribbonlength of certain folding patterns that will be used when constructing pretzel links. In Section 4, we construct $P(p, q, r)$ pretzel links using the half-twists from Construction 15. We split the construction into two parts,

Construction 22 is when p, q, r have the same parity, and Construction 23 is when one of p, q, r is of opposite parity to the other two. We prove Theorem 4 by computing the folded ribbonlength of these constructions. We then generalize these constructions to n -strand pretzel links to prove Theorem 5. Finally, in Section 5, we give several tables showing the best known upper bound on the infimal folded ribbonlength for all knots with ≤ 9 crossings.

We encourage the reader to locate some long strips of paper with which they can recreate the constructions found in this paper. The constructions will make more sense with a physical model in hand.

2. HALF-TWISTS AND PRETZEL LINKS

One of the defining characteristics of pretzel links is that they are made from strands of half-twists that are joined together in a specific way. A *strand of k half-twists* is constructed by taking two parallel straight arcs and rotating the bottom ends of the arcs by π radians. If k is positive, then ends of the arcs are twisted in a counterclockwise direction and if k is negative, the ends of the arcs are twisted in a clockwise direction. There is a choice here, and other texts may use the opposite convention. In knot diagrams, a strand of k half-twists is sometimes replaced by a box with the integer k . This is shown on the left in Figure 2, while on the right we see three strands of differently oriented half-twists joined to give a $P(3, 1, -2)$ pretzel knot.




FIGURE 2. On the left, an n strand (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) -pretzel link, and on the right a $P(3, 1, -2)$ pretzel knot.

Definition 7. An n -strand pretzel link has n strands of half-twists (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) which are connected cyclically, as shown in Figure 2. A 3-strand pretzel link is usually just called a pretzel link, and is normally denoted by $P(p, q, r)$.

Remark 8. We review some well-known facts about pretzel links (see for instance [5, 17]).

- (1) A pretzel link $P(p, q, r)$ is a knot if and only if at most one of p, q and r are even.
- (2) A pretzel link $P(p, q, r)$ is alternating if and only if p, q and r have the same sign.
- (3) The mirror image $P^m(p, q, r)$ of a pretzel link is equivalent to $P(-p, -q, -r)$.
- (4) A pretzel link $P(p, q, r)$ is equivalent to a pretzel link with any permutation of p, q and r . For example, $P(p, q, r) \cong P(p, r, q) \cong P(q, r, p)$.
- (5) The crossing number of a pretzel link can be hard to find in general. We have the following specific cases:

- (a) If p, q, r have the same sign, then $P(p, q, r)$ has a reduced alternating diagram, so $\text{Cr}(P(p, q, r)) = |p| + |q| + |r|$.
- (b) The crossing number of a pretzel link $P(-p, q, r)$ where $p, q, r \geq 2$ is given by $\text{Cr}(P(-p, q, r)) = p + q + r$ (see [23]).

3. ACCORDION FOLDS AND HALF-TWISTS

In this section, we show how to fold a strand of k half-twists out of two pieces of ribbon in an efficient way, and compute how much folded ribbonlength is needed for this construction. In particular, we introduce the notation needed to describe folded ribbon half-twists, as well as several technical results used in the construction of a pretzel link.

To get started, we first change our perspective on half-twists by straightening one of the arcs, and imagining the other arc as wrapping around the first. See Figure 3. We now imagine creating these k half-twists out of pieces of ribbon. If we could make the ribbon corresponding to the straight strand very narrow, then we could then coil a second ribbon around the first in a way which builds the folded ribbon k half-twists in a finite amount of ribbon. There are two technical issues here: (a) folding a narrow ribbon and (b) working out how to locate the end of the ribbon inside the coil.




FIGURE 3. Two different viewpoints of 5 half-twists.

The solution is to take the strip of ribbon and fold it back and forward like an accordion. This firstly creates a narrow strip and secondly, creates a way to reach the inside end of a tightly coiled ribbon. In 2024, A. Hennessey introduced these ideas in [15], and they were further developed by the author and Patterson in [11].

Definition 9 (Accordion fold). Take an oriented piece of ribbon. As shown in Figure 4, an *accordion fold with fold angle θ and distance d* is an alternating sequence of left then right overfolds, each with fold angle θ and at equal distance to one another. Thus in an accordion fold, there is a constant distance d between each vertex of the corresponding knot diagram.

An *escape accordion* is an accordion fold with an even number of folds, say $k = 2m$ of them, such that the parallel pieces of ribbon entering and leaving the accordion fold are at least distance $w = 1$ apart.

Remark 10. Note that we could have chosen to construct accordion folds using only underfolds or by folding to the right at the start. **In this paper we assume all accordion folds start with a left**




FIGURE 4. On the left, a sequence of accordion folds with fold angle $\pi/2$. In the center, an escape accordion. On the right, the path of the knot diagram in an accordion fold with fold angle $\pi/3$. The dotted lines in this figure helps us compute the distances connected to an accordion fold.

overfold. We denote the start and end vertices of an escape accordion by v_S and v_E respectively. We denote the distance between the start and end points of the escape accordion along the knot by $d_K(v_S, v_E)$. We denote the planar distance between the start and end points of the escape accordion by $d(v_S, v_E)$.

In Figure 4 right, we assume that line segment $v_E P$ is perpendicular to the line segment containing $v_S P$. Thus for an *escape accordion*, we require the distance $d(v_E, P)$ to be at least the width $w = 1$. Note that given a particular number of folds k , the distance d can be adjusted so that $d(v_E, P) = w = 1$.

Once we have constructed an escape accordion, we can continue to add accordion folds, or we can wrap the ribbon around the escape accordion as shown in Figure 5.

Definition 11 (k half-wraps). Assume we have an escape accordion with fold angle θ and distance d . We construct $+k$ half-wraps as follows. Start at vertex v_E , and fold an alternating sequence of a left underfold followed by a right overfold, each with the same fold angle θ and the same constant distance d between each vertex of the corresponding knot diagram. At each stage, the ribbon winds around the existing accordion folds. Without loss of generality, we declare these to be positive half-wraps, and $+3$ half-wraps are shown in Figure 5. In the positive case, the end of the ribbon of $+(2n + 1)$ half-wraps finishes behind the accordion fold, and the end of ribbon of the $+2n$ half-wraps finishes in front of the accordion fold.

To fold negative half-wraps, we start with an escape accordion. This time at vertex v_E we fold an alternating sequence of a left overfold followed by a right underfold. At each stage, the ribbon winds around the existing accordion folds. In the negative case, the end of the ribbon of $-(2n + 1)$ half-wraps finishes in front of the accordion fold, and the end of the ribbon of $-2n$ half-wraps finishes behind the accordion fold.

Remark 12. We first observe that the knot diagram is the same for a sequence of half-wraps as it is for a sequence of accordion folds. Secondly, to help keep track of the distances, we label the half-wraps by the vertex of the knot diagram at the start of the half-wrap. Thus the end vertex of the escape accordion is the same vertex as the first wrap, or $v_E = w_1$. We add in labels $w_2, w_3, \dots, w_{2n+1}$ as we add in each half-wrap as shown in Figure 5. Thirdly, we also need to




FIGURE 5. On the left, an escape accordion with labels. In the center, an escape accordion with $+1$ half-wrap with vertex $v_E = w_1$. On the right, an escape accordion with $+3$ labeled half-wraps.

keep track of accordion folds after the end vertex v_E of the escape accordion. Thus, we will use the w_i notation to indicate the start of the i th accordion fold after the vertex v_E . Finally, observe that vertex w_{2n+1} is both the end point of the $2n$ th wrap/accordion fold and the start point of the $(2n + 1)$ th wrap/accordion fold.

We need a little more notation which we will use in our constructions later.

Definition 13. Assume we have a piece of ribbon with an escape accordion with fold angle θ and distance d . Assume there are additional accordion folds or half-wraps after the escape accordion. Then the line containing the vertices v_S , v_E , and odd vertices w_{2n+1} is the *odd fold line*, denoted by o . The line containing the even vertices w_2, \dots, w_{2n} is the *even fold line*, denoted by e . These lines are shown on the right in Figure 5.

From this point on we will assume that all of our accordion folds, escape accordions, and half-wraps are made with fold angle $\pi/3$ and distance d . We make this choice, as we have previously shown in [11] that an accordion fold constructed with fold angle $\pi/3$ has the smallest amount of ribbonlength. We now summarize all the distances seen in an escape accordion with additional half-wraps or accordion folds. We measure both the distance along the knot and the distance in the plane between vertices.

