

Binary asteroids in mean-motion resonances

Nataša Todorović¹ and Ivana Milić Žitnik¹

¹Astronomical Observatory, Volgina 7, 11060 Belgrade 38,
Serbia

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to investigate the relation between binary asteroids and mean motion resonances (MMRs). For more than 700 asteroids from two catalogues, the *Johnston Archive* [Johnston, 2024] and the *Gaia DR3 Vizier* list of binary candidates from Liberato et al. [2024], we applied a resonance identification algorithm, treating all planetary perturbations. Our results showed that the presence of binary asteroids in MMRs largely depends on their dynamical class. The highest percentage, more than 30%, is found in the Trans-Neptunian region where most of these objects have exhibited resonant librations longer than 10 Myr. For the main-belt asteroid pairs, this percentage is about 10-12%. Contrary to expectations, the more unstable region populated with NEOs, showed a higher percentage of resonant pairs (above 17%), but with temporal resonant captures. These results could indicate that the mean motion resonances, particularly the stronger ones, could play a role in the evolution and formation of binary systems. Finally, we highlight that in the present paper, 82 resonant binary asteroids are newly identified.

Keywords: Minor planets, asteroids: general – Planets and satellites: dynamical evolution and stability – Methods: data analysis – Methods: numerical

⁰© 2025 The Author(s). Published in the Serbian Astronomical Journal, by Astronomical Observatory of Belgrade and Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade. This open access article is distributed under CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 International licence.

1 Introduction

The concept of a binary asteroid was first introduced in 1901 by the French astronomer Charles André [André, 1901, Radau, 1901]. According to a NASA/ADS search, the first mention of a binary system appeared in Cook [1971], where the author proposed that the unusual light curve of the Trojan asteroid (624) Hector indicated the presence of a satellite, rather than an elongated, cigar-shaped body¹ [Dunlap and Gehrels, 1969]. Over the following years, additional asteroids with similar photometric characteristics were identified (see, e.g. Binzel and van Flandern 1979, Binzel 1985, Tedesco 1979). However, the existence of binary asteroids remained speculative (Binzel 1978, van Flandern et al. 1979, Weidenschilling et al. 1989) until 1993, when the Galileo spacecraft directly imaged the (243) Ida-Dactyl asteroid pair [Mason, 1994, Belton et al., 1996].

The classical method for the identification of binary and multiple systems² is by tracking signatures in their light curves, and it applies to all dynamical classes (although not to widely separated pairs). Faint and distant main belt and trans-Neptunian binaries require more advanced observational methods and are also found by direct imaging or stellar occultations. Near-Earth binaries are often identified on radar images, whereas a small number of systems have been imaged directly from spacecraft. Additional detection methods rely on spectral analysis, astrometric observation residuals, similarities in heliocentric orbits, or analysis of rotational properties [Noll et al., 2023, Margot et al., 2015, de la Fuente Marcos and de la Fuente Marcos, 2018].

Binary systems exhibit significant diversity, to the extent that nearly every pair could be studied separately. However, certain characteristics, particularly those related to formation, are often shared within the same dynamical classes. Binary Trans-Neptunian Objects (TNOs) are likely to have a primordial origin. Numerous studies [Weidenschilling, 2002, Goldreich et al., 2002, Nesvorný et al., 2010, Fraser et al., 2017, Robinson et al., 2020, Nesvorný et al., 2021] investigated models in which the gravitational collapse of pebble clouds transferred the angular momentum to the formation of binary planetesimals. Being less exposed to major planetary perturbations which does not exclude mutual gravitational interactions [Brunini, 2020, López and

¹Further studies showed that both assumptions were correct.

²In the following text, for simplicity, we will use only the term *binaries*.

Brunini, 2021, Lawler and Pike, 2024], these systems could have survived to the present day, accounting for the high fraction of observed binary TNOs [Noll et al., 2008, Lawler and Pike, 2024].

In regions closer to the Sun, different scenarios unfold. Main-belt binaries are more likely to be formed in post-collision processes, either by reaccumulation of ejected material or mutual gravitational capture of their larger fragments [Michel et al., 2001, Durda et al., 2004, Durda, 1996, Doressoundiram et al., 1997, Giblin et al., 1998]. Small Near-Earth asteroids (NEAs) affected by the YORP (Yarkovsky-O’Keefe-Radzievskii-Paddack) thermal force [Rubincam, 2000, Vokrouhlický and Čapek, 2002, Bottke et al., 2006] are largely studied in the context of binary formation (see, e.g. Čuk 2007, Pravec and Harris 2007, Scheeres 2007, Walsh and Richardson 2008, Walsh et al. 2008, Jacobson and Scheeres 2011, Wimarsson et al. 2024). Under the action of YORP, the primary asteroid spins up to a rate high enough to shed mass, which then coalesces into a secondary body, forming a binary system³. Reported formation times vary widely, from 10^5 years to only a few hours [Wimarsson et al., 2024]. Asteroid pairs can form even ‘instantaneously’, in scenarios where a larger piece falls off from a rubble-pile asteroid due to rotational fission [Scheeres, 2009, Jacobson and Scheeres, 2011].

Alternative formation mechanisms include tidal forces or migrations from the main asteroid belt [Walsh and Richardson, 2006, 2008], but it is unlikely that primordial pairs exist in the dynamically unstable NEA region. However, double craters on terrestrial planets and the Moon [Melosh and Stansberry, 1991, Cook et al., 2003, Miljković et al., 2013, Vavilov et al., 2022] indicate their larger presence in the past.

According to our knowledge, no systematic search of binary asteroids in mean motion resonances (MMRs) has been done so far. Previous works treated only individual cases; for example, Rosaev [2024] investigated resonant perturbation of the pair (5026) Martes and 2005 WW113 caused by the 3E-11 MMR with Earth. Borisov et al. [2024] captured a binary candidate 12499 exactly on the chaotic border of the 4M-7 MMR with Mars. Also, Pravec et al. [2019] found irregular jumps of the pair (10123) Fideöja - 117306, over the 7J-2 MMR, and possible instability of the pair 49791 - 436459 due to the 8M-15 MMR. Pravec et al. [2019] also discussed pairs in secular and spin-orbit resonances, but they are not the subject of this research. Duddy et al. [2012] identified the unknown resonance interacting with

³This scenario refers to rubble pile asteroids.

the pair 7343-154634 [Pravec and Vokrouhlický, 2009], to be the 1M+1J-2 three-body MMR between the asteroid, Jupiter and Mars.

The search for binaries among the resonant Trojan (1J-1) and Hilda (3J-2) populations in the NEOWISE archive was performed by Sonnett et al. [2015]. The authors found a surprisingly high binary fraction, of 14%–23% among the Trojan asteroids larger than ~ 12 km and even 30%–51% among Hildas larger than ~ 4 km. However, these results are not entirely reliable, as only the binary *candidates*, i.e., asteroids with large light curve amplitudes, were considered.

