

Interpreto: An Explainability Library for Transformers

Antonin Poché^{1,2,*}, Thomas Mullor¹, Gabriele Sarti³, Frédéric Boissonard⁴,
Corentin Friedrich¹, Charlotte Claye^{5,6}, François Hoofd^{1,7}, Raphael Bernas⁵,
Céline Hudelot⁵, Fanny Jourdan^{1,*},

¹IRT Saint Exupéry Toulouse, ²IRIT Toulouse ³CLCG, University of Groningen,
⁴Ampere, ⁵MICS, CentraleSupélec, ⁶Scienta Lab, ⁷Thales Avionics,

Correspondence: antonin.poché | fanny.jourdan @irt-saintexupery.com

*Equal contribution

Abstract

INTERPRETO is a Python library for *post hoc* explainability of text HuggingFace models, from early BERT variants to LLMs. It provides two complementary families of methods: *attributions* and *concept-based explanations*. The library connects recent research to practical tooling for data scientists, aiming to make explanations accessible to end users. It includes documentation, examples, and tutorials.

INTERPRETO supports both classification and generation models through a unified API. A key differentiator is its concept-based functionality, which goes beyond feature-level attributions and is uncommon in existing libraries.

The library is open source; install via `pip install interpreto`. Code and documentation are available on [GitHub](#).

1 Introduction

Transformer-based language models are widely deployed for classification and text generation, yet understanding their behavior remains essential for debugging, safety evaluation, model editing, and scientific inquiry. Practitioners need tools that provide fine-grained, reliable explanations and support both attribution-style analyses and concept-based investigations in a unified workflow.

Numerous libraries support attribution methods and, more recently, concept-centric analysis (Section 3). In practice, these capabilities are often distributed across multiple packages or tailored to specific modalities or workflows, which can make end-to-end use with HuggingFace models less direct. Moreover, quantitative checks for faithfulness, stability, and concept quality are not uniformly exposed behind a single interface, complicating side-by-side comparison and reproducibility.

We present INTERPRETO, an open-source library for interpreting HuggingFace NLP models

across both classification and generation tasks. INTERPRETO brings together attribution and concept-based approaches under a single, user-friendly API designed for researchers, practitioners, and educators. By emphasizing usability, modularity, and rigorous evaluation, INTERPRETO aims to make explainability and mechanistic interpretability not only powerful—but truly accessible and actionable for the wider NLP community.

Contributions

- *Attribution module.* Supports HuggingFace’s classification and generation models (see Tab. 1); implements 11 methods (4 perturbation-based, 7 gradient-based) and 4 built-in metrics for quantitative checks.
- *Concept-based module.* Uses NNsight (Fiotto-Kaufman et al., 2024) to split HuggingFace language models at arbitrary layers; provides 15 dictionary-learning options (mostly via overcomplete (Fel, 2025)) to define the concept space, three concept-interpretation methods, importance estimation, and seven metrics for concept quality and faithfulness.
- *Reproducibility and resources.* Detailed documentation and three end-to-end tutorials covering attribution and concept-based workflows.

Availability INTERPRETO is open source under the MIT license. All examples in this paper run with the library alone; scripts and notebooks are included for reproduction.

- Repository: <https://github.com/FOR-sight-ai/interpreto>
- Documentation: <https://for-sight-ai.github.io/interpreto/>
- `pip install interpreto`

	Attributions	Concept-based
*ForSequenceClassification	✓	✓
*ForCausalLM	✓	✓
Other HF language models	✗	✓

Table 1: Compatibility between INTERPRETO HuggingFace (HF) transformer models for language.

2 System overview

Scope INTERPRETO offers post-hoc explainability for HuggingFace models in two modules: attributions and concept-based explanations, applicable across sequence classification, causal generation, and with concepts, as well as other transformers for language.

Supported models/tasks Encoders (e.g., BERT/DistilBERT), decoders (e.g., GPT-2/Qwen), and encoder-decoders (e.g., T5) are supported via the HF generation API; CNN/LSTM and multimodal models are out of scope.

How to run See Fig. 1a and Fig. 1b for 10-line attribution examples and Fig. 3a for a concept pipeline snippet; additional examples are present in the documentation and tutorials.

