

# SEGRE POWERS OF POSETS PRESERVE EL-SHELLABILITY

YIFEI LI AND SHEILA SUNDARAM

**ABSTRACT.** For a bounded and graded poset  $P$ , we show that if  $P$  is EL-shellable, then so is its  $t$ -fold Segre power  $P^{(t)} = P \circ \cdots \circ P$  ( $t$  factors), as defined by Björner and Welker [J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 198(1-3), 43–55 (2005)]. Our EL-labeling leads to formulas for the rank-selected invariants of  $P^{(t)}$ , generalising those given by Stanley for the subspace lattice [J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A, 20(3):336–356, 1976].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The *Segre product* of posets was first defined by Björner and Welker, who showed [7, Theorem 1] that this operation preserves the property of being homotopy Cohen-Macaulay. The Segre square  $P \circ P$  was studied by the first author in [9], when  $P$  is the Boolean lattice  $B_n$  and the subspace lattice  $B_n(q)$ .

The work of [9] was further developed in [10]. Inspired by these papers, the present work studies the EL-shellability of the  $t$ -fold Segre power  $P^{(t)} = P \circ \cdots \circ P$  ( $t$  factors) of a pure poset  $P$ , for arbitrary  $t$ .

The  $t$ -fold Segre power of the subspace lattice  $B_n(q)$  appears in an early paper of Stanley [12, Ex. 1.2], where the concept of a binomial poset is introduced and studied. As pointed out in [7, Example 10], the Segre power is a particular example of a binomial poset. See also [13, Ex.3.18.3].

The present paper is organized as follows. After a brief review of poset topology, in Section 2 we present our main results. We show in Theorem 2.7 that if  $P$  admits an EL-labeling, then so does  $P^{(t)}$ . We describe the increasing and decreasing chains of  $P^{(t)}$  in terms of those of  $P$ , giving general combinatorial formulas for the rank-selected Betti numbers of  $P^{(t)}$  in Theorem 2.8. Finally in Section 3 we discuss the special case of the lattice  $B_n(q)$  of subspaces of an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over a field with  $q$  elements. We apply Theorem 2.7 to obtain an explicit EL-labeling of the  $t$ -fold Segre power of  $B_n^{(t)}(q)$ .

## 2. SEGRE POWERS PRESERVE EL-SHELLABILITY

For a positive integer  $n$ , let  $[n]$  denote the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Good general references for poset topology are [4] and [16].

A poset  $P$  is

- (1) *bounded* if it has a unique minimal, denoted by  $\hat{0}$ , and a unique maximal element, denoted by  $\hat{1}$ ;
- (2) *graded* if in any interval  $(x, y)$ , every maximal chain (with respect to inclusion) has the same length.

If the poset  $P$  is bounded and graded, it has a *rank function*  $\text{rank}(x)$ , defined as the length of any maximal chain from  $\hat{0}$  to  $x$ . The *rank of  $P$*  is then the rank of  $\hat{1}$ .


Recall [13] that the product poset  $P \times Q$  of two posets  $P, Q$  has order relation defined by  $(p, q) \leq (p', q')$  if and only if  $p \leq_P p'$  and  $q \leq_Q q'$ . Segre products are defined in greater generality

---

*Date:* December 12, 2025.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E10, 05E05, 20C30, 55P99.

*Key words and phrases.* ascent, descent, Boolean lattice, EL-labeling, subspace lattice, Segre product of posets.

FIGURE 1.  $P \circ P$  is an induced subposet of the product poset  $P \times P$ 

by Björner and Welker in [7]. As in [9] and [10], this paper is concerned with the following special case.

**Definition 2.1** ([7]). Let  $P$  be a bounded and graded poset. The  $t$ -fold Segre power  $P \circ \cdots \circ P$  ( $t$  factors), denoted  $P^{(t)}$ , is defined for all  $t \geq 2$  to be the induced subposet of the  $t$ -fold product poset  $P \times \cdots \times P$  ( $t$  factors) consisting of  $t$ -tuples  $(x_1, \dots, x_t)$  such that  $\text{rank}(x_i) = \text{rank}(x_j)$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq t$ . The cover relation in  $P^{(t)}$  is thus  $(x_1, \dots, x_t) < (y_1, \dots, y_t)$  if and only if  $x_i < y_i$  in  $P$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . When  $t = 1$  we set  $P^{(1)}$  equal to  $P$ .

It follows that  $P^{(t)}$  is also a bounded and graded poset which inherits the rank function of  $P$ .

Figure 1, reproduced from [10] for convenience, shows the Segre square  $P \circ P$  of a poset  $P$ , an induced subposet of  $P \times P$ . Missing in  $P \circ P$  are these elements in the product  $P \times P$ :  $(a, c), (a, d), (b, c), (b, d), (c, a), (c, b), (d, a), (d, b)$ , as well as all  $(\hat{0}, y), (y, \hat{0}), y \neq \hat{0}$ , and all  $(x, \hat{1}), (\hat{1}, x), x \neq \hat{1}$ .