In the Kuiper belt, Compère et al. [2013] investigated the scarcity of binaries in the Plutino population i.e. in the 2N-3 resonance with Neptune, although newer studies report a high fraction of contact and tight Plutino binaries [Thirouin and Sheppard, 2018, Brunini, 2023]; also Thirouin and Sheppard [2024] found several contact binaries in the 3N-5 and 4N-7 MMRs.

Resonances, particularly the stronger ones, may have played a role in the evolution and possibly the formation of binary systems. Dynamical description of these processes is a challenging task for future work. Here, we aim to make the first step: find all known asteroid pairs captured by mean motion resonances and see whether their presence is larger in MMRs.

2 Methodology

We use two catalogues of binary asteroids: the Johnston Archive⁴ [Johnston, 2024], with 477 objects (in October 2024) spanning from the Near Earth to the trans-Neptunian region, and a recently published list from Gaia DR3 dataset [Liberato et al., 2024] containing 357 astrometric binary candidates, mostly from the main belt. All asteroids were integrated with the astronomical package **resonances** [Smirnov, 2023] for 100 Kyr, which is the default time of the software. A certain number of trans-Neptunian objects was additionally integrated with Orbit9⁵ for 10 Myr. Perturbations from all planets were included in all calculations.

Following the algorithm suggested by Smirnov et al. [2018], we calculated all potential two and three-body mean-motion resonances for each asteroid, using the proximity between the asteroid’s and resonant semi-major axes.

⁴<https://www.johnstonsarchive.net/astro/asteroidmoons.html>

⁵<http://adams.dm.unipi.it/orbfit/>

For every asteroid-MMR pair, we performed an identification procedure to determine whether the asteroid was trapped in the given resonance. The identification procedure requires two main conditions to be met:

- i)* The resonant argument σ must librate during the integration. For two-body resonances σ is defined with $\sigma = m_p \lambda_p + m \lambda + n_p \varpi_p + n \varpi$, where λ_p and λ are mean longitudes of the planet and the asteroid; ϖ_p and ϖ are the longitudes of their pericenters, and the integers m_p , m , n_p , and n satisfy the d'Alembert criterion. For three-body resonances, appearing with commensurability between an asteroid and two planets, σ is defined with $\sigma = m_{p1} \lambda_{p1} + m_{p2} \lambda_{p2} + m \lambda + n_{p1} \varpi_{p1} + n_{p2} \varpi_{p2} + n \varpi$. The variables are the same as for two-body resonances, with the difference that indices p_1 and p_2 refer to planets 1 and 2 involved in the resonance. We considered only the planar case and the primary subresonance in the multiplet, which implies $n_p = n_{p1} = n_{p2} = 0$.
- ii)* The oscillation frequencies of the resonant argument and semi-major axis should match and the corresponding amplitudes should be sufficiently large to be meaningful [Gallardo, 2006].

The identification procedure performed by the **resonances** package is specified in several papers: the resonant axis calculation and classifications of librations for three-body MMRS with Jupiter and Saturn, and two-body MMRS with Jupiter in Smirnov and Shevchenko [2013], the expansion to all possible combinations of planets in Smirnov et al. [2018], and the Python package used in the paper for the identification in Smirnov [2023].

After completing the integration, the software automatically detects the resonant and potentially resonant asteroids and generates corresponding images for each asteroid (see Fig. 1). The images are composed of six panels showing the time evolution of resonant angle σ (row 1), its filtered value σ_f (row 2) where all short periodic oscillations with periods less than 500 years are removed, semi-major axis a [AU] (row 3), and eccentricity (row 6). The software also presents two periodograms that illustrate the distribution of all periodic variations in a and σ (rows 4 and 5), where the red and green dashed horizontal lines represent the weak and strong thresholds for periods to be significant. For a more detailed explanation of periodograms and filtering procedures, see Smirnov and Dvornikov [2018] and the documentation of the **resonances**⁶ software. The images produced by the software were

⁶Available at: <https://smirik.github.io/resonances/libration/>




Figure 1: The left plot illustrates the case of pure *libration* of the asteroid 119067 in the 4N-7 MMR with Neptune. The first two rows show that the resonant angle σ and its filtered value σ_f librate during the whole interval of 100 Kyr, while a oscillates with the same frequency (row 3). The two periodograms (rows 4 and 5) indicate that the dominant period for both σ and a aligns at approximately 16,000 years. The last row displays the change in eccentricity with approximately the same period. The right panel shows the so-called *transition* status for the asteroid 20037 in the 8M-11 MMR with Mars. The asteroid is trapped in the resonance in several episodes between $t = \{[14, 24], [28, 42], [48, 60], [63, 98]\}$ Kyr when σ and σ_f (rows 1 and 2) librate, and a oscillates with somewhat larger amplitudes (row 3). The two periodograms (rows 4 and 5) show a dense period distribution for times less than 5000 years, with the most dominant peaks at 2 kyr, 2.5 Kyr, and 3 Kyr. The eccentricity of the asteroid (row 6) illustrates a periodic change, but also a slight average increase in the first 50 Kyr.

used by the authors for visual inspection for additional confirmation that the asteroids are in MMRs. Positive cases are classified into two categories:

- a) *Libration*: the asteroid librates in the resonance throughout the *entire* integration period.
- b) *Transition*: the asteroid is temporarily captured in the resonance and librates in *episodes*.

Libration of the asteroid 119067 in the 4N-7 MMR with Neptune is illustrated in the left panel of Fig. 1. The top two rows show that both σ and σ_f librate during all 100 Kyr, while the semi-major axis oscillates around $a \sim 43.7$ [AU] with approximately the same frequency (row 3). Periodograms for a and σ (rows 4 and 5) give an additional confirmation of resonant status, since they have only one dominant peak at about 16 Kyr. Row 6 gives the asteroid’s eccentricity time change, with approximately the same period. Pure librations are marked by the software with 2, as noted at the top of the panel.

An illustration of the *transition* status of the asteroid 20037 in the 8M-11 MMR is given in the right panel of Fig. 1. The first three rows σ , σ_f and a indicate that the asteroid entered and left the resonance several times. Librations in σ are observed between [14,24] Kyr, [28,42] Kyr, [48,60] Kyr and [63,98] Kyr, during which a oscillates with larger amplitudes. The distribution of oscillation periods in rows 4 and 5 shows a higher concentration for $t < 5$ Kyr, with three matching peaks at $t \sim [2, 2.5, 3]$ Kyr. Eccentricity displays a periodic change, but also a slight increasing trend. The software marked the transient resonant status with 1, which is displayed at the top of the panel.

