

# Mostow Rigidity Made Easier

Richard Evan Schwartz \*

December 11, 2025

## 1 The Main Result

Mostow's rigidity theorem [M] is one of the most famous and spectacular results about hyperbolic manifolds. (See the next section for a definition.) The traditional proofs (and also Gromov's proof [T]) rely on a fair amount of real analysis; the student who wants to learn the result *all the way to the bottom* is in for an arduous journey. In this article I give a self-contained proof of Mostow rigidity that has no analytic black boxes. The proof should be accessible to first-year graduate students interested in geometry and topology. The approach here is similar to what I did in [S] but is analytically lighter.

Given metric spaces  $(X_1, d_1)$  and  $(X_2, d_2)$ , a map  $H : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  is *BL* (bi-Lipschitz) if  $H$  is a bijection and if there is some  $K \geq 1$  such that

$$d_2(H(x), H(y)) \in [K^{-1}, K] d_1(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X_1. \quad (1)$$

When  $K = 1$ , the map  $H$  is an *isometry*. I prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1 (Mostow)** *If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are compact oriented hyperbolic 3-manifolds and  $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  is BL, then there is an isometry  $g : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ . So, diffeomorphic compact oriented hyperbolic 3-manifolds are isometric.*

This is a somewhat limited version of Mostow's original Theorem. In §5.1 I discuss how the same arguments prove the full-blown theorem.

The next chapter proves theorem 1.1 modulo two auxiliary results which we prove in §2 and §3-4 respectively.

I thank Sujung Jo, Dan Margalit, and ChatGPT 5.1 for helpful conversations.

---

\*Supported by N.S.F. Grant DMS-2505281

## 1.1 Background

**Hyperbolic Space:** Let  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$  respectively denote the real and complex numbers. *Hyperbolic 3-space*, denoted  $\mathbf{H}^3$ , is a metric space – technically a Riemannian manifold – modeled on the upper half space in  $\mathbf{C} \times (0, \infty)$ . Some facts: **1.** The maps  $(z, t) \rightarrow (az + b, |a|t)$  for  $a \in \mathbf{C} - \{0\}$  and  $b \in \mathbf{C}$  act on  $\mathbf{H}^3$  isometrically. **2.** The geodesics – i.e. the shortest paths – are either vertical rays or semicircles that meet  $\mathbf{C} \times \{0\}$  at right angles. **3.** The distance between  $(0, R)$  and  $(0, 1)$  is  $|\ln(R)|$ . **4.** Euclidean and hyperbolic length agree within a factor of 2 on the set  $\{0\} \times [1/2, 2]$ . **5.** Euclidean length is less than  $t$  times the hyperbolic length in the set  $\mathbf{C} \times (0, t)$ .

**Hyperbolic Manifolds:** Let  $\mathbf{I}$  be the group of orientation preserving isometries of  $\mathbf{H}^3$ . All manifolds are assumed to be compact and oriented. A *hyperbolic manifold* is any quotient of the form  $M = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbf{I}$ . We only make this definition when  $M$  is actually a manifold. What this means is that  $\mathbf{H}^3$  is the *universal cover* of  $M$  and  $\Gamma$  is the *deck group*.  $\Gamma$  is usually called a *co-compact torsion-free lattice*, but we will call  $\Gamma$  a *nice lattice*.  $M$  inherits a metric from  $\mathbf{H}^3$  and is locally isometric to  $\mathbf{H}^3$ .

**The Moebius Group:** Let  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{C} \cup \infty$  be the Riemann sphere. The *Moebius group*  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$  is the Lie group of  $2 \times 2$  determinant 1 matrices modulo  $\pm 1$ . Elements of  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$  act on  $\mathbf{S}$  by linear fractional transformations:  $z \rightarrow (az + b)/(cz + d)$ . As a special case, a *homothety* is a map of the form  $z \rightarrow az + b$  with  $a \in (0, \infty)$ . We identify  $\mathbf{C}$  with  $\mathbf{C} \times \{0\}$  and we think of  $\mathbf{S}$  as the (ideal) boundary of  $\mathbf{H}^3$ . Each element of  $\mathbf{I}$  extends to act on  $\mathbf{S}$  by an element of  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ , and each element of  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$  arises this way. So, we identify  $\mathbf{I}$  with  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ .

**Compactness:** We mention one crucial property we use in our proof. When  $\Gamma$  is a nice lattice, the larger quotient  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})/\Gamma$  is compact. This derives from the fact that the subgroup of  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$  fixing a point in  $\mathbf{H}^3$  is compact.

**Equivariance:** A BL map  $H : \mathbf{H}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^3$  is *equivariant* if there is a pair of nice lattices  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  such that  $H\Gamma_1 H^{-1} = \Gamma_2$ . Likewise, a homeomorphism  $h : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$  is equivariant if  $h\Gamma_1 h^{-1} = \Gamma_2$ . One fine point: When we say a homeomorphism  $h : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is equivariant we mean that the induced homeomorphism of  $\mathbf{S}$ , which fixes  $\infty$ , is equivariant.

## 1.2 A Lesson in Calculus

Suppose that  $h : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a function that is differentiable at some point  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ . After translating we normalize so that  $a = 0$  and  $h(a) = 0$ . Then what we are saying is that there is some constant  $A$  such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(x)}{x} = A. \quad (2)$$

It follows that, for any nonzero real number  $u$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(u/n)}{u/n} = A. \quad (3)$$

Put another way

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nh(u/n) = Au. \quad (4)$$

We introduce auxiliary functions

$$f_n(x) = nx, \quad g_n(x) = x/n. \quad (5)$$

We define

$$h_n = f_n \circ h \circ g_n. \quad (6)$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(u) = Au. \quad (7)$$

This last equation holds for all  $u \in \mathbf{R}$ , even when  $u = 0$ . Hence  $h_n \rightarrow h'$  where  $h'$  is the linear function  $h'(u) = Au$ . Given the way that limits work – and I invite you to think about this – the convergence is uniform over compact sets of  $\mathbf{R}$ . In short  $h_n \rightarrow h'$  uniformly on compact sets. When  $A = 0$  the map  $h'$  is the zero map. Otherwise  $h'$  is a linear map. This is how we want to think about the derivative: If you zoom in to a point of differentiability, you get a linear map in the limit.

