

BESSEL AND DUNKL PROCESSES WITH DRIFT

MICHAEL VOIT

ABSTRACT. For some discrete parameters $k \geq 0$, multivariate (Dunkl-)Bessel processes on Weyl chambers C associated with root systems appear as projections of Brownian motions without drift on Euclidean spaces V , and the associated transition densities can be described in terms of multivariate Bessel functions; the most prominent examples are Dyson Brownian motions. The projections of Brownian motions on V with drifts are also Feller diffusions on C , and their transition densities and their generators can be again described via these Bessel functions. These processes are called Bessel processes with drifts. In this paper we construct these Bessel processes with drift for arbitrary root systems and parameters $k \geq 0$. Moreover, this construction works also for Dunkl processes. We study some features of these processes with drift like their radial parts, a Girsanov theorem, moments and associated martingales, strong laws of large numbers, and central limit theorems.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known (see e.g. [Ki, RY]) that for a Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on \mathbb{R}^n with start in $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, its radial part $(\|B_t\|)_{t \geq 0}$ (with the usual Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^n) is a Bessel process with dimension parameter n , where the transition probabilities can be written down by using spherical Bessel functions, where these spherical Bessel functions and Bessel processes exist for all parameters $n \in [1, \infty[$. Moreover, it is also known that for arbitrary drift vectors $\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the radial parts $(\|B_t + t\tilde{\lambda}\|)_{t \geq 0}$ of the Brownian motions $(B_t + t\tilde{\lambda})_{t \geq 0}$ with drift $\tilde{\lambda}$ are also diffusions whose distributions are the square-roots of non-central χ^2 -distributions. Again, these diffusions can be defined for all $n \in [1, \infty[$ in terms of the associated stochastic differential equations, the associated generators, or by explicit transition probabilities by using the spherical Bessel functions. We shall call these processes one-dimensional Bessel processes with drift on $[0, \infty[$. More precisely, as a special case of Subsection 3.3 below for $N = 1$, and with $k := (n - 1)/2 \geq 0$, $\lambda := \|\tilde{\lambda}\| \geq 0$, and the spherical Bessel functions

$$j_{k-1/2}(z) := \Gamma(k + 1/2) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l (z/2)^{2l}}{l! \cdot \Gamma(l + k + 1/2)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}), \quad (1.1)$$

the Bessel processes with drift have the generators

$$L_k^\lambda f(x) := \frac{1}{2} f''(x) + \left(\frac{k}{x} + \frac{\lambda j'_{k-1/2}(\lambda x)}{j_{k-1/2}(\lambda x)} \right) f'(x) \quad (1.2)$$

Date: December 12, 2025.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 60B20; Secondary 60F05, 60F15, 33C67, 60J60, 60K35, 70F10, 82C22, 43A62.

Key words and phrases. Multivariate Bessel functions, Dunkl processes, Bessel processes with drift, Dyson Brownian motions with drift, modified moments, strong laws of large numbers, central limit theorems.

for even $f \in C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with a reflecting boundary at 0. These diffusions have the transition densities

$$K_t^{\lambda,k}(x, A) = \frac{1}{2^{k-1/2}\Gamma(k+1/2)} \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda^2 t/2}}{t^{k+1/2}} \int_A e^{-(x^2+y^2)/(2t)} \frac{j_{k-1/2}(xy/t) \cdot j_{k-1/2}(y\lambda)}{j_{k-1/2}(x\lambda)} \cdot y^{2k} dy \quad (1.3)$$

for $t > 0$, $x \in [0, \infty[$, and Borel sets $A \subset [0, \infty[$. This shows that the associated transition operators appear, up some normalizations, as conjugations of the transition operators without drift by multiplication operators which belong to the eigenfunctions $y \mapsto j_{k-1/2}(y\lambda)$ of the generators L_k^0 of the processes without drift. For the “geometric cases” $k := (n-1)/2 \geq 0$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, this follows easily from the fact that these processes are projections of Brownian motions on \mathbb{R}^n with and without drift where this connection also holds; see e.g. [AuV]. This property is also preserved when we project Brownian motions on \mathbb{R}^n with and without drift to other orbit spaces which appear when suitable compact subgroups of the orthogonal group $O(n)$ act on \mathbb{R}^n . Typical examples appear for instance for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$, where the unitary group $U(N, \mathbb{F})$ acts by conjugation on the vector space $H_N(\mathbb{F})$ of $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices over \mathbb{F} where the space of orbits can be identified with the space of ordered spectra of these matrices, i.e., with the closed Weyl chamber

$$C_N^A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_N\}.$$

In this case it is well known from random matrix theory (see e.g. [AGZ, D, M, F]) that the projections of Brownian motions on $H_N(\mathbb{F})$ without drift are examples of Dyson Brownian motions. Motivated from harmonic analysis, these processes are also known as (Dunkl)-Bessel processes on C_N^A associated with the root system A_{N-1} (see e.g. [RV2, CGY, GY1, GY2, GY3]). In fact, it is possible to define Bessel processes on closed Weyl chambers without drift for all root systems where the number of parameters, called multiplicities, depends on the root system. Like in the A_{N-1} -case, these processes are “geometric”, i.e., projection of Brownian motions without drift on suitable Euclidean spaces, for some discrete multiplicities. Furthermore, motivated by the theory of Dunkl operators (see e.g. [D1, D2, DX, A, Ka, R1, R4]), these Bessel processes on Weyl chambers C can be extended to Feller processes on \mathbb{R}^N where these processes behave like Bessel processes with a.s. continuous paths, and where jumps between the Weyl chambers are added by random reflections associated with the root system. These processes are called Dunkl processes; see [R2, RV1, RV2, CGY, GY1, GY2, GY2, De]. These Dunkl processes on \mathbb{R}^N then are related to the corresponding Bessel processes on C by the fact, that C may be seen as the set of orbits, when the finite Weyl group generated by the reflections of the root system acts on \mathbb{R}^N , and that the corresponding Bessel processes are just projections of the Dunkl processes. These Dunkl processes have much in common with Brownian motions on \mathbb{R}^N (in fact, Brownian motions appear for the multiplicity $k = 0$). For instance, they are martingales on \mathbb{R}^N , they have the same time inversion property like Brownian motions, there are an associated heat polynomials, and there is an associated Wiener chaos and so on; see [RV1, RV2, CGY, GY1, GY2, GY2, De].

The aim of this paper is to initiate the extension of the known theory of multivariate Bessel and Dunkl processes (without drift) in a systematic way to these processes with drift where the general definition of these processes is motivated by the geometric examples above. We mention that the definition of the Bessel processes will be completely canonical, while in the Dunkl case there are two canonical constructions, namely by conjugations of the cases without drifts by multiplication operators associated with either the associated Dunkl kernels or the Bessel functions. We shall see that the conjugation by Dunkl kernels leads to processes, which have much nicer properties. For these reasons we shall call these processes Dunkl processes with drift, while the processes in

the second case, which are close to Bessel processes with drift, will be called hybrid Dunkl-Bessel processes with drift.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we recapitulate several known facts from Dunkl theory like Dunkl Laplacians, the Dunkl kernels, Bessel functions associated with root systems, as well as Dunkl and Bessel processes without drift. In the central Section 3 we then discuss several classes of examples how Brownian motions with drift on finite-dimensional vector spaces leads to diffusion processes on associated closed Weyl chambers which we then call Bessel processes with drift. The explicit generators and transition probabilities (in terms of Bessel functions associated with root systems) then motivate the definition of general Bessel processes with drift for arbitrary root systems and multiplicities $k \geq 0$. Moreover, we also construct two kinds of Dunkl processes with drift. In the first construction we use Dunkl kernels in the conjugations which leads to processes which have a behavior which is quite different from that of the associated Bessel processes with drift. This is quite different from the case of these processes without drift. For the second construction we use Bessel functions in the conjugations. These processes on \mathbb{R}^N then will be much closer to the Bessel processes with drift. In particular they will have the same jump behavior like classical Dunkl processes without drifts. We shall call these processes hybrid Dunkl-Bessel processes with drift. After the construction of Bessel processes, Dunkl processes, and hybrid Dunkl-Bessel processes with drift we show that the radial parts of these processes are just one-dimensional Bessel processes with drift analogous to the known case of these processes without drift. Section 3 is devoted to some simple Girsanov-type result which connects these processes with drift to processes without drift by a suitable simple transformation of the measure. In Section 5 we then introduce modified moments for Dunkl processes with drift which fit to these processes from an algebraic point of view. These moments then will lead to a strong law of large numbers (SLLN) and a central limit theorem (CLT). Unfortunately, at some stage there appear serious problems with respect to the asymptotic behavior of Dunkl kernels. For this reason, some results will remain in some implicit form, and completely satisfying results will be given for the case $N = 1$ only. Finally, in Section 6 we study the SLLN and CLT for Bessel processes with drift. For the hybrid Dunkl-Bessel processes with drift there do not exist interesting limit results which are not covered by the Bessel cases.

2. BASICS ON DUNKL THEORY

In this section we recapitulate the well-known definitions of Dunkl operators, Dunkl kernels, Bessel functions, and Bessel processes (without drift) on closed Weyl chambers $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ as well as Dunkl processes on \mathbb{R}^N ; see e.g. [R1, R2, R4, RV1, RV3, CGY, GY1, GY2, GY3, Ka, A, DX, D2, De] for the background.

2.1. Root systems and Dunkl operators. Let \mathbb{R}^N be equipped with the usual scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, the associated 2-norm $\|\cdot\|$, and the standard basis e_1, \dots, e_N . Let $R \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ be a root system on \mathbb{R}^N i.e., R is a finite set of vectors α , such that the reflections σ_α on the hyperplanes perpendicular to α generate a finite group of orthogonal transformations on \mathbb{R}^N , where for each $\alpha \in R$, $\sigma_\alpha(R) = R$ holds. The group W generated by the σ_α is called the finite reflection group or Weyl group associated with R . We mainly consider the following root systems:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{N-1} &= \{\pm(e_i - e_j); \ 1 \leq i < j \leq N\} \quad (N \geq 2), \\ B_N &= \{\pm e_i, \pm(e_i \pm e_j); \ 1 \leq i, j \leq N, \ i < j\} \quad (N \geq 1), \\ D_N &= \{\pm(e_i \pm e_j) : \ 1 \leq i < j \leq N\} \quad (N \geq 2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

For $R = A_{N-1}$, the Weyl group W is the symmetric group \mathcal{S}_N permuting all coordinates, and in the B_N -case, W is the hyperoctahedral group, where in addition sign changes appear in all coordinates.

Now fix some positive subsystem $R_+ \subset R$ where we only take the roots in (2.1) where instead of the first \pm -signs only the $+$ -signs appear. Moreover, fix some multiplicity function $k : R \rightarrow [0, \infty[$, i.e., a function which is invariant under the action of W on R . For the root systems A_{N-1} and D_N , this k is a single parameter $k \in [0, \infty[$, and, for B_N , k consists of 2 parameters (k_1, k_2) where k_1 , and k_2 are the values on the roots $\pm e_i$ and $e_i \pm e_j$ respectively. We fix the closed Weyl chamber

$$C := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \langle x, \alpha \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in R_+\}$$

associated with R_+ . This chamber C consists of representatives of the orbits under the action of W on \mathbb{R}^N . In our examples, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_N^A &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_N\}, \\ C_N^B &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_N \geq 0\}, \\ C_N^D &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_{N-1} \geq |x_N|\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

We now fix R , R_+ , W , k , and $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and consider the associated Dunkl operators

$$T_\xi(k)f(x) = \partial_\xi f(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \cdot \frac{\langle \alpha, \xi \rangle}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle} (f(x) - f(\sigma_\alpha(x))) \quad (2.3)$$

for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and the directional derivatives ∂_ξ . The $T_\xi(k)$ form a commuting family of operators which are homogeneous of degree -1 on the space of all polynomials in N variables such that for any r -times continuously differentiable functions f on \mathbb{R}^N , the $T_\xi(k)f$ are $(r-1)$ -times differentiable.

Moreover, for each $w \in \mathbb{C}^N$, the joint eigenvalue problem

$$T_\xi(k)f = \langle \xi, w \rangle f \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{C}^N) \quad \text{with} \quad f(0) = 1 \quad (2.4)$$

has a unique holomorphic solution $f(z) = E_k(z, w)$, called the Dunkl kernel. We list some well-known properties of E_k :

Proposition 2.1. *For $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^N$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g \in W$:*

- (1) $E_k(x, y) = E_k(y, x)$.
- (2) $E_k(\lambda x, y) = E_k(x, \lambda y)$.
- (3) $E_k(x, y) = E_k(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$.
- (4) $0 < E_k(x, y) \leq \max_{g \in W} e^{\langle x, gy \rangle}$ and $|E_k(x, iy)| \leq 1$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$.
- (5) $E_k(gx, gy) = E_k(x, y)$.