This study is mainly intended to detect asteroids that are already affected by the resonance, meaning that 100,000 years (the default time of the software) can cover the necessary interval for identifying resonant behaviours. For the TNO population, we have repeated the integration of purely resonant objects for 10 Myr, using Orbit9 and the same parameters as used by the `resonances` package.

3 Results and discussssion

Table 1 provides a summary of the results, presenting the binary asteroids from both the Johnston Archive (hereafter JA) and the Gaia catalogue,

Table 1: This table summarises the results on the resonant binaries search from the Johnston Archive (JA) and the Gaia DR3 dataset, obtained with the **resonances** package over the 100Kyr integrations. Dynamical types and the number of objects in them are in column 2 and column 3, respectively. The number of resonant objects in each class is given in column 4, while column 5 gives the number of objects in libration (L) and transient (T) regimes. Column 6 gives the percentage of resonant objects in each of these groups.

Source	Dynamical type	No. of objects	No. of objects in MMRs	Res. status		Result in [%]
JA	NEA	82	14	L	1	17.1
				T	13	
JA	Mars-cros.	34	6	L	2	17.6
				T	4	
JA	Main belt	268	29	L	3	10.8
				T	26	
JA	Trojans	8	8	L	8	100
				T	0	
JA	TNOs	85	26	L	25	30.5
				T	1	
JA	all	477	83	L	39	17.4
				T	44	
Gaia	NEA	2	0	L	-	-
				T	-	
Gaia	Mars-cros.	8	0	L	-	-
				T	-	
Gaia	Main belt	341	42	L	12	12.3
				T	30	
Gaia	Trojans	6	6	L	6	100
				T	0	
Gaia	all	357	48	L	18	13.4
				T	30	

categorised by their dynamical classes. It shows the presence of resonant objects within each group, as well as within the overall JA and Gaia binary sets. Detailed lists of resonant binaries, their associated resonances, resonant locations and assigned statuses are given in Table 2 for JA, and Table 3 for the Gaia set.

Trojan binaries are in the 1J-1 MMR by definition. All 8 Jupiter Trojans from JA (Table 2) and 6 from Gaia (Table 3) have pure librations (L). Besides them, the largest fraction of binaries in MMRs (30.5%) is found among TNOs. This result aligns with earlier studies, which suggest that the primordial origin of TNO binaries may be preserved in resonances, shielding these systems from dynamical disruption throughout the Solar System’s history. The distribution of the binary TNOs in the a-e plane between 35 and 56 AU is shown in Fig. 2 (for comparison, see Fig. 1 in Lawler and Pike 2024). Resonant binaries (red triangles) appear to be prevalent in the densest parts of the TNO region, particularly between 44 and 45 AU. The 2N-3 MMR, for which Forgács-Dajka et al. [2023] found to hold a significant amount of TNOs, also dominates in a number of binary resonant objects (in Table 2, 8 TNOs are in the 2N-3 MMR, all have pure librations). Let us note that this resonance also captures a significant number of contact binaries [Thirouin and Sheppard, 2018, Brunini, 2023], which are not contained in JA.

Almost all TNOs (except one - 65489 Ceto) listed in Table 2 convincingly reside in their resonances exhibiting pure librations during 100 Kyr, suggesting a long-term stay. We repeated the calculation of these asteroids for 10 Myr using Orbit9, and found that 19 out of 25 TNOs remained in pure libration in the long runs. The six TNOs in Table 2 designated with ‘LT’ (column 6) are those objects that have shown pure librations for 100Kyr, but passed into transient statuses (T) on the repeated 10 Myr integrations. Each of these 6 asteroids has actually left and re-entered the resonance multiple times over a period of 10 million years. A more detailed analysis of this phenomenon and an assessment of stability are beyond the scope of this paper and may be examined in a future study.

The main belt pairs in JA and in the Gaia set are resonant objects in 10.8% and 12.3% cases, respectively, and most of them in transition statuses. Even three asteroids in JA (88710, 1803 Zwicky and 78085, see Table 2) and three in the Gaia set (43341, 6364 Casarini and 68304, see Table 3) resided in more than one resonance during 100 Kyr. Transitions from one resonance to another most likely arise from resonance overlap in this region densely populated with MMRs. In Tables 2 and 3, we provide the locations of resonances (their centers), but not their widths, which are essential for identifying resonant chains that enable such transitions. More studies, which are beyond the scope of this paper, are required to explore these possibilities.

Out of 34 Mars-crossers in JA, 6 (17.6%) were found in resonances, 1 Mars-Trojan, 5261 Eureka, and 1 resident of the 2J-1 MMR, the asteroid

8373 Stephengould, exhibit pure librations, while the remaining 4 asteroids display a transient nature. The percentage of 17.6% is above the main-belt average, but the small sample may render this conclusion uncertain.

In the NEA region, almost all resonant pairs (except 452561 in the 2J-1 MMR) have transient statuses, showing a high mobility through resonances. It could be expected that these dynamical transitions contribute to separation of asteroid pairs, since they are more exposed to planetary perturbations and chaos, in general. Surprisingly, the unstable NEA region showed a higher proportion of resonant pairs (17.1%) compared to those in the main belt (10.8% and 12.3%). This, certainly, is a topic that needs to be investigated. In the Gaia catalogue, none of the 2 NEAs or 8 Mars-crossing binaries are found to be in a resonance.

The total percentage of resonant objects in the Johnston Archive is 17.4%, undoubtedly influenced by the high percentage of resonant TNOs. The mean value for the Gaia set is 13.4%. We recall the result from Smirnov et al. [2018], who used a similar algorithm for resonance identification on all asteroids from the AstDyS database known at that time. They found that 14.1% (65,972 out of 467,303 objects) of asteroids were resonant. However, their results were not categorised by dynamical classes, which complicates the direct comparison with the results presented in Table 1. If we compare the overall rates of 17.4% from JA and 14.1% from Smirnov et al. [2018], since both cover the regions from NEAs to TNOs, we infer that binary asteroids have a greater tendency to reside in MMRs. This could mean that resonances not only protect asteroid pairs but, perhaps, they also *produce* them. Long stays in stable resonances open up the possibility for mutual encounters, gravitational captures and formation of new pairs. This could explain the high fraction of binary asteroids among Trojans (14%–23% larger than 12 km) and Hildas (30%–51% larger than 4 km) estimated in Sonnett et al. [2015].

Table 2: Binary asteroids from the Johnston Archive identified in various mean motion resonances (listed in column 4). Their dynamical classes, resonant statuses (L for libration or T for transition), and semi-major axis of resonant locations [Gallardo, 2006], are provided in columns 7, 6 and 5, respectively. Column 3 cites the previous studies where these asteroids have been classified as resonant. Out of the 26 TNOs, 6 of them, marked with 'LT', entered into transient statuses in the repeated 10 Myr integrations. Newly identified resonant binary asteroids (44 in total) are indicated with a double asterisk (**).

