

A DYNAMICAL CHARACTERISATION OF SMOOTH CUBIC AFFINE SURFACES OF MARKOV TYPE

MARC ABOUD
UNIVERSITÉ DE NEUCHÂTEL

ABSTRACT. Using valuative techniques, we show that a smooth affine surface with a non-elementary automorphism group and completable by a cycle of rational curves is either the algebraic torus or a smooth cubic affine surface of Markov type. Furthermore we show that smooth cubic affine surfaces of Markov type do not admit dominant endomorphisms which are not automorphisms.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be an algebraically closed field and let X_0 be an irreducible smooth affine surface. A *completion* of X_0 is a smooth projective surface X that contains X_0 a Zariski dense open subset. If f is an automorphism of X_0 , the *dynamical degree* $\lambda(f)$ of f is defined as follows: take a completion X of X_0 and H an ample divisor over X , then

$$\lambda(f) = \lim_n ((f^n)^*H \cdot H)^{1/n}. \quad (1)$$

The limit exists, it does not depend on X or on the choice of the ample divisor H . We always have that $\lambda(f) \geq 1$. We say that an automorphism of X_0 is *loxodromic* if its dynamical degree is > 1 . It follows from the author's work in [Abb23] that if $K = \mathbb{C}$ the topological entropy of f is equal to $\log \lambda(f)$ so loxodromic automorphisms are exactly the ones with positive entropy. We say that $\text{Aut}(X_0)$ is *non-elementary* if it contains two loxodromic automorphisms with no common iterates.

In [Abb23], the author studied the dynamics of loxodromic automorphisms of normal affine surfaces. Using Gizatullin's work on affine surfaces, we showed that there is a dichotomy. If X_0 is a normal affine surface with a loxodromic automorphism, then either X_0 is completable by a zigzag of rational curves or by a cycle of rational curves. We have the following equivalent conditions. We denote by $\bar{\kappa}(X_0)$ the log Kodaira dimension of X_0 .

Proposition 1.1. *Let X_0 be a normal affine surface with a loxodromic automorphism, then we have the following dichotomy: either X_0 is completable by a tree of rational curves or by a cycle of rational curves and the following are equivalent.*

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37F10, 37F80, 13A18, 37P99.

Key words and phrases. Algebraic dynamics, valuations, affine surfaces.

The author acknowledges support by the Swiss National Science Foundation Grant "Birational transformations of higher dimensional varieties" 200020-214999.

- (1) X_0 is completable by a cycle of rational curves.
- (2) $\bar{\kappa}(X_0) = 0$.
- (3) For every loxodromic automorphism f of X_0 , $\lambda(f)$ is a quadratic integer.

Or

- (1) X_0 is completable by a tree of rational curves.
- (2) $\bar{\kappa}(X_0) = -\infty$.
- (3) For every loxodromic automorphism f of X_0 , $\lambda(f)$ is an integer.

The case of a tree of rational curve is quite rich, the affine plane \mathbf{A}^2 is the main example of such an affine surface but there are many other examples of non isomorphic affine surfaces. In [BD13], Blanc and Dubouloz showed that there are affine surfaces completable by a zigzag with a huge automorphism group. In [Bot23], Bot showed that there are moduli spaces of such surfaces which gives a smooth rational complex affine surface with uncountably many non-isomorphic real forms.

For the cycle case, the main example is the algebraic torus \mathbb{G}_m^2 and cubic affine surfaces of Markov type, i.e the complement of a triangle of lines Δ in a smooth cubic projective surface S in \mathbf{P}^3 , (see [ÈH74]). If q is one of the three intersection points of the triangle of lines in S , it defines an involution σ_p as follows: if $p \in S \setminus \Delta$, the line between q and p intersects $S \setminus \Delta$ in one other point which is $\sigma_q(p)$. Up to finite index the automorphism group of such an affine surface is generated by the three involutions $\sigma_{q_1}, \sigma_{q_2}, \sigma_{q_3}$ where q_1, q_2, q_3 are the three intersection points in Δ . These families of cubic affine surfaces have been studied extensively as they appear in different areas of mathematics. They are related to the Painlevé Equation, to the character varieties of the 4-punctured sphere or the once punctured torus, see [CL07]. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem which states that these are the only smooth examples.

Theorem A. *Let X_0 be a smooth affine surface over an algebraically closed field K with a non-elementary automorphism group. If X_0 is completable by a cycle of rational curves, then we have two mutually exclusive possibilities.*

- (1) $X_0 = \mathbb{G}_m^2$.
- (2) X_0 is a cubic affine surface of Markov type.

The distinction between the two cases comes from whether X_0 admits non-constant invertible regular functions.

Remark 1.2. If we do not suppose that the automorphism group is non-elementary then other examples can arise. For example, if C is a curve of degree 3 in \mathbf{P}^2 with a nodal singularity, then $X_0 := \mathbf{P}^2 \setminus C$ is a smooth affine surface completable by a cycle of rational curves. Indeed, if we blow up the nodal singularity we get a completion X of X_0 such that $\Delta_X = C_1 \cup C_2$ which are two smooth rational curves that meet at two points. Here $\text{Aut}(X_0)$ is virtually cyclic with the generator being a loxodromic automorphism so the automorphism group is elementary.

1.1. Idea of the proof. The proof goes as follows. We use the valuative techniques from [Abb23]. In the case of an affine surface completable by a cycle of rational curves, the space of valuations

centered at infinity of X_0 contains an $\text{Aut}(X_0)$ -equivariant set which is homeomorphic to a circle. This circle is homeomorphic to the completion of the inverse limits of the dual graphs of cyclic completions. If $\text{Aut}(X_0)$ is non-elementary, then its action on this circle has very large orbits. This imposes strong constraints on the intersection form on the space of divisors supported at infinity. We then conclude that X_0 has to be the complement of a triangle of lines in a smooth cubic surface unless $X_0 = \mathbb{G}_m^2$.

1.2. Study of endomorphisms of affine surfaces of Markov type. We fully classify the dynamics of cubic affine surfaces of Markov type in characteristic zero by showing that they do not have non-invertible dominant endomorphisms.

Theorem B. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero. If X_0 is a smooth cubic affine surface of Markov type over K and f is a dominant endomorphism of X_0 , then f is an automorphism.*

To show this result we can assume that $K = \mathbb{C}$, then using valuative techniques and the geometry of the space of valuations centered at infinity we show that f must be proper and unramified. Thus, it is a covering of the complex manifold $X_0(\mathbb{C})$ which is simply connected (see eg [CL07, Lemma 3.10]). Hence f must be a homeomorphism and therefore an automorphism.

Notice that this is not true for singular cubic affine surfaces. Indeed, let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ be the Cayley cubic defined by

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = xyz + 4. \quad (2)$$

It is the quotient of \mathbb{G}_m^2 by the involution $(u, v) \mapsto (u^{-1}, v^{-1})$. The Cayley cubic has four singular points which are orbifold singularities of order 2. It has many endomorphisms, namely every monomial endomorphism of \mathbb{G}_m^2 descends to an endomorphism of \mathcal{C} . The proof fails for this surface because it is not simply connected as an orbifold. Indeed, its orbifold fundamental group is not trivial.

Acknowledgements. I thank Serge Cantat and Matteo Ruggiero for related discussions on this problem.

2. A FIRST LEMMA

We restate a lemma from [Miy81] in our setting. If X is a smooth projective surface and D is a Cartier divisor. We write $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ for its associated line bundle over X and $h^i(D)$ for the dimension of $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$. We also write $|D|$ for its associated linear system. We have $\dim |D| = h^0(D) - 1$. Let X_0 be a smooth affine surface with a loxodromic automorphism, then from [Abb23], X_0 is rational and we know that either X_0 is completable by a cycle of rational curves or by a tree of rational curves.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X_0 be a smooth affine surface with a loxodromic automorphism and let X be a completion of X_0 such that Δ_X is with simple normal crossings, then $h^0(K_X + \Delta_X) = 0$ if and only if Δ_X is a tree of rational curves.*

The results follow from the following lemma adapted from [Miy81].

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a smooth projective rational surface and let $D = \sum C_i$ be a reduced effective connected divisor with simple normal crossings, then*

$$h^0(K_X + D) = \sum_i p_a(C_i) + e(D) \quad (3)$$

where $e(D) = 1 - r + \sum_{i < j} C_i \cdot C_j$.

