# QUASI-ISOMETRIC RIGIDITY FOR A PRODUCT OF LATTICES

#### JOSIAH OH

ABSTRACT. We demonstrate quasi-isometric rigidity for the product of a non-uniform rank one lattice and a nilpotent lattice. Specifically, we show that any finitely-generated group quasi-isometric to such a product is, up to finite noise, an extension of a non-uniform rank one lattice by a nilpotent lattice. Furthermore, we show under extra conditions that this extension is nilcentral, a notion which generalizes central extensions to extensions by a nilpotent group.

#### 1. Introduction

1.1. Background. One theme of geometric group theory is the rich relationship between the algebraic structure of groups and the large-scale geometry of spaces on which they act. By the "large-scale geometry" of a space we mean the metric structure that is preserved by quasi-isometries, maps which preserve distances up to a controlled error. Gromov proposed in a 1983 ICM address [Gro83] a broad research program of studying finitely generated groups as geometric objects and classifying them up to quasi-isometry. One aspect involves identifying instances of quasi-isometric rigidity, the phenomenon which occurs when algebraic properties of a finitely generated group are determined by its large-scale geometry. For example, a celebrated theorem by Gromov [Gro81] states that finitely generated groups of polynomial growth are virtually nilpotent (the converse is also true [Wol68]). Since growth rate is invariant under quasi-isometry, it follows that any finitely generated group which is quasi-isometric to a space of polynomial growth necessarily has a nilpotent subgroup of finite index. Thus we have an instance of when the quasi-isometry type of a group determines some of its algebraic structure.

One of the landmark results in research on quasi-isometric rigidity is the complete quasi-isometry classification of lattices in semisimple Lie groups. A large body of work in the 1980s and 1990s by several people over many papers culminated in a general theorem on the rigidity of the class of lattices among all finitely generated groups (see [Far97] for a detailed survey). Informally, this theorem states that any group quasi-isometric to a lattice in a semisimple Lie group is almost a lattice in that Lie group. More precisely,

**Theorem 1** (Rigidity of lattices). If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated group quasi-isometric to an irreducible lattice in a semisimple Lie group G, then there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow 1$$

where  $\Lambda$  is a lattice in G, and F is a finite group.

Date: December 11, 2025.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 20F65, 20F69, 20F18, 51F99.

Key words and phrases. quasi-isometry, quasi-isometric rigidity, nilpotent Lie group, negatively curved symmetric space, non-uniform lattice, neutered space, nilcentral extension.

The first author was partially supported by the NSF, under grant DMS-1547357.

One of the major breakthroughs leading to this general classification was the work of Schwartz [Sch95] on non-uniform lattices in rank one semisimple Lie groups. These Lie groups agree, up to index 2, with the isometry groups of the negatively curved symmetric spaces: real, complex, quaternionic hyperbolic space, and the Cayley hyperbolic plane.

**Theorem 2** (Schwartz). Let X be a negatively curved symmetric space other than the real hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{H}^2$ . If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated group quasi-isometric to a non-uniform lattice  $\Lambda$  in  $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ , then there exists a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \Lambda' \longrightarrow 1.$$

where  $\Lambda' \leq \text{Isom}(X)$  is a non-uniform lattice commensurable to  $\Lambda$ , and F is a finite group.

In the case of  $X = \mathbb{H}^2$ , non-uniform lattices in  $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^2) = \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$  are virtually the fundamental groups of complete hyperbolic surfaces with finitely many punctures, and hence are virtually free. Any group quasi-isometric to a virtually free group is also virtually free, and every free group can be realized as a non-uniform lattice in  $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ , so quasi-isometric rigidity does hold in this case. However, the additional conclusion of commensurability fails. One reason for this failure is that commensurability preserves arithmeticity, and  $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$  contains both arithmetic and non-arithmetic non-uniform lattices. But since these lattices are virtually free, they are quasi-isometric to each other.

Following Schwartz, lattices such as  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda'$  shall from now on be called *non-uniform* rank one lattices. Then an informal summary might be: any group quasi-isometric to a non-uniform rank one lattice is almost a commensurable non-uniform rank one lattice.

In an extensive study on high-dimensional graph manifolds [FLS15], Frigerio-Lafont-Sisto prove, among many other things, a quasi-isometric rigidity result for products of the form  $\pi_1(M) \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ , where M is a complete non-compact finite-volume hyperbolic m-manifold, m > 3.

**Theorem 3** (Frigerio–Lafont–Sisto). If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated group quasi-isometric to  $\pi_1(M) \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ , then there exist short exact sequences

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d \stackrel{j}{\longrightarrow} \Gamma' \longrightarrow \Delta \longrightarrow 1$$
$$1 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \Delta \longrightarrow \pi_1(M') \longrightarrow 1$$

where  $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$  has finite index, M' is a finite-sheeted covering of M,  $\Delta$  is a group, and F is a finite group. Moreover,  $j(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  is contained in the center of  $\Gamma'$ . In other words,  $\Gamma$  is virtually a central extension by  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  of a finite extension of  $\pi_1(M')$ .

Observe that the case d=0 is covered by Schwartz' theorem, and indeed, the proof of this theorem applies many of the ideas and results from [Sch95].

1.2. **Main results.** Our main contribution is a generalization of Theorem 3 to products  $\Lambda \times L$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a non-uniform rank one lattice and L is a lattice in a simply connected nilpotent Lie group. From now on, a lattice such as L is called a *nilpotent lattice*. Our first theorem says that up to finite noise, any group quasi-isometric to  $\Lambda \times L$  is an extension of a non-uniform rank one lattice commensurable to  $\Lambda$  by a nilpotent lattice quasi-isometric to L.

**Theorem A.** Let  $X \neq \mathbb{H}^2$  be a negatively curved symmetric space. Let  $\Lambda$  be a non-uniform lattice in  $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$  and L be a nilpotent lattice. If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated group quasi-isometric to  $\Lambda \times L$ , then there exist short exact sequences

$$1 \longrightarrow L' \longrightarrow \Gamma' \longrightarrow \Delta \longrightarrow 1,$$

$$1 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \Delta \longrightarrow \Lambda' \longrightarrow 1.$$

where  $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$  and  $\Lambda' \leq \Lambda$  have finite index, L' is a nilpotent lattice quasi-isometric to L,  $\Delta$  is a group, and F is a finite group.

The general outline of the proof is similar to that of the proof of Theorem 3. First, the quasi-isometry between  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda \times L$  induces a quasi-action of  $\Gamma$  on  $B \times N$ , where  $B \subset X$  is the neutered space associated to  $\Lambda$ , and N is the simply connected nilpotent Lie group in which L is a lattice. A theorem of Kapovich–Kleiner–Leeb [KKL98] guarantees that quasi-isometries  $B \times N \to B \times N$  project to quasi-isometries  $B \to B$ , up to bounded error. Thus the quasi-action of  $\Gamma$  on  $B \times N$  induces a quasi-action of  $\Gamma$  on B. A key result in [Sch95] is that quasi-isometries of the neutered space B have finite distance (with respect to the sup norm) from isometries of X. Thus we obtain a homomorphism  $\theta : \Gamma \to \text{Isom}(X)$ , and we show that the image im  $\theta$  is a non-uniform lattice commensurable to  $\Lambda$ . The action  $\theta : \Gamma \to \text{Isom}(X)$  came from a quasi-action on B, which itself was coarsely projected from a quasi-action on  $B \times N$ . Hence we are able to show that the kernel of  $\theta$  is quasi-isometric to N. Then  $\Gamma = \text{im } \theta / \text{ker } \theta$ , so we pass to finite-index subgroups as necessary to obtain the desired short exact sequences.

Theorem 3 asserts that  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  can be made central in the group extension. In our more general setting, however, the extension is by a nilpotent group. So we define the notion of a nilcentral extension, analogous to that of a central extension, and find conditions which are sufficient to guarantee that (1) may be taken to be a nilcentral extension. Given a nilpotent group G with upper central series  $1 = Z_0 \triangleleft Z_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft Z_n = G$ , define

$$\Sigma(G) := \max_{i} \operatorname{rank}(Z_{i+1}/Z_i).$$

**Theorem B.** Assume the hypotheses of Theorem A and let L' be the nilpotent lattice obtained from the conclusion of the theorem. If X is either quaternionic hyperbolic space or the Cayley hyperbolic plane, and dim Isom $(X) > \Sigma(L')$ , then the group extension (1) in the conclusion of Theorem A is virtually nilcentral.