No. and name	Prov. name	Reference	MMR	a_{MMR}	status	class
3122 Florence	(1981 ET3)	**	5J-1	1.778	T	NEA
35107	(1991 VH)	**	4E+2J-5	1.128	T	NEA
5646	(1990 TR)	**	3M-5	2.141	T	NEA
7888	(1993 UC)	**	3J-1	2.500	T	NEA
7889	(1994 LX)	**	12E-17	1.261	T	NEA
85804	(1998 WQ5)	**	5M-6	1.720	T	NEA
88710	(2001 SL9)	**	5V-9	1.070	T	NEA
88710	(2001 SL9)	**	9V-16	1.061	T	NEA
152931	(2000 EA107)	**	7E-6J-6	0.948	T	NEA
163693 Atira	(2003 CP20)	**	4V-15	1.745	T	NEA
164121	(2003 YT1)	**	5M-3S-3	1.112	T	NEA
452561	(2005 AB)	**	2J-1	3.276	L	NEA
458732	(2011 MD5)	**	3J-1	2.500	T	NEA
613286	(2005 YQ96)	**	3E-2	1.310	T	NEA
620082	(2014 QL433)	**	4J-1	2.064	T	NEA
5261 Eureka	(1990 MB)	MPC	1M-1	1.523	L	mars crossers
8373 Stephengould	(1992 AB)	Roig et al. [2002]	2J-1	3.276	L	mars crossers
20037 Duke	(1992 UW4)	**	8M-11	1.232	T	mars crossers
34706	(2001 OP83)	**	7J-2	2.256	T	mars crossers
218144	(2002 RL66)	**	5J-4S-1	2.303	T	mars crossers
12008 Kandrup	(1996 TY9)	**	3J+3S-1	1.996	T	mars crossers
617 Patroclus	(A906 UL)	MPC	1J-1	5.201	L	trojan
624 Hektor	(A907 CF)	MPC	1J-1	5.201	L	trojan
3548 Eurybates	(1973 SO)	MPC	1J-1	5.201	L	trojan
15094 Polymele	(1999 WB2)	MPC	1J-1	5.201	L	trojan
16974 Iphthime	(1998 WR21)	MPC	1J-1	5.201	L	trojan
17365 Thymbraeus	(1978 VF11)	MPC	1J-1	5.201	L	trojan
29314 Eurydamas	(1994 CR18)	MPC	1J-1	5.201	L	trojan
100624	(1997 TR28)	MPC	1J-1	5.201	L	trojan
22 Kalliope	(A852 WA)	Nesvorný and Morbidelli [1998]	4J-4S-1	2.906	T	main belt
93 Minerva	(A867 QA)	**	3E+13	2.657	T	main belt
216 Kleopatra	(A880 GB)	**	2M-5J-3	2.795	T	main belt
1803 Zwicky	(1967 CA)	**	4E+15	2.413	T	main belt
1803 Zwicky	(1967 CA)	**	5E-18	2.348	T	main belt
1803 Zwicky	(1967 CA)	**	9M-17	2.328	T	main belt
2171 Kiev	(1973 QD1)	Nesvorný and Morbidelli [1998]	7J-2	2.256	T	main belt
2623 Zech	(A919 SA)	**	5M-9	2.254	T	main belt
26420	(1999 XL103)	**	9M-16	1.038	T	main belt
3187 Dalian	(1977 TO3)	**	10M-19	2.337	T	main belt
3390 Demanet	(1984 ES1)	**	6M-11	1.017	T	main belt
3657 Ermolova	(1978 ST6)	**	6M-11	1.017	T	main belt
3865 Lindbloom	(1988 AY4)	**	4J-2S-1	2.396	L	main belt
4901 O Briain	(1988 VJ)	**	13J+4	2.370	T	main belt

Table 2: continued from the previous page.

No. and name	Prov. name	Reference	MMR	a_{MMR}	status	class
4296 van Woerkom	(1935 SA2)	**	15J+4	2.154	T	main belt
4492 Debussy	(1988 SH)	**	4E-19	2.825	T	main belt
4951 Iwamoto	(1990 BM)	Nesvorný and Morbidelli [1998]	7J-2	2.256	T	main belt
5319 Petrovskaya	(1985 RK6)	Nesvorný and Morbidelli [1998]	7J-2	2.256	T	main belt
5536 Honeycutt	(1955 QN)	**	15J+4	2.154	T	main belt
5872 Sugano	(1989 SL)	**	15J+4	2.154	T	main belt
6615 Plutarchos	(9512 P-L)	**	1V-1E-2	2.170	T	main belt
12218 Fleischer	(1982 RK)	**	4M-7	2.212	T	main belt
15107 Toepperwein	(2000 CR49)	**	7M+12	1.063	T	main belt
15430	(1998 UR31)	**	7J+2	2.256	T	main belt
16525 Shumarinaiko	(1991 CU2)	**	4J-2S-1	2.396	L	main belt
18503	(1996 PY4)	**	13J+4	2.370	T	main belt
21149 Kenmittchell	(1993 HY5)	**	7M-10	1.932	T	main belt
57027	(2000 UB59)	Szabó et al. [2020]	3J-2 (Hilda)	3.969	L	main belt
57202	(2001 QJ53)	**	4M-2J-7	2.337	T	main belt
78085	(2002 LV23)	**	5J-4S-1	2.303	T	main belt
78085	(2002 LV23)	**	2E-7	2.305	T	main belt
118303	(1998 UG)	**	3M-5J-4	2.264	T	main belt
26308	(1998 SM165)	Boulder	1N-2	47.811	L	TNO
38628 Huya	(2000 EB173)	Boulder	2N-3	39.467	L	TNO
47171 Lempo	(1999 TC36)	Boulder	2N-3	39.467	L	TNO
65489 Ceto	(2003 FX128)	**	1N-6	99.452	T	TNO
60621	(2000 FE8)	Boulder	2N-5	55.480	L	TNO
66652 Borasisi	(1999 RZ253)	**	4N-7	43.739	LT	TNO
82075	(2000 YW134)	Boulder	3N-8	57.919	L	TNO
90482 Orcus	(2004 DW)	Boulder	2N-3	39.467	L	TNO
119067	(2001 KP76)	Wikiwand	4N-7	43.739	L	TNO
119979	(2002 WC19)	Boulder	1N-2	47.811	L	TNO
136108 Haumea	(2003 EL61)	Pinilla-Alonso et al. [2009]	7N-12	43.142	LT	TNO
139775	(2001 QG298)	Boulder	2N-3	39.467	L	TNO
174567 Varda	(2003 MW12)	Wiki	8N-15	45.798	LT	TNO
208996	(2003 AZ84)	Boulder	2N-3	39.467	L	TNO
225088 Gonggong	(2007 OR10)	Boulder	3N-10	67.209	LT	TNO
341520 Mors-Somnus	(2007 TY430)	Boulder	2N-3	39.467	L	TNO
385446 Manwe	(2003 QW111)	Boulder	4N-7	43.739	L	TNO
469420	(2001 XP254)	Boulder	3N-5	42.339	L	TNO
469505	(2003 FE128)	Boulder	1N-2	47.811	L	TNO
506121	(2016 BP81)	**	4N-7	43.739	LT	TNO
523624	(2008 CT190)	Boulder	3N-7	52.986	LT	TNO
523764	(2014 WC510)	Boulder	2N-3	39.467	L	TNO
525816	(2005 SF278)	Boulder	4N-7	43.739	L	TNO
554099	(2012 KU50)	JA	5N-8	41.202	L	TNO
612088	(1999 CM158)	Boulder	2N-3	39.467	L	TNO
612176	(2000 QL251)	Boulder	1N-2	47.811	L	TNO