For $k \geq 0$, the Dunkl kernels E_k have the following positive integral representation in terms of exponential functions:

Theorem 2.2. *For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ there exists a unique probability measure $\mu_x \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with*

$$E_k(x, \lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{\langle y, \lambda \rangle} d\mu_x(y) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N.$$

The measures μ_x have the following properties:

- (1) *The support $\text{supp } \mu_x$ is contained in the convex hull of the Weyl group orbit $\{gx : g \in W\}$ of x .*
- (2) *$x \in \text{supp } \mu_x$, and for $k > 0$, $\{gx : g \in W\} \subset \text{supp } \mu_x$.*
- (3) *For $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, μ_{tx} is the image of μ_x under the mapping $y \mapsto ty$ on \mathbb{R}^N .*

Proof. The existence of the μ_x is shown in [R3]. For the first statement in (2) see [dJ], and for the second one in (2) see [GR]. Finally, (3) follows from

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{\langle y, \lambda \rangle} d\mu_{tx}(y) = E_k(tx, \lambda) = E_k(x, t\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{\langle y, t\lambda \rangle} d\mu_x(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{\langle ty, \lambda \rangle} d\mu_x(y).$$

□

In general, no explicit descriptions are known about the measures μ_x except for the trivial case $k = 0$ and for the case $N = 1$ from [R1]:

Proposition 2.3. *For $N = 1$, $k > 0$, and $\lambda, x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$E_k(x, \lambda) = \frac{\Gamma(k + 1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(k)} \int_{-1}^1 e^{x\lambda t} (1-t)^{k-1} (1+t)^k dt. \quad (2.5)$$

Theorem 2.2(2) implies the following lower bounds for E_k :

Corollary 2.4. *For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$ there are constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $E_k(x, \lambda) \geq c_1 e^{\langle c, x \rangle}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.*

We next define the Bessel functions

$$J_k(z, w) := \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{g \in W} E_k(z, gw)$$

as symmetrizations of the E_k . Then all assertions of Proposition 2.1, Theorem 2.2, and Corollary 2.4 hold also for J_k , where instead of 2.1(5), J_k even is W -invariant in both arguments separately. Moreover, for $w \in \mathbb{C}^N$, $g(z) := J_k(z, w)$ is the unique holomorphic solution of the "Bessel system"

$$p(T_{e_1}(k), \dots, T_{e_N}(k))g = p(w)g \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{P}^W \quad \text{with} \quad g(0) = 1 \quad (2.6)$$

with the algebra \mathcal{P}^W of W -invariant polynomials in N variables. Restricted to sufficiently smooth, W -invariant functions on \mathbb{R}^N , the operators $p(T_{e_1}(k), \dots, T_{e_N}(k))$ are differential operators. In particular, for $p(x) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_N^2$, one obtains the Dunkl-Laplacian Δ_k which has the form

$$\Delta_k f(x) = \Delta f(x) + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \left(\frac{\langle \nabla f(x), \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle} - \frac{\|\alpha\|^2}{2} \frac{f(x) - f(\sigma_\alpha x)}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle^2} \right). \quad (2.7)$$

Note that for W -invariant functions f the reflection parts at the end of (2.7) disappear.

We need the following product rule which follows either by elementary calculus or from Lemma 3.1 in [Ve] by polarization and the fact that in [Ve] all roots have the normalization $\|\alpha\|^2 = 2$.

Lemma 2.5. *For all functions $f, g \in C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k(fg)(x) &= f(x)\Delta_k g(x) + g(x)\Delta_k f(x) + 2\langle \nabla f(x), \nabla g(x) \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \|\alpha\|^2 \frac{(f(x) - f(\sigma_\alpha x))(g(x) - g(\sigma_\alpha x))}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if f or g is W -invariant, then the reflection parts on the right hand side disappear.

It is well-known (see [R2, RV1, RV2]) that $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_k$ is the generator of a Feller process $(X_{t,k})_{t \geq 0}$ on \mathbb{R}^N with RCLL paths; the factor $1/2$ here is used in order to regard these processes as generalizations

of Brownian motions with the usual time normalization in probability. These processes have the transition probabilities

$$K_t^k(x, A) = c_k \int_A \frac{1}{t^{\gamma+N/2}} e^{-(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)/(2t)} E_k\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \cdot w_k(y) dy \quad (2.8)$$

for $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and Borel sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with the W -invariant weight function

$$w_k(x) := \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} |\langle \alpha, x \rangle|^{2k(\alpha)}. \quad (2.9)$$

which is homogeneous of degree 2γ with $\gamma := \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha)$, and where $c_k > 0$ is a known normalization depending on k and R only. For instance, by [M], for the root systems A_{N-1} and B_N and the multiplicities k and (k_1, k_2) , these constants are

$$c_k^A = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N/2}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(1+k)}{\Gamma(1+jk)}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$c_k^B = \frac{1}{2^{N(k_1+(N-1)k_2+1/2)}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(1+k_2)}{\Gamma(1+jk_2)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+k_1+(j-1)k_2)} \quad (2.11)$$

respectively. The processes $(X_{t,k})_{t \geq 0}$ are called Dunkl processes associated with R and k .

Furthermore, if we identify C with the space of all W -orbits in \mathbb{R}^N , then the operator

$$L_k f(x) := \frac{1}{2} \Delta_k f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta f(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \frac{\langle \nabla f(x), \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle} \quad (2.12)$$

for W -invariant $f \in C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the generator of a Feller diffusion $(X_{t,k})_{t \geq 0}$ on C with continuous paths with reflecting boundaries such that the transition probabilities satisfy

$$K_t^k(x, A) = |W| c_k \int_A \frac{1}{t^{\gamma+N/2}} e^{-(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)/(2t)} J_k\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \cdot w_k(y) dy \quad (2.13)$$

for $t > 0$, $x \in C$, and Borel sets $A \subset C$. We call these processes Bessel processes on C associated with R and k . In some references these processes are called Dunkl-Bessel or invariant Dunkl processes.

For the root systems A_{N-1}, B_N, D_N the generators L_k in (2.12) and the weights w_k are as follows: In the A -case we have

$$L_k^A f(x) := \frac{1}{2} \Delta f(x) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} k \frac{f_{x_i}(x) - f_{x_j}(x)}{x_i - x_j}, \quad w_k^A(x) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (x_i - x_j)^{2k} \quad (2.14)$$

with $k \geq 0$ and $\gamma_A = kN(N-1)/2$, i.e., Bessel processes of type A_{N-1} are Dyson Brownian motions as studied e.g. in [AGZ]. In the B -case we have for $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$

$$L_k^B f(x) := \frac{1}{2} \Delta f(x) + k_2 \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j \neq i} \left(\frac{1}{x_i - x_j} + \frac{1}{x_i + x_j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x) + k_1 \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x). \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$w_k^B(x) := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (x_i^2 - x_j^2)^{2k_2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{2k_1}. \quad \gamma_B = k_2 N(N-1) + k_1 N \quad (2.16)$$

Finally, in the D -case, for $k \geq 0$,

$$L_k^D f(x) := \frac{1}{2} \Delta f(x) + k \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j \neq i} \left(\frac{1}{x_i - x_j} + \frac{1}{x_i + x_j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x) \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$w_k^D(x) := \prod_{i < j} (x_i^2 - x_j^2)^{2k}, \quad \gamma_D := kN(N-1). \quad (2.18)$$

3. BESSEL AND DUNKL PROCESSES WITH DRIFT: MOTIVATION AND DEFINITION

In this section we introduce general multivariate Bessel and Dunkl processes with drift. In the Bessel case, these processes are motivated by the fact that for particular “geometric” multiplicities $k \geq 0$ these processes appear as projections of Brownian motions with drift on associated Euclidean spaces V . For the case of Bessel processes without drifts, this is well known; see e.g. [Ki, RY, BH] and references there for the case $N = 1$, [AGZ] in the A_{N-1} -case of Dyson Brownian motions, and [R5, RV2] and references there for further examples. It was shown in [AuV] (see also [RV2]) that in these geometric situations, projections from V onto the corresponding Weyl chambers C of Brownian motions with arbitrary constant drift vectors are also Feller diffusions, where the associated transition densities and their generators can be described in terms of the associated data of the original Bessel processes (without drift) and the associated Bessel functions. Motivated by this connection, we call these Feller diffusions Bessel processes with drift on C . This connection between Bessel processes with and without drifts works also for arbitrary Bessel processes associated with root systems and multiplicities $k \geq 0$. We show that such a connection is also available for Dunkl processes on \mathbb{R}^N .

3.1. Bessel processes in the geometric setting. Let $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Euclidean vector space of finite dimension n and $K \subset O(V)$ a compact subgroup of the orthogonal group of V . Consider the exponential functions

$$e_\lambda(x) := e^{\langle \lambda, x \rangle} \quad (x, \lambda \in V)$$

and the space $V^K := \{K.x : x \in V\}$ of all K -orbits $K.x := \{g(x) : g \in K\}$ in V . The space V^K is a locally compact Hausdorff space w.r.t. the quotient topology. Let $p : V \rightarrow V^K$ be the canonical continuous and open projection, and denote the associated push forward of measures also by p . We then have the following observation; see [RV2, AuV]:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\lambda \in V$. Then, for $x \in V$, and the normalized Haar measure dk of K ,*

$$\alpha_\lambda(p(x)) := \int_K e_\lambda(k(x)) dk$$

is a well-defined continuous function on V^K , and for all bounded Borel measures

$$\mu \in M_{e,K}(V) := \{\mu \in M_b(V) : \mu \text{ } K\text{-invariant, and } e_{\tilde{\lambda}} \cdot \mu \text{ is bounded for all } \tilde{\lambda} \in V\}$$

we have

$$p(e_\lambda \cdot \mu) = \alpha_\lambda \cdot p(\mu). \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover, by [AuV], we have:

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\lambda \in V$ be some drift vector, and $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a Brownian motion (without drift) on V starting in $0 \in V$ where, by definition, the distributions $d\mu_t(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-\|x\|^2/(2t)} dx$ of B_t are K -invariant by construction. Then $(B_t + t\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Brownian motion on V with drift λ where, clearly, $B_t + t\lambda$ has the distribution $\mu_t^\lambda := e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2} e_\lambda \mu_t$ for $t \geq 0$. The projection $(p(B_t + t\lambda))_{t \geq 0}$ of this Brownian motion with drift is a Feller diffusion on V^K . Moreover:*

- (1) *The transition probabilities $p_t^\lambda(x, dy)$ and $p_t^0(x, dy)$ of the projections of $(B_t + t\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ and of $(B_t = B_t + t \cdot 0)_{t \geq 0}$ respectively with $t \geq 0$ and $x \in V^K$ are related by*

$$dp_t^\lambda(x, dy) = \frac{e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}}{\alpha_\lambda(x)} \cdot \alpha_\lambda(y) dp_t^0(x, dy) \quad (x \in V^K).$$

- (2) *The generators L^λ and L^0 of the transition semigroups of the projections of $(B_t + t\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ and of $(B_t = B_t + t \cdot 0)_{t \geq 0}$ respectively are related by*

$$L^\lambda f(y) = -\frac{\|\lambda\|^2}{2} f(y) + \frac{1}{\alpha_\lambda(y)} L^0(\alpha_\lambda f)(y) \quad (3.2)$$

for all $f \in C_0(V^K)$ which are contained in the domain $D(L^\lambda)$ of L^λ .

We now apply this result to pairs (V, K) where V^K can be identified with a closed Weyl chamber $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, and where the functions α_λ on C are Bessel functions associated with an associated root system $R \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. We discuss the examples for $R = A_{N-1}, B_N, D_N$ separately:

3.2. Geometric cases of type A. Take one of the fields $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or the skew-field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$ of quaternions with the real dimension $d = 1, 2, 4$ respectively. Let V be the vector space $H_N(\mathbb{F})$ (over \mathbb{R}) of all Hermitian $N \times N$ -matrices with the trace scalar product $\langle A, B \rangle := \Re \text{tr}(A \bar{B}^T)$. Then $K := O(N, \mathbb{F})$ acts on V as a compact group of orthogonal transformations on $H_N(\mathbb{F})$ by conjugations, and two matrices in $H_N(\mathbb{F})$ are in the same orbit precisely if they have the same real eigenvalues with the same multiplicities. We thus can identify V^K with the Weyl chamber C_N^A such that $(x_1, \dots, x_N) \in C_N^A$ corresponds to the orbit of the matrix $\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_N) \in H_N(\mathbb{F})$. Denote the associated projection as above by $p : V \rightarrow C_N^A$ and put $k := d/2 = 1/2, 1, 2$. Then, for each “drift vector” $\Lambda \in H_N(\mathbb{F})$, the function α_Λ on C_N^A from Lemma 3.1 is just the Bessel function $J_k^A(\cdot, p(\Lambda))$. Moreover, for a Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $H_N(\mathbb{F})$, its projection $(p(B_t))_{t \geq 0}$ on C_N^A is a Bessel process of type A_{N-1} with this parameter k starting in $0 \in C_N^A$ with generator (2.14) and transition probabilities (2.13). These processes are also known as Dyson Brownian motions; see e.g. [AGZ] and references there.

