

# GEHRING-HAYMAN INEQUALITY FOR MEROMORPHIC UNIVALENT MAPPINGS

BAPPADITYA BHOWMIK \*, DEBLINA MAITY, AND TOSHIYUKI SUGAWA

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $f$  be a meromorphic univalent function on the open unit disk having a simple pole at  $p \in (0, 1)$  that extends continuously to the left half  $\mathbb{T}^-$  of the unit circle. In this article, we prove that the ratio of the length of the image of the vertical diameter  $\mathbb{I}_1$  of the unit disk to the length of the image of  $\mathbb{T}^-$  under the mapping  $f$  is bounded by a constant depending only on  $p$ . Next, we extend this result by considering any hyperbolic geodesic and any Jordan curve in  $\mathbb{D}$  sharing the same endpoints. These results extend the classical Gehring-Hayman inequality to meromorphic univalent functions and also prove a conjecture posed by Bhowmik and Maity [Bull. Sci. Math. **199** (2025), # 103583].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D}, \mathbb{H}$  and  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  stand for the complex plane, the open unit disk  $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| < 1\}$ , the upper half-plane  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$  and the Riemann sphere  $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ , respectively, throughout this paper. Also, let  $\mathbb{T}^- := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| = 1, \operatorname{Re} \zeta < 0\}$  denote the left half of the unit circle  $\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}$  and  $\mathbb{I}_1$  the diameter  $(-i, i)$  of the unit circle with endpoints  $i, -i$ . In other words,

$$\mathbb{T}^- = \{-e^{i\theta} : -\pi/2 < \theta < \pi/2\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{I}_1 = \{iv : -1 < v < 1\}.$$

We denote by  $\ell(\gamma)$  the Euclidean length of a curve  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Therefore, if  $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a piecewise  $C^1$  curve, then

$$\ell(\gamma) = \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt.$$

In this paper, a conformal map  $f$  of a domain  $D$  means a holomorphic and injective map of  $D$  into  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ . A theorem of Gehring and Hayman [4] can be stated in the following form. (Note that the original form deals with functions on the upper half-plane instead of the unit disk.)

**Theorem A** (Gehring-Hayman [4, Theorem 1]). *Let  $f$  be a conformal map of  $\mathbb{D}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  that remains continuous up to  $\mathbb{T}^-$ . Then the inequality*

$$\ell(f(\mathbb{I}_1)) \leq A_1 \ell(f(\mathbb{T}^-))$$

*holds, where  $A_1$  is an absolute constant with  $\pi \leq A_1 < 74$ .*

Later, Jaenisch [6] improved the range of  $A_1$  to  $4.56 \leq A_1 \leq 17.45$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C35, 30C20, 30C55.

*Key words and phrases.* Length distortion, Meromorphic functions, Univalent functions, Harmonic measure, Hyperbolic geodesic.

The second author of this article would like to thank the Prime Minister Research Fellowship of the Government of India (Grant ID: 2403440) for its financial support.

The second theorem of Gehring and Hayman [4] can also be stated as follows.

**Theorem B** [4, Theorem 2]. *Let  $f$  be a conformal mapping of  $\mathbb{D}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that  $J$  is a Jordan arc joining two points  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then*

$$\ell(f(S)) \leq A_1 \ell(f(J)),$$

where  $S$  is the hyperbolic geodesic joining  $\zeta_1$  to  $\zeta_2$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $A_1$  is the constant appearing in Theorem A.

We refer to the articles [2, 5] for various applications of Theorem B. In the paper [3] of the first and the second authors, we tried to extend Theorems A and B to meromorphic univalent mappings in  $\mathbb{D}$ . To formulate our results, we define quantities  $A_p$  for  $0 < p < 1$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{M}_p$  the class of those meromorphic univalent functions in  $\mathbb{D}$  with a simple pole at  $z = p \in (0, 1)$  that extend continuously to the left half  $\mathbb{T}^-$  of the unit circle. We consider the problem to look for a constant  $A_p$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad \ell(f(\mathbb{I}_1)) \leq A_p \ell(f(\mathbb{T}^-))$$

for all functions  $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{M}_p^0$  the subset of  $\mathcal{M}_p$  consisting of  $f$  with  $\ell(f(\mathbb{T}^-)) < +\infty$ . Then the above condition remains unchanged if we replace  $\mathcal{M}_p$  by  $\mathcal{M}_p^0$ . In what follows, let  $A_p$  be the best possible constant in (1.1). That is,

$$A_p = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{M}_p^0} \frac{\ell(f(\mathbb{I}_1))}{\ell(f(\mathbb{T}^-))}.$$

In [3, Theorem 1], it was shown indeed that  $A_p < +\infty$  for  $\sqrt{2} - 1 < p < 1$ . More precisely, the result is stated as follows.

**Theorem C** (Bhowmik and Maity [3, Theorem 1]). *Let  $p \in (\sqrt{2} - 1, 1)$ . Then*

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{(1+p)^2 \pi}{4p} \leq A_p \leq \min_{q \in (1, \infty)} R_p(q),$$

where

$$R_p(q) = \frac{(1+p^2) \log q}{2p} \cot^2 \left( \frac{1}{4} \left( \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{q-1}{q+1} \right) - \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{(1-p^2)(q-1)}{2p(q+1)} \right) \right) \right).$$

