

Squares of subcubic planar graphs without cycles of length 4–8 are 6-choosable

Seog-Jin Kim^{a*}, Rong Luo^{b†}

^aDepartment of Mathematics Education, Konkuk university, Korea.

^b Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University, USA

Abstract

The *square* of a graph G , denoted G^2 , has the same vertex set as G and an edge between any two vertices at distance at most 2 in G . Wegner (1977) conjectured that for a planar graph G , $\chi(G^2) \leq 7$ if $\Delta(G) = 3$, $\chi(G^2) \leq \Delta(G) + 5$ if $4 \leq \Delta(G) \leq 7$, and $\chi(G^2) \leq \lfloor 3\Delta(G)/2 \rfloor$ if $\Delta(G) \geq 8$, and Thomassen (2018) confirmed the conjecture for $\Delta(G) = 3$. Dvořák et al. (2008) and Feder et al. (2021) further conjectured that $\chi(G^2) \leq 6$ for cubic bipartite planar graphs. A natural question is whether this bound also holds for the list-chromatic number, i.e., whether $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 6$ for such graphs. More generally, it is of interest to determine sufficient conditions ensuring $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 6$ for subcubic planar graphs. In this paper, we prove that $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 6$ for subcubic planar graphs containing no k -cycles for $4 \leq k \leq 8$, improving a result of Cranston and Kim (2008).

Key words. planar graph, list coloring, square of graph, Combinatorial Nullstellensatz

1 Introduction

The *square* of a graph G , denoted G^2 , is obtained by adding an edge between any two vertices of G that are at distance at most 2, while keeping the vertex set unchanged. A graph is *subcubic* if its maximum degree $\Delta(G)$ is at most 3, and a cycle of length k is called a *k -cycle*. The *girth* of G , denoted $g(G)$, is the length of its shortest cycle.

Graph coloring and, in particular, coloring squares of graphs has received considerable attention. Let $\chi(G)$ denote the *chromatic number* of G . A *list assignment* for G assigns to each vertex a list of colors, and G is *L -colorable* if it admits a proper coloring f with $f(v) \in L(v)$ for all v . If G is *L -colorable* whenever each list has size at least k , then G is *k -choosable*, and the *list chromatic number* $\chi_\ell(G)$ is the smallest such k . Let x be a vertex in G . Denote by $N_G(x)$ the set of vertices adjacent to x .

One of the most well-known open problems in this area is Wegner's conjecture:

*E-mail: skim12@konkuk.ac.kr

†E-mail: rluo@math.wvu.edu

Conjecture 1 ([15]). *For a planar graph G ,*

$$\chi(G^2) \leq \begin{cases} 7, & \text{if } \Delta(G) = 3, \\ \Delta(G) + 5, & \text{if } 4 \leq \Delta(G) \leq 7, \\ \lfloor 3\Delta(G)/2 \rfloor, & \text{if } \Delta(G) \geq 8. \end{cases}$$

The case $\Delta(G) = 3$ was confirmed by Thomassen [13] and independently by Hartke, Jahanbekam, and Thomas [8] using extensive computer-assisted case analysis. The conjecture for $\Delta(G) \geq 4$ remains open, with recent progress on subcubic planar graphs documented in [4, 9, 10].

Another closely related conjecture concerns cubic bipartite planar graphs:

Conjecture 2 ([6, 7]). *If G is a cubic bipartite planar graph, then $\chi(G^2) \leq 6$.*

This bound is tight, as exemplified by the hexagonal prism. Feder et al. [7] verified Conjecture 2 in special cases, showing that $\chi(G^2) \leq 6$ if the faces of a bipartite cubic plane graph can be three-colored red, blue, and green such that red faces have even size and blue and green faces have sizes divisible by four. In particular, this implies $\chi(G^2) \leq 6$ if all face sizes are multiples of four.

It is natural to extend these questions to list colorings:

Question 3. *Does $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 6$ hold for cubic bipartite planar graphs?*

Currently, it is unknown even whether the square of a cubic bipartite planar graph is 7-choosable. More generally, identifying sufficient conditions ensuring $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 6$ for subcubic planar graphs is an interesting direction.

This line of research echoes the approach taken for Steinberg's conjecture, which stated that planar graphs without 4- or 5-cycles are 3-colorable. Though disproved by Cohen-Addad et al. [14], it inspired the question suggested by Erdős (1991) on the minimum k such that planar graphs without cycles of lengths $\{4, \dots, k\}$ are 3-colorable. Borodin et al. [3], improving earlier results [1, 11], showed that $k \leq 7$, i.e., planar graphs with no cycles of lengths 4 through 7 are 3-colorable.

Following this perspective, one can ask:

Problem 4. *Determine the smallest k such that $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 6$ whenever G is a subcubic planar graph with no cycles of lengths $\{4, \dots, k\}$.*

Cranston and Kim [5] showed that $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 6$ for subcubic planar graphs of girth at least 9. In this paper, we improve upon their result and resolve Problem 4 with the following theorem:

Theorem 5. *If G is a subcubic planar graph containing no k -cycles for $4 \leq k \leq 8$, then $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 6$.*

2 Elements of configurations

In this section, we prove several key configurations which are of independent interest. First, the following lemma is folklore, but we include here for completeness.

Lemma 6. *Let $P_4 = v_1v_2v_3v_4$ be a path and L be a list assignment of P_4 . If $|L(v_1)| = |L(v_3)| = |L(v_4)| = 2$ and $|L(v_2)| = 3$, then P_4^2 is L -colorable.*

Proof. If $L(v_1) \cap L(v_4) \neq \emptyset$, then first color v_1 and v_4 with a color $c \in L(v_1) \cap L(v_4)$, and then color v_3 and v_2 in this order to obtain an L -coloring of P_4^2 .

If $L(v_1) \cap L(v_4) = \emptyset$, then first color v_2 with a color $c \in L(v_2) \setminus L(v_3)$. Since $L(v_1) \cap L(v_4) = \emptyset$, $c \notin L(v_1)$ or $c \notin L(v_4)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $c \notin L(v_1)$. Then, greedily color v_4, v_3, v_1 in this order to obtain an L -coloring of P_4^2 .

In either case, we obtain an L -coloring of P_4^2 and this proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 7. *Let J_1 be the graph in Figure 1 and L be a list assignment of J_1 . If $|L(v_1)| = 2, |L(v_2)| = 3, |L(v_3)| = 4, |L(v_4)| = 3$, and $|L(v_5)| = 3$, then J_1^2 is L -colorable.*

Proof. We consider two cases.

Case 1: $L(v_1) \cap L(v_4) \neq \emptyset$

Color v_1 and v_4 with a color $\alpha \in L(v_1) \cap L(v_4)$, and then greedily color v_5, v_2, v_3 in this order to obtain an L -coloring of J_1^2 .

Case 2: $L(v_1) \cap L(v_4) = \emptyset$

In this case, we have that $|L(v_1) \cup L(v_4)| = 5$. If there is a color $\alpha \in L(v_1) \setminus L(v_3)$, color v_1 with α first, and then color v_2, v_5, v_4, v_3 in this order to obtain an L -coloring of J_1^2 .

Now assume that $L(v_1) \subset L(v_3)$. Then $L(v_4) \setminus L(v_3) \neq \emptyset$ since $|L(v_1) \cup L(v_4)| = 5$. Let $\beta \in L(v_4) \setminus L(v_3)$. Then $\beta \notin L(v_1)$ and either $\beta \in L(v_5)$, or $\beta \notin L(v_5)$.

If $\beta \notin L(v_5)$, color v_4 with β first, and then color v_1, v_2, v_5, v_3 in this order to obtain an L -coloring of J_1^2 .

If $\beta \in L(v_5)$, color v_5 with β first, and then color v_1, v_2, v_4, v_3 in this order to obtain an L -coloring of J_1^2 .

In each case, we obtain an L -coloring of J_1^2 . This completes the proof of the lemma. \square




Figure 1: The graphs J_1 and J_2 with list sizes shown at vertices; the number at each vertex denotes the number of available colors.

Lemma 8. *Let J_2 be the graph in Figure 1 and L be a list assignment of J_2 . Then J_2^2 is L -colorable if L satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- (1) $|L(v_1)| = 2, |L(v_2)| = 4, |L(v_3)| = 4, |L(v_4)| = 3, |L(v_5)| = 2, |L(v_6)| = 2$ and $L(v_1) \neq L(v_5)$;
- (2) $|L(v_1)| = 3, |L(v_2)| = 4, |L(v_3)| = 4, |L(v_4)| = 3, |L(v_5)| = 2, |L(v_6)| = 2$.