Now fix a drift $\Lambda \in H_N(\mathbb{F})$ and put $\lambda := p(\Lambda) \in C_N^A$. Proposition 3.2(1) and (2.13) show that then the diffusion $(X_t^{\lambda, k} := p(B_t + t\Lambda))_{t \geq 0}$ on C_N^A with start in 0 has the transition probabilities

$$K_t^{\lambda, k}(x, A) = \frac{N! \cdot c_k \cdot e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}}{t^{\gamma+N/2}} \int_A e^{-(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)/(2t)} \frac{J_k(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}) \cdot J_k(y, \lambda)}{J_k(x, \lambda)} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (y_i - y_j)^{2k} dy \quad (3.3)$$

for $t > 0$, $x \in C_N^A$ and Borel sets $A \subset C_N^A$. Furthermore, Proposition 3.2(2), (2.14), Lemma 2.5 (with the W -invariant function $g(y) := J_k(y, \lambda)$), and $\Delta_k J(\cdot, \lambda) = \|\lambda\|^2 J(\cdot, \lambda)$ ensure that this diffusion has the generator

$$L_k^{A, \lambda} f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta f(x) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} k \frac{f_{x_i}(x) - f_{x_j}(x)}{x_i - x_j} + \frac{\langle \nabla_x J_k(x, \lambda), \nabla f(x) \rangle}{J_k(x, \lambda)} \quad (3.4)$$

for W -invariant $f \in C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ where ∇_x denotes the gradient w.r.t. x .

The result for the root system B_N is similar:

3.3. Geometric cases of type B. Fix one of the (skew-)fields $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ with the real dimension $d = 1, 2, 4$ as above. For integers $M \geq N \geq 1$ consider the vector spaces $V := M_{M,N}(\mathbb{F})$ of all $M \times N$ -matrices over \mathbb{F} with the real dimension dMN and the scalar product $\langle A, B \rangle := \Re \text{tr}(A\bar{B}^T)$.

For $A \in M_{M,N}(\mathbb{F})$ consider the matrix $A^* := \bar{A}^T \in M_{N,M}(\mathbb{F})$ as well as as the matrix A^*A in the cone $\Pi_N(\mathbb{F})$ of all $N \times N$ positive semidefinite matrices over \mathbb{F} . Furthermore, consider the spectral mapping $\sigma_N : \Pi_N(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow C_N^B$ which assigns to each matrix in $\Pi_N(\mathbb{F})$ its ordered spectrum. We now consider the projection

$$p : M_{M,N}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow C_N^B, \quad A \mapsto \sqrt{\sigma_N(A^*A)}$$

where the symbol $\sqrt{\cdot}$ means taking square roots in all coordinates.

It is well known that for a Brownian motion $(B_t^M)_{t \geq 0}$ on $M_{M,N}(\mathbb{F})$, the process $((B_t^M)^* B_t^M)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Wishart process on $\Pi_N(\mathbb{F})$ with shape parameter M (see [Bru, DDMY] and references there for details on Wishart processes), and that $(p(B_t^M))_{t \geq 0}$ is a Bessel process on C_N^B of type B_N with multiplicities $k = (k_1, k_2) := ((M - N + 1) \cdot d/2, d/2)$ (see e.g. [R5, RV2]).

For Brownian motions with drift we now proceed as in the preceding example. Fix a drift matrix $\Lambda \in M_{M,N}(\mathbb{F})$ and put $\lambda := p(\Lambda) \in C_N^B$. By Proposition 3.2(1) and (2.13), the diffusion $(X_t^{\lambda,k} := p(B_t + t\Lambda))_{t \geq 0}$ on C_N^B then has the transition probabilities

$$K_t^{\lambda,k}(x, A) = \frac{2^N N! \cdot c_k \cdot e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}}{t^{\gamma+N/2}} \int_A e^{-(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)/(2t)} \frac{J_k(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}) \cdot J_k(y, \lambda)}{J_k(x, \lambda)} \cdot w_k^B(y) dy \quad (3.5)$$

for $t > 0$, $x \in C_N^B$ and $A \subset C_N^B$ with w_k^B as in (2.16). Furthermore, by the same arguments as before, this diffusion has the generator

$$\begin{aligned} L_k^{B,\lambda} f(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta f(x) + k_2 \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j \neq i} \left(\frac{1}{x_i - x_j} + \frac{1}{x_i + x_j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x) \\ &\quad + k_1 \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x) + \frac{\langle \nabla_x J_k(x, \lambda), \nabla f(x) \rangle}{J_k(x, \lambda)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

for W -invariant $f \in C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Besides these examples there is a further geometric example which leads to a Bessel process of type B_N : For $N \geq 2$, consider the vector space $V := \text{Skew}(2N+1, \mathbb{R})$ of all skew-symmetric real matrices of dimension $2N+1$ on which the compact group $K := \text{SO}(2N+1, \mathbb{R})$ acts by conjugation. V^K can be identified with C_N^B in a canonical way; see e.g. [T] and [M] for the random matrix context. Projections of Brownian motions on V with and without drifts then again lead to processes of type B_N as above with $k_1 = k_2 = 1$; see also Section 4.1 in [AuV] for further details.

3.4. Geometric cases of type D. For the root system D_N there also exists a similar example with $V := \text{Skew}(2N, \mathbb{R})$ on which $K = \text{SO}(2N)$ acts by conjugation. Here, V^K can be identified with C_N^D , and projections of Brownian motions without drifts on V are Bessel processes of type D_N with $k = 1$; see Appendix C in [Kn] and for its connections to random matrices [M]. The corresponding data for the projections of Brownian motions with drifts have the same form as above; see Section 4.2 in [AuV].

As all examples of Bessel processes and their modifications above have the same structure, these examples motivate the following general construction of Bessel processes with drift:

Definition and Theorem 3.3. Let $R, R_+, W, C, k \geq 0$ be given as above, and let $\lambda \in C$ be a “drift vector”. Then the operator

$$L_k^\lambda f(x) := \frac{1}{2} \Delta f(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \frac{\langle \nabla f(x), \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle} + \frac{\langle \nabla_x J_k(x, \lambda), \nabla f(x) \rangle}{J_k(x, \lambda)} \quad (3.7)$$

for W -invariant $f \in C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the generator of a Feller diffusion on C with reflecting boundaries. These diffusions have the transition densities

$$K_t^{\lambda, k}(x, A) = \frac{|W| \cdot c_k \cdot e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}}{t^{\gamma+N/2}} \int_A e^{-(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)/(2t)} \frac{J_k\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \cdot J_k(y, \lambda)}{J_k(x, \lambda)} \cdot w_k(y) dy \quad (3.8)$$

for $t > 0$, $x \in C$ and Borel sets $A \subset C$ with the weight w_k in (2.9). These diffusions have modifications with continuous paths; they will be called Bessel processes of type R with multiplicity k and drift λ .

We prove this result below together with a corresponding result for Dunkl processes with drift. In the case of Dunkl processes however, there are two “canonical” definitions of such processes. In the first version we replace in all instances Bessel functions by Dunkl kernels. We show below that these processes with drift vectors have less in common with the Bessel processes in Theorem 3.3 than in the case $\lambda = 0$ without drift. However, it will turn out in Section 5 that the name “Dunkl processes with drift λ ” fits quite well to these processes. For this reason we study these processes more closely below. On the other hand, we can define Dunkl processes with drift by replacing the Bessel functions by Dunkl kernels only partially. It will turn out that these processes are closely related with the Bessel processes with drift in Theorem 3.3 (see Remark 3.5 for details). On the other hand, these Dunkl-type processes with drift depend on the Weyl group orbit of the drift vector λ and not on λ itself. This means that the interpretation of λ as a drift on \mathbb{R}^N is not really correct here. Nevertheless, we call these processes hybrid Dunkl-Bessel processes with drift λ . The details of both definitions are as follows:

Definition and Theorem 3.4. Let $R, R_+, W, C, k \geq 0$ and a “drift” $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$ as above.

(1) The operator

$$\begin{aligned} L_k^{\lambda, \text{Dunkl}} f(x) := & \frac{1}{2} \Delta f(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \frac{\langle \nabla f(x), \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle} + \frac{\langle \nabla_x E_k(x, \lambda), \nabla f(x) \rangle}{E_k(x, \lambda)} \\ & - \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{k(\alpha) \|\alpha\|^2}{2} \cdot \frac{E_k(\sigma_\alpha x, \lambda)}{E_k(x, \lambda)} \cdot \frac{f(x) - f(\sigma_\alpha x)}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for $f \in C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the generator of a Feller process on \mathbb{R}^N . These processes have the transition densities

$$K_t^{\lambda, k, \text{Dunkl}}(x, A) = \frac{c_k \cdot e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}}{t^{\gamma+N/2}} \int_A e^{-(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)/(2t)} \frac{E_k\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \cdot E_k(y, \lambda)}{E_k(x, \lambda)} \cdot w_k(y) dy \quad (3.10)$$

for $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and Borel sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with w_k as in (2.9). These Feller processes have modifications such that all paths are right continuous with left limits (RCLL). Such processes will be called Dunkl processes of type R with multiplicity k and drift λ .

(2) *The operator*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}_k^{\lambda, Dunkl} f(x) := & \frac{1}{2} \Delta f(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \frac{\langle \nabla f(x), \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle} + \frac{\langle \nabla_x J_k(x, \lambda), \nabla f(x) \rangle}{J_k(x, \lambda)} \\ & - \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{k(\alpha) \|\alpha\|^2}{2} \cdot \frac{f(x) - f(\sigma_\alpha x)}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

for $f \in C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the generator of a Feller process on \mathbb{R}^N with the transition densities

$$\tilde{K}_t^{\lambda, Dunkl}(x, A) = \frac{c_k \cdot e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}}{t^{\gamma+N/2}} \int_A e^{-(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)/(2t)} \frac{E_k\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \cdot J_k(y, \lambda)}{J_k(x, \lambda)} \cdot w_k(y) dy \quad (3.12)$$

for $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and Borel sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with w_k as above. These Feller processes have modifications with RCLL paths and will be called hybrid Dunkl-Bessel processes of type R with multiplicity k and drift λ .

We now prove part (1) of Theorem 3.4. The proofs of part (2) and of Theorem 3.3 are completely analog. For this reason we skip the proofs in these cases.

Proof of Theorem 3.4(1). In the case $\lambda = 0$ without drift this result is shown in [R2] (see Section 2.1 above) on the basis of the reproducing kernel formula

$$c_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} E_k(y, \lambda) E_k(x, y) e^{-\|y\|^2/2} \cdot w_k(y) dy = e^{(\langle x, x \rangle + \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle)/2} E_k(x, \lambda) \quad (x, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N) \quad (3.13)$$

from [D1] and some renorming using Proposition 2.1(2). (3.13) and Proposition 2.1(2) also yield readily that $K_t^{\lambda, k, Dunkl}(x, \mathbb{R}^N) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t > 0$, i.e., $(K_t^{\lambda, k, Dunkl})_{t>0}$ is a family of Markov kernels whose associated transition operators

$$T_t^{\lambda, k, Dunkl} f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(y) K_t^{\lambda, k, Dunkl}(x, dy)$$

map continuous functions $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with compact support into continuous functions in $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which are zero at infinity, by the definition of the $K_t^{\lambda, k, Dunkl}$ and by the exponential bounds for E_k in Proposition 2.1(4). Hence, the $T_t^{\lambda, k, Dunkl}$ are Feller operators. Furthermore, (3.10) implies that

$$T_t^{\lambda, k, Dunkl} f(x) = \frac{e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}}{E_k(x, \lambda)} \cdot T_t^{0, k, Dunkl}(f(\cdot) \cdot E_k(\cdot, \lambda))(x) \quad (3.14)$$

for $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This conjugation identity and the well known semigroup property $T_t^{0, k, Dunkl} \circ T_s^{0, k, Dunkl} = T_{s+t}^{0, k, Dunkl}$ ($s, t > 0$) from [R2] imply that this semigroup property is also available for $(T_t^{\lambda, k, Dunkl})_{t>0}$.