Lemma 14. Assume we have a piece of ribbon of width $w = 1$, and have folded a sequence of accordion folds with fold angle $\pi/3$ and angle d as shown in Figure 4. Let v_S and v_E be the start and end vertices of an escape accordion. Assume that additional half-wraps or accordion folds have been added after the escape accordion. Let w_i be the vertex corresponding to the start of the i th half-wrap or accordion fold, as described in Remark 12. We find the following distances between the vertices of the corresponding knot diagram.

- $d_K(v_S, v_E) = \frac{1}{\cos(\pi/6)\sin(\pi/6)} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}},$
- $d(v_S, v_E) = \frac{1}{\cos(\pi/6)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}},$
- $d_K(w_1, w_3) = 2d,$
- $d(w_1, w_3) = 2d \sin(\pi/6) = d,$
- $d_K(w_1, w_{2n+1}) = 2nd,$ and
- $d(w_1, w_{2n+1}) = 2nd \sin(\pi/6) = nd.$

In addition, the distance between the odd fold line o and even fold line e is $d\sqrt{3}/2$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume distance $d(v_E, P) = 1$, then use trigonometry. A proof of these results for any fold angle θ can be found in [11] (in Lemma 6 and Corollary 7). Simply substitute in $\pi/3$ for θ . \square

We now discuss how to construct k half-twists. We follow the discussion in [11], but the half-twists are arranged a little differently here so we can more easily construct pretzel links. When constructing half-twists we will use the notation in Figure 3, that is strand AB winds around (straight) strand CD .

Construction 15 (k half-twists). In this construction, we start with two pieces of oriented ribbon of the same width labeled AB and CD . We then construct k half-twists between them as follows.

Case 1. Assume $k = 0$. We begin by taking ribbons AB and CD and making accordion folds with fold angle $\pi/3$ and distance d in each ribbon.

Step 1: We make an escape accordion in ribbon AB . This is shown on the left in Figure 6. Next, undo the first fold of ribbon AB at vertex v_S , this is shown in the center of Figure 6. We let vertex X denote the final vertex of the folds that make up the escape accordion. This occurs at distance d before vertex v_E on the knot diagram.




FIGURE 6. Escape accordion AB is placed over the long accordion fold CD to create 0 half-twists.

Step 2: We make an escape accordion in the second ribbon CD , and follow this with the same number of accordion folds as an escape accordion. We let Y denote the final vertex of these folds and observe that $d_K(v_S, v_E) = d_K(v_E, Y)$. Fold end D up at vertex Y so that ends C and D lie on the same side of the accordion folds.

Step 3: Next, move ribbon AB over ribbon CD , so that the start and end vertices (v_S and v_E) of each escape accordion coincide and the corresponding odd and even fold lines coincide. By construction, the end D of ribbon CD lies after the end B of ribbon AB as shown on the right in Figure 6. There are 0 half-twists between ribbon AB and ribbon CD .

Case 2. Assume $k = \pm 1$. To construct a $+1$ half-twist, start with 0 half-twists constructed as in Case 1. In ribbon CD , add one more accordion fold, and let E denote the vertex at the final accordion fold in end D . In ribbon AB , add one left underfold at vertex $v_E = w_1$ so that end B passes under the accordion folds of ribbon CD as well. By construction, we see that $d_K(v_S, v_E) = d_K(w_2, E)$. This construction is illustrated on the left in Figure 7, and is precisely a $+1$ half-wrap




FIGURE 7. On the left, end B of escape accordion AB is wrapped once under and behind the long accordion fold CD to create a $+1$ half-twist. On the right, end B folds once at the front of accordion fold CD to create a -1 half-twist.

of ribbon AB about ribbon CD as defined in Definition 11. To see the $+1$ half-twist between ribbons AB and CD , simply move ribbon AB down a bit.

To construct a -1 half-twist, start with a 0 half-twist as in Case 1. In ribbon CD , add one more accordion fold, and let E denote the vertex at the final accordion fold in end D . In ribbon AB , fold a left overfold at vertex $v_E = w_1$ so that end B passes over the accordion folds of ribbons AB and CD . To see the -1 half-twist, simply move ribbon AB down a bit.




FIGURE 8. Ribbon AB is wrapped $2n + 1$ times around the long accordion fold of ribbon CD . On the left we see a $+3$ half-twist and on the right a -3 half-twist.

Case 3: Assume $k = \pm(2n + 1)$. To construct $+(2n + 1)$ half-twists between ribbons AB and CD , start with a $+1$ half-twist as constructed in Case 2. In ribbon CD add $2n$ more accordion folds and let E be the vertex corresponding to the final accordion fold. In ribbon AB construct another $+2n$ half-wraps of end B around the accordion folds of AB and CD (as in Definition 11). There are now $+(2n + 1)$ half-wraps ending at vertex w_{2n+1} . As in Case 2, we have $d_K(v_S, v_E) = d_K(w_{2n+2}, E)$. This construction is shown on the left in Figure 8 (but for $+3$ half-twists), and there are now $+(2n + 1)$ half-twists between ribbons AB and CD .

On the right in Figure 8 we see the construction of $-(2n + 1)$ half-twists between ribbons AB and CD . The construction is very similar to the positive case. However, we start with a -1 half-twist as constructed in Case 2. After adding $2n$ more accordion folds to ribbon CD , we then construct another $-2n$ half-wraps of end B around the accordion folds of AB and CD . This completes the construction of $-(2n + 1)$ half-twists between ribbons AB and CD .

Case 4: Assume $k = \pm 2n$. To construct $-2n$ half-twists between ribbons AB and CD , start with a 0 half-twist as constructed in Case 1. In ribbon CD add $2n$ more accordion folds and let G be the vertex corresponding to the final fold in end D . In ribbon AB construct $+2n$ half-wraps of




FIGURE 9. Ribbon AB is wrapped $2n$ times around the long accordion fold of ribbon CD . On the left we see a $+4$ half-twist, and on the right, a -4 half-twist.

end B around the accordion folds of AB and CD (as in Definition 11). By construction, we see that $d_K(v_S, v_E) = d_K(w_{2n+1}, G)$. This construction is shown on the left in Figure 9 (but for $+4$ half-twists), and there are now $+2n$ half-twists between ribbons AB and CD .

On the right in Figure 9 we see the construction of $-2n$ half-twists. The construction is very similar to the positive case. We start with a 0 half-twist as constructed in Case 1. After adding $2n$ more accordion folds to ribbon CD , we then construct $-2n$ half-wraps of end B around the accordion folds of AB and CD . This construction creates $-2n$ half-twists between ribbons AB and CD . \square

Remark 16. Since this will be needed later, we pause emphasize which vertices lie on which lines.

- Assume there are $\pm(2n + 1)$ half-twists, between ribbons AB and CD . Vertices v_S, v_E, w_{2n+1} and F lie on the odd fold line o , and vertices w_{2n} and E lie on the even fold line e .
- Assume there are $\pm 2n$ half-twists, between ribbons AB and CD . Vertices v_S, v_E, w_{2n+1}, Y, G lie on the odd fold line o , and vertices X, w_{2n}, H lie on the even fold line e .

Proposition 17. Assume we have two pieces of ribbon labeled AB and CD with the same width and have folded a sequence of accordion folds with fold angle $\pi/3$ and distance d in each ribbon. Assume for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, that we have folded k half-twists between ribbons AB and CD as in Construction 15. Then these k half-twists can be constructed with folded ribbonlength at least

$$\text{Rib}(k \text{ half-twists}) \geq \frac{12}{\sqrt{3}} + (2|k| - 1)d.$$

$$\text{Equivalently, } \text{Rib}(k \text{ half-twists}) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{12}{\sqrt{3}} + (4n - 1)d & \text{when } k = \pm 2n, \\ \frac{12}{\sqrt{3}} + (4n + 1)d & \text{when } k = \pm(2n + 1). \end{cases}$$

Note that this proof has a similar flavor to the ones found in [11]. However, the half-twists are slightly different, so we include the proof in full here.

Proof. We compute the ribbonlength of the two pieces of ribbon labeled AB and CD used in Construction 15. We measure each piece starting at vertex v_S and ending at the final vertex of the accordion folds/wraps. We ignore any ribbon after these final vertices. We use Lemma 14 throughout this proof.

Assume $k = 0$, and refer to Figure 6. Starting with ribbon AB , end B follows the knot diagram from vertex v_S in a zig-zag fashion through the escape accordion to vertex X , which we recall is one vertex before vertex v_E in the knot diagram. The distance travels by end B is $d_K(v_S, v_E) - d$.