Note that  $(1-p^2)/2p \geq 1$  when  $0 < p \leq \sqrt{2} - 1$ . In [3, p. 13], it was conjectured that  $A_p$  is finite for all  $p \in (0, 1)$ . In view of the proof of Theorem C, we can even extend the class  $\mathcal{M}_p$  to the class  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_p$  of analytic univalent functions on the domain  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \bar{\Delta}_p$  which extends to  $\mathbb{T}^-$  continuously, where  $\bar{\Delta}_p = \{\zeta : |\zeta - \alpha^{-1}| \leq \sqrt{\alpha^{-2} - 1}\}$  and  $\alpha = 2p/(1+p^2)$ . Note that  $p \in \partial \bar{\Delta}_p$  (see the next section) and thus  $\mathcal{M}_p \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_p$ . We consider the quantity

$$\tilde{A}_p = \sup_{f \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_p^0} \frac{\ell(f(\mathbb{I}_1))}{\ell(f(\mathbb{T}^-))},$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_p^0$  denotes the subclass of  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_p$  consisting of functions  $f$  such that  $\ell(f(\mathbb{T}^-)) < +\infty$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_p^0 \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_p^0$ , we have  $A_p \leq \tilde{A}_p$  for  $0 < p < 1$ . In this article, we improve the above bound of  $A_p$  and prove the above conjecture. We indeed show the following.

**Theorem 1.** *The following inequalities hold for each  $p \in (0, 1)$  :*

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{(1+p)^2\pi}{4p} \leq A_p \leq \tilde{A}_p < \frac{1+p^2}{p} \left(1 + \sqrt{2} + \frac{20}{3p}\right)^2 \log 2.$$

Actually, we will give a better but much more complicated bound in Theorem 2 below. We mention here that the proof of Theorem 2 will be accomplished by employing a similar technique adopted by Gehring and Hayman in [4, Theorem 1].

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 is devoted to several lemmas, which will be used to prove our main results. In Section 3, Theorem 2 will be presented together with its proof. Then Theorem 1 will be derived from it. Finally, as an application of the proof of Theorem 2, we will extend Theorem B to the meromorphic case (see Theorem 3).

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We denote by  $\lambda_D(w)|dw|$  the hyperbolic metric of a domain  $D$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  with constant Gaussian curvature  $-4$ . The induced hyperbolic distance on  $D$  will be denoted by  $d_D(w_1, w_2)$ . We recall that the hyperbolic distance in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  is given by

$$d_{\mathbb{D}}(\zeta, \omega) = \operatorname{arth} \left| \frac{\zeta - \omega}{1 - \zeta\bar{\omega}} \right|, \quad \zeta, \omega \in \mathbb{D},$$

where  $\operatorname{arth} x = (1/2) \log((1+x)/(1-x))$  for  $0 \leq x < 1$ . Let  $f$  be a meromorphic univalent mapping of  $\mathbb{D}$  with a simple pole at  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Choose  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  so that  $p$  is the hyperbolic midpoint of  $0$  and  $\alpha$ . In other words,

$$(2.1) \quad p = \frac{\alpha}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}}{\alpha} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \alpha = \frac{2p}{1 + p^2}.$$

Let  $\gamma$  be the hyperbolic geodesic in  $\mathbb{D}$  which is symmetric about the real axis and passes through the point  $p$ . Since hyperbolic geodesics of  $\mathbb{D}$  are circular arcs in  $\mathbb{D}$  perpendicular to  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ , we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{D} : |\zeta - \alpha^{-1}| = \sqrt{\alpha^{-2} - 1}\}.$$

The two endpoints of  $\gamma$  on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  are given by  $\alpha \pm i\sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}$ . Let  $\Omega$  be the connected component of  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \gamma$  containing the origin. In other words,  $\Omega = \mathbb{D} \setminus \overline{\Delta}_p$ , where  $\overline{\Delta}_p = \{\zeta : |\zeta - \alpha^{-1}| \leq \sqrt{\alpha^{-2} - 1}\}$  as is given in Section 1.

The function

$$\phi_{\alpha}(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta(1 - \alpha\zeta)}{\zeta - \alpha}$$

maps  $\Omega$  conformally onto  $\mathbb{D}$  and satisfies  $\phi_{\alpha}(0) = 0, \phi'_{\alpha}(0) = -\alpha^{-1}$  (cf. [7, p. 85]). Then the Möbius transformation

$$(2.3) \quad g(\zeta) = i \frac{1 + i\zeta}{1 - i\zeta}$$

maps  $\mathbb{D}$  conformally onto the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H}$  with  $g(0) = i$  and

$$(2.4) \quad g(p) = -\alpha + i\sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}.$$

Note that  $g$  is involutive; that is,  $z = g(\zeta)$  if and only if  $\zeta = g(z)$ . In particular,  $g(\mathbb{H}) = \mathbb{D}$ . Also, we see

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathbb{I}_1) &= \{iy : y > 0\} =: \mathbb{I}^+, \\ g(\mathbb{T}^-) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\} =: \mathbb{R}^+. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the above curve  $\gamma$  is mapped by  $g$  onto the semi-circle

$$\sigma = \{z \in \mathbb{H} : |z + \alpha^{-1}| = \sqrt{\alpha^{-2} - 1}\}.$$

We note that  $\sigma$  is a hyperbolic geodesic in  $\mathbb{H}$  with endpoints at  $-p$  and  $-1/p$ . Let  $\Omega_1$  be the hyperbolic half-plane  $g(\Omega)$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ . That is,  $\Omega_1$  is the connected component of  $\mathbb{H} \setminus \sigma$  containing  $i$ .