Table 3: The same as Table 2 for the Gaia binary candidates from Liberato et al. [2024]. The 38 objects marked with ** are not found in the previous literature as resonant asteroids.

Name	Prov. name	Reference	MMR	a_{MMR}	Status	class
53 Kalypso	(A858 GA)	Nesvorný and Morbidelli [1998]	6J-1S-2	2.618	T	Main Belt
217 Eudora	(A880 QA)	**	2M+5S-6	2.872	T	Main Belt
605 Juvisia	(A906QJ)	**	7M-20	3.067	T	Main Belt
625 Xenia	(A907 CG)	**	1V-7	2.646	T	Main Belt
674 Rachele	(A908 UK)	**	1E-5	2.924	T	Main Belt
923 Herluga	(A919 SK)	Nesvorný and Morbidelli [1998]	2J+2S-1	2.616	T	Main Belt
1438 Wendeline	(1937 TC)	**	5J-2S-2	3.173	L	Main Belt
1963 Bezovec	(1975 CB)	**	9M-19	2.507	T	Main Belt
3561 Devine	(1983 HO)	Brož and Vokrouhlický [2008]	3J-2 (Hilda)	3.969	L	Main Belt
4031 Mueller	(1985 CL)	**	7E-19	1.945	T	Main Belt
5044 Shestaka	(1977 QH4)	**	4E-13	2.194	T	Main Belt
5747 Williamina	(1991 CO3)	**	4J-2S-1	2.396	L	Main Belt
6364 Casarini	(1981 ET)	**	2E-5M+3	2.748	T	Main Belt
6364 Casarini	(1981 ET)	**	2M+1S-5	2.867	T	Main Belt
6612 Hachioji	(1994 EM1)	**	1V-1S-6	2.422	L	Main Belt
7071	(1995 BH4)	**	5J-2S-2	3.173	L	Main Belt
8284 Cranach	(1991 TT13)	**	1V+9	3.129	T	Main Belt
8632 Egleston	(1981 FR)	**	4J-1S-1	2.214	T	Main Belt
9661 Hohmann	(1996 FU13)	Brož and Vokrouhlický [2008]	3J-2 (Hilda)	3.969	L	Main Belt
11218	(1999 JD20)	**	9J-4	3.029	T	Main Belt
12914	(1998 SJ141)	**	3M-7	2.680	T	Main Belt
13840 Wayneanderson	(1999 XW31)	**	1M-2	2.418	T	Main Belt
14717	(2000 CJ82)	**	5J-2S-2	3.173	T	Main Belt
15063	(1999 AQ3)	**	2J+1S-1	2.900	T	Main Belt
15373	(1996 WV1)	Brož and Vokrouhlický [2008]	3J-2 (Hilda)	3.969	L	Main Belt
18840 Yoshioba	(1999 PT4)	**	5E-18	2.348	T	Main Belt
22150	(2000 WM49)	**	4J-2S-1	2.396	L	Main Belt
31359	(1998 UA28)	**	7M+12	2.182	T	Main Belt
43326	(2000 KH73)	**	7M+12	2.182	T	Main Belt
43341	(2000 RK62)	**	3E+13	2.657	T	Main Belt
43341	(2000 RK62)	**	8M-17	2.518	T	Main Belt
47211	(1999 TX290)	**	3E-10	2.231	T	Main Belt
53245	(1999 CH152)	**	12J-5	2.901	T	Main Belt
55125	(2001 QD173)	**	2V-4E+3	2.522	T	Main Belt
61574	(2000 QE79)	**	1M-2	2.418	T	Main Belt
68304	(2001 FO97)	**	1V-9	3.129	T	Main Belt
68304	(2001 FO97)	**	16S-3	3.129	T	Main Belt
72039	(2000 XG49)	**	6E-19	2.156	T	Main Belt
87719	(2000 SL45)	**	3V-20	2.562	T	Main Belt
88538	(2001 QG187)	**	2E+9	2.725	T	Main Belt
115321	(2003 SK219)	**	8J-3	2.704	L	Main Belt
115664	(2003 UL142)	**	1E-3J-4	3.060	L	Main Belt
121297	(1999 RU195)	**	13J+6	3.106	L	Main Belt
160293	(2003 DK24)	**	2J+2S-1	2.616	T	Main Belt
207591	(2006 QH56)	**	3J-2 (Hilda)	3.969	L	Main Belt
1867 Deiphobus	(1971 EA)	McNeill et al. [2021]	1J-1	5.201	L	Jupiter Trojan
3596 Meriones	(1985 VO)	McNeill et al. [2021]	1J-1	5.201	L	Jupiter Trojan
31344 Agathon	(1998 OM12)	MPC	1J-1	5.201	L	Jupiter Trojan
35277	(1996 RV27)	MPC	1J-1	5.201	L	Jupiter Trojan
55563	(2002 AW34)	MPC	1J-1	5.201	L	Jupiter Trojan
60322	(1999 XB257)	MPC	1J-1	5.201	L	Jupiter Trojan




Figure 2: Binary resonant (red triangles), binary non-resonant (green triangles), and non-binary numbered (grey dots) asteroids in the TNO region. The vertical dashed lines mark the positions of semi-major axes for the most dominant MMRs in the region. The largest concentration of resonant binaries is found in the most populated TNO region between 44 and 45 AU, and in the most populated 2N-3 MMR in the region.

Finally, column 3 of Tables 2 and 3 lists the sources in which asteroids are reported to be resonant. In addition to standard references, objects classified as resonant on the Minor Planet Center webpage⁷ are marked with “MPC.” Most resonant TNOs are identified in the Boulder database⁸, while two asteroids are referenced only on wiki-style websites without cited sources⁹. Objects marked with double asterisk (**) are those we could not find in the literature, indicating they are the newly discovered resonant binaries. A total of 82 such cases were found.