Our proof of this theorem relies on a form of Margulis–Corlette–Gromov–Schoen superrigidity for Lie groups with Kazhdan's property (T). The precise statement we apply is in [FH12].

#### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces, and let  $k \ge 1$  and  $c \ge 0$  be real numbers. A map  $f: X \to Y$  is a (k, c)-quasi-isometric embedding if for all  $a, b \in X$ ,

$$\frac{1}{k}d_X(a,b) - c \le d_Y(f(a), f(b)) \le kd_X(a,b) + c.$$

A (k,c)-quasi-isometric embedding f is a (k,c)-quasi-isometry if there is a (k,c)-quasi-isometric embedding  $g:Y\to X$  such that  $d_X(x,(g\circ f)(x))\leq c$  for all  $x\in X$ , and  $d_Y(y,(f\circ g)(y))\leq c$  for all  $y\in Y$ . Such a map g is a quasi-inverse of f. For maps

 $h_1, h_2: X \to Y$ , let  $d_Y(h_1, h_2)$  denote  $\sup_{x \in X} d_Y(h_1(x), h_2(x))$ . If  $d_Y(h_1, h_2) < \infty$  then we say that  $h_1$  has finite distance from  $h_2$ . With this notation, g is a quasi-inverse of f if and only if  $d_X(\mathrm{id}_X, g \circ f) \leq c$  and  $d_Y(\mathrm{id}_Y, f \circ g) \leq c$ . A (k, c)-quasi-isometric embedding is a (k', c')-quasi-isometry for some  $k' \geq 1$  and  $c' \geq 0$  if and only if it is coarsely surjective, that is, its image is r-dense for some  $r \geq 0$ . A map  $f: X \to Y$  is a quasi-isometry between X and Y if it is a (k, c)-quasi-isometry for some  $k \geq 1, c \geq 0$ . Two metric spaces X and Y are quasi-isometric if there exists a quasi-isometry between them. Observe that a composition of quasi-isometries is again a quasi-isometry.

Let G be a group with a finite, symmetric generating set S. For  $g \in G$ , let  $|g|_S$  denote the length of a shortest word representing g with letters in S. Then G is endowed with a word metric  $d_S$  defined by  $d_S(g,h) = |g^{-1}h|_S$ . Note that left multiplication of G on itself is an isometric action. If S' is another finite, symmetric generating set for G, then the identity map  $(G, d_S) \to (G, d_{S'})$  is a quasi-isometry (in fact it is bi-Lipschitz). So the word metric on a finitely generated group is well-defined up to quasi-isometry. In other words, the quasi-isometry type of a finitely generated group is well-defined.

Recall the fundamental observation in geometric group theory which equates the quasi-isometry type of a group with the quasi-isometry type of a metric space on which it acts geometrically. Let X be a geodesic metric space which is proper, i.e., closed balls are compact. An isometric group action  $G \curvearrowright X$  is properly discontinuous if for all compact  $K \subseteq X$  the set  $\{g \in G : g \cdot K \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite, and cocompact if  $G \setminus X$  is compact. The action is geometric if it is properly discontinuous and cocompact.

**Lemma 4** (Milnor-Schwarz). If a group G acts geometrically on a proper geodesic metric space X, then G is finitely generated and quasi-isometric to X. A quasi-isometry  $G \mapsto X$  is given by  $g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$ , where  $x_0 \in X$  is any basepoint.

For example, if M is a compact Riemannian manifold with Riemannian universal cover  $\widetilde{M}$ , then  $\pi_1(M)$  acts geometrically on  $\widetilde{M}$  and therefore  $\pi_1(M)$  is quasi-isometric to  $\widetilde{M}$ .

A more general version of the Milnor-Schwarz lemma exists for quasi-actions, which we now define. Let (X, d) be a geodesic metric space, and let  $\mathrm{QI}(X)$  be the set of quasi-isometries  $X \to X$  (in contrast to our use,  $\mathrm{QI}(X)$  is sometimes used to denote the quasi-isometry group of X whose elements are equivalence classes of quasi-isometries). For  $k \geq 1$ , a k-quasi-action of a group G on X is a map  $h: G \to \mathrm{QI}(X)$  such that

- (1) For all  $g \in G$ , h(g) is a (k, k)-quasi-isometry with k-dense image,
- (2)  $d(h(1), id_X) \le k$ ;
- (3) For all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ ,  $d(h(g_1g_2), h(g_1)h(g_2)) \le k$ ,

where  $h(g_1)h(g_2)$  means  $h(g_1) \circ h(g_2)$ . A k-quasi-action h is k'-cobounded if every G-orbit is k'-dense in X. A (cobounded) quasi-action is a map which is a (k'-cobounded) k-quasi-action for some  $k \geq 1$  ( $k' \geq 1$ ). Now we can state a stronger version of the Milnor-Schwarz lemma.

**Lemma 5** ([FLS15] Lemma 1.4). Let X be a geodesic metric space with basepoint  $x_0$ , and let G be a group. Let  $h: G \to \mathrm{QI}(X)$  be a cobounded quasi-action of G on X, and suppose that for each r > 0, the set  $\{g \in G: h(g)B(x_0, r) \cap B(x_0, r) \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite. Then G is finitely generated and quasi-isometric to X. A quasi-isometry  $G \to X$  is given by  $g \mapsto h(g)(x_0)$ .

Here are two more lemmas related to quasi-actions that will be useful later.

**Lemma 6.** If a quasi-action has at least one dense orbit, then it is cobounded.

Proof. Suppose  $h: G \to QI(X)$  is a k-quasi-action such that the G-orbit of  $x \in X$  is k'-dense in X. Let  $y, p \in X$  be arbitrary, and take  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  such that  $d(y, h(g_1)(x)) \leq k'$  and  $d(p, h(g_2)(x)) \leq k'$ . Then

$$d(p, h(g_{2}g_{1}^{-1})(y)) \leq d(p, h(g_{2})(x)) + d(h(g_{2})(x), h(g_{2}g_{1}^{-1})(y))$$

$$\leq k' + d(h(g_{2})(x), h(g_{2})h(g_{1}^{-1})(y)) + d(h(g_{2})h(g_{1}^{-1})(y), h(g_{2}g_{1}^{-1})(y))$$

$$\leq k' + kd(x, h(g_{1}^{-1})(y)) + k + k$$

$$\leq k' + 2k + k^{2}d(h(g_{1})(x), h(g_{1})h(g_{1}^{-1})(y)) + k^{3}$$

$$\leq k' + 2k + k^{3} + k^{2}d(h(g_{1})(x), h(1)(y)) + k^{2}d(h(1)(y), h(g_{1})h(g_{1}^{-1})(y))$$

$$\leq k' + 2k + k^{3} + k^{2}d(h(g_{1})(x), y) + k^{2}d(y, h(1)(y)) + k^{3}$$

$$\leq k' + 2k + 3k^{3} + k^{2}k'.$$

So h is  $(k' + 2k + 3k^3 + k^2k')$ -cobounded.