Proof. Note that if L satisfies (2), then, since $|L(v_1)| = 3$, we may remove a color $c \in L(v_1)$ such that $L(v_1) \setminus \{c\} \neq L(v_5)$. By (1), this implies that J_2^2 is L -colorable. Therefore, it remains to show that if L satisfies (1), then J_2^2 is L -colorable.

We first show that there exist two distinct colors $c_1 \in L(v_1)$ and $c_5 \in L(v_5)$ such that, after coloring v_1 and v_5 with c_1 and c_5 , respectively, the resulting list assignment L' satisfies:

- (i) $|L'(v_2)| \geq 2$, $|L'(v_3)| \geq 2$, $|L'(v_4)| \geq 3$, and $|L'(v_6)| \geq 2$;
- (ii) $|L'(v_2) \cup L'(v_3) \cup L'(v_4) \cup L'(v_6)| \geq 4$; and
- (iii) $L'(v_3) \neq L'(v_6)$.

Note that, regardless of the choices of c_1 and c_5 , Condition (i) is always satisfied.

Since $|L(v_3)| = 4 > |L(v_4)| = 3$, let $\alpha \in L(v_3) \setminus L(v_4)$.

Case 1: $\alpha \notin L(v_6)$. We can choose c_1 and c_5 such that $\alpha \notin \{c_1, c_5\}$. Such a choice exists since $L(v_1) \neq L(v_5)$ and both lists have size 2. Then $L'(v_3) \neq L'(v_6) = L(v_6)$, so Condition (iii) is satisfied. Moreover, since $\alpha \in L'(v_3)$ but $\alpha \notin L'(v_4) = L(v_4)$ and $|L'(v_4)| = 3$, we have

$$|L'(v_2) \cup L'(v_3) \cup L'(v_4) \cup L'(v_6)| \geq |L'(v_3) \cup L'(v_4)| \geq 4,$$

so (ii) is satisfied. Hence, c_1 and c_5 are the desired colors.

Case 2: $\alpha \in L(v_6) = L'(v_6)$. Since $\alpha \notin L'(v_4)$ and $|L'(v_4)| = 3$, Condition (ii) is always satisfied. It remains to choose c_1 and c_5 so that $L'(v_3) \neq L'(v_6)$.

If $\alpha \in L(v_1) \cup L(v_5)$, choose c_1 and c_5 such that $\alpha \in \{c_1, c_5\}$. Then $\alpha \notin L'(v_3)$ and $\alpha \in L'(v_6)$, so $L'(v_3) \neq L'(v_6)$.

If $\alpha \notin L(v_1) \cup L(v_5)$, note that $L(v_1) \neq L(v_5)$ and $|L(v_1)| = |L(v_5)| = 2$, giving at least three possible pairs (c_1, c_5) . Among them, there exists a pair such that $L'(v_3) = L(v_3) \setminus \{c_1, c_5\} \neq L(v_6) = L'(v_6)$.

Let $c_1 \in L(v_1)$ and $c_5 \in L(v_5)$ be two distinct colors satisfying (i)–(iii). Color v_1 and v_5 with c_1 and c_5 , respectively. We now extend this coloring to v_2, v_3, v_4 , and v_6 to obtain an L -coloring of J_2^2 .

Construct an auxiliary bipartite graph W with bipartition (X, Y) , where

- $X = \{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_6\}$ and $Y = \bigcup_{v_i \in X} L'(v_i)$;
- For each $v_i \in X$ and each $\beta \in Y$, $v_i\beta \in E(W)$ if and only if $\beta \in L'(v_i)$.

By (ii), $|N_W(v_2) \cup N_W(v_3) \cup N_W(v_4) \cup N_W(v_6)| \geq 4$, and by (iii), $|N_W(v_3) \cup N_W(v_6)| \geq 3$. Together with (i), it follows that $|N_W(S)| \geq |S|$ for every $S \subseteq \{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_6\}$. Hence, by Hall's theorem, W has a matching M saturating all vertices of X . Let v_2c_2 , v_3c_3 , v_4c_4 , and v_6c_6 be the edges of such a matching. Color v_2, v_3, v_4, v_6 with c_2, c_3, c_4, c_6 , respectively, to obtain an L -coloring of J_2^2 .

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

3 Proof of Theorem 5

In this section, we prove Theorem 5 by contradiction. Let G , together with a list assignment L of size 6, be a minimal counterexample to Theorem 5. We also assume that G is a plane graph. Clearly, G is connected. We begin with the following claim, whose proof is straightforward.

Claim 9. (1) *The minimum degree of G satisfies $\delta(G) \geq 2$.*

(2) *No 2-vertex of G is contained in a triangle.*

(3) *No two 2-vertices of G are adjacent.*

Proof. (1) Suppose to the contrary that G contains a 1-vertex x , and let y be the neighbor of x . By the minimality of G , the square graph of $G - x$ has an L -coloring ϕ . Since there are at most three vertices of G at distance at most 2 from x , the coloring ϕ can always be extended to x , giving an L -coloring of G^2 , a contradiction.

(2) Suppose to the contrary that G has a 2-vertex x contained in a triangle $xyzx$. By the minimality of G , the square graph of $G - x$ has an L -coloring ϕ . Since at most four colors are forbidden for x and $|L(x)| = 6$, the coloring ϕ can be extended to x , giving an L -coloring of G^2 , a contradiction.

(3) Suppose to the contrary that G contains two adjacent 2-vertices x and y . Let x_1 be the other neighbor of x , and let y_1 be the other neighbor of y . By (2), we have $x_1 \neq y_1$.

By the minimality of G , the square graph of $G - \{x, y\}$ has an L -coloring ϕ .

For each $w \in \{x, y\}$, define

$$L'(w) = L(w) \setminus \{\phi(z) : zw \in E(G^2) \text{ and } z \notin \{x, y\}\}.$$

Since at most four colors are forbidden for each of x and y , we have $|L'(x)| \geq 6 - 4 = 2$ and $|L'(y)| \geq 2$. Thus ϕ extends to both x and y , a contradiction. This completes the proof of the claim. \square




Figure 2: Reducible configurations T_1 , T_2 , and T_3 . A black vertex denotes a 3-vertex and a white vertex denotes a 2-vertex.

One of the main steps is to estimate the number of 2-vertices and 3-faces adjacent to any face of length at least 9. We begin by proving the following claim.

Claim 10. *For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, the graph G does not contain the subgraph T_i illustrated in Figure 2.*

Proof. We prove that none of T_1 , T_2 , or T_3 appears in G , each by contradiction. Recall that by Claim 9, every vertex in a triangle is a 3-vertex.

(a) Suppose that G contains T_1 as a subgraph. By the minimality of G , the square graph of $G - V(T_1)$ has an L -coloring ϕ . For each $v_i \in V(T_1)$, define

$$L'(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : v_i x \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(T_1)\}.$$

Then, as shown in Figure 3(i),

$$|L'(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3, & i \in \{1, 4, 5\}, \\ 4, & i \in \{2, 3\}. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 7, the coloring ϕ extends to an L -coloring of G^2 , contradicting the choice of G .

(b) Suppose that G contains T_2 as a subgraph. By the minimality of G , let ϕ be an L -coloring of the square graph of $G - \{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_7\}$. For each $i \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}$, define

$$L'(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : v_i x \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin \{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_7\}\}.$$

Then, as shown in Figure 3(ii),

$$|L'(v_2)| \geq 2, \quad |L'(v_3)| \geq 3, \quad |L'(v_4)| \geq 4, \quad |L'(v_5)| \geq 3, \quad |L'(v_7)| \geq 3.$$

By Lemma 7, the coloring ϕ extends to an L -coloring of G^2 , again a contradiction.

(c) Suppose that G contains T_3 as a subgraph. Let ϕ be an L -coloring of the square graph of $G - \{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$, and for each $i \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$, define

$$L'(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : v_i x \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin \{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}\}.$$

Then, as shown in Figure 3(iii),

$$|L'(v_2)| \geq 2, \quad |L'(v_3)| \geq 3, \quad |L'(v_4)| \geq 2, \quad |L'(v_5)| \geq 2.$$

By Lemma 6, the coloring ϕ extends to an L -coloring of G^2 , a contradiction.