Proof. Write the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow 0 \quad (4)$$

and take the exact long sequence associated to it. Since X is smooth and rational we have using Serre's duality that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^0(X, K_X) = 0$. Thus,

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(D, \mathcal{O}_D) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D)) \rightarrow 0. \quad (5)$$

Again by Serre's duality theorem we have $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D)) = H^0(X, K_X + D)$. Now we also have the relation between Euler's characteristics:

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) - \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D)) = \chi(D, \mathcal{O}_D) = h^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D) - h^1(D, \mathcal{O}_D) \quad (6)$$

$$= 1 - h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + D)) \quad (7)$$

$$(8)$$

Since X is rational we have $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 1$, so that $h^0(K_X + D) = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D))$. And by Riemann-Roch's theorem

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D)) = \frac{1}{2}D \cdot (K + D) + 1 + p_a(X) = \frac{1}{2}D \cdot (K + D) + 1 \quad (9)$$

where $p_a(X) = 0$ is the arithmetic genus of X which vanishes since X is rational. Using the adjunction formula we get

$$\frac{1}{2}D \cdot (K + D) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r C_i \right) \cdot \left(K + \sum_{i=1}^r C_i \right) \quad (10)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r (C_i^2 + K \cdot C_i) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} C_i \cdot C_j \quad (11)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^r p_a(C_i) - r + \sum_{i < j} C_i \cdot C_j. \quad (12)$$

Putting everything together we get

$$h^0(K_X + D) = \sum_{i=1}^r p_a(C_i) + 1 - r + \sum_{i < j} C_i \cdot C_j = \sum_{i=1}^r p_a(C_i) + e(D). \quad (13)$$

□

Now in our setting if X is a completion of X_0 , then Δ_X is a reduced effective connected divisor consisting only of smooth rational curves. So $h^0(K_X + \Delta_X) = e(\Delta_X)$. If Γ is the dual graph of Δ_X , then $e(D) = e(\Gamma)$ where $e(\Gamma) = 1 - v(\Gamma) + E(\Gamma)$ where $v(\Gamma)$ is the number of vertices of Γ and $E(\Gamma)$ is the number of edges. So the proposition follows from the following result which is a lemma on graphs.

Lemma 2.3. *For any connected graph Γ , $e(\Gamma) \geq 0$ with equality if and only if Γ is a tree.*

Proof. If Γ has a cycle, let Γ' be the graph where one edge of the cycle has been removed, the graph Γ' is still connected and $e(\Gamma) = e(\Gamma') + 1$. So it suffices to show that if Γ is a tree, then $e(\Gamma) = 0$. If Γ has one vertex then the equivalence is true. Let Γ now be a tree with at least 2 vertices, remove a leaf of the tree and its associated edge and call Γ' the newly obtained tree, then $e(\Gamma) = e(\Gamma') = 0$ by induction. □

3. LOG KODAIRA DIMENSION AND QUASI-ALBANESE VARIETY

3.1. Log Kodaira dimension. A completion of X_0 is a smooth projective surface X with an open embedding $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$. The complement $\Delta_X := X \setminus X_0$ is a divisor, i.e here a possibly reducible curve. Fix a completion X of X_0 such that Δ_X is with simple normal crossings. The *logarithmic* Kodaira dimension of X_0 is defined as

$$\bar{\kappa}(X_0) := \kappa(X, K_X + \Delta_X) \quad (14)$$

where K_X is the canonical divisor of X . It does not depend on X .

A *zigzag* of rational curves is a simple normal crossing divisor $E_1 + \cdots + E_r$ such that E_i is a smooth rational curve and $E_i \cdot E_{i+1} = 1$, we denote such a zigzag by $E_1 \triangleright E_2 \triangleright \cdots \triangleright E_r$. By [BD11], if X is a completion of X_0 such that Δ_X is a zigzag of rational curves, we can always find another completion Y of X_0 such that $\Delta_Y = F \triangleright E \triangleright Z$ where $F^2 = 0, E^2 = -m \leq 0$ and Z is a zigzag such that every irreducible component has self-intersection ≤ -2 .

A *cycle of rational curves* is a simple normal crossing divisor $E_1 \cdots + E_r$ such that $E_i \cdot E_{i+1} = 1$ and $E_1 \cdot E_r = 1$. In [Abb23], we established the following.

Proposition 3.1. *Let X_0 be a normal affine surface with a loxodromic automorphism f , then we have the following dichotomy*

- (1) $\lambda(f) \in \mathbf{Z}_{>1}$ and there exists a completion X of X_0 such that Δ_X is a zigzag of rational curves.
- (2) $\lambda(f) \notin \mathbf{Z}_{>1}$, in that case it is an algebraic integer of degree 2 and there exists a completion X such that Δ_X is a cycle of rational curves.

We show that the log Kodaira dimension also classifies this dichotomy. If X_0 admits a loxodromic automorphism f , then we must have $\bar{\kappa}(X_0) \leq 0$ because otherwise f would either preserve a fibration over a curve or be of finite order and thus would not be loxodromic.

Proposition 3.2. *Let X_0 be a smooth affine surface over an algebraically closed field with a loxodromic automorphism. Then, $\bar{\kappa}(X_0) = 0$ if and only if X_0 is completable by a cycle of rational curves and $\bar{\kappa}(X_0) = -\infty$ if and only if X_0 is completable by a zigzag.*

Proof. First if $\bar{\kappa}(X_0) = -\infty$, then by Proposition 2.1, X_0 is completable by a tree of rational curves and therefore by a zigzag. Conversely, if X_0 is completable by a zigzag, let X be a completion such that Δ_X is a zigzag. In particular we can assume that $\Delta_X = F \supset E \supset Z$ where $F^2 = 0, E^2 = -m$ with $m \geq 0$ and Z is a negative zigzag (every irreducible component has self intersection ≤ -2). The linear system $|F|$ yields a morphism $\pi_{|F|} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ with general fiber an irreducible rational curve. In particular, if f is a general fiber, then by the adjunction formula $f \cdot K_X = -2$ and $f \cdot \Delta_X = F \cdot \Delta_X = 1$ so that

$$(K_X + \Delta_X) \cdot f = -1. \quad (15)$$

So $K_X + \Delta_X$ cannot be \mathbf{Q} -linearly equivalent to an effective divisor because a general fiber would not be contained in its support and therefore $\bar{\kappa}(X_0) = -\infty$. \square

3.2. Quasi-Albanese variety and Goodman's result. A quasi-abelian variety over an algebraically closed field is an algebraic group Q such that there exists an algebraic torus T and an abelian variety A such that Q fits in the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow Q \rightarrow A \rightarrow 1 \quad (16)$$

of algebraic groups. Let V be a quasiprojective variety, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) quasi-abelian variety $\text{QAlb}(V)$ with a morphism $q : V \rightarrow \text{QAlb}(V)$ such that any morphism $V \rightarrow Q$ where Q is a quasi-abelian variety factors through $q : V \rightarrow \text{QAlb}(V)$. It is the *quasi-Albanese* variety of V . See e.g. [Ser01, Théorème 7].

Proposition 3.3 ([Abb23]). *Let V be a quasiprojective surface, then $\text{QAlb}(V) = 0$ if and only if $K[V]^\times = K^\times$ and for any projective compactification X of V , $\text{Alb}(X) = 0$.*

We also cite the result of Goodman on existence of ample divisors supported on the boundary.

Theorem 3.4 ([Goo69]). *If X_0 is an affine surface, then for any projective completion X of X_0 , Δ_X is connected and it is the support of an ample effective Cartier divisor.*

For a completion X of X_0 we define $\text{Div}_\infty(X) = \bigoplus \mathbf{R}E_i$ where $\Delta_X = \bigcup_i E_i$. We have a natural group homomorphism $\text{Div}_\infty(X) \rightarrow \text{NS}(X)_\mathbf{R}$ into the Néron-Severi group of X .

Corollary 3.5. *Suppose $\text{QAlb}(X_0) = 0$, then for any projective completion X of X_0 , $\text{Div}_\infty(X) \hookrightarrow \text{NS}(X)_\mathbf{R}$ is injective and the intersection form is non-degenerate and of Minkowski's type over $\text{Div}_\infty(X)$.*

Proof. We have $\text{Div}_\infty(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)_\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \text{NS}(X)_\mathbf{R}$, the first map is injective because X_0 contains no nonconstant invertible regular functions and the second one is an isomorphism because $\text{Alb}(X) = 0$.

Now, the fact that the intersection form is non-degenerate on $\text{Div}_\infty(X)$ comes from Goodman's result and the Hodge index theorem. Indeed, suppose that $D \in \text{Div}_\infty(X) \cap \text{Div}_\infty(X)^\perp$ and $D \neq 0$,

then there exists $H \in \text{Div}_\infty(X)$ ample by Goodman's result but then by the Hodge index theorem we have $H \cdot D \Rightarrow D^2 < 0$ which is a contradiction. \square

Finally we state one more result from [Abb23] which characterises the algebraic torus.