An *edge labeling* of a bounded poset  $P$  is a map  $\lambda : \mathcal{E}(P) \rightarrow \Lambda$ , where  $\mathcal{E}(P)$  is the set of covering relations  $x < y$  of  $P$  and  $\Lambda$  is some poset. A maximal chain  $c = (x < x_1 < \cdots < x_r < y)$  in the interval  $[x, y]$ , where  $x_0 = x$  and  $x_{r+1} = y$ , has an *ascent* (respectively, *descent*) at some  $i \in [r]$  if  $\lambda(x_{i-1}, x_i) < \lambda(x_i, x_{i+1})$  (respectively,  $\lambda(x_{i-1}, x_i) \not< \lambda(x_i, x_{i+1})$ ). The chain  $c$  in  $[x, y]$  is *increasing* if  $\lambda(x, x_1) < \lambda(x_1, x_2) < \cdots < \lambda(x_r, y)$ . A chain  $c$  is called *decreasing* if there is no  $i \in [r] = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  such that  $\lambda(x_{i-1}, x_i) < \lambda(x_i, x_{i+1})$  in  $\Lambda$ .

The descent set  $\text{Des}(c)$  of the chain  $c$  is then the set  $\{i \in [r-1] : \lambda(x_{i-1}, x_i) \not< \lambda(x_i, x_{i+1})\}$ . Each maximal chain  $c$  in  $[x, y]$  is associated, via its edge labels, with a word

$$\lambda(c) = \lambda(x, x_1)\lambda(x_1, x_2) \cdots \lambda(x_r, y).$$

For two maximal chains  $c_1, c_2$  in  $[x, y]$ , if the word  $\lambda(c_1)$  lexicographically precedes the word  $\lambda(c_2)$ , we say that the chain  $c_1$  lexicographically precedes the chain  $c_2$  and we denote this by  $c_1 <_L c_2$ .

Define the descent set of a word  $w_1 \cdots w_r$  to be the set  $\text{Des}(w_1 \cdots w_r) := \{i \in [r-1] : w_i \not< w_{i+1}\}$ , so that  $\text{Des}(c) = \text{Des}(\lambda(c))$ . Also define  $\text{Asc}(c) = \text{Asc}(\lambda(c))$  to be the set of ascents in the (label sequence of) the chain  $c$ . Thus  $\text{Des}(c) = [r-1] \setminus \text{Asc}(c)$ , where  $r$  is the length of the chain  $c$ .

Finally, by a maximal chain in  $P$  we will mean a maximal chain from the unique least element  $\hat{0}$  of  $P$  to the unique greatest element  $\hat{1}$  of  $P$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([5, Definition 2.1], [16, Definition 3.2.1]). An edge labeling is called an *EL-labeling* (edge lexicographical labeling) if for every interval  $[x, y]$  in  $P$ ,

- (1) there is a unique increasing maximal chain  $c$  in  $[x, y]$ , and
- (2)  $c <_L c'$  for all other maximal chains  $c'$  in  $[x, y]$ .

If the poset  $P$  admits an EL-labeling, it is called *EL-shellable*.

**Definition 2.3** ([2, 4]). Let  $P$  be a bounded and graded poset, with rank function  $\text{rk}$ , and let  $\mathbb{k}$  be any field. Then  $P$  is *Cohen-Macaulay* over  $\mathbb{k}$  if for every open interval  $(x, y)$ , the reduced simplicial homology  $\tilde{H}_i(x, y)$  of  $(x, y)$  vanishes in all but the top dimension  $\text{rk}(y) - \text{rk}(x) - 2$ . In particular this is true for  $P = (\hat{0}, \hat{1})$  itself.

**Theorem 2.4** ([4, 5, 6], [13, Proposition 3.8.6]). Let  $P$  be a bounded and graded poset, of rank  $n$ . Let  $\mu(P)$  denote the Möbius number of  $P$ . The following quantities are equal:

- (1)  $(-1)^{n-2}\mu(P)$ .
- (2)  $(-1)^{n-2}$  times the reduced Euler characteristic of the order complex of  $P$ .

If in addition  $P$  has an EL-labeling, then the order complex of the poset  $P$  has the homotopy type of a wedge of spheres in the top dimension  $n - 2$ , and the poset is Cohen-Macaulay. In particular, all homology vanishes except possibly in the top dimension, and the above numbers are equal to each of the following.

- (3) The dimension of the top homology of the order complex of  $P$ .
- (4) The number of spheres in the order complex of  $P$ .
- (5) The number of decreasing maximal chains in the EL-labeling.