In all three cases we obtain a contradiction. Thus G contains none of T_1 , T_2 , or T_3 as a subgraph. \square




Figure 3: The number at each vertex denotes the number of available colors.

Claim 10 yields several structural constraints on a long cycle, which will allow us to estimate the total number of 2-vertices and triangles adjacent to it. To describe these properties precisely, we introduce the following notation.

For a cycle C in G , let $d(C)$ denote its length. A k -cycle is a cycle of length k , and a k^+ -cycle is a cycle of length at least k .

Definition 11. Let C be a 9^+ -cycle of G .

(a) Let $t(C)$ denote the sum of the number of 2-vertices on C and the number of triangles sharing an edge with C .

(b) Let $A(C) = \{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$ be the set of 3-vertices adjacent to C , listed in cyclic order around C , that are not adjacent to any triangle. For each i , let M_i be the segment of C from z_i to z_{i+1} , with indices taken modulo k , and let $t(M_i)$ denote the number of 2-vertices and triangles sharing an edge with M_i .

As an example, consider the cycle in H_3 in Figure 5. We may label $v_6 = z_1$, $v_8 = z_2$, and $v_{10} = z_3$. Then we obtain:

- $t(H_3) = 5$;
- $A(C) = \{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$, so $k = 3$;
- $M_1 = v_6v_7v_8$, $M_2 = v_8v_9v_{10}$, and $M_3 = v_{10}v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6$;
- $t(M_1) = t(M_2) = 1$ and $t(M_3) = 3$.

With this observation, the next two claims follow directly from Claim 10 and the definitions.

Claim 12. Let C be a 9^+ -cycle and suppose that $A(C) = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k\} \neq \emptyset$. Then each segment M_i is isomorphic to one of the configurations S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4 , or S_5 shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4: The segments S_1 – S_5 . A black vertex denotes a 3-vertex and a white vertex denotes a 2-vertex.

Note that

$$t(S_1) = 1, \quad t(S_2) = 2, \quad t(S_3) = 3, \quad t(S_4) = l \text{ for some } l \geq 1, \quad t(S_5) = 0.$$

Claim 13. (1) If $A(C) = \emptyset$, then C is an S_4 -segment with $t(C) = \frac{d(C)}{2}$. Hence $d(C)$ is even.

(2) If $A(C) = \{z_1\}$, then C is an S_4 -segment and $t(C) = \frac{d(C)-1}{2}$. Hence $d(C)$ is odd.

(3) For each $i \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$,

$$t(S_i) = \left\lfloor \frac{|S_i| - 1}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

where $|S_i|$ denotes the number of vertices in S_i .

With the previous structural claims, we are now ready to estimate $t(C)$ for a 9^+ -cycle C .

Claim 14. If C is a 9^+ -cycle in G , then

$$t(C) \leq d(C) - \left\lceil \frac{d(C)}{2} \right\rceil.$$

In particular, $t(C) \leq d(C) - 6$ whenever $d(C) \geq 11$.

Proof. If $A(C) = \emptyset$, then $d(C)$ is even and

$$t(C) = \frac{d(C)}{2} = d(C) - \left\lceil \frac{d(C)}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Now assume $A(C) = \{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$ with $k \geq 1$. For each segment M_i , we have

$$t(M_i) = \left\lfloor \frac{|M_i| - 1}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

where $|M_i|$ is the number of vertices on M_i since $M_i \in \{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4, S_5\}$ by Claim 12. Hence

$$t(C) = \sum_{i=1}^k t(M_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left\lfloor \frac{|M_i| - 1}{2} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{|M_i| - 1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{d(C)}{2} \right\rfloor = d(C) - \left\lceil \frac{d(C)}{2} \right\rceil,$$

since $\sum_{i=1}^k (|M_i| - 1) = d(C)$.

If $d(C) \geq 11$, then $\left\lceil \frac{d(C)}{2} \right\rceil \geq 6$, and therefore

$$t(C) \leq d(C) - \left\lceil \frac{d(C)}{2} \right\rceil \leq d(C) - 6.$$

□

To complete the discharging argument, we need to extend $t(C) \leq d(C) - 6$ for 11^+ -cycles C in Claim 14 to cycles of lengths 9 and 10.

Claim 15. If C is a cycle with $d(C) \in \{9, 10\}$, then $t(C) \leq d(C) - 6$.

The proof of Claim 15 is technical and is given in the next section.

We now proceed with the discharging method. For each vertex x , define $\omega(x) = 2d(x) - 6$, and for each face f , define $\omega(f) = d(f) - 6$. Thus every element $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$ has *initial charge* $\omega(x)$. By Euler's formula $|V(G)| - |E(G)| + |F(G)| = 2$, we have

$$\sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} \omega(x) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} (2d(v) - 6) + \sum_{f \in F(G)} (d(f) - 6) = -12. \quad (1)$$

We now redistribute charge according to the following rule and let $\omega'(x)$ be the *final charge* of x .

Discharging Rule

(R) Each 9^+ -face sends a charge of 1 to each incident 2-vertex and to each adjacent 3-face.

We will show that $\omega'(x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$.

If x is a 2-vertex, then it receives exactly 1 from each of its two incident 9^+ -faces by (R), so $\omega'(x) = 0$. If x is a 3-vertex, then it receives no charge and $\omega'(x) = \omega(x) = 0$.

Let f be a face of G . If $d(f) \geq 9$, then $t(f) \leq d(f) - 6$ by Claim 14 and Claim 15. Thus

$$\omega'(f) = d(f) - 6 - t(f) \geq 0.$$

If $d(f) = 3$, then f receives 1 from each of its three incident 9^+ -faces, so

$$\omega'(f) = d(f) - 6 + 3 = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$0 \leq \sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} \omega'(x) = \sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} \omega(x) = -12,$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 5. \square

4 Proof of Claim 15

We now complete the proof of Claim 15 by contradiction. Assume that

$$t(C) \geq d(C) - 5.$$

Since $t(C) \leq d(C) - \lceil d(C)/2 \rceil$ by Claim 14 and $9 \leq d(C) \leq 10$, it follows that

$$t(C) = d(C) - 5.$$

By the definitions of the parameters, we first establish the following claim.

Claim 16. *Let C be a cycle with $9 \leq d(C) \leq 10$ and let $k = |A(C)|$. Let s_i denote the number of S_i -segments on C , and let $p = s_4$. Let l_1, \dots, l_p be the number of triangles in the p S_4 -segments sharing an edge with C , respectively. Then the following hold.*

- (1) *If $k = 0$, then $d(C) = 10$ and G contains H_1 in Figure 5 as a subgraph.*
- (2) *If $k = 1$, then $d(C) = 9$ and G contains F_{12} in Figure 9 as a subgraph.*
- (3) *If $k \geq 2$, then:*

$$(3-1) \quad t(C) = s_1 + 2s_2 + 3s_3 + (l_1 + \cdots + l_p);$$

$$(3-2) \quad k = s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 + s_5 = s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + (5 - t(C));$$

$$(3-3) \quad 5 = k + s_2 + 2s_3 + (l_1 + \cdots + l_p).$$

Proof. Parts (1) and (2) follow directly from Claim 13.

Assume now that $k \geq 2$. By the definitions we have:

$$(a) \quad t(C) = s_1 + 2s_2 + 3s_3 + (l_1 + \cdots + l_p);$$

$$(b) \quad k = s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 + s_5;$$

$$(c) \quad d(C) = k + s_1 + 3s_2 + 5s_3 + 2(l_1 + \cdots + l_p).$$

This immediately yields (3-1) and the first identity in (3-2).

On the other hand, since $d(C) - t(C) = 5$, Equations (a) and (c) imply

$$5 = k + s_2 + 2s_3 + (l_1 + \cdots + l_p),$$

which gives (3-3). Now, using (3-1) and (3-3), we obtain

$$t(C) - 5 = s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + (l_1 + \cdots + l_p) - k,$$

and thus

$$k = s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + (l_1 + \cdots + l_p) - (t(C) - 5),$$

proving the second identity in (3-2). □

We now apply Claim 16 to obtain reducible configurations on 10-cycles and 9-cycles.

4.1 Reducible configurations on 10-cycles

Assume that C is a 10-cycle with $t(C) = d(C) - 5 = 5$.

Claim 17. G contains H_i in Figure 5 as a subgraph for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Proof. If $k = |A(C)| \leq 1$, then by Claim 16 we must have $k = 0$, and therefore G contains H_1 as a subgraph as shown in Figure 5.