Environment Available and tested with python from 3.10 to 3.12, torch>= 2.0, transformers>= 4.22, overcomplete>= 0.2.3, and nnsight>= 0.5.1.

3 Related work

Attribution libraries Explainability libraries can be grouped into two broad families: attribution and mechanistic/concept ones. In the first family, **LIME** (Ribeiro et al., 2016) and **SHAP** (Lundberg and Lee, 2017) are model- and modality-agnostic toolkits. For NLP, **Inseq** (Sarti et al., 2023) and **Ferret** (Attanasio et al., 2023) provide language-focused APIs and visualizations. **Captum** (Kokhlikyan et al., 2020) offers a broad set of attribution methods in PyTorch but does not include unsupervised concept discovery. **Xplique** (Fel et al., 2022) spans both attribution and concept tools, with an emphasis on vision rather than NLP.

Mechanistic interpretability libraries Concept-based explanations align with recent work in mechanistic interpretability (MI). Many MI libraries target specific stages of the concept pipeline (see Sec. 5) or audiences of MI researchers. For activation access and intervention, see **Transformer-**

Lens (Nanda and Bloom, 2022), **NNsight** (Fiotto-Kaufman et al., 2024), **Neuronpedia** (Lin, 2023), and **NNterp** (Dumas, 2025). For training concept models (e.g., SAEs), **Sparsify** (Belrose and Quirke, 2025), **SAELens** (Bloom and Chanin, 2024), **Overcomplete** (Fel, 2025), and **ViT-Prisma** (Joseph et al., 2025) provide tooling. For interpretation and visualization, **Delphi** (Paulo et al., 2025) and **SAE-Vis** (McDougall, 2024) are complementary. Finally, **SAEBench** (Karvonen et al., 2025), **AxBench** (Wu et al., 2025), and **Pyvene** (Wu et al., 2024) aim to evaluate concept-based methods, including via steering.

4 interpreto.attributions

Definition and taxonomy Attribution explains a prediction by estimating the contribution of input features. In vision, this yields heatmaps; in NLP, it highlights salient tokens or words (Fig. 1a, Fig. 1b). Methods fall into two broad families. *Perturbation-based* approaches modify the input and measure the effect on the output. *Gradient-based* approaches use derivatives of the output with respect to the input. They are intuitively linked as the gradient corresponds to the limit of infinitesimal perturbations.

API With INTERPRETO (similarly to other attribution libraries (Section 3)) the workflow has three steps (illustrated in Fig. 1a and Fig. 1b):

1. Instantiate `AttributionExplainer` with a HuggingFace model and tokenizer.
2. Compute explanations for `inputs` (the text to explain), optionally providing `targets` that specify what to explain. `targets` are class indices for classification and predicted tokens for generation.
3. Visualize the resulting attributions.

```

from transformers import AutoTokenizer, AutoModelForSequenceClassification

# Load the model and tokenizer
repo_id = "textattack/bert-base-uncased-imdb"
model = AutoModelForSequenceClassification.from_pretrained(repo_id)
tokenizer = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained(repo_id)

# Instantiate the explainer
explainer = Lime(model, tokenizer)

# Compute the explanation
attributions = explainer.explain("What a great example!")

# Visualize the explanation
AttributionVisualization(attributions[0]).display()

```

what a **great** example!

(a) Classification

```

from transformers import AutoTokenizer, AutoModelForCausalLM
from interpreto import Occlusion, AttributionVisualization

# Load the model and tokenizer
repo_id = "Qwen/Qwen3-0.6B"
model = AutoModelForCausalLM.from_pretrained(repo_id)
tokenizer = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained(repo_id)

# Instantiate the explainer
explainer = Occlusion(model, tokenizer)

# Compute the explanation
attributions = explainer.explain(
    "An XAI workshop is great, but ",
    "in an IHM conference, it is even better.",
)

# Visualize the explanation
AttributionVisualization(attributions[0]).display()

```

Inputs: 2.428
An XAI **workshop** is great,
Outputs: but in an IHM **conference**, it is even better.