Next, for ribbon CD , end D follows the knot diagram from vertex v_S to then travels in a zig-zag fashion through the escape accordion to vertex v_E , and then travels through another escape accordion to vertex Y . The distance traveled is $2d_K(v_S, v_E)$. We now put these together

$$\text{Rib}(0 \text{ half-twists}) \geq 3d_K(v_S, v_E) - d = \frac{12}{\sqrt{3}} - d.$$

Assume $k = \pm 1$ and refer to Figure 7. To get a ± 1 half-twist, we start with the 0 half-twist case and add one more accordion fold to ribbon CD and one half-wrap (appropriately oriented) to ribbon AB . Thus, starting with ribbon AB , end B follows the knot diagram from vertex v_S in a zig-zag fashion through the escape accordion to vertex $v_E = w_1$. The distance travels by end B is $d_K(v_S, v_E)$. Next, for ribbon CD , end D follows the knot diagram from vertex v_S to then travels in a zig-zag fashion through the accordion folds to vertex v_E , and then travels through another escape accordion plus one more fold to vertex E . The distance traveled is $2d_K(v_S, v_E) + d$. We now put these together

$$\text{Rib}(\pm 1 \text{ half-twists}) \geq 3d_K(v_S, v_E) + d = \frac{12}{\sqrt{3}} + d.$$

We can proceed by induction. Assume the result is true for $k > 0$ half-twists. We add one additional half-twist, by adding one more accordion fold to ribbon CD and by adding one more half-wrap to ribbon AB . That is, we increase the ribbonlength by $+2d$. Thus the ribbonlength for $+(k+1)$ half-twists is

$$\text{Rib}(k \text{ half-twists}) \geq \frac{12}{\sqrt{3}} + (2k-1)d + 2d = \frac{12}{\sqrt{3}} + (2(k+1)-1)d.$$

A similar proof holds for $k < 0$ half-twists, and thus the result holds for all integers. \square

Remark 18. In Proposition 17, we have chosen to measure the folded ribbonlength of the k half-twists from the first fold at vertex v_S to the final fold in each ribbon. We made this choice to simplify later calculations. When computing the folded ribbonlength of a link containing a strand of k half-twists, we can easily measure the folded ribbonlength of the additional ribbon used by starting at these places.

We can now proof Theorem 3: Assume k is a nonzero integer, and assume that we have folded k half twists between two pieces of ribbon of the same width. Then, ignoring the ends of the ribbons, these k half-twists can be constructed with folded ribbonlength at least

$$\text{Rib}(k \text{ half-twists}) = \frac{12}{\sqrt{3}} + \epsilon, \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 3. The inequality in Proposition 17 comes from considering the additional ribbonlength of the end of each ribbon occurring before the start vertex v_S and after the vertex corresponding to the final fold. If we ignore the ribbonlength of these ends, then once $\epsilon > 0$ is chosen, simply set d small enough in Proposition 17. \square

Before we move on to the construction of a folded ribbon pretzel link, we need two more lemmas that will simplify our ribbonlength computations. Part of first lemma initially appeared in [11] (as

part of the proof of Theorem 11). We have added a second case and included the proof here for ease of use.




FIGURE 10. The end of the ribbon is folded back towards the start vertex v_S so that one side-edge of the ribbon coincides with the fold line containing vertex P .

Lemma 19. *Take a piece of ribbon of width $w = 1$. Fold an escape accordion folded with fold angle $\pi/3$ and distance d , then fold any number (≥ 0) of additional half-wraps or accordion folds. Let P be the vertex in the knot diagram corresponding to the final fold of the half-wraps/accordion folds. Fold the end of the ribbon at point Q back towards the start vertex v_S with fold angle $\pi/3$ so that one side-edge of the ribbon coincides with the fold line containing point P . We let R be the point on the final segment of the knot diagram such that PR is perpendicular to PQ . This construction is illustrated in Figure 10. The distances in the figure are as follows:*

- $d(P, R) = \frac{1}{2}$
- $d(P, Q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$
- $d(Q, R) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$

Proof. In both cases, we have a right triangle $\triangle PRQ$, with angle $\angle PQR = \pi/3$. Since the width $w = 1$, we have $d(P, R) = \frac{1}{2}$. The hypotenuse has length $d(P, Q) = \frac{1}{2 \sin(\pi/3)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$, and the final edge has length $d(R, Q) = \frac{1}{2} \tan(\pi/6) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$. We note that while Figure 10 shows an underfold at vertex Q , the same proof works if there is an overfold at Q . \square

When constructing folded ribbon knots, we often encounter two overlapping parallel pieces of ribbon which we need to join together. The simplest way to do this is with a fold of fold angle 0. Now fold lines with fold angle 0 are perpendicular to the knot diagram, and must occur at a minimum distance from the vertex of an existing fold line. Why? By Definition 1, fold lines can not intersect in a folded ribbon knot. The next lemma gives this distance.




FIGURE 11. Line CD shows the closest location of a fold with fold angle 0 to an existing fold line AC .

Lemma 20. *Following the notation in Figure 11, take a piece of ribbon of width $w = 1$ with a fold line AC formed from a fold angle θ at vertex B of the knot diagram. Assume that line segment CD is perpendicular to the knot diagram and intersects it in point Z . Then distance $d(B, Z) = \frac{1}{2} \tan(\frac{\theta}{2})$. In particular, if $\theta = \frac{\pi}{3}$, then $d(B, Z) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$.*

Proof. Using the geometry of the fold we see right triangle $\triangle BZC$ triangle has angle $\angle ZBC = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\theta}{2}$ and angle $\angle BCZ = \frac{\theta}{2}$. Since the ribbon has width $w = 1$, then $d(C, Z) = \frac{1}{2}$, and distance $d(B, Z) = \frac{1}{2} \tan(\frac{\theta}{2})$. \square

4. RIBBONLENGTH OF PRETZEL LINKS

In this section we give a construction for a folded ribbon pretzel link $P(p, q, r)$, we then prove that this construction has bounded folded ribbonlength (that is, does not depend on p, q or r). The construction has two features. First, we fold three strands of p, q and r half-twists using Construction 15. Second, we join these strands of half-twists together in a way that minimizes the amount of ribbon used to join them. The key idea here is to arrange the three strands of half-twists so they lie on top of one another. We do this concertina style as shown in Figure 12. Starting from the left image in Figure 12, we fold the q half-twists over to the left so they lie on the p half-twists. We then fold the r half-twists over to the right so they lie over the q half-twists, as shown in the center and right of Figure 12.




FIGURE 12. The three strands of half-twists in the $P(p, q, r)$ pretzel link are arranged in a new way. Figure reused with permission from [8].

Before we begin, we observe that for a $P(p, q, r)$ pretzel link we can reduce the number of possibilities of integers p, q, r that we need to think about. The length of k half-twists does not change if k is positive or negative, so we can ignore that choice. As shown in Construction 15, the arrangement of the ends of the strand of k half-twists changes depending on whether k is odd or even. We can thus reduce our constructions down to two cases. Case 1: p, q and r have the same parity found in Construction 22. Case 2: one of p, q , and r has the opposite parity to the other two found in Construction 23.

We use Construction 15 to construct the half-twists. As a reminder, this construction uses two equal width pieces of ribbon labeled AB and CD . Ribbon CD is folded in a long accordion fold, and ribbon AB is wound around this using a series of half-wraps. We will keep using this notation

for the ends of the half-twists, and add a subscript to indicate which half-twist we are referring to. Thus the ends of the p half-twists are labeled A_p, B_p, C_p, D_p .

Remark 21. Suppose that integers p and r have the same parity and that $|p| < |r|$. Suppose we have constructed both p and r half-twists following Construction 15. Observe that we can add an even number $(|r| - |p|)$ of accordion folds to the ends B_p and D_p so that the p and r half-twists now have the same folded ribbonlength. In particular, vertex w_r is the vertex corresponding to the final fold in both ends B_p and B_r . Thus, this move adds folded ribbonlength to the ends B_p and D_p , but does not change the number of half-twists. We will use this technique later, since this will simplify many constructions without adding too much extra folded ribbonlength. (The amount added is a multiple of the distance d — which can be made arbitrarily small.)

Construction 22. [$P(p, q, r)$ pretzel links — same parity] We construct a folded ribbon $P(p, q, r)$ pretzel link, where p, q and r all have the same parity.