⁷<https://www.minorplanetcenter.net/>

⁸<https://www.boulder.swri.edu/~buie/kbo/desclass.html>

⁹The asteroid 119067 (2001 KP76) is listed as resonant on Wikiwand: [https://www.wikiwand.com/fr/articles/S/2008_\(119067\)_1](https://www.wikiwand.com/fr/articles/S/2008_(119067)_1), and 174567 Varda (2003 MW12) on The Solar System Fandom: <https://thesolarsystem.fandom.com/wiki/Varda>

4 Conclusions

The results of this study showed that the percentage of binary asteroids in mean motion resonances largely depends on their dynamical classes. The highest fraction of approximately 30% resonant asteroids is observed among the TNO binaries, meaning that MMRs offer a protective environment for these systems to survive perturbations. The lower fraction of resonant binaries in the main asteroid belt suggests that the post-collision processes, often responsible for binary formation in this region, do not favour long-term resonance captures. This conclusion is supported by the observed clustering of main-belt binaries near weaker MMRs and by their transient nature. NEA binaries show a high resonance fraction, with most cases involving transient captures. These findings are somewhat unexpected, given the dynamically unstable nature of the NEA region, where frequent planetary encounters and perturbations disrupt these pairs and can lead to the breakup of asteroid systems.

Binary systems have a slightly higher representation in resonances (17.4%) compared to the overall asteroid population (14.1% from Smirnov and Dvornikov 2018). Moreover, stable resonances could facilitate binary formation through low-velocity encounters or angular momentum exchange. This suggests that, in addition to the primordial nature of the binary TNOs, significantly younger systems could be expected among TNOs and in various dynamical classes of small bodies, and may also explain the large percentage of binaries observed among Trojans and Hilda populations.

Future research should aim to extend integration timescales, incorporate additional binary catalogues, and explore the influence of individual components within these systems. Binary asteroids in resonances remain a topic for further investigation.

5 Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation of the Republic of Serbia through contract no. 451-03-136/2025-03/200002 with the Astronomical Observatory (Belgrade). The integrations with the package `resonances` were performed by E. Smirnov. Authors thank to M. Ćuk and V. Beníšek for useful opinions on the research of binary asteroids, and to the anonymous reviewer for valuable suggestions.

References

- C. André. Sur le systeme forme par la planete double 433 Eros. *Astronomische Nachrichten*, 155:27, Jan. 1901.
- M. J. S. Belton, B. E. A. Mueller, L. A. D’Amario, D. V. Byrnes, K. P. Klaasen, S. Synnott, H. Breneman, T. V. Johnson, P. C. Thomas, J. Veverka, A. P. Harch, M. E. Davies, W. J. Merline, C. R. Chapman, D. Davis, T. Denk, G. Neukum, J.-M. Petit, R. Greenberg, A. Storrs, and B. Zellner. The Discovery and Orbit of 1993 (243)1 Dactyl. *Icarus*, 120(1):185–199, Mar. 1996. doi: 10.1006/icar.1996.0044.
- R. P. Binzel. Further Support for Minor Planet Multiplicity. *Minor Planet Bulletin*, 6:18–19, Dec. 1978.
- R. P. Binzel. Is 1220 Crocus a precessing, binary asteroid? *Icarus*, 63(1): 99–108, July 1985. doi: 10.1016/0019-1035(85)90022-3.
- R. P. Binzel and T. C. van Flandern. Minor Planets: The Discovery of Minor Satellites. *Science*, 203(4383):903–905, Mar. 1979. doi: 10.1126/science.203.4383.903.
- G. Borisov, N. Todorović, E. Vchkova-Bebekovska, A. Kostov, and G. Apostolovska. The possible dual nature of the asteroid (12499) 1998 FR47. *Contributions of the Astronomical Observatory Skalnaté Pleso*, 54(4):57–77, Nov. 2024. doi: 10.31577/caosp.2024.54.4.57.
- W. F. J. Bottke, D. Vokrouhlický, D. P. Rubincam, and D. Nesvorný. The yarkovsky and yorp effects: Implications for asteroid dynamics. *annurev.earth*, 34:157, May 2006. doi: 10.1146/annurev.earth.34.031405.125154.
- M. Brož and D. Vokrouhlický. Asteroid families in the first-order resonances with jupiter. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 390(2): 715–732, 10 2008. ISSN 0035-8711. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13764.x. URL <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13764.x>.
- A. Brunini. Trans-Neptunian binary formation and evolution. In D. Prialnik, M. A. Barucci, and L. Young, editors, *The Trans-Neptunian Solar System*, pages 225–247. 2020. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-816490-7.00010-2.

- A. Brunini. The origin of an overpopulation of contact binary plutinos. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 524(1):L45–L49, Sept. 2023. doi: 10.1093/mnrasl/slad076.
- A. Compère, D. Farrelly, A. Lemaître, and D. Hestroffer. A possible mechanism to explain the lack of binary asteroids among the Plutinos. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 558:A4, Oct. 2013. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201321137.
- A. F. Cook. 624 Hektor: a Binary Asteroid? In T. Gehrels, editor, *NASA Special Publication*, volume 267, page 155. 1971.
- C. M. Cook, H. J. Melosh, and W. F. Bottke. Doublet craters on Venus. *Icarus*, 165(1):90–100, Sept. 2003. doi: 10.1016/S0019-1035(03)00177-5.
- M. Čuk. Formation and Destruction of Small Binary Asteroids. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 659(1):L57–L60, Apr. 2007. doi: 10.1086/516572.
- C. de la Fuente Marcos and R. de la Fuente Marcos. Dancing with venus in the shadow of the earth: a pair of genetically related near-earth asteroids trapped in a mean-motion resonance. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 483:L37, Nov. 2018. doi: 10.1093/mnrasl/sly214,.
- A. Doressoundiram, P. Paolicchi, A. Verlicchi, and A. Cellino. The formation of binary asteroids as outcomes of catastrophic collisions. *Planetary and Space Science*, 45(7):757–770, July 1997. doi: 10.1016/S0032-0633(97)00037-8.
- S. R. Duddy, S. C. Lowry, S. D. Wolters, A. Christou, P. Weissman, S. F. Green, and B. Rozitis. Physical and dynamical characterisation of the unbound asteroid pair 7343-154634. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 539:A36, Mar. 2012. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201118302.
- J. L. Dunlap and T. Gehrels. Minor Planets. III. Lightcurves of a Trojan Asteroid. *Astronomical Journal*, 74:796, Aug. 1969. doi: 10.1086/110860.
- D. D. Durda. The Formation of Asteroidal Satellites in Catastrophic Collisions. *Icarus*, 120(1):212–219, Mar. 1996. doi: 10.1006/icar.1996.0046.
- D. D. Durda, W. F. Bottke, B. L. Enke, W. J. Merline, E. Asphaug, D. C. Richardson, and Z. M. Leinhardt. The formation of asteroid satellites in large impacts: results from numerical simulations. *Icarus*, 170(1):243–257, July 2004. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2004.04.003.