(b) Generation

Figure 1: Examples of code and output for attribution explanations. a) The model classifies the text as a positive review, the Lime method tells that it is mainly due to the presence of the word "great". b) In generation, each output token is a prediction, hence there is an attribution for each one. Here, we select the "conference" output word (by clicking on the interactive explanation). Then the attribution for the prediction of the word "conference" shows that the words "workshop" and "an" were the most important for the prediction of "conference".

Available methods and metrics

- *Perturbation-based*: KernelSHAP (Lundberg and Lee, 2017), LIME (Ribeiro et al., 2016), Occlusion (Zeiler and Fergus, 2014), and Sobol (FEL et al., 2021).
- *Gradient-based*: GradientSHAP (Lundberg and Lee, 2017), Integrated Gradients (Sundararajan et al., 2017), Saliency (Simonyan et al., 2014),

SmoothGrad (Smilkov et al., 2017), SquareGrad (Hooker et al., 2019a), and VarGrad (Hooker et al., 2019b).

- *Faithfulness metrics*: Faithfulness: Insertion, Deletion (Petsiuk et al., 2018), AOPC Comprehensiveness and AOPC Sufficiency (DeYoung et al., 2020).

Additional features In addition to the eleven methods above, several attribution explainers' parameters provide useful variants:

- *granularity*: Explanations can be produced at the token, word, or sentence level. Because subword tokens and special tokens can be hard to interpret, the default is *word-level*.
- *input_x_gradient*: For gradient-based methods, returning the elementwise product of input and gradient has a formal justification (Shrikumar et al., 2017); this option is enabled by default.
- *inference_mode*: Choose the output space: logits, softmax, or log softmax. Since softmax couples classes, the default is logits.

Custom method INTERPRETO is designed to make adding new methods lightweight: users implement the mathematical core, while the library handles engineering concerns. The most important thing is to utilize existing blocks and draw inspiration from them if the existing ones do not meet the needs. The internal pipeline has three stages:

- *Perturbations*: Starting from one sample, construct perturbed variants (used by most methods, including many gradient-based ones). For a custom perturbation-based method, one should inherit from the input ids perturbator and implement the mask function method. For gradient-based, it is the embedding perturbator.
- *Inference or gradients*: Run forward and/or backward passes on perturbed samples. Should only be sub-classed for middle-model forward or backward modifications.
- *Aggregation*: Aggregate outputs into sensitivity scores and estimate gradients as needed. For a custom method, the user should inherit from the base aggregator and implement the aggregate method.

5 interpreto.concept_based

Definition and taxonomy Concepts are interpretable computational features used by the model (examples in Fig. 3b and Fig. 4). If users can predict a sample’s concept activations from the input and then predict the output from those activations, they can simulate (and thus reason about) the model’s behavior. Following Bhalla et al. (2024), we distinguish two axes:

- *Post hoc vs. by design:* Concepts can be introduced during model construction or applied to a trained model. INTERPRETO focuses on post-hoc methods.
- *Supervised vs. unsupervised:* Concepts can be specified in advance (probes (Alain and Bengio, 2016; Belinkov, 2022), CAVs (Kim et al., 2018)) or discovered from activations. INTERPRETO currently emphasizes unsupervised discovery (dictionary learning); supervised options are planned.

Concept-based explanations may be *global* (concepts important for a class) or *local* (concepts active in a specific input). INTERPRETO supports both; global analyses often provide the basis for local ones. This line of work is connected to mechanistic interpretability (MI); sparse autoencoders (SAEs) are a common approach for concept discovery.

Pipeline Post-hoc unsupervised concept methods follow four steps (Fel et al., 2023a; Poché et al., 2025), also reflected in Fig. 3a and illustrated in Fig. 2:

1. *Split the model into a feature extractor and a predictor.*
2. *Construct the concept space:* Run a dataset through the extractor to collect activations and train a concept model on them. Each learned concept defines one dimension in the concept space. The concept model is a mapping between the activation space and the concept space.
3. *Interpret concepts:* Assign human-meaningful labels (e.g., by inspecting inputs with highest activations) to each concept dimension/direction.
4. *Estimate concept importance:* Quantify each concept’s contribution to predictions and classes.