In order to show that $(T_t^{\lambda, k, Dunkl})_{t \geq 0}$ (with $T_0 = Id$) is a Feller semigroup we prove that

$$\|T_t^{\lambda, k, Dunkl} f - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } t \downarrow 0 \quad \text{and all } f \in C_c^{(\infty)}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (3.15)$$

which shows that this limit holds for all $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by the density of $C_c^{(\infty)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in $(C_0(\mathbb{R}^N), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$. In order to prove (3.15), we use the Dunkl transform and consider the particular functions

$$f_h(x) := \frac{E_k(x, h)}{E_k(x, \lambda)} e^{-\|x\|^2} \quad (h \in \mathbb{C}^N, x \in \mathbb{R}^N)$$

which are contained in $C_0^{(\infty)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by Proposition 2.1(4) and Corollary 2.4. The definition of $T_t^{\lambda,k,Dunkl}$ and the reproducing kernel identity (3.13) now imply that for all $h \in \mathbb{C}^N$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_t^{\lambda,k,Dunkl}(f_h)(x) &= \frac{e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}}{E_k(x, \lambda)} T_t^{0,k,Dunkl}(e^{-\|x\|^2} E_k(x, h)) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}}{E_k(x, \lambda)} e^{t\langle h, h \rangle} e^{-\|x\|^2} E_k(x, h) = e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2} e^{t\langle h, h \rangle} f_h(x) \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

and thus

$$\|T_t^{\lambda,k,Dunkl}(f_h) - f_h\|_\infty \leq \|f_h\|_\infty \cdot |e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2} e^{t\langle h, h \rangle} - 1| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } t \downarrow 0. \quad (3.17)$$

We now consider some $f \in C_c^{(\infty)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We recapitulate from [dJ] that the Dunkl transform with

$$\hat{f}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} E_k(y, iz) f(y) w_k(y) dy \quad \text{for } f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N, w_k(x) dx), z \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

maps the usual Schwartz space $S(\mathbb{R}^N)$ onto itself. If we apply this to the Schwartz function $f(x)E_k(x, \lambda)e^{\|x\|^2}$, we thus can write f as

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{-\|x\|^2}}{E_k(x, \lambda)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} E_k(x, ih) \phi(h) w_k(h) dh$$

with some Schwartz function ϕ . Therefore, by (3.16) and Fubini,

$$T_t^{\lambda,k,Dunkl}(f)(x) - f(x) = \frac{e^{-\|x\|^2}}{E_k(x, \lambda)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2} e^{-\|h\|^2 t} - 1) E_k(x, ih) \phi(h) w_k(h) dh. \quad (3.18)$$

As $e^{-\|x\|^2}/E_k(x, \lambda)$ remains bounded by Corollary 2.4, $|E_k(x, ih)| \leq 1$ by Proposition 2.1(4),

$$|e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2} e^{-\|h\|^2 t} - 1| \leq (\|\lambda\|^2/2 + \|h\|^2)t,$$

and as $h \mapsto (\|\lambda\|^2/2 + \|h\|^2)\phi(h)$ is again a Schwartz function, we obtain that

$$\|T_t^{\lambda,k,Dunkl}(f) - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$$

for $t \downarrow 0$ as claimed. In summary, we see that $(T_t^{\lambda,k,Dunkl})_{t \geq 0}$ (with $T_0 = Id$) is a Feller semigroup.

We now consider the generators

$$L_k^{\lambda,Dunkl} f := \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (T_t^{\lambda,k,Dunkl}(f) - f), \quad L_k^{0,Dunkl} f := \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (T_t^{0,k,Dunkl}(f) - f)$$

of our transition semigroups with and without drift for $f \in C_c^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ respectively. Then $f \cdot E_k(\cdot, \lambda) \in C_c^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} L_k^{\lambda,Dunkl} f(y) &= \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}}{E_k(y, \lambda)} \cdot T_t^{0,k,Dunkl}(f(\cdot) \cdot E_k(\cdot, \lambda))(y) - f(y) \right) \\ &= \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2} - 1}{t \cdot E_k(y, \lambda)} \cdot T_t^{0,k,Dunkl}(f(\cdot) \cdot E_k(\cdot, \lambda))(y) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{E_k(y, \lambda)} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (T_t^{0,k,Dunkl}(E_k(\cdot, \lambda) f(\cdot))(y) - E_k(y, \lambda) f(y)) \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$L_k^{\lambda,Dunkl} f(y) = -\frac{\|\lambda\|^2}{2} f(y) + \frac{1}{E_k(y, \lambda)} L_k^{0,Dunkl}(E_k(\cdot, \lambda) f(\cdot))(y). \quad (3.19)$$

$L_k^{0,Dunkl} = \Delta_k/2$, the product rule in Lemma 2.5, and (2.4) now yield that

$$\begin{aligned} L_k^{\lambda,Dunkl} f(y) &= -\frac{\|\lambda\|^2}{2} f(y) + \frac{1}{E_k(y, \lambda)} \left(\frac{f(y) \Delta_k E_k(y, \lambda)}{2} + \frac{\Delta_k f(y) E_k(y, \lambda)}{2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle \nabla f(y), \nabla E_k(y, \lambda) \rangle + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \|\alpha\|^2 \frac{(f(y) - f(\sigma_\alpha y))(E_k(y, \lambda) - E_k(\sigma_\alpha y, \lambda))}{2\langle \alpha, y \rangle^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\Delta_k f(y)}{2} + \frac{\langle \nabla f(y), \nabla E_k(y, \lambda) \rangle}{E_k(y, \lambda)} + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \frac{\|\alpha\|^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{E_k(\sigma_\alpha y, \lambda)}{E_k(y, \lambda)} \right) \frac{f(y) - f(\sigma_\alpha y)}{\langle \alpha, y \rangle^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This and (2.7) now show that $L_k^{\lambda,Dunkl}$ is given as in the theorem. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.5. If one compares the transition probabilities of hybrid Dunkl-Bessel processes with drift in (3.12) with those of Bessel processes with drift in (3.8), it follows by a standard argument on Markov processes (see e.g. Exercise (1.17) in Ch. III of [RY]) that the image of a hybrid Dunkl-Bessel process with drift under the canonical projection from \mathbb{R}^N onto the associated Weyl chamber C (which may be regarded as the space of all W -orbits of \mathbb{R}^N) is just a corresponding Bessel process with drift. In this way, Theorem 3.3 is a direct consequence from Theorem 3.4(2).

We point out that (for $\lambda \neq 0$) such a connection is not longer valid between Dunkl and Bessel processes with drift.

There are several known relations between different Dunkl and Bessel processes without drifts; see e.g. [RV1, RV2, CGY, AnV]. Most of them hold also for processes with drift. For instance:

Remark 3.6. For $N \geq 2$ consider the root system $R = A_{N-1}$ on \mathbb{R}^N and $k \geq 0$. Let $\mathbf{1} := (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and decompose \mathbb{R}^N into $\mathbb{R} \cdot \mathbf{1}$ and its orthogonal complement

$$\mathbf{1}^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \sum_i x_i = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Denote the orthogonal projections from \mathbb{R}^N onto $\mathbb{R} \cdot \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1}^\perp$ by $\pi_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\pi_{\mathbf{1}^\perp}$ respectively. Then

$$E_k(x, y) = e^{\langle \pi_{\mathbf{1}}(x), \pi_{\mathbf{1}}(y) \rangle} \cdot E_k(\pi_{\mathbf{1}^\perp}(x), \pi_{\mathbf{1}^\perp}(y)) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N). \quad (3.20)$$

Hence, by (3.10), for a Dunkl process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on \mathbb{R}^N with drift $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$, its projection $(\pi_{\mathbf{1}}(X_t) = X_{t,1} + \dots + X_{t,N})_{t \geq 0}$ is a one-dimensional Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R} \cdot \mathbf{1}$ with drift $\pi_{\mathbf{1}}(\lambda) = (\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_N) \cdot \mathbf{1}$, and $(\pi_{\mathbf{1}^\perp}(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a Dunkl process on $\mathbf{1}^\perp$ (associated with the root system $\pi_{\mathbf{1}^\perp}(R)$ of type A_{N-1} on $\mathbf{1}^\perp$) with drift $\pi_{\mathbf{1}^\perp}(\lambda)$. Moreover, these processes are independent whenever this holds for the components $\pi_{\mathbf{1}}(X_0)$ and $\pi_{\mathbf{1}^\perp}(X_0)$ of X_0 .

We next prove that under some (necessary) condition on the initial distributions, the radial parts $(\|X_t\|)_{t \geq 0}$ of Dunkl processes $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with drift on \mathbb{R}^N are Bessel processes on $[0, \infty[$ with drift, and that the same holds for Bessel and hybrid Dunkl-processes with drift. This result generalizes Theorem 4.11 of [RV1] for Dunkl processes without drift. This result in [RV1] is based on some connection between the Dunkl kernels E_k and the one-dimensional spherical Bessel functions

$$j_\alpha(z) := \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (z/2)^{2n}}{n! \cdot \Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)} \quad (\alpha \geq -1/2, z \in \mathbb{C}) \quad (3.21)$$

which are related to the Bessel functions $J_k(x, y)$ above for $N = 1$, $R = \{\pm 1\}$, and $k \geq 0$ by

$$J_k(x, y) = j_{k-1/2}(ixy); \quad (3.22)$$

see e.g. Eq. 2.1(2) in [RV1] or [D1, R1].

We now fix a root system R on \mathbb{R}^N with some associated closed Weyl chamber $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, a multiplicity function $k \geq 0$, and a drift vector $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then, by Eq. (2.6) in [RV1],

$$\int_{S^{N-1}} E_k(x, y) w_k(y) d\sigma(y) = d_k \cdot j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(i\|x\|) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N) \quad (3.23)$$

with the surface measure $d\sigma$ on the unit sphere $S^{N-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and the constant

$$d_k := \int_{S^{N-1}} w_k(y) d\sigma(y) = \frac{2}{c_k \cdot \Gamma(\gamma + N/2)}. \quad (3.24)$$

In order to describe the necessary condition on the initial distributionns, we now say that a probability measure $\mu \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is Dunkl- λ -radial if it has the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f d\mu = \frac{1}{d_k} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{N-1}} \frac{f(rz) E_k(\lambda, rz)}{j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(i\|\lambda\|r)} w_k(z) d\sigma(z) d\nu(r) \quad (3.25)$$

for all bounded measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}^N and some probability measure $\nu \in M^1([0, \infty[)$. Please notice that the μ defined in (3.25) are in fact probability measures. With this notation we have:

Proposition 3.7. *Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Dunkl process with drift $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$ on \mathbb{R}^N such that its initial distribution P_{X_0} is Dunkl- λ -radial. Then for all $t \geq 0$, the distributions P_{X_t} are Dunkl- λ -radial, and $(\|X_t\|)_{t \geq 0}$ is a one-dimensional Bessel process with multiplicity $\gamma + N/2 - 1/2$ and drift $\|\lambda\|$ in the sense of Theorem 3.3.*

Please notice that this result can be applied in particular to the case $P_{X_0} = \delta_0 \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. Let $\mu := P_{X_0} \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be related to $\nu \in M^1([0, \infty[)$ as described in (3.25). Then (3.10), (3.23), Fubini, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(y) dy = \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{N-1}} h(\rho y) d\sigma(y) \rho^{N-1} d\rho$$

show that for each bounded measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}^N , the measure P_{X_t} at time $t > 0$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f dP_{X_t} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(y) K_t(x, dy) dP_{X_0}(x) \\
&= \frac{c_k \cdot e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}}{d_k t^{\gamma+N/2}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{N-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-(r^2+\|y\|^2)/(2t)} f(y) \cdot \frac{E_k(\frac{rz}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}) \cdot E_k(y, \lambda)}{E_k(rz, \lambda)} w_k(y) dy \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{E_k(rz, \lambda)}{j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(i\|\lambda\|r)} w_k(z) d\sigma(z) d\nu(r) \\
&= \frac{c_k \cdot e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}}{d_k t^{\gamma+N/2}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{N-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-(r^2+\|y\|^2)/(2t)} f(y) E_k(\frac{rz}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}) \cdot E_k(y, \lambda) w_k(y) dy \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{1}{j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(i\|\lambda\|r)} w_k(z) d\sigma(z) d\nu(r) \\
&= \frac{c_k \cdot e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}}{t^{\gamma+N/2}} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-(r^2+\|y\|^2)/(2t)} f(y) \frac{j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(ir \cdot \|y\|/t) \cdot E_k(y, \lambda)}{j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(i\|\lambda\|r)} w_k(y) dy d\nu(r) \\
&= \frac{c_k \cdot e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}}{t^{\gamma+N/2}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{N-1}} e^{-(r^2+\rho^2)/(2t)} f(\rho y) \frac{j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(ir\rho/t) \cdot E_k(\rho y, \lambda)}{j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(i\|\lambda\|r)} w_k(y) d\sigma(y) \\
&\quad \cdot \rho^{2\gamma+N-1} d\rho d\nu(r).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

This shows that P_{X_t} is again Dunkl- λ -radial. Moreover we conclude from this computation for $\nu = \delta_r$ and from (3.23) and (3.24) that for all $r \geq 0$, $0 \leq a \leq b$, $A := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : a \leq \|x\| \leq b\}$, and $s, t \geq 0$, we have the conditional probabilities

$$\begin{aligned}
P(X_{s+t} \in A | \|X_s\| = r) &= \frac{1}{d_k} \int_{S^{N-1}} K_t(rz, A) \frac{E_k(\lambda, rz)}{j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(i\|\lambda\|r)} w_k(z) d\sigma(z) \\
&= \frac{c_k \cdot e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}}{t^{\gamma+N/2}} \int_a^b \int_{S^{N-1}} e^{-(r^2+\rho^2)/(2t)} \frac{j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(ir\rho/t) \cdot E_k(\rho y, \lambda)}{j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(i\|\lambda\|r)} w_k(y) d\sigma(y) \rho^{2\gamma+N-1} d\rho \\
&= \frac{d_k c_k \cdot e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}}{t^{\gamma+N/2}} \int_a^b e^{-(r^2+\rho^2)/(2t)} \frac{j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(ir\rho/t) j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(i\rho \cdot \|\lambda\|)}{j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(i\|\lambda\|r)} \rho^{2\gamma+N-1} d\rho \\
&= \frac{2e^{-\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}}{\Gamma(\gamma+N/2) t^{\gamma+N/2}} \int_a^b e^{-(r^2+\rho^2)/(2t)} \frac{j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(ir\rho/t) j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(i\rho \cdot \|\lambda\|)}{j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(i\|\lambda\|r)} \rho^{2\gamma+N-1} d\rho.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