Assume now that $k \geq 2$. From Claim 16(3-2), we have

$$s_4 = s_5 = 0, \quad \text{since} \quad s_4 + s_5 = 5 - t(C) = 0,$$

and from (3-1) and (3-3), we have

$$5 = s_1 + 2s_2 + 3s_3, \quad \text{and} \quad k = s_1 + s_2 + s_3.$$

Case $k = 2$. Then $s_2 + 2s_3 = 3$. Since $s_2 \leq k = 2$, we obtain $s_3 = 1$ and $s_2 = 1$, hence $s_1 = 0$. Thus G contains H_2 in Figure 5.

Case $k = 3$. Then $s_2 + 2s_3 = 2$.

If $s_3 = 1$, then $s_2 = 0$ and $s_1 = 2$, yielding the configuration H_3 in Figure 5.

If $s_3 = 0$, then $s_2 = 2$ and $s_1 = 1$, which forces G to contain T_3 , a contradiction to Claim 10.

Case $k = 4$. Then $s_3 = 0$, $s_2 = 1$, and $s_1 = 3$, again forcing T_3 , a contradiction.

Case $k = 5$. Then $s_1 = 5$ and $s_2 = s_3 = 0$, which yields the configuration H_4 in Figure 5.

Thus G must contain one of H_1, H_2, H_3 , or H_4 in Figure 5 as a subgraph. \square

We will show next that none of the configurations H_1, H_2, H_3 , or H_4 can occur in G , completing the contradiction and proving that $t(C) \leq d(C) - 6$ whenever $d(C) = 10$.




Figure 5: Reducible configurations on 10-cycle. The number at each vertex denotes the number of available colors after coloring $(G - V(H_i))^2$.

Claim 18. For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the graph G does not contain H_i as a subgraph.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G contains H_i as a subgraph for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, let $G_1 = G - V(H_i)$. Since no vertex of H_i has two neighbors outside H_i , for any two vertices $u, v \in V(G_1)$, if $d_G(u, v) \leq 2$ then $d_{G_1}(u, v) \leq 2$. Moreover, because G contains no 4- to 8-cycles, any two 3-vertices of H_i that do not lie on the 10-cycle have distance at least 3 in G , so their colors never conflict.

By the minimality of G , the graph G_1^2 admits an L -coloring ϕ . We will show that ϕ extends to H_i , producing an L -coloring of G^2 , contradicting that G is a counterexample.

For each $v \in V(H_i)$, define

$$L_{H_i}(v) = L(v) \setminus \{\phi(x) : vx \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(H_i)\}.$$

(a) **Case $H_i = H_1$.**

From the structure of H_1 and as indicated in Figure 5, we have:

$$|L_{H_1}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3, & i \in \{11, \dots, 15\}, \\ 5, & i \in \{1, \dots, 10\}. \end{cases}$$

We first extend ϕ to v_9, v_{10} , then to v_7, v_8 , and finally to v_5, v_6 , choosing colors so that each of v_{13}, v_{14}, v_{15} always retains at least one available color regardless of later choices.

Since $|L_{H_1}(v_9)|, |L_{H_1}(v_{10})| \geq 5$ and $|L_{H_1}(v_{15})| \geq 3$, we may choose distinct colors


$$c_9 \in L_{H_1}(v_9), \quad c_{10} \in L_{H_1}(v_{10})$$

so that $|L_{H_1}(v_{15}) \setminus \{c_9, c_{10}\}| \geq 3$. Color v_9 and v_{10} with c_9 and c_{10} , respectively. The resulting available list sizes are shown in Figure 6 (1).

Applying the same argument, we color v_7, v_8 and then v_5, v_6 so that the remaining available list sizes match those in Figure 6 (2).

Next, observe that the subgraph induced by $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_{11}, v_{12}\}$ is J_2 (Figure 1), and their list sizes satisfy Lemma 8(2). By Lemma 8(2), we can color these six vertices.

Finally, color v_{13} , then v_{14} , and then v_{15} . This yields an L -coloring of G^2 , a contradiction.



(1) The list sizes after coloring v_9, v_{10}

(2) The list sizes after coloring $v_9, v_{10}, v_7, v_8, v_5, v_6$

Figure 6: The graph H_1 : the list sizes after coloring some vertices H_1

(b) **Case $H_i = H_2$.**

As indicated in Figure 5, we have:

$$|L_{H_2}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3, & i = 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, \\ 4, & i = 1, 5, 7, \\ 5, & i = 2, 4, 8, 9, \\ 6, & i = 3. \end{cases}$$

Using an argument similar to the previous case, there exist distinct colors $c_7 \in L_{H_2}(v_7)$ and $c_8 \in L_{H_2}(v_8)$ such that $|L_{H_2}(v_{13}) \setminus \{c_7, c_8\}| \geq 3$. Color v_7 and v_8 with c_7 and c_8 , respectively.

Since $|L_{H_2}(v_1)| \geq 4$ and $|L_{H_2}(v_{11})| \geq 3$, there is a color $\alpha \in L_{H_2}(v_1)$ such that $|L_{H_2}(v_{11}) \setminus \{\alpha\}| \geq 3$.

Next, color v_9 with a color $c_9 \in L_{H_2}(v_9) \setminus \{c_7, c_8, \alpha\}$, and color v_{10} with a color $c_{10} \in L_{H_2}(v_{10}) \setminus \{c_8, c_9\}$. According to the list sizes indicated in Figure 7 (a), we can then color v_6, v_5, v_{12}, v_{13} in this order.

Let $L'_{H_2}(v_i)$ denote the list of available colors at v_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 11$. As shown in Figure 7 (b),

$$|L'_{H_2}(v_1)| \geq 2, \quad |L'_{H_2}(v_{11})| \geq 2, \quad |L'_{H_2}(v_2)| \geq 4, \quad |L'_{H_2}(v_3)| \geq 4, \quad |L'_{H_2}(v_4)| \geq 2.$$

Moreover, by the choice of c_9 and c_{10} , we can conclude that $L'_{H_2}(v_1) \neq L'_{H_2}(v_{11})$ if $|L'_{H_2}(v_1)| = 2$. In fact, if $c_{10} \neq \alpha$, then $\alpha \in L'_{H_2}(v_1) \setminus L'_{H_2}(v_{11})$. So, we have that $L'_{H_2}(v_1) \neq L'_{H_2}(v_{11})$. If $c_{10} = \alpha$, then $|L'_{H_2}(v_{11})| = 3$, so we have that $L'_{H_2}(v_1) \neq L'_{H_2}(v_{11})$ if $|L'_{H_2}(v_1)| = 2$.

Thus, there exists a color $\beta \in L'_{H_2}(v_{11})$ such that $|L'_{H_2}(v_1) \setminus \{\beta\}| \geq 2$. Color v_{11} with β . Let $L''_{H_2}(v_i)$ be the list of available colors at v_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. As indicated in Figure 7 (c), we have

$$|L''_{H_2}(v_1)| \geq 2, \quad |L''_{H_2}(v_2)| \geq 3, \quad |L''_{H_2}(v_3)| \geq 3, \quad |L''_{H_2}(v_4)| \geq 2.$$

By Lemma 6, we may further extend ϕ to v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 , yielding an L -coloring of G^2 , a contradiction.




Figure 7: The graph H_2 : The list sizes after coloring some vertices H_2

(c) **Case** $H_i = H_3$.

As indicated in Figure 5, we have:

$$|L_{H_3}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3, & i = 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, \\ 4, & i = 1, 5, 7, 9, \\ 5, & i = 2, 4, \\ 6, & i = 3. \end{cases}$$

Using an argument similar to the case $H_i = H_2$, there exists a color $\alpha \in L_{H_3}(v_1)$ such that $|L_{H_3}(v_{11}) \setminus \{\alpha\}| \geq 3$. First color v_9 with a color $c_9 \in L_{H_3}(v_9) \setminus \{\alpha\}$, and then color v_{10} with $c_{10} \in L_{H_3}(v_{10}) \setminus \{\alpha, c_9\}$. As indicated by the list sizes in Figure 8(a), we can then color $v_8, v_7, v_6, v_5, v_{12}$ in this order.