**Lemma 7.** A quasi-isometry between a finitely generated group G and the fundamental group  $\pi_1(M)$  of a geodesic metric space induces a cobounded quasi-action of G on the metric universal cover  $\widetilde{M}$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, since  $\pi_1(M)$  is quasi-isometric to  $\widetilde{M}$ , we get a quasi-isometry  $\varphi: G \to \widetilde{M}$ . Let  $\psi: \widetilde{M} \to G$  be a quasi-inverse of  $\varphi$ . For each  $g \in G$ , define  $h(g): \widetilde{M} \to \widetilde{M}$  by

$$h(g)(x) = \varphi(g\psi(x)), \qquad x \in \widetilde{M}.$$

Then h(g) is the composition of three quasi-isometries with fixed constants. So h(g) is a quasi-isometry with constants that are independent of g. Moreover,  $h(1) = \varphi \circ \psi$  has finite distance from  $\mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{M}}$ . It is also easily checked that  $h(g_1g_2)$  has finite distance (bounded independently of  $g_1, g_2$ ) from  $h(g_1) \circ h(g_2)$ . So h is a quasi-action, and since  $\varphi$  is coarsely surjective and the left multiplication action of G on itself is transitive, h is cobounded.  $\square$ 

Finally, we recall some facts about non-uniform rank one lattices and their associated neutered spaces. Details may be found in [Sch95] and [DK18]. Let X be a negatively curved symmetric space with distance function  $d_X$ , and let  $\Lambda$  be a non-uniform lattice in Isom(X). We call such a group a non-uniform rank one lattice. By Selberg's lemma [Sel60],  $\Lambda$  is virtually torsion-free. Since we are concerned with the quasi-isometry type of  $\Lambda$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $\Lambda$  is torsion-free. Then  $X/\Lambda$  is a finite-volume non-compact manifold. By truncating the cusps of  $X/\Lambda$  we obtain a compact manifold with boundary Y, and the universal cover is a neutered space  $B \subset X$ . By definition, B is the complement in X of the union of an infinite equivariant family of open horoballs with pairwise disjoint closures. We refer to the boundaries of these horoballs as the peripheral horospheres (or just horospheres) of B. Since  $X/\Lambda$  has finite volume, it has finitely many cusps and Y has finitely many boundary components. It follows that there is an R>0such that the  $d_X$ -distance between every pair of distinct horosopheres of B is at least R. A horosphere O is centered at a point p in the ideal boundary  $\partial X$ . We say that p is the basepoint of O and that O is based at p. Since  $X/\Lambda$  has finite volume, the basepoints of the horospheres of B are dense in  $\partial X$ . In general, two horospheres in X share a basepoint if and only if the Hausdorff distance between them is finite.

Since B is a subspace of X, it has two natural metrics: the restricted metric  $d_X|_B$ , and the induced length metric  $d_B(x, y) := \inf_p \operatorname{length}_X(p)$ , where the infimum is taken over all paths in B between x and y. We will often use the following fact, sometimes without mention.

**Lemma 8** ([DK18] Lemma 24.2). The identity map  $(B, d_B) \rightarrow (B, d_X|_B)$  is 1-Lipschitz and uniformly proper.

Recall that a map  $f: Z \to W$  between proper metric spaces is uniformly proper if f is coarse Lipschitz and there exists a function  $\zeta: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $\operatorname{diam}(f^{-1}(B(w,r))) \leq \zeta(r)$  for all  $w \in W$ , r > 0.

### 3. Projecting a quasi-action

Let  $X, \Lambda, Y$ , and B be as above. Let L be a lattice in a simply connected nilpotent Lie group N. Define M to be the product  $Y \times (N/L)$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated group and assume that  $\Gamma$  is quasi-isometric to  $\Lambda \times L$ . Then by Lemma 7, the quasi-isometry between  $\Gamma$  and  $\pi_1(M) = \Lambda \times L$  induces a k-cobounded k-quasi-action k of  $\Gamma$  on  $\widetilde{M} = k$  of  $k \ge 1$ . We denote the metrics on k of k and k by k, k, and k by k, k, and k of k or some k denote the metrics on k of k

We first show that h induces a quasi-action of  $\Gamma$  on B. In order to do so, we use a theorem of Kapovich–Kleiner–Leeb [KKL98] which implies that quasi-isometries of the product  $B \times N$  coarsely project to quasi-isometries of B. Their theorem is more general than we need, so we only state its application in our situation.

**Proposition 9.** [KKL98, Theorem B] There exist D > 0 and  $k' \ge 1$ , both depending only on k, for which the following holds: For each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , there exists a (k', k')-quasi-isometry  $\psi(\gamma): B \to B$  with k'-dense image such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
B \times N & \xrightarrow{h(\gamma)} & B \times N \\
\downarrow^{\pi} & & \downarrow^{\pi} \\
B & \xrightarrow{\psi(\gamma)} & B
\end{array}$$

commutes up to an error bounded by D, where  $\pi: B \times N \to B$  is the natural projection.

In an effort to make calculations more readable, we denote the value of  $\pi$  at  $(b, n) \in B \times N$  by  $\pi[(b, n)]$  instead of  $\pi((b, n))$ .

**Lemma 10.** The map  $\psi: \Gamma \to QI(B)$  is a K-cobounded K-quasi-action for some  $K \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* By definition, we already know that each  $\psi(\gamma)$  is a (k', k')-quasi-isometry with k'-dense image. In the following calculations, 1 denotes the identity element of  $\Gamma$  and e denotes the identity element of N. For all  $b \in B$ ,

$$d_B(\psi(1)(b), b) \le d_B(\psi(1)(b), \pi[h(1)(b, e)]) + d_B(\pi[h(1)(b, e)], \pi[(b, e)])$$

$$\le D + d(h(1)(b, e), (b, e))$$

$$< D + k.$$

Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$  and  $b \in B$ . Then

$$d_{B}(\psi(\gamma_{1})\psi(\gamma_{2})(b), \psi(\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2})(b)) \leq d_{B}(\psi(\gamma_{1})\psi(\gamma_{2})(b), \pi[h(\gamma_{1})h(\gamma_{2})(b, e)]) + d_{B}(\pi[h(\gamma_{1})h(\gamma_{2})(b, e)], \pi[h(\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2})(b, e)]) + d_{B}(\pi[h(\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2})(b, e)], \psi(\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2})(b)).$$

The first term on the right can be bounded as follows.

$$d_{B}(\psi(\gamma_{1})\psi(\gamma_{2})(b), \pi[h(\gamma_{1})h(\gamma_{2})(b,e)]) \leq d_{B}(\psi(\gamma_{1})\psi(\gamma_{2})(b), \psi(\gamma_{1})(\pi[h(\gamma_{2})(b,e)])) + d_{B}(\psi(\gamma_{1})(\pi[h(\gamma_{2})(b,e)]), \pi[h(\gamma_{1})h(\gamma_{2})(b,e)]) \leq k'd_{B}(\psi(\gamma_{2})(b), \pi[h(\gamma_{2})(b,e)]) + k' + D < k'D + k' + D.$$

Hence,

$$d_{B}(\psi(\gamma_{1})\psi(\gamma_{2})(b), \psi(\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2})(b)) \leq (k'D + k' + D) + d_{B}(\pi[h(\gamma_{1})h(\gamma_{2})(b, e)], \pi[h(\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2})(b, e)]) + d_{B}(\pi[h(\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2})(b, e)], \psi(\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2})(b)) \leq k'D + k' + D + d(h(\gamma_{1})h(\gamma_{2})(b, e), h(\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2})(b, e)) + D \leq k'D + k' + 2D + k.$$

Set K = k'D + k' + 2D + k. So  $\psi$  is a K-quasi-action. Let  $b_1, b_2 \in B$ . Since h is k-cobounded, there exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $d_B(h(\gamma)(b_1, e), (b_2, e)) \leq k$ . Then

$$d_B(\psi(\gamma)(b_1), b_2) \le d_B(\psi(\gamma)(b_1), \pi[h(\gamma)(b_1, e)]) + d_B(\pi[h(\gamma)(b_1, e)], \pi[(b_2, e)])$$

$$\le D + d(h(\gamma)(b_1, e), (b_2, e))$$

$$< D + k.$$

So by possibly increasing K, it follows that  $\psi$  is K-cobounded.

Next we show that the quasi-action  $\psi$  induces a homomorphism  $\Gamma \to \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ . It is proved in [Sch95] that the quasi-isometry  $\psi(\gamma)$  of B coarsely extends to an isometry of X. More precisely, for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  there exists  $\beta > 0$  and  $\theta(\gamma) \in \mathrm{Isom}(X)$  such that

(2) 
$$d_X(\psi(\gamma)(b), \theta(\gamma)(b)) \le \beta$$

for all  $b \in B$ . It turns out the constant  $\beta$  depends only on the quasi-isometry constants of  $\psi(\gamma)$ . Hence  $\beta$  depends on K and not on  $\gamma$ . Before we show that  $\theta$  is our desired homomorphism, we need the following lemma which states that isometries of X which behave similarly on B must be identical.

**Lemma 11.** Let  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \text{Isom}(X)$ . If there is a constant c such that  $d_X(\alpha(b), \alpha'(b)) \leq c$  for all  $b \in B$ , then  $\alpha = \alpha'$ .