Figure 2: Post-hoc, unsupervised concept-based pipeline. See Section 5.

```

# 1. Split your model in two parts
splitted_model = ModelWithSplitPoints(
    "Qwen/Qwen3-0.6B",
    automodel=AutoModelForCausalLM,
    split_points=[5],
)

# 2.1 Compute a dataset of activations
dataset = datasets.load_dataset("fancyzhx/ag_news")["train"]["text"][:100]
activations = splitted_model.get_activations(dataset, TOKEN)

# 2.2 Train the concept model
explainer = SemiNMFConcepts(splitted_model, nb_concepts=20)
explainer.fit(activations)

# 3. Interpret the concepts
interpreter = LMLLabels(
    concept_explainer=explainer,
    activation_granularity=TOKEN,
    llm_interface=llm_interface.OpenAILLM(os.getenv("OPENAI_API_KEY")),
    k_context=10,
    system_prompt=SYSTEM_PROMPT,
)
interpretations = interpreter.interpret("all", dataset, activations)

print("\n".join(interpretations.values()))

```

(a) Minimal Python code

```

Specific location or organization names
Country or region names
Proper nouns or abbreviations indicating specific entities.
Entity labels indicating countries and regions
Financial and corporate entity references
Proper nouns or initialisms in headlines
Abbreviations and initialisms
Proper nouns indicating countries, companies, or personal names
Country or region names
References to numbers or measurements
Prepositions and simple nouns indicating relationships or locations
Proper nouns or specific terms.
Use of specific proper nouns and generic noun phrases.
Proper Noun Entity Recognition
Proper noun abbreviation prefixes
Political entities and references
Proper nouns and abbreviations
Political entities or governments
Proper noun prefixes or initials
Abbreviations of proper nouns

```

(b) Example concept labels

Figure 3: Code and output for concept-based explanations on a Qwen3-0.6B (Yang et al., 2025) generation model. Concepts are learned from activations computed on 100 AG News (Zhang et al., 2015) samples. The full pipeline runs in under 3 minutes on an RTX 3080 (10 GB).

API The API mirrors these steps. Figure 3a shows a minimal example; additional examples are provided in the [classification](#) and [generation](#) tutorials.

Class: World	
importance: 0.135,	Geopolitical and political entity references.
importance: 0.103,	Use of abbreviations, proper nouns, and luxury or social-related terms.
importance: 0.101,	Groupings of collective identities and associated social issues.
importance: 0.079,	Capitalized or hyphenated compound keywords related to sports, politics, or events.
importance: 0.078,	Religious and spiritual terminology dominance
Class: Sports	
importance: 0.134,	Concise sporting or scoring terminology.
importance: 0.114,	Numeric indicators combining words and hyphens often denote ordinal positions or specific references.
importance: 0.101,	Golf and baseball terminology with hyphenated and compound forms.
importance: 0.099,	Sports team and game sequence keywords
importance: 0.093,	Consistent use of lowercase, punctuation, and hyphenation patterns
Class: Business	
importance: 0.112,	Concatenated corporate and industry terminology patterns
importance: 0.106,	Commercial language focusing on postage, stamps, and related transactions
importance: 0.098,	Stock or corporate name and financial terminology patterns
importance: 0.097,	Financial and corporate terminology, combined with numeric values.
importance: 0.085,	Inconsistent use of escape characters and fragmented words.
Class: Sci/Tech	
importance: 0.136,	Technical cybersecurity and hacking-related terminology.
importance: 0.102,	Biological and technological terms related to genetics.
importance: 0.098,	Frequent mention of URLs, brand names, and advertising terms.
importance: 0.089,	Frequent use of LaTeX commands and technical terms.
importance: 0.083,	Non-standard or technical language elements and domain-specific terms

Figure 4: Global concept-based explanations for a DistilBERT(Sanh et al., 2019) classifier fine-tuned on AG News(Zhang et al., 2015). Extracted from the [classification tutorial](#).