We now recall the concept of harmonic measure. Let  $D$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $E$  be a Borel subset of the boundary  $\partial D$  of  $D$ . The harmonic measure of  $E$  on  $D$  is defined as the solution  $u$  of the generalized Dirichlet problem in  $D$  with boundary data 1 on  $E$  and 0 on  $\partial D \setminus E$  (see [1, Ch. 3]) and its value  $u(z)$  is denoted by  $\omega(z, E, D)$  for  $z \in D$ . Indeed, the set function  $E \rightarrow \omega(z, E, D)$  can be regarded as a Borel probability measure on  $\partial D$  for each  $z \in D$ . Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be two domains in  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $D_1 \subset D_2$  and a Borel set  $E$  is contained in  $\partial D_1 \cap \partial D_2$ , then  $\omega(z, E, D_1) \leq \omega(z, E, D_2)$  for  $z \in D_1$ . This property is known as Carleman's principle of domain extension for harmonic measure (see [9, p. 86]).

For a proper subdomain  $D$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ , we will denote by  $\delta_D(z)$  the Euclidean distance from a point  $z \in D$  to the boundary  $\partial D$ . We denote by  $B(a, r)$  the closed disk  $|z - a| \leq r$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  centered at  $a$  with radius  $r > 0$ .

The proof of our main results will be based on the following estimate provided by Gehring and Hayman [4, Lemma 2].

**Lemma A.** *Let  $D$  be a simply connected proper subdomain of  $\mathbb{C}$  and suppose that  $d > 0$  and  $w_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus D$  are given. For a Borel set  $\beta$  contained in  $\partial D \cap B(w_0, d)$  the inequality*

$$\delta_D(w) \leq d \cot^2(\pi \omega(w, \beta, D)/4)$$

*holds for every  $w \in D$ .*

Next, we wish to establish an all-important lemma that will serve as a key ingredient in proving Theorem 1.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\beta = [a, b]$  and  $\beta' = [ia, ib]$  for positive numbers  $a, b$  with  $a < b$ . Then*

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{\operatorname{arccot} M_p(b/a)}{\pi} \leq \omega(z, \beta, \Omega_1) \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in \beta',$$

*where  $\Omega_1$  is the hyperbolic half-plane defined above and*

$$(2.6) \quad M_p(q) = \frac{q+1}{q-1} + \frac{(1-p^2)^2(1+q^2)}{2p(q-1)(4p\sqrt{q} + (1+q)(1+p^2))}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\Omega_1 \subset \mathbb{H}$  and  $\beta \subset \partial\Omega_1 \cap \partial\mathbb{H}$ , Carleman's principle of domain extension for harmonic measure implies

$$\omega(z, \beta, \Omega_1) \leq \omega(z, \beta, \mathbb{H}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \arg \frac{b-z}{a-z}$$

for each  $z \in \Omega_1$ . Note that  $\omega(z, \beta, \mathbb{H})$  is  $1/\pi$  times the angle subtended by the segment  $\beta$  from the point  $z$ . In particular, the set  $\{z \in \mathbb{H} : \omega(z, \beta, \mathbb{H}) > 1/2\}$  is equal to the open upper half-disk with diameter  $\beta = [a, b]$ . Since  $\beta'$  is away from this half-disk, we have  $\omega(z, \beta, \mathbb{H}) \leq 1/2$  for  $z \in \beta'$ . We now observe that the function

$$\psi(z) = \left( \frac{z + p}{z + 1/p} \right)^2$$

maps  $\Omega_1$  conformally onto  $\mathbb{H}$ . Let  $\tilde{\beta} = \psi(\beta)$  and  $\tilde{\beta}' = \psi(\beta')$ . Then the conformal invariance of harmonic measures implies

$$\omega(z, \beta, \Omega_1) = \omega(\psi(z), \tilde{\beta}, \mathbb{H}), \quad z \in \Omega_1.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show the inequality  $\pi\omega(\zeta, \tilde{\beta}, \mathbb{H}) \geq \operatorname{arccot} M_p(b/a)$  on  $\tilde{\beta}'$  for the lower estimate in (2.5). To this end, we fix a point  $\zeta$  in  $\tilde{\beta}'$  and put  $\theta = \pi\omega(\zeta, \tilde{\beta}, \mathbb{H})$ . Then the required inequality turns to the form  $\cot \theta \leq M_p(b/a)$ . First, observe that the level curve

$$\Gamma_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{H} : \omega(z, \tilde{\beta}, \mathbb{H}) = \theta/\pi\}$$

is an open subarc of the circle  $\Gamma$  passing through the points  $A = \psi(a)$ ,  $B = \psi(b)$  and  $\zeta$ . Let  $C = M + ih$  be the center of  $\Gamma$  as shown in Figure 1. Note that  $h > 0$  because  $\theta$  is an acute angle. Now the inscribed angle theorem implies that the line




FIGURE 1. The level curve  $\Gamma_0$  and the triangle  $\Delta CAM$

segment  $\tilde{\beta} = [A, B]$  subtends the angle  $2\theta$  at the center  $C$ . Thus,

$$(2.7) \quad M = \frac{A+B}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tan \theta = \frac{B-A}{2h}.$$

We now compute the radius  $\rho$  of  $\Gamma$ . To this end, we put  $\delta = (B-A)/2$  (see Figure 1) and compute

$$h^2 = \rho^2 - \delta^2 = |\zeta - (M + ih)|^2 - \delta^2 = |\zeta - M|^2 - 2h \operatorname{Im}(\zeta - M) + h^2 - \delta^2.$$

By this relation, we find the value of  $h$  as follows:

$$h = \frac{|\zeta - M|^2 - \delta^2}{2 \operatorname{Im}(\zeta - M)} = \frac{|\zeta|^2 - 2M \operatorname{Re} \zeta + AB}{2 \operatorname{Im} \zeta}.$$

Since (2.7) implies  $\tan \theta = \delta/h$ , we now obtain

$$(2.8) \quad \cot \theta = \frac{|\zeta|^2 - 2M \operatorname{Re} \zeta + AB}{2\delta \operatorname{Im} \zeta}.$$