Proof. By considering  $(\alpha')^{-1} \circ \alpha$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $\alpha' = \operatorname{Id}_X$ . Let O be a peripheral horosphere of B and let  $p \in \partial X$  be its basepoint. Let  $\{b_n\}$  be a sequence of points in O converging to p. Since  $d_X(\alpha(b_n), b_n) \leq c$  for all n, the sequence  $\{\alpha(b_n)\}$  also converges to p. So the extension of  $\alpha$  to  $\partial X$  must fix p. Since the basepoints of the horospheres of B are dense in  $\partial X$  and the extension of  $\alpha$  to  $\partial X$  is a homeomorphism,  $\alpha$  must fix  $\partial X$  pointwise. Only the identity map on X extends to the identity map on X, so we must have  $\alpha = \operatorname{id}_X$ .

**Lemma 12.** The map  $\theta: \Gamma \to \text{Isom}(X)$  is a group homomorphism.

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ . We need to show that  $\theta(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = \theta(\gamma_1)\theta(\gamma_2)$ . By Lemma 11, it suffices to produce a constant c such that for all  $b \in B$ ,

$$d_X(\theta(\gamma_1\gamma_2)(b), \theta(\gamma_1)\theta(\gamma_2)(b)) \le c.$$

Since  $\psi$  is a K-quasi-action, we have that for all  $b \in B$ ,

$$d_X(\theta(\gamma_1\gamma_2)(b), \theta(\gamma_1)\theta(\gamma_2)(b)) \leq d_X(\theta(\gamma_1\gamma_2)(b), \psi(\gamma_1\gamma_2)(b)) + d_X(\psi(\gamma_1\gamma_2)(b), \psi(\gamma_1)\psi(\gamma_2)(b)) + d_X(\psi(\gamma_1)\psi(\gamma_2)(b), \theta(\gamma_1)\psi(\gamma_2)(b)) + d_X(\theta(\gamma_1)\psi(\gamma_2)(b), \theta(\gamma_1)\theta(\gamma_2)(b)) \leq \beta + K + \beta + \beta.$$

So set  $c = K + 3\beta$ .

Now that we have a homomorphism  $\theta: \Gamma \to \text{Isom}(X)$ , we can extract information about the algebraic structure of  $\Gamma$  by studying the image and kernel of  $\theta$ .

#### 4. The image of $\theta$

Let  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  denote the image of  $\theta$ . Our goal is to show that  $\Lambda_{\Gamma} < \text{Isom}(X)$  is a non-uniform lattice that is commensurable with  $\Lambda$ . Our arguments in this section closely follow those in [FLS15]. From now on, unless otherwise stated, the Hausdorff distance dist<sub>H</sub>  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  between subsets of X will be considered with respect to  $d_X$ .

Recall that a quasi-isometry of the neutered space B coarsely permutes the peripheral horospheres [Sch95]. The inequality (2) says that the isometry  $\theta(\gamma)$  is at finite distance (on B) from the quasi-isometry  $\psi(\gamma)$ . So it follows that  $\theta(\gamma)$  also coarsely permutes the peripheral horospheres of B. We make this precise in the following lemma. Let  $\Omega$  denote the set of peripheral horospheres of B.

**Lemma 13.** There exists a  $\beta' > 0$  such that the following holds. For each  $\alpha \in \Lambda_{\Gamma}$  and horosphere  $O \in \Omega$ , there is a unique horosphere  $O' \in \Omega$  such that  $dist_H(\alpha(O), O') \leq \beta'$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha$  and O be given. Then  $\alpha = \theta(\gamma)$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . The quasi-isometry  $\psi(\gamma)$  permutes the peripheral horospheres of B up to a constant error which depends only on the quasi-isometry constants of  $\psi(\gamma)$ . Since  $\psi$  is a K-quasi-action, this means there is a constant C = C(K) and a unique  $O' \in \Omega$  such that  $\operatorname{dist}_{H}(\psi(\gamma)(O), O') \leq C$ . From (2) we also have  $\operatorname{dist}_{H}(\psi(\gamma)(O), \theta(\gamma)(O)) \leq \beta$ . Set  $\beta' = \beta + C$ . Then  $\beta'$  is independent of  $\alpha$  and O, and

$$\operatorname{dist}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\alpha(O),O'\right) \leq \operatorname{dist}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\theta(\gamma)(O),\psi(\gamma)(O)\right) + \operatorname{dist}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\psi(\gamma)(O),O'\right) = \beta + C = \beta'.$$

Let  $P \subset \partial X$  denote the set of basepoints of the peripheral horospheres of B. Since each element of  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  coarsely permutes these horospheres, we obtain an action of  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  on P.

**Lemma 14.** The group  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  acts on the set P with finitely many orbits, and given  $p \in P$ , every element of  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  that fixes p under this action also preserves the peripheral horosphere in  $\Omega$  based at p.

Proof. Let  $\alpha \in \Lambda_{\Gamma}$  and  $p \in P$ . Let  $O \in \Omega$  be the horosphere based at p. By Lemma 13, there is a unique  $O' \in \Omega$  such that  $\operatorname{dist}_{H}(\alpha(O), O') < \infty$ . Let  $p' \in P$  be the basepoint of O'. Since the Hausdorff distance between  $\alpha(O)$  and O' is finite, the horosphere  $\alpha(O)$  is also based at p'. So in the extension of  $\alpha$  to  $\partial X$ , we in fact have  $\alpha(p) = p'$ . Thus  $\alpha \cdot p = p'$  defines an action of  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  on P.

Next we show that this action has finitely many orbits. Fix a point  $b \in B$ . For any r > 0,  $\overline{B_X(b,r)}$  is compact, and since there is a lower bound on the  $d_X$ -distance between horospheres of B, only finitely many of them may intersect  $B_X(b,r)$ . Hence, we denote by  $A \subset \Omega$  the finite subset of horospheres whose  $d_X$ -distance from b is at most  $K + \beta + \beta'$ , and let  $P_0 \subset P$  be the finite set of basepoints of horospheres in A. We show that every orbit in P has a representative in  $P_0$ . Fix  $p \in P$ . Let  $O \in \Omega$  be the horosphere based at p, and let  $x \in O$ . Since the quasi-action of  $\Gamma$  on B is K-cobounded, there is a  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $d_X(b, \psi(\gamma)(x)) \leq d_B(b, \psi(\gamma)(x)) \leq K$ . Let  $\alpha = \theta(\gamma)$ . Then  $d_X(b, \alpha(x)) \leq K + \beta$  by (2). By Lemma 13, there is a horosphere  $O' \in \Omega$  such that the Hausdorff distance between  $\alpha(O)$  and O' is at most  $\beta'$ . In particular, there exists  $x' \in O'$  such that  $d_X(\alpha(x), x') \leq \beta'$ . Then

$$d_X(b, x') \le d_X(b, \alpha(x)) + d_X(\alpha(x), x') \le K + \beta + \beta'.$$

So  $O' \in A$  and its basepoint p' is in  $P_0$ . By definition of the action of  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  on P, we have  $\alpha \cdot p = p'$ . Hence  $p = \alpha^{-1} \cdot p'$ , so p is in the orbit of a point in  $P_0$ . This proves the first part of the statement.

Now let  $p \in P$  be the basepoint of  $O \in \Omega$  and suppose  $\alpha \in \Lambda_{\Gamma}$  fixes p. Since  $\alpha \cdot p = p$ , the horosphere  $\alpha(O)$  is also based at p. If  $\alpha(O) \neq O$  then  $\delta = \operatorname{dist}_{H}(O, \alpha(O)) > 0$ . Moreover,  $\alpha$  must be a hyperbolic isometry whose axis has p as an endpoint. So  $\operatorname{dist}_{H}(O, \alpha^{n}(O)) = n\delta$ . Then for large n, the Hausdorff distance between O and  $\alpha^{n}(O)$  would exceed  $\beta'$ , contradicting Lemma 13. Thus  $\alpha(O) = O$ .