Before moving on, let us extend the lesson a bit. Suppose that  $h : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is a map normalized so that  $h(0) = 0$  and the directional derivative of  $h$  exists in the direction of a line  $L$  through the origin. Then we can define  $h_n$  as above. If we know that the limit  $h'$  exists, then we can say that  $h'|_L$  is a linear map from  $L$  into  $\mathbf{C}$ . The whole map  $h'$  might be a mystery but the restriction to  $L$  is not a mystery. Our constructions below are all built around this idea.

### 1.3 QC Homeomorphisms

We usually abbreviate the word *homeomorphism* to *homeo*. We are interested in homeos of  $\mathbf{S}$  but usually we work with homeos of  $\mathbf{C}$ . A homeo of  $\mathbf{C}$  is the same as a homeo of  $\mathbf{S}$  which fixes  $\infty$ .

**Normalized Homeos:** A pair of points  $(p, q)$  is *M-normalized* if  $|p - q| \geq 1/M$  and  $|p|, |q| \leq M$ . A homeo  $h : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is *M-normalized relative to*  $(p, q)$  if  $(p, q)$  and  $(h(p), h(q))$  are both *M-normalized*. A sequence  $\{h_n\}$  of such homeos is *normalized* if there is some *M* and some pair  $(p, q)$  such that  $h_n$  is *M-normalized relative to*  $(p, q)$  for all *n*.

**QC Homeos:** A *zoom sequence* is a sequence  $\{h_n\}$  where  $h_n = f_n h g_n$  and  $f_n, g_n$  are homotheties. In practice,  $f_n$  and  $g_n$  will be as in our calculus lesson. A homeo  $h$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  is QC if every normalized zoom sequence  $\{h_n\}$  based on  $h$  converges, uniformly on a subsequence, to a homeo  $h'$ . We write  $h \rightarrow h'$  in this case. To iterate this situation, as in §1.4 below, we write

$$h \Rightarrow h' \quad \text{if} \quad h \rightarrow h_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow h_n = h'.$$

**Asterisks:** A point  $z \in \mathbf{C}$  is an *asterisk* for  $h$  if the directional derivative  $D_v h(z)$  exists and is nonzero for every rational direction  $v$ .

The following results are proved in §2 and §3-4 respectively.

**Theorem 1.2** *After composing with an element of  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ , an equivariant BL map of  $\mathbf{H}^3$  extends continuously to an equivariant QC homeo of  $\mathbf{C}$ .*

**Theorem 1.3** *Every QC homeo of  $\mathbf{C}$  has an asterisk.*

**Remarks:**

- (1) Our definition of a quasi-conformal homeo is far from standard, but the Disk Theorem below will relate our definition to a more standard definition. Lehto and Virtanen's book [LV] is the standard reference for QC homeos.
- (2) Theorem 1.2 is well known, and holds in much greater generality. In particular, the equivariance condition (and conclusion) can be dropped. We add the equivariance to make the proof lighter.
- (3) Theorem 1.3 is an idiosyncratic corollary of a well-known result: QC homeos are a.e. nonsingularly differentiable. See [LV]. However, our proof of Mostow Rigidity avoids this hard analytic result.

## 1.4 Zooming

A line  $L \subset \mathbf{C}$  is *good* for a homeo  $h$  if the restriction  $h|_L$  is an affine map. A direction  $D$  is good for  $h$  if every line of direction  $D$  is good for  $h$ . If  $D$  is good for  $h$  and  $h \rightarrow h'$ , then  $D$  is also good for  $h'$ .

**Lemma 1.4** *Suppose that  $k$  directions are good for  $h$ . Then  $h \Rightarrow h_2$ , where  $k + 1$  directions are good for  $h_2$ .*

**Proof:** Rotating and translating, we can assume that none of the specified  $k$  directions for  $h$  is horizontal (i.e. parallel to  $\mathbf{R}$ ), and that  $h$  has an asterisk at 0. Motivated by our calculus lesson, we define

$$h_n(z) = nh(z/n). \quad (8)$$

Since  $h$  is differentiable along the  $x$ -axis, the points  $(p, q) = (0, 1)$  serve as an  $M$  normalized pair for all  $h_n$  and some  $M$ . (The point  $nh(1/n)$  is very close to  $\partial h / \partial x|_0$  for large  $n$ .) Hence  $h \rightarrow h'$  for some  $h'$ .

Let  $L$  be some rational line through the origin. By the definition of differentiability,  $h'|_L$  is multiplication by the directional derivative in the direction of  $L$ . Hence, all rational lines through the origin are good for  $h'$ . By continuity, all lines through the origin are good for  $h'$ .

Let  $\hat{h}$  be the conjugate of  $h'$  by horizontal translation so that all the lines through  $1 \in \mathbf{C}$  are good for  $\hat{h}$ . In a fairly trivial way  $h' \rightarrow \hat{h}$ . Now we repeat the process, using equation 8 for  $\hat{h}$ . Since  $\mathbf{R}$  is a good line for  $\hat{h}$  we see that  $\{\hat{h}_n\}$  is normalized. All the lines through  $n \in \mathbf{C}$  are good for  $\hat{h}_n$ . Around the origin, these lines are looking more and more like the foliation by horizontal lines. Thus  $\hat{h} \rightarrow \hat{h}'$ . The horizontal direction is good for  $\hat{h}'$ . The other  $k$  directions remain good for  $\hat{h}'$ . We set  $h_2 = \hat{h}'$ , and we have  $h \rightarrow h' \rightarrow \hat{h} \rightarrow h_2$ . Hence  $h \Rightarrow h_2$ . ♠

**Corollary 1.5** *Suppose that  $h$  is QC. Then  $h \Rightarrow h_4$  where  $h_4$  is real affine.*

**Proof:** Applying Lemma 1.4 twice, we get  $h \Rightarrow h_4$ , where 2 directions are good for  $h_4$ . There are real affine homeos  $A, B$  such that  $Ah_4B(0) = 0$ , and the horizontal and vertical directions are good for  $Ah_4B$ , and the restriction of  $Ah_4B$  to the coordinate axes is the identity map. But then  $Ah_4B$  is the identity. Hence  $h_4 = A^{-1}B^{-1}$ , and this homeo is real affine. ♠

## 1.5 Consequences of Equivariance

The zooming operation, which appears to be a violent operation on a homeo, is actually extremely gentle when we apply it to an equivariant homeo. The next lemma says that zooming essentially does *nothing* in this case. Here is the key to the whole proof: In the equivariant case, zooming is about *revelation* rather than *change*.

