

Rogue Vertical Drafts in the Mesosphere and Lower Thermosphere: Evidence and Implications

J. L. Chau^{1,*}, A. Berera², D. Huyghebaert¹

¹Leibniz Institute of Atmospheric Physics at the University of Rostock, Kühlungsborn, Germany

²School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH9 3FD, United Kingdom

Correspondence*:

J. L. Chau

jchau@iap-kborn.de

ABSTRACT

Observational evidence of extreme vertical velocities ($|w| \geq 12.5$ m/s and at times greater than 50 m/s) in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere (MLT), has emerged in recent years. We refer to these events as Rogue Vertical Drafts (RVDs). They exceed five standard deviations of observed vertical velocities and appear as paired updraft–downdraft structures in varicose mode. Four-dimensional observations reveal that RVDs are intermittent, recurrent, and unpredictable. On average, they are expected to occur every ~ 12 days during summer over Northern Norway, assuming a 1000 s interval. Different instruments may capture only portions of these events—for example, only upward or downward drafts when restricted to a single altitude range. Despite their rarity, their magnitudes and frequency suggest potential impacts on dust-sized matter escaping from planets, natural and anthropogenic space material, and MLT climate and processes. We propose that RVDs are a fundamental yet under-recognized feature of the MLT, underscoring the need for global observations to assess their prevalence and significance.

Published: Front. Astron. Space Sci., doi:10.3389/fspas.2025.1716224, 2025.

Keywords: Extreme vertical velocities, Rogue vertical drafts, climate change monitoring, MLT observing techniques, space debris, noctilucent clouds, planetary life transfer

1 INTRODUCTION

The mesosphere and lower thermosphere (MLT) have been called the “ignorosphere”, mainly because the difficulty to observe them, when compared to their adjacent regions. Being the transition between the Earth’s atmosphere and the near-space environment, the MLT host fascinating processes, such as the ablation of the great majority of extra terrestrial material entering the Earth’s atmosphere. These material, in combination with the very cold temperatures over high latitudes during summer months, contribute to the occurrence of Noctilucent Clouds (NLC) and the associated radar and satellite versions, i.e., polar mesospheric summer echoes (PMSE) and polar mesospheric clouds, respectively (e.g., Rapp and Lübken, 2004; Fritts et al., 2020).

In recent years, significant observational efforts, both from ground and from satellite platforms, have been made. Such observations have helped improve the understanding of global circulation dynamics, including the mean winds and planetary-scale waves. Most of the MLT studies have been focused on

climatology or large-scale processes. Studies of higher spatio-temporal resolution processes have been limited to a few case studies, again due to the limited observing capabilities for the region. Nonetheless, one of the intriguing and recurrent features on regional and relatively high-resolution observations has been the occurrence of large vertical velocities.

Based on accepted residual circulation processes, the mean vertical velocities in the MLT are expected to be within the order of cm/s, and their variability in most general circulation models (GCMs) to be less than 1 m/s (e.g., Smith, 2012). Such variability has slightly increased with the advent of high-resolution GCMs, particularly in non-hydrostatic models (e.g., Kunze et al., 2025).

On the other hand, different sources of observations have shown that the standard variability of vertical velocities is within the order of a few m/s around 80-90 km and larger at high altitudes (e.g., Hoppe and Fritts, 1995a; Gudadze et al., 2019). On occasions, large vertical velocities ($|w| \geq 12.5$ m/s) have been observed with values much larger than five sigma variability (Chau et al., 2021a; Hartisch et al., 2024). In this work we call such events Rogue Vertical Drafts (RVDs).

In the following sections we present observational and numerical modelling evidence of RVDs; discuss their implications to dust escaping planets, space material from meteors and satellites, and MLT climate and processes; discuss their recurrence based on observations and statistical mechanics arguments. Finally, we share our concluding perspectives on the topic.