If we use (3.22) and compare the last line of (3.27) with the transition probabilities (3.10) for $N = 1$ and multiplicity $\gamma + N/2 - 1/2$, we see readily that $(\|X_t\|)_{t \geq 0}$ is a one-dimensional Bessel process with multiplicity $\gamma + N/2 - 1/2$ and drift $\|\lambda\|$ as claimed. \square

Proposition 3.7 can be transferred to Bessel processes with drift on the Weyl chamber C as well as to hybrid Dunkl-Bessel-processes with drift on \mathbb{R}^N . For this we have to modify the λ -radiality of measures in (3.25). We now say that a probability measure $\mu \in M^1(C)$ is Bessel- λ -radial if it has the form

$$\int_C f d\mu = \frac{|W|}{d_k} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{N-1} \cap C} \frac{f(rz) J_k(\lambda, rz)}{j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(i\|\lambda\|r)} w_k(z) d\sigma(z) d\nu(r), \tag{3.28}$$

and we say that $\mu \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is hybrid-Dunkl-Bessel- λ -radial if it has the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f d\mu = \frac{1}{d_k} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{N-1}} \frac{f(rz) J_k(\lambda, rz)}{j_{\gamma+N/2-1}(i\|\lambda\|r)} w_k(z) d\sigma(z) d\nu(r), \quad (3.29)$$

where in both cases f is a bounded measurable function on C or \mathbb{R}^N and $\nu \in M^1([0, \infty])$ is a suitable probability measure. Again, measures μ satisfying (3.28) or (3.29) are probability measures. The methods of the preceding proposition now lead to the following result where we skip the proof.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be either a Bessel process with drift $\lambda \in C$ on C , whose initial distribution P_{X_0} is Bessel- λ -radial, or a hybrid Dunkl-Bessel process with drift $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$ on \mathbb{R}^N , whose initial distribution P_{X_0} is hybrid-Dunkl-Bessel- λ -radial. Then for all $t \geq 0$, the distributions P_{X_t} are Bessel- λ -radial or hybrid-Dunkl-Bessel- λ -radial respectively, and $(\|X_t\|)_{t \geq 0}$ is a one-dimensional Bessel process with multiplicity $\gamma + N/2 - 1/2$ and drift $\|\lambda\|$ in the sense of Theorem 3.3.*

4. A GIRSANOV-TYPE RESULT

In this section we present a Girsanov-type result for Dunkl processes, Bessel processes, and hybrid Dunkl-Bessel processes with drift. This result relates these processes with drift with those without drift. The results and the proofs are analog to the classical case for Brownian motions with constant drift. For this we first recapitulate a Levy-type characterization for processes without drift; see Proposition 6.1 of [RV1] in the Dunkl case. The result and its proof there can be easily extended to the following result:

Proposition 4.1. (1) *Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a stochastic process on \mathbb{R}^N with RCLL paths and start in 0. Then $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Dunkl process without drift if and only if*

$$(E_k(X_t, iz) \cdot e^{t\langle z, z \rangle / 2})_{t \geq 0} \quad (4.1)$$

is a \mathbb{C} -valued martingale (w.r.t. the canonical filtration) for each $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Moreover, the processes in (4.1) are martingales for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^N$.

(2) *For each Dunkl process $(X_t^\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ with drift $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and start in 0, and for each $z \in \mathbb{C}^N$,*

$$\left(\frac{E_k(X_t^\lambda, z)}{E_k(X_t^\lambda, \lambda)} \cdot e^{-((\langle z, z \rangle + \|\lambda\|^2)t/2)} \right)_{t \geq 0} \quad (4.2)$$

is a martingale.

(3) *The statements in (1) and (2) hold also for Bessel processes, when the Dunkl kernels E_k in (4.1) and (4.2) will be replaced by the Bessel functions J_k .*

(4) *For hybrid Dunkl-Bessel processes $(\tilde{X}_t^\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ with drift $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and start in 0, and for each $z \in \mathbb{C}^N$,*

$$\left(\frac{E_k(X_t^\lambda, z)}{J_k(X_t^\lambda, \lambda)} \cdot e^{-((\langle z, z \rangle + \|\lambda\|_2^2)t/2)} \right)_{t \geq 0} \quad (4.3)$$

is a martingale.

We also need the following classical fact in Girsanov theory; see e.g. [RY]:

Lemma 4.2. *Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, p) be a probability space with some filtration, and let $T > 0$. Let $(Z_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be a $]0, \infty]$ -valued martingale with $E(Z_0) = 1$. Then a \mathbb{C} -valued adapted process $(M_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a martingale w.r.t. P if and only if $(M_t/Z_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a martingale w.r.t. the probability measure $Z_T P$.*

With these results we obtain the following Girsanov-type result:

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(X_t^{\lambda, Dunkl})_{t \geq 0}$ be a Dunkl process on \mathbb{R}^N with drift $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and start in $0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, which is defined on some probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) . Then for each $T > 0$, $(X_t^{\lambda, Dunkl})_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a Dunkl process without drift with start in $0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ w.r.t. the probability measure $\frac{e^{\|\lambda\|^2 T/2}}{E_k(X_T, \lambda)} \cdot P$ on (Ω, \mathcal{A}) .*

Proof. Let $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then, by Proposition 4.1(2),

$$\left(\frac{E_k(X_t, iz) e^{\|z\|^2 t/2}}{E_k(X_t, \lambda) e^{\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}} \right)_{t \geq 0}$$

is a martingale w.r.t. P . In particular, for $z = 0$,

$$Z_t := \left(\frac{1}{E_k(X_t, \lambda) e^{\|\lambda\|^2 t/2}} \right)_{t \geq 0}$$

is a positive martingale w.r.t. P . Hence, By Lemma 4.2, $(\frac{1}{E_k(X_t, iz) e^{\|z\|^2 t/2}})_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a martingale w.r.t. $Z_T P$ for each $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$. The claim now follows from Proposition 4.1(1). \square

Remark 4.4. The assertion of Theorem 4.3 holds also for Bessel processes and hybrid Dunkl-Bessel processes where here in both cases the Dunkl kernel E_k has to be replaced by the Bessel function J_k in the density by parts (3) and (4) of Proposition 4.1.

Remark 4.5. The generators (3.7), (3.9), and (3.11) of Bessel, Dunkl, and hybrid Dunkl-Bessel processes with drift respectively lead to stochastic differential equations (SDEs) for these processes. For these processes without drift, these SDEs are studied in particular in [CGY, GY1, GY2, GY3]. For general existence and uniqueness of associated initial value problems we refer to [GM]. These existence and uniqueness results hold also for the processes with drift on the compact time intervals $[0, T]$ ($T > 0$), as the Giranov-type theorem 4.3 tranfers solutions without drift into solutions with drift and vice versa.

5. MOMENTS, STRONG LAWS OF LARGE NUMBERS, AND CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREMS FOR DUNKL PROCESSES WITH DRIFT

For the multiplicity $k = 0$, Dunkl processes on \mathbb{R}^N without drifts are Brownian motions, and, by [R2, RV1, RV2], Dunkl processes without drift with $k \geq 0$ have much in common with Brownian motions on \mathbb{R}^N . In particular, they are martingales (in all coordinates), there exist associated heat polynomials, and a stochastic analysis as well as a Wiener chaos can be established; see [CGY, GY1, GY2, GY3]. We show that Dunkl processes on \mathbb{R}^N with drift $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$ also have much in common with Brownian motions on \mathbb{R}^N with drift λ .

For instance, we have the following result for Dunkl processes $(X_t^\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ with drift $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $(X_t^\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Dunkl process with drift $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $k \geq 0$ such that the starting distribution $P_{X_0^\lambda} \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ has first moments. Then $(X_t^\lambda - t\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale w.r.t. the canonical filtration of $(X_t^\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$. In particular, for $P_{X_0^\lambda} = \delta_0$, $E(X_t^\lambda) = t\lambda$.*

The proof of this theorem is based on the following observation:

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, and $p_i(x) := x_i$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}^N$). Then $L_k^{\lambda, Dunkl}(p_i)(x) = \lambda_i$.*

Proof. We conclude from (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} L_k^{\lambda, Dunkl}(p_i)(x) &= \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \frac{\alpha_i}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle} + \frac{\partial_{x_i} E_k(x, \lambda)}{E_k(x, \lambda)} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{k(\alpha) \|\alpha\|^2}{2} \frac{E_k(\sigma_\alpha x, \lambda)}{E_k(x, \lambda)} \cdot \frac{p_i(x) - p_i(\sigma_\alpha x)}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using $(\sigma_\alpha x)_i = x_i - 2 \frac{\langle \alpha, x \rangle}{\|\alpha\|^2} \alpha_i$ and (2.4), we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_k^{\lambda, Dunkl}(p_i)(x) &= \frac{1}{E_k(x, \lambda)} \left(\partial_{x_i} E_k(x, \lambda) + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \frac{\alpha_i}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle} E_k(x, \lambda) - \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) E_k(\sigma_\alpha x, \lambda) \frac{\alpha_i}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{E_k(x, \lambda)} \left(\partial_{x_i} E_k(x, \lambda) + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{k(\alpha)}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle} (E_k(x, \lambda) - E_k(\sigma_\alpha x, \lambda)) \alpha_i \right) \\ &= \frac{T_{e_i} E_k(x, \lambda)}{E_k(x, \lambda)} = \lambda_i \end{aligned}$$

(where T_{e_i} stands for the Dunkl operator $T_{e_i}(k)$) which proves the statement. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1. $L_k^{\lambda, Dunkl} c = 0$ for constants c , Lemma 5.2, and Theorem 3.4 show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} y_i K_t^{\lambda, k, Dunkl}(x, dy) = e^{t \cdot L_k^{\lambda, Dunkl}}(p_i)(x) = x_i + t \lambda_i \quad (t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N)$$

and thus $E(X_{s+t, i} - (s+t)\lambda_i | \mathcal{F}_s) = X_{s, i} - s\lambda_i$ for $s, t \geq 0$ and the canonical filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ as claimed. \square

Remark 5.3. Having corresponding results for higher moments of Dunkl processes without drifts in [RV1, RV2] in mind, one might expect that Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 can be extended to higher moments. However, for $i \neq j$ and $p_{i,j}(x) := x_i x_j$, the methods in the proof of Lemma 5.2 yield

$$\begin{aligned} L_k^{\lambda, Dunkl}(p_{i,j})(x) &= \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \frac{\alpha_i x_j + \alpha_j x_i}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle} + \frac{(x_j \partial_{x_i} + x_i \partial_{x_j}) E_k(x, \lambda)}{E_k(x, \lambda)} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{k(\alpha) \|\alpha\|^2}{2} \frac{E_k(\sigma_\alpha x, \lambda)}{E_k(x, \lambda)} \cdot \frac{p_{i,j}(x) - p_{i,j}(\sigma_\alpha x)}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle^2}. \end{aligned}$$

As

$$p_{i,j}(x) - p_{i,j}(\sigma_\alpha x) = 2 \frac{\langle \alpha, x \rangle}{\|\alpha\|^2} (x_j \alpha_i + x_i \alpha_j) - 4 \frac{\langle \alpha, x \rangle^2}{\|\alpha\|^4} \alpha_i \alpha_j,$$

we obtain

$$L_k^{\lambda, Dunkl}(p_{i,j})(x) = \lambda_i x_j + \lambda_j x_i + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{k(\alpha)}{\|\alpha\|^2} \alpha_i \alpha_j \frac{E_k(\sigma_\alpha x, \lambda)}{E_k(x, \lambda)}. \quad (5.1)$$

Moreover, for $i = j$ and $p_{i,i}(x) := x_i^2$ and for higher moments we obtain a similar result with the same sum in the end of the right hand side such that the nice approach above for the first moments does not seem to work for higher moments of Dunkl processes with drift $\lambda \neq 0$.

The Dunkl operators $T_\xi(k)$ map polynomials (in N variables) of degree $n \geq 1$ into polynomials of degree $n - 1$. This might suggest that conjugations

$$T_\xi^\lambda(k)(f)(x) := \frac{1}{E_k(x, \lambda)} T_\xi(k)(E(\cdot, \lambda) \cdot f)(x)$$

with drift vectors λ also map polynomials into polynomials. This is not correct in general. For instance, for $N = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\xi = 1$, $R_+ = \{1\}$, and $f(x) = x$, a short computation yields $T_\xi^\lambda(k)(f)(x) = 1 + x + 2k \frac{E_k(-x, 1)}{E_k(x, 1)}$, which usually fails to be a polynomial; see e.g. Example 6.3.

In summary, usual higher moments do not seem to fit to Dunkl processes with drift $\lambda \neq 0$. It can be checked that this also holds for Bessel processes and hybrid Dunkl-Bessel processes with drift $\lambda \neq 0$ (even for moment of first order). In this way, Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 are remarkable singular results. In order to obtain strong laws of large numbers for our processes with drift, we now use the approach of the theory of modified moments for random walks on commutative hypergroups in [Z2], Ch. 7 of [BH], and references cited there where we restrict our attention to first and second moments for practical reasons.