Let $L'_{H_3}(v_i)$ denote the list of available colors at v_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 11$ after coloring v_i for $i \in \{5, \dots, 10\} \cup \{12\}$. As shown in Figure 8(b),

$$|L'_{H_3}(v_1)| \geq 2, \quad |L'_{H_3}(v_{11})| \geq 2, \quad |L'_{H_3}(v_2)| \geq 4, \quad |L'_{H_3}(v_3)| \geq 4, \quad |L'_{H_3}(v_4)| \geq 2.$$

Moreover, by the choice of c_9 and c_{10} ,

$$|L'_{H_3}(v_{11}) \setminus \{\alpha\}| = |L_{H_3}(v_{11}) \setminus \{\alpha\}| \geq 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \in L'_{H_3}(v_1) = L_{H_3}(v_1) \setminus \{c_9, c_{10}\}.$$

Applying the same argument as in the case $H_i = H_2$, we can extend the coloring to all vertices of H_3 , yielding an L -coloring of G^2 , a contradiction.




Figure 8: The graph H_3 : The list sizes after coloring some vertices H_3

(d) **Case $H_i = H_4$.**

As indicated in Figure 5, we have:

$$|L_{H_4}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3, & i = 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, \\ 4, & i = 1, 3, 5, 7, 9. \end{cases}$$

We first show that there exist colors $c_1 \in L_{H_4}(v_1)$ and $c_4 \in L_{H_4}(v_4)$ such that $|L_{H_4}(v_3) \setminus \{c_1, c_4\}| \geq 3$.

If $L_{H_4}(v_1) \cap L_{H_4}(v_4) \neq \emptyset$, choose $c_1 = c_4 \in L_{H_4}(v_1) \cap L_{H_4}(v_4)$. Then

$$|L_{H_4}(v_3) \setminus \{c_1, c_4\}| \geq |L_{H_4}(v_3)| - 1 \geq 3.$$

If $L_{H_4}(v_1) \cap L_{H_4}(v_4) = \emptyset$, then $|L_{H_4}(v_1) \cup L_{H_4}(v_4)| > |L_{H_4}(v_3)|$. So, $L_{H_4}(v_1) \setminus L_{H_4}(v_3) \neq \emptyset$ or $L_{H_4}(v_4) \setminus L_{H_4}(v_3) \neq \emptyset$. So, we can color v_1 and v_4 by c_1, c_4 , respectively, so that $|L_{H_4}(v_3) \cap \{c_1, c_4\}| \leq 1$. Then, $|L_{H_4}(v_3) \setminus \{c_1, c_4\}| \geq 3$.

Afterward, we can color

$$v_2, v_{10}, v_9, v_8, v_6, v_7, v_5, v_3$$

in this order to obtain an L -coloring of G^2 , a contradiction. \square

4.2 Reducible Configurations on 9-Cycles

Assume that C is a 9-cycle with $t(C) = d(C) - 5 = 4$.

Claim 19. *If $t(C) = 4$, then G contains F_i as a subgraph for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 12\}$.*

Proof. If $k = |A(C)| \leq 1$, then by Claim 16 we must have $k = 1$, and G contains F_{12} in Figure 9 as a subgraph. Thus we assume $k \geq 2$. By Claim 16(2), we have:

- $s_4 + s_5 = 1$, implying $(s_4, s_5) \in \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$,
- $s_1 + s_2 + s_3 = k - 1$,
- $4 = s_1 + 2s_2 + 3s_3 + l_1$, $0 \leq l_1 \leq 1$.

Case $k = 2$.

Here $s_1 + s_2 + s_3 = 1$. From $4 = s_1 + 2s_2 + 3s_3 + l_1$, we must have $l_1 \geq 1$, and hence $(s_4, s_5) = (1, 0)$. Thus

$$(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5) \in \{(1, 0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1, 1, 0)\}.$$

If $s_1 = 1$, then $l_1 = 3$ and G contains the configuration F_{11} .

If $s_2 = 1$, then $l_1 = 2$ and G contains the configuration F_{10} .

If $s_3 = 1$, then $l_1 = 1$ and G contains the configuration F_9 .

Case $k = 3$.

Then $s_1 + s_2 + s_3 = 2$ and $4 = s_1 + 2s_2 + 3s_3 + l_1$.

Subcase $(s_4, s_5) = (0, 1)$. Then $l_1 = 0$, so $s_1 + 2s_2 + 3s_3 = 4$, giving $s_2 + 2s_3 = 2$. Thus $(s_2, s_3) = (0, 1)$ or $(2, 0)$.

If $(s_2, s_3) = (0, 1)$, then $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5) = (1, 0, 1, 0, 1)$ and G contains the configuration F_8 .

If $(s_2, s_3) = (2, 0)$, then $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5) = (0, 2, 0, 0, 1)$ and G contains the configuration F_6 or F_7 .

Subcase $(s_4, s_5) = (1, 0)$. Then $l_1 \geq 1$. Since $s_1 + s_2 + s_3 = 2$, we have $s_2 + 2s_3 + l_1 = 2$, which forces $s_3 = 0$. Hence

$$s_1 + s_2 = 2, \quad s_2 + l_1 = 2.$$

Since $l_1 \geq 1$, we have

$$(s_1, s_2, l_1) = (2, 0, 2) \quad \text{or} \quad (1, 1, 1).$$

Thus, $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5) = (2, 0, 0, 1, 0)$ giving the configuration F_5 , or $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5) = (1, 1, 0, 1, 0)$ giving the configuration F_4 .

Case $k = 4$. Then $s_1 + s_2 + s_3 = 3$ and $4 = s_1 + 2s_2 + 3s_3 + l_1$.

Thus $s_2 + 2s_3 + l_1 = 1$ which implies $s_3 = 0$, $s_1 + s_2 = 3$ and $s_2 + l_1 = 1$.

If $(s_4, s_5) = (0, 1)$, then $l_1 = 0$, so $s_2 = 1$ and $s_1 = 2$, giving $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5) = (2, 1, 0, 0, 1)$ and G contains the configuration F_3 .

If $(s_4, s_5) = (1, 0)$, then $l_1 = 1$, so $s_2 = 0$ and $s_1 = 3$, giving $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5) = (3, 0, 0, 1, 0)$ and G contains the configuration F_2 .

Case $k = 5$. Then $s_1 + s_2 + s_3 = 4$ and $4 = s_1 + 2s_2 + 3s_3 + l_1$.

This forces $s_2 = s_3 = l_1 = 0$ and $s_1 = 4$. Since $l_1 = 0$, we have $(s_4, s_5) = (0, 1)$, giving $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5) = (4, 0, 0, 0, 1)$, and G contains F_1 .

In summary, we have shown that G contains F_i for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 12\}$. \square

In the next two subsections, we show that G does not contain F_i as a subgraph for any $i \in \{1, \dots, 12\}$, thereby completing the proof of Claim 15.

For $i \in \{1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 11\}$, in Subsection 4.2.1, we use coloring extension arguments to show that F_i cannot occur in G . For $i \in \{2, 3, 5, 9, 10, 12\}$, in Subsection 4.2.2, we apply the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz to rule out F_i as a subgraph of G , as the corresponding coloring extension arguments would be considerably longer and more involved.

4.2.1 G does not contain F_i for $i \in \{1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 11\}$

In this subsection, we apply the coloring extension technique to show that F_i does not appear in G for any $i \in \{1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 11\}$.

Claim 20. G does not contain F_i as a subgraph for each $i \in \{1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 11\}$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G contains F_i as a subgraph for some $i \in \{1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 11\}$. Let $G_1 = G - V(F_i)$.

Since no vertex of F_i has two neighbors outside F_i , whenever $u, v \in V(G_1)$ satisfy $d_G(u, v) \leq 2$, we also have $d_{G_1}(u, v) \leq 2$. Moreover, since G contains no 4- to 8-cycles, any two 3-vertices of F_i that do not lie on the 9-cycle have distance at least 3 in G , so their colors never conflict.

By the minimality of G , the graph G_1^2 admits an L -coloring ϕ . We show that ϕ extends to F_i , yielding an L -coloring of G^2 , contradicting that G is a counterexample.

For each $v \in V(F_i)$, define

$$L_{F_i}(v) = L(v) \setminus \{\phi(x) : vx \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(F_i)\}.$$

(a) **Case $F_i = F_1$.**

As indicated in Figure 10 (a), we have

$$|L_{F_1}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 2, & i \in \{7, 8\}, \\ 3, & i \in \{1, 3, 5\}, \\ 4, & i \in \{2, 4, 6, 9\}. \end{cases}$$




Figure 9: Reducible configurations on 9-cycles.