2 EVIDENCE

2.1 Observations of vertical velocities in the MLT

Measurements of vertical velocities in the MLT have primarily focused on their mean (synoptic) values, which are expected to be on the order of a few cm/s. Due to their relatively small magnitudes, direct measurements require high accuracy and appropriate spatial and temporal sampling. More recently, attention has shifted towards the measurement of instantaneous vertical velocities (w'), which are important for understanding MLT weather processes.

Mean vertical velocities in the MLT have been investigated using both radars and optical instruments. For radars, direct measurements have been conducted using polar mesospheric summer echoes (PMSE) as tracers (Balsley and Riddle, 1984; Hoppe and Fritts, 1995b) and incoherent scatter measurements (Zhou, 2000; Oyama et al., 2005), while indirect measurements have utilized specular meteor radars and partial reflection radars. Initial direct measurements of mean vertical winds showed discrepancies with the expected residual circulation, revealing larger velocities and opposite directions to the anticipated summer downward motions of a few cm/s (e.g., Hoppe and Fritts, 1995b). Gudadze et al. (2019) demonstrated that PMSE-based mean vertical velocity estimates are influenced by the sedimentation of ice particles and, more importantly, by the spatio-temporal characteristics of PMSE, which prevent uniform sampling of both positive and negative velocities.

Indirect radar measurements of mean vertical velocities have either incorporated residual circulation to infer vertical velocities from meridional winds at different polar latitudes (e.g., Vincent et al., 2019), or applied the continuity equation using precise gradients of horizontal winds (e.g., Laskar et al., 2017; Zeng et al., 2024). In both cases, reasonable small downward mean velocities have been obtained.

For w' , both radars and resonance lidars have been used (e.g., Gardner and Liu, 2007). Lidar measurements over short periods and small volumes reveal w' values of a few m/s, occasionally lasting tens of minutes, and displaying both positive and negative excursions (e.g., Chu et al., 2022, Figures 1c and 1d). PMSE-based

and ISR D-region measurements also show w' variability of a few m/s (e.g., Hoppe and Fritts, 1995b; Zhou, 2000; Gong et al., 2017; Gudadze et al., 2019). Nonetheless, Chau et al. (2021a) reported an extreme event characterized by updrafts reaching 60 m/s in the upper PMSE region, accompanied by downdrafts of -50 m/s in the lower region, both lasting several minutes over the relatively small volume of the radar. These magnitudes were over five times the typical w' standard deviation (see Figure 1). More recently, Hartisch et al. (2024) reported additional events over northern Norway, showing updrafts and downdrafts lasting several minutes, with velocities up to 3–4 times the standard deviation, i.e., less than 30 m/s.




Figure 1. An example of an RVD observed over northern Norway on July 16, 2016. (a) A Altitude-Time-Doppler Intensity plot of PMSE, color-coded with vertical velocities. (b) Spectrogram at 0430 UT showing extreme updraft and downdraft regions. The dashed red lines indicate the 3-sigma values during that season. (c) A horizontal-altitude cut around 0430 UT of PMSE, also color-coded with vertical velocities. Adapted from Chau et al. (2021a).

The 557.7 nm optical emissions from the lower thermosphere have also provided opportunities to monitor vertical winds (Larsen and Meriwether, 2012). By using a Fabry-Perot interferometer it is possible to obtain the vertical wind emissions by measuring the Doppler shift of the emissions. Instances of vertical winds greater than 50 m/s have been detected previously with these measurements, though one potential issue with the 557.7 nm emission is that the determination of the altitude of the origin of the emissions can be variable throughout a measurement period during active auroral conditions (Larsen and Meriwether, 2012). Multiple instances of vertical winds greater than 20 m/s lasting several hours existed in the different datasets presented.

Airglow measurements from hydroxyl (OH) in the MLT have also been used to infer vertical wind velocities at polar latitudes in the winter using a Michelson Interferometer (Bhattacharya and Gerrard, 2010). The emission rate of OH peaks at approximately 84 km in altitude. Data from multiple arctic winter campaigns were analysed to determine the vertical winds at the mesopause altitude. Velocities within ± 20 m/s were common, with some events reaching speeds greater than this. A potential link of the daily variance in vertical winds to the polar vortex location was suggested.