Here, a straightforward computation yields

$$2M = \psi(a) + \psi(b) = \frac{p^2 \Theta}{(1+ap)^2(1+bp)^2},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta &= (a^2 + b^2)(1 + p^4) + 2(a + b)(1 + ab)p(1 + p^2) + 2p^2(1 + 4ab + a^2b^2), \\ 2\delta &= \frac{(b - a)p^2(1 - p^2)((a + b)(1 + p^2) + 2p(1 + ab))}{(1 + ap)^2(1 + bp)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$AB = \frac{(a + p)^2(b + p)^2p^4}{(1 + ap)^2(1 + bp)^2}.$$

Since  $\zeta \in \tilde{\beta}' = \psi(\beta')$ , we can write  $\zeta = \psi(iy)$  for some  $y \in [a, b]$  so that

$$\zeta = \frac{p^2(p^2 + 4p^2y^2 + p^2y^4 - y^2 - p^4y^2) + 2p^3(1 - p^2)y(1 + y^2)i}{(1 + p^2y^2)^2}$$

and

$$|\zeta| = \frac{p^2(p^2 + y^2)}{1 + p^2y^2}.$$

We substitute them into (2.8), and after some simplification, we obtain

$$\frac{(b - a) \cot \theta}{a} = \frac{b}{y} + \frac{y}{a} + \frac{(1 - p^2)^2(a^2 + y^2)(b^2 + y^2)}{2ap(2p(1 + ab) + (a + b)(1 + p^2))y(1 + y^2)}.$$

For convenience, we introduce two additional variables

$$q = \frac{b}{a}, \quad T = \frac{y}{a} \in [1, q]$$

and compute

$$(q - 1) \cot \theta = \frac{(q + T^2)}{T} + \frac{(1 - p^2)^2(1 + T^2)(q^2 + T^2)}{2pQ},$$

where

$$Q = T(1/a + aT^2)(2p(1/a + aq) + (1 + q)(1 + p^2)).$$

Here we recall the elementary inequality  $x + y \geq 2\sqrt{xy}$  for  $x \geq 0$  and  $y \geq 0$ , which will be used repeatedly below. Then we get the lower estimate

$$Q \geq 2T^2(4p\sqrt{q} + (1 + q)(1 + p^2))$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (q-1) \cot \theta &\leq \frac{q+T^2}{T} + \frac{(1-p^2)^2(1+T^2)(q^2+T^2)}{4pT^2(4p\sqrt{q}+(1+q)(1+p^2))} \\ &= \frac{q}{T} + T + \frac{(1-p^2)^2(q^2/T^2+1+q^2+T^2)}{4p(4p\sqrt{q}+(1+q)(1+p^2))} \\ &\leq 1+q + \frac{(1-p^2)^2(1+q^2)}{2p(4p\sqrt{q}+(1+q)(1+p^2))} \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq T \leq q$ . In the last part of the above estimations, we used the fact that the function  $c/x + x$  is convex for a constant  $c > 0$  and thus takes its maximum at one of the endpoints on the closed interval  $[x_0, x_1]$ . Now the proof is complete.  $\square$

We end this section by giving an elementary lemma, which will be utilized in the proof of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $k$  be a constant with  $0 < k < 1$ . Then the function  $G(x) = \cot(k \operatorname{arccot} x)$  is strictly convex and increasing on  $0 < x < +\infty$ . Moreover,  $G(0^+) = \cot(k\pi/2)$ ,  $G'(0^+) = k/\sin^2(k\pi/2)$  and the following inequalities hold:*

$$\cot \frac{k\pi}{2} + \frac{kx}{\sin^2(k\pi/2)} < G(x) < \cot \frac{k\pi}{2} + \frac{x}{k}, \quad 0 < x < +\infty.$$

*Proof.* First we compute  $G(0^+) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} G(x) = \cot(k\pi/2)$  because  $\operatorname{arccot}(0^+) = \pi/2$ . Next we have

$$G'(x) = \frac{k}{(1+x^2)\sin^2(k \operatorname{arccot} x)} > 0,$$

which implies that  $G(x)$  is (strictly) increasing and gives the value of  $G'(0^+)$  in the assertion. Since  $\tan \theta$  is strictly convex on  $0 < \theta < \pi/2$  and satisfies  $\tan 0 = 0$ , the inequality  $\tan(k\theta) < k \tan \theta$ ; equivalently,  $\cot \theta < k \cot(k\theta)$ , holds for  $0 < \theta < \pi/2$ . Letting  $x = \cot \theta$ , we obtain  $x < k \cot(k \operatorname{arccot} x)$  for  $0 < x < +\infty$ . Hence, we observe that

$$G''(x) = \frac{2k(k \cot(k \operatorname{arccot} x) - x)}{(1+x^2)^2 \sin^2(k \operatorname{arccot} x)} > 0$$

for  $0 < x < +\infty$ . Thus we have checked strict convexity of  $G$ . We next find the asymptotic value by using the L'Hôpital's rule as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{G(x)}{x} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} G'(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{k}{(1+x^2)\sin^2(k \operatorname{arccot} x)} \\ &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{k}{(1+\cot^2 \theta)\sin^2(k\theta)} = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{k \sin^2 \theta}{\sin^2(k\theta)} = \frac{1}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

The strict convexity of  $G$  yields the inequalities

$$G(0^+) + G'(0^+)(x-0) < G(x) < G(0^+) + \frac{x(G(x_1) - G(0^+))}{x_1 - 0}$$

for  $0 < x < x_1 < +\infty$ . In particular, the left-hand inequality follows. We now let  $x_1 \rightarrow +\infty$  to obtain the right-hand inequality.  $\square$