Since $|L_{F_1}(v_6)| \geq 4$ and $|L_{F_1}(v_5)| \geq 3$, we may choose a color $\alpha \in L_{F_1}(v_6)$ such that

$$|L_{F_1}(v_5) \setminus \{\alpha\}| \geq 3.$$

Color v_8 with a color $c_8 \in L_{F_1}(v_8) \setminus \{\alpha\}$, and color v_7 with a color $c_7 \in L_{F_1}(v_7) \setminus \{c_8\}$.

Let $L'_{F_1}(v_i)$ be the updated lists after coloring v_7 and v_8 . See Figure 10(b) for the sizes of the lists $L'_{F_1}(v_i)$. We claim that

$$|L'_{F_1}(v_6) \cup L'_{F_1}(v_5)| \geq 3.$$

If not, then $L'_{F_1}(v_6) = L'_{F_1}(v_5)$ and both lists have size 2, since each has size at least 2. Since $L'_{F_1}(v_5) = L_{F_1}(v_5) \setminus \{c_7\}$ and $|L_{F_1}(v_5) \setminus \{\alpha\}| \geq 3$, we have that

$$c_7 \in L_{F_1}(v_5), \text{ and } |L_{F_1}(v_5)| = 3.$$

By the fact $|L_{F_1}(v_5) \setminus \{\alpha\}| \geq 3$ again, we have $\alpha \notin L_{F_1}(v_5)$ and thus $\alpha \notin L'_{F_1}(v_5)$. Since $\alpha \neq c_8$, we have $\alpha \in L'_{F_1}(v_6)$, a contradiction to the assumption that $L'_{F_1}(v_6) = L'_{F_1}(v_5)$. This proves that $|L'_{F_1}(v_6) \cup L'_{F_1}(v_5)| \geq 3$.

Next choose $c_4 \in L'_{F_1}(v_4)$ such that $|L'_{F_1}(v_3) \setminus \{c_4\}| \geq 3$. Since $|L'_{F_1}(v_6) \cup L'_{F_1}(v_5)| \geq 3$, we may select distinct colors

$$c_6 \in L'_{F_1}(v_6) \quad \text{and} \quad c_5 \in L'_{F_1}(v_5)$$

such that $c_4 \notin \{c_5, c_6\}$. Color v_4, v_5, v_6 with c_4, c_5, c_6 , respectively.

Let $L''_{F_1}(v_i)$ be the lists after coloring v_4, v_5, v_6 . As indicated in Figure 10(c), we have

$$|L''_{F_1}(v_1)| \geq 2, \quad |L''_{F_1}(v_2)| \geq 3, \quad |L''_{F_1}(v_3)| \geq 2, \quad |L''_{F_1}(v_9)| \geq 2.$$

By Lemma 6, we can color v_9, v_1, v_2, v_3 to obtain an L -coloring of G^2 , a contradiction. Thus F_1 is not a subgraph of G .




Figure 10: The graph F_1 : The list sizes after coloring some vertices of F_1 .

(b) **Case** $F_i = F_4$.

As indicated in Figure 11(a), we have

$$|L_{F_4}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3, & i \in \{4, 7, 9, 10, 11\}, \\ 4, & i \in \{1, 5, 6, 8\}, \\ 5, & i \in \{2, 3\}. \end{cases}$$

Using an argument similar to the proof that T_1 is not a subgraph of G (see Figure 3(i)), we first color the vertices v_{11}, v_4, v_5, v_6 , and v_7 .

Let $L'_{F_4}(v_i)$ be the updated lists after coloring v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7 , and v_{11} (see Figure 11(b)).

Since $|L'_{F_4}(v_2)| \geq 4$ and $|L'_{F_4}(v_3)| \geq 3$, there exists a color $\alpha \in L'_{F_4}(v_2)$ such that $|L'_{F_4}(v_3) \setminus \{\alpha\}| \geq 3$. Color v_9 with a color $c_9 \in L'_{F_4}(v_9) \setminus \{\alpha\}$, and then color v_8 with a color $c_8 \in L'_{F_4}(v_8) \setminus \{c_9\}$.

Let $L''_{F_4}(v_i)$ be the lists after coloring v_8 and v_9 (see Figure 11(c)). Then

$$|L''_{F_4}(v_1)| \geq 2, \quad |L''_{F_4}(v_2)| \geq 3, \quad |L''_{F_4}(v_3)| \geq 3, \quad |L''_{F_4}(v_{10})| \geq 2.$$

Moreover, since $\alpha \in L''_{F_4}(v_2) \setminus L''_{F_4}(v_3)$, we have $|L''_{F_4}(v_2) \cup L''_{F_4}(v_3)| \geq 4$. Thus, one can further color the vertices v_1, v_2, v_3 , and v_{10} to obtain an L -coloring of G^2 , a contradiction. This proves that F_4 is not a subgraph of G .




Figure 11: The graph F_4 : The list sizes after coloring some vertices of F_4 .

(c) **Case** $F_i = F_6$.

As indicated in Figure 12(a), we have

$$|L_{F_6}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 2, & i \in \{4, 5\}, \\ 3, & i \in \{9, 10, 11\}, \\ 4, & i \in \{1, 6\}, \\ 5, & i \in \{2, 3, 7, 8\}. \end{cases}$$

Since $|L_{F_6}(v_6)| \geq 4$ and $|L_{F_6}(v_{11})| \geq 3$, there exists a color $\alpha \in L_{F_6}(v_6)$ such that

$$|L_{F_6}(v_{11}) \setminus \{\alpha\}| \geq 3.$$

Color v_4 by a color $c_4 \in L_{F_6}(v_4) \setminus \{\alpha\}$, and then color v_5 by a color $c_5 \in L_{F_6}(v_5) \setminus \{c_4\}$.

Let $L'_{F_6}(v_i)$ be the updated lists after coloring v_4 and v_5 (see Figure 12(b)). By a similar argument to the one used in Case F_1 , we have

$$|L'_{F_6}(v_6) \cup L'_{F_6}(v_{11})| \geq 3.$$

Now, since $|L'_{F_6}(v_8)| \geq 5$ and $|L'_{F_6}(v_7)| \geq 4$, there exists a color $c_8 \in L'_{F_6}(v_8)$ such that

$$|L'_{F_6}(v_7) \setminus \{c_8\}| \geq 4.$$

Color v_8 with c_8 . Since $|L'_{F_6}(v_6) \cup L'_{F_6}(v_{11})| \geq 3$, we have

$$|L'_{F_6}(v_6) \setminus \{c_8\} \cup L'_{F_6}(v_{11}) \setminus \{c_8\}| \geq 2,$$

and hence we may color v_6 and v_{11} .

Let $L''_{F_6}(v_i)$ be the updated lists after coloring v_6 , v_{11} , and v_8 (see Figure 12(c)). Then

$$|L''_{F_6}(v_1)| \geq 3, \quad |L''_{F_6}(v_2)| \geq 4, \quad |L''_{F_6}(v_3)| \geq 3, \quad |L''_{F_6}(v_9)| \geq 2, \quad |L''_{F_6}(v_{10})| \geq 3, \quad |L''_{F_6}(v_7)| \geq 2.$$

We first color v_1, v_2, v_3, v_9 , and v_{10} by Lemma 7, and then color v_7 to obtain an L -coloring of G^2 , a contradiction. This proves that F_6 is not a subgraph of G .




Figure 12: The graph F_6 : The list sizes after coloring some vertices of F_6 .

(d) **Case** $F_i = F_7$.

As indicated in Figure 13(a), we have:

$$|L_{F_7}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 2, & i \in \{4, 5\}, \\ 3, & i \in \{9, 10, 11\}, \\ 4, & i \in \{1, 8\}, \\ 5, & i \in \{2, 3, 6, 7\}. \end{cases}$$

First color v_4 and v_5 greedily. Let $L'_{F_7}(v_i)$ be the lists after coloring v_4 and v_5 (see Figure 13(b)).

Now color v_8 by a color $c_8 \in L'_{F_7}(v_8)$ such that

$$|L'_{F_7}(v_6) \setminus \{c_8\}| \geq 3.$$

Let $L''_{F_7}(v_i)$ be the lists after coloring v_4 , v_5 , and v_8 (see Figure 13(c)).

By Lemma 7, color the vertices v_1, v_2, v_3, v_9 , and v_{10} . Then color v_{11} , v_7 , and v_6 in this order to yield an L -coloring of G^2 , a contradiction. Thus, F_7 is not a subgraph of G .




Figure 13: The graph F_7 . The list sizes after coloring some vertices of F_7 .

(e) **Case** $F_i = F_8$.