Let  $P$  be a finite graded bounded poset of rank  $n$ , and let  $J \subset [n - 1] = \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$  be any subset of nontrivial ranks. Let  $P_J$  denote the rank-selected bounded subposet  $P_J$  of  $P$  consisting of elements in the rank-set  $J$ , together with  $\hat{0}$  and  $\hat{1}$ . Stanley [13, Section 3.13] defined two rank-selected invariants  $\tilde{\alpha}_P(J)$  and  $\tilde{\beta}_P(J)$  as follows. Then

- $\tilde{\alpha}_P(J)$  is the number of maximal chains in the rank-selected subposet  $P_J$ , and
- $\tilde{\beta}_P(J)$  is the integer defined by the equation

$$\tilde{\beta}_P(J) := \sum_{U \subseteq J} (-1)^{|J| - |U|} \tilde{\alpha}_P(U).$$

Equivalently,

$$\tilde{\alpha}_P(J) = \sum_{U \subseteq J} \tilde{\beta}_P(U).$$

One also has the formula for the Möbius number of the rank-selected subposet  $P_J$  [13, Eqn. (3.54)]:

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{\beta}_P(J) = (-1)^{|J| - 1} \mu_{P_J}(\hat{0}, \hat{1}).$$

*Remark 2.5.* If the poset  $P$  has an edge-labeling  $\lambda$  which is an EL-labeling, then because of condition (2) in Definition 2.2, one sees that  $\tilde{\alpha}_P(J)$  equals the number of maximal chains in  $P$  whose descent set with respect to the labeling  $\lambda$  is contained in  $J$ , and  $\tilde{\beta}_P(J)$  is the number of maximal chains in  $P$  with descent set exactly  $J$ . For details see [15, Proof of Theorem 5.2], [3, Theorem 2.2], and also the R-labeling version in [14, Theorem 3.14.2].

It is known that rank-selected subposets of a Cohen-Macaulay poset are also Cohen-Macaulay [2, Theorem 6.4].

Again let  $P$  be a graded and bounded poset of rank  $n$ , with an edge labeling  $\lambda : \mathcal{E}(P) \rightarrow \Lambda$  for some poset  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\Lambda^t$  denote the  $t$ -fold product poset  $\Lambda \times \dots \times \Lambda$  ( $t$  factors). The edge labeling  $\lambda$  extends to an edge labeling  $\lambda^{(t)} : \mathcal{E}(P^{(t)}) \rightarrow \Lambda^t$  of the  $t$ -fold Segre power  $P^{(t)}$ , by setting

$$\lambda^{(t)}((x_1, \dots, x_t) \leq (y_1, \dots, y_t)) = (\lambda(x_1 \leq y_1), \dots, \lambda(x_t \leq y_t)).$$

The label of a maximal chain  $C$  in  $P^{(t)}$  from  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_t)$  to  $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_t)$ ,

$$X = X_0 < X_1 < \dots < X_r = Y,$$

is the concatenated string of  $t$ -tuples of edge labels  $\lambda^{(t)}(X_i, X_{i+1})$ .

A maximal chain in the Segre power  $P^{(t)}$  from  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_t)$  to  $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_t)$  is in bijection with a  $t$ -tuple of chains  $(c_1, \dots, c_t)$  where each  $c_i$  is a maximal chain from  $x_i$  to  $y_i$ .

To illustrate these ideas, consider the Boolean lattice  $B_n$  of subsets of an  $n$ -element set, which has a well-known EL-labeling defined by  $\lambda(A < B) = a$  where  $B \setminus A = \{a\}$ ; see [16, Section 3.2.1]. In this case the poset  $\Lambda$  is the totally ordered set  $[n]$ .

**Example 2.6.** Let  $P = B_4$  be the Boolean lattice of subsets of the set  $[4] = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , and consider the following maximal chain in  $P^{(3)} = P \circ P \circ P$ , with labels in the product poset  $[4] \times [4] \times [4]$  shown above each cover relation:

$$C = (\hat{0} \xrightarrow{(1,3,3)} (1, 3, 3) \xrightarrow{(2,2,1)} (12, 23, 13) \xrightarrow{(3,1,4)} (123, 123, 134) \xrightarrow{(4,4,2)} \hat{1}),$$

omitting the braces for the subsets for ease of reading; thus  $(12, 23, 13)$  represents the 3-tuple of subsets  $(\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 3\})$ .

