

# EIGENFUNCTIONALS FOR POSITIVE OPERATORS

NICOLAS MONOD

**ABSTRACT.** We establish an eigenfunctional theorem for positive operators, evocative of the Krein–Rutman theorem. A more general version gives a joint eigenfunctional for commuting operators.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The following “eigenfunctional theorem” is an abstract algebraic counterpart to the fundamental Krein–Rutman eigenvector theorem [KR48], itself an infinite-dimensional version of the Perron–Frobenius principle [Per07, Fro12].

**Theorem A.** *Let  $V$  be a non-singular ordered vector space and  $T: V \rightarrow V$  any positive linear map.*

*For every  $v \geq 0$  with order-bounded orbit  $\langle T \rangle v$  there is a positive linear functional  $J$  on the orbit ideal  $V_{\langle T \rangle v}$  and a scalar  $t \geq 0$  such that*

$$J(Tu) = t J(u) \quad (\forall u \in V_{\langle T \rangle v}) \quad \text{and} \quad J(v) = 1.$$

*Moreover, we can choose  $J$  such that  $t \leq 1$ .*

A first motivation for Theorem A is that it enters the proof of a new characterization of amenable groups that we establish in [Mon25]. That criterion characterizes amenability not by invariance, but by stationarity. Specifically, applying Theorem A to a Markov operator, it is shown that a group  $G$  is amenable if and only if  $\ell^\infty(G)$  carries a stationary “conditional mean”; see [Mon25] for definitions.

In the above theorem,  $V$  is **non-singular** if for every  $v \geq 0$  in  $V$  there exists some positive linear functional which is non-zero on  $v$ . This holds in most familiar examples; for instance, it holds as soon as  $V$  admits a Hausdorff locally convex topology compatible with the order [Sch99, V.4.1]. We emphasize however that Theorem A makes no topological assumption; this is a notable difference with the Krein–Rutman theorem and its generalizations, which consider *compact* continuous linear operators on topological vector spaces.

As for the **orbit ideal**, it refers to the smallest vector subspace containing the orbit  $\langle T \rangle v = \{T^n v : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  and closed under order intervals. The orbit is said **order-bounded** if it admits some upper bound in  $V$ .

**Remark 1.** The mild assumptions of Theorem A cannot be dropped. First, without the non-singularity assumption there might not exist any non-zero positive functional  $J$  at all. Secondly,  $J$  cannot in general be defined on all of  $V$ ; consider e.g.  $v = \delta_0$  for the right shift  $T$  in  $V = \ell^\infty(\mathbf{Z})$ . Lastly, regarding the boundedness of the orbit, one could guess that it is assumed to ensure  $t \leq 1$ , but the situation is more interesting than that:

(i) Even if arbitrary eigenvalues  $t$  are allowed, the order-boundedness cannot be dropped completely. See Theorem 2 below.

(ii) Assuming order-bounded orbits, the additional statement  $t \leq 1$  is still not automatic. Consider again the right shift  $T$  on  $V = \ell^\infty(\mathbf{Z})$  with  $v = \delta_0$ . The orbit ideal  $V_{\langle T \rangle v}$  consist of the finitely supported functions on  $\mathbf{N}$ . Now every  $t \geq 0$  admits a functional  $J$  as above, namely  $J(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n u(n)$ , which is a finite sum.

Theorem A can also be viewed as a non-compact version of the Markov–Kakutani theorem [Mar36, Kak38]. Just like for Markov–Kakutani, we can more generally consider a commuting family of operators instead of a single one. We then obtain a joint eigenfunctional:

**Theorem B.** *Let  $V$  be a non-singular ordered vector space and let  $S$  be a commutative semigroup of positive linear maps  $V \rightarrow V$ .*

*For every  $v \geq 0$  with order-bounded  $S$ -orbit there is a positive linear functional  $J$  on the orbit ideal  $V_{Sv}$  and a semigroup homomorphism  $t: S \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that*

$$J(Tu) = t(T) J(u) \quad (\forall T \in S \ \forall u \in V_{Sv}) \quad \text{and} \quad J(v) = 1.$$

There are however fundamental differences between our setting of positive operators and the convex compact setting of Markov–Kakutani (with linear or affine maps). For fixed points in convex compact spaces, it is straightforward to go from commutativity to, for instance, solvable groups. In fact, a group or semigroup satisfies the fixed-point property for convex compact spaces *if and only if* it is amenable. This fails dramatically for positive operators, where already metabelian groups can fail to admit eigenfunctionals; see [Mon25] and [Mon17] for an extensive discussion.