1. *Split the model with the ModelWithSplitPoints wrapper built on NNsight (Fiotto-Kaufman et al., 2024).*
2. *Construct the concept space:* Collect activations through the split model wrapper. Instantiate an explainer (linked to concept models) with the wrapped model and fit on the activation dataset. Many explainers leverage overcomplete (Fel, 2025).
3. *Interpret concepts:* Use interpretation methods on the explainer.
4. *Estimate concept importance:* Use explainer’s concept-to-output gradient method.

Classification vs. generation The API is shared across tasks, but recommended settings differ:

- *Activation granularity:* For classification, use the [CLS] token; for generation, use non-special tokens. Configure via activation granularity when getting the activations.
- *Interpretation:* The labeling workflow differs accordingly; tutorials provide task-specific guidance.

Methods to define the concept space

- *Neurons as concepts:* Treat individual neurons as concepts(Geva et al., 2022).

- *Dictionary learning:* KMeans (Ghorbani et al., 2019), PCA (Zhang et al., 2021), SVD (Graziani et al., 2023; Jourdan et al., 2023a), ICA (Poché et al., 2025), NMF (Zhang et al., 2021; Fel et al., 2023b; Jourdan et al., 2023b), Semi-NMF, Convex NMF (Fel et al., 2025).
- *Sparse autoencoders (SAEs):* Vanilla SAE (Bricken et al., 2023; Huben et al., 2024), Jump-ReLU SAE (Rajamanoharan et al., 2024), Top- k SAE, Batch Top- k (Gao et al., 2025), Matching Pursuit SAE (Costa et al., 2025).

Methods for concept interpretation Top- k vocabulary tokens(Geva et al., 2022), top- k words (MaxAct)(Bricken et al., 2023), and LLM-based labeling(Bills et al., 2023).

Methods to estimate concept importance Concept-to-output gradients (Fel et al., 2023a) and concept \times gradients (Poché et al., 2025). Compatibility with the attributions module is planned.

Metrics

- *Concept-space faithfulness:* MSE(Bricken et al., 2023), FID(Fel et al., 2023a).
- *Concept-space complexity:* Sparsity(Bricken et al., 2023).
- *Concept-space stability:* Stability(Fel et al., 2023a; Paulo and Belrose, 2025).
- *General usefulness:* ConSim(Poché et al., 2025).

Custom method Each step is modular, allowing users to mix and match choices of split points, concept models, and interpretation methods. To add a new method, implement the corresponding abstraction and focus on the computational core; the library handles integration and execution. For new elements, we invite users to draw inspiration from the existing ones.

6 Limitations and outlook

INTERPRETO does not implement every explainability approach or cover all use cases. Some gaps reflect deliberate scope choices; others reflect time constraints. The library remains under active development.

Ongoing/near term

- Complement post-hoc *unsupervised* concept discovery with post-hoc *supervised* options (CAVs (Kim et al., 2018) and probes (Alain and Bengio, 2016; Belinkov, 2022)).
- Extend concept interpretation with VocabProj, TokenChange, and related methods (Gur-Arieh et al., 2025).
- Add interpretation-specific metrics (Detection, Fuzzing (Paulo et al., 2025), Clarity, Purity (Puri et al., 2025)).
- Improve performance to better utilize available GPUs.
- Add attribution methods such as RISE (Petsiuk et al., 2018) and RFEM (Ayyar et al., 2025).

Planned for later Multimodal support, example-based explanations, and tutorials that reproduce key papers. We also plan to connect the attribution and concept modules to enable input-to-concept attributions and to expand concept-to-output solutions.

Contributions are welcome; please refer to the project’s contributing guidelines. We aim to review pull requests promptly.

Out of scope We do not plan to include circuit-level MI methods, data attribution methods, or feature visualization methods. INTERPRETO will focus on HuggingFace models; generic `nn.Module` support may be added where only minimal adaptation is required.

Acknowledgments

This work was carried out within the DEEL¹ and FOR² projects, which is part of IRT Saint Exupéry and the ANITI AI cluster. The authors acknowledge the financial support from DEEL’s Industrial and Academic Members and the France 2030 program – Grant agreements n°ANR-10-AIRT-01 and n°ANR-23-IACL-0002.