We now fix $R, R_+, W, C, k \geq 0, E_k$, and the drift vector $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and study this approach for the generators $L_k^{\lambda, k, Dunkl}$, i.e. Dunkl processes with drift, first.

Definition 5.4. Define the multivariate (modified) moment functions of order 1 and 2 by

$$m_1^{Dunkl}(x) := (m_{1;1}^{Dunkl}(x), \dots, m_{1;N}^{Dunkl}(x)) := \frac{1}{E_k(x, \lambda)} \nabla_\lambda E_k(x, \lambda)$$

and

$$m_2^{Dunkl}(x) := (m_{1;j,l}^{Dunkl}(x))_{j,l=1,\dots,N} := \frac{1}{E_k(x, \lambda)} H_\lambda E_k(x, \lambda)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with the gradient ∇_λ and the Hessian H_λ w.r.t. the variable $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$ respectively.

For abbreviation, we now suppress the superscript *Dunkl* for these moment functions.

The integral representation 2.2 and the methods in Section 7.2 of [BH] lead to:

Lemma 5.5. For all $l, j = 1, \dots, N$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$:

- (1) $m_{1;l}(x)^2 \leq m_{2;l,l}(x)$.
- (2) $m_{2;l,j}(x)^2 \leq m_{2;l,l}(x) \cdot m_{2;j,j}(x)$.
- (3) $\sum_{l=1}^N m_{2;l,l}(x) \leq \|x\|^2$.
- (4) $\|m_1(x)\| \leq \|x\|$.
- (5) $m_{1;l}(0) = m_{2;l,j}(0) = 0$.

Proof. (1) follows from Theorem 2.2 and Jensen's inequality, while for (2) the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality has to be used. (3) follows from Theorem 2.2(1). (4) follows from (1) and (3), and (5) from $E_k(\lambda, 0) = 1$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$. \square

We next show that m_1, m_2 fit to the generators $L_k^{\lambda, Dunkl}$ as follows:

Proposition 5.6. For all $x, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$L_k^{\lambda, Dunkl} m_1(x) = \lambda.$$

Moreover, if $(X_t^\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Dunkl process with drift λ such that the starting distribution $P_{X_0^\lambda} \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ has first moments, then $(m_1(X_t^\lambda) - t\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale. In particular, for $P_{X_0^\lambda} = \delta_0$, $E(m_1(X_t^\lambda)) = t\lambda$.

Proof. Eq. (3.19) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_k^{\lambda, Dunkl} m_1(x) &= -\frac{\|\lambda\|^2}{2} m_1(x) + \frac{1}{E_k(x, \lambda)} L^{0, k, Dunkl}(\nabla_\lambda E_k(x, \lambda)) \\
&= -\frac{\|\lambda\|^2}{2} m_1(x) + \frac{1}{E_k(x, \lambda)} \nabla_\lambda (L^{0, k, Dunkl} E_k(x, \lambda)) \\
&= -\frac{\|\lambda\|^2}{2} m_1(x) + \frac{1}{2E_k(x, \lambda)} \nabla_\lambda (\|\lambda\|^2 E_k(x, \lambda)) \\
&= -\frac{\|\lambda\|^2}{2} m_1(x) + \frac{\|\lambda\|^2}{2} \frac{\nabla_\lambda E_k(x, \lambda)}{E_k(x, \lambda)} + \lambda = \lambda
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

as claimed. Please notice that we used the known fact for the second $=$ that ∇_λ and $L_k^{0, Dunkl}$ (applied w.r.t. x) commute. As in the proof of Theorem 5.1 we thus obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m_1(y) K_t^{\lambda, k, Dunkl}(x, dy) = m_1(x) + t\lambda \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N)$$

and hence the remaining statements where $E(m_1(X_t^\lambda))$ exists for all $t \geq 0$ due to Lemma 5.5(4). \square

Remark 5.7. Proposition 5.6, Theorem 5.1, and Lemma 5.2 imply that all components of the function $x \mapsto m_1(x) - x$ are $L_k^{\lambda, Dunkl}$ -harmonic, and that the processes $(m_1(X_t^\lambda) - X_t^\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ are martingales. For $k = 0$ or $\lambda = 0$, this is trivial (as here $m_1(x) = x$), but in all other cases this observation is remarkable. We point out that such a result never holds for the Sturm-Liouville hypergroups on $[0, \infty[$ studied in Ch. 7 of [BH]. Also in the case of Bessel and hybrid Dunkl-Bessel processes, such a result is not available.

We now consider the second modified moments.

Proposition 5.8. *For all $x, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $j, l = 1, \dots, N$,*

$$L_k^{\lambda, Dunkl} m_{2; j, l}(x) = \delta_{j, l} + \lambda_j m_{1, l}(x) + \lambda_l m_{1, j}(x),$$

Moreover, if $(X_t^\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Dunkl process with drift λ such that the starting distribution $P_{X_0}^\lambda \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ has second moments, then for all $j, l = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(m_{2; j, l}(X_t^\lambda) - E(m_{2; j, l}(X_t^\lambda)) - m_{1; l}(X_t^\lambda)E(m_{1; j}(X_t^\lambda)) - m_{1; j}(X_t^\lambda)E(m_{1; l}(X_t^\lambda))) \\
&\quad + 2E(m_{1; l}(X_t^\lambda))E(m_{1; j}(X_t^\lambda)))_{t \geq 0}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

is a martingale. In particular, for $P_{X_0}^\lambda = \delta_0$ and $l, j = 1, \dots, N$,

$$E(m_{2; l, j}(X_t^\lambda)) = t\delta_{j, l} + t^2\lambda_j\lambda_l. \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. As in the preceding proof, Eq. (3.19) yields for $j, l = 1, \dots, N$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_k^{\lambda, Dunkl} m_{2; j, l}(x) &= -\frac{\|\lambda\|^2}{2} m_{2; j, l}(x) + \frac{1}{E_k(x, \lambda)} L^{0, k, Dunkl}(\partial_{\lambda_j} \partial_{\lambda_l} E_k(x, \lambda)) \\
&= -\frac{\|\lambda\|^2}{2} m_{2; j, l}(x) + \frac{1}{E_k(x, \lambda)} \partial_{\lambda_j} \partial_{\lambda_l} (L^{0, k, Dunkl} E_k(x, \lambda)) \\
&= -\frac{\|\lambda\|^2}{2} m_{2; j, l}(x) + \frac{1}{2E_k(x, \lambda)} \partial_{\lambda_j} \partial_{\lambda_l} (\|\lambda\|^2 E_k(x, \lambda)) \\
&= \delta_{j, l} + \lambda_j m_{1; l}(x) + \lambda_l m_{1; j}(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

which proves the first claim. Therefore, as $L_k^{\lambda, Dunkl} c = 0$ for constants c , and by (5.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m_{2;l,j}(y) K_t^{\lambda,k,Dunkl}(x, dy) &= e^{t \cdot L_k^{\lambda,Dunkl}} (m_{2;j,l})(x) \\ &= m_{2;l,j}(x) + t L_k^{\lambda,Dunkl} m_{2;j,l}(x) + \frac{t^2}{2} (L_k^{\lambda,Dunkl})^2 m_{2;j,l}(x) + \dots \\ &= m_{2;j,l}(x) + t(\delta_{j,l} + \lambda_l m_{1;j}(x) + \lambda_j m_{1;l}(x)) + t^2 \lambda_l \lambda_j \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

and thus, for $s, t \geq 0$,

$$E(m_{2;l,j}(X_{s+t}^\lambda)) = E(m_{2;l,j}(X_s^\lambda)) + t(\delta_{j,l} + \lambda_l E(m_{1;j}(X_s^\lambda)) + \lambda_j E(m_{1;l}(X_s^\lambda))) + t^2 \lambda_l \lambda_j$$

where all expectations exist by Lemma 5.5. This and Lemma 5.5(5) lead to the last identity in the proposition. Finally, we see that for $s, t \geq 0$ and the canonical filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$,

$$E(m_{2;l,j}(X_{s+t}^\lambda) | \mathcal{F}_s) = m_{2;l,j}(X_s^\lambda) + t(\delta_{j,l} + \lambda_l m_{1;j}(X_s^\lambda) + \lambda_j m_{1;l}(X_s^\lambda)) + t^2 \lambda_l \lambda_j.$$

As $E(m_{1;j}(X_{s+t}^\lambda) | \mathcal{F}_s) = m_{1;j}(X_s^\lambda) + t \lambda_j$ by Proposition 5.6, it follows by a short computation that the process in (5.3) is in fact a martingale as claimed. \square

The preceding results on the moment functions can be used to derive a strong law of large numbers similar to Theorem 7.3.21 in [BH]. However, as we deal with processes in continuous time here, the details are slightly more involved here. We need the following two martingale results in continuous time.

Theorem 5.9. (1) *Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a submartingale with a.s. RCLL paths and with*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} E(\max(X_t, 0)) < \infty.$$

Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X_t$ exists a.s. as an integrable random variable.

- (2) **Continuous martingale Kronecker lemma:** *Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a martingale with a.s. RCLL paths and a $a : [0, \infty[\rightarrow]0, \infty[$ a continuous, increasing function with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t) = \infty$. If $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \frac{1}{a(s)} dX_s$ exists a.s. (in \mathbb{R}), then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X_t/a(t) = 0$ a.s..*

Proof. (1) is well-known; see e.g. [LS]. In fact, Doob's upcrossing inequality implies that the statement for $t \in \mathbb{Q}$, and the general case follows from the RCCL property. The statement in (2) appears in the literature under slightly different conditions; see e.g. Section 4.2 of [LS] or [EM]. An analysis of the proofs there shows that the slightly different and usually much more general conditions there are used only for the “product formula”

$$\frac{X_t}{a(t)} - \frac{X_0}{a(0)} - \int_0^t X_s d\frac{1}{a(s)} - \int_0^t \frac{1}{a(s)} dX_s = [\frac{1}{a}, X]_t = 0$$

with the mutual quadratic variation $[\cdot, \cdot]$ which holds under our assumptions. We therefore skip the details of the proof of (2) here. \square

We now apply Theorem 5.9 to the following strong law:

Proposition 5.10. *Consider a Dunkl process $(X_t^\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ with RCLL paths, drift λ , and start in 0. Then for all $\epsilon > 1/2$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_1(X_t^\lambda) - t\lambda}{t^\epsilon} = 0 \quad \text{a.s..}$$

Proof. For $j = 1, \dots, N$ consider the process

$$(V_{t,j} := m_{2;j,j}(X_t^\lambda) - 2m_{1;j}(X_t^\lambda)E(m_{1;j}(X_t^\lambda)) + E(m_{1;j}(X_t^\lambda))^2)_{t \geq 0}.$$

We know from Propositions 5.6 and 5.8 that

$$V_{t,j} = m_{2;j,j}(X_t^\lambda) - 2tm_{1;j}(X_t^\lambda) - t^2\lambda_j^2 \quad (t \geq 0),$$

and that $(V_{t,j} - t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale. Furthermore, by Lemma 5.5(1),

$$0 \leq (m_{1;j}(X_t^\lambda) - t\lambda_j)^2 \leq V_{t,j} \quad (t \geq 0), \quad (5.7)$$

i.e., $(V_{t,j})_{t \geq 0}$ is a nonnegative RCLL submartingale. Hence,

$$\left(\tilde{V}_{t,j} := \int_0^t \frac{1}{1+s^{2\epsilon}} dV_{s,j} = \int_0^t \frac{1}{1+s^{2\epsilon}} d(V_{s,j} - s) + \int_0^t \frac{1}{1+s^{2\epsilon}} ds\right)_{t \geq 0}$$

is a nonnegative RCLL submartingale with

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(\tilde{V}_{t,j}) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1+s^{2\epsilon}} ds < \infty.$$

Hence, $\tilde{V}_{t,j}$ converges for $t \rightarrow \infty$ to some integrable random variable a.s. by Theorem 5.9(1). This means that the martingale

$$\left(\int_0^t \frac{1}{1+s^{2\epsilon}} d(V_{s,j} - s)\right)_{t \geq 0}$$

also converges a.s.. Hence, by Theorem 5.9(2),

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{1+t^{2\epsilon}} (V_{t,j} - t - V_{0,j}) = 0 \quad a.s.$$

and thus $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} V_{t,j}/t^{2\epsilon} = 0$ a.s.. The inequality (5.7) now completes the proof. \square

In the next step we try to remove the first moment function m_1 in the statement of Proposition 5.10 (like in the case of Sturm-Liouville hypergroups in Ch. 7 of [BH]). For this we consider the projection $p_C : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow C$ onto some Weyl chamber C which assigns to each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ its Weyl group orbit, where the space of Weyl group orbits is identified with C .