*We do not even know whether the existence of eigenfunctionals is preserved under products of two groups or semigroups. We conjecture it is not.*

These stark differences are due to the fact that Theorems A and B rely on a combination of positivity and compactness techniques, as opposed to only compactness. This is analogous — and in fact related — to the differences between Martin and Poisson boundaries in the theory of Markov processes.

Finally, we state a technical variant of Theorem B. It is more cumbersome, but slightly more general and it turns out to have applications to the study of locally compact groups (which we plan to present in a forthcoming paper).

**Theorem C.** *Let  $S$  be a commutative semigroup of positive linear maps  $V \rightarrow V$  in an ordered vector space  $V$  and pick  $v \in V^+$ .*

Suppose that there is a positive linear functional on the orbit ideal  $V_{Sv}$  that is bounded on the  $S$ -orbit  $Sv$  and non-zero on  $v$ .

Then there is a positive linear functional  $J$  on  $V_{Sv}$  and a semigroup homomorphism  $t: S \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

$$J(Tu) = t(T) J(u) \quad (\forall T \in S \ \forall u \in V_{Sv}) \quad \text{and} \quad J(v) = 1.$$

The assumptions of Theorem C are satisfied in the setting of Theorem B. Indeed suppose that the orbit  $Sv$  of  $v \not\geq 0$  is order-bounded by some  $w \in V$  and that  $V$  is non-singular. Then there is a positive linear functional  $\zeta$  on  $V$  with  $\zeta(v) \neq 0$ . Consider the restriction of  $\zeta$  to  $V_{Sv}$ ; this is bounded by  $\zeta(w)$  on the orbit  $Sv$ .

To conclude this introduction, we illustrate that we cannot drop completely from Theorem A the assumption that the orbit is order-bounded, even if we allow arbitrary eigenvalues and keep all other assumptions.

**Example 2.** Let  $V$  be the ordered vector space of all finitely supported functions on  $\mathbf{N}$ ; it is non-singular and its dual can be identified with the space  $V^* = \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}$  of all functions. Consider the positive linear operator  $T: V \rightarrow V$  defined by  $T(\delta_n) = \delta_0 + (n+1)\delta_{n+1}$  on the standard basis and take  $v = \delta_0$ . Then the orbit ideal  $V_{\langle T \rangle v}$  is all of  $V$ . We claim that regardless of the value  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , possibly greater than one, there is no positive linear functional  $J$  on  $V$  with  $J(v) = 1$  and such that  $J(Tu) = tJ(u)$  holds for all  $u \in V$ .

Suppose otherwise and consider the non-negative sequence defined by  $j_n = J(\delta_n)$ . Then  $j_0 = 1$  and we have the recurrence relation  $j_n = (tj_{n-1} - 1)/n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . This shows that we have

$$j_n = \frac{1}{n!} \left( t^n - \sum_{k=1}^n (k-1)! t^{n-k} \right) \quad (\forall n \geq 1).$$

Since each  $j_n$  is non-negative, this implies in particular  $t^n - (n-1)! \geq 0$  for all  $n$ . This cannot hold for any  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ .

In view of the formulation of Theorem C, it must in fact be the case that no positive linear functional  $\zeta$  on  $V$  with  $\zeta(\delta_0) \neq 0$  is bounded on the orbit  $\{T^n(\delta_0) : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . This can also be verified directly by showing inductively that the value at 0 of  $T^n(\delta_0)$  satisfies

$$\forall n \geq 0 : \quad (T^{n+1}(\delta_0))(0) = \sum_{p=0}^n (n-p)! (T^p(\delta_0))(0),$$

which defines the super-exponential sequence 1, 1, 2, 5, 15, 54, 235, 1237, 7790, ... (the OEIS sequence A051295); we spare the details. It follows that

$$\zeta(T^n(\delta_0)) \geq (T^n(\delta_0))(0) \cdot \zeta(\delta_0)$$

is unbounded, indeed super-exponential. (The latter also gives another proof that no eigenfunctional  $J$  exists.)

## 2. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

*Obwohl dies ein rein algebraischer Satz ist, so ist mir doch sein Beweis mit den gewöhnlichen Hilfsmitteln der Algebra nicht gelungen.*

Oskar Perron [Per07], p. 262.

[Although this is a purely algebraic theorem, I have not succeeded in proving it with the usual tools of algebra.]

We have no topology on the abstract ordered vector space  $V$  and thus no topological assumptions on our positive linear maps  $V \rightarrow V$ . However, any (algebraic) dual  $V^*$  can be endowed with the weak-\* topology, whose uniform structure is complete. This is because  $V^*$  is isomorphic, as topological vector space, to the product of copies of  $\mathbf{R}$  indexed by a basis of  $V$ , see e.g. [Bou81, II §6 No. 6–7].

We will also consider this for the ideal  $V_A$  instead of  $V$ , where  $A = \langle T \rangle v$  and more generally  $A = Sv$ . We recall the straightforward verification that for any set  $A \subseteq V^+$  the ideal  $V_A$  can be written explicitly as

$$(*) \quad V_A = \{u \in V : \exists a_1, \dots, a_q \in A \text{ with } \pm u \leq a_1 + \dots + a_q\}.$$

It follows that the order of  $V$  restricted to  $V_A$  is **generating**, i.e.  $V_A = \text{Span}(V_A^+)$ . In particular, the dual positive cone  $(V_A^*)^+$  in the algebraic dual  $V_A^*$  is **proper**, which means  $-(V_A^*)^+ \cap (V_A^*)^+ = \{0\}$ .

The proof of the eigenfunctional theorems will involve extremal rays. Recall that even very familiar cones may fail to contain any extremal ray: for instance, the positive cone in the Hilbert space  $L^2[0, 1]$ , which is weakly complete and self-dual, has no extremal ray. Choquet's fundamental insight is that a cone  $C$  in a locally convex topological vector space will be the closed convex hull of its extremal rays as soon as the following holds:

*for every  $\lambda \in C$  there is a compact convex set  $B \subseteq C$  containing  $\lambda$  and such that  $C \setminus B$  is convex too.*

Such a set  $B$  is what Choquet calls a **chapeau** (a hat, or cap). Indeed, it then suffices to apply the Krein–Milman theorem to every chapeau. We refer to [Cho63] for an overview and to [Cho69] or [Bou81, II §7] for the full monty.

When the above condition holds, the cone is called **well-capped** (bien coiffé). It is apparent on the definition that this property is inherited by closed sub-cones.

We shall need the following (rather standard) fact, in which the countability assumption is essential.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $V$  be an ordered vector space and  $A \subseteq V^+$  a countable set. Let  $C = (V_A^*)^+$  be the cone of positive linear functionals in the algebraic dual  $V_A^*$  of the ideal generated by  $A$ , endowed with the weak-\* topology.*

*Then  $C$  is well-capped.*

Without countability, a counter-example is  $V = L^2[0, 1]$  with  $A = V^+$ . In that case,  $V_A = V$  and  $C = (V_A^*)^+ \cong V^+$  (e.g. by Klee's theorem [Kle55,

Thm. B]). Thus  $C$  has no extremal rays and hence is not well-capped for any topology.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* We fix  $\lambda \in C$ , which we can assume non-zero. We also choose an enumeration  $A = \{v_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . (If  $A$  is finite there will be repetitions, but that case is trivial anyways, since then  $C$  admits a compact base). We claim that there is  $n$  with  $\lambda(v_n) \neq 0$ . Indeed, choose  $u \in V_A$  with  $\lambda(u) \neq 0$ . There are indices  $n_1, \dots, n_q$  with  $\pm u \leq v_{n_1} + \dots + v_{n_q}$ ; the claim follows by positivity of  $\lambda$ .