Proposition 3.6. *Let X_0 be a normal affine surface with a loxodromic automorphism, the following are equivalent.*

- (1) $X_0 = \mathbb{G}_m^2$.
- (2) $\text{QAlb}(X_0) \neq 0$.
- (3) X_0 admits a non constant invertible regular function.

4. VALUATIONS AND CYCLIC COMPLETIONS

4.1. Picard-Manin spaces. Let X be a smooth projective surface. We define the space of Cartier classes over X as

$$\text{c-NS}(X) = \varinjlim_{Y \rightarrow X} \text{NS}(Y)_{\mathbf{R}} \quad (17)$$

where the limit is over all smooth projective surfaces Y with a birational morphism $Y \rightarrow X$. That is an element of $\text{c-NS}(X)$ is a couple (Y, D) where D is an \mathbf{R} -Cartier divisor over Y and two couples (Y, D) and (Y', D') are equivalent if there exists a birational model Z dominating both Y and Y' such that $\pi^*D = (\pi')^*D'$ where $\pi : Z \rightarrow Y$ and $\pi' : Z \rightarrow Y'$ are the two birational morphisms. We also define the space of Weil classes by

$$\text{w-NS}(X) = \varprojlim_{Y \rightarrow X} \text{NS}(Y)_{\mathbf{R}}. \quad (18)$$

Here the compatibility morphisms are given by pushforward. An element of $\text{w-NS}(X)$ is the data of $\alpha = (\alpha_Y)_Y$ where $\alpha_Y \in \text{NS}(Y)_{\mathbf{R}}$ and such that for every birational morphism $\pi : Z \rightarrow Y$ we have

$$\pi_* \alpha_Z = \alpha_Y. \quad (19)$$

We have a natural embedding $\text{c-NS}(X) \hookrightarrow \text{w-NS}(X)$ and a pairing $\text{c-NS}(X) \times \text{w-NS}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined as follows: If $\alpha = (Y, \alpha_Y) \in \text{c-NS}(X)$ is defined over a model Y and $\beta \in \text{w-NS}(X)$, then we set

$$\alpha \cdot \beta := \alpha_Y \cdot \beta_Y. \quad (20)$$

It does not depend on the choice of the model Y where α is defined. This pairing is perfect and realises $\text{c-NS}(X)$ as the dual of $\text{w-NS}(X)$ for the topology of the inverse limit (see [BFJ08]).

Definition 4.1. If C is a curve in some birational model Y above X . We define its associated Weil class W_C which is defined as follows: for every birational model Z over X , let $\pi : Z \dashrightarrow Y$ be the induced birational map, then the incarnation $W_{C,Z}$ is $\pi'(C) = \overline{\pi(C \setminus \text{Ind}(\pi^{-1}))}$ the strict transform of C . In particular, it is zero if π^{-1} contracts C to a point.

Let $f : X \dashrightarrow X'$ be a dominant rational map, it defines a natural pullback operator $f^* : \text{c-NS}(X')_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \text{c-NS}(X)_{\mathbb{A}}$ and a natural pushforward operator $f_* : \text{w-NS}(X)_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \text{w-NS}(X')_{\mathbb{A}}$. Indeed, if $D' \in \text{c-NS}(X')_{\mathbb{A}}$ is a Cartier class living in some birational model Y' then there exists a birational model Y of X such that f lift to a regular morphism $F : Y \rightarrow Y'$ and we define

$$f^* D' := F^*(D'_{Y'}) \quad (21)$$

where $D'_{Y'}$ is the pullback of D' in Y' . It does not depend on the choice of Y, Y' . If $\alpha \in \text{w-NS}(X)_{\mathbb{A}}$ is a Weil class, then for any birational model Y' over X we can find a birational model Y of X such that f lifts to a regular morphism $F : Y \rightarrow Y'$, we then define

$$(f_* \alpha)_{Y'} := F_* \alpha_Y. \quad (22)$$

Proposition 4.2 ([BFJ08]). *If $f : X \dashrightarrow X'$ is a dominant rational map, then the pushforward operator $f_* : \text{w-NS}(X) \rightarrow \text{w-NS}(X')$ restricts to an operator*

$$f_* : \text{c-NS}(X) \rightarrow \text{c-NS}(X') \quad (23)$$

and the pullback operator extends naturally to a continuous linear map

$$f^* : \text{w-NS}(X') \rightarrow \text{w-NS}(X). \quad (24)$$

Furthermore, we have the following property. If Y, Y' are birational models of X and X' respectively such that the lift $f : Y \dashrightarrow Y'$ does not contract any curves, then for any $\beta \in \text{w-NS}(X')$ we have

$$(f^* \beta)_Y = (f^* \beta_{Y'})_Y. \quad (25)$$

Proof. This is contained in Corollary 2.5 and 2.6 of [BFJ08]. \square

4.2. Picard-Manin spaces at infinity. Let X_0 be a smooth affine surface, the space of Cartier and Weil classes of X_0 are defined as $\text{c-NS}(X)_{\mathbb{A}}$ and $\text{w-NS}(X)_{\mathbb{A}}$ for any completion X of X_0 . Since they are birational invariants they do not depend on the choice of the completion X . Now, we suppose that $\text{QAlb}(X_0) = 0$. This implies in particular that for any completion X of X_0 we have an embedding

$$\text{Div}_{\infty}(X)_{\mathbb{A}} \hookrightarrow \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{A}} \quad (26)$$

where $\text{Div}_{\infty}(X)_{\mathbb{A}} = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{A} E_i$ and E_1, \dots, E_r are the irreducible components of $X \setminus X_0$. We write $\text{ord}_{E_i}(D)$ for the coefficients of D along E_i . If $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ is a morphism of completions of X_0 , then the pushforward and pullback operators on the Néron-Severi group induce operators

$$\pi^* : \text{Div}_{\infty}(X)_{\mathbb{A}} \hookrightarrow \text{Div}_{\infty}(Y)_{\mathbb{A}}, \quad \pi_* : \text{Div}_{\infty}(Y)_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \text{Div}_{\infty}(X)_{\mathbb{A}}. \quad (27)$$

We thus define

$$\text{Cartier}_{\infty}(X_0)_{\mathbb{R}} = \varinjlim_X \text{Div}_{\infty}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \text{Weil}_{\infty}(X_0)_{\mathbb{R}} = \varprojlim_X \text{Div}_{\infty}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}. \quad (28)$$

We define $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(X_0)$ as the equivalence class of prime divisors at infinity of X_0 . That is an element of $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(X_0)$ is a couple (X, E) where X is a completion of X_0 and $E \subset \Delta_X$ is a prime divisor at infinity. Two couples $(X, E), (X', E')$ are equivalent if the induced birational map $X \dashrightarrow X'$ sends E

to E' birationally. If $E \in \mathcal{D}_\infty(X_0)$ and $W \in \text{Weil}_\infty(X_0)(X_0)$ we define $\text{ord}_E(W)$ as $\text{ord}_E(W_Y)$ where Y is any completion of X_0 such that $E \subset \Delta_Y$ is a prime divisor at infinity.

Proposition 4.3. *We have two canonical embeddings $\text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)_\mathbf{R} \hookrightarrow \text{c-NS}(X_0)_\mathbf{R}$ and $\text{Weil}_\infty(X_0)_\mathbf{R} \hookrightarrow \text{w-NS}(X)$ given by*

$$\alpha \in \text{Weil}_\infty(X_0)_\mathbf{R} \mapsto \sum_{E \in \mathcal{D}_\infty(X_0)} \text{ord}_E(\alpha) W_E. \quad (29)$$

Finally, if $\text{QAlb}(X_0) = 0$ the pairing

$$\text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0) \times \text{Weil}_\infty(X_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \quad (30)$$

is perfect.

Proof. It is clear that $\text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)$ is a subset of $\text{c-NS}(X_0)$. Let X be a completion of X_0 and recall that $\text{w-NS}(X_0) = \text{w-NS}(X)$, a birational model $Y \rightarrow X$ (which is not necessarily a completion of X_0 anymore) contains finitely many prime divisors $E \in \mathcal{D}_\infty(X_0)$ we denote them by E_1, \dots, E_r . We set

$$W_Y = \sum_{i=1}^r \text{ord}_{E_i}(W) E_i. \quad (31)$$

This is compatible with the inverse limit.

The exactness of the pairing comes from Corollary 3.5 because if $\alpha \in \text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)$ and $\beta \in \text{Weil}_\infty(X_0)$ we can compute the intersection product $\alpha \cdot \beta$ in a completion of X_0 . \square

Remark 4.4. The sum in Proposition 4.3 is formal, we are not saying that this series converges in $\text{w-NS}(X)$. This is a compact way of writing the incarnation of the Weil class in every birational model.