**Lemma 1.6** *If  $h$  is equivariant and  $h \rightarrow h'$ , then  $h' = f'hg'$  for some elements  $f', g' \in PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ . In particular,  $h'$  is also equivariant.*

**Proof:** We have a sequence  $\{h_n\}$  where  $h_n \rightarrow h'$  and  $h_n = f_n h g_n$  and  $f_n, g_n \in PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ . Also  $h_n \rightarrow h'$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbf{C}$ . In particular,  $\{h_n\}$  is a pre-compact set of maps.

For any  $g \in \Gamma_1$  we have  $f_g \in \Gamma_2$  so that  $h = f_g h g$ . Combining this information with the crucial fact that  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})/\Gamma_1$  is compact, we can also write  $h_n = f'_n h g'_n$  where  $\{g'_n\}$  lies in a compact subset of  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ . Here we have set  $f'_n = f_{g'_n}$ . The sequence  $\{f'_n\}$  also lies in a compact subset of  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$  because  $f'_n = h_n (g'_n)^{-1} h^{-1}$  and all three homeos on the right lie in pre-compact families. On a subsequence we have  $f'_n \rightarrow f' \in PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$  and  $g'_n \rightarrow g' \in PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ . Hence  $h' = f'hg'$  for  $f', g' \in PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ . ♠

**Lemma 1.7** *If  $h$  is equivariant then  $h \in PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ .*

**Proof:** By Corollary 1.5 and Lemma 1.6 we have  $h \Rightarrow h_4$ , where  $h_4$  is real affine with respect to a pair  $(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$  of nice lattices. Choose  $g_1 \in \Lambda_1$  with  $g_1(\infty) \neq \infty$ . Let  $g_2 = h_4 g_1 h_4^{-1}$ . We have  $h_4 = g_2^{-1} h_4 g_1$ . Let  $L_0$  be some line so that  $L_1 = g_1(L_0)$  is a round circle. If  $h_4$  is not a similarity then  $L_2 = h_4(L_1)$  is a non-circular ellipse and  $L_3 = g_2^{-1}(L_2)$  is not a line. But  $L_3 = h_4(L_0)$  is a line. This contradiction shows that  $h_4$  is a similarity. Hence  $h_4 \in PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ . Applying Lemma 1.6 several times, we see that  $h_4 = f h g$  for  $f, g \in PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ . Since  $h_4 \in PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$  so is  $h$ . ♠

**End of the Proof:** We have  $M_j = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma_j$  where  $\Gamma_j$  is a nice lattice. Suppose  $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  is BL. The map  $f$  has a BL lift  $H : \mathbf{H}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^3$  which conjugates  $\Gamma_1$  to  $\Gamma_2$ . We apply Theorem 1.2: After suitably composing with an element of  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ , we get an extension that is an equivariant QC homeo of  $\mathbf{C}$ . Lemma 1.7 says that  $h \in PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ . But  $h$  conjugates  $\Gamma_1$  to  $\Gamma_2$ . Hence  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are isometric.

## 2 The Extension

### 2.1 The Tube Lemma

In this chapter we prove Theorem 1.2. In this section, we prove a preliminary hyperbolic geometry result. We use the facts mentioned in the discussion of  $\mathbf{H}^3$  in the background section. Let  $\ell$  and  $\ell_{\mathbf{E}}$  respectively denote hyperbolic and Euclidean length. We take  $r > 1$  and set  $R = \exp(r)$ . We define two vertical geodesics:

$$\gamma = \{0\} \times (0, \infty), \quad \gamma' = \{1\} \times (0, \infty).$$

Let  $N_r(\gamma)$  be the  $r$ -tubular neighborhood of  $\gamma$ , the set of all points of  $\mathbf{H}^3$  at most  $r$  from  $\gamma$ . We abbreviate this as  $N_r$ .

**Lemma 2.1**  $\gamma' - N_r \subset \{1\} \times (0, 4/R)$ .

**Proof:** The distance to  $\gamma$  along  $\gamma'$  increases as we move down  $\gamma'$ . So, it suffices to prove that  $(1, 4/R)$  is less than  $r$  from  $\gamma$ . By symmetry,  $(1, 4/R)$  is  $r - \ln(4)$  away from  $(1, 1)$ , and then  $(1, 1)$  is less than 1 away from  $(0, 1) \in \gamma$ . So  $(1, 4/R)$  is within  $r$  of  $\gamma$  by the triangle inequality. ♠

The *orthogonal projection map*  $\phi : \mathbf{H}^3 - N_r \rightarrow \gamma$  is such that the geodesic through  $p$  and  $\phi(p)$  meets  $\gamma$  at right angles. The formula is  $\phi(p) = (0, \|p\|)$ . This map is Euclidean-distance non-increasing since  $|\|p\| - \|q\|| \leq |p - q|$ .

**Lemma 2.2 (Tube)** *If  $r > 2$  then  $\ell(\phi(\beta)) \leq 8 \exp(-r) \ell(\beta)$  for any finite length path  $\beta \subset \mathbf{H}^3 - N_r$ .*

**Proof:** It suffices to take  $\beta$  arbitrarily short. The point  $(w, s) \in \mathbf{H}^3 - \gamma$  can be mapped to  $\gamma'$  by the isometry  $(z, t) \rightarrow (z/w, t/|w|)$ , and this map preserves  $\gamma$ . Using this symmetry, we reduce to the case where  $\beta$  intersects  $\gamma'$  and lies in  $\mathbf{C} \times (0, 4/R)$ . We have  $\ell_{\mathbf{E}}(\beta) \leq \ell(\beta) \times 4/R$ .

If  $\beta$  is sufficiently short, all points of  $\beta$  have norm in  $[1/2, 2]$ . Hence  $\phi(\beta) \subset A = \{0\} \times [1/2, 2]$ . We have  $\ell \leq 2\ell_{\mathbf{E}}$  in  $A$ , we have

$$\ell(\phi(\beta)) \leq 2\ell_{\mathbf{E}}(\phi(\beta)) \leq 2\ell_{\mathbf{E}}(\beta) \leq 2\ell(\beta) \times 4/R = 8 \exp(-r) \ell(\beta). \quad (9)$$

This does it. ♠

All hyperbolic geodesics are equivalent under the action of  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ . Hence, the Tube Lemma holds for all geodesics in  $\mathbf{H}^3$ .

## 2.2 The Morse Lemma

Let  $H : \mathbf{H}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^3$  be a  $K$ -BL map. Let  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  denote hyperbolic distance and we let  $\ell(\cdot)$  denote hyperbolic arc length. The result in this section does not require  $H$  to be equivariant, and also our constants are not optimal. See [GS] for an extremely general version of the Morse Lemma.