This implies that we can choose a sequence of scalars  $t_n > 0$  such that  $\sum_{n \geq 0} t_n \lambda(v_n) = 1$ . We define a map

$$s : C \longrightarrow [0, +\infty], \quad s(\xi) = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n \xi(v_n).$$

This map is additive and positively homogeneous on  $C$ , where this is suitably understood for  $[0, +\infty]$ -valued maps. We now consider the sub-level set  $B = \{\xi \in C : s(\xi) \leq 1\}$ . The only millinery property of  $B$  that is not clear from this definition is compactness.

Since  $B$  is contained in the complete space  $C$ , it suffices to justify that it is closed and bounded, both with respect to the weak-\* topology [Cho69, 23.11]. We recall here that a set is *bounded* in the sense of topological vector spaces if it is absorbed by any sufficiently large rescaling of any neighbourhood of 0. Every partial sum  $\xi \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^N t_n \xi(v_n)$  is weak-\* continuous, and therefore the map  $s$  is lower semi-continuous; this shows that  $B$  is closed.

For boundedness, after unwinding the definitions, it suffices to show that for every  $u_1, \dots, u_m \in V_A$  there is  $r > 0$  such that

$$B \subseteq \{\xi \in C : |\xi(u_j)| < r \ \forall j\}.$$

We thus fix some  $u_1, \dots, u_m \in V_A$ . Using (\*) for each  $u_j$  and grouping all sums together, we obtain indices  $n_1, \dots, n_q$  with

$$\forall j : \quad \pm u_j \leq v_{n_1} + \dots + v_{n_q}.$$

Given  $i = 1, \dots, q$  we have

$$\xi(v_{n_i}) = \frac{1}{t_{n_i}} t_{n_i} \xi(v_{n_i}) < \frac{1}{t_{n_i}} s(\xi) \leq \frac{1}{t_{n_i}},$$

which implies

$$|\xi(u_j)| \leq \xi(v_{n_1}) + \dots + \xi(v_{n_q}) < \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{1}{t_{n_i}}.$$

This yields the desired  $r$  and concludes the proof.  $\square$

We now prove the theorems stated in the introduction. We have already recorded that Theorem C implies Theorem B which in turn contains Theorem A.

Since Theorem 3 fails without the countability assumption, it is natural to prove Theorem C first for countable semigroups  $S$ . This already contains the case of Theorem A.

*Proof of Theorem C for  $S$  countable.* We recall the setting. We are given a countable commutative semigroup  $S$  of positive linear maps  $T: V \rightarrow V$  on an ordered vector space  $V$ , an element  $v \geq 0$  and a positive linear functional  $\zeta$  on the orbit ideal  $V_{Sv}$  such that  $\zeta$  is bounded on  $Sv$  and  $\zeta(v) \neq 0$ . In particular,  $v \not\leq 0$ . To uniformize notation, we suppose that  $S$  contains the identity, adding it to  $S$  if needed.

Each  $T$  restricts to a positive linear map  $V_{Sv} \rightarrow V_{Sv}$  on the ideal  $V_{Sv}$ ; we still denote it by  $T$ . We endow the algebraic dual  $V_{Sv}^*$  of  $V_{Sv}$  with the weak-\* topology, which is complete as mentioned earlier. It follows that the positive cone  $C = (V_{Sv}^*)^+$  is also complete and hence weakly complete since the weak-\* topology is a weak topology.

Consider the semigroup  $S^*$  of all dual maps  $T^*: V_{Sv}^* \rightarrow V_{Sv}^*$  as  $T$  ranges over  $S$ . That is,  $(T^*\xi)(u) = \xi(Tu)$  for  $u \in V_{Sv}$  and  $\xi \in V_{Sv}^*$ . Algebraically, this is the opposite semigroup to (the restriction to  $V_{Sv}$  of)  $S$  and in particular it is still a commutative semigroup with identity. Each  $T^*$  is a positive linear map and  $S^*$  leaves  $C$  invariant, i.e.  $T^*(C) \subseteq C$  for all  $T \in S$ .