Let  $P_0 = \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\} \subset P$  be a finite set of representatives for the action of  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  on P, given by Lemma 14. By removing elements from  $P_0$  as necessary, we may assume that no two elements in  $P_0$  represent the same orbit. So the orbits of the points in  $P_0$  form a partition of P. For each  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ , let  $O_i \in \Omega$  be the horosphere based at  $p_i$ , and let  $\widehat{O}_i$  be the horosphere contained in the horoball bounded by  $O_i$  such that  $\operatorname{dist}_H\left(\widehat{O}_i, O_i\right) = \beta'$ . Let  $\widehat{\Omega}$  be the set of horospheres obtained by translating  $\widehat{O}_1, \ldots, \widehat{O}_k$  by the elements of  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$ . The second part of Lemma 14 guarantees that no two distinct horospheres of  $\widehat{\Omega}$  share the same basepoint. Let  $\widehat{B}$  denote the complement in X of the union U of the open horoballs bounded by the horospheres in  $\widehat{\Omega}$ , and endow  $\widehat{B}$  with the path-metric induced by  $d_X$ . Note that U is  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$ -invariant by construction, and so  $\widehat{B}$  is  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$ -invariant. It follows that  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  acts isometrically on  $\widehat{B}$ . We observe in the next lemma that  $\widehat{B}$  is a thickening of B.

**Lemma 15.** The following containments hold:

$$B \subseteq \widehat{B} \subseteq N_{2\beta'}(B),$$

where  $N_r(B) = \{x \in X : d_X(x,B) \le r\}$  for r > 0. In particular, B and  $\widehat{B}$  are quasi-isometric.

*Proof.* Let  $\widehat{O} \in \widehat{\Omega}$ . Then  $\widehat{O}$  is based at some  $p \in P$ , and we let O be the horosphere in  $\Omega$  that is based at p. By definition,  $\widehat{O} = \alpha(\widehat{O}_i)$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  and  $\alpha \in \Lambda_{\Gamma}$ . Recall that  $\widehat{O}_i$  is

the horosphere contained in the horoball bounded by  $O_i$  such that  $\operatorname{dist}_{H}\left(\widehat{O}_i, O_i\right) = \beta'$ . Since  $\alpha$  is an isometry,  $\alpha(\widehat{O}_i)$  must be the horosphere contained in the horoball bounded by  $\alpha(O_i)$  such that  $\operatorname{dist}_{H}\left(\alpha(\widehat{O}_i), \alpha(O_i)\right) = \beta'$ . By Lemma 13,  $\operatorname{dist}_{H}\left(\alpha(O_i), O\right) \leq \beta'$ . Altogether,  $\widehat{O}$  must be contained in the horoball bounded by O, and

$$\operatorname{dist}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(O,\widehat{O}\right) \leq \operatorname{dist}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(O,\alpha(O_{i})\right) + \operatorname{dist}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\alpha(O_{i}),\alpha(\widehat{O}_{i})\right) \leq 2\beta'.$$

The first part of the lemma follows, and so the inclusion  $B \hookrightarrow \widehat{B}$  is a quasi-isometry.  $\square$ 

Since  $B/\Lambda$  has finitely many boundary components which lift to the peripheral horospheres, there is an R>0 such that the  $d_X$ -distance between distinct horospheres of B is at least R. Then  $B\subseteq \widehat{B}$  implies that the  $d_X$ -distance between distinct elements of  $\widehat{\Omega}$  is also at least R. We are now equipped to show that  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  is a non-uniform lattice.

**Proposition 16.** The group  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  is a non-uniform lattice in Isom(X), and admits  $\widehat{B}$  as its associated neutered space.

Proof. We first show that  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  is a discrete subgroup. Recall that  $P \subset \partial X$  is a dense subset. So pick horospheres  $\widehat{O}_1, \ldots, \widehat{O}_{m+1}$  in  $\widehat{\Omega}$ , where  $m = \dim X$ , with basepoints  $p_1, \ldots, p_{m+1}$  such that  $\{p_1, \ldots, p_{m+1}\}$  is not contained in the ideal boundary of any hyperplane of X. Then the only isometry of X whose extension to  $\partial X$  fixes every  $p_i$  is the identity map. For each  $i = 1, \ldots, m+1$ , pick some  $x_i \in \widehat{O}_i$ , and set

$$U = \{ \alpha \in \text{Isom}(X) : d_X(\alpha(x_i), x_i) < R \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m+1 \}.$$

Then  $U \subset \text{Isom}(X)$  is an open neighborhood of the identity, with respect to the compactopen topology. Let  $\alpha \in \Lambda_{\Gamma} \cap U$ . Since  $\alpha \in \Lambda_{\Gamma}$ ,  $\alpha$  permutes  $\widehat{\Omega}$ . Since  $\alpha \in U$ ,  $d_X(\alpha(\widehat{O}_i), \widehat{O}_i) < R$ . Together, these imply that  $\alpha(\widehat{O}_i) = \widehat{O}_i$ , and hence  $\alpha \cdot p_i = p_i$ , for each  $i = 1, \ldots, m+1$ . So  $\alpha = \text{id}_X$  and  $\Lambda_{\Gamma} \cap U = \{\text{id}_X\}$ . Thus  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  is a discrete subgroup.

Next we show that  $X/\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  has finite volume. Recall that the quasi-action of  $\Gamma$  on B is K-cobounded. It follows from the definition of  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  and the containment  $\widehat{B} \subseteq N_{2\beta'}(B)$  that the  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$ -orbit of a (every) point in  $\widehat{B}$  is  $(K+3\beta')$ -dense in  $\widehat{B}$ . Hence the quotient orbifold  $\widehat{B}/\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  is compact, and by Lemma 14, it has finitely many boundary components  $V_1, \ldots, V_j$ . Suppose  $\widehat{O}_i \in \widehat{\Omega}$  projects onto the boundary component  $V_i$ . Note that  $\alpha(\widehat{O}_i) \cap \widehat{O}_i \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $\alpha(\widehat{O}_i) = \widehat{O}_i$  if and only if  $\alpha$  is in the stabilizer  $\Lambda_{\Gamma_i}$  of the basepoint of  $\widehat{O}_i$ . The subset  $V_i = \widehat{O}_i/\Lambda_{\Gamma_i}$  of the compact quotient  $\widehat{B}/\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  is closed, and therefore, compact. Let  $W_i \subset X$  be the horoball bounded by  $\widehat{O}_i$ . Then  $W_i/\Lambda_{\Gamma_i}$  has finite volume, and since  $(\bigcup_{i=1}^j W_i) \cup \widehat{B}$  projects surjectively onto  $X/\Lambda_{\Gamma}$ , we conclude that  $X/\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  has finite volume. Thus  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  is a non-uniform lattice.

We are now in a position to use Schwartz' rigidity theorem for non-uniform rank one lattices.

Corollary 17. The group  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  is commensurable with  $\Lambda$ .

*Proof.* Recall that B and  $\widehat{B}$  are quasi-isometric by Lemma 15. Since  $\Lambda$  acts geometrically on B and  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  acts geometrically on  $\widehat{B}$ , the Milnor-Schwarz lemma implies that the two non-uniform lattices  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  are quasi-isometric. Then the corollary follows from Schwartz' theorem.

#### 5. The Kernel of $\theta$

Next we analyze the kernel of  $\theta$ , and our goal is to show that  $\ker \theta$  is virtually a nilpotent lattice. To this end, we first show that via the quasi-action h of  $\Gamma$  on  $B \times N$ , each  $\gamma \in \ker \theta$  coarsely preserves the fibers  $\{b\} \times N \subset B \times N$ .

**Lemma 18.** There is a  $\delta > 0$  such that for each  $\gamma \in \ker \theta$  the following holds. For every fiber  $F = \{b\} \times N \subset B \times N$  and every  $x \in F$ , we have  $h(\gamma)(x) \in N_{\delta}(F)$ 

Proof. Fix  $\gamma \in \ker \theta$ . Then  $\theta(\gamma) = \operatorname{id}_X$  and so  $d_X(\psi(\gamma)(b), b) \leq \beta$  for all  $b \in B$ . By Lemma 8, there exists  $\delta' > 0$  so that in B, every  $d_X$ -ball of radius  $\beta$  is contained in a  $d_B$ -ball of radius  $\delta'$ . Then  $d_B(\psi(\gamma)(b), b) \leq \delta'$  for all  $b \in B$ . Take a fiber  $F = \{b_0\} \times N \subset B \times N$  and let  $x \in F$ . Then

$$d_B(\pi[h(\gamma)(x)], b_0) \le d_B(\pi[h(\gamma)(x)], \psi(\gamma)(\pi[x])) + d_B(\psi(\gamma)(b_0), b_0) \le D + \delta'.$$

Set  $\delta = D + \delta'$  and note that this value does not depend on the choice of  $\gamma$ . Then observe that  $d_B(\pi[h(\gamma)(x)], b_0) \leq \delta$  if and only if  $h(\gamma)(x) \in N_{\delta}(F)$ .