Assume that p, q , and r are all odd. From Remark 8 we can assume, without loss of generality, that $|p| \leq |q| \leq |r|$. Use Construction 15 to construct three strands of p, q and r half-twists out of pieces of ribbon with equal width. Following Remark 21, add additional accordion folds to the ends B_p, D_p, B_q, D_q of the p and q half-twists so that they have the same folded ribbonlength as the r half-twist while maintaining the same number of half-twists. As mentioned above, we do this to simplify our ribbonlength calculations without adding too much additional folded ribbonlength.




FIGURE 13. The p, q and r half-twists ready to be joined to form a folded ribbon $P(p, q, r)$ pretzel link.

Figure 13 shows the three half-twists at this stage of the construction. Looking at the r half-twists, we note that vertex w_r is the vertex corresponding to the final accordion fold of end B_r , and vertex E_r is the vertex corresponding to the final accordion fold of end D_r . We use similar notation for the q and r half-twists, where w_r is the final vertex in each of the half-twists due to the extra accordion folds. Figure 13 also shows strands of half-twists that are positive and negative.

In order to complete the pretzel knot, we join the ends of the strands of half-twists in a cyclic order (as shown in Figure 12). Namely, we need to join:

- (1) ends C_p and A_q , and ends B_p and D_q of the p and q half-twists,
- (2) ends C_q and A_r , and ends B_q and D_r of the q and r half-twists,
- (3) ends A_p and C_r , and ends D_p and B_r of the p and r half-twists.

Join 1: Joining ends C_p and A_p , and ends B_p and D_q of the p and q half-twists.

Step 1: Rotate the strand of q half-twists by π radians along the axis of the accordion folds (so they are now upside down). Next, move them so they lie to the left of the p half-twists. This is shown on the left in Figure 14. Notice in the figure that the odd fold line o_q of the q half-twists is to the right of the even fold line e_q , and the odd fold line o_p of the p half-twists is to the left of the even fold line e_p .

Step 2: Place the q half-twists on top of the p half-twists so that the odd fold lines coincide ($o_q = o_p = o$), and so that the start vertices v_S coincide. This is shown on the right in Figure 14. There is a lot going on in this figure, notice that the vertices w_r of the q and p half-twists also coincide. The ends we need to join together (C_p to A_q , and B_p to D_q) all lie on the right side of the overlapping half-twists.




FIGURE 14. On the left, the flipped q half-twists have been placed to the left of the p half-twists. On the right, the q half-twists have been carefully positioned on top of the p half-twists.

Step 3: Ends A_q to C_p coincide. So we join them together along a fold line with fold angle 0, one end of which touches the even fold line e_p . This is shown in Figure 14 right, with the fold line passing through point Z on the knot diagram. Point Y is the point near v_S where the knot diagram intersects with even fold line e_p , thus $d(v_S, Y) = d$. From Lemma 20 we have $d(Y, Z) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$.

Thus this join adds $2d(v_S, Z) = 2d + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ units to the folded ribbonlength.

Step 4: We join end D_q to B_p , by starting with end D_q at vertex E_q , then fold end D_q with a left underfold with fold angle $\pi/3$ at vertex T , as shown in Figure 15. We have chosen vertex T so the lower side-edge of the ribbon coincides with the even fold line e_q . Point S has been chosen so that line segment SE_q is perpendicular to the even fold line e_q . We have fulfilled the hypotheses of Lemma 19, thus distance $d(E_q, T) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$,




FIGURE 15. The flipped q half-twists have been rotated to show how end D_p is folded to join end B_p . The p half-twists have been removed for clarity.

Step 5: We make a second fold in end D_q , this time a right underfold with fold angle $\pi/3$ at vertex R . This vertex has been chosen so the end D_q coincides with end B_p . This means points w_{r-1} , w_r and R are collinear. We join ends B_p to end D_q together after vertex R with a fold of fold angle 0, such that one end of this fold line coincides with the fold line through R . This is shown in Figure 15, albeit not to scale. The geometry of this “join” fold line is the same as in Lemma 20, thus the distance from R to the fold line is $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$.

Step 6: We show that the ribbonlength needed to join ends B_p and D_q is $\frac{5}{\sqrt{3}}$. Start with end D_q , and observe from the geometry of the construction that there is a parallelogram $w_{r-1}E_qTR$. We know from Lemma 14 that $d(w_{r+1}, E_q) = d(v_S, v_E) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$, and $d(w_{r-1}, w_{r+1}) = d$. Thus, $d(T, R) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} + d$. Thus up to vertex R , end D_q adds $d(E_q, T) + d(T, R) = \frac{3}{\sqrt{3}} + d$ extra ribbonlength. We see end B_p adds on $d(w_r, R) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} - d$ to the folded ribbonlength, since $d(w_{r-1}, R) = d(E_q, T) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. After vertex R , use Step 5 to deduce that both ends combined add $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ to the folded ribbonlength.

Step 7: Altogether we see, from Steps 3–6, that Join 1 adds $2d + \frac{6}{\sqrt{3}}$ to the folded ribbonlength.

Note: In Step 5, if we fold end D_q at vertex R with a left underfold towards vertex w_r , the fold angle is $2\pi/3$. One end of the fold line lies on the line e_q . However the end B_p starts at vertex w_r on the line $o = o_q$. Thus we can not join ends D_q and B_p without some additional folding. The choices we have made in Steps 4 and 5 eliminate this concern.

Join 2: Joining ends C_q and A_r , and ends B_q and D_r of the q and r half-twists.

Step 1: We now join the flipped q half-twists to the r half-twists. These are shown on the left of Figure 16 (over the page). We next place the r half-twists on top of the flipped q half-twists so that the odd fold lines coincide ($o_q = o_r = o$), and so that the start vertices v_S coincide. This means the vertices w_r of the q and r half-twists also coincide. This is shown on the right in Figure 16. This time, the ends we need to join together (C_q to A_r and B_q to D_r) all lie on the left side of the overlapping twists.

Step 2: Ends C_q to A_r coincide, so we join them with a fold line of fold angle 0, one end of which starts at the even fold line e_q . This is shown in Figure 16 right, with the fold line passing through point Z on the knot diagram. Following similar reasoning to Step 3 in Join 1, joining C_q to A_r adds $2d(v_S, Z) = 2d + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ units to the folded ribbonlength.




FIGURE 16. On the left, the flipped q half-twists are to the left of the r half-twists. On the right, the r half-twists have been carefully placed on top of the q half-twists.

Step 3: We join end D_r to B_q using a similar fold pattern to those described in Steps 4 and 5 of the Join 1 case. However, this time end D_r has a right underfold with fold angle $\pi/3$ followed by a left underfold with fold angle $\pi/3$. The same computations show that the amount of ribbonlength added here is $\frac{5}{\sqrt{3}}$.

Step 4: Altogether, we see Join 2 adds $2d + \frac{6}{\sqrt{3}}$ to the folded ribbonlength.

Join 3: Joining ends A_p and C_r , and ends D_p and B_r of the p and r half-twists.

Step 1: At this point in the construction, the r half-twists lie over the p half-twists (with the q half-twists between them). Here, the start vertices v_S and the vertices w_r coincide, and the respective odd and even fold lines coincide, so that $o_p = o_r = o$ and $e_p = e_r = e$. This is shown on the left in Figure 17.

Step 2: We join at ends A_p and C_r . First, fold end A_p at vertex v_S along a fold line which is parallel to the odd fold line o , so that end A_p lies under everything. At this stage, end A_p coincides with end C_r . Second, join ends A_p and C_r with a fold line of fold angle 0, one end of which intersects the even fold line e . As before this new fold line passes through point Z on the knot diagram. Using the same reasoning as in Joins 1 and 2, joining A_p and C_r adds $2d + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ to the folded ribbonlength.

Step 3: We next join end D_p to B_r . Start with end D_p at vertex E_p , then fold a right underfold with fold angle $\pi/3$ in end D_p at vertex U . Here, U is chosen so that the upper side-edge of ribbon D_p coincides with the even fold lines e . We add another right underfold with fold angle $\pi/3$ at vertex W . Here W has been chosen so that W , w_r and w_{r+1} are collinear. After vertex W , end D_p coincides with end B_r . We join end D_p with end B_r with a fold line with fold angle 0, one end of which touches the even fold line e . This new fold line intersects the knot diagram at point Z . The geometry of this “join” fold line is the same as in Lemma 20, thus $d(w_{r+1}, Z) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$.