As indicated in Figure 14(a), we have

$$|L_{F_8}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 2, & i = 6, 7, \\ 3, & i = 9, 10, 11, \\ 4, & i = 1, 5, 8, \\ 5, & i = 2, 4, \\ 6, & i = 3. \end{cases}$$

By an argument similar to that used in Case F_1 (in the choice of c_7 and c_8), there exist two distinct colors

$$c_6 \in L_{F_8}(v_6), \quad c_7 \in L_{F_8}(v_7),$$

such that

$$|L_{F_8}(v_5) \setminus \{c_6, c_7\} \cup L_{F_8}(v_{11}) \setminus \{c_6\}| \geq 3.$$

We first color v_6 and v_7 with c_6 and c_7 , respectively, and then color v_8, v_9, v_1 , and v_{10} in this order.

Let $L'_{F_8}(v_i)$ be the updated lists after coloring v_1, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9 , and v_{10} (see Figure 14(b)). Then

$$|L'_{F_8}(v_5) \cup L'_{F_8}(v_{11})| \geq 3.$$

Thus there exists a color $c_{11} \in L'_{F_8}(v_{11})$ such that

$$|L'_{F_8}(v_5) \setminus \{c_{11}\}| \geq 2.$$

Color v_{11} with c_{11} .

Let $L''_{F_8}(v_i)$ be the updated lists after coloring v_{11} (see Figure 14(c)). Then

$$|L''_{F_8}(v_2)| \geq 2, \quad |L''_{F_8}(v_3)| \geq 3, \quad |L''_{F_8}(v_4)| \geq 3, \quad |L''_{F_8}(v_5)| \geq 2.$$

We may now color v_2, v_3, v_4 , and v_5 by Lemma 6 to obtain an L -coloring of G^2 , a contradiction. Thus, F_8 is not a subgraph of G .




Figure 14: The graph F_8 : The list sizes after coloring some vertices of F_8 .

(f) **Case** $F_i = F_{11}$.

As indicated in Figure 15(a), we have

$$|L_{F_{11}}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3, & i = 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, \\ 4, & i = 1, 6, 8, \\ 5, & i = 2, 3, 4, 5. \end{cases}$$

Since $|L_{F_{11}}(v_5)| \geq 5$, $|L_{F_{11}}(v_6)| \geq 4$, and $|L_{F_{11}}(v_{12})| \geq 3$, there exist two distinct colors

$$c_5 \in L_{F_{11}}(v_5), \quad c_6 \in L_{F_{11}}(v_6),$$

such that

$$|L_{F_{11}}(v_{12}) \setminus \{c_5, c_6\}| \geq 3.$$

Color v_5 and v_6 with c_5 and c_6 , respectively, and then color v_7 with some $c_7 \in L_{F_{11}}(v_7) \setminus \{c_5, c_6\}$.

Let $L'_{F_{11}}(v_i)$ be the updated lists after coloring v_5 , v_6 , and v_7 (see Figure 15(b)).

By an argument similar to that used in Case F_1 (in the choice of c_7 and c_8), there exist two distinct colors

$$c_8 \in L_{F_{11}}(v_8), \quad c_9 \in L_{F_{11}}(v_9),$$

such that

$$|L_{F_{11}}(v_1) \setminus \{c_8, c_9\} \cup L_{F_{11}}(v_{10}) \setminus \{c_9\}| \geq 3.$$

Color v_8 and v_9 with c_8 and c_9 , respectively.

Let $L''_{F_{11}}(v_i)$ be the updated lists after coloring v_8 and v_9 (see Figure 15(c)). Then

$$|L''_{F_{11}}(v_1)| \geq 2, \quad |L''_{F_{11}}(v_2)| \geq 4, \quad |L''_{F_{11}}(v_3)| \geq 4, \quad |L''_{F_{11}}(v_4)| \geq 3, \quad |L''_{F_{11}}(v_{10})| \geq 2, \quad |L''_{F_{11}}(v_{11})| \geq 2.$$

Moreover,

$$|L''_{F_{11}}(v_1) \cup L''_{F_{11}}(v_{10})| \geq 3.$$

We may now color $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_{10}, v_{11}$ by Lemma 8(1), and then color v_{12} to obtain an L -coloring of G^2 , a contradiction. Thus, F_{11} is not a subgraph of G . This completes the proof of Claim 20. \square




Figure 15: The graph F_{11} : The list sizes after coloring some vertices of F_{11} .

4.2.2 G does not contain F_i for $i \in \{2, 3, 5, 9, 10, 12\}$

In this subsection, we apply the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz to show that F_i is not a subgraph of G for each $i \in \{2, 3, 5, 9, 10, 12\}$.

The *graph polynomial* of a graph G is defined by

$$P_G(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{u \sim v, u < v} (x_u - x_v),$$

where $u \sim v$ means u and v are adjacent, and $\mathbf{x} = (x_v)_{v \in V(G)}$ is a vector of variables indexed by vertices of G .

Theorem 21 ([2]). (Combinatorial Nullstellensatz) *Let \mathbb{F} be a field, and let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Suppose $\deg(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i$ with each $t_i \geq 0$, and the coefficient of $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{t_i}$ is nonzero. If $S_1, \dots, S_n \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ satisfy $|S_i| \geq t_i + 1$ for all i , then there exist $s_i \in S_i$ such that $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \neq 0$.*

The following consequence is frequently used in list coloring.

Theorem 22. *Let G be a graph with $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and list assignment L . If $P_G(\mathbf{x})$ has a monomial $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{t_i}$ with nonzero coefficient and $|L(v_i)| \geq t_i + 1$ for each i , then G is L -colorable.*

Claim 23. *For each $i \in \{2, 3, 5, 9, 10, 12\}$, the graph G does not contain F_i as a subgraph.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G contains F_i as a subgraph for some $i \in \{2, 3, 5, 9, 10, 12\}$. Let $G_1 = G - V(F_i)$.

Since no vertex of F_i has two neighbors outside F_i , for any two vertices $u, v \in V(G_1)$, if $d_G(u, v) \leq 2$ then $d_{G_1}(u, v) \leq 2$. Moreover, because G contains no 4- to 8-cycles, any two 3-vertices of F_i that do not lie on the 9-cycle have distance at least 3 in G , so their colors never conflict.

By the minimality of G , the graph G_1^2 admits an L -coloring ϕ . We will show that ϕ extends to F_i , producing an L -coloring of G^2 and contradicting that G is a counterexample.

For each $v \in V(F_i)$, define

$$L_{F_i}(v) = L(v) \setminus \{\phi(x) : vx \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(F_i)\}.$$

1. **Case $F_i = F_2$.**

As indicated in Figure 16,

$$|L_{F_2}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3, & i = 1, 3, 5, 8, 10, \\ 4, & i = 2, 4, 6, 7, 9. \end{cases}$$

The graph polynomial of F_2^2 is

$$\begin{aligned} P_{F_2^2}(\mathbf{x}) = & (x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3)(x_1 - x_8)(x_1 - x_9)(x_2 - x_3)(x_2 - x_4) \\ & (x_2 - x_9)(x_3 - x_4)(x_3 - x_5)(x_4 - x_5)(x_4 - x_6)(x_5 - x_6) \\ & (x_5 - x_7)(x_5 - x_{10})(x_6 - x_7)(x_6 - x_8)(x_6 - x_{10})(x_7 - x_8) \\ & (x_7 - x_9)(x_7 - x_{10})(x_8 - x_9)(x_8 - x_{10}). \end{aligned}$$




Figure 16: The graphs F_2, F_3 and F_5 and their corresponding list sizes.

A Mathematica computation shows that the coefficient of

$$x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^2 x_6^3 x_7^2 x_8^2 x_9^2 x_{10}$$

is $2 \neq 0$. Thus, by Theorem 22, ϕ extends to F_2^2 , yielding an L -coloring of G^2 .

2. **Case** $F_i = F_3$.

Note that $P_{F_2^2}(\mathbf{x}) = P_{F_3^2}(\mathbf{x})$. As indicated in Figure 16,

$$|L_{F_3}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 2, & i = 3, 4, \\ 3, & i = 1, 8, 10, \\ 4, & i = 2, 7, 9, \\ 5, & i = 5, 6. \end{cases}$$

A Mathematica computation shows that the coefficient of

$$x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3 x_4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^2 x_8^2 x_9^2 x_{10}^2$$

is $1 \neq 0$. Thus, by Theorem 22, ϕ extends to F_3^2 , producing an L -coloring of G^2 .