Fix a fiber  $F_0 = \{b_0\} \times N \subset B \times N$ . For  $\gamma \in \ker \theta$  and  $x \in F_0$ , Lemma 18 guarantees that there exists a point  $f(\gamma)(x)$  in  $F_0$  such that  $d(f(\gamma)(x), h(\gamma)(x)) \leq \delta$ . So for each  $\gamma \in \ker \theta$  we have a map  $f(\gamma) : F_0 \to F_0$ .

**Proposition 19.** The map  $\gamma \mapsto f(\gamma)$  is a cobounded quasi-action of ker  $\theta$  on  $F_0$ .

*Proof.* First we show that  $f(\gamma)$  is a quasi-isometry. Fix  $\gamma \in \ker \theta$ , and let  $x, y \in F_0$ . Then

$$d(f(\gamma)(x), f(\gamma)(y)) \le d(h(\gamma)(x), h(\gamma)(y)) + 2\delta$$
  
 
$$\le kd(x, y) + k + 2\delta$$

and

$$d(f(\gamma)(x), f(\gamma)(y)) \ge d(h(\gamma)(x), h(\gamma)(y)) - 2\delta$$
  
 
$$\ge \frac{1}{k}d(x, y) - k - 2\delta$$

Let  $z \in F_0$ . Since  $h(\gamma)$  has k-dense image, there is some  $y = (b, n) \in B \times N$  for which  $d(z, h(\gamma)(y)) \le k$ . By Lemma 18,  $d_B(\pi[h(\gamma)(y)], b) \le \delta$ . So it turns out that b cannot be too far from  $b_0$ . Indeed,

$$d_B(b_0, b) \le d_B(b_0, \pi[h(\gamma)(y)]) + d_B(\pi[h(\gamma)(y)], b)$$
  

$$\le d(z, h(\gamma)(x)) + \delta$$
  

$$\le k + \delta.$$

Set 
$$x = (b_0, n)$$
. Then  $d(x, y) = d_B(b_0, b) \le k + \delta$ , and so 
$$d(z, f(\gamma)(x)) \le d(z, h(\gamma)(y)) + d(h(\gamma)(y), h(\gamma)(x)) + d(h(\gamma)(x), f(\gamma)(x))$$
$$\le k + (kd(x, y) + k) + \delta$$
$$\le k + k(k + \delta) + k + \delta.$$

It follows that  $f(\gamma)$  is a quasi-isometry. For all  $x \in F_0$ ,

$$d(f(1)(x), x) \le d(f(1)(x), h(1)(x)) + d(h(1)(x), x) \le \delta + k.$$

Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$  and  $x \in F_0$ . Then

$$d(f(\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2})(x), f(\gamma_{1})f(\gamma_{2})(x)) \leq d(f(\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2})(x), h(\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2})(x)) + d(h(\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2})(x), f(\gamma_{1})f(\gamma_{2})(x))$$

$$\leq \delta + d(h(\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2})(x), h(\gamma_{1})h(\gamma_{2})(x))$$

$$+ d(h(\gamma_{1})h(\gamma_{2})(x), f(\gamma_{1})f(\gamma_{2})(x))$$

$$\leq \delta + k + d(h(\gamma_{1})h(\gamma_{2})(x), h(\gamma_{1})f(\gamma_{2})(x))$$

$$+ d(h(\gamma_{1})f(\gamma_{2})(x), f(\gamma_{1})f(\gamma_{2})(x))$$

$$\leq \delta + k + [kd(h(\gamma_{2})(x), f(\gamma_{2})(x)) + k] + \delta$$

$$\leq \delta + k + k\delta + k + \delta.$$

It follows that f is a quasi-action, and it remains to show that f is cobounded.

Recall that it suffices to show that the orbit of  $x_0 = (b_0, e) \in F_0$  is r-dense in  $F_0$  for some r > 0. First we claim that if  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  is such that  $h(\gamma)(x_0) \in N_k(F_0)$ , then  $\theta(\gamma)$  moves  $b_0$  by a distance which is bounded independently of  $\gamma$ . Indeed, if  $h(\gamma)(x_0) \in N_k(F_0)$  then

$$d_X(\theta(\gamma)(b_0), b_0) \le d_X(\theta(\gamma)(b_0), \psi(\gamma)(b_0)) + d_B(\psi(\gamma)(\pi[x_0]), \pi[h(\gamma)(x_0)]) + d_B(\pi[h(\gamma)(x_0)], \pi[x_0])$$
  
 
$$\le \beta + D + k.$$

By an Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, the isometries of X which move  $b_0$  by a distance at most  $\beta + D + k$  lie in a compact subset C of the compact-open topology on Isom(X). Since  $\Lambda_{\Gamma} \subset \text{Isom}(X)$  is discrete, the intersection  $A = \Lambda_{\Gamma} \cap C$  is finite. In summary,  $h(\gamma)(x_0) \in N_k(F_0)$  implies  $\theta(\gamma) \in A$ . For each  $a \in A$ , pick  $\gamma_a \in \theta^{-1}(\{a\})$  and set  $M = \max_{a \in A} d(x_0, h(\gamma_a^{-1})(x_0))$ .

Now, let  $y \in F_0$  be arbitrary. Since the quasi-action h of  $\Gamma$  on  $B \times N$  is k-cobounded, there is a  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $d(y, h(\gamma)(x_0)) \leq k$ . Then  $h(\gamma)(x_0) \in N_k(F_0)$ , so  $\theta(\gamma)$  is equal to some  $a \in A$ . Then  $\gamma \gamma_a^{-1} \in \ker \theta$  and

$$d(y, h(\gamma \gamma_a^{-1})(x_0)) \leq d(y, h(\gamma)h(\gamma_a^{-1})(x_0)) + k$$

$$\leq d(y, h(\gamma)(x_0)) + d(h(\gamma)(x_0), h(\gamma)h(\gamma_a^{-1})(x_0)) + k$$

$$\leq kd(x_0, h(\gamma_a^{-1})(x_0)) + 3k$$

$$\leq kM + 3k.$$

Hence,  $d(y, f(\gamma \gamma_a^{-1})(x_0)) \le kM + 3k + \delta$ , and so the (ker  $\theta$ )-orbit of  $x_0$  is  $(kM + 3k + \delta)$ -dense in  $F_0$ .

**Proposition 20.** The group  $\ker \theta$  is finitely generated and quasi-isometric to N.

Proof. Set  $x_0 = (b_0, e) \in F_0$ . Since f is a cobounded quasi-action of  $\ker \theta$  on  $F_0$ , and  $F_0$  is isometric to N, the proposition follows from the stronger version of the Milnor-Schwarz lemma once we show that for r > 0, the set  $\{\gamma \in \ker \theta : f(\gamma)B_{F_0}(x_0, r) \cap B_{F_0}(x_0, r) \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite. By definition,  $d(f(\gamma)(x), h(\gamma)(x)) \leq \delta$  for  $\gamma \in \ker \theta$  and  $x \in F_0$ . So it suffices to show that for r > 0, the set  $\{\gamma \in \Gamma : h(\gamma)B(x_0, r) \cap B(x_0, r) \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite, where  $B(x_0, r) = B_{B \times N}(x_0, r)$ . Recall that h is defined by  $h(\gamma)(x) = \varphi(\gamma\varphi^{-1}(x))$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $x \in B \times N$ , where  $\varphi : \Gamma \to B \times N$  is a quasi-isometry and  $\varphi^{-1}$  is a quasi-inverse. Since  $\varphi$  is a quasi-isometry, the set  $\{\gamma' \in \Gamma : \varphi(\gamma') \in B(x_0, r)\}$  is finite. Since  $\varphi^{-1}$  is a quasi-isometry,  $\varphi^{-1}(B(x_0, r))$  is also finite. It follows that the set  $\{\gamma \in \Gamma : \varphi(\gamma\varphi^{-1}(B(x_0, r))) \cap B(x_0, r) \neq \emptyset\}$  must be finite, as desired.