Step 4: We show that the ribbonlength needed to join ends D_p and B_r is $\frac{6}{\sqrt{3}} + d$. To find the folded ribbonlength added by end D_p we traverse three edges along the trapezoid $E_p U W w_{r+1}$. We know from Construction 15 and Lemma 14 that $d(w_{r+1}, E_p) = d(v_S, v_E) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$. Suppose that




FIGURE 17. On the left, the r half-twists lie directly over the p half-twists (with the q half-twists between them). On the right, the r half-twists have been rotated to show how end D_p is folded to join end B_r .

we construct point V on line segment WU so that E_pV is perpendicular to it. Then in right triangle $\triangle E_pVU$, we know from Lemma 19 that $d(E_p, U) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ and $d(U, V) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$. Using symmetry we see end D_p traverses the knot from E_p to w_{r+1} in distance $d_K(E_p, w_{r+1}) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{2}{2\sqrt{3}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} = \frac{5}{\sqrt{3}}$. We also know $d(w_{r+1}, Z) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$. Therefore, end D_p adds $\frac{5}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$, while end B_r adds $d(w_r, w_{r+1}) + d(w_{r+1}, Z) = d + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$ to the folded ribbonlength.

Step 5: Altogether we see, from Steps 2–4, that Join 3 adds $3d + \frac{7}{\sqrt{3}}$ to the folded ribbonlength.

We end this construction by observing that the case where p , q and r are all even is almost identical, however ends A and B are one side of the half-twists and ends C and D are on the other. \square

Construction 23. [$P(p, q, r)$ pretzel links — one of opposite parity] We construct a $P(p, q, r)$ pretzel link, where one of p , q , r is of opposite parity to the other two. From Remark 8, we can assume without loss of generality, that p and r are odd and q is even. Use Construction 15 to construct strands of p , q and r half-twists. Let us assume that $|p| \leq |r|$ and $|q| \leq |r|$. Following Remark 21, add additional accordion folds to the ends B_p , D_p of the p half-twists so the p and r half-twists have the same ribbonlength. Also add extra accordion folds as needed to the ends B_q , D_q of the q half-twists so that the q half-twists are $2d$ units of ribbonlength shorter than the r half-twists. This means that if the vertex of the final fold of the r -half-twists is w_r , then the vertex of the final fold of the q half-twists is w_{r-1} . This is illustrated in Figure 18. We make these assumptions to simplify our ribbonlength calculations without adding too much additional ribbonlength. Moreover, we can make these assumptions without loss of generality. For example, if $|p| \leq |r| \leq |q|$, then we can add additional accordion folds to the p and r half-twists until the geometry matches the assumptions above.




FIGURE 18. The p , q and r half-twists where q is even. These are arranged ready for the ends to be connected to form a pretzel knot.

In order to complete the pretzel knot, we join the ends of the strands of half-twists in a cyclic order (as shown in Figure 12). Namely, we need to join:

- (1) ends C_p and A_q , and ends B_p and B_q of the p and q half-twists,
- (2) ends C_q and A_r , and ends D_q and D_r of the q and r half-twists,
- (3) ends A_p and C_r , and ends D_p and B_r of the p and r half-twists.

Join 1: Joining ends C_p and A_q , and ends B_p and B_q of the p and q half-twists.

Step 1: First, rotate the strand of q half-twists by π radians along the axis of the accordion folds (so they now lie upside down). Second, move them so they lie to the left of the p half-twists. Finally, place the q half-twists on top of the p half-twists so that the odd lines $o_p = o_q = o$ coincide. Similarly, the start vertices v_S coincide and the vertices w_r coincide. This is shown on the right in Figure 19. The ends we need to join together (C_p to A_q , and B_p to B_q) all lie on the right side of the overlapping half-twists.




FIGURE 19. On the right, the flipped q half-twists have been carefully positioned on top of the p half-twists.

Step 2: Since ends A_q to C_p coincide, we join them along a fold line with fold angle 0, one end of which touches the even fold line e_p . This is shown in Figure 19 right, with the fold line passing through point Z on the knot diagram. Point Y is the point near v_S where the knot diagram intersects with even fold line e_p , thus $d(v_S, Y) = d$. From Lemma 20 we have $d(Y, Z) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$. Thus joining A_q to C_p adds $2d(v_S, Z) = 2d + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ units to the folded ribbonlength.

Step 3: Note that ends B_p and B_q coincide since they are parallel and end B_q passes through vertex w_r and end B_p starts at vertex w_r . We join these ends along a fold line with fold angle 0, one end of which touches the even fold line e_p . This is shown in Figure 19 right, with the fold line passing through point X on the knot diagram near w_{r+1} . From Lemma 20, we know $d(w_{r+1}, X) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$. Thus, end B_q adds $d(w_{r-1}, w_{r+1}) + d(w_{r+1}, X) = 2d + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$, and end B_p adds $d(w_r, w_{r+1}) + d(w_{r+1}, X) = d + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$ to the folded ribbonlength.

Step 4: Altogether we see, from Steps 2 and 3, that Join 1 adds a total of $5d + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ units of folded ribbonlength.

Join 2: Joining ends C_q and A_r , and ends D_q and D_r of the q and r half-twists.

Step 1: We now join the flipped q half-twists to the r half-twists. To do this, we place the r half-twists on top of the flipped q half-twists so that the odd fold lines coincide ($o_q = o_r = o$), and the start vertices v_S coincide. This means the vertices w_r of the q and r half-twists also coincide. This is shown on the right in Figure 20. This time, the ends we need to join together (C_q to A_r and D_q to D_r) all lie on the left side of the overlapping twists.




FIGURE 20. On the right, the r half-twists are positioned over the flipped q half-twists.

Step 2: Ends C_q and A_r coincide, so we join them along a fold line of fold angle 0, one end of which touches the even fold line e_q . This is shown on the right in Figure 20, with the fold line passing through point Z on the knot diagram. Following similar reasoning to Join 1, joining C_q to A_r adds $2d(v_S, Z) = 2d + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ units to the folded ribbonlength.




FIGURE 21. The overlapping q and r half-twists have been rotated to show how end D_r is folded to join end D_q .

Step 3: To join end D_r to D_q , we need a similar folding pattern to the one in Lemma 19. The details are shown in Figure 21. Start by taking end D_r from vertex E_r , then make a right underfold at vertex R with fold angle $\pi/3$. Here, vertex R is chosen so the top side-edge of the end D_r coincides with the even fold line e_r . This means, by Lemma 19, that $d(E_r, R) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. We then travel distance d to vertex S and make a left underfold with fold angle $\pi/3$ in end D_q there. By construction, ends D_r and D_q coincide. We these together with a fold of fold angle 0, one end of which touches the fold line through vertex S . To simplify Figure 20, we have not shown the final “join” fold line. The geometry of this final fold line matches Lemma 20. Hence, we find end D_r adds $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + d + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$ to the ribbonlength, and end D_q adds $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} - d + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$ to the ribbonlength.

Step 4: Altogether we see, from Steps 2 and 3, that Join 2 adds a total of $\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} + 2d$ units of folded ribbonlength.

Join 3: Joining ends A_p and C_r , and ends D_p and B_r of the p and r half-twists. The geometry is identical to Join 3 from Construction 22. Thus Join 3 adds $3d + \frac{7}{\sqrt{3}}$ to the folded ribbonlength. \square

Theorem 24. Any $P(p, q, r)$ pretzel link type L , contains a folded ribbon link L_w , such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, the folded ribbonlength is

$$\text{Rib}(L_w) = \begin{cases} \frac{55}{\sqrt{3}} + \epsilon & \text{when } p, q, r \text{ have the same parity,} \\ \frac{51}{\sqrt{3}} + \epsilon \leq 29.45 & \text{when one of } p, q, r \text{ has the opposite parity to the others.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We find the folded ribbonlength of $P(p, q, r) = L$ pretzel link from Construction 22. Here we assumed p, q and r have the same parity and $|p| \leq |q| \leq |r|$. By Proposition 17, the folded ribbonlength of the three strands of half-twists is $3(\frac{12}{\sqrt{3}} + 2|r|d - d)$. From Construction 22 we know that

- (1) joining A_q to C_p and D_q to B_p adds $\frac{6}{\sqrt{3}} + 2d$,
- (2) joining C_q to A_r and D_r to B_q also adds $\frac{6}{\sqrt{3}} + 2d$,
- (3) joining A_p to C_r and D_p to B_r adds $\frac{7}{\sqrt{3}} + 3d$.