- E. Forgács-Dajka, E. Kővári, T. Kovács, C. Kiss, and Z. Sándor. A Dynamical Survey of the Trans-Neptunian Region. I. Mean-motion Resonances with Neptune. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 266(1):5, May 2023. doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/acc4c8.
- W. C. Fraser, M. T. Bannister, R. E. Pike, M. Marsset, M. E. Schwamb, J. J. Kavelaars, P. Lacerda, D. Nesvorný, K. Volk, A. Delsanti, S. Benecchi, M. J. Lehner, K. Noll, B. Gladman, J.-M. Petit, S. Gwyn, Y.-T. Chen, S.-Y. Wang, M. Alexandersen, T. Burdullis, S. Sheppard, and C. Trujillo. All planetesimals born near the Kuiper belt formed as binaries. *Nature Astronomy*, 1:0088, Apr. 2017. doi: 10.1038/s41550-017-0088.
- T. Gallardo. Atlas of the mean motion resonances in the Solar System. *Icarus*, 184(1):29–38, Sept. 2006. ISSN 00191035. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2006.04.001.
- I. Gribin, J.-M. Petit, and P. Farinella. Impact Ejecta Rotational Bursting as a Mechanism for Producing Stable Ida-Dactyl Systems. *Icarus*, 132(1): 43–52, Mar. 1998. doi: 10.1006/icar.1997.5864.
- P. Goldreich, Y. Lithwick, and R. Sari. Formation of Kuiper-belt binaries by dynamical friction and three-body encounters. *Nature*, 420(6916):643–646, Dec. 2002. doi: 10.1038/nature01227.
- S. A. Jacobson and D. J. Scheeres. Dynamics of rotationally fissioned asteroids: Source of observed small asteroid systems. *Icarus*, 214(1):161–178, July 2011. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2011.04.009.
- W. R. Johnston. Asteroids with satellites, 2024. URL <https://www.johnstonsarchive.net/astro/asteroidmoons.html>.
- S. M. Lawler and R. E. Pike. Small Bodies in the Distant Solar System. *arXiv e-prints*, art. arXiv:2410.04338, Oct. 2024. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2410.04338.
- L. Liberato, P. Tanga, D. Mary, K. Minker, B. Carry, F. Spoto, P. Bartczak, B. Sicardy, D. Oszkiewicz, and J. Desmars. Binary asteroid candidates in *Gaia* DR3 astrometry. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 688:A50, Aug. 2024. ISSN 0004-6361, 1432-0746. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202349122.

- M. C. López and A. Brunini. Binary-binary close encounters in the Kuiper Belt. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 505(1):236–244, July 2021. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stab1250.
- J. L. Margot, P. Pravec, P. Taylor, B. Carry, and S. Jacobson. Asteroid Systems: Binaries, Triples, and Pairs. In P. Michel, F. E. DeMeo, and W. F. Bottke, editors, *Asteroids IV*, pages 355–374. 2015. doi: 10.2458/azu_uapress_9780816532131-ch019.
- J. W. Mason. Ida’s new moon. *Journal of the British Astronomical Association*, 104:108–108, June 1994.
- A. McNeill, N. Erasmus, D. E. Trilling, J. P. Emery, J. L. Tonry, L. Denneau, H. Flewelling, A. Heinze, B. Stalder, and H. J. Weiland. Comparison of the Physical Properties of the L4 and L5 Trojan Asteroids from ATLAS Data. *The Planetary Science Journal*, 2(1):6, Feb. 2021. doi: 10.3847/PSJ/abcccd.
- H. J. Melosh and J. A. Stansberry. Doublet craters and the tidal disruption of binary asteroids. *Icarus*, 94(1):171–179, Nov. 1991. doi: 10.1016/0019-1035(91)90148-M.
- P. Michel, W. Benz, P. Tanga, and D. C. Richardson. Collisions and gravitational reaccumulation: forming asteroid families and satellites. *Science*, 294:1696, Nov. 2001. doi: 10.1126/science.1065189.
- K. Miljković, G. S. Collins, S. Mannick, and P. A. Bland. Morphology and population of binary asteroid impact craters. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 363:121–132, Feb. 2013. doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2012.12.033.
- D. Nesvorný and A. Morbidelli. Three-Body Mean Motion Resonances and the Chaotic Structure of the Asteroid Belt. *The Astronomical Journal*, 116(6):3029–3037, Dec. 1998. doi: 10.1086/300632.
- D. Nesvorný, A. N. Youdin, and D. C. Richardson. Formation of Kuiper Belt Binaries by Gravitational Collapse. *Astronomical Journal*, 140(3):785–793, Sept. 2010. doi: 10.1088/0004-6256/140/3/785.
- D. Nesvorný, R. Li, J. B. Simon, A. N. Youdin, D. C. Richardson, R. Marschall, and W. M. Grundy. Binary Planetesimal Formation from

- Gravitationally Collapsing Pebble Clouds. *The Planetary Science Journal*, 2(1):27, Feb. 2021. doi: 10.3847/PSJ/abd858.
- K. S. Noll, W. M. Grundy, E. I. Chiang, J. L. Margot, and S. D. Kern. Binaries in the Kuiper Belt. In M. A. Barucci, H. Boehnhardt, D. P. Cruikshank, A. Morbidelli, and R. Dotson, editors, *The Solar System Beyond Neptune*, pages 345–363. 2008. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.astro-ph/0703134.
- K. S. Noll, M. E. Brown, M. W. Buie, W. M. Grundy, H. F. Levison, S. Marchi, C. B. Olkin, S. A. Stern, and H. A. Weaver. Trojan Asteroid Satellites, Rings, and Activity. *Space Science Reviews*, 219(7):59, Oct. 2023. doi: 10.1007/s11214-023-01001-w.
- N. Pinilla-Alonso, R. Brunetto, J. Licandro, R. Gil-Hutton, T. L. Roush, and G. Strazzulla. The surface of (136108) Haumea (2003 EL₆₁), the largest carbon-depleted object in the trans-Neptunian belt. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 496(2):547–556, Mar. 2009. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/200809733.
- P. Pravec and A. W. Harris. Binary asteroid population. 1. angular momentum content. *Icarus*, 190:250, Sept. 2007. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2007.02.023.
- P. Pravec and D. Vokrouhlický. Significance analysis of asteroid pairs. *Icarus*, 204(2):580–588, Dec. 2009. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2009.07.004.
- P. Pravec, P. Fatka, D. Vokrouhlický, P. Scheirich, J. Ďurech, D. J. Scheeres, P. Kušnirák, K. Hornoch, A. Galád, D. P. Pray, Y. N. Krugly, O. Burkhonov, S. A. Ehgamberdiev, J. Pollock, N. Moskovitz, A. Thirouin, J. L. Ortiz, N. Morales, M. Husárik, R. Y. Inasaridze, J. Oey, D. Polishook, J. Hanuš, H. Kučáková, J. Vraštil, J. Világi, Š. Gajdoš, L. Kornoš, P. Vereš, N. M. Gaftonyuk, T. Hromakina, A. V. Sergeyev, I. G. Slyusarev, V. R. Ayvazian, W. R. Cooney, J. Gross, D. Terrell, F. Colas, F. Vachier, S. Slivan, B. Skiff, F. Marchis, K. E. Ergashev, D. H. Kim, A. Aznar, M. Serra-Ricart, R. Behrend, R. Roy, F. Manzini, and I. E. Molotov. Asteroid pairs: A complex picture. *Icarus*, 333:429–463, Nov. 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2019.05.014.
- R. Radau. Revue des publications astronomiques. *Astronomische Nachrichten*, nos. 3663–3721. *Bulletin Astronomique, Serie I*, 18:423–444, Jan. 1901.