## 6. Quasi-isometric rigidity and nilcentral extensions

We are now ready to prove our main result which is quasi-isometric rigidity for products of the form  $\Lambda \times L$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a non-uniform rank one lattice and L is a nilpotent lattice.

**Theorem 21.** Let  $X \neq \mathbb{H}^2$  be a negatively curved symmetric space. Let  $\Lambda$  be a non-uniform lattice in  $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$  and L be a nilpotent lattice. If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated group quasi-isometric to  $\Lambda \times L$ , then there exist short exact sequences

$$1 \longrightarrow L' \longrightarrow \Gamma' \longrightarrow \Delta \longrightarrow 1,$$

$$1 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \Delta \longrightarrow \Lambda' \longrightarrow 1.$$

where  $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$  and  $\Lambda' \leq \Lambda$  have finite index, L' is a nilpotent lattice quasi-isometric to L,  $\Delta$  is a group, and F is a finite group.

Proof. By Proposition 20,  $\ker \theta$  is quasi-isometric to N, where N is the simply connected nilpotent Lie group in which L is a lattice. In particular  $\ker \theta$  has polynomial growth. Then by Gromov's polynomial growth theorem,  $\ker \theta$  is virtually nilpotent. So  $\ker \theta$  has a finite-index, hence finitely generated, nilpotent subgroup K. Such groups are virtually torsion-free, so take a finite-index torsion-free subgroup  $K' \leq K$ . Since  $\ker \theta$  is finitely generated, it has only finitely many subgroups with the same index as K'. Let L' denote their intersection. Then L' is a finite-index characteristic subgroup of  $\ker \theta$ . Moreover, L' is finitely generated, nilpotent, and torsion-free. A theorem of Malcev [Mal51] then says that L' embeds as a lattice in a simply connected nilpotent Lie group N', known as the (real) Malcev completion of L' (see also [Rag72, Theorem 2.18]). Since L' is characteristic in  $\ker \theta$ , it is normal in  $\Gamma$ . By construction,  $\Gamma/L'$  is an extension of  $\Lambda_{\Gamma} = \Gamma/\ker \theta$  by the finite group  $F = \ker \theta/L'$ . By Corollary 17,  $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$  is virtually a finite-index subgroup  $\Lambda' \leq \Lambda$  which is also a non-uniform lattice in Isom(X). Let  $\Gamma' = \theta^{-1}(\Lambda')$  and  $\Delta = \Gamma'/L'$ . Then we obtain the desired short exact sequences.

Since lattices in simply connected nilpotent Lie groups are uniform,  $L, N, \ker \theta$ , and L' are all quasi-isometric to each other. The quotient  $\Gamma'/L'$  is a finite extension of a finite-index subgroup of  $\Lambda$ , so  $\Gamma'/L'$  and  $\Lambda$  are virtually isomorphic, hence quasi-isometric, to each other.

**Remark 22.** One may wonder whether the fact that N and N' are quasi-isometric determines any algebraic relation between them or between L and L'. However, it is an open problem whether quasi-isometric simply connected nilpotent Lie groups are necessarily isomorphic.

Remark 23. It is natural to wonder whether the short exact sequence (1) splits, perhaps after passing to further finite-index subgroups. Indeed, a conclusion such as this would strengthen even further the connection between the algebraic structure of  $\Gamma$  and that of  $\Lambda \times L$ . However, it was shown in [FLS15] that the sequence does not in general virtually split when  $\Lambda$  is the fundamental group of a complete finite-volume real hyperbolic m-manifold,  $m \geq 3$ , and  $N = \mathbb{Z}^d$ .

In the setting of Theorem 3 where  $L' = \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $\Gamma'$  may be chosen so that the group extension (1) is a central extension. In our more general setting where L' is not necessarily abelian, it does not make sense to ask whether (1) is a central extension. Despite this, we are still able to derive some extra structure for the group extension (1), analogous to centrality, when we focus our attention to the case when X is either quaternionic hyperbolic space or the Cayley hyperbolic plane. Our argument works for these specific symmetric spaces because we rely on a superrigidity theorem that applies when  $\operatorname{Isom}(X)$  has Kazhdan's property (T). Indeed, for those symmetric spaces,  $\operatorname{Isom}(X)$  is either  $\operatorname{Sp}(n,1)$  or  $\operatorname{F}_{4(-20)}$ , both of which are known to have property (T) by Kostant  $[\operatorname{Kos}69]$  (see also  $[\operatorname{BdlHV08}]$ ). On the other hand, if X is real or complex hyperbolic space, then  $\operatorname{Isom}(X)$  is  $\operatorname{SO}(n,1)$  or  $\operatorname{SU}(n,1)$  and does not have property (T).

To state the superrigidity theorem, we first give a definition. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Given a lattice  $\Lambda$  in a locally compact group G and a representation  $\pi: \Lambda \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ , we say that  $\pi$  almost extends to a continuous representation of G if there exist representations  $\pi_1: G \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$  and  $\pi_2: \Lambda \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$  such that:  $\pi_2$  has bounded image, the images of  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  commute, and  $\pi(\gamma) = \pi_1(\gamma)\pi_2(\gamma)$  for all  $\gamma \in G$ .

**Theorem 24.** [FH12, Theorem 3.7] Let G be a semisimple Lie group with no compact factors and Kazhdan's property (T). Let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice in G and  $\pi: \Lambda \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$  a finite-dimensional representation. Then  $\pi$  almost extends to a continuous representation of G.

We now give a precise definition of the extra structure we alluded to above. Let G be a group with a nilpotent normal subgroup N. The upper central series of N is

$$1 = Z_0 \triangleleft Z_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft Z_n = N$$

where  $Z_1 = Z(N)$  and  $Z_{i+1}/Z_i = Z(N/Z_i)$ . Recall that the  $Z_i$  are characteristic subgroups of N, so in particular they are normal in G. We say that N is a nilcentral subgroup of G if  $Z(N/Z_i) \subseteq Z(G/Z_i)$  for each i = 0, ..., n. Observe that N is nilcentral in G if and only if  $Z(N) \subseteq Z(G)$  and N/Z(N) is nilcentral in G/Z(N). An abelian subgroup is nilcentral if and only if it is central, and a nilpotent group N is nilcentral in  $N \times G$  for every group G. We now give an example of a group with a normal subgroup which is nilpotent but not nilcentral.

**Example 25.** Let N be the discrete Heisenberg group with group presentation

$$N = \langle a, b, c \mid [a, c], [b, c], [a, b]c^{-1} \rangle.$$

Let  $H = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\pm 1\}$  act on N via the action  $\varphi : H \to \operatorname{Aut}(N)$  defined by

$$\varphi_{-1}(a) = a, \quad \varphi_{-1}(b) = b, \quad \varphi_{-1}(c) = c^{-1}.$$

Let  $G = N \rtimes_{\varphi} H$ . Then N is a nilpotent normal subgroup of G but it is not nilcentral because  $Z(N) \not\subseteq Z(G)$ . Indeed,

$$(c,1)(a,-1) = (ca,-1)$$
 and  $(a,-1)(c,1) = (ac^{-1},-1),$ 

but  $(ca, -1) = (ac^{-1}, -1) = (c^{-1}a, -1)$  if and only if  $c = c^{-1}$ , which is not the case. Thus  $c \in Z(N)$ , but  $(c, 1) \notin Z(G)$ .

Let

$$1 \longrightarrow N \stackrel{j}{\longrightarrow} G \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 1$$

be a short exact sequence of groups, where N is nilpotent. We say that (3) is a nilcentral extension if j(N) is nilcentral in G. Note that an abelian extension is nilcentral if and only if it is central. Our theorem at the end of the section provides a family of non-trivial examples of nilpotent extensions that are nilcentral. On the other hand, if  $\varphi: H \to \operatorname{Aut}(N)$  is as in Example 25, then

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N \rtimes_{\sigma} H \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 1$$

is a nilpotent extension which is not nilcentral.

We will see that in the situation of Theorem A under some additional conditions, passing to a finite-index subgroup of  $\Gamma'$  does yield a nilcentral extension. To this end, we make the following definition. We say that (3) is a *virtually nilcentral* extension if G has a finite-index subgroup G' containing j(N) such that j(N) is nilcentral in G'. Recall that this means  $Z(N/Z_i) \subseteq Z(G'/Z_i)$  for  $i = 0, \ldots, n$ , where N is identified with  $j(N) \subseteq G$ . We now give a more tractable characterization of virtually nilcentral extensions.