Then according to the definition of edge labeling, the label of the chain  $C$  is the following concatenation of 3-tuples, or equivalently a “word” in the alphabet of 3-tuples:

$$\lambda^{(3)}(C) = (1, 3, 3)(2, 2, 1)(3, 1, 4)(4, 4, 2).$$

The chain  $C$  maps bijectively to the 3-tuple of chains  $(c_1, c_2, c_3)$  where

$$c_1 = (\hat{0} \xrightarrow{1} 1 \xrightarrow{2} 12 \xrightarrow{3} 123 \xrightarrow{4} \hat{1}), c_2 = (\hat{0} \xrightarrow{3} 3 \xrightarrow{2} 23 \xrightarrow{1} 123 \xrightarrow{4} \hat{1}), c_3 = (\hat{0} \xrightarrow{3} 3 \xrightarrow{1} 13 \xrightarrow{4} 134 \xrightarrow{2} \hat{1}).$$

The EL-labels of these chains  $c_i$  in  $P = B_4$  are the permutations 1234, 3214, 3142.

Now consider another chain,  $D$ , in  $P^{(3)}$ ,

$$D = (\hat{0} \xrightarrow{(1,3,3)} (1, 3, 3) \xrightarrow{(2,4,4)} (12, 34, 34) \xrightarrow{(3,1,1)} (123, 134, 134) \xrightarrow{(4,2,2)} \hat{1}).$$

We have

$$\lambda^{(3)}(D) = (1, 3, 3)(2, 4, 4)(3, 1, 1)(4, 2, 2).$$

The chain  $D$  maps bijectively to the 3-tuple of chains  $(d_1, d_2, d_3)$  where

$$d_1 = (\hat{0} \xrightarrow{1} 1 \xrightarrow{2} 12 \xrightarrow{3} 123 \xrightarrow{4} \hat{1}), d_2 = (\hat{0} \xrightarrow{3} 3 \xrightarrow{4} 34 \xrightarrow{1} 134 \xrightarrow{2} \hat{1}), d_3 = (\hat{0} \xrightarrow{3} 3 \xrightarrow{4} 34 \xrightarrow{1} 134 \xrightarrow{2} \hat{1}).$$

The EL-labels of these chains  $d_i$  in  $P = B_4$  are the permutations 1234, 3412, 3412.

We see that  $\lambda^{(3)}(C)$  precedes  $\lambda^{(3)}(D)$  in the lexicographic order on the words in  $[4]^3 = [4] \times [4] \times [4]$ , because  $(2, 2, 1) < (2, 4, 4)$  in the product poset  $[4]^3$ .

The chain  $C$  has no ascents and is therefore a decreasing chain. The chain  $D$  has an ascent in position 1 and 3, since  $(1, 3, 3) < (2, 4, 4)$  and  $(3, 1, 1) < (4, 2, 2)$  in the poset  $[4]^3$ . Notice that the chains  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  have no common ascents, while 1 and 3 are the only common ascents of the chains  $d_1, d_2, d_3$ .

This extension of the labeling from  $P$  to  $P^{(t)}$  has the following important property, our first result.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $\lambda : \mathcal{E}(P) \rightarrow \Lambda$  be an EL-labeling of the graded and bounded poset  $P$  of rank  $n$ . Then  $\lambda^{(t)} : \mathcal{E}(P^{(t)}) \rightarrow \Lambda^t$  is an EL-labeling of the  $t$ -fold Segre power  $P^{(t)}$ . Identifying a maximal chain  $C$  in  $P^{(t)}$  with the  $t$ -tuple of maximal chains  $(c_1, \dots, c_t)$ , where  $c_i$  is a maximal chain in  $P$ , we have the following.*

- (1)  $j \in [n-1]$  is a descent of the  $t$ -tuple  $(c_1, \dots, c_t)$  if and only if  $j$  is not a common ascent of  $c_1, \dots, c_t$ . Hence the descent set  $\text{Des}(c_1, \dots, c_t)$  is the complement in  $[n-1]$  of  $\bigcap_{i=1}^t \text{Asc}(c_i)$ .
- (2) The decreasing maximal chains of  $P^{(t)}$  with respect to the EL-labeling  $\lambda^{(t)}$  are precisely the  $t$ -tuples of maximal chains  $(c_1, \dots, c_t)$  in  $P$  with no common ascent.
- (3) The rank-selected invariant  $\beta_{P^{(t)}}(J)$  is the number of  $t$ -tuples  $(c_1, \dots, c_t)$  of maximal chains  $c_i$  in  $P$  such that  $[n-1] \setminus J$  is precisely the set of common ascents of  $c_1, \dots, c_t$ .
- (4) The rank-selected invariant  $\tilde{\alpha}_{P^{(t)}}(J)$  is the number of  $t$ -tuples  $(c_1, \dots, c_t)$  of maximal chains  $c_i$  in  $P$  such that  $J$  contains the descent set of  $(c_1, \dots, c_t)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $[X, Y]$ ,  $X \neq Y$ , be a closed interval in  $P^{(t)}$ , where  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_t)$ ,  $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_t)$  and  $x_i < y_i$  in  $P$  for all  $i \in [t]$ . Two important observations about chains in  $[X, Y]$  and the labeling  $\lambda^{(t)}$  follow, the first of which has already been made.