## 3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

We now state and prove a technical result which leads to our main result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{(1+p)^2\pi}{4p} \leq A_p \leq \tilde{A}_p \leq \inf_{q \in (1, \infty)} N_p(q),$$

where

$$N_p(q) = \frac{(1+p^2)\log q}{2p} \cot^2 \left( \frac{1}{4} \cot^{-1} \left( \frac{q+1}{q-1} + \frac{(1-p^2)^2(1+q^2)}{2p(q-1)(4p\sqrt{q} + (1+q)(1+p^2))} \right) \right).$$

*Proof.* The proof of this theorem is similar to that of [3, Theorem 1]. But, for the sake of completeness, we will repeat all the necessary details. Let  $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_p$  for a given number  $0 < p < 1$ . In other words,  $f$  is a univalent analytic function on  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \bar{\Delta}_p$  which is continuous up to the (open) left half  $\mathbb{T}^-$  of the unit circle. Let  $g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be the map defined in (2.3) and let  $\alpha$  be the number defined in (2.1). Then  $h = f \circ g^{-1}$  is analytic and univalent on  $\Omega_1 = g(\Omega)$  and remains continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . In particular, the image  $D = h(\Omega_1) = f(\Omega)$  is a simply connected domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Choose  $q \in (1, \infty)$  arbitrarily and fix it. Let  $\beta_n = [q^n, q^{n+1}]$  and  $\beta'_n = [iq^n, iq^{n+1}]$  for each integer  $n$ . Let  $\ell_n$  and  $\ell'_n$  denote the lengths of the images of these segments under the mapping  $h$ . Then Lemma 1 yields the inequalities

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\xi}{\pi} \leq \omega(h^{-1}(w), \beta_n, \Omega_1) = \omega(w, h(\beta_n), D) \leq 1/2$$

at each point  $w \in h(\beta'_n)$ , where  $\xi = \cot^{-1}(M_p(q))$  and  $M_p(q)$  is given in (2.6). Let  $w_0$  be the point on  $h(\beta_n)$  that divides its arclength in half. Then  $h(\beta_n)$  lies in the disk  $|w - w_0| \leq \ell_n/2$ , and thus Lemma A together with (3.2) implies

$$(3.3) \quad \delta_D(w) \leq \frac{\ell_n}{2} \cot^2(\pi\omega(w, h(\beta_n), D)/4) \leq \frac{\ell_n}{2} \cot^2(\xi/4)$$

for  $w \in h(\beta'_n)$ . We now recall that  $\phi_\alpha$  maps  $\Omega$  conformally onto the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  and that  $g = g^{-1}$  maps  $\Omega$  onto  $\Omega_1$ . Thus  $\phi_\alpha \circ g : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is conformal. Since  $h : \Omega_1 \rightarrow D$  is conformal, too, we have the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_D(h(z))|h'(z)| &= \lambda_{\Omega_1}(z) = \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(\phi_\alpha(g(z)))|(\phi_\alpha \circ g)'(z)| \\ &= \frac{2|\phi'_\alpha(w)|}{|z+i|^2(1-|\phi_\alpha(w)|^2)}, \quad z \in \Omega_1, \end{aligned}$$

where we put  $w = g(z)$ . By the well-known estimate  $1/\lambda_D \leq 4\delta_D$  on  $D$ , we obtain

$$\delta_D(h(z)) \geq \frac{1}{4\lambda_D(h(z))} = \frac{|h'(z)|(1-|\phi_\alpha(w)|^2)|z+i|^2}{8|\phi'_\alpha(w)|}, \quad w = g(z) \in \Omega.$$

We now take  $z = iy \in \mathbb{I}^+$  and compute  $w = g(iy) = i(1-y)/(1+y) = iv$ , where  $v \in (-1, 1)$ . Hence, in conjunction with (3.3), we get

$$|h'(iy)| \leq \frac{\ell_n}{2} \cot^2(\xi/4) \frac{8|\phi'_\alpha(iv)|}{(1-|\phi_\alpha(iv)|^2)(y+1)^2}.$$



Noting  $dv/dy = -2/(y+1)^2$ , we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\ell'_n &= \int_{\beta'_n} |h'(z)| |dz| = \int_{q^n}^{q^{n+1}} |h'(iy)| dy \\ &\leq \frac{\ell_n}{2} \cot^2(\xi/4) \int_{q^n}^{q^{n+1}} \frac{8|\phi'_\alpha(iv)|}{(1-|\phi_\alpha(iv)|^2)(y+1)^2} dy \\ &= 2\ell_n \cot^2(\xi/4) \int_{v_{n+1}}^{v_n} \frac{|\phi'_\alpha(iv)|}{1-|\phi_\alpha(iv)|^2} dv,\end{aligned}$$

where we put  $v_n = (1-q^n)/(1+q^n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Using the expression of  $\phi_\alpha$ , we have the inequality

$$\frac{|\phi'_\alpha(iv)|}{1-|\phi_\alpha(iv)|^2} = \frac{\sqrt{(1-v^2)^2 + 4\alpha^2 v^2}}{\alpha(1-v^4)} \leq \frac{\sqrt{(1-v^2)^2 + 4v^2}}{\alpha(1-v^4)} = \frac{1}{\alpha(1-v^2)}$$

for  $v \in (-1, 1)$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Hence, using (2.1), we obtain

$$\ell'_n \leq 2\ell_n \cot^2(\xi/4) \int_{v_{n+1}}^{v_n} \frac{dv}{\alpha(1-v^2)} = \ell_n \cot^2(\xi/4) \frac{\log q}{\alpha} = N_p(q)\ell_n$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Summing up for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we finally get

$$\ell(f(\mathbb{I}_1)) = \ell(h(\mathbb{I}^+)) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \ell'_n \leq N_p(q) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \ell_n = N_p(q)\ell(h(\mathbb{R}^+)) = N_p(q)\ell(f(\mathbb{T}^-)).$$