References

- Guillaume Alain and Yoshua Bengio. 2016. Understanding intermediate layers using linear classifier probes. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- Giuseppe Attanasio, Eliana Pastor, Chiara Di Bonaventura, and Debora Nozza. 2023. ferret: a framework for benchmarking explainers on transformers. In *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)*.
- Meghna P Ayyar, Jenny Benois-Pineau, and Akka Zem-mari. 2025. There is more to attention: Statistical filtering enhances explanations in vision transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2510.06070*.
- Yonatan Belinkov. 2022. Probing classifiers: Promises, shortcomings, and advances. *Computational Linguistics*.
- Nora Belrose and Lucia Quirke. 2025. Sparsify transformers with saes and transcoders. <https://github.com/EleutherAI/sparsify>.
- Usha Bhalla, Suraj Srinivas, Asma Ghandeharioun, and Himabindu Lakkaraju. 2024. Towards unifying interpretability and control: Evaluation via intervention. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.04430*.
- Steven Bills, Nick Cammarata, Dan Mossing, Henk Tillman, Leo Gao, Gabriel Goh, Ilya Sutskever, Jan Leike, Jeff Wu, and William Saunders. 2023. Language models can explain neurons in language models. *OpenAI*.
- Joseph Bloom and David Chanin. 2024. Sae-lens. <https://github.com/jbloomAus/SAELens>.
- Trenton Bricken, Adly Templeton, Joshua Batson, Brian Chen, Adam Jermy, Tom Conerly, Nick Turner, Cem Anil, Carson Denison, Amanda Askell, Robert Lasenby, Yifan Wu, Shauna Kravec, Nicholas Schiefer, Tim Maxwell, Nicholas Joseph, Zac Hatfield-Dodds, Alex Tamkin, Karina Nguyen, and 6 others. 2023. Towards monosemanticity: Decomposing language models with dictionary learning.