These observations remain limited to specific geographic locations and times of year. For instance, resonance lidar observations are constrained to clear nighttime conditions, while PMSE observations are limited to the summer polar season when echoes are present. To address these sampling limitations, efforts have been made to retrieve MLT vertical velocities—both mean and perturbations—using specular meteor radars (SMRs), which operate continuously regardless of weather conditions and at all latitudes.

Most SMRs operate in monostatic mode and traditionally provide horizontal velocities averaged over a volume with a 200 km radius, 2 km vertical resolution, and 1–2 hour intervals, assuming zero mean vertical velocity. Occasionally, estimates of mean vertical velocities have been attempted, yielding values significantly larger than expected from tidal theory (e.g., Egito et al., 2016). Chau et al. (2017) noted that monostatic systems can yield biased vertical velocity estimates due to horizontal velocity gradients, necessitating corrections that require multistatic SMRs (MSMRs). Despite these corrections, MSMR-based vertical velocity estimates remain large (10–15 m/s) and persist for several hours (e.g., Chau et al., 2021b; Conte et al., 2021; Charuvil Asokan et al., 2022b). Charuvil Asokan et al. (2022a), using a virtual MSMR setup within a regional atmospheric model, demonstrated that small-scale variability in horizontal winds, if not uniformly sampled, contributes to biases in vertical velocity estimates. Additionally, uncertainties in measurement positions, the nature of the scattering region, and the precision of one-dimensional velocity projections also affect these estimates (e.g., Stober et al., 2022).

To address these challenges while still pursuing vertical velocity retrievals, Urco et al. (2024) implemented a physics-informed neural network (PINN) approach called HYPER (HYdrodynamic Point-wise Environment Reconstructor), which integrates the Navier–Stokes equations into the velocity inversion process. HYPER provides w' estimates over a $50 \text{ km} \times 50 \text{ km} \times 1 \text{ km}$ domain every 30 minutes, with magnitudes of a few m/s, while mean values remain on the order of a few cm/s. These w' values are consistent with high-resolution non-hydrostatic atmospheric model simulations. However, RVD events have not yet been reported from HYPER analyses.

2.2 Sources for creating vertical winds

The RVDs mentioned above are consistent with predictions from direct numerical simulations (DNS) of stratified flows. Feraco et al. (2018) predicted intense, localized vertical velocities in space and time under specific stratification conditions, particularly for Froude numbers in the range ~ 0.1 – 0.01 . Amplification of w' has also been obtained in 2D DNS simulations of mesospheric bores, where ducted atmospheric regions were perturbed by waves, with greater amplification for thinner ducts (e.g., Ramachandran et al., 2023). Using the CGCAM (Complex Geometry Compressible Atmospheric Model), a finite-volume code solving the compressible Navier–Stokes equations, Lund et al. (2020) simulated large MLT vertical velocities of several tens of m/s over mountainous regions.

3 IMPLICATIONS

3.1 Space dust planetary escape mechanism

Observations find that hypervelocity space dust at speeds $\approx 10 - 70 \text{ km/s}$ continuously bombard the Earth, at the level of $\approx 10^5$ kilograms per day (Kyte and Wasson, 1986; Love and Brownlee, 1993; Flynn, 2002; Carpenter et al., 2007; Plane, 2012). Such fast space dust produces immense momentum flows in the higher atmosphere from the upper mesosphere and above. In Berera (2017) it was pointed out that the speed of this space dust is sufficiently large that in collision with particles in the higher atmosphere, it could transfer considerable momentum, enough for some particles to reach escape velocity and leave

Earth's gravitational pull. Such particles could be constituents that comprise the upper atmosphere, so the various atoms and molecules that form it, but these particles might also be tiny pieces of biological material, such as DNA or microbial life, and propel them into space free of Earth. The latter possibility is particularly interesting to origin of life questions. One common idea is that life began on Earth and possibly other planets by initially entering the planet from outer space. This space dust planetary escape mechanism would provide one means for biological particles to leave the gravitational pull of its host planet.