- (i) Maximal chains  $C$  in the interval  $[X, Y]$  are in bijection with  $t$ -tuples of maximal chains  $(c_1, \dots, c_t)$  where  $c_j$  is a maximal chain in the interval  $[x_j, y_j]$  of  $P$ .
- (ii) Recall that the EL-label  $\lambda^{(t)}(C)$  is a word in the alphabet  $\Lambda^t$  of  $t$ -tuples of elements of  $\Lambda$ . By definition of the labeling  $\lambda^{(t)}$ , the chain  $C$  corresponding to the  $t$ -tuple of chains  $(c_1, \dots, c_t)$  in  $P$  has an ascent at  $i$  if and only if every chain  $c_j$  in  $[x_j, y_j]$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq t$ , has an ascent at  $i$ . This is because of the order relation in the product poset  $\Lambda^t$ :  $(a_1, \dots, a_t) < (b_1, \dots, b_t)$  if and only if  $a_i \leq b_i$  for all  $i$ , and there is at least one  $i$  such that  $a_i \neq b_i$ . Furthermore, the chain  $C$  corresponding to the  $t$ -tuple of chains  $(c_1, \dots, c_t)$  in  $P$  has a descent at  $i$  if and only if there is at least one chain  $c_j$  in  $[x_j, y_j]$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq t$ , having a descent at  $i$ . See Example 2.6.

Since  $\lambda$  is an EL-labeling for  $P$ , there is a unique increasing maximal chain  $c_i$  in each  $[x_i, y_i]$  that lexicographically precedes all other chains in the same interval. Then the chain in  $[X, Y]$  which is in bijection with the  $t$ -tuple  $(c_1, \dots, c_t)$  must be the unique increasing maximal chain in  $[X, Y]$ . Any other chain has non-increasing labels (see Definition 2.2) in some  $[x_i, y_i]$ , hence is non-increasing in  $[X, Y]$ . This unique increasing maximal chain of  $[X, Y]$  must also satisfy Part (2) of Definition 2.2 because  $c_i$  satisfies this condition for all  $i \in [t]$ . Hence  $\lambda^{(t)}$  is an EL-labeling for  $P^{(t)}$ .

Again from Definition 2.2, a decreasing chain  $C$  in  $P^{(t)}$  has no ascents. By Item (ii), in the corresponding  $t$ -tuple of chains  $(c_1, \dots, c_t)$ , the chains  $c_i$  have no common ascents. Parts (1) and (2) now follow.

By Remark 2.5, the rank-selected invariant  $\tilde{\beta}_{P^{(t)}}(J)$  is the number of maximal chains  $(c_1, \dots, c_t)$  in  $P^{(t)}$  with descent set exactly equal to  $J$ . By Item (ii) above again, this means no index  $j \in J$  is a common ascent of all  $t$  chains  $c_1, \dots, c_t$ , and conversely. This establishes Part (3), and hence also Part (4).  $\square$

The next observation is motivated by the features of two particular posets, the Boolean lattice  $B_n$  of subsets of  $[n]$  with respect to inclusion and the subspace lattice  $B_n(q)$  of subspaces of the  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  over a finite field of  $q$  elements. Both are modular geometric lattices; see [13, Ex. 3.10.2]. The subspace lattice is a  $q$ -analogue of the Boolean lattice in the sense of Simion [11].

Let  $P$  be a graded and bounded poset of rank  $n$  with an EL-labeling  $\lambda : \mathcal{E}(P) \rightarrow \Lambda$  for some poset  $\Lambda$ . Let  $w_n(\Lambda)$  denote the set of words of length  $n$  in the alphabet  $\Lambda$ . Then for every maximal chain  $c = (\hat{0} < x_1 < \dots < x_{n-1} < \hat{1})$  in  $P$ , the word  $\lambda(c)$  is an element of  $w_n(\Lambda)$ . We write  $w_n(\Lambda)^{\times t}$  for the  $t$ -fold Cartesian product  $\underbrace{w_n(\Lambda) \times \dots \times w_n(\Lambda)}_t$ .

Define an integer-valued function  $el_\lambda$  on  $w_n(\Lambda)$  by

$$el_\lambda(\sigma) := |\{\text{maximal chains } c \text{ in } P : \lambda(c) = \sigma\}|.$$

By Theorem 2.4, for a poset with an EL-labeling, all homology vanishes except possibly in the top dimension. From Theorem 2.7 we deduce the following formulas for the rank-selected Möbius numbers, or equivalently the rank-selected Betti numbers of the  $t$ -fold Segre power of a poset  $P$  with EL-labeling  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** *With  $P$  of rank  $n$  as above, for each rank subset  $J \subseteq [n-1]$ , we have the following formulas for the dimension of the top homology module in each case:*

$$(1) \quad (-1)^{|J|-1} \mu(P_J) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in w_n(\Lambda) \\ \text{Des}(\sigma) = J}} el_\lambda(\sigma), \text{ and hence} \\ (-1)^{n-2} \mu(P) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in w_n(\Lambda) \\ \text{Des}(\sigma) = [n-1]}} el_\lambda(\sigma).$$