Proposition 4.5. *Let X_0 be a smooth affine surface with $\text{QAlb}(X_0) = 0$, then any $D \in \text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)$ is uniquely characterised by*

$$(W_E \cdot D)_{E \in \mathcal{D}_\infty(X_0)}. \quad (32)$$

Proof. Let $W \in \text{Weil}_\infty(X_0)$, then

$$W \cdot D = W_X \cdot D_X \quad (33)$$

where X is a completion where D is defined. But, $W_X = \sum_{E \subset \Delta_X} \text{ord}_E(W_X) E = (\sum_{E \subset \Delta_X} \text{ord}_E(W) W_{E,X})$. So that

$$D \cdot W = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{D}_\infty(X_0)} \text{ord}_E(W) (W_E \cdot D). \quad (34)$$

\square

Proposition 4.6. *Let $f : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ be a dominant endomorphism. The spaces $\text{Weil}_\infty(X_0)_\mathbf{R}$ and $\text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)_\mathbf{R}$ are f^* -invariant. They are f_* -invariant if and only if f is proper.*

Proof. The f^* -invariance comes from the fact that for any completions Y, X of X_0 such that f lifts to a regular morphism $F : Y \rightarrow X$ we have that F^* sends $\text{Div}_\infty(X)$ to $\text{Div}_\infty(Y)$. However, $F_*(\text{Div}_\infty(Y)) = \text{Div}_\infty(X)$ if and only if f is proper by the valuative criterion of properness (see [Har77]). \square

4.3. Cyclic completions. Let X_0 be a smooth affine surface completable by a cycle of rational curves. We are going to consider the inverse system of cyclic completions of X_0 . A *cyclic completion* of X_0 is a completion X of X_0 such that Δ_X is a cycle of rational curves. We say that a closed point $p \in \Delta_X$ is a *satellite point* if it lies at the intersection of two prime divisors at infinity and a *free point* otherwise.

We use the following result which follows from a combinatorial argument. A proof of which can be found in [CdC19, Lemma 8.3].

Proposition 4.7. *Let X, Y be two cyclic completions of X_0 , let $f \in \text{Aut}(X_0)$ and let $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ be the induced birational map. Then, every indeterminacy point of $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ is a satellite point.*

This proposition implies that to study the dynamics of an automorphism of X_0 we only need to look at cyclic completions. This motivates us to introduce restricted Picard-Manin spaces involving only cyclic completions. Two cyclic completions are always dominated by a third one. We define

$$\text{Cartier}_{\text{cyc}}(X_0) = \varinjlim_{X \text{ cyclic}} \text{Div}_\infty(X)_{\mathbf{R}}, \quad \text{Weil}_{\text{cyc}}(X_0) = \varprojlim_{X \text{ cyclic}} \text{Div}_\infty(X)_{\mathbf{R}}. \quad (35)$$

We also define

$$\text{c-NS}_{\text{cyc}}(X_0)_{\mathbf{R}} = \varinjlim_{X \text{ cyclic}} \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbf{R}}, \quad \text{w-NS}_{\text{cyc}}(X_0)_{\mathbf{R}} = \varprojlim_{X, \text{cyclic}} \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbf{R}}. \quad (36)$$

We have natural embeddings

$$\text{Cartier}_{\text{cyc}}(X_0) \hookrightarrow \text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)_{\mathbf{R}} \hookrightarrow \text{c-NS}(X_0)_{\mathbf{R}} \quad (37)$$

and

$$\text{Weil}_{\text{cyc}}(X_0) \hookrightarrow \text{Weil}_\infty(X_0)_{\mathbf{R}} \hookrightarrow \text{w-NS}(X_0)_{\mathbf{R}}. \quad (38)$$

By Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 4.7 we have that $\text{Aut}(X_0)$ acts by pullback on $\text{Weil}_{\text{cyc}}(X_0)_{\mathbf{R}}$, $\text{w-NS}_{\text{cyc}}(X_0)_{\mathbf{R}}$, $\text{Cartier}_{\text{cyc}}(X_0)_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\text{c-NS}_{\text{cyc}}(X_0)_{\mathbf{R}}$. Define the class $K + \Delta \in \text{w-NS}_{\text{cyc}}(X_0)_{\mathbf{R}}$ to be the Weil class with incarnation

$$(K + \Delta)_X = K_X + \Delta_X \quad (39)$$

in every cyclic completion X of X_0 .

Proposition 4.8. *The class $K + \Delta \in \text{w-NS}_{\text{cyc}}(X_0)$ is in fact a Cartier class $K + \Delta \in \text{c-NS}_{\text{cyc}}(X_0)$ determined by its incarnation in any cyclic completion X of X_0 . Furthermore, $K + \Delta$ is fixed by $\text{Aut}(X_0)$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact if X is a cyclic completion and $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ is the blow-up of an intersection point of the cycle Δ_X with exceptional divisor E , then $K_Y = \pi^*K_X + E$ and $\Delta_Y = \pi^*\Delta_X - E$ so that $K_Y + \Delta_Y = \pi^*(K_X + \Delta_X)$.

Now, we show that $K + \Delta$ is $\text{Aut}(X_0)$ -invariant. Let $f \in \text{Aut}(X_0)$, then by Proposition 4.7 we have that there exist two cyclic completions Y, X such that the lift of f is a regular birational morphism

$F : Y \rightarrow X$. Since F is a composition of blow-ups of intersection point of curves at infinity and an automorphism of Y preserving X_0 , then

$$f^*(K + \Delta) = F^*(K_X + \Delta_X) = K_Y + \Delta_Y. \quad (40)$$

□

4.4. Valuations and dual divisor.

4.4.1. *Definitions.* Let X_0 be a normal affine surface, we write $K[X_0]$ for its ring of regular functions. A K -valuation over $K[X_0]$ is a map $v : K[X_0] \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that

- (1) $v|_{K^\times} = 0$.
- (2) $v(0) = \infty$.
- (3) $\forall P, Q \in K[X_0], \quad v(PQ) = v(P) + v(Q)$.
- (4) $\forall P, Q \in K[X_0], \quad v(P + Q) \geq \min(v(P), v(Q))$.

We give two examples that will be useful for this note. First we have *divisorial* valuations. Let X be a completion of X_0 and E a prime divisor at infinity, then ord_E the order of vanishing along E is a valuations over $K[X_0]$. The second example is as follows. Let E, F be two divisors at infinity in X intersecting at a point p , then we can find local coordinates (x, y) at p such that $x = 0$ is a local equation of E and $y = 0$ is a local equation of F . For $\alpha, \beta > 0$ we define $v_{\alpha, \beta}$ as follows. The completion of the local ring at p with respect to its maximal ideal is $K[[x, y]]$ the ring of formal power series in x, y . Define

$$v_{\alpha, \beta} \left(\sum_{i, j} a_{ij} x^i y^j \right) = \min(\alpha i + \beta j : a_{ij} \neq 0). \quad (41)$$

This defines a valuation over $K[X_0]$ because every $P \in K[X_0]$ is in the fraction field of $\mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ the local ring at p and this fraction field embeds into $K[[x, y]]$. We have two possibilities, if $\alpha/\beta \in \mathbf{Q}$, then $v_{\alpha, \beta} = \text{ord}_G$ is also divisorial but we need to blow up p and infinitely near points to make G appear. Otherwise $v_{\alpha, \beta}$ is *irrational*.

If X is a completion of X_0 and v is a valuation over $K[X_0]$, then by the valuative criterion of properness there exists a unique point $c_X(v) \in X$ such that $v|_{\mathcal{O}_{X, c_X(v)}} \geq 0$ and $v|_{\mathfrak{m}_{X, c_X(v)}} > 0$. This point is called the *center* of v over X . We say that v is *centered at infinity* if $c_X(v) \notin X_0$ this is equivalent to the existence of $P \in K[X_0]$ such that $v(P) < 0$. We denote by \mathcal{V}_∞ the space of valuations centered at infinity and $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_\infty = \mathcal{V}_\infty / \mathbf{R}_+^\times$ where \mathbf{R}_+^\times acts by multiplication. If ord_E is a divisorial valuation we write v_E for its image in $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_\infty$. The space \mathcal{V}_∞ can be made into a topological space and $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_\infty$ as well, they are two topologies that one can consider called the weak and the strong topology.

If $f : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ is a dominant endomorphism then f acts by pushforward on the space of valuations

$$\forall P \in K[X_0], \quad f_* v(P) = v(f^* P). \quad (42)$$

It is not true in general that $f_*(\mathcal{V}_\infty) \subset \mathcal{V}_\infty$ in fact this holds if and only if f is proper which is for example the case if f is an automorphism. But the following holds. If $v \in \mathcal{V}_\infty$ is divisorial (resp. irrational) and $f_*v \in \mathcal{V}_\infty$, then f_*v is also divisorial (resp. rational).