Altogether we find that the folded ribbonlength of the folded ribbon pretzel link L_w is

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Rib}(L_w) &= \frac{36}{\sqrt{3}} + 6|r|d - 3d + \frac{6}{\sqrt{3}} + 2d + \frac{6}{\sqrt{3}} + 2d + \frac{7}{\sqrt{3}} + 3d \\ &= \frac{55}{\sqrt{3}} + d(6|r| + 4) \leq 31.755\end{aligned}$$

We find the folded ribbonlength of $P(p, q, r) = L$ pretzel link from Construction 23. Here, we assumed one of p, q , and r has the opposite parity to the other ones. Without loss of generality, we made other assumptions, for example that p, r are odd and q is even and $|p| \leq |r|$ and $|q| \leq |r|$. By Proposition 17, the folded ribbonlength of the three strands of half-twists is $2(\frac{12}{\sqrt{3}} + 2|r|d - d) + \frac{12}{\sqrt{3}} + 2|r|d - 3d$. From Construction 23 we know that

- (1) joining A_q to C_p and B_q to B_p adds $5d + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$,
- (2) joining C_q to A_r and D_r to D_q also adds $2d + \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}$,
- (3) joining A_p to C_r and D_p to B_r adds $3d + \frac{7}{\sqrt{3}}$.

Altogether we find that the folded ribbonlength of the folded ribbon pretzel link L_w is

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Rib}(L_w) &= \frac{36}{\sqrt{3}} + 6|r|d - 5d + 5d + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} + 2d + \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} + 3d + \frac{7}{\sqrt{3}} \\ &= \frac{49}{\sqrt{3}} + d(6|r| + 5) \leq 28.291\end{aligned}$$

We achieve statement of the theorem by simply picking d small enough after choosing ϵ . \square

Since Theorem 24 holds for all $\epsilon > 0$, Theorem 4 immediately follows. That is, the infimal ribbonlength of any $P(p, q, r)$ pretzel link is

$$\text{Rib}([P(p, q, r)]) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{55}{\sqrt{3}} \leq 31.755 & \text{when } p, q, r \text{ have the same parity,} \\ \frac{49}{\sqrt{3}} \leq 28.291 & \text{when one of } p, q, r \text{ has the opposite parity to the others.} \end{cases}$$

What about n -strand pretzel links $P(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$? There are more cases to be thought through here, depending on the parity of the p_i and whether n is odd or even. However, it is relatively straightforward to find some kind upper bound on folded ribbonlength, even though it is not the best possible.

Theorem 25. *Any n strand pretzel link type $P(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = L$, contains a folded ribbon link L_w , such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, the folded ribbonlength is*

$$\text{Rib}(L_w) \leq \frac{18n + 1}{\sqrt{3}} + \epsilon.$$

Proof. Figure 2 shows how the n strands of half-twists of the pretzel link L are connected in a cyclic manner. We can extend Constructions 22 and 23 to L by continuing to flip over every other strand of half-twists. We also arrange for each successive strand of half-twists so that the odd fold lines o_{p_i} coincide and so that all start vertices v_S coincide. We know by Proposition 17 that the folded ribbonlength of the n strands of half-twists combined is $\leq n(\frac{12}{\sqrt{3}} + 2|k|d - d)$, where $k = \max\{|p_1|, |p_2|, \dots, |p_n|\}$. Next, consider two consecutive strands of half-twists, the p_i and

p_{i+1} half-twists (for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$). As shown in Theorem 24, when we join the right ends of the strand of p_i half-twists to the left ends of the strand of p_{i+1} twists, we use at most $\frac{6}{\sqrt{3}} + 2d$ units of folded ribbonlength. Assuming n is odd, when we join the left ends of the p_1 half-twists to the right ends of the p_n half-twists we use at most $3d + \frac{7}{\sqrt{3}}$ units of folded ribbonlength. If n is even, a moment's thought shows we use far less folded ribbonlength than in the n is odd case. Altogether we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Rib}(L_w) &\leq n\left(\frac{12}{\sqrt{3}} + 2|k|d - d\right) + (n-1)\left(\frac{6}{\sqrt{3}} + 2d\right) + 3d + \frac{7}{\sqrt{3}} \\ &= \frac{18n+1}{\sqrt{3}} + d(2n|k| - n + 2n - 2 + 3) \\ &= \frac{18n+1}{\sqrt{3}} + d(2n|k| + n + 1). \end{aligned}$$

We achieve statement of the theorem by simply picking d small enough after choosing ϵ . \square

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, Theorem 5 immediately follows. That is, the infimal folded ribbonlength of any n -strand pretzel link type $P(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$, is $\text{Rib}([P(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)]) \leq \frac{18n+1}{\sqrt{3}}$.

How do these results compare with the previous bounds? We know from [4] that 3-strand pretzel links $P(p, q, r)$ have infimal folded ribbonlength $\text{Rib}([P(p, q, r)]) \leq (|p| + |q| + |r|) + 6$. This bound beats Theorem 4 for all p, q, r where

$$|p| + |q| + |r| \leq \begin{cases} 25 & \text{when } p, q, r \text{ have the same parity,} \\ 22 & \text{when one of } p, q, r \text{ has the opposite parity to the others.} \end{cases}$$

We know that the crossing number $\text{Cr}(P(p, q, r)) \leq |p| + |q| + |r|$, thus the uniform bound of Theorem 4 only comes into its own once the crossing number is reasonably large. Similarly for n -strand pretzel link $L = P(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$, the upper bound of $\text{Rib}([L]) \leq (\sum_{i=1}^n |p_i|) + 2n$ from [4] holds for small crossing knots, that is when $\sum_{i=1}^n |p_i| \leq \frac{18n+1}{\sqrt{3}} - 2n$.

5. TABLE OF KNOTS WITH THEIR FOLDED RIBBONLENGTH

In this section we create several tables which give with the best known upper bounds on folded ribbonlength for each knot type with crossing number ≤ 9 . Before we do this, we repeat the upper bounds that we use from Section 1.

- (1) Any $(2, q)$ -torus knot has $\text{Rib}([T(2, q)]) \leq q + 3$ from [4].
- (2) Any (p, q) -torus link L has $\text{Rib}([L]) \leq 2p$ where $p \geq q \geq 2$ from [8].
- (3) Any twist knot T_n , with n half-twists has $\text{Rib}([T_n]) \leq n + 6$ from [4].
- (4) Any (p, q, r) -pretzel link L has $\text{Rib}([L]) \leq (|p| + |q| + |r|) + 6$ from [4].
- (5) Any n -strand pretzel link L constructed from (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) half-twists, has $\text{Rib}([L]) \leq (\sum_{i=1}^n |p_i|) + 2n$ from [4].
- (6) Any 2-bridge knot with crossing number $\text{Cr}(K)$ has $\text{Rib}([K]) \leq 2\text{Cr}(K) + 2$ from [21].
- (7) Any knot K has $\text{Rib}([K]) \leq 2.5\text{Cr}(K) + 1$ from [22].

Before moving to the tables, here are a few remarks. Firstly, the 2-bridge knot bound in (6) is always smaller than the bound for any knot given in (7). Secondly, for small crossing knots, we

mostly use the bounds for particular families of knots. Thirdly, the uniform upper bounds on the folded ribbonlength for the $(2, q)$ torus and twist knots⁴ given in Section 1 are only relevant when the crossing number is 11 and higher. As discussed in the Section 4, the uniform bounds on the folded pretzel links aren't relevant for the small crossing knots we consider here. Finally, when using the 2-bridge knot bound, we use the notation for 2-bridge knots found in KnotInfo [24]. That is, any 2-bridge knot can be reconstructed from the fraction p/q (where $0 < q < p$) by finding a continued fraction expansion of p/q (see for instance [5]). We denote a 2-bridge knot, which is also known as a *rational knot*, with the notation $R(p, q)$.

In Table 1, we have listed the upper bounds on the folded ribbonlength of knots with crossing number ≤ 6 . In the “Notes” column we have shown which formula we have used to find the upper bounds. These results have already appeared in [4], but we include them here for completeness. It may well be possible to reduce the folded ribbonlength for the 6_2 and 6_3 knots.

Table 2 contains the upper bounds on folded ribbonlength of knots with crossing number 7 and 8. Only three knots use the universal upper bound formula: $\text{Rib}([K]) \leq 2.5 \cdot \text{Cr}(K) + 1$. Namely, the three non-rational and non-pretzel 8 crossing knots: 8_{16} , 8_{17} , and 8_{18} .