Estimates on the flux of space dust bombarding Earth based on ground and satellite measurements show there are approximately 10^9 grams of space dust per year for each decade of particle mass from space dust grain masses ranging from 10^{-9} g to 10^{-2} g (Kyte and Wasson, 1986; Love and Brownlee, 1993; Flynn, 2002; Carpenter et al., 2007; Plane, 2012). This space dust will enter Earth's atmosphere moving in all different directions. A fraction of this space dust will have a grazing trajectory, so enter and then exist Earth's atmosphere. At 150 km, Berera (2017) estimated this to be around 20% of the total space dust flux. A typical scale for a small biological particle is a radius at or smaller than 10^{-6} m with a typical matter density of $1 - 2 \times 10^3$ kg/m³, so giving a mass $\sim 10^{-11}$ g. This is much less massive than a major portion of space dust grains, so collisions would accelerate such biological particles to the speed of the colliding fast space dust particle, thus often to above escape velocity. However friction with the atmosphere could drag these particles and heat them up. Only above around 150 km it was found in Berera (2017) that the atmospheric density is low enough for atmospheric drag and heating to be negligible for small particles at escape velocity speed.

At an altitude of 150 km biological material is not expected to be commonly found. At sea level and into the troposphere and even up to the middle of the stratosphere there are small particles up to micron size including biological material found (Rosen, 1964; Xu et al., 2003; Heintzenberg et al., 2003; Yin et al., 2012; Ursem, 2016). At higher altitude noctilucent clouds are present 80 – 90 km above sea level, so if water vapour can reach that high up one could expect perhaps there is also biological material present. However above this altitude one would not expect any large quantity of biological material reaching by natural means. Thus for this escape mechanism to be effective, it requires that these small biological organisms be transported from the troposphere, where they are abundantly present, up to the lower thermosphere. There are various mechanisms that provide upward forces on small particles in the atmosphere such as hurricanes and extreme events in the lower atmosphere and volcanoes but these can only push up particles into the stratosphere, perhaps at best into the lower mesosphere (Verbeek, 1884; Self and Rampino, 1981; Ludlam, 1957; Wilson et al., 1978; Tupper et al., 2009). Gravito-photophoresis arising from sunlight irradiating particles can elevate micron scale particles up to 80 km (Rohatschek, 1996). However above this altitude mesospheric and thermospheric vertical winds would be the most likely means (Berera, 2017; Berera and Brener, 2022).

To calculate the effect of vertical winds on altitude climb for a test particle, Berera and Brener (2022) developed a simple model. This model recognizes a symmetry that the profile of vertical winds is similar over different horizontal positions over the Earth, thus allowing the complicated horizontal dynamics to be factored out at zeroth approximation. It is then a one-dimensional problem to estimate the particle altitude climb. Vertical winds also vary in velocity over time, but to simplify they consider a constant upward vertical wind. This captures the basic physics and allows for simple estimates. Berera and Brener (2022) considered the climb for a disc shaped particle of density ρ_p , radius r and height h , thus the mass being $m_p = \pi r^2 h \rho_p$. The equation obtained from this model for the evolution of a test particle from a vertical wind is,

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = -g + \frac{\rho(z)}{\rho_p h} (w(z, t) - v(t))^2, \quad (1)$$