4.4.2. The circle at infinity. As explained in [Abb23], suppose X_0 is an affine surface completable by a cycle of rational curves. If we look at all cyclic completions X of X_0 , the inverse limit of the dual graph of Δ_X is a circle C_∞ which corresponds to a subset of $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_\infty$. Namely, all the rational points of C_∞ corresponds to divisorial valuations associated to divisors on Δ_X for some cyclic completion X and the irrational points of C_∞ correspond to irrational valuations. More precisely, suppose X is a cyclic completion of X_0 and E, F are two prime divisors at infinity intersecting at a single point $p = E \cap F$. Then, the divisors E, F correspond to two points $v_E, v_F \in C_\infty$ which are the divisorial valuations associated to E and F and the segment $]v_E, v_F[\subset C_\infty$ is given by the monomial valuations centered at p of the form $v_{1,s}$ for $s \in (0, \infty)$. Notice that $\text{ord}_E = v_{1,0}$ and that $v_{1,s} \rightarrow \text{ord}_F$ when $s \rightarrow +\infty$. Enumerating $\Delta_X = E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_r$ with $E_i \cdot E_{i+1} = 1$ and $E_1 \cdot E_r = 1$, the segments $[v_{E_i}, v_{E_{i+1}}[$ glue together to define a circle that we denote by C_∞ . Furthermore, the strong topology on $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_\infty$ restricts to the usual topology on the circle C_∞ . We will write $v \in C_\infty$ when $[v] \in C_\infty$ so as not to burden notations. This will not provide any confusion as most of the proofs will work with any multiple of v when $[v] \in C_\infty$.

4.4.3. Dynamics on C_∞ . It is easy to describe the dynamics of a loxodromic automorphism $f \in \text{Aut}(X_0)$ over C_∞ when X_0 is completable by a cycle of rational curves. Every $f \in \text{Aut}(X_0)$ acts on C_∞ as a homeomorphism.

Theorem 4.9 ([Abb23]). *Let $f \in \text{Aut}(X_0)$ be a loxodromic automorphism with $X_0 \neq \mathbb{G}_m^2$. Then, up to multiplication by a positive scalar there exist two unique distinct valuations $v_\pm \in C_\infty$ such that*

$$(f^{\pm 1})_* v_\pm = \lambda(f) v_\pm. \quad (43)$$

In particular, they are fixed in C_∞ and furthermore, for every $v \in \mathcal{V}_\infty$ such that the class $[v] \in \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_\infty$ belongs to $C_\infty \setminus \{v_-\}$, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^n} f_*^n v \rightarrow c \cdot v_+ \quad (44)$$

for the strong topology, in particular $f_^n[v] \rightarrow [v_+]$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_\infty$. Finally, these two valuations v_\pm are irrational valuations.*

We call the valuations v_\pm the *eigenvaluations* of f they are well defined up to multiplication by a positive constant.

4.4.4. Dual divisors for valuations. Let X_0 be any smooth affine surface, by [Abb23] we have that every $v \in \mathcal{V}_\infty$ induces a linear form $L_v : \text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ as follows. Let $D \in \text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)$ be a Cartier class and let X be a cyclic completion where D is defined. Then, we define $L_v(D)$ as

$$L_v(D) := v(h) \quad (45)$$

where h is a local equation of D at $c_X(v)$. This does not depend on the choice of the local equation or the choice of the cyclic completion X where D is defined. We then extend L_v to $\text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)_\mathbf{R}$ by \mathbf{R} -linearity. By duality, this induces a unique Weil class $Z_v \in \text{Weil}_\infty(X_0)_\mathbf{R}$ which satisfies

$$\forall D \in \text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)_\mathbf{R}, \quad Z_v \cdot D = L_v(D). \quad (46)$$

If v is a valuation not centered at infinity, then we set $Z_v = 0$.

Proposition 4.10. *Let $f : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ be a dominant endomorphism and v be a valuation, then*

$$f_* Z_v = Z_{f_* v} + w_v \quad (47)$$

where $w_v \in \text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)^\perp \subset \text{w-NS}(X_0)$. Furthermore, if f is proper then $w_v = 0$.

Proof. If $D \in \text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)$, then

$$f_* Z_v \cdot D = Z_v \cdot f^* D = L_v(f^* D) = L_{f_* v}(D) = Z_{f_* v} \cdot D. \quad (48)$$

So that $w_v = f_* Z_v - Z_{f_* v} \in \text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)^\perp$. If f is proper, then $\text{Weil}_\infty(X_0)$ is f_* -invariant so that $w_v \in \text{Weil}_\infty(X_0) \cap \text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)^\perp = \{0\}$ because of the perfect pairing $\text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0) \times \text{Weil}_\infty(X_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. \square

If E is a prime divisor at infinity on some cyclic completion X of X_0 , we write $\hat{E} := Z_{\text{ord}_E}$.

Lemma 4.11. *Let X be a completion of X_0 with prime divisors at infinity E_1, \dots, E_r , then $\hat{E}_1, \dots, \hat{E}_r$ are the Cartier classes defined by the dual basis of (E_1, \dots, E_r) with respect to the intersection form. In particular, if $E \in \mathcal{D}_\infty(X_0)$, then \hat{E} is a Cartier class defined in any completion X such that $E \subset \Delta_X$.*

Proof. Let Z_{E_1}, \dots, Z_{E_r} be the dual basis of (E_1, \dots, E_r) in $\text{Div}_\infty(X)_\mathbf{R}$. If $D \in \text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)$ and $E = E_1, \dots, E_r$, then we have

$$\hat{E} \cdot D = L_{\text{ord}_E}(D) = L_{\text{ord}_E}(D_X) = Z_E \cdot D_X. \quad (49)$$

\square

Lemma 4.12. *If E, F are two prime divisors at infinity in X and $p = E \cap F$. For $s > 0$, let $v_{1,s}$ be the monomial valuation centered at p with weight $(1, s)$, then we have*

$$(Z_{v_{1,s}})_X = \hat{E} + s\hat{F}. \quad (50)$$

Furthermore,

$$Z_{v_{1,s}}^2 = (\hat{E} + s\hat{F})^2 - s. \quad (51)$$

Proof. This is contained in [Abb23], we sketch the proof here. Since $p = E \cap F$, we have that for every other prime divisors at infinity $G \subset \Delta_X$, $L_v(G) = 0$ because G is given by an invertible local equation at p . This implies that $Z_{v,X} = \hat{E} + s\hat{F}$, so the Weil class Z_v can be decomposed as $Z_v = \hat{E} + s\hat{F} + Z_{v,X,p}$ where

$$Z_{v,X,p} = \sum_{E \subset \mathcal{D}_{X,p}} \text{ord}_E(Z_v) W_E \quad (52)$$

with $\mathcal{D}_{X,p} \subset \mathcal{D}_\infty(X_0)$ is the set of prime divisors above the point p . In [Abb23], we show that $Z_{v,X,p}^2 = -\alpha(v_{1,s})$ where α is the parametrisation of the tree of valuations centered at p rooted at v_E from Favre and Jonsson in [FJ04] called skewness and we have that $\alpha(v_{1,s}) = s$. \square

Proposition 4.13. *Suppose X_0 is a smooth affine surface completable by a cycle of rational curves and let $f \in \text{Aut}(X_0)$ be a loxodromic automorphism with eigenvaluations v_\pm . Then, $\theta^\pm := Z_{v_\pm}$ is nef and satisfies*

$$(\theta^+)^2 = (\theta^-)^2 = 0. \quad (53)$$

We end this section with the following result which gives a simple criteria to detect whether Z_v is nef, also proven in [Abb23].

Proposition 4.14. *Let $v \in \mathcal{V}_\infty$, we have the following equivalence.*

- (1) Z_v is nef.
- (2) $Z_v^2 \geq 0$
- (3) $Z_v \geq 0$, i.e for every $E \in \mathcal{D}_\infty(X_0)$, $\text{ord}_E(Z_v) \geq 0$.

Finally, we state a result from [Abb24] that states that two loxodromic automorphisms of a smooth affine surface X_0 cannot share an eigenvaluation unless they have a common iterate except when X_0 is the algebraic torus \mathbb{G}_m^2 .

Proposition 4.15 ([Abb24]). *Suppose X_0 is a smooth affine surface not isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_m^2 and $f, g \in \text{Aut}(X_0)$ are two loxodromic automorphisms, if f and g do not share a common iterate, then the eigenvaluations v_\pm^f and v_\pm^g are all distinct.*

5. PROOF OF THEOREM A

Let X_0 be a smooth affine surface completable by a cycle of rational curves and assume that $\text{Aut}(X_0)$ is not elementary.