**Proposition 26.** The short exact sequence (3) is a virtually nilcentral extension if and only if for each i = 0, ..., n,  $G/Z_i$  has a finite-index subgroup  $K_i$  containing  $N/Z_i$ , such that  $Z(N/Z_i) \subseteq Z(K_i)$ .

Proof. The forward direction is done by taking  $K_i = G'/Z_i$  for each i. For the reverse direction, recall that  $K_i = G_i/Z_i$  for some  $G_i \leq G$ . So  $N \leq G_i$  and  $[G:G_i] = [G/Z_i:K_i] < \infty$ . Let  $G' = \bigcap G_i$ . Since each  $G_i$  has finite index, so does G'. For each  $G_i$  for each  $G_i$  and since  $G_i$  has finite index, so does G'. For each  $G_i$  for each  $G_i$  has finite index, so does G'. For each  $G_i$  for each  $G_i$  has finite index, so does G'.

Now suppose we are in the situation of Theorem A and we have the following two short exact sequences.

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta \longrightarrow 1$$

$$1 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \Delta \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow 1$$

where N is a nilpotent lattice (we are now using N to denote the lattice, not the ambient Lie group), F is finite, and  $\Lambda$  is a non-uniform lattice in  $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ , where  $X \neq \mathbb{H}^2$  is a negatively curved symmetric space. Since N is finitely generated, nilpotent, and torsion-free,  $Z(N/Z_i) = Z_{i+1}/Z_i$  is a finitely generated free abelian group. That is,  $Z(N/Z_i) = \mathbb{Z}^{d_i}$  for some  $d_i$ , and so  $\Sigma(N) = \max_i d_i$ . We now focus on the situation when X is quaternionic hyperbolic space or the Cayley hyperbolic plane, and  $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$  has sufficiently large dimension.

**Theorem 27.** Suppose X is either quaternionic hyperbolic space or the Cayley hyperbolic plane. If dim Isom $(X) > \Sigma(N)$ , then (4) is a virtually nilcentral extension.

Proof. Fix  $i \in \{0, ..., n\}$ . By Proposition 26 it suffices to show that  $\Gamma/Z_i$  has a finite-index subgroup  $K_i$  containing  $N/Z_i$  in which  $Z(N/Z_i)$  is central. Since  $N/Z_i$  is normal in  $\Gamma/Z_i$  and  $Z(N/Z_i)$  is characteristic in  $N/Z_i$ , we have  $Z(N/Z_i) \triangleleft \Gamma/Z_i$ . So  $\Gamma/Z_i$  acts by conjugation on  $Z(N/Z_i)$ , and we call this action  $\rho_1$ . If  $\rho_1 : \Gamma/Z_i \to \operatorname{Aut}(Z(N/Z_i))$  has finite image, then we may take  $K_i = \ker \rho_1$  to finish. Since  $N/Z_i \le \ker \rho_1$ , we may project  $\rho_1$  to an action  $\rho_2$  of  $\Delta = (\Gamma/Z_i)/(N/Z_i)$  on  $Z(N/Z_i)$ . Then it suffices to show that  $\rho_2 : \Delta \to \operatorname{Aut}(Z(N/Z_i))$  has finite image because im  $\rho_2 = \operatorname{im} \rho_1$ .

Recall that  $Z(N/Z_i) = \mathbb{Z}^d$  for some  $d \leq r$ . So  $\operatorname{Aut}(Z(N/Z_i)) = \operatorname{GL}(d,\mathbb{Z})$ , and the latter group naturally embeds into  $\operatorname{GL}(d,\mathbb{R})$ . Composing  $\rho_2$  with this inclusion yields a homomorphism  $\rho_3 : \Delta \to \operatorname{GL}(d,\mathbb{R})$ , and it suffices to show that  $\rho_3$  has bounded image. From (5),  $\Delta$  is finitely generated because F is finite and the lattice  $\Lambda$  is finitely generated. Thus by Selberg's lemma,  $\rho_3(\Delta)$  has a finite-index subgroup which is torsion-free. This subgroup has pre-image  $\Delta' \leq \Delta$  which also has finite index. Let  $\rho_4 : \Delta' \to \operatorname{GL}(d,\mathbb{R})$  be the restriction  $\rho_3|_{\Delta'}$ , and note that since  $[\Delta : \Delta'] < \infty$ , it suffices to show that  $\rho_4$  has bounded image. Since  $\rho_4(\Delta')$  is torsion-free and F is finite, we have that  $\Delta' \cap F \subset \ker \rho_4$ . So  $\rho_4$  projects to a homomorphism  $\rho_5 : \Delta'/(\Delta' \cap F) \to \operatorname{GL}(d,\mathbb{R})$ . Since im  $\rho_5 = \operatorname{im} \rho_4$ , it suffices to show that  $\rho_5$  has bounded image.

Now,  $\Delta'/(\Delta' \cap F)$  is isomorphic to  $(\Delta'F)/F$ , and since  $\Delta'$  has finite index in  $\Delta$ ,  $(\Delta'F)/F$  has finite index in  $\Delta/F = \Lambda$ . Thus,  $\Delta'/(\Delta' \cap F)$  is a lattice in  $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ . The hypothesis on X implies  $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$  has property (T). Hence, we may apply Theorem 24 to  $\rho_5$  to obtain representations  $\pi_1 : \mathrm{Isom}(X) \to \mathrm{GL}(d,\mathbb{R})$  and  $\pi_2 : \Delta'/(\Delta' \cap F) \to \mathrm{GL}(d,\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\pi_2$  has bounded image, such that  $\rho_5(\gamma) = \pi_1(\gamma)\pi_2(\gamma)$  for  $\gamma \in \Delta'/(\Delta' \cap F)$ . If dim  $\mathrm{Isom}(X) > \Sigma(N) \geq d$ , so that the simple group  $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$  has larger dimension than  $\mathrm{GL}(d,\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\pi_1$  must be trivial, in which case  $\rho_5 = \pi_2$  has bounded image.

**Remark 28.** dim  $Sp(n, 1) = 2n^2 + 5n + 3$  and dim  $F_{4(-20)} = 52$ .

### References

- [BdlHV08] Bachir Bekka, Pierre de la Harpe, and Alain Valette. *Kazhdan's Property (T)*. Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [DK18] Cornelia Druţu and Michael Kapovich. Geometric Group Theory. American Mathematical Society, 2018.
- [Far97] Benson Farb. The quasi-isometry classification of lattices in semisimple lie groups. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 4:705–717, 1997.
- [FH12] David Fisher and Theron Hitchman. Strengthening Kazhdan's property (T) by Bochner methods. Geometriae Dedicata, 160(1):333–364, 2012.
- [FLS15] Roberto Frigerio, Jean-François Lafont, and Alessandro Sisto. Rigidity of high dimensional graph manifolds. *Astérisque*, 2015.
- [Gro81] Mikhael Gromov. Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps (with an appendix by Jacques Tits). *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 53:53–73, 1981.
- [Gro83] Mikhael Gromov. Infinite groups as geometric objects. In Proceedings of the ICM Warsaw, 1983.
- [KKL98] Michael Kapovich, Bruce Kleiner, and Bernhard Leeb. Quasi-isometries and the de Rham decomposition. *Topology*, 37(6):1193–1211, 1998.
- [Kos69] Bertram Kostant. On the existence and irreducibility of certain series of representations. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 75:627–642, 1969.
- [Mal51] Anatoly Ivanovich Malcev. On a class of homogeneous spaces. Amer. Math. Soc. Translation, 1951.
- [Rag72] Madabusi Santanam Raghunathan. Discrete subgroups of Lie groups, volume 3. Springer, 1972.

- [Sch95] Richard Evan Schwartz. The quasi-isometry classification of rank one lattices. *Publications Mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques*, 82(1):133–168, 1995.
- [Sel60] Atle Selberg. On discontinuous groups in higher-dimensional symmetric spaces. "Contributions to Function Theory, 1960.
- [Wol68] Joseph Albert Wolf. Growth of finitely generated solvable groups and curvature of Riemannian manifolds. *Journal of differential Geometry*, 2(4):421–446, 1968.