¹<https://www.deel.ai/>

²<https://www.irt-saintexupery.com/fr/for-program/>

- Transformer Circuits Thread*. <https://transformer-circuits.pub/2023/monosemantic-features/index.html>.
- Valérie Costa, Thomas Fel, Ekdeep Singh Lubana, Bahareh Tolooshams, and Demba Ba. 2025. From flat to hierarchical: Extracting sparse representations with matching pursuit. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*.
- Jay DeYoung, Sarthak Jain, Nazneen Fatema Rajani, Eric Lehman, Caiming Xiong, Richard Socher, and Byron C Wallace. 2020. Eraser: A benchmark to evaluate rationalized nlp models. In *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)*.
- Clément Dumas. 2025. nnterp: A standardized interface for mechanistic interpretability of transformers. In *Mechanistic Interpretability Workshop at NeurIPS 2025*.
- Thomas Fel. 2025. Overcomplete: A vision-based sae toolbox. <https://github.com/KempnerInstitute/overcomplete>.
- Thomas Fel, Victor Boutin, Mazda Moayeri, Rémi Cadène, Louis Bethune, Mathieu Chalvidal, Thomas Serre, and 1 others. 2023a. A holistic approach to unifying automatic concept extraction and concept importance estimation. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*.
- Thomas FEL, Remi Cadene, Mathieu Chalvidal, Matthieu Cord, David Vigouroux, and Thomas Serre. 2021. Look at the variance! efficient black-box explanations with sobol-based sensitivity analysis. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*.
- Thomas Fel, Lucas Hervier, David Vigouroux, Antonin Poche, Justin Plakoo, Remi Cadene, Mathieu Chalvidal, Julien Colin, Thibaut Boissin, Louis Bethune, Agustin Picard, Claire Nicodeme, Laurent Gardes, Gregory Flandin, and Thomas Serre. 2022. Xplique: A deep learning explainability toolbox. *Workshop on Explainable Artificial Intelligence for Computer Vision (CVPR)*.
- Thomas Fel, Ekdeep Singh Lubana, Jacob S. Prince, Matthew Kowal, Victor Boutin, Isabel Papadimitriou, Binxu Wang, Martin Wattenberg, Demba Ba, and Talia Konkle. 2025. Archetypal sae: Adaptive and stable dictionary learning for concept extraction in large vision models. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*.
- Thomas Fel, Agustin Picard, Louis Bethune, Thibaut Boissin, David Vigouroux, Julien Colin, Rémi Cadène, and Thomas Serre. 2023b. Craft: Concept recursive activation factorization for explainability. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- Jaden Fiotto-Kaufman, Alexander R Loftus, Eric Todd, Jannik Brinkmann, Caden Juang, Koyena Pal, Can Rager, Aaron Mueller, Samuel Marks, Arnab Sen Sharma, Francesca Lucchetti, Michael Ripa, Adam Belfki, Nikhil Prakash, Sumeet Multani, Carla Brodley, Arjun Guha, Jonathan Bell, Byron Wallace, and David Bau. 2024. Nnsight and ndif: Democratizing access to foundation model internals. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.14561*.
- Leo Gao, Tom Dupré la Tour, Henk Tillman, Gabriel Goh, Rajan Troll, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, Jan Leike, and Jeffrey Wu. 2025. Scaling and evaluating sparse autoencoders. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- Mor Geva, Avi Caciularu, Kevin Wang, and Yoav Goldberg. 2022. Transformer feed-forward layers build predictions by promoting concepts in the vocabulary space. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*.
- Amirata Ghorbani, James Wexler, James Y Zou, and Been Kim. 2019. Towards automatic concept-based explanations. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*.
- Mara Graziani, An-phi Nguyen, Laura O’Mahony, Henning Müller, and Vincent Andrearczyk. 2023. Concept discovery and dataset exploration with singular value decomposition. In *ICLR 2023 Workshop on Pitfalls of limited data and computation for Trustworthy ML*.
- Yoav Gur-Arieh, Roy Mayan, Chen Agassy, Atticus Geiger, and Mor Geva. 2025. Enhancing automated interpretability with output-centric feature descriptions. In *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)*.
- Sara Hooker, Dumitru Erhan, Pieter-Jan Kindermans, and Been Kim. 2019a. A benchmark for interpretability methods in deep neural networks. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*.
- Sara Hooker, Dumitru Erhan, Pieter-Jan Kindermans, and Been Kim. 2019b. A benchmark for interpretability methods in deep neural networks. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*.
- Robert Huben, Hoagy Cunningham, Logan Riggs Smith, Aidan Ewart, and Lee Sharkey. 2024. Sparse autoencoders find highly interpretable features in language models. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- Sonia Joseph, Praneet Suresh, Lorenz Hufe, Edward Stevinson, Robert Graham, Yash Vadi, Danilo Bzdok, Sebastian Lapuschkin, Lee Sharkey, and Blake Aaron Richards. 2025. Prisma: An open source toolkit for mechanistic interpretability in vision and video. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.19475*.
- Fanny Jourdan, Louis Béthune, Agustin Picard, Laurent Risser, and Nicholas Asher. 2023a. Taco: Targeted concept erasure prevents non-linear classifiers