**Lemma 2.3 (Morse)** *Let  $\alpha_1$  be a geodesic segment in  $\mathbf{H}^3$ . Then we have  $H(\alpha_1) \subset N_C(\gamma_2)$  for the geodesic  $\gamma_2$  through the endpoints of  $H(\alpha_1)$ . Here  $C = 25K^4$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $\beta_1 = H(\alpha_1)$ . Let  $N_s = N_s(\gamma_2)$  for each  $s = r, r^2$ . Suppose  $\beta_1 \not\subset N_{r^2}$ . There are  $p, q \in \beta_1 \cap \partial N_r$  and an arc  $\beta$  of  $\beta_1$  joining  $p$  to  $q$  that remains outside  $N_r$  and exits  $N_{r^2}$ . Note that

$$\ell(\beta) \geq 2r^2 - r > r^2. \quad (10)$$

Let  $\phi : \mathbf{H}^3 - N_r \rightarrow \gamma_2$  be orthogonal projection. Like  $\beta$ , the *competing path*

$$\beta' = \overline{p\phi(p)} \cup \phi(\beta) \cup \overline{\phi(q)q}$$

joins  $p$  to  $q$ . The outer two (geodesic) segments each have length  $r$ . So, by the Tube Lemma,

$$d(p, q) \leq \ell(\beta') \leq 8 \exp(-r) \ell(\beta) + 2r. \quad (11)$$

Since  $\beta$  is the image of a geodesic segment under a  $K$ -BL map, we have

$$\ell(\beta) \leq K \ell(H^{-1}(\beta)) = K d(H^{-1}(p), H^{-1}(q)) \leq K^2 d(p, q). \quad (12)$$

Combining Equations 11 and 12,

$$8 \exp(-r) \ell(\beta) + 2r \geq d(p, q) \geq \frac{\ell(\beta)}{K^2}. \quad (13)$$

Combining Equations 10 and 13, and recalling that  $r = 5K^2$ ,

$$8 \exp(-5K^2) = 8 \exp(-r) \geq \frac{1}{K^2} - \frac{2r}{\ell(\beta)} \geq \frac{1}{K^2} - \frac{2}{r} > \frac{1}{2K^2}. \quad (14)$$

Equation 14 is false. This is a contradiction. ♠



## 2.3 The Extension

Let  $H : \mathbf{H}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^3$  be our  $K$ -BL map. Recall that  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{C} \cup \infty$  is the Riemann sphere, the ideal boundary of  $\mathbf{H}^3$ . Let  $p \in \mathbf{S}$ . Choose some origin  $O \in \mathbf{H}^3$  and let  $\gamma_1$  be the ray joining  $O$  to  $p$ .

**Lemma 2.4** *The image  $H(\gamma_1)$  accumulates on exactly one point of  $\mathbf{S}$ .*

**Proof:** Since  $H(\gamma_1)$  exits every compact set of  $\mathbf{H}^3$ , there is at least one accumulation point. Suppose that there are at least 2, namely  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ . We normalize so that  $q_1 = (-1, 0)$  and  $q_2 = (1, 0)$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find a segment  $\alpha_1 \subset \gamma_1$  such that the endpoints of  $H(\alpha_1)$  are respectively within  $\epsilon$  of  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ .

Let us look at the geometry here. As  $\epsilon > 0$  the tube  $N_C(\gamma_2)$  guaranteed by the Morse Lemma converges to the  $C$ -tubular neighborhood of the geodesic connecting  $(-1, 0)$  to  $(1, 0)$  and containing  $(0, 1)$ . Hence, as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the tube  $N_C(\gamma_2)$  intersects the half-plane  $i\mathbf{R} \times (0, \infty)$  in a set  $\Delta$  which converges to the disk of radius  $25K^4$  centered at  $(0, 1)$ . Moreover,  $H(\alpha_1)$  crosses this halfplane and so must intersect  $\Delta$ . This shows that  $H(\alpha_1)$  stays uniformly close to  $(0, 1)$  and hence to  $H(O)$ .

However, as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  the distance from the endpoints of  $\alpha_1$  to  $O$ , and hence the distance from all of  $\alpha_1$  to  $O$ , tends to  $\infty$ . All this contradicts the BL nature of  $H$ . ♠

We define  $h(p)$  to be the accumulation point of  $H(\gamma_1)$ . This definition is independent of the choice of  $O$ , because two geodesic rays pointing to  $p$  are asymptotically close to each other as that approach  $p$ .

**Lemma 2.5** *The extension map  $h$  is a homeo of  $\mathbf{S}$ .*

**Proof:** Note that the extension for  $H^{-1}$  also exists, by the same method. Hence  $h$  is a bijection. It suffices to prove that  $h$  is continuous, because the same argument shows that  $h^{-1}$  is continuous.

The continuity of  $h$  has the same proof as Lemma 2.4. We choose an origin  $O \in \mathbf{H}^3$ . If  $h$  is not continuous we can find a sequence of geodesic segments whose distance to  $O$  tends to  $\infty$ , whose images are contained in uniformly thin tubes whose endpoints remain uniformly far apart in the Euclidean sense. This gives the same contradiction as in Lemma 2.4. ♠

We now compose by a suitable element of  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$  so that  $h(\infty) = \infty$ . This gets us back to the situation where we are considering homeos of  $\mathbf{C}$ . The following lemma does not require the equivariance assumption, but this assumption allows us to use a lighter kind of compactness result. The general case would use something like the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem.

**Lemma 2.6** *When  $h$  is equivariant,  $h$  is QC.*

**Proof:** Let  $\{h_n\}$  be as in the definition of QC maps. Let  $(p, q)$  be the normalized pair for this sequence. We have  $h_n = f_n h g_n$ . We let  $H_n = f_n H g_n$ . Then  $h_n$  is the extension of  $H_n$ . As in Lemma 1.6, the equivariance gives  $H_n = f'_n H g'_n$  where  $\{g'_n\}$  lies in a compact subset of  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ . Consider  $f'_n = H_n(g'_n)^{-1}H^{-1}$ . If we let  $O \in \mathbf{H}^3$  be the center of symmetry of the ideal triangle with vertices  $p, q, \infty$  then for any  $n$ , all three elements on the right move  $O$  a uniformly bounded distance. This is what we get from the normalized sequence condition on  $\{h_n\}$ . Thus  $\{f'_n\}$  lies in a compact subset of  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ . But then on a subsequence we have  $f'_n \rightarrow f' \in PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$  and  $g'_n \rightarrow g' \in PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ . Therefore,  $H_n \rightarrow f' H g' = H'$  and  $h_n \rightarrow f' h g' = h'$ . So, by definition,  $h$  is QC. ♠

### 3 Regularity of QC Homeomorphisms

#### 3.1 Reduction to a Technical Lemma

Let  $\mu$  denote Lebesgue measure. Let  $h$  be a QC homeo. Let  $[0, 1]^2$  be the unit square. We have  $\mu([0, 1]^2) = 1$ . In this section we reduce Theorem 1.3 to the following lemma, which we then prove in subsequent sections.