where v is the upward speed of the particle (negative being downward), $g \approx 9.8 \text{ m/s}^2$ is the gravitational acceleration near Earth, $w > 0$ is the upward speed of the vertical wind, $\rho(z)$ the density of the atmosphere at the specified altitude z , and h the height of the test particle. This equation is valid for $w > v(t)$. When $dv/dt = 0$, this gives the steady state velocity of the test particle of $v(t) = w - v_{th}$, where $v_{th} = \sqrt{g\rho_p h / \rho(z)}$ is the threshold velocity, which is the minimum upward velocity the vertical wind must have for the test particle to move upward. At each altitude z , v_{th} depends only on the properties of the test particle and the atmospheric density. For example at altitude $z = 100 \text{ km}$, $\rho(z) = 5.604 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg/m}^3$ (U.S. Standard Atmosphere value). Biological material have internal density ranging $1 - 2 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3$ and size as small as some tenths of a nm for DNA molecules to tens of nm for viruses or nanobes to hundreds of nm for small bacteria. This gives $v_{th(\text{DNA})} \approx 3 \text{ m/s}$, $v_{th(\text{small virus})} \approx v_{th(\text{nanobe})} \approx 22 \text{ m/s}$, and $v_{th(\text{small bacteria})} \approx 42 \text{ m/s}$. Thus for Rogue Vertical Drafts reported in the previous section of $\sim 10 \text{ m/s}$ for minutes to hours, these would drive up DNA molecules, whereas updrafts reported up to 60 m/s could push up nanobe, small viruses, and small bacteria.

In summary, large upward vertical winds in the MLT at speeds above $\sim 10 \text{ m/s}$, like those in RVDs, can provide sufficient force for the size and internal density of small biological material to push them upward in the atmosphere, against the force of gravity. For such particles to attain large climbs by this process one possibility is from large sustained upward drafts. Another possibility is in general the vertical winds will have both upward and downward drafts as function both of time at a given location or at different locations. Also such particles will be subjected to the effect of large horizontal winds. This can create a random walk type motion of the test particles, therefore some proportion of such particles will reach the extremes of the distribution and attain sizeable climbs in altitude.

3.2 Climate science

The occurrence and duration of extreme weather events have been shown to be increasing in the Troposphere with links to climate change (e.g., Ummenhofer and Meehl, 2017). It can be expected that there will also be associated increases in extreme weather events at higher altitudes due to interactions between the different atmospheric regions. There are links between Sudden Stratospheric Warmings (SSW) and the wave-influenced coupling of the stratosphere-mesosphere-thermosphere system, with significant effects on the temperature and circulation of the mesosphere during these events (Chandran et al., 2014). SSW have also been shown to be increasing in length from the 1980s to the 2010s by 50%, from 10 days to 15 days, with a potential attribution to climate change (Li et al., 2023). These SSW cause strong perturbations from the mean circulation patterns of the mesosphere, which increases the probability of RVDs occurring.

3.3 Natural and anthropogenic space material

The understanding of extreme MLT weather is important due to the different complex chemical processes that occur related to the heavy metal ions in the region. The transport of metallic species from ablated meteoric material can have important implications for different reactions, including those involving ozone (Plane et al., 2015). Vertical winds and the transport of heavy metal ions from 90 to 100 km can also increase the lifetimes of these ions by orders of magnitude. The metals can further affect sporadic E-layer formation and radio wave propagation in the region. By monitoring MLT RVDs consistently over long periods of time, one can better understand how the changing terrestrial climate is influencing the upper atmospheric layers.

At altitudes below approximately 85 km space debris begins to ablate in the terrestrial atmosphere. This releases exotic materials into the region which can have significant impact on the atmospheric chemistry. Upward vertical drafts can move this ablated material to higher altitudes, which can result in significantly longer lifetimes before molecularization of the metallic atoms below 80 km and ultimately sedimentation (Plane et al., 2015). In the opposite case, downward RVDs could quickly reduce the atomic metal content in the MLT through the transport of recently ablated material to regions where the metallic atoms would be converted to molecular species through chemical processes.

4 THEORY - JUSTIFICATION FOR PRESENCE OF FAST VERTICAL WINDS

We interpret Rogue Vertical Drafts as extreme weather events in the higher atmosphere, the mesosphere and above. Where the hydrostatic approximation is a successful mean description of the atmosphere, RVDs should be understood as extreme and relatively infrequent fluctuations about this mean. This interpretation thus implies a probability distribution function (PDF) that quantifies vertical wind behavior, with RVDs at the outer tails of such a distribution. Here we construct one such example of a PDF from vertical wind data measured by the Middle Atmosphere Alomar Radar System (MAARSY) radar (Latteck et al., 2012).