Lemma 5.11. *Let $k > 0$. Assume that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is not contained in the boundary of any Weyl chamber, i.e., λ is contained in some unique Weyl chamber $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Then, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_1(tx) - p_C(tx)}{t} = 0.$$

Proof. Fix $j = 1, \dots, N$. By the integral representation 2.2

$$m_{1;j}(tx) = \frac{\partial_{\lambda_j} E_k(tx, \lambda)}{E_k(tx, \lambda)} = t \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} y_j e^{t\langle y, \lambda \rangle} d\mu_x(y)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{t\langle y, \lambda \rangle} d\mu_x(y)}$$

with $\{gx : g \in W\} \subset \text{supp } \mu_x \subset \text{co}(\{gx : g \in W\})$ where co stands for the convex hull. As $\langle y, \lambda \rangle$ for $y \in \{gx : g \in W\}$ is maximal for $y = p_C(x)$ (this follows e.g. from the lemma in Section 1.12 of [H]), the linear function $y \mapsto \langle y, \lambda \rangle$ takes its maximum on $\text{supp } \mu_x$ precisely in $p_C(x)$. This implies that the probability measures

$$\frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{t\langle y, \lambda \rangle} d\mu_x(y)} e^{t\langle y, \lambda \rangle} d\mu_x(y)$$

tend weakly to $\delta_{p_C(x)}$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ where these measures have a fixed compact support. This yields the lemma. \square

For $N = 1$ (and $R = \{\pm 1\}$), Lemma 5.11 trivially implies that for $k > 0$,

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_1(x)}{|x|} = \text{sgn}(\lambda). \quad (5.8)$$

This and Proposition 5.10 yield:

Corollary 5.12. *For a 1-dimensional Dunkl process $(X_t^\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ with RCLL paths, $k > 0$, drift $\lambda \neq 0$, and start in 0,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|X_t^\lambda|}{t} = |\lambda| \quad a.s..$$

We next study the jump rates of the generator $L_k^{\lambda, \text{Dunkl}}$ in (3.9) for $N = 1$. This will lead to:

Theorem 5.13. *For a 1-dimensional Dunkl process $(X_t^\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ with RCLL paths, $k > 0$, drift $\lambda \neq 0$, and start in 0,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_t^\lambda}{t} = \lambda \quad a.s..$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the result for $\lambda > 0$. It is known (see e.g. [R1]) that for $N = 1$,

$$E_k(x, \lambda) = e^{x\lambda} {}_1F_1(k, 2k + 1; -2x\lambda).$$

Moreover, using the Kummer transformation for ${}_1F_1$ (see e.g. 13.2.39 of [NIST]) as well as the limit relation for ${}_1F_1(a, b; z)$ for $z \rightarrow \infty$ in 13.2.23 of [NIST], we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_k(x, \lambda) &\sim \frac{\Gamma(2k+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)2^k} e^{x\lambda} \cdot (x\lambda)^{-k} \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \\ E_k(x, \lambda) &\sim \frac{\Gamma(2k+1)}{\Gamma(k)2^{k+1}} e^{-x\lambda} \cdot (-x\lambda)^{-(k+1)} \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow -\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Therefore, the jump rates $R(x)$ of $L_k^{\lambda, \text{Dunkl}}$ in (3.9) from $x \neq 0$ to $-x$ satisfy

$$R(x) \sim \frac{k^2}{4\lambda} \cdot \frac{1}{x^3} \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad R(-x) \sim \frac{\lambda}{x} \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow -\infty. \quad (5.10)$$

As $\int_1^\infty x^{-3} dx < \infty$ and $\int_1^\infty x^{-1} dx = \infty$, we conclude from Corollary 5.12 that, almost surely, the number of jumps from $]0, \infty[$ to $] - \infty, 0[$ for $t > 0$ sufficiently large is finite, while the number of jumps from $] - \infty, 0[$ to $]0, \infty[$ is infinite under the condition that there are infinitely many jumps from $]0, \infty[$ to $] - \infty, 0[$. This yields the claim. \square

Remark 5.14. A Dunkl process $(X_t^\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ with $k = 0$ and drift λ is just a usual Brownian motion with drift λ , and the moment function m_1 above is $m_1(x) = x$. This means that here,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_t^\lambda}{t} = \lambda \quad a.s.. \quad (5.11)$$

We conjecture that this statement also holds for all $k > 0$, $N \geq 2$, all root systems, and all Drift vectors λ (possibly under the condition of not being on the boundary of some Weyl chamber). As a first step for the proof, we would have to show that Lemma 5.11 can be improved to the statement

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_1(x) - p_C(x)}{\|x\|} = 0 \quad (5.12)$$

like for $N = 1$. Unfortunately, this is not clear, as for $N \geq 2$ not much informations about the representing measures μ_x are known (except for the case where the Weyl group is \mathbb{Z}^N , where this follows from the one-dimensional case). In this context we point out that there exist interesting

particular limits and estimates for $E_k(x, \lambda)$ and the Dunkl heat kernels in (2.8) for $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$; see e.g. [RdJ, AG, GS, AT, DH] and references there. Unfortunately, there x has to be away from the reflection hyperplanes associated with all roots for some results, and some results hold for particular root systems only and are too weak.

On the other hand, the first limit in (5.9) can be used to derive the following central limit theorem for $N = 1$:

Theorem 5.15. *For a 1-dimensional Dunkl process $(X_t^\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ with $k > 0$, drift $\lambda \neq 0$, and start in 0, the process $(X_t^\lambda - t\lambda)/\sqrt{t}$ tends in distribution to the normal distribution $N(0, 1)$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. By symmetry we may assume $\lambda > 0$. Using (3.10) and $c_k = \frac{1}{2^{k+1/2}\Gamma(k+1/2)}$, we see that $(X_t^\lambda - t\lambda)/\sqrt{t}$ has the density

$$\phi_t(y) := \frac{1}{2^{k+1/2}\Gamma(k+1/2)} \frac{e^{-\lambda^2 t/2}}{t^k} e^{-(y\sqrt{t} + \lambda t)^2/(2t)} E_k(y\sqrt{t} + \lambda t, \lambda) \cdot |y\sqrt{t} + \lambda t|^{2k}. \quad (5.13)$$

Hence, by (5.9) and the doubling formula for the gamma function,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_t(y) = \frac{\Gamma(2k+1)}{2^{2k+1/2}\Gamma(k+1/2)\Gamma(k+1)} e^{-y^2/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-y^2/2}$$

locally uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{R}$. This means that the probability densities ϕ_t tend vaguely and thus weakly to $N(0, 1)$ as claimed. \square

Remark 5.16. By Theorem 2 of [RdJ] there exists a limit result for $E_k(ty, \lambda)$ for $N \geq 2$, $t \rightarrow \infty$ and y, λ in the interior of the same Weyl chamber $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, which corresponds to the first formula in (5.9). This result corresponds to a short-time asymptotics for the Dunkl heat kernels; see Corollary 2 of [RdJ]. We conjecture that the following variant of Theorem 2 of [RdJ] holds:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E_k(y\sqrt{t} + \lambda t, \lambda) \cdot (2\pi)^{N/2} c_k e^{-\langle y\sqrt{t} + \lambda t, \lambda \rangle} \sqrt{w_k(y\sqrt{t} + \lambda t) w_k(\lambda)} = 1 \quad (5.14)$$

for all $\lambda, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where λ is contained in the interior of some Weyl chamber.

Conjecture (5.14) for some λ and the proof of the CLT above immediately imply that for a Dunkl process $(X_t^\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ with this drift $\lambda \neq 0$ and start in 0, $(X_t^\lambda - t\lambda)/\sqrt{t}$ tends in distribution to the N -dimensional standard normal distribution $N(0, I_N)$.

We point out that the CLT with a normal distribution as limit usually does not hold for λ on the boundary of some Weyl chamber. As a counterexample take the Weyl group $\{\pm 1\}^2$ on \mathbb{R}^2 and $\lambda = (1, 0)$. In this case, the CLT above holds for the first component of (X_t^λ) while in the second component no centering occurs, and the limit distribution of the second component is a two-sided Gamma-distribution.

6. LIMITS FOR BESSEL PROCESSES WITH DRIFT

In this section we study moments, moment functions, SLLNs, and CLTs for Bessel processes and hybrid Dunkl-Bessel processes with drift with a focus on Bessel processes. In both cases, the computations in the proof of Lemma 5.2 do not lead to meaningful results (except for $k = 0$). For this reason we immediately turn to analogues of the moment functions in Definition 5.4 which fit to both types of processes:

Definition 6.1. Define the multivariate (modified) moment functions of order 1 and 2 by

$$m_1^{Bessel}(x) := (m_{1;1}^{Bessel}(x), \dots, m_{1;N}^{Bessel}(x)) := \frac{1}{J_k(x, \lambda)} \nabla_\lambda J_k(x, \lambda)$$

and

$$m_2^{Bessel}(x) := (m_{1;j,l}^{Bessel}(x))_{j,l=1,\dots,N} := \frac{1}{J_k(x, \lambda)} H_\lambda J_k(x, \lambda)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with the gradient ∇_λ and the Hessian H_λ w.r.t. $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

With this definition, all assertions of Lemma 5.5, Propositions 5.6, 5.8, and 5.10, and Lemma 5.11 remain valid with the same proofs for Bessel processes with drift and the moment functions in Definition 6.1. In particular, for $N = 1$ and Bessel processes with drift $\lambda > 0$, the strong law 5.13 and the CLT 5.15 remain valid with the same proofs (in fact, some arguments are easier here, as no jumps appear):

Theorem 6.2. *For a 1-dimensional Bessel process $(X_t^\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ with continuous paths, $k \geq 0$, drift $\lambda > 0$, and start in 0,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_t^\lambda}{t} = \lambda \quad a.s.,$$

and $(X_t^\lambda - t\lambda)/\sqrt{t}$ tends in distribution to the normal distribution $N(0, 1)$.

These results follow also from the limit theorems for Sturm-Liouville hypergroups on $[0, \infty[$ with exponential growth in Section 7.3 of [BH]; see also [Z1, Z2] for the original results.

On the other hand, the strong law 5.13 and the CLT 5.15 are not valid for hybrid Dunkl-Bessel processes with start in 0, as here the distributions are invariant under the action of the Weyl group. For this reason there are no particular limit theorems except for those which appear from the fact that the projections of these hybrid processes onto some Weyl chamber C under p_C just are corresponding Bessel processes. For this reason we now study Bessel processes only.

Example 6.3. Let us collect all data for the simplest nontrivial example with $N = 1$, $k = 1$ and, w.l.o.g., $\lambda = 1$. This example clarifies all assertions above for $N = 1$. In this case, by Lemma 2.3 and a short computation,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= (2\pi)^{-1/2}, \quad E_1(\lambda, x) = \frac{e^{\lambda x}}{\lambda x} - \frac{\sinh(\lambda x)}{\lambda^2 x^2}, \quad J_1(\lambda, x) = \frac{\sinh(\lambda x)}{\lambda x}, \\ m_1^{Dunkl}(x) &= \frac{(x^2 - x)e^x - x \cosh x + 2 \sinh x}{xe^x - \sinh x}, \quad m_1^{Bessel}(x) = \frac{x \cosh x - \sinh x}{\sinh x}, \\ L_1^{1,Dunkl} f(x) &= \frac{1}{2} f''(x) + \frac{f'(x)}{x} \frac{x^2 e^x - x \cosh x + \sinh x}{xe^x - \sinh x} + \frac{f(-x) - f(x)}{x^2} \cdot \frac{-xe^{-x} + \sinh x}{xe^x - \sinh x}, \\ L_1^{1,Bessel} f(x) &= \frac{1}{2} f''(x) + \coth x f'(x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{L}_1^{1,Dunkl} f(x) = \frac{1}{2} f''(x) + \coth x f'(x) + \frac{f(-x) - f(x)}{2x^2}$$

for the generators $L_1^{1,Bessel}$ and $\tilde{L}_1^{1,Dunkl}$ of the Bessel and hybrid Dunkl-Bessel processes respectively. Furthermore, if $(X_t^1)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Dunkl process $(X_t^1)_{t \geq 0}$ with drift 1 and start in 0, then, by (3.10), X_t (for $t > 0$) has the Lebesgue density

$$\frac{e^{-t/2}}{t^{3/2} \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-y^2/(2t)} (ye^y - \sinh y) \quad (y \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Notice that these densities also lead to the CLT 5.15 and to the CLT-part in Theorem 6.2.

The limit results in Theorem 6.2 for $N = 1$ are closely related to corresponding limit results for the geometric examples discussed in Subsections 3.1-3.4, i.e., for particular multiplicities k depending of the root system. In fact, in these geometric cases, Bessel processes with and without drift on some Weyl chamber $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with start in 0 can be regarded as projections of Brownian motions with and without drift on associated Euclidean vector spaces $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ of finite dimension as in Subsection 3.1. We here mainly have the following examples in mind for the fields $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ with real dimension $d = 1, 2, 4$:

- (1) Type A_{N-1} : $k = d/2 = 1/2, 1, 2$, $V = \mathbb{H}_N(\mathbb{F})$;
- (2) Type B_N : $k = (M - N + 1)d/2$, $k_2 = d/2$, $V = M_{M,N}(\mathbb{F})$ ($M \geq N$ an integer).