The 9 crossing knots are found in Table 3. There are 49 knots with crossing number 9. Of these, 18 knots are neither pretzel nor rational knots and use the universal upper bound of $\text{Rib}([K]) \leq 2.5 \cdot 9 + 1 = 23.5$. These are 9_{22} , 9_{25} , 9_{29} , 9_{30} , 9_{32} , 9_{33} , 9_{34} , 9_{36} , 9_{38} , 9_{39} , 9_{40} , 9_{41} , 9_{42} , 9_{43} , 9_{44} , 9_{45} , 9_{47} , and 9_{49} . To save room, we have listed them in Table 3 under “Others”.

When we look at 10 crossing knots, the situation is very simple. No one has yet extended pretzel notation to knots with ≥ 10 crossings (or to links). We know knots 1 through 45 are rational and knots 46 through 165 are not rational. We have also separated out the single twist knot and two torus knots. We have summarized the information in Table 4.

Other knots and links with known folded ribbonlength bounds can be found in Table 5. The link nomenclature follows LinkInfo [25]. Some of this data previously appeared in [4].

TABLE 1. Folded ribbonlength of knots with crossing number ≤ 6 .

Knot	$\text{Rib}([K]) \leq ???$	Notes
0_1	0	
3_1	6	$\text{Rib}([T(2, 3)]) \leq 3 + 3 = 6$
4_1	8	$\text{Rib}([T_2]) \leq 2 + 6 = 8$
5_1	8	$\text{Rib}([T(2, 5)]) \leq 5 + 3 = 8$
5_2	9	$\text{Rib}([T_3]) \leq 3 + 6 = 9$
6_1	10	$\text{Rib}([T_4]) \leq 4 + 6 = 10$
6_2	12	$\text{Rib}([P(1, 2, 3)]) \leq 1 + 2 + 3 + 6 = 12$
6_3	14	$\text{Rib}([R(13, 5)]) \leq 2 \cdot 6 + 2 = 14$

TABLE 2. Folded ribbonlength of knots with crossing number 7 and 8.

Knot	$\text{Rib}([K]) \leq ???$	Notes
7 ₁	10	$\text{Rib}([T(2, 7)]) \leq 7 + 3 = 10$
7 ₂	11	$\text{Rib}([T_5]) \leq 5 + 6 = 11$
7 ₃	16	$\text{Rib}([R(13, 3)]) \leq 2 \cdot 7 + 2 = 16$
7 ₄	13	$\text{Rib}([P(-3, -1, -3)]) \leq 3 + 1 + 3 + 6 = 13$
7 ₅	15	$\text{Rib}([P(2, 1, 1, 3)]) \leq 2 + 1 + 1 + 3 + 8 = 15$
7 ₆	16	$\text{Rib}([R(19, 7)]) \leq 2 \cdot 7 + 2 = 16$
7 ₇	16	$\text{Rib}([R(21, 8)]) \leq 2 \cdot 7 + 2 = 16$
8 ₁	12	$\text{Rib}([T_6]) \leq 6 + 6 = 12$
8 ₂	14	$\text{Rib}([P(1, 2, 5)]) \leq 1 + 2 + 5 + 6 = 14$
8 ₃	18	$\text{Rib}([R(17, 4)]) \leq 2 \cdot 8 + 2 = 18$
8 ₄	14	$\text{Rib}([P(-1, -3, -4)]) \leq 1 + 3 + 4 + 6 = 14$
8 ₅	14	$\text{Rib}([P(2, 3, 3)]) \leq 2 + 3 + 3 + 6 = 14$
8 ₆	18	$\text{Rib}([R(23, 7)]) \leq 2 \cdot 8 + 2 = 18$
8 ₇	17	$\text{Rib}([P(-4, -1, 3, -1)]) \leq 4 + 1 + 3 + 1 + 8 = 17$
8 ₈	18	$\text{Rib}([R(25, 9)]) \leq 2 \cdot 8 + 2 = 18$
8 ₉	17	$\text{Rib}([P(3, 1, -4, 1)]) \leq 3 + 1 + 4 + 1 + 8 = 17$
8 ₁₀	17	$\text{Rib}([P(-3, -2, 3, -1)]) \leq 3 + 2 + 3 + 1 + 8 = 17$
8 ₁₁	18	$\text{Rib}([R(27, 10)]) \leq 2 \cdot 8 + 2 = 18$
8 ₁₂	18	$\text{Rib}([R(29, 12)]) \leq 2 \cdot 8 + 2 = 18$
8 ₁₃	18	$\text{Rib}([R(29, 3)]) \leq 2 \cdot 8 + 2 = 18$
8 ₁₄	18	$\text{Rib}([R(31, 12)]) \leq 2 \cdot 8 + 2 = 18$
8 ₁₅	20	$\text{Rib}([P(3, -1, -2, -1, 3)]) \leq 3 + 1 + 2 + 1 + 3 + 10 = 20$
8 ₁₆	21	$\text{Rib}([8_{16}]) \leq 2.5 \cdot 8 + 1 = 21$
8 ₁₇	21	$\text{Rib}([8_{17}]) \leq 2.5 \cdot 8 + 1 = 21$
8 ₁₈	21	$\text{Rib}([8_{18}]) \leq 2.5 \cdot 8 + 1 = 21$
8 ₁₉	8	$\text{Rib}([T(4, 3)]) \leq 2 \cdot 4 = 8$
8 ₂₀	17	$\text{Rib}([P(3, -2, -3, 1)]) \leq 3 + 2 + 3 + 1 + 8 = 17$
8 ₂₁	17	$\text{Rib}([P(3, 3, -1, -2)]) \leq 3 + 3 + 1 + 2 + 8 = 17$

⁴For $(2, q)$ torus knots $\text{Rib}([T(2, q)]) \leq 8\sqrt{3} \leq 13.86$ is only relevant for odd $q \geq 11$, that is crossing number 11 and up. For twist knots we $\text{Rib}([T_n]) \leq 9\sqrt{3} + 2 \leq 17.59$ for n odd and $\text{Rib}([T_n]) \leq 8\sqrt{3} + 2 \leq 15.86$ for n even. These are relevant once $n \geq 10$, equivalently crossing number 12 and higher.

TABLE 3. Folded ribbonlength of knots with crossing number 9.

Knot	$\text{Rib}([K]) \leq ???$	Notes
9_1	12	$\text{Rib}([T(2, 9)]) \leq 9 + 3 = 12$
9_2	13	$\text{Rib}([T_7]) \leq 7 + 6 = 13$
9_3	16	$\text{Rib}([P(1, -4, 5)]) \leq 1 + 4 + 5 + 6 = 16$
9_4	16	$\text{Rib}([P(-1, 5, -4)]) \leq 1 + 5 + 4 + 6 = 16$
9_5	15	$\text{Rib}([P(-1, -3, -5)]) \leq 1 + 3 + 5 + 6 = 15$
9_6	19	$\text{Rib}([P(-1, -1, 3, 6)]) \leq 1 + 1 + 3 + 6 + 8 = 19$
9_7	20	$\text{Rib}([R(29, 9)]) \leq 2 \cdot 9 + 2 = 20$
9_8	20	$\text{Rib}([R(31, 11)]) \leq 2 \cdot 9 + 2 = 20$
9_9	17	$\text{Rib}([P(4, 1, 1, 3)]) \leq 4 + 1 + 1 + 3 + 8 = 17$
9_{10}	19	$\text{Rib}([P(-3, -1, -1, -1, -3)]) \leq 3 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 3 + 10 = 19$
9_{11}	20	$\text{Rib}([R(33, 14)]) \leq 2 \cdot 9 + 2 = 20$
9_{12}	20	$\text{Rib}([R(35, 13)]) \leq 2 \cdot 9 + 2 = 20$
9_{13}	20	$\text{Rib}([R(37, 11)]) \leq 2 \cdot 9 + 2 = 20$
9_{14}	20	$\text{Rib}([R(37, 8)]) \leq 2 \cdot 9 + 2 = 20$
9_{15}	20	$\text{Rib}([R(39, 16)]) \leq 2 \cdot 9 + 2 = 20$
9_{16}	17	$\text{Rib}([P(2, 3, 1, 3)]) \leq 2 + 3 + 1 + 3 + 8 = 17$
9_{17}	20	$\text{Rib}([R(39, 14)]) \leq 2 \cdot 9 + 2 = 20$
9_{18}	20	$\text{Rib}([R(41, 17)]) \leq 2 \cdot 9 + 2 = 20$
9_{19}	20	$\text{Rib}([R(41, 16)]) \leq 2 \cdot 9 + 2 = 20$
9_{20}	20	$\text{Rib}([R(41, 11)]) \leq 2 \cdot 9 + 2 = 20$
9_{21}	20	$\text{Rib}([R(43, 12)]) \leq 2 \cdot 9 + 2 = 20$
9_{23}	20	$\text{Rib}([R(45, 19)]) \leq 2 \cdot 9 + 2 = 20$
9_{24}	20	$\text{Rib}([P(-3, -1, 3, -1, -2)]) \leq 3 + 1 + 3 + 1 + 2 + 10 = 20$
9_{26}	20	$\text{Rib}([R(47, 18)]) \leq 2 \cdot 9 + 2 = 20$
9_{27}	20	$\text{Rib}([R(49, 19)]) \leq 2 \cdot 9 + 2 = 20$
9_{28}	23	$\text{Rib}([P(-1, -1, 3, -2, -1, 3)]) \leq 1 + 1 + 3 + 2 + 1 + 3 + 12 = 23$
9_{31}	20	$\text{Rib}([R(55, 21)]) \leq 2 \cdot 9 + 2 = 20$
9_{35}	15	$\text{Rib}([P(-3, -3, -3)]) \leq 3 + 3 + 3 + 6 = 15$
9_{37}	21	$\text{Rib}([P(-1, 3, -3, 3, -1)]) \leq 1 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 1 + 10 = 21$
9_{46}	15	$\text{Rib}([P(3, 3, -3)]) \leq 3 + 3 + 3 + 6 = 15$
9_{48}	21	$\text{Rib}([P(3, -1, 3, -1, 3)]) \leq 3 + 1 + 3 + 1 + 3 + 10 = 21$
Others	23.5	$\text{Rib}([K]) \leq 2.5 \cdot 9 + 1 = 23.5$