3. **Case** $F_i = F_5$. As indicated in Figure 16,

$$|L_{F_5}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3, & i = 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, \\ 4, & i = 1, 4, 6, 8, \\ 5, & i = 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

The graph polynomial of F_5^2 is

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{F_5^2}(\mathbf{x}) = & (x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3)(x_1 - x_8)(x_1 - x_9)(x_1 - x_{10})(x_2 - x_3)(x_2 - x_4) \\
& (x_2 - x_9)(x_2 - x_{10})(x_2 - x_{11})(x_3 - x_4)(x_3 - x_5)(x_3 - x_{10})(x_3 - x_{11}) \\
& (x_4 - x_5)(x_4 - x_6)(x_4 - x_{11})(x_5 - x_6)(x_5 - x_7)(x_5 - x_{11})(x_6 - x_7) \\
& (x_6 - x_8)(x_7 - x_8)(x_7 - x_9)(x_8 - x_9)(x_9 - x_{10})
\end{aligned}$$

A Mathematica computation shows that the coefficient of $x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^1 x_6^3 x_7^2 x_8^3 x_9^1 x_{10}^1 x_{11}^1$ is $-2 \neq 0$. Thus, by Theorem 22, ϕ extends to F_5^2 , yielding an L -coloring of G^2 .

4. **Case** $F_i = F_9$.

As indicated in Figure 17,

$$|L_{F_9}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3, & i = 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, \\ 4, & i = 1, 5, 7, 8, \\ 5, & i = 2, 4, \\ 6, & i = 3. \end{cases}$$

The graph polynomial for F_9^2 is

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{F_9^2}(\mathbf{x}) = & (x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3)(x_1 - x_8)(x_1 - x_9)(x_1 - x_{10})(x_2 - x_3)(x_2 - x_4) \\
& (x_2 - x_9)(x_2 - x_{10})(x_3 - x_4)(x_3 - x_5)(x_3 - x_{10})(x_3 - x_{11}) \\
& (x_4 - x_5)(x_4 - x_6)(x_4 - x_{11})(x_5 - x_6)(x_5 - x_7)(x_5 - x_{11}) \\
& (x_6 - x_7)(x_6 - x_8)(x_6 - x_{11})(x_6 - x_{12})(x_7 - x_8)(x_7 - x_9) \\
& (x_7 - x_{12})(x_8 - x_9)(x_8 - x_{12})(x_9 - x_{10})(x_9 - x_{12})
\end{aligned}$$

A Mathematica computation shows that the coefficient of $x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^3 x_6^2 x_7^3 x_8^3 x_9^2 x_{10}^1 x_{11}^1 x_{12}^1$ is $4 \neq 0$. Thus, by Theorem 22, ϕ extends to F_9^2 , yielding an L -coloring of G^2 .




Figure 17: The graphs F_9, F_{10} and F_{12} and their corresponding list sizes.

5. **Case** $F_i = F_{10}$.

As indicated in Figure 17,

$$|L_{F_{10}}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3, & i = 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, \\ 4, & i = 1, 4, 6, \\ 5, & i = 2, 3, 7, 8. \end{cases}$$

The graph polynomial for F_{10}^2 is

$$\begin{aligned} P_{F_{10}^2}(\mathbf{x}) = & (x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3)(x_1 - x_8)(x_1 - x_9)(x_1 - x_{10})(x_2 - x_3)(x_2 - x_4) \\ & (x_2 - x_9)(x_2 - x_{10})(x_2 - x_{11})(x_3 - x_4)(x_3 - x_5)(x_3 - x_{10})(x_3 - x_{11}) \\ & (x_4 - x_5)(x_4 - x_6)(x_4 - x_{11})(x_5 - x_6)(x_5 - x_7)(x_5 - x_{11})(x_5 - x_{12}) \\ & (x_6 - x_7)(x_6 - x_8)(x_6 - x_{12})(x_7 - x_8)(x_7 - x_9)(x_7 - x_{12})(x_8 - x_9) \\ & (x_8 - x_{12})(x_9 - x_{10}) \end{aligned}$$

A Mathematica computation shows that the coefficient of $x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^2 x_6^3 x_7^3 x_8^4 x_9^1 x_{10}^1 x_{11}^2 x_{12}^1$ is $2 \neq 0$. Thus, by Theorem 22, ϕ extends to F_{10}^2 , yielding an L -coloring of G^2 .

6. **Case** $F_i = F_{12}$.

As indicated in in Figure 17,

$$|L_{F_{12}}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3, & i = 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, \\ 4, & i = 1, 8, \\ 5, & i = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. \end{cases}$$

The graph polynomial for F_{12}^2 is

$$\begin{aligned} P_{F_{12}^2}(\mathbf{x}) = & (x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3)(x_1 - x_8)(x_1 - x_9)(x_1 - x_{10})(x_2 - x_3)(x_2 - x_4) \\ & (x_2 - x_9)(x_2 - x_{10})(x_2 - x_{11})(x_3 - x_4)(x_3 - x_5)(x_3 - x_{10})(x_3 - x_{11}) \\ & (x_4 - x_5)(x_4 - x_6)(x_4 - x_{11})(x_4 - x_{12})(x_5 - x_6)(x_5 - x_7)(x_5 - x_{11}) \\ & (x_5 - x_{12})(x_6 - x_7)(x_6 - x_8)(x_6 - x_{12})(x_6 - x_{13})(x_7 - x_8)(x_7 - x_9) \\ & (x_7 - x_{12})(x_7 - x_{13})(x_8 - x_9)(x_8 - x_{13})(x_9 - x_{10})(x_9 - x_{13}) \end{aligned}$$

A Mathematica computation shows that the coefficient of $x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^3 x_6^3 x_7^4 x_8^3 x_9^1 x_{10}^1 x_{11}^1 x_{12}^2 x_{13}^2$ is $-1 \neq 0$. Thus, by Theorem 22, ϕ extends to F_{12}^2 , yielding an L -coloring of G^2 . This completes the proof of Claim 23. \square

Acknowledgments

Seog-Jin Kim was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT)(No.NRF-2021R1A2C1005785). Rong Luo was supported by a grant from Simons Foundation (No. 839830).

References

- [1] H.L. Abbott, B. Zhou, On small faces in 4-critical graphs, *Ars Combin.* 32 (1991) 203–207.
- [2] N. Alon, Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 8 (1999) 7–29.
- [3] O.V. Borodin, A.N. Glebov, A. Raspaud, and M.R. Salavatipour, Planar graphs without cycles of length from 4 to 7 are 3-colorable, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 93 (2005) 303–331.
- [4] D. W. Cranston, Coloring, List Coloring, and Painting Squares of Graphs (and Other Related Problems), *Electron. J. Combin.* 30(2) (2023), #DS25.
- [5] D. W. Cranston and S.-J. Kim, List-coloring the square of a subcubic graph. *J. Graph Theory* 57 (2008), no. 1, 65–87.
- [6] Z. Dvořák, R. Škrekovski, and M. Tancer, List-coloring squares of sparse subcubic graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 22 (2008), no. 1, 139–159
- [7] T. Feder, P. Hell, and C. Subi, Distance-two colourings of Barnette graphs, *European J. Combin.* 91 (2021), Paper No. 103210.
- [8] S. G. Hartke, S. Jahanbekam, and B. Thomas, The chromatic number of the square of subcubic planar graphs, 2016, arXiv:1604.06504.
- [9] L. Jin, Y. Kang, and S.-J. Kim, The square of every subcubic planar graph without 4-cycles and 5-cycles is 7-choosable, submitted for publication, available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2507.00426>.
- [10] S.-J. Kim and Xiaopan Lian, The square of every subcubic planar graph of girth at least 6 is 7-choosable, *Discrete Math.* 347 (2024), no. 6, Paper No. 113963.
- [11] D.P. Sanders, Y. Zhao, A note on the three color problem, *Graphs Combin.* 11 (1995) 91–94.
- [12] R. Steinberg, The state of the three color problem, quo vadis, graph-theory?, *Ann. Discrete Math.* 55 (1993), 211–248.
- [13] C. Thomassen, The square of a planar cubic graph is 7-colorable. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 128 (2018), 192–218.
- [14] V. Cohen-Addad, M. Hebdige, D. Král, Z. Li, E. Salgado, Steinberg’s Conjecture is false. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 122 (2017), 452–456.
- [15] G. Wegner, Graphs with given diameter and a colouring problem, preprint, University of Dortmund (1977).