Now let $g : V \rightarrow C$ be the canonical projection, and $\lambda \in C$ a fixed drift. Let $\tilde{\lambda} \in V$ with $g(\tilde{\lambda}) = \lambda$. The classical SLLN for Brownian motions $(B_t^{\tilde{\lambda}})_{t \geq 0}$ on V with drift $\tilde{\lambda}$ and start in $0 \in V$ implies the SLLN for the associated Bessel processes $(X_t^\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ on C with start in 0 and with drift λ , i.e., $X_t/t \rightarrow \lambda$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ a.s..

Moreover, for all $t > 0$, $\sqrt{t}(B_t^{\tilde{\lambda}}/t - \tilde{\lambda}) = (B_t^{\tilde{\lambda}} - t\tilde{\lambda})/\sqrt{t}$ is $N(0, I)$ -distributed on V with the $\dim V$ -dimensional identity matrix I . We now assume that λ is in the interior of C . Then g is continuously differentiable in $\tilde{\lambda}$, and the Jacobi matrix $J_g(\tilde{\lambda})$ satisfies $J_g(\tilde{\lambda})J_g(\tilde{\lambda})^T = I_N$ with I_N the identity matrix of dimension N ; see for instance Theorem 5.17 in Ch. 1 of [He] and the text before where it is stated that $J_g(\tilde{\lambda}) = (I_N, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times \dim V}$ in a hidden way. For the A_{N-1} -case an elementary proof of these statements can be found e.g. in Section 5.3 of [D]. We thus conclude from the Delta-method for CLTs of transformed random variables (see Section 3.1 of [vV]) that $(X_t^\lambda - t\lambda)/\sqrt{t}$ converges in distribution to $N(0, I_N)$. In summary:

Theorem 6.4. *Let R be a root system and $k \geq 0$ a multiplicity such that the associated Dunkl-Bessel theory fits to some geometric case. Let $(X_t^\lambda)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Bessel process on some associated closed Weyl chamber $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with some drift λ with start in 0. Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_t^\lambda}{t} = \lambda \quad \text{a.s..}$$

Moreover, if λ is in the interior of C , then $(X_t^\lambda - t\lambda)/\sqrt{t}$ tends in distribution to $N(0, I_N)$.

The CLT does not hold for λ on the boudary of C for obvious geometric reasons.

We also notice that in the geometric cases A_{N-1} , $k = 1$, our Bessel processes with drift $\lambda = (-N+1, -N+3, \dots, N-3, N-1) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ can be also regarded as projections of Brownian motions without drift on the symmetric spaces $SL(N, \mathbb{C})/SU(N, \mathbb{C})$ (see [AuV, RV3] and references there) which means that these examples may be seen as examples of Heckman-Opdam processes associated with the root systems A_{N-1} for which there are SLLNs and CLTs in [V2]. In a similar way, there are geometric cases of type B_N where certain Bessel processes with drift may be seen as examples of Heckman-Opdam processes associated with the root systems BC_N .

Remark 6.5. We conjecture that the two statements in Theorems 6.2 and 6.4 for one-dimensional Bessel processes and Bessel processes in the geometric cases hold for general root systems and all multiplicities $k \geq 0$ for drift vectors λ in the interior of the corresponding Weyl chambers. Both statements, i.e., the SLLN and the CLT, would follow from limit results for the associated Bessel functions which correspond to the limit (5.9) for one-dimensional Dunkl kernels which was shown above by using classical results for ${}_1F_1$ -functions from [NIST]. On the other hand, this limit result can be also derived directly from the integral representation for Dunkl kernels in Proposition 2.3.

We expect that known explicit integral representations for some Bessel functions for $N \geq 2$ can be used to derive analogues of (5.9) and thus analogues of the SLLN and CLT in Theorem 6.4. In fact, for the case A_{N-1} ($N \geq 2$) and $k > 0$ there exists a recursive integral representation in [Am] based on corresponding formulas on Jack polynomials in [OO]. Moreover, [R5] contains an explicit integral representation for the root systems B_N with $k = (k_1, k_2) = (k_1, d/2)$ with $d = 1, 2, 4$ and k_1 real and sufficiently large. For the discrete parameters $k_1 = (M - N + 1) \cdot d/2$ ($M \geq N$ an integer), this case is a geometric case where the SLLN and CLT hold by 6.4; see [R5] and the discussion in Subsection 3.3. We point out that the spectra of square roots of Wishart processes on the cones of positive definite $N \times N$ -matrices over $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ (see [Bru, DDMY]) are Bessel processes of type B_N without drift with $k = (k_1, k_2) = (k_1, d/2)$ with $d = 1, 2, 4$ and k_1 real and sufficiently large. In the literature there exists a concept of Wishart processes with drifts; see [GMM] and references cited there. This concept of drifts does not seem to fit to our drift concept for Bessel processes.

REFERENCES

- [Am] B. Amri, Note on Bessel functions of type A_{N-1} . *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 25 (2014), 448-461.
- [AG] B. Amri, A. Gasmi, On the estimates of Dunkl kernels. *Anal. Math.* 47 (2021), 1-12.
- [AGZ] G.W. Anderson, A. Guionnet, O. Zeitouni, An Introduction to Random Matrices. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2010.
- [AnV] S. Andraus, M. Voit, Limit theorems for multivariate Bessel processes in the freezing regime. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* 129 (2019), 4771-4790.
- [A] J.-P. Anker. An introduction to Dunkl theory and its analytic aspects. In: G. Filipuk, Y. Haraoka, S. Michalik. Analytic, Algebraic and Geometric Aspects of Differential Equations, Birkhäuser, pp.3-58, 2017.
- [AT] J.-P. Anker, B. Trojan, Optimal bounds for the Dunkl kernel in the dihedral case. *J. Funct. Anal.* 288 (2025), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2024.110743>.
- [AuV] M. Auer, M. Voit, An explicit formula for free multiplicative Brownian motions via spherical functions, *Indag. Math.* 36 (2025), 1695-1716.
- [BH] W.R. Bloom, H. Heyer, Harmonic Analysis of Probability Measures on Hypergroups, De Gruyter, Berlin 1994.
- [Bru] M. Bru, Wishart processes. *J. Theoret. Probab.* 4 (1991), 725-751.
- [CGY] O. Chybiryakov, L. Gallardo, M. Yor, Dunkl processes and their radial parts relative to a root system. In: P. Graczyk et al. (eds.), Harmonic and stochastic analysis of Dunkl processes. Hermann, Paris 2008, pp. 113-197.
- [D] P. Deift, Orthogonal Polynomials and Random Matrices: A Riemann-Hilbert Approach. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1998.
- [dJ] M.F.E. de Jeu, The Dunkl transform. *Invent. Math.* 113 (1993), 147-162.
- [De] N. Demni, A guided tour in the world of radial Dunkl processes. In: P. Graczyk et al. (eds.), Harmonic and stochastic analysis of Dunkl processes. Hermann, Paris 2008, pp. 199-226.
- [DDMY] C. Donati-Martin, Y. Doumerc, H. Matsumoto, M. Yor, Some properties of the Wishart processes and a matrix extension of the Hartman-Watson law. *Publ. Math. RIMS Kyoto* 40 (2004), 1385-1412.
- [D1] C.F. Dunkl, Hankel transforms associated to finite reflection groups. In: Proceedings of the special session on hypergeometric functions on domains of positivity, Jack polynomials and applications, Tampa, 1991, *Contemp. Math.* 138 (1992), 123-138.
- [D2] C.F. Dunkl, Dunkl Operators and Related Special Functions. In: Encyclopedia of Special Functions, Part II: Multivariable Special Functions, eds. T.H. Koornwinder, J.V. Stokman, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2021; pp 187-216.
- [DX] C. Dunkl, Y. Xu, Orthogonal polynomials of several variables, 2nd ed.. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [DH] J. Dziubański, A. Hejna, Upper and lower bounds for the Dunkl heat kernel, *Calc. Var.* 62 (2023), no. 1, article 25.
- [EM] R.J. Elliott, J.B. Moore, A martingale Kronecker lemma and parameter estimation for linear systems, *IEEE Trans. Automatic Control* 43 (1998), 1263-1265.
- [F] P. Forrester, Log Gases and Random Matrices, London Mathematical Society, London, 2010.
- [GR] L. Gallardo, C. Rejeb, Support properties of the intertwining and mean value operators in Dunkl theory. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 146 (2018), 145-152.

- [GY1] L. Gallardo, M. Yor, Some new examples of Markov processes which enjoy the time-inversion property. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 132 (2005), 150-162.
- [GY2] L. Gallardo, M. Yor, A chaotic representation property of the multidimensional Dunkl processes. *Ann. Probab.* 349 (2006), 1530-1549.
- [GY3] L. Gallardo, M. Yor, Some remarkable properties of the Dunkl martingale. In: *Seminaire de Probabilites XXXIX*, pp. 337-356, dedicated to P.A. Meyer, vol. 1874, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [GM] P. Graczyk, J. Malecki, Strong solutions of non-colliding particle systems. *Electron. J. Probab.* 19 (2014), 21 pp.
- [GMM] P. Graczyk, J. Malecki, E. Mayerhofer, A characterization of Wishart processes and Wishart distributions. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* 128 (2018), 1386-1404.
- [GS] P. Graczyk, P. Sawyer, A formula and sharp estimates for the Dunkl kernel for the root system A_2 , *J. Lie Theory* 34 (2024), 577-594.
- [He] S. Helgason, *Groups and Geometric Analysis*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2000.
- [H] J.A. Humphreys, *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1990.
- [Ka] M. Katori, *Bessel processes, Schramm-Loewner evolution, and the Dyson model*. Springer, Singapore (2015).
- [Ki] J.F.C. Kingman, Random walks with spherical symmetry. *Acta Math.* 109 (1963), 11-53.
- [Kn] A.W. Knap, *Lie Groups beyond an Introduction*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.
- [LS] R. Lipster, A.N. Shiryaev, *Theory of Martingales*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [M] M. Mehta, *Random matrices* (3rd ed.), Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2004.
- [NIST] F.W.J. Olver et al. (Eds.), *NIST-Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. National Institute of Standards and Technology 2010.
- [OO] A. Okounkov, G. Olshanski, Shifted Jack polynomials, binomial formula, and applications, *Math. Res. Letters* 4 (1997), 69-78.
- [RY] D. Revuz, M. Yor, *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [R1] M. Rösler, Bessel-type signed hypergroups on \mathbb{R} . In: H. Heyer(ed.), *Probability measures on groups and related structures XI*. Proc. Conf. Oberwolfach, 1994. World Scientific, Singapore (1995), pp. 292-304.
- [R2] M. Rösler, Generalized Hermite polynomials and the heat equation for Dunkl operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 192 (1998), 519-542.
- [R3] M. Rösler, Positivity of Dunkl's intertwining operator. *Duke Math. J.* 98 (1999), 445-463.
- [R4] M. Rösler, Dunkl operators: Theory and applications. In: *Orthogonal polynomials and special functions, Leuven 2002, Lecture Notes in Math.* 1817 (2003), Springer, pp. 93-135.
- [R5] M. Rösler, Convolution algebras on matrix cones, *Compos. Math.* 143 (2007), 749-779.
- [RdJ] M. Rösler, M. F. E. de Jeu, Asymptotic analysis for the Dunkl kernel, *J. Approx. Theory* 119 (2002), 110-126 (2002).
- [RV1] M. Rösler, M. Voit, Markov processes related with Dunkl operators. *Adv. Appl. Math.* 21 (1998), 575-643.
- [RV2] M. Rösler, M. Voit, Dunkl theory, convolution algebras, and related Markov processes. In: P. Graczyk et al. (eds.), *Harmonic and stochastic analysis of Dunkl processes*. Hermann, Paris 2008, pp. 1-112.
- [RV3] M. Rösler, M. Voit, $SU(d)$ -biinvariant random walks on $SL(d, \mathbb{C})$ and their Euclidean counterparts. *Acta Appl. Math.* 90 (2006), 179-195.
- [T] G. Thompson, Normal forms for skew-symmetric matrices and Hamiltonian systems with first integrals linear in momenta. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 104 (1988), 910-916.
- [vV] A.W. van der Vaart, *Asymptotic Statistics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Ve] A. Velicu, Sobolev-type inequalities for Dunkl operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* 279, No. 7, Article ID 108695, 36 p. (2020).
- [V1] M. Voit, Positive characters on commutative hypergroups and some applications. *Math. Z.* 198 (1988), 405-421.
- [V2] M. Voit, Dispersion and limit theorems for random walks associated with hypergeometric functions of type BC. *J. Theor. Probab.* 30 (2017), 1130-1169.
- [Z1] Hm. Zeuner, The central limit theorem for Chébli-Trimèche hypergroups. *J. Theor. Probab.* 2 (1989), 51-63.
- [Z2] Hm. Zeuner, Moment functions and laws of large numbers on hypergroups. *Math. Z.* 211 (1992), 369-407.

FAKULTÄT MATHEMATIK, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DORTMUND, VOGELPOTHSWEG 87, D-44221 DORTMUND, GERMANY

Email address: michael.voit@math.tu-dortmund.de