**Lemma 3.1** *The derivative  $\partial h/\partial x$  exists a.e. in  $[0, 1]^2$  and is nonzero on a positive measure subset of  $[0, 1]^2$ .*

**Corollary 3.2** *There is a full measure subset of the plane on which every rational directional derivative exists.*

**Proof:** Applying Lemma 3.1 to the map  $z \rightarrow h(z - v)$  we see that the unit square with vertex  $v$  also has a full measure subset on which  $dh/dx$  exists. Letting  $v$  range through all the Gaussian integers, we see that  $dh/dx$  exists on a full measure subset of  $\mathbf{C}$ . By symmetry, the directional derivative in any given direction exists on a full measure subset of  $\mathbf{C}$ . The intersection of the countably many subsets corresponding to the rational directions has the desired properties. ♠

**Corollary 3.3** *There exists a point  $z \in \mathbf{C}$  such that the directional derivative of  $h$  at  $z$  exists in all rational directions and  $dh/dx(z) \neq 0$ .*

**Proof:** The positive measure subset where  $dh/dx$  is nonzero necessarily intersects the set from Corollary 3.2. ♠

**Lemma 3.4**  *$h$  has an asterisk at  $z$ .*

**Proof:** We normalize by a complex affine map so that  $z = 0$  and  $h(0) = 0$  and  $dh/dx(0) = 1 \in \mathbf{C}$ . It suffices to show that  $D_v h(0) \neq 0$  for any rational direction  $v$ . For ease of exposition, we will show that  $dh/dy(0) \neq 0$ . The general case is the same. We will assume the contrary and derive a contradiction. Let  $h_n(z) = nh(z/n)$ . By the same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 1.4, the sequence  $\{h_n\}$  is a zoom sequence. Let  $h \rightarrow h'$ . If  $D_v(h) = 0$  then the restriction of  $h'$  to the line through  $v$  is the 0-map. Contradiction. ♠

### 3.2 Proof of Lemma 3.1

We first gather together three analytic results which we prove in the next chapter. We prove the following baby version of Fubini's Theorem in §4.1.

**Theorem 3.5** *Suppose  $S \subset [0, 1]^2$  is measurable and  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $H$  be the set of horizontal lines  $L$  such that  $\mu(L \cap S) > t$ . If  $\mu(H) > t$  then  $\mu(S) \geq t^2$ .*

Let  $|J| = \mu(J)$  for any interval  $J$ . Let  $A : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a monotone increasing function. An interval  $J \subset [0, 1]$  is *N-stretched* if  $|A(J)| \geq N|J|$ . A point  $p \in [0, 1]$  is *stretchy* if for any  $N$  there is an interval  $J$  centered at  $p$  that is  $N$ -stretched. Otherwise we call  $p$  a *stiff point*. In §4.2 we prove

**Theorem 3.6** *The set of stretchy points of  $[0, 1]$  has measure 0.*

Suppose  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a continuous map. Let  $I = \{I_1, \dots, I_n\}$  denote a finite list of intervals of  $[0, 1]$  having pairwise disjoint interiors. We call  $I$  a *partial partition*. Let  $|I| = \sum |I_k|$ . We define  $I'_k$  to be the interval bounded by the endpoints of  $f(\partial I_k)$ . We define  $I' = \{I'_1, \dots, I'_n\}$  and  $|I'| = \sum |I'_k|$ . The function  $f$  is *AC* if, for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|I| < \delta$  implies that  $|I'| < \epsilon$ . In §4.3 we prove

**Theorem 3.7** *Suppose  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is AC. Then  $f$  is a.e. differentiable. If  $f(0) \neq f(1)$  then  $f' \neq 0$  on a positive measure subset.*

Now we get to the heart of the proof. This key idea is in [LV]. Our small tweak is that we use stiff points rather than points of differentiability. See §5.2 for the rationale. We begin with a well-known property of QC homeos. As above,  $h$  is our qc homeo.

**Theorem 3.8 (Disk)** *There is a constant  $K$ , depending only on  $h$ , with the following property. Let  $\Delta \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  be a disk. Then there are disks  $D_1, D_2$  so that  $D_1 \subset h(\Delta) \subset D_2$  and  $\text{diam}(D_2)/\text{diam}(D_1) < K$ .*

**Proof:** Suppose  $\{\Delta_n\}$  is a sequence where the best ratio for  $h(\Delta_n)$  tends to  $\infty$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the unit disk. Composing with homotheties, we get a sequence  $\{h_n\}$  such that  $h_n(0) = 0$  and  $|h_n(1)| = 1$  and the best ratio for  $h_n(\Delta)$  tends to  $\infty$ . But then  $h \rightarrow h'$  and  $h'(\Delta)$  fits between two disks because  $h'$  is a homeo. This contradicts the best ratio for  $h_n(\Delta)$  tending to  $\infty$ . ♠

Let  $A(y) = \mu(h([0, 1] \times [0, y]))$ . The function  $A : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is increasing.

**Theorem 3.9** *Suppose  $y \in (0, 1)$  is a stiff point for  $A$ . Let  $\pi : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be any linear projection. Then  $\pi \circ h$  is AC on  $L_y = [0, 1] \times \{y\}$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $Q = [0, 1]^2$ . Let  $f = \pi \circ h|_{L_y}$ . If  $f$  is not AC, we can scale so that there is a sequence of partial partitions  $\{I^n\}$  with  $|I^n| < 1/n$  and  $|(I^n)'| \geq K$ , the constant from the Disk Theorem. We can subdivide so that the intervals in each partition have the same size up to a factor of 2.