We claim that  $C$  contains a closed  $S^*$ -invariant subcone  $C_1 \subseteq C$  which is minimal among all closed  $S^*$ -invariant subcones satisfying the following property:

(†) *there is  $\xi \in C_1$  with  $\xi(v) = 1$  and with  $\xi|_{Sv} \leq 2$ .*

We first show that any closed  $S^*$ -invariant subcone  $C_0 \subseteq C$  will satisfy (†) as soon as it satisfies the formally weaker property

(‡) *there is  $\xi \in C_0$  with  $\xi(v) = 1$  and which is bounded on  $Sv$ .*

Indeed, (‡) allows us to define  $s = \sup_{T \in S} \xi(Tv) \geq 1$  and to choose  $T_0 \in S$  with  $\xi(T_0v) \geq s/2$ . Next, we observe

$$\sup_{T \in S} (T_0^*\xi)(Tv) = \sup_{T \in S} \xi(T_0Tv) \leq \sup_{T \in S} \xi(Tv) = s.$$

Therefore, since  $C_0$  is  $S^*$ -invariant, we can replace  $\xi$  by

$$\frac{1}{(T_0^*\xi)(v)} T_0^*\xi = \frac{1}{\xi(T_0v)} T_0^*\xi$$

which satisfies (†).

Next, we record that  $C$  itself enjoys (‡) by assumption (upon rescaling  $\zeta$ ) and hence also (†).

Now, to establish the claim, it suffices by Zorn's lemma to show that for any chain  $\mathcal{C}$  of closed  $S^*$ -invariant subcones  $K \subseteq C$  containing an element  $\xi_K$  as in (†), the intersection  $\bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{C}} K$  still contains such an element.

For every  $u \in V_{Sv}$ , we can by (\*) fix  $q \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $T_1, \dots, T_q \in S$  such that  $\pm u \leq T_1 v + \dots + T_q v$ . This gives for any  $K \in \mathcal{C}$  the bound

$$|\xi_K(u)| \leq \xi_K(T_1 v) + \dots + \xi_K(T_q v) \leq 2q,$$

which is uniform over  $K \in \mathcal{C}$ . This implies, by the criterion given in [Cho69, 23.11], that the net  $(\xi_K)_{K \in \mathcal{C}}$  is weak-\* relatively compact in  $C$ , recalling that  $C$  is weak-\* complete. We can therefore choose an accumulation point  $\xi \in C$  of this net.

We have  $\xi \in \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{C}} K$  since each  $K$  is closed. Moreover, the properties  $\xi_K(v) = 1$  and  $\xi_K(Tv) \leq 2$  (for any  $T \in S$ ) pass to weak-\* limits. This completes the proof of the claim.

Let thus  $C_1$  be minimal as claimed and choose some  $T \in S$ . The set

$$C_T = (\text{Id} + T^*)C_1 = \{\eta + T^*\eta : \eta \in C_1\}$$

is a sub-cone of  $C_1$ . It is  $S^*$ -invariant because  $S^*$  is commutative; indeed, for any  $T' \in S$  we have

$$T'^*C_T = (T'^* + T'^*T^*)C_1 = (\text{Id} + T^*)(T'^*C_1) \subseteq (\text{Id} + T^*)C_1 = C_T.$$

Let  $\xi \in C_1$  be a witness for (\*). Then  $\xi + T^*\xi \in C_T$  is bounded on  $Sv$  and non-zero on  $v$ ; therefore, after rescaling, it witnesses that  $C_T$  satisfies (‡) and hence also (\*).

We claim that  $C_T$  is closed. Indeed, if any net  $(\eta_\alpha + T^*\eta_\alpha)$  (where  $\alpha$  ranges over some directed index set) with  $\eta_\alpha \in C_1$  converges in  $C$ , then so does the net  $(\eta_\alpha)$  upon passing to a subnet because the sum map  $C_1 \times C_1 \rightarrow C_1$  is proper, see Cor. 1 in [Bou81, II § 6 No. 8] together with Thm. 1(c) in [Bou71, I § 10 No. 2]. This implies that the limit of  $(\eta_\alpha + T^*\eta_\alpha)$  belongs to  $C_T$ .