The assertion of the theorem is now clear.  $\square$

We now deduce Theorem 1 from Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* By Theorem 2 with the choice  $q = 4$ , we obtain  $A_p \leq N_p(4)$  for  $0 < p < 1$ , where

$$N_p(4) = \frac{(1+p^2)\log 2}{p} \cot^2 \left( \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{arccot} \left( \frac{5}{3} + \frac{17(1-p^2)^2}{6p(8p+5(1+p^2))} \right) \right).$$

We now apply Lemma 2 with  $k = 1/4$  to get

$$N_p(4) < \frac{(1+p^2)\log 2}{p} \left( \cot \frac{\pi}{8} + 4 \left( \frac{5}{3} + \frac{17(1-p^2)^2}{6p(8p+5(1+p^2))} \right) \right)^2.$$

Consider the function

$$H(p) = 6p \left( \frac{5}{3} + \frac{17(1-p^2)^2}{6p(8p+5(1+p^2))} \right) = \frac{17p^4 + 50p^3 + 46p^2 + 50p + 17}{5p^2 + 8p + 5}.$$

Since

$$H(1) - H(p) = \frac{(1-p)(17p^3 + 67p^2 + 63p + 33)}{5p^2 + 8p + 5} > 0$$

for  $0 < p < 1$ , we obtain  $H(p) < H(1) = 10$ . Noting the formula  $\cot(\pi/8) = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ , we now get

$$N_p(4) < \frac{(1+p^2)\log 2}{p} \left( \cot \frac{\pi}{8} + \frac{2H(p)}{3p} \right)^2 < \frac{(1+p^2)\log 2}{p} \left( 1 + \sqrt{2} + \frac{20}{3p} \right)^2.$$

□

Table 1 presents a comparison between ranges of  $A_p$  by listing the upper bounds (UB) and lower bounds (LB) for selected values of  $p \in (0, 1)$  with the previously established results from [3, Table 1]. As evident from the following table, the newly obtained upper bounds for  $A_p$  show an improvement.

$p$	LB of $A_p$	UB of $A_p$ from Theorem C	UB of $A_p$ from Theorem 1	UB of $A_p$ from Theorem 2
0.999	3.141	73.421	114.486	73.251
0.99	3.141	74.995	116.025	73.259
0.9	3.150	95.491	134.471	74.212
0.8	3.180	135.733	164.134	77.634
0.7	3.242	221.807	210.271	84.837
0.6	3.351	471.016	287.415	98.455
0.5	3.534	1984.431	429.726	124.383
0.4	3.848	—	731.847	178.045
0.3	4.424	—	1528.574	310.577
0.2	5.654	—	4605.973	775.275
0.1	9.503	—	33408.930	4608.760

TABLE 1. Comparison between ranges of  $A_p$  for various values of  $p \in (0, 1)$

It is plausible that the quantity  $A_p$  is decreasing in  $0 < p < 1$  but it seems difficult to show it rigorously. Instead, we will show that  $\tilde{A}_p$  is monotone.

**Lemma 3.** *The quantity  $\tilde{A}_p$  is non-increasing in  $0 < p < 1$ . In particular, the quantity*

$$A_p^+ = \sup_{p \leq p' < 1} A_{p'}$$

*satisfies the inequalities*

$$A_p \leq A_p^+ \leq \tilde{A}_p$$

*for  $0 < p < 1$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to show the inclusion relation  $\Delta_{p_1} \supset \Delta_{p_2}$  for  $0 < p_1 < p_2 < 1$ . Here, we recall that  $\overline{\Delta}_p = \{\zeta : |\zeta - \alpha^{-1}| \leq \sqrt{\alpha^{-2} - 1}\}$  and  $\alpha = 2p/(1 + p^2)$  for  $0 < p < 1$ . To this end, we need to check the inequality  $\alpha_1^{-1} - \alpha_2^{-1} + \sqrt{\alpha_2^{-2} - 1} \leq \sqrt{\alpha_1^{-2} - 1}$  for  $\alpha_k = 2p_k/(1 + p_k^2)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ . Indeed, it follows from the fact that the function  $x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1}$  is decreasing in  $1 < x < +\infty$ . □

*Remarks.*

- (1) It is clear that  $A_1 \leq \tilde{A}_p$  for each  $0 < p < 1$ , where  $A_1$  is the best possible constant appearing in Theorem A. In particular, we have from Theorem 2

$$A_1 \leq \tilde{A}_{1-} \leq \lim_{p \rightarrow 1^-} N_p(q) = \log q \cot^2 \left( \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{arccot} \frac{q+1}{q-1} \right)$$

for each  $1 < q < +\infty$ . With the help of Mathematica, we choose  $q = 5.55465$  to obtain  $\tilde{A}_{1-} \leq 73.2502105$ . This agrees with the upper bound in Theorem A.