- from detecting protected attributes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.06499*.
- Fanny Jourdan, Agustin Picard, Thomas Fel, Laurent Risser, Jean Michel Loubes, and Nicholas Asher. 2023b. Cockatiel: Continuous concept ranked attribution with interpretable elements for explaining neural net classifiers on nlp tasks. *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)*.
- Adam Karvonen, Can Rager, Johnny Lin, Curt Tigges, Joseph Bloom, David Chanin, Yeu-Tong Lau, Eoin Farrell, Callum McDougall, Kola Ayonrinde, and 1 others. 2025. Saebench: A comprehensive benchmark for sparse autoencoders in language model interpretability. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*.
- Been Kim, Martin Wattenberg, Justin Gilmer, Carrie Cai, James Wexler, Fernanda Viegas, and 1 others. 2018. Interpretability beyond feature attribution: Quantitative testing with concept activation vectors (tcav). In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*.
- Narine Kokhlikyan, Vivek Miglani, Miguel Martin, Edward Wang, Bilal Alsallakh, Jonathan Reynolds, Alexander Melnikov, Natalia Kliushkina, Carlos Araya, Siqi Yan, and 1 others. 2020. Captum: A unified and generic model interpretability library for pytorch. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.07896*.
- Johnny Lin. 2023. Neuronpedia: Interactive reference and tooling for analyzing neural networks. <https://www.neuronpedia.org>.
- Scott Lundberg and Su-In Lee. 2017. A unified approach to interpreting model predictions. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*.
- Callum McDougall. 2024. Sae visualizer. https://github.com/callummcdougall/sae_vis.
- Neel Nanda and Joseph Bloom. 2022. Transformerlens. <https://github.com/TransformerLensOrg/TransformerLens>.
- Gonalo Paulo and Nora Belrose. 2025. Sparse autoencoders trained on the same data learn different features. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.16615*.
- Gonalo Paulo, Alex Mallen, Caden Juang, and Nora Belrose. 2025. Automatically interpreting millions of features in large language models. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*.
- Vitali Petsiuk, Abir Das, and Kate Saenko. 2018. Rise: Randomized input sampling for explanation of black-box models. In *Proceedings of the British Machine Vision Conference (BMVC)*.
- Antonin Poch , Alon Jacovi, Agustin Martin Picard, Victor Boutin, and Fanny Jourdan. 2025. Consim: Measuring concept-based explanations’ effectiveness with automated simulatability. In *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)*.
- Bruno Puri, Aakriti Jain, Elena Golimblevskaia, Patrick Kahardipraja, Thomas Wiegand, Wojciech Samek, and Sebastian Lapuschkin. 2025. Fade: Why bad descriptions happen to good features. In *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)*.
- Senthooran Rajamanoharan, Tom Lieberum, Nicolas Sonnerat, Arthur Conmy, Vikrant Varma, J nos Kram r, and Neel Nanda. 2024. Jumping ahead: Improving reconstruction fidelity with jumprelu sparse autoencoders. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.14435*.
- Marco Tulio Ribeiro, Sameer Singh, and Carlos Guestrin. 2016. "why should i trust you?": Explaining the predictions of any classifier. In *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD)*.
- Victor Sanh, Lysandre Debut, Julien Chaumond, and Thomas Wolf. 2019. Distilbert, a distilled version of bert: smaller, faster, cheaper and lighter. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*.
- Gabriele Sarti, Nils Feldhus, Ludwig Sickert, Oskar van der Wal, Malvina Nissim, and Arianna Bisazza. 2023. Inseq: An interpretability toolkit for sequence generation models. In *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)*.
- Avanti Shrikumar, Peyton Greenside, and Anshul Kundaje. 2017. Learning important features through propagating activation differences. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*.
- K Simonyan, A Vedaldi, and A Zisserman. 2014. Deep inside convolutional networks: visualising image classification models and saliency maps. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- Daniel Smilkov, Nikhil Thorat, Been Kim, Fernanda Vi gas, and Martin Wattenberg. 2017. Smoothgrad: removing noise by adding noise. In *Workshop on Visualization for Deep Learning*.
- Mukund Sundararajan, Ankur Taly, and Qiqi Yan. 2017. Axiomatic attribution for deep networks. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*.
- Zhengxuan Wu, Aryaman Arora, Atticus Geiger, Zheng Wang, Jing Huang, Dan Jurafsky, Christopher D Manning, and Christopher Potts. 2025. Axbench: Steering llms? even simple baselines outperform sparse autoencoders. *ArXiv e-print*.

- Zhengxuan Wu, Atticus Geiger, Aryaman Arora, Jing Huang, Zheng Wang, Noah Goodman, Christopher Manning, and Christopher Potts. 2024. [pyvene: A library for understanding and improving PyTorch models via interventions](#). In *Proceedings of the Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics (NAACL)*.
- An Yang, Anfeng Li, Baosong Yang, Beichen Zhang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chang Gao, Chengen Huang, Chenxu Lv, and 1 others. 2025. Qwen3 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.09388*.
- Matthew D Zeiler and Rob Fergus. 2014. Visualizing and understanding convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*.
- Ruihan Zhang, Prashan Madumal, Tim Miller, Krista A Ehinger, and Benjamin IP Rubinstein. 2021. Invertible concept-based explanations for cnn models with non-negative concept activation vectors. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*.
- Xiang Zhang, Junbo Jake Zhao, and Yann LeCun. 2015. Character-level convolutional networks for text classification. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*.