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The folded ribbon project has developed over a number of years, and the author's research has been generously funded by Lenfest grants from Washington & Lee University (W&L) over many

TABLE 4. Folded ribbonlength of knots with crossing number 10.

Knot	$\text{Rib}([K]) \leq ???$	Notes
10_1	13	$\text{Rib}([T(2, 3)]) \leq 10 + 3 = 13$
10_2	14	$\text{Rib}([T_8]) \leq 8 + 6 = 14$
10_{124}	10	$\text{Rib}([T(5, 3)]) \leq 2 \cdot 5 = 10$
10_3 through 10_{45}	22	$\text{Rib}([K]) \leq 2 \cdot 10 + 2 = 22$
10_{46} through 10_{165}	26	$\text{Rib}([K]) \leq 2.5 \cdot 10 + 2 = 26$

TABLE 5. Folded ribbonlength of other knots and links.

Knot/link table	Name	$\text{Rib}([K]) \leq ???$	Notes
12n242	$P(-2, 3, 7)$	18	$\text{Rib}[P(-2, 3, 7)] \leq 2 + 3 + 7 + 6 = 18$
L2a1	Hopf link	4	$\text{Rib}[\text{Hopf}] \leq 4$
L4a1	$(2, 4)$ -torus	7	$\text{Rib}([T(2, 4)]) \leq 4 + 3 = 7$
L6a3	$(2, 6)$ -torus	9	$\text{Rib}([T(2, 6)]) \leq 6 + 3 = 9$

years. A special thanks go to the author's many W&L student collaborators on the folded ribbon project, most recently Zhicheng Chen, Kyle Patterson, and Timi Patterson. The author is very grateful for the support and encouragement of Jason Cantarella and Richard Schwartz. All the figures in this paper were made using Google Draw.

REFERENCES

- [1] Brienne Elisabeth Brown and Richard Evan Schwartz. The crisscross and the cup: Two short 3-twist paper moebius bands, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.10000>.
- [2] Gregory Buck and Jonathan Simon. Thickness and crossing number of knots. *Topology Appl.*, 91(3):245–257, 1999.
- [3] Jason Cantarella, Robert B. Kusner, and John M. Sullivan. On the minimum ropelength of knots and links. *Invent. Math.*, 150(2):257–286, 2002.
- [4] Zhicheng Chen, Elizabeth Denne, Kyle Patterson, and Timi Patterson. Ribbonlength upper bounds for small crossing knots and links, 2025. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.16190>.
- [5] Peter R. Cromwell. *Knots and links*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [6] Elizabeth Denne. Folded ribbon knots in the plane. In Colin Adams, Erica Flapan, Allison Henrich, Louis Kauffman, Lew Ludwig, and Sam Nelson, editors, *A Concise Encyclopedia of Knot Theory*, chapter 88, pages 877–897. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2021.
- [7] Elizabeth Denne. Ribbonlength and crossing number for folded ribbon knots. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 30(4):2150028, 21, 2021.
- [8] Elizabeth Denne, John Carr Haden, Troy Larsen, and Emily Meehan. Ribbonlength of families of folded ribbon knots. *Involve*, 15(4):591–628, 2022.
- [9] Elizabeth Denne, Mary Kamp, Rebecca Terry, and Xichen Zhu. Ribbonlength of folded ribbon unknots in the plane. In *Knots, links, spatial graphs, and algebraic invariants*, volume 689 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 37–51. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017.
- [10] Elizabeth Denne and Troy Larsen. Linking number and folded ribbon unknots. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 32(1):Paper No. 2350003, 42, 2023.
- [11] Elizabeth Denne and Timi Patterson. Bounded ribbonlength for knot families and multi-twist möbius bands, 2025. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.18370>.

- [12] Yuanan Diao, Claus Ernst, and Xingxing Yu. Hamiltonian knot projections and lengths of thick knots. *Topology Appl.*, 136(1-3):7–36, 2004.
- [13] Yuanan Diao, Claus Ernst, and Uta Ziegler. The linearity of the ropelengths of Conway algebraic knots in terms of their crossing numbers. *Kobe J. Math.*, 28(1-2):1–19, 2011.
- [14] Oscar Gonzalez and John H. Maddocks. Global curvature, thickness, and the ideal shapes of knots. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 96(9):4769–4773, 1999.
- [15] Aidan Hennessey. Constructing many-twist Möbius bands with small aspect ratios. *Comptes Rendus. Mathématique*, 362:1837–1845, 2024.
- [16] D.A. Johnson. *Paper Folding for the Mathematics Class*. Washington D.C. National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, 1957.
- [17] Inga Johnson and Allison Henrich. *An Interactive Introduction to Knot Theory*. Dover Publications, 2017.
- [18] Louis H. Kauffman. Minimal flat knotted ribbons. In *Physical and numerical models in knot theory*, volume 36 of *Ser. Knots Everything*, pages 495–506. World Sci. Publ., Singapore, 2005.
- [19] Brooke Kennedy, Thomas W. Mattman, Roberto Raya, and Dan Tating. Ribbonlength of torus knots. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 17(1):13–23, 2008.
- [20] Hyoungjun Kim, Sungjong No, and Hyungkee Yoo. Ribbonlength of twisted torus knots. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 31(12):Paper No. 2250092, 10, 2022.
- [21] Hyoungjun Kim, Sungjong No, and Hyungkee Yoo. Folded ribbonlength of 2-bridge knots. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 32(4):Paper No. 2350030, 11, 2023.
- [22] Hyoungjun Kim, Sungjong No, and Hyungkee Yoo. Linear upper bounds on the ribbonlength of knots and links, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.13572>.
- [23] Hwa Jeong Lee and Gyo Taek Jin. Arc index of pretzel knots of type $(-p, q, r)$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, 1:135–147, 2014.
- [24] Charles Livingston and Allison H. Moore. Knotinfo: Table of knot invariants. URL: knotinfo.org, October 16 2025.
- [25] Charles Livingston and Allison H. Moore. Linkinfo: Table of link invariants. URL: knotinfo.org, October 16 2025.
- [26] Noah Montgomery and Richard Evan Schwartz. The optimal twisted paper cylinder. *Advances in Geometry*, 25(4):439–445, 2025.
- [27] Richard Evan Schwartz. On nearly optimal paper Moebius bands. *Adv. Geom.*, 25(2):207–214, 2025.
- [28] Richard Evan Schwartz. The optimal paper Moebius band. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 201(1):291–305, 2025.
- [29] Grace Tian. Linear upper bound on the ribbonlength of torus knots and twist knots, 2018. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.02095>.
- [30] David Wells. *The Penguin dictionary of curious and interesting geometry*. Penguin Books, New York, 1991.

ELIZABETH DENNE: WASHINGTON & LEE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LEXINGTON VA
 Email address: dennee@wlu.edu
 URL: <https://elizabethdenne.academic.wlu.edu/>