For any set  $Y$  let  $Y^* = h(Y)$ . Fix  $n$  and let  $I^n = \{I_1, \dots, I_k\}$ . Let  $\epsilon = \max |I_j|$ . Since  $\epsilon < 2 \min |I_j|$ , we have  $k\epsilon < 2|I|$ . Hence  $\epsilon < 2/(kn)$ . Let  $Q_\epsilon = [0, 1] \times [y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon]$ . Since  $y$  is stiff, there is some  $\Omega$  such that  $\mu(Q_\epsilon^*) < \Omega\epsilon$ . Let  $\Delta_j$  be the open disk having  $I_j$  as a diameter. Since  $\{\Delta_j\} \subset Q_\epsilon$  and these disks are disjoint the sets  $\{\Delta_j^*\} \subset Q_\epsilon^*$  are also disjoint. Hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \mu(\Delta_j^*) \leq \mu(Q_\epsilon^*) < \Omega\epsilon. \quad (15)$$

Let  $D_{1,j} \subset \Delta_j^* \subset D_{2,j}$  be as in the Disk Theorem. We have

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \text{diam}(D_{2,j}) \geq K, \quad \sum_{j=1}^k \text{diam}(D_{1,j}) \geq 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^k \mu(D_{1,j}) \geq \frac{1}{4k}. \quad (16)$$

The first equation, which comes from  $|I'| \geq K$ , implies the second equation, and the second equation implies the third. Hence

$$\frac{2\Omega}{kn} = \Omega\epsilon > \sum_{j=1}^k \mu(\Delta_j^*) \geq \sum_{j=1}^k \mu(D_{1,j}) \geq \frac{1}{4k}. \quad (17)$$

So  $n < 8\Omega$ , a contradiction when  $n$  is large enough. ♠

Let  $h_1 = \text{Re}(h)$  and  $h_2 = \text{Im}(h)$ . Let  $Y \subset [0, 1]$  be the set of stiff points of  $A$ . By Theorem 3.6, we have  $\mu(Y) = 1$ . Let  $\pi$  be the projection satisfying  $\pi \circ h = h_1$ . For each  $y \in Y$ , the map  $h_1|_{L_y}$  is AC. By Theorem 3.7, the derivative  $\partial h_1 / \partial x$  exists at almost every point of almost every line  $L_y$ . Theorem 3.5 now says that  $\partial h_1 / \partial x$  exists a.e. in  $[0, 1]^2$ . The same goes for  $\partial h_2 / \partial x$ . Hence  $\partial h / \partial x$  exists a.e. in  $[0, 1]^2$ .

For any  $y \in Y$ , one of  $h_1|_{L_y}$  or  $h_2|_{L_y}$  does not identify the endpoints. Thus, by Theorem 3.7,  $\partial h / \partial x \neq 0$  on  $S_y \subset L_y$  with  $\mu(S_y) > 0$ . Let  $Y_n \subset Y$  denote those  $y$  where  $\mu(S_y) > 1/n$ . Since  $Y = \bigcup Y_n$ , we have  $\mu(Y_n) > 1/m$  for some  $m$ . Theorem 3.5 now says that  $\partial h / \partial x$  is nonzero on a set of measure  $t^2$  where  $t = \min(1/m, 1/n)$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

## 4 Some Real Analysis

### 4.1 Proof of Theorem 3.5

Let  $\mu$  denote Lebesgue measure. We first list two measure-theoretic facts.

**Sandwiches:** We say that an  $\epsilon$ -sandwich for a measurable set  $S$  is a compact set  $K$  and an open set  $U$  such that  $K \subset S \subset U$  and  $\mu(U - K) < \epsilon$ . Sometimes we will only care about one of the sets of the sandwich. Every measurable  $S \subset [0, 1]$  or  $S \subset [0, 1]^2$  has an  $\epsilon$ -sandwich for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . This follows from the definition of *outer measure* applied to  $S$  and its complement.

**Increasing Union Property:** A union  $\bigcup Y_n$  of subsets is *increasing* if  $Y_k \subset Y_{k+1}$  for all  $k$ . If  $Y = \bigcup Y_n$  is an *increasing* union of measurable sets and  $\mu(Y_n) \leq \mu_0$  for all  $n$  then  $\mu(Y) \leq \mu_0$  as well. To see this, let  $Z_k = Y_k - Y_{k-1}$ . Then  $Y = \bigcup Z_k$  and  $\{Z_k\}$  is a disjoint collection of measurable sets. Since Lebesgue measure is countably additive,  $\mu(Y) = \sum \mu(Z_k)$ , and the sum on the right converges to  $\lim \mu(Y_k) \leq \mu_0$ . We only use this result when  $Y_k \subset [0, 1]$  is a finite union of intervals.

**Proof of Theorem 3.5:** A *dyadic square* is one obtained from  $[0, 1]^2$  by recursively cutting squares into 4 equal squares of half the side length and selecting one of them. Any finite union of dyadic squares can be further subdivided into a finite union of squares all having the same size and all belonging to the same grid of  $[0, 1]^2$ . We call such unions *co-gridded*.

We suppose  $\mu(S) < t^2$  and derive a contradiction. Any open subset  $U \subset [0, 1]^2$  is a countable union of dyadic squares. Also, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we can take  $U$  to be the open part of an  $\epsilon$ -sandwich for  $S$ . For this reason, we have a countable collection  $\{Q_n\}$  of dyadic squares so that  $S \subset \bigcup Q_n$  and  $\sum \mu(Q_n) < t^2$ . Let  $Q^n$  be the union of the first  $n$  of these squares. By subdividing, we see that  $Q^n$  is a finite union of co-gridded squares. By counting, we see that  $\mu(H_n) < t$ , where  $H_n$  is the set of lines  $L$  such that  $\mu(L \cap Q^n) > t$ .

We claim that  $H \subset \bigcup H_n$ . To see this note that  $L \cap S$  is contained in the increasing union  $\bigcup L \cap Q^n$ . By the Increasing Union Property,  $\mu(L \cap Q^n) > t$  for  $n$  large enough. But then  $L$  belongs to  $H_n$  for large  $n$ . This proves the claim. The Increasing Union Property applied to  $\bigcup H_n$ , gives  $\mu(H) \leq t$ , a contradiction. ♠

## 4.2 Covering Lemmas

These proofs are based on techniques in [F, §4]. A *Besicovich cover*  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $S \subset [0, 1]$  is a union of intervals, such that each point of  $S$  is centered on some interval of  $\mathcal{B}$  and each interval of  $\mathcal{B}$  is centered on some point of  $S$ .