FIGURE 2. An EL-labeling with edges having repeated labels

$$(2) \quad (-1)^{|J|-1} \mu(P_J^{(t)}) = \sum_{\substack{(\sigma^1, \dots, \sigma^t) \in w_n(\Lambda)^{\times t} \\ \text{Des}((\sigma^1, \dots, \sigma^t)) = J}} \prod_{i=1}^t \text{el}_\lambda(\sigma^i), \text{ and hence}$$

$$(-1)^{n-2} \mu(P^{(t)}) = \sum_{\substack{(\sigma^1, \dots, \sigma^t) \in w_n(\Lambda)^{\times t} \\ \sigma^1, \dots, \sigma^t \text{ have no common ascents}}} \prod_{i=1}^t \text{el}_\lambda(\sigma^i).$$

*Proof.* Item (1) is simply a restatement of Theorem 2.4, and Item (2) then follows from Part (3) of Theorem 2.7; for each  $t = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\sigma^i = \lambda(c_i)$  where  $(c_1, \dots, c_t)$  is the  $t$ -tuple of chains in  $P$  corresponding to a decreasing chain in  $P^{(t)}$ . By Part (1) of Theorem 2.7, the statements follow.  $\square$


Example 2.6 gives an EL-labeling for  $B_4^{(3)}$  where a maximal chain  $C$  is in bijection with a 3-tuple of maximal chains  $c_i$  in  $B_4$ . The labels for the chains  $c_i$  are permutations of  $[4]$ . In general, for an EL-labeling of a poset  $P$ , the edge labels in a chain need not be distinct. The next example illustrates that it is possible for edges in the same chain to have repeated labels.

**Example 2.9.** Figure 2A is an EL-labeling  $\lambda : \mathcal{E}(P) \rightarrow [3]$  of a poset  $P$  with repeated edge labels for the right-most maximal chain. The labeling  $\lambda^{(2)}$  of the Segre product  $P^{(2)} = P \circ P$  shown in Figure 2B is also an EL-labeling. The two ordered pairs of words in  $[3] \times [3]$  with no common ascents are  $(132, 212)$  and  $(212, 132)$ . Since  $\text{el}_\lambda(132) = 1$  and  $\text{el}_\lambda(212) = 1$ , by Theorem 2.8 Item (2), we have  $\mu(P^{(2)}) = -2$ , which agrees with  $\mu(P^{(2)})$  computed directly from the poset. The poset  $P^{(2)}$  has exactly two decreasing maximal chains  $C_1 = \hat{0} < a, b < d, d < \hat{1}$  and  $C_2 = \hat{0} < b, a < d, d < \hat{1}$ , shown in Figure 2B with thicker edges.

These formulas recover some of Stanley's enumerative results for the Boolean lattice as a special case. The combinatorial objects of interest arising from Stanley's theorem are the  $t$ -tuples of permutations in the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  with no common ascent,  $i$  being an ascent of a permutation  $\sigma$  if  $\sigma(i) < \sigma(i+1)$ . For  $t = 2$  these numbers first appear in work of Carlitz, Scoville and Vaughn [8]. For arbitrary  $t$  they have also already appeared in the literature; see Abramson and Promislow [1] and [oeis A212855](#).

Recall the EL-labeling for  $B_n$  defined by  $\lambda(A < B) = a$  where  $B = A \cup \{a\}$ . Using this labeling, the maximal chains are in bijection with permutations of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . Then Theorem 2.8 applies with  $\Lambda = [n]$ ; here  $w_n(\Lambda)$  is the set  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  of all permutations on  $n$  letters, and  $\text{el}_\lambda(\sigma) = 1$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ . Theorem 2.8 therefore specializes to the following result of Stanley, stated with more details in [10, Proposition 2.5].

For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $w_n^{(t)}$  denote the number of  $t$ -tuples of permutations in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  with no common ascent. More generally, for  $J \subseteq [n-1]$ , let  $w_n^{(t)}(J)$  be the number of  $t$ -tuples of permutations in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  such that their set of common ascents coincides with the complement of  $J$  in  $[n-1]$ .


 FIGURE 3. An EL-labeling of some maximal chains in  $B_3(2)$ 

**Proposition 2.10** (See [12, Theorem 3.1]). *The Möbius number of  $B_n^{(t)}$  is given by  $(-1)^n w_n^{(t)}$ . More generally, for the rank selection  $J \subseteq [n-1]$ , the Möbius number  $\mu(B_n^{(t)}(J))$  of  $B_n^{(t)}(J)$  is given by  $(-1)^{|J|-1} w_n^{(t)}(J)$ .*

### 3. EL-LABELING FOR THE $t$ -FOLD SEGREGES POWER OF THE SUBSPACE LATTICE $B_n(q)$

In this section we examine the consequences of Theorem 2.7 for the  $t$ -fold Segre power of the subspace lattice  $B_n(q)$ .