Proposition 5.1. *For any $[v] \in C_\infty$, we have $Z_v^2 = 0$.*

Proof. Let f, g be two loxodromic automorphisms generating a non-elementary subgroup. Write v_f^\pm, v_g^\pm for the eigenvaluations of f and g respectively. Recall that they are all different by Proposition 4.15. From Proposition 4.13, we have that

$$Z_{v_f^\pm}^2 = Z_{v_g^\pm}^2 = 0. \quad (54)$$

Let Y be a cyclic completion of X_0 such that the centers of these four eigenvaluations are distinct. Recall that they are irrational valuations so their centers is always a satellite point. Let $p = E \cap F = c_Y(v_f^+)$. We look at the monomial valuations centered at p . The function

$$\phi : s \in [0, +\infty) \mapsto Z_{v_{1,s}}^2 \quad (55)$$

is a polynomial map of s of degree ≤ 2 by Lemma 4.12. We have that $v_f^+ = v_{1,s_0}$ for some $s_0 > 0$. Now, we know that $f_*^n[v_g^+] \rightarrow [v_f^+]$ by Theorem 4.9. This implies that after a finite number of steps we have up to renormalisation

$$f_*^n v_g^+ = v_{1,s_n} \quad (56)$$

for some $s_n > 0$ with $s_n \neq s_0$ and $s_n \rightarrow s_0$. But since $Z_{v_g^+}^2 = 0$ and this is invariant by the action of f we have that $Z_{v_{1,s_n}}^2 = 0$ so the function ϕ is zero. Since for any valuation $[v] \in \mathcal{C}_\infty \setminus \{[v_f^-]\}$, $f_*^n[v] \rightarrow [v_f^+]$ we have that $Z_v^2 = 0$. \square

This implies by Proposition 4.14 that for every $v \in \mathcal{C}_\infty$, Z_v is nef.

Corollary 5.2. *If E, F are different prime divisors at infinity of a cyclic completion X of X_0 , then*

$$\widehat{E} \cdot \widehat{F} > 0. \quad (57)$$

Proof. We have by Proposition 5.1 that $(\widehat{E})^2 = (\widehat{F})^2 = 0$ so that they are nef and effective divisors by Proposition 4.14. This implies that $\widehat{E} \cdot \widehat{F} \geq 0$. If the intersection number is zero, then by the Hodge index theorem we must have that $\widehat{E} = \widehat{F}$ but this is a contradiction. \square

Proposition 5.3. *Let X_0 be a smooth affine surface completable by a cycle of rational curves with a non-elementary automorphism group, then the class $K + \Delta \in \text{c-NS}_{\text{cyc}}(X_0)$ is equal to 0.*

Proof. Recall by Proposition 4.8 that $(K + \Delta) \in \text{Cartier}_{\text{cyc}}(X_0)_{\mathbf{R}}$ and it is defined by $K_X + \Delta_X$ for any cyclic completion X of X_0 . Furthermore, it is fixed by $\text{Aut}(X_0)$. We show that

$$\forall v \in \mathcal{C}_\infty, \quad Z_v \cdot (K + \Delta) = 0. \quad (58)$$

Let $f, g \in \text{Aut}(X_0)$ be two loxodromic automorphisms not sharing a common iterate with their eigenvaluations v_h^\pm for $h = f, g$. We have that

$$Z_{v_h^\pm} \cdot (K + \Delta) = (h^{\pm 1})^* \left(Z_{v_h^\pm} \cdot (K + \Delta) \right) = \lambda(h^{\pm 1}) Z_{v_h^\pm} \cdot (K + \Delta). \quad (59)$$

This implies that $Z_{v_h^\pm} \cdot (K + \Delta) = 0$. Now, let X be a cyclic completion of X_0 and let $p = E \cap F = c_X(v_f^+)$. The function

$$\phi : s > 0 \mapsto Z_{v_{1,s}} \cdot (K + \Delta) \quad (60)$$

is a polynomial of degree at most 1 because we have

$$Z_{v_{1,s}} \cdot (K + \Delta) = (Z_{v_{1,s}})_X \cdot (K + \Delta)_X = (\widehat{E} + s\widehat{F}) \cdot (K_X + \Delta_X). \quad (61)$$

Now we have that $v_f^+ = v_{1,s_0}$ for some $s_0 > 0$. As in the proof of Proposition 5.1, for n large enough, $f_*^n v_g^+ = v_{1,s_n}$ and we have $\phi(s_n) = 0$. So that the function ϕ is 0. Now, for any $[v] \in \mathcal{C}_\infty \setminus \{[v_{-,f}]\}$ we have that $f_*^n[v] \rightarrow [v_{+,f}]$ so it will belong to $[v_E, v_F]$ after finitely many iterations. So that we get $Z_v \cdot (K + \Delta) = (f^n)_*(Z_v \cdot (K + \Delta)) = Z_{f^n v} \cdot (K + \Delta) = 0$.

This implies that $K + \Delta$ is orthogonal to $\text{Cartier}_{\text{cyc}}(X_0)_{\mathbf{R}}$. But by Proposition 1.1 we have $\bar{\kappa}(X_0) = 0$ so that $K_X + \Delta_X$ is pseudo-effective. Let H be an ample divisor supported over Δ_X which exists by Goodman's result, if $K_X + \Delta_X \neq 0$, then $H \cdot (K_X + \Delta_X) > 0$ by ampleness of H and this is a contradiction. \square

In the sense of Gizatullin in [Giz71], a minimal cyclic completion X of X_0 is a smooth completion such that Δ_X is (1) a cycle of rational curves or (2) a single rational curve with a nodal singularity and such that if Δ_X contains a curve of self-intersection -1 , its contraction $X \rightarrow Y$ would yield a completion of X_0 that does not satisfy neither (1) or (2). We can always contract -1 -curves at infinity in a cyclic completion to get to a minimal one.

Corollary 5.4. *There exists a completion X of X_0 such that Δ_X is a triangle of three (-1) -curves.*

Proof. By [Giz71], we have that a minimal completion Y of X_0 must contain a curve E such that $E^2 \geq 0$. If $\Delta_Y = E$ is a nodal curve, then by Goodman's theorem E is ample and $E^2 > 0$ then $\hat{E} = cE$ where $c > 0$ and $\hat{E}^2 > 0$ which contradicts Proposition 5.1.

So we have that Δ_Y is reducible. If $E^2 > 0$, then we have that $\hat{F} \cdot E = 0$ with $F \subset \Delta_Y$ distinct from E . By the Hodge index theorem this implies that $\hat{F}^2 < 0$ which also contradicts Proposition 5.1. So we have that $E^2 = 0$.

Let F be another irreducible component of Δ_Y intersecting E we show that $\Delta_Y = E \cup F$ and $F^2 = 0$. Indeed otherwise by Corollary 5.2 we have that $\hat{G} \cdot \hat{F} > 0$ for every $G \subset \Delta_Y, G \neq F$ and $\hat{F}^2 = 0$ by Proposition 5.1. This implies that

$$\hat{F} = aE + R \quad (62)$$

with $a > 0$ and R an effective divisor such that $\text{Supp } R = \Delta_Y \setminus E \cup F$. Now intersecting with E we get

$$0 = E \cdot R. \quad (63)$$

But this is impossible since E must intersect the support of the effective divisor R because Δ_Y is a cycle of rational curves.