**Lemma 4.1** *Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Besicovich cover of  $S$ . Then there exists a subset of  $\mathcal{B}$  consisting of disjoint intervals whose union has measure at least  $\mu(S)/3$ .*

**Proof:** Greedily choose intervals for the subcollection, always picking a largest one that is disjoint from the previous ones picked. Let  $\{I_j\}$  be this collection. Let  $S' = \bigcup I_j$ . Let  $3I_j$  be the interval obtained by dilating  $I_j$  by a factor of 3 about its midpoint. Any  $x \in S - S'$  is the center of some  $J$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  not picked by the algorithm. But then  $J$  intersects some  $I_j$  with  $\mu(I_j) \geq \mu(J)$ . This forces  $x \in 3I_j$ . Hence  $\{3I_j\}$  covers  $S$ . ♠

**Proof of Theorem 3.6:** Let  $S$  be the stretchy set for  $f$ . If  $\mu(S) > 1/N$ , let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Besicovich cover of  $S$  by  $N^2$ -stretched intervals. Let  $S' = \bigcup I_j$  be as in Lemma 4.1. We have  $\mu(f([0, 1])) \geq \mu(f(S'))$  and, since  $f$  is monotone,  $\mu(f(S')) \geq N^2\mu(S)/3 \geq N/3$ . This is a contradiction for large  $N$ . ♠

Let  $S \subset [0, 1]$ . An interval  $J$  is  $\delta$ -porous if  $\mu(J \cap S) < (1 - \delta)\mu(J)$ . A point  $p \in [0, 1]$  is  $\delta$ -porous if  $p$  is the center of arbitrarily small  $\delta$ -porous intervals. Finally,  $S$  is *porous* if for some  $\delta > 0$  every point of  $S$  is  $\delta$ -porous.

**Theorem 4.2 (Density)** *If  $S$  is porous then  $\mu(S) = 0$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $K \subset S \subset U$  be an  $\epsilon$ -sandwich. If  $\epsilon < \mu(S)$  then  $\mu(K) > 0$ . Also  $K$  is  $\delta$ -porous. Since  $K$  is compact, there is some  $\lambda > 0$  such that every interval of length  $\lambda$  centered at a point of  $K$  lies in  $U$ . We take a Besicovich covering  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $K$  by  $\delta$ -porous intervals all of length less than  $\lambda$ . Letting  $\{I_j\}$  be as in Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\delta_j := \mu(I_j \cap U) - \mu(I_j \cap K) \geq \delta\mu(I_j).$$

Hence  $\epsilon > \sum \delta_j \geq \delta\mu(K)/3$ . This is a contradiction for small  $\epsilon$ . ♠

We say that a covering  $\mathcal{B}$  by intervals is *renewable* if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , every point of  $S$  is the (left or right) endpoint of an interval in  $\mathcal{B}$  having length less than  $\epsilon$ . Let  $S\Delta T = (S - T) \cup (T - S)$ .

**Theorem 4.3 (Vitali)** *Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is a renewable cover for  $S$  and  $\mu(S) > 0$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be given. Then there exists a disjoint collection of intervals  $\{I_j\}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mu(S\Delta T) < \delta$  where  $T = \bigcup I_j$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $K \subset S \subset U$  be a  $\delta$ -sandwich. There is some  $\lambda > 0$  so that every interval of length  $\lambda$  having an endpoint in  $K$  belongs to  $U$ . We make  $\{I_j\}$  by length-greedily picking disjoint intervals of  $\mathcal{B}$ , having endpoints in  $K$  and length less than  $\lambda$ . Let  $T = \bigcup I_j$ . By construction  $\mu(T - S) < \delta$ .

Let  $K' = K - T$ . Choose any  $p \in K'$ . Let  $I$  be an interval in  $\mathcal{B}$  having length less than  $\lambda$  and  $p$  as an endpoint. Consider the interval  $J$  which is centered at  $p$  and has length  $8\mu(I)$ . The interval  $I$  was not picked in the algorithm, so there is some interval  $I_j$  with  $\mu(I_j) \geq \mu(I)$ , such that  $p$  is at most  $\mu(I_j)$  away from an endpoint of  $I_j$ . But then  $\mu(I_j \cap J) \geq \mu(I) = \mu(J)/8$ . Hence  $\mu(K' \cap J) \leq (7/8)\mu(J)$ . Hence  $p$  is  $(1/8)$ -porous with respect to  $K'$ . Hence  $K'$  is  $(1/8)$ -porous. Hence  $\mu(K - T) = 0$ . Hence  $\mu(S - T) < \delta$ . ♠

### 4.3 Proof of Theorem 3.7

Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be an AC function.

**Lemma 4.4** *Let  $S \subset [0, 1]$ . For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\mu(S) < \delta$  implies that  $\mu(f(S)) < \epsilon$ . Hence, if  $\mu(S) = 0$  then  $\mu(f(S)) = 0$ .*

**Proof:** Suppose  $\mu(S) < \delta$ . We can find an open set  $U$  such that  $S \subset U$  and  $\mu(U) < \delta$ . Note that  $U$  is a countable union of intervals. Let  $U^n$  denote the union of the first  $n$  intervals of  $U$ . We construct a partial partition  $I = I^n$ : For each compact connected component  $C$  of  $\text{closure}(U^n)$ , we include in  $I^n$  an interval connecting points of  $C$  where  $f$  respectively achieves its min and max. By construction,  $|I| < \delta$  and  $f(U^n) \subset V^n := \bigcup I_k^n$ . Choosing  $\delta$  small enough, we have  $|I'| < \epsilon$ . Hence  $\mu(V^n) < \epsilon$ . Also by construction  $V^n \subset V^{n+1}$  for all  $n$ . By the Increasing Union Property,  $\mu(V) \leq \epsilon$  where  $V = \bigcup V^n$ . But  $f(S) \subset V$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary,  $\mu(f(S)) = 0$ . ♠



**Theorem 4.5** *If  $f$  is monotone then  $f$  is a.e. differentiable.*

**Proof:** Replacing  $f$  by  $f + L$ , where  $L$  is a suitable linear function, we can assume without loss of generality that  $f$  is a strictly monotone.

Given  $0 \leq a < b$  let  $E_{a,b}$  denote the set of points  $p \in [0, 1]$  such that

1. For all  $\epsilon > 0$  the point  $p$  is the endpoint of an interval  $I$  such that  $\mu(I) < \epsilon$  and  $\mu(f(I)) < a\mu(I)$ .
2. For all  $\epsilon > 0$  the point  $p$  is the endpoint of an interval  $I$  such that  $\mu(I) < \epsilon$  and  $\mu(f(I)) > b\mu(I)$ .