We begin by describing the EL-labeling (see Definition 2.2) on the subspace lattice  $B_n(q)$  given in [9], which, by Theorem 2.7, extends to an EL-labeling on the  $t$ -fold Segre power  $B_n^{(t)}(q)$ .

Let  $A$  be the set of all atoms of  $B_n(q)$ . For a subspace  $X \in B_n(q)$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , let  $A(X) := \{V \in A \mid V \leq X\}$ . The following two steps define an edge-labeling on  $B_n(q)$ , which was shown to be an EL-labeling in [9, Proposition 2.2].


- (1) Let  $V \in A$  be an atom of  $B_n(q)$ . It is a 1-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  with a basis element  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ ,  $v_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Define a map  $f: A \rightarrow [n]$  by  $f(V) = i$ , where  $i$  is the largest index such that  $v_i \neq 0$ . Thus  $f(V)$  is the index of the right-most non-zero coordinate of  $v$ .
- (2) For a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $X$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , by Gaussian elimination, there exists a basis of  $X$  whose elements have distinct right-most non-zero coordinates. This implies that  $f(A(X))$ , the image of  $A(X)$  under  $f$ , has  $k = \dim(X)$  elements. Let  $Y$  be an element of  $B_n(q)$  that covers  $X$ , thus  $\dim(Y) = \dim(X) + 1$ . Then the set  $f(A(Y)) \setminus f(A(X)) \subset [n]$  has exactly one element. This element will be the label of the edge  $(X, Y)$ .

**Example 3.1.** In the case of  $B_4(3)$ , if  $X = \text{span}\{\langle 1, 0, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle 2, 1, 0, 0 \rangle\}$ , then  $A(X)$  also contains  $\text{span}\{\langle 0, 1, 1, 0 \rangle\}$  and  $\text{span}\{\langle 2, 2, 1, 0 \rangle\}$ . But  $X$  does not contain any vector whose right-most non-zero coordinate is the first or the last coordinate. So  $f(A(X)) = \{2, 3\}$  and  $|f(A(X))| = 2$ . Let  $Y = \text{span}\{\langle 1, 0, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle 2, 1, 0, 0 \rangle, \langle 1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle\} = \text{span}\{X, \langle 1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle\}$ . Then  $f(A(Y)) = \{2, 3, 4\}$ , and  $f(A(Y)) \setminus f(A(X)) = \{4\}$ . The edge  $(X, Y)$  will take label 4.

**Example 3.2.** An example of the EL-labeling for  $B_3(2)$  defined in this section is shown, partially, in Figure 3. The poset  $B_3(2)$  is too large and we can only demonstrate a portion of it in the figure. The left-most chain

$$\emptyset < \text{span}\{\langle 1, 0, 0 \rangle\} < \text{span}\{\langle 1, 0, 0 \rangle, \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle\} < \mathbb{F}_2^3$$

is the unique increasing maximal chain with chain label 123. The two right-most chains are two of the decreasing maximal chains with label 321.

FIGURE 4. An EL-labeling of  $B_2(2) \circ B_2(2)$ 

We recall from Section 2 the extension of this EL-labeling on  $B_n(q)$  to an EL-labeling on the  $t$ -fold Segre power  $B_n^{(t)}(q)$ . See Figure 4 (reproduced from Figure 1 in [9]) for an example of this labeling for the Segre product  $B_n^{(t)}(q)$  when  $n = 2, t = 2$ , and  $q = 2$ . Given two elements  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t)$  and  $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_t)$  in  $B_n^{(t)}(q)$  satisfying the covering relation  $X \lessdot Y$ , we must have  $X_i \lessdot Y_i$  in  $B_n(q)$  for all  $i \in [t]$ . Let  $\lambda_i$  be the edge label for  $(X_i, Y_i)$  in the EL-labeling of  $B_n(q)$ . The edge  $(X, Y)$  in  $B_n^{(t)}(q)$  will take the label  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_t)$  in the product poset  $\underbrace{[n] \times \dots \times [n]}_t$ , that is, with component-wise order relation  $<_t$  defined by  $(a_1, \dots, a_t) <_t (b_1, \dots, b_t)$  if  $a_i \leq b_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , with  $(a_1, \dots, a_t) = (b_1, \dots, b_t)$  if  $a_i = b_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, t$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *The edge-labeling of  $B_n^{(t)}(q)$  defined above is an EL-labeling.*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 2.7. □