In conclusion, the minimality of  $C_1$  shows that we have  $C_T = C_1$ , where  $T \in S$  is arbitrary.

By Theorem 3, the cone  $C$  is well-capped and therefore so is  $C_1$ . It follows that  $C_1$  is the closed convex hull of its extremal rays [Bou81, II § 7 No. 2 Cor. 2]. Since  $C_1$  is non-zero we can therefore choose some  $0 \neq J \in C_1$  such that the ray  $\mathbf{R}^+J$  is extremal; without loss of generality  $J(v) = 1$ . For each  $T \in S$ , the equality  $C_T = C_1$  shows that there is  $\eta \in C_1$  with  $J = \eta + T^*\eta$ . By extremality, both summands are on the ray  $\mathbf{R}^+J$  and thus we have  $a, b \geq 0$  with  $\eta = aJ$  and  $T^*\eta = bJ$ . The relation  $J = \eta + T^*\eta$  implies that  $a \neq 0$  and that  $b = 1 - a$ . It follows  $T^*J = \frac{1-a}{a}J$  and we set  $t(T) = (1 - a)/a \geq 0$ .

At this point we have found  $J$  and a map  $t: S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  with

$$J(v) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \forall T \in S \quad \forall u \in V_{Sv} : \quad J(Tu) = t(T) J(u).$$

This implies in particular that  $t$  is a semigroup homomorphism to the multiplicative semigroup  $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ ; indeed

$$\begin{aligned} t(T_1)t(T_2) J(v) &= t(T_1)t(T_2) J(v) = t(T_1) J(T_2 v) = J(T_1 T_2 v) \\ &= t(T_1 T_2) J(v) = t(T_1 T_2). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that we can choose  $J$  such that  $t \leq 1$ ; as we noted in Theorem 1, this is not the case for all choices of  $J$ . However, we have already arranged that

$$t(T) = J(Tv) \leq 2$$

holds for all  $T \in S$ . Since  $t: S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  is a semigroup homomorphism, this forces in fact  $t(T) \leq 1$  by considering  $t(T^n)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This concludes the proof of Theorem C in the countable case.  $\square$

It remains to reduce the general version of Theorem C to the case of countable semigroups. The most obvious reduction strategy, one that works in the setting of convex compact spaces, is to consider all countable sub-semigroups  $S_0 \subseteq S$  and to extend functionals on  $V_{S_0}v$  to the larger space  $V_Sv$  in a coherent way. This is not always possible in the setting of positive linear maps; for instance, Hahn–Banach-like extension principles such as the Kantorovich theorem do not hold without further assumptions.

Nevertheless, a compactness argument will get around this difficulty, as follows.

*Proof of Theorem C, general case.* There is again no loss of generality in assuming that  $S$  contains the identity. We denote by  $\mathcal{S}$  the collection of all countable sub-semigroups  $S_0 \subseteq S$  containing the identity. Then  $S$  is the directed union (=colimit) of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Given any sub-semigroup  $S_0 \subseteq S$  containing the identity, we consider the following set  $E(S_0) \subseteq V_{S_0}^*v$  of positive eigenfunctionals on  $V_{S_0}v$ :

$$E(S_0) = \left\{ J \in (V_{S_0}^*v)^+ : \begin{array}{l} J(v) = 1 \text{ and } \forall T \in S_0, J(Tv) \leq 1 \text{ and} \\ \forall T \in S_0 \forall u \in V_{S_0}v, J(Tu) = J(Tv)J(u) \end{array} \right\}.$$

The countable case of Theorem C states that this set is non-empty for all  $S_0 \in \mathcal{S}$  since, in the notation of the theorem,  $t(T) = J(Tv)$ . We need to prove that  $E(S)$  is non-empty, too.