- (2) In Theorems 1 and 2, we consider the configuration  $\overline{\Delta}_p$  and  $\mathbb{I}_1$  only. However, we can translate  $\overline{\Delta}_p$  by a disk automorphism of the form  $T_a(z) = (z+ia)/(1-iaz)$  for  $-1 < a < 1$  while leaving  $\mathbb{I}_1$  invariant. Hence, we will have the same result by replacing  $\overline{\Delta}_p$  by  $T_a(\overline{\Delta}_p)$ , where

$$T_a(\overline{\Delta}_p) = \left\{ \zeta : \left| \zeta - \frac{1-a^2}{\alpha(1+a^2)} - \frac{2ai}{1+a^2} \right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{-2}-1}(1-a^2)}{1+a^2} \right\}.$$

Finally, we will generalize Theorem B. In order to enhance the difference, we rephrase Theorem B in terms of the hyperbolic geometry of a simply connected domain  $D$  as follows. Let  $\Gamma$  be the hyperbolic geodesic that joins two points  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  in a simply connected domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Then, for any Jordan arc  $J$  joining  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  in  $D$ , the inequality

$$(3.4) \quad \ell(\Gamma) \leq A_1 \ell(J)$$

holds, where  $A_1$  is the constant in Theorem A. We will generalize this to the case when  $D$  is a simply connected hyperbolic subdomain of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  containing  $\infty$ . In this case, the bound should depend on a distance from  $\infty$  to the geodesic  $\Gamma$ . Our result can be stated in the form similar to that of Theorem B. We denote by  $\operatorname{hull}(C)$  for a closed curve  $C$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  the compact set  $K = \mathbb{C} \setminus U_\infty$ , where  $U_\infty$  is the (unique) unbounded connected component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus C$ , and denote by  $\operatorname{hull}^\circ(C)$  the interior of the set  $\operatorname{hull}(C)$ . Here, we also note that the Euclidean length  $\ell(J)$  of a Jordan arc  $J$  is the same as the (suitably normalized) 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure  $\mathcal{H}^1(J)$  (see [8, p. 56]).

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $J$  be a (closed) Jordan arc in  $\mathbb{D}$  with endpoints  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  and let  $\gamma$  be the (closed) hyperbolic line segment joining  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\tilde{\gamma}$  be the set  $C \setminus C_0$ , where  $C$  is the Euclidean circle (or Euclidean line attached with  $\infty$ ) containing  $\gamma$  and  $C_0$  is the connected component of  $C \setminus J$  containing a point outside  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $f$  be a meromorphic univalent function on  $\mathbb{D}$  with a pole at  $s \in \mathbb{D}$ . Suppose that  $s \notin \operatorname{hull}(\tilde{\gamma} \cup J)$ , then*

$$\ell(f(\gamma)) \leq \begin{cases} A_1 \ell(f(J)) & \text{if } s \notin \operatorname{hull}^\circ(J \cup \hat{J}) \\ A_\tau^+ \ell(f(J)) & \text{if } s \in \operatorname{hull}^\circ(J \cup \hat{J}), \end{cases}$$

where  $\hat{J}$  denotes the reflection of  $J$  with respect to the circle  $C$  and

$$\tau = \tanh d_{\mathbb{D}}(s, \tilde{\gamma}).$$

Here,  $A_1$  is the same as defined in Theorem A and  $A_p^+$  is defined in Lemma 3 for  $0 < p < 1$ . If  $A_p$  is non-increasing, we would have  $A_p^+ = A_p$ .

*Proof.* By our assumption,  $\tau > 0$ . Translating by a disk automorphism if necessary, we can assume that  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  lie on  $\mathbb{I}_1 = (-i, i)$ . Then  $\hat{J}$  is the reflection of  $J$  in the imaginary axis. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the set of all connected components  $V$  of  $J \setminus \tilde{\gamma}$ . Then each  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  is an open subarc of  $J$  with endpoints in  $\tilde{\gamma}$ . We denote by  $I_V$  the open line segment sharing two endpoints with  $V$ . Let  $I = \cup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} I_V$ . Then  $I$  is an open subset of  $\tilde{\gamma}$ . We set  $\gamma_0 = \tilde{\gamma} \cap J$  and show that

$$\gamma \subset \gamma_0 \cup I \quad \text{and} \quad J = \gamma_0 \cup \left( \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V \right).$$

Since  $\cup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V = J \setminus \tilde{\gamma}$ , the latter equality is clear. We will verify the inclusion relation  $\gamma \subset \gamma_0 \cup I$ . Choose an arbitrary point  $\zeta_0 \in \gamma \setminus I$  and we will show the claim  $\zeta_0 \in J$ . We denote by  $\tilde{\zeta}_m$  ( $m = 1, 2$ ) the endpoints of  $\tilde{\gamma}$  so that  $\tilde{\zeta}_1, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \tilde{\zeta}_2$  lie in  $\mathbb{I}_1$  in this order. Note that  $\tilde{\zeta}_m$  may be equal to  $\zeta_m$ . Let  $\tilde{\gamma}_m = [\tilde{\zeta}_m, \zeta_0]$  for  $m = 1, 2$ . For convenience, we parametrize  $J$  by a homeomorphism  $j : [0, 1] \rightarrow J$  such that  $j(0) = \zeta_1$  and  $j(1) = \zeta_2$ . Let  $t_1 = \sup\{t \in [0, 1] : j(t) \in \tilde{\gamma}_1\}$ , that is,  $t_1$  is the “final exit time” of  $j$  from  $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ . In particular,  $j(t) \neq \zeta_0$  for  $t > t_1$ . It suffices to show that  $\zeta_0 = j(t_1) \in J$ . To the contrary, we suppose that  $j(t_1) \neq \zeta_0$ . We next let  $t_2 = \inf\{t \in (t_1, 1] : j(t) \in \tilde{\gamma}_2\}$ . By continuity of  $j$ , we see that  $\zeta'_m := j(t_m) \in \tilde{\gamma}_m$  and  $j(t_m) \neq \zeta_0$  for  $m = 1, 2$ . In particular,  $t_1 < t_2$ . Since  $j((t_1, t_2))$  does not meet  $\tilde{\gamma}$  by construction,  $V = j((t_1, t_2)) \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $I_V = (\zeta'_1, \zeta'_2)$  contains the point  $\zeta_0$ , which contradicts the assumption  $\zeta_0 \in \gamma \setminus I$ . Hence, we conclude that  $\zeta_0 = j(t_1) \in J$ . Thus, the claim has been verified and  $\zeta_0 \in \gamma \cap J \subset \gamma_0$  follows.