So we have that $\Delta_Y = E \cup F$ with $E^2 = 0$. Since by Proposition 5.1 we have $\hat{E}^2 = 0$ this implies that $\hat{E} = aF$ with $a > 0$. Since $0 = F \cdot \hat{E} = aF^2$ we have that $F^2 = 0$. Blowing up one of the two intersection points of E and F we get the desired completion X . \square

Theorem 5.5. *The completion X from Corollary 5.4 is a smooth cubic surface and the anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ yields an embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ such that Δ_X is a triangle of lines. In particular, X_0 is a cubic affine surface of Markov type.*

Proof. By proposition 5.3 we have that $K_X = -\Delta_X$. So we need to show that $H = \Delta_X$ is ample. By the Nakai-Moishezon criterion this is equivalent to showing that $H \cdot C > 0$ for any curve in X . If C is one of the three curves in Δ_X , then $H \cdot C = 1$ and if C is a curve contained in X_0 , then since Δ_X must support an ample divisor by Goodman's result, we have that C must intersect the support of Δ_X . Therefore $H \cdot C > 0$ and H is ample. This implies that X is a smooth Del Pezzo surface with $K_X^2 = \Delta_X^2 = 3$. By classical theory we have that X is a smooth Del Pezzo surface of degree 6 and

$-K_X$ is very ample and yields an embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ realising X as a smooth cubic surface in \mathbf{P}^3 and Δ_X is a triangle of lines in \mathbf{P}^3 since $\Delta_X \cdot C = 1$ for the three curves C contained in Δ_X . \square

6. ENDOMORPHISMS

6.1. Nonproper endomorphisms.

Proposition 6.1. *Let X_0 be an affine surface and let $f : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ be a non-proper dominant endomorphism. There exists finitely many $C_1, \dots, C_r \in \mathcal{D}_\infty(X_0)$ such that $f(C_i)$ is a curve inside X_0 . For any other $E \in \mathcal{D}_\infty(X_0)$, either $f_* \text{ord}_E$ is centered at infinity or at a closed point in X_0 . In the second case we have furthermore that the Weil class W_E satisfies $f_* W_E \in \text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)^\perp$.*

Proof. Let X be a completion of X_0 and let Y be a completion above X such that the lift $F : Y \rightarrow X$ of f is regular. Let C_1, \dots, C_r be the prime divisors at infinity in Y such that $F(C_i) = C'_i$ is a curve in X_0 . We claim that they are the desired prime divisors at infinity. Indeed, let $E \in \mathcal{D}_\infty(X_0)$ and assume that $f_* \text{ord}_E$ is not centered at infinity and that $E \neq C_1, \dots, C_r$. We have that

$$c_X(f_* \text{ord}_E) = F(c_Y(\text{ord}_E)). \quad (64)$$

If E is a prime divisor at infinity in Y then by definition of C_1, \dots, C_r its image must be a closed point in X_0 . Otherwise, $c_Y(\text{ord}_E)$ is a closed point in Y but then its image by F must also be a closed point.

If $f_* \text{ord}_E$ is centered at a closed point in X_0 . Let Y be any completion of X_0 and let Z be a completion of X_0 such that E is a prime divisor at infinity in Z and $F : Z \rightarrow Y$ is a regular lift of f . In particular, $F(E)$ is a closed point in X_0 . Then, for any $D \in \text{Div}_\infty(Y)$,

$$f_* W_E \cdot D = F_* W_{E,Z} \cdot D = 0. \quad (65)$$

Since this holds for any completion Y we have that $f_* W_E \in \text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)^\perp$. \square

We call C_1, \dots, C_r the *nonproper* divisors of f . Write $d_i = \deg(f : C_i \rightarrow f(C_i))$. We denote by $W_{f(C_i)}$ the Weil class induced by $f(C_i)$.

Corollary 6.2. *Let $f : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ be a dominant endomorphisms with nonproper curves C_1, \dots, C_r . Let $v \in \mathcal{V}_\infty$ be a divisorial valuation then*

$$f^* Z_v = \sum_{f_* W = v} a_w Z_w + \sum_{i=1}^r d_i (W_{f(C_i)} \cdot Z_v) \hat{C}_i \quad (66)$$

for some $a_w > 0$ and integers $d_i > 0$.

Proof. We can assume that $v = \text{ord}_F$. Let E be a prime divisor at infinity and W_E the induced Weil divisor. If $f(E)$ is a closed point in X_0 , then by Proposition 6.1

$$f^* \hat{F} \cdot W_E = \hat{F} \cdot f_*(W_E) = 0. \quad (67)$$

If $f_* W_E = d_E W_{E'}$ with $E' \in \mathcal{D}_\infty(X_0)$ and $E' \neq F$, then also $f^* \hat{F} \cdot W_E = \hat{F} \cdot d_E W_{E'} = 0$. If $E' = F$, then $f_* W_E = a_E W_F$ and

$$f^* \hat{F} \cdot W_E = a_E \quad (68)$$

where $a_E : \deg(f : E \rightarrow F)$. Finally if $E = C_1, \dots, C_r$, then

$$f^*Z_v \cdot W_{C_i} = Z_v \cdot (d_i W_{f(C_i)}). \quad (69)$$

Thus we get the result. \square

Remark 6.3. The formula holds actually for any valuation $v \in \mathcal{V}_\infty$ using an approximation argument but this is not needed for this paper.

Corollary 6.4. *Let v be a valuation such that $Z_v^2 = 0$, then there exists at most one valuation w with $Z_w^2 \geq 0$ such that $f_*w = v$.*

Proof. We use Corollary 6.2. We have $0 = Z_v^2 = f_*Z_w \cdot Z_v = Z_w \cdot f^*Z_v$. Now let w_0 be such that $f_*w_0 = v$ and $Z_{w_0}^2 \geq 0$. Using (66) we have

$$0 = \sum_{f_*w=w_0} Z_{w_0} \cdot Z_w + \sum_i d_i (Z_v \cdot W_{f(C_i)}) \cdot (\hat{C}_i \cdot Z_{w_0}). \quad (70)$$

Since Z_v and Z_{w_0} are nef and effective, all the terms in this sum are ≥ 0 so they must all vanish. In particular, if w is in the preimage of v , then $Z_{w_0} \cdot Z_w = 0$ which implies either that $w = w_0$ or $Z_w^2 < 0$ by the Hodge index theorem. \square

6.2. A characterisation of C_∞ . Let X_0 be a smooth cubic affine surface of Markov type over \mathbf{C} . We have seen that for any $v \in C_\infty$, $Z_v^2 = 0$ and $(K + \Delta) \cdot Z_v = 0$. We show that in fact both these properties characterise C_∞ .

Proposition 6.5. *We have that*

$$C_\infty = \{v \in \mathcal{V}_\infty : Z_v^2 \geq 0\} = \{v \in \mathcal{V}_\infty : Z_v^2 = 0\} \quad (71)$$

$$= \{v \in \mathcal{V}_\infty : Z_v \cdot (K + \Delta) \leq 0\} = \{v \in \mathcal{V}_\infty : Z_v \cdot (K + \Delta) = 0\}. \quad (72)$$

Proof. Let $w \in \mathcal{V}_\infty \setminus C_\infty$, start with any cyclic completion X of X_0 . We keep blowing up the center of w until it becomes a free point on a single prime divisor at infinity E . We still call X the newly obtained completion. We can assume that $Z_w \cdot E = 1$. This implies that $Z_{w,X} = \hat{E}$. And therefore,

$$Z_w = Z_{w,X} \cdot \hat{E} = 0. \quad (73)$$

Since $(\hat{E})^2 = 0$, by the Hodge index theorem this implies that either $Z_w^2 < 0$ or that $Z_w = \hat{E}$ but since $w \notin C_\infty$ the second option is not possible. Now for $K + \Delta$, let $\pi : W \rightarrow X$ be the blowup of the center of w in X and let F be the exceptional divisor. Since $c_X(w)$ is a free point on E , we have that $K_W + \Delta_W = \pi^*(K_X + \Delta_X) + F$. So we get that

$$Z_{w,W} \cdot (K_W + \Delta_W) = Z_{w,W} \cdot F > 0. \quad (74)$$

If $\pi : Z \rightarrow W$ is a morphism of completions such that the center of w is a prime divisor T , then we have by the ramification formula that

$$K_Z + \Delta_Z = \pi^*(K_W + \Delta_W) + R \quad (75)$$

where $R \geq 0$ is effective and π -exceptional. This implies that

$$Z_w \cdot (K + \Delta) = \hat{T} \cdot (K_Z + \Delta_Z) \geq Z_{w,W} \cdot (K_W + \Delta_W) > 0. \quad (76)$$

□

6.3. Proof of Theorem 6.6. The goal of this section is to establish the following result.

Theorem 6.6. *Let X_0 be a smooth affine surface over \mathbf{C} which is the complement of a triangle of line inside a smooth cubic surface, then any dominant endomorphism of X_0 is an automorphism.*

Let $f : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ be a dominant endomorphism. The proof decomposes in several steps we first show that $f : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ is étale. Then we will show that f is necessarily proper. This will imply that f is a covering but since $X_0(\mathbf{C})$ is simply connected this will imply that f is a homeomorphism and therefore an automorphism of X_0 .

First step: f is étale.