With this EL-labeling, as in the case of  $B_n$ , Theorem 2.8 specializes to Stanley's result on the subspace lattice [12, Theorem 3.1], also restated in [10, Theorem 2.4]. Proposition 2.10 is the special case  $q = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.4** ([12, Theorem 3.1]). *Let  $J \subseteq [n-1]$ . Write  $J^c = [n-1] \setminus J$ , and  $\text{Asc}(\sigma)$  for the set of ascents of a permutation  $\sigma$ . Then*

$$\tilde{\beta}_{B_n^{(t)}(q)}(J) = \sum_{\substack{(\sigma^1, \dots, \sigma^t) \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{\times t} \\ J^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^t \text{Asc}(\sigma^i)}} \prod_{i=1}^t q^{\text{inv}(\sigma^i)}$$

*The Möbius number of the  $t$ -fold Segre power of the subspace lattice is  $(-1)^{n-2} W_n^{(t)}(q)$ , where*

$$W_n^{(t)}(q) := \sum_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_t) \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{\times t}} \prod_{i=1}^t q^{\text{inv}(\sigma_i)},$$

*and the sum is over all  $t$ -tuples of permutations in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  with no common ascent.*

The case  $t = 2$  was rediscovered in [9, Lemma 2.3] by counting decreasing chains in the EL-labeling described above.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Theorem 2.8 gives a formula for the rank-selected invariants of  $P^{(t)}$  for any EL-shellable poset  $P$ . The lattice  $\Pi_n$  of set partitions of  $[n]$  is an example of a poset with many interesting EL-labelings

(see [16, Section 3.2.2]). In a future paper we plan to investigate rank-selected invariants for the Segre powers of  $\Pi_n$ .

The subspace lattice is a  $q$ -analogue of the Boolean lattice in the sense of Simion [11]. We propose to continue this project using Simion's framework, and investigate the implications of the present work for other pairs of posets  $(P, Q)$ , where  $Q$  is a  $q$ -analogue of  $P$ .

## 5. FUNDING INFORMATION

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1928930, while the authors were in residence at the Simons Laufer Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, during the Summer of 2023.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Morton Abramson and David Promislow. Enumeration of arrays by column rises. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A*, 24(2):247–250, 1978.
- [2] Kenneth Baclawski. Cohen-Macaulay ordered sets. *J. Algebra*, 63(1):226–258, 1980.
- [3] A. Björner, A. M. Garsia, and R. P. Stanley. An introduction to Cohen-Macaulay partially ordered sets. In *Ordered sets (Banff, Alta., 1981)*, volume 83 of *NATO Adv. Study Inst. Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 583–615. Reidel, Dordrecht-Boston, Mass., 1982.
- [4] Anders Björner. Topological methods. In *Handbook of combinatorics, Vol. 1, 2*, pages 1819–1872. Elsevier Sci. B. V., Amsterdam, 1995.
- [5] Anders Björner and Michelle Wachs. On lexicographically shellable posets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 277(1):323–341, 1983.
- [6] Anders Björner and Michelle L. Wachs. Shellable nonpure complexes and posets. II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 349(10):3945–3975, 1997.
- [7] Anders Björner and Volkmar Welker. Segre and Rees products of posets, with ring-theoretic applications. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 198(1-3):43–55, 2005.
- [8] L. Carlitz, R. Scoville, and T. Vaughan. Enumeration of pairs of permutations. *Discrete Mathematics*, 14:215–239, 1976.
- [9] Yifei Li. A  $q$ -analogue of a result of Carlitz, Scoville and Vaughan via the homology of posets. *Algebr. Comb.*, 6(2):457–469, 2023.
- [10] Yifei Li and Sheila Sundaram. Homology of Segre powers of Boolean and subspace lattices. *Enumer. Comb. Appl.*, 5(3):Paper No. S2R19, 21, 2025.
- [11] Rodica Simion. On  $q$ -analogues of partially ordered sets. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 72(1):135–183, 1995.
- [12] Richard P. Stanley. Binomial posets, Möbius inversion, and permutation enumeration. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A*, 20(3):336–356, 1976.
- [13] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 1*, volume 49 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota, Corrected reprint of the 1986 original.
- [14] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*, volume 62 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota and appendix 1 by Sergey Fomin.
- [15] Michelle L. Wachs. A basis for the homology of the  $d$ -divisible partition lattice. *Adv. Math.*, 117(2):294–318, 1996.
- [16] Michelle L. Wachs. Poset topology: tools and applications. In *Geometric combinatorics*, volume 13 of *IAS/Park City Math. Ser.*, pages 497–615. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.

YIFEI LI: UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT SPRINGFIELD, SPRINGFIELD, IL 62703 USA  
 Email address: yli236@uis.edu

SHEILA SUNDARAM: UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455, USA  
 Email address: shsund@umn.edu