We now fix a Jordan arc  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ . We denote by  $D_V$  the Jordan domain bounded by  $J_V = \overline{V} \cup I_V$  and denote by  $\tilde{D}_V$  the union of  $D_V$ ,  $I_V$  and  $\hat{D}_V$  which stands for the reflection of  $D_V$  in  $\mathbb{I}_1$ . Let  $g_V : \mathbb{D}_- \rightarrow D_V$  be a conformal homeomorphism whose continuous extension to  $\partial\mathbb{D}_-$  maps  $\mathbb{I}_1$  onto  $I_V$ . Here, we recall that  $\mathbb{D}_-$  is the left-half of the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ . Schwarz’s reflection principle now allows us to extend  $g_V$  to a conformal homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $\tilde{D}_V$ , which will be denoted by the same symbol. We next consider the following two cases.

**Case I:**  $s \notin \tilde{D}_V$ . In this case,  $f \circ g_V : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is analytic and univalent. Thus, by Theorem A, we obtain

$$\ell(f(I_V)) = \ell((f \circ g_V)(\mathbb{I}_1)) \leq A_1 \ell((f \circ g_V)(\mathbb{T}^-)) = A_1 \ell(f(V)).$$

**Case II:**  $s \in \tilde{D}_V$ . In this case, since  $s \notin D_V \cup I_V$  by assumption, we may further normalize the conformal map  $g_V : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \tilde{D}_V$  so that  $p = g_V^{-1}(s) \in (0, 1)$ . Since  $\tilde{D}_V \subset \mathbb{D}$ , the domain monotonicity of hyperbolic metric yields

$$\text{arth } p = d_{\mathbb{D}}(p, 0) = d_{\tilde{D}_V}(s, g_V(0)) \geq d_{\tilde{D}_V}(s, I_V) \geq d_{\mathbb{D}}(s, I_V) \geq d_{\mathbb{D}}(s, \tilde{\gamma}) = \text{arth } \tau,$$

and therefore,  $p \geq \tau$ . By the definition of  $A_p$ , we have

$$\ell(f(I_V)) = \ell((f \circ g_V)(\mathbb{I}_1)) \leq A_p \ell((f \circ g_V)(\mathbb{T}^-)) = A_p \ell(f(V)) \leq A_p^+ \ell(f(V)).$$

Finally, we show the inequality in the assertion. When  $s \in \text{hull}^\circ(J \cup \hat{J})$ , we have  $\ell(f(I_V)) \leq A_\tau^+ \ell(f(V))$  for every  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  because  $A_1 \leq A_\tau^+$ . Since  $\gamma \subset \gamma_0 \cup I$  and  $J$  is the disjoint union of  $\gamma_0$  and  $V$  over  $\mathcal{V}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(f(\gamma)) &= \mathcal{H}^1(f(\gamma)) \leq \mathcal{H}^1(f(\gamma_0)) + \mathcal{H}^1(f(I)) \\ &\leq \mathcal{H}^1(f(\gamma_0)) + \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{H}^1(f(I_V)) \\ &\leq A_\tau^+ \mathcal{H}^1(f(\gamma_0)) + A_\tau^+ \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{H}^1(f(V)) \\ &= A_\tau^+ \mathcal{H}^1(f(J)) = A_\tau^+ \ell(f(J)). \end{aligned}$$

When  $s \notin \text{hull}^\circ(J \cup \hat{J})$ , only Case I occurs. Therefore, we can show the corresponding inequality in a similar way.  $\square$

**Statements and Declarations:** Nil.

**Competing interests:** We have nothing to declare.

**Conflict of interest:** Nil.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. V. AHLFORS, *Conformal invariants: topics in geometric function theory*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1973).
- [2] Z. BALOGH AND M. BONK, *Lengths of radii under conformal maps of the unit disc*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127**, 801–804 (1999).
- [3] B. BHOWMIK AND D. MAITY, *Length distortion of curves under meromorphic univalent mappings*, Bull. Sci. Math. **199**(103583) (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bulsci.2025.103583>.
- [4] F. W. GEHRING AND W. K. HAYMAN, *An inequality in the theory of conformal mapping*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **41**, 353–361 (1962).
- [5] P. HÄSTÖ AND S. PONNUSAMY, *A proof of Hall’s conjecture on length of ray images under starlike mappings of order  $\alpha$* , Ann. Fenn. Math. **47**, 335–349 (2022).
- [6] S. JAENISCH, *Length distortion of curves under conformal mappings*, Mich. Math. J. **15**, 121–128 (1968).
- [7] W. MA AND D. MINDA, *Hyperbolically convex functions*, Ann. Pol. Math. **60**(1), 81–100 (1994).
- [8] P. MATTILA, *Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces: fractals and rectifiability*, Cambridge University Press, New York (1995).
- [9] CH. POMMERENKE, *Boundary behavior of conformal maps*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1992).

BAPPADITYA BHOWMIK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KHARAGPUR, KHARAGPUR - 721302, INDIA.

*Email address:* bappaditya@maths.iitkgp.ac.in

DEBLINA MAITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KHARAGPUR, KHARAGPUR - 721302, INDIA.

*Email address:* deblinamaity1997@gmail.com

TOSHIYUKI SUGAWA, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCIENCES, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, AOBA-KU, SENDAI - 9808579, JAPAN.

*Email address:* sugawa@tohoku.ac.jp