- J. E. Robinson, W. C. Fraser, A. Fitzsimmons, and P. Lacerda. Investigating gravitational collapse of a pebble cloud to form transneptunian binaries. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 643:A55, Nov. 2020. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202037456.
- F. Roig, D. Nesvorný, and S. Ferraz-Mello. Asteroids in the 2 : 1 resonance with jupiter: dynamics and size distribution. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 335(2):417–431, 09 2002. ISSN 0035-8711. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-8711.2002.05635.x. URL <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2002.05635.x>.
- A. Rosaev. Resonance perturbation of (5026) Martes and 2005 WW113 asteroid pair. *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, 136(5):41, Oct. 2024. doi: 10.1007/s10569-024-10209-z.
- D. P. Rubincam. Radiative spin-up and spin-down of small asteroids. *Icarus*, 148:2, Nov. 2000. doi: 10.1006/icar.2000.6485.
- D. J. Scheeres. Rotational fission of contact binary asteroids. *Icarus*, 189(2): 370–385, Aug. 2007. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2007.02.015.
- D. J. Scheeres. Stability of the planar full 2-body problem. *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, 104(1-2):103–128, June 2009. doi: 10.1007/s10569-009-9184-7.
- E. A. Smirnov. A new python package for identifying celestial bodies trapped in mean-motion resonances. *Astronomy and Computing*, 43:100707, Apr. 2023. doi: 10.1016/j.ascom.2023.100707.
- E. A. Smirnov and I. S. Dvignalev. Identification of Asteroids in Two-Body Resonances. *Solar System Research*, 52(4):347–354, July 2018. ISSN 0038-0946. doi: 10.1134/S0038094618040056.
- E. A. Smirnov and I. I. Shevchenko. Massive identification of asteroids in three-body resonances. *Icarus*, 222(1):220–228, Jan. 2013. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2012.10.034.
- E. A. Smirnov, I. S. Dvignalev, and E. A. Popova. Asteroids in three-body mean motion resonances with planets. *Icarus*, 304:24–30, Apr. 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2017.09.032.

- S. Sonnett, A. Mainzer, T. Grav, J. Masiero, and J. Bauer. Binary Candidates in the Jovian Trojan and Hilda Populations from NEO-WISE Light Curves. *Astrophysical Journal*, 799(2):191, Feb. 2015. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/799/2/191.
- G. M. Szabó, C. Kiss, R. Szakáts, A. Pál, L. Molnár, K. Sárneczky, J. Vinkó, R. Szabó, G. Marton, and L. L. Kiss. Rotational Properties of Hilda Asteroids Observed by the K2 Mission. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 247(1):34, Mar. 2020. doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/ab6b23.
- E. F. Tedesco. Binary Asteroids: Evidence for Their Existence from Lightcurves. *Science*, 203(4383):905–907, Mar. 1979. doi: 10.1126/science.203.4383.905.
- A. Thirouin and S. S. Sheppard. The Plutino Population: An Abundance of Contact Binaries. *The Astronomical Journal*, 155(6):248, June 2018. doi: 10.3847/1538-3881/aac0ff.
- A. Thirouin and S. S. Sheppard. Rotational Study of 5:3 and 7:4 Resonant Objects within the Main Classical Trans-Neptunian Belt. *The Planetary Science Journal*, 5(4):84, Apr. 2024. doi: 10.3847/PSJ/ad2933.
- T. C. van Flandern, E. F. Tedesco, and R. P. Binzel. Satellites of asteroids. In T. Gehrels and M. S. Matthews, editors, *Asteroids*, pages 443–465. 1979.
- D. E. Vavilov, B. Carry, A. Lagain, A. Guimpier, S. Conway, H. Devillepoix, and S. Bouley. Evidence for widely-separated binary asteroids recorded by craters on Mars. *Icarus*, 383:115045, Sept. 2022. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2022.115045.
- D. Vokrouhlický and D. Čapek. YORP-Induced Long-Term Evolution of the Spin State of Small Asteroids and Meteoroids: Rubincam’s Approximation. *Icarus*, 159(2):449–467, Oct. 2002. doi: 10.1006/icar.2002.6918.
- K. J. Walsh and D. C. Richardson. Binary near-Earth asteroid formation: Rubble pile model of tidal disruptions. *Icarus*, 180(1):201–216, Jan. 2006. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2005.08.015.
- K. J. Walsh and D. C. Richardson. A steady-state model of NEA binaries formed by tidal disruption of gravitational aggregates. *Icarus*, 193(2):553–566, Feb. 2008. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2007.08.020.

- K. J. Walsh, D. C. Richardson, and P. Michel. Rotational breakup as the origin of small binary asteroids. *Nature*, 454(7201):188–191, July 2008. doi: 10.1038/nature07078.
- S. J. Weidenschilling. On the Origin of Binary Transneptunian Objects. *Icarus*, 160(1):212–215, Nov. 2002. doi: 10.1006/icar.2002.6952.
- S. J. Weidenschilling, P. Paolicchi, and V. Zappala. Do asteroids have satellites? In R. P. Binzel, T. Gehrels, and M. S. Matthews, editors, *Asteroids II*, pages 643–658, Jan. 1989.
- J. Wimarsson, Z. Xiang, F. Ferrari, M. Jutzi, G. Madeira, S. D. Raducan, and P. Sánchez. Rapid formation of binary asteroid systems post rotational failure: A recipe for making atypically shaped satellites. *Icarus*, 421:116223, Oct. 2024. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2024.116223.