To prove that  $f$  is a.e. differentiable it suffices to prove that  $\mu(E_{a,b}) = 0$  for all  $0 \leq a < b$ . Suppose some  $S = E_{a,b}$  has positive measure.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the renewable cover of  $S$  made from the intervals in Item 1. For any  $\delta > 0$  let  $\{I_j\}$  be a collection of disjoint (Vitali) intervals in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mu(S \Delta T) < \delta$  with  $T = \bigcup I_j$ . By construction  $\mu(f(T)) \leq a\mu(T)$ . By Lemma 4.4, we can make  $\mu(S \Delta T)$  and  $\mu(f(S \Delta T))$  as small as we like by shrinking  $\delta$ . Hence  $\mu(S) \leq a\mu(S)$ .

Running the same argument with Item 2 in place of Item 1, we get  $\mu(S) \geq b\mu(S)$ . Since  $b\mu(S) \leq a\mu(S)$  and  $a < b$  we have  $\mu(S) = 0$ . ♠

**Lemma 4.6** *In general,  $f = f_+ - f_-$  where  $f_{\pm}$  is a monotone AC function.*

**Proof:** Given an interval  $Y \subset [0, 1]$  we define the *variation*  $v(Y, J)$  to be the supremum of  $|I'|$  taken over all partial partitions  $I$  of  $Y$ . If  $v(f, Y) = \infty$  then for any  $N$  there is a partial partition  $I$  such that  $|I'| > N$ . But then we can subdivide the intervals of  $I$  sufficiently finely, keeping them all the same size up to a factor of 2, and produce a new partial partition  $J$  with  $|J| \leq 2/N$  and  $|J'| \geq 1$ . This contradicts the fact that  $f$  is AC.

Let  $f_+(x) = v(f, [0, x])$ . By construction,  $f_+$  is increasing. Also,

$$f_+(b) - f_+(a) = v(f_+, [a, b]) = v[f, [a, b]] \geq f(b) - f(a).$$

This equations shows that  $f_+$  is AC and that  $f_- = f_+ - f$  is also increasing. Finally  $f_-$  is AC because  $f$  and  $f_+$  are AC. ♠

**Proof of Theorem 3.7:** Theorem 4.5 and Lemma 4.6 together imply that  $f$  is a.e. differentiable in the general case. Suppose  $f' = 0$  on a full measure subset  $A$  of  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $B = [0, 1] - A$ . By Lemma 4.4,  $\mu(f(B)) = 0$ .

Each  $x \in A$  is the midpoint of an open interval  $U = U_x$  such that  $\text{diam}(f(U)) < \epsilon \text{diam}(U)$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the Besicovich cover made from these intervals. We introduce an auxiliary Besicovich cover  $\mathcal{B}^*$  of  $A$ , obtained by shrinking all the intervals in  $\mathcal{B}$  by a factor of 3 about their midpoints. Let  $\{I_j^*\}$  be the disjoint collection of intervals of  $\mathcal{B}^*$  produced by the greedy algorithm. Our proof of Lemma 4.1 shows that the corresponding union of dilated intervals  $\{I_j\}$  is a cover of  $A$ . By construction,  $\sum \mu(I_j) \leq 3$ .

But now we can say  $\mu(f(A)) < 3\epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary,  $\mu(f(A)) = 0$ . Now we have  $\mu(f([0, 1])) \leq \mu(f(A)) + \mu(f(B)) = 0$ . Since  $f([0, 1])$  is connected,  $f([0, 1])$  is a single point. In particular  $f(0) = f(1)$ . ♠

## 5 Discussion

### 5.1 The Scope of the Result

One often defines a compact hyperbolic manifold to be a compact Riemannian manifold that is locally isometric to  $\mathbf{H}^3$ . It follows from Hadamard's Theorem and standard results about covering spaces that every manifold defined this way has the form  $\mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma$  where  $\Gamma$  is a nice lattice. So, our definition is in fact the general one.

We restrict to the oriented case just to avoid the annoying detail of working in the full isometry group of  $\mathbf{H}^3$  rather than in  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ . The same argument works just fine with the oriented condition dropped.

Our proof reveals that the extension map  $h$  is a similarity. Hence the isometry from  $M_1$  to  $M_2$  we get induces the same isomorphism from  $\pi_1(M_1)$  to  $\pi_1(M_2)$  that the BL map  $f$  does. From this, and a bit more topology, one sees that our isometry is in the same homotopy class as  $f$ .

If we just assume that  $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  is a homotopy equivalence, then the lifted map  $H$  is still a quasi-isometry. Theorem 1.2 still works in this case. So two homotopy equivalent compact hyperbolic 3 manifolds are isometric.

Our same proof works for compact hyperbolic  $n$ -manifolds, and indeed also for finite volume hyperbolic  $n$ -manifolds, when  $n \geq 3$ . In the finite volume case, you have to avoid zooming into cusps, in an appropriate sense.

## 5.2 Discussion of Lemma 3.1

The essential idea in the proof of Lemma 3.1 is to promote 1-dimensional differentiability results to 2-dimensional differentiability results using the fact that a QC map approximately maps disks to disks. The idea is that the amount of stretching in one direction is about the same as the amount of stretching in a perpendicular direction.

The reader might wonder why we chose to use stiff points rather than points of differentiability. It is a classic result that monotone functions of one variable are a.e. differentiable. However, this is a fairly hard result to prove. Theorem 4.5 is a limited special case, with an easier proof. By using stiff points, we avoid a call to the deep monotone differentiability result. So, even though this looks like a technical fine point, it is quite a savings in terms of making the proof accessible.

## 6 References

- [F] G. B. Folland, *Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1984).
- [GS] S. Gouëzel and V. Shchur, *A corrected quantitative version of the Morse lemma*, Journal of Functional Analysis **277**, pp. 1258–1268 (2019).
- [LV] O. Lehto and K. I. Virtanen, *Quasiconformal Mappings in the Plane*, Second Edition, Springer–Verlag, New York (1973).
- [M] G. D. Mostow, *Quasi-conformal mappings in  $n$ -space and the rigidity of hyperbolic space forms*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 34, pp. 53–104 (1968).
- [S] R. E. Schwartz, *The quasi-isometry classification of rank one lattices*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 82, pp. 133–168 (1995).
- [T] W. P. Thurston, *The Geometry and Topology of Three-Manifolds*, Princeton University Lecture Notes (1978).