Lemma 6.7. *We have that $f_*(C_\infty) \subset C_\infty$ and the map $f_* : C_\infty \rightarrow C_\infty$ is injective. Furthermore for every $v \in C_\infty$*

$$f_*Z_v = Z_{f_*v}. \quad (77)$$

Proof. Let $v \in C_\infty$, then Z_v nef and f_*Z_v also is. In general we have in $\text{c-NS}(X_0)_{\mathbf{R}}$

$$f_*Z_v = Z_{f_*v} + w_v^2 \quad (78)$$

where $w_v \in \text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)^\perp \cap \text{c-NS}(X_0)$. But now,

$$0 \leq (f_*Z_v)^2 = Z_{f_*v}^2 + w_v^2. \quad (79)$$

By Proposition 6.5 we have $Z_{f_*v}^2 \leq 0$ and also $w_v^2 \leq 0$ because $\text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)$ contains ample Cartier classes. This implies that $Z_{f_*v}^2 = w_v^2 = 0$. By the Hodge index theorem we have $w_v = 0$. Now we just have to make sure that $Z_{f_*v} \neq 0$ i.e. that f_*v is still centered at infinity. By Goodman's result there exists $H \in \text{Cartier}_\infty(X_0)$ ample. Therefore,

$$Z_{f_*v} \cdot H = f_*Z_v \cdot H = Z_v \cdot f^*H > 0 \quad (80)$$

where the inequality comes from the Hodge index theorem. So $Z_{f_*v}^2 = 0$ and by Proposition 6.5 this implies that $f_*v \in C_\infty$. By Corollary 6.4 applied with Proposition 6.5, any $v \in C_\infty$ can have at most one preimage in C_∞ so that the map $f_* : C_\infty \rightarrow C_\infty$ is injective. □

Now, let X be a cyclic completion of X_0 and let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of completions such that the lift $F : Y \rightarrow X$ of f is regular. Note that a priori Y is not a cyclic completion. By the ramification formula we have

$$K_Y + \Delta_Y = F^*(K_X + \Delta_X) + R \quad (81)$$

with R an effective divisor in Y . In particular, over X_0 this yields

$$K_{X_0} = f^*K_{X_0} + R|_{X_0} \quad (82)$$

and f is unramified if and only if $R|_{X_0} = 0$. The effective divisor R is of the form

$$R = \sum_C a_C C + \sum_{F \in \Delta_Y} b_F F \quad (83)$$

with C irreducible curves in Y such that $C \cap X_0 \neq \emptyset$, $a_C > 0$ and $b_F \geq 0$. Let E be a prime divisor at infinity in X , in particular $\text{ord}_E \in C_\infty$ and $f_* \text{ord}_E \in C_\infty$. Proposition 6.5 and Lemma 6.7 imply

$$\hat{E} \cdot (K_Y + \Delta_Y) = 0 \text{ and } F_* \hat{E} \cdot (K_X + \Delta_X) = 0. \quad (84)$$

Thus,

$$\hat{E} \cdot R = 0. \quad (85)$$

Since \hat{E} is nef, we get in (83) that $b_E = 0$. Now, by Goodman's result, there exists an ample effective divisor H over X such that $\text{Supp } H = \Delta_X$. Writing

$$H = \sum_{E \in \Delta_X} (H \cdot E) \hat{E} \quad (86)$$

we have

$$0 = \pi^* H \cdot R = H \cdot \pi_* R = \sum_C a_C (H \cdot \pi(C)). \quad (87)$$

Since H is ample the sum must be empty because π is an isomorphism over X_0 . In particular, $R \in \text{Div}_\infty(Y)$ and therefore $R|_{X_0} = 0$ so that f is unramified over X_0 and therefore étale.

Second step: f is proper. If f is not proper then it admits nonproper curves C_1, \dots, C_r . We show that this leads to a contradiction.

Lemma 6.8. *There exists a cyclic completion X of X_0 such that*

- (1) *For $i = 1, \dots, r$ every intersection point of $f(C_i)$ with Δ_X is a free point over Δ_X .*
- (2) *For $i = 1, \dots, r$, $c_X(\text{ord}_{C_i})$ is a free point on Δ_X .*


Furthermore, these two properties remain true for any cyclic completion above X .

Proof. Start with any cyclic completion X . We start by blowing up every center of ord_{C_i} if it is a satellite point. After finitely many blowups, (2) is satisfied. Then, we blow up the intersection points of $f(C_i)$ with the boundary whenever the intersection point is a satellite point. After finitely many steps (1) will also be satisfied. \square

Figure 1 is an example of a possible configuration of the completion X . Every non-proper divisor is centered on a unique point on the boundary (the centers are in blue) and their image by f is a curve in X_0 such that every intersection point with Δ_X belongs to a unique irreducible component of Δ_X (the curves are in red).

Corollary 6.9. *For all but finitely many divisorial $v \in C_\infty$, we have*

$$Z_v \cdot W_{f(C_i)} > 0, \quad Z_v \cdot \hat{C}_i > 0. \quad (88)$$

FIGURE 1. A completion X satisfying Lemma 6.8

Proof. Let X be a cyclic completion satisfying Lemma 6.8. We show that for any $v \in C_\infty$ such that $c_X(v)$ is a closed point (in particular it is a satellite point) Equation (88) holds.

Indeed, by Corollary 5.2, $\text{Supp } Z_{v,X} = \Delta_X$ and for any cyclic completion $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ above X , we have that

$$\pi^* f(C_i) = \pi' f(C_i) = W_{f(C_i),Z}. \quad (89)$$

So that

$$Z_v \cdot W_{f(C_i)} = Z_{v,X} \cdot W_{f(C_i),X} > 0. \quad (90)$$

Furthermore, if E is a prime divisor at infinity such that $c_X(\text{ord}_{C_i}) \in E$, then $\hat{C}_{i,X} = \alpha \hat{E}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and $\text{ord}_E(Z_v) > 0$ so that $\text{ord}_{C_i}(Z_v) = \alpha \text{ord}_E(Z_v) > 0$ which shows the result. \square

Now, we show that $f : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ is proper. Suppose this is not the case and let C_1, \dots, C_r be the nonproper curves of f . By (66), we have for every $v \in C_\infty$ divisorial that

$$f^* Z_v = \sum_{f_* w = v} a_w Z_w + \sum_{i=1}^r d_i (W_{f(C_i)} \cdot Z_v) \hat{C}_i. \quad (91)$$

By Lemma 6.7 and Corollary 6.9 there exists $w_0 \in \mathcal{V}_\infty$ divisorial such that w_0 and $v := f_* w_0$ satisfy Corollary 6.9. Then, we have

$$0 = Z_v^2 = f_* Z_{w_0} \cdot Z_v = Z_{w_0} \cdot f^* Z_v = \sum_{f_* w = v} a_w Z_w \cdot Z_{w_0} + \sum_{i=1}^r d_i (W_{f(C_i)} \cdot Z_v) \hat{C}_i \cdot Z_{w_0}. \quad (92)$$

All the terms appearing in this sum are ≥ 0 so they must all vanish. But this contradicts Corollary 6.9. This implies that f has no nonproper curves and therefore f is proper.

REFERENCES

- [Abb23] Marc Abboud. On the dynamics of endomorphisms of affine surfaces, submitted for publication, November 2023.
- [Abb24] Marc Abboud. Intersection of orbits of loxodromic automorphisms of affine surfaces, to appear in *Algebraic and Number Theory*, September 2024.
- [BD11] Jérémy Blanc and Adrien Dubouloz. Automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^1 -fibered affine surfaces. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 363(11):5887–5924, 2011.
- [BD13] Jérémy Blanc and Adrien Dubouloz. Affine Surfaces With a Huge Group of Automorphisms. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, February 2013.
- [BFJ08] Sébastien Boucksom, Charles Favre, and Mattias Jonsson. Degree growth of meromorphic surface maps. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 141(3), February 2008.
- [Bot23] Anna Bot. A smooth complex rational affine surface with uncountably many real forms, February 2023.
- [CdC19] Serge Cantat and Yves de Cornulier. Commensurating actions of birational groups and groups of pseudo-automorphisms. *Journal de l'École polytechnique — Mathématiques*, 6:767–809, 2019.
- [CL07] Serge Cantat and Frank Loray. Holomorphic dynamics, Painlevé VI equation and Character Varieties. November 2007.
- [ÈH74] M H Èl'-Huti. Cubic surfaces of Markov type. *Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik*, 22(3):333–348, April 1974.
- [FJ04] Charles Favre and Mattias Jonsson. *The Valuative Tree*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.
- [Giz71] M. H. Gizatullin. Invariants of incomplete algebraic surfaces that can be obtained by means of completions. *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR. Seriya Matematicheskaya*, 35:485–497, 1971.
- [Goo69] Jacob Eli Goodman. Affine Open Subsets of Algebraic Varieties and Ample Divisors. *Annals of Mathematics*, 89(1):160–183, 1969.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [Miy81] Masayoshi Miyanishi. *Non-Complete Algebraic Surfaces*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1981.
- [Ser01] J. Serre. Exposés de séminaires, 1950-1999. 2001.

MARC ABBOD, INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE NEUCHÂTEL, RUE EMILE-ARGAND 11 CH-2000 NEUCHÂTEL

Email address: marc.abboud@normalesup.org