The set  $E(S_0)$  is compact in the weak-\* topology of  $V_{S_0}^*v$  because we can bound  $|J(u)|$  by  $q$  whenever  $\pm u \leq T_1v + \dots + T_qv$ .

If  $S_1$  contains  $S_0$ , then  $V_{S_1}v$  contains  $V_{S_0}v$  and the restriction map  $V_{S_1}^*v \rightarrow V_{S_0}^*v$  maps  $E(S_1)$  to  $E(S_0)$ . This is a continuous map; the fact that it is a priori not surjective is the extension failure mentioned before the proof.

Nonetheless, we have obtained an inverse system of non-empty compact (Hausdorff) spaces  $E(S_0)$  indexed by  $S_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ , and therefore the inverse limit  $E = \lim_{S_0 \in \mathcal{S}} E(S_0)$  of that system is non-empty. There is by functoriality a unique map  $E(S) \rightarrow E$  compatible with all restriction maps, but so far  $E(S)$  is not known to be non-empty.

To complete the proof, we argue instead that there is also a well-defined map  $E \rightarrow E(S)$  from the non-empty space  $E$ . Since any element of  $E$  defines a compatible system of functionals on  $V_{S_0}v$  as  $S_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ , it suffices to observe that  $V_Sv$  is the directed union of all  $V_{S_0}v$ . This holds because of the characterisation (\*) of the orbit ideal  $V_Sv$ . (Functoriality now implies that  $E(S)$  and  $E$  actually coincide.)  $\square$



**Remark 4.** Some of the ideas in the proofs above are related to our earlier work on fixed points for group actions on cones [Mon17]. What makes the present situation very different is that a general positive map, typically non-invertible, will often not fix any non-zero point.

This difference is crucially important for the applications to amenability considered in [Mon25], where the Markov operator will not fix a non-zero functional unless the group is amenable.

## REFERENCES

- [Bou71] N. Bourbaki, *Topologie générale. Chapitres 1 à 4*, Hermann, Paris, 1971.
- [Bou81] N. Bourbaki, *Espaces vectoriels topologiques. Chapitres 1 à 5*, Masson, Paris, 1981.
- [Cho63] Gustave Choquet, *Les cônes convexes faiblement complets dans l'analyse*, Proc. Internat. Congr. Mathematicians (Stockholm, 1962), Inst. Mittag-Leffler, Djursholm, 1963, pp. 317–330.
- [Cho69] Gustave Choquet, *Lectures on analysis. Vol. II: Representation theory*, Edited by J. Marsden, T. Lance and S. Gelbart, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1969.
- [Fro12] Georg Frobenius, *Über Matrizen aus nicht negativen Elementen*, Berl. Ber. **1912** (1912), 456–477.
- [Kak38] Shizuo Kakutani, *Two fixed-point theorems concerning bicomact convex sets*, Proc. Imp. Acad. **14** (1938), no. 7, 242–245.
- [Kle55] Victor LaRue Klee, Jr., *Boundedness and continuity of linear functionals*, Duke Math. J. **22** (1955), 263–269.
- [KR48] Mark Grigorievich Krein and Mark Aronovich Rutman, *Linear operators leaving invariant a cone in a Banach space*, Uspehi Matem. Nauk (N.S.) **3** (1948), no. 1(23), 3–95.
- [Mar36] Andrei Andreevich Markov, *Quelques théorèmes sur les ensembles abéliens.*, C. R. (Dokl.) Acad. Sci. URSS, n. Ser. **1936** (1936), no. 1, 311–313.
- [Mon17] Nicolas Monod, *Fixed points in convex cones*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B **4** (2017), 68–93.
- [Mon25] Nicolas Monod, *Conditional means, vector pricings, amenability and fixed points in cones*, Preprint, 2025.
- [Per07] Oskar Perron, *Zur Theorie der Matrizes*, Math. Ann. **64** (1907), 248–263.
- [Sch99] Helmut Heinrich Schaefer, *Topological vector spaces*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 3, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999, (with M.P.H. Wolff).

EPFL, SWITZERLAND