The total vertical wind statistics reported by Hartisch et al. (2024) are displayed in Figure 2 as a population density in percentage. Each of these measurements were from PMSE with MAARSY and are therefore from altitudes between 80-90 km in the summer months (June-August). The time and range resolutions are approximately 100 s and 300 m. Typically PMSEs will cover 1-10 km in extent, and the winds can be relatively consistent for 10s of minutes. If we consider that the events last ≈ 1000 s (10 measurement time intervals), at an occurrence of 1/1000 for events with updrafts greater than 12.5 m/s, the updraft RVDs could occur every ≈ 12 days based on the occurrence rates in Figure 2. These estimates focus on the summer months, therefore different measurement techniques for other times of the year would be needed - such as meteor trail derived vertical winds, airglow measurements, or LIDAR.

A Gaussian fit is made to the original velocity data, with the resulting population density of the fit shown by the red line in Figure 2. The function that is fit is:

$$f(x) = A \exp \left[-\frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \quad (2)$$

Where A is the amplitude, μ is the mean, and σ is the standard deviation. With this data, a 5σ difference is ≈ 10.72 m/s. The standard deviation of the dataset without fitting a normal distribution is 2.624 m/s. 12.5 m/s would be considered a sufficiently extreme event if the data is expected to follow a normal distribution. To summarize, we have an expected rate of approximately one 15 minute vertical draft event with a velocity greater than 12.5 m/s every 12-days. Note again that this is for data in Northern Norway during the summer using PMSEs as a tracer and the data are therefore limited to altitudes of 80-90 km. The RVD occurrence rate could vary greatly by altitude, season, and measurement location. Tornadoes and hurricanes, as examples, do not commonly occur in all regions of the globe.

Summertime incoherent scatter from the Tromsø UHF radar were also previously investigated at altitudes of 96-111 km to examine the vertical wind velocities in the MLT region (Oyama et al., 2005). Distributions showed velocities of ± 20 m/s commonly, with extreme cases of speeds greater than this. The PDF for these measurements had standard deviations of less than 15 m/s. This would mean an increase in the standard deviation with altitude if we consider Figure 2 and the resulting standard deviation found, corresponding to




Figure 2. Distribution of vertical velocities measured by MAARSY in Northern Norway. Adapted from Hartisch et al. (2024).

an increase in the likelihood of large vertical drafts at higher altitudes. This is not unexpected due to the decreasing atmospheric density with altitude.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Rogue Vertical Drafts represent a unique and identifiable extreme phenomenon in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere (MLT). We have reviewed observational evidence for these events and offered perspectives

on their broader significance, extending beyond atmospheric science to astrobiology, climate processes, and the dispersal of natural and anthropogenic space material.

Future research should prioritize determining the spatial and temporal extent of RVDs and assessing whether their global occurrence rates depend on local geophysical conditions. Equally critical is uncovering the physical mechanisms driving these extreme drafts. Progress on these fronts will require enhanced instrument duty cycles, a broader global network of high-resolution MLT wind measurements, and comprehensive theoretical and numerical modeling once a sufficiently robust observational database is available.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors have contribute to writing and revision. In addition, DH generated Figure 2.

FUNDING

This work was partially supported by the HONDA project funded by the German Science Foundation (DFG 552554225).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Jennifer Hartisch for providing the vertical wind statistics used in Figure 2.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data used in the figure of this paper have been already published (<https://doi.org/10.22000/1688>). References to those publications are included.

REFERENCES

- Balsley, B. B. and Riddle, A. C. (1984). Monthly mean values of the mesospheric wind field over poker flat, alaska. *Journal of Atmospheric Sciences* 41, 2368 – 2380. doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1984)041<2368:MMVOTM>2.0.CO;2
- Berera, A. (2017). Space dust collisions as a planetary escape mechanism. *Astrobiology* 17, 1274–1282
- Berera, A. and Brener, D. J. (2022). On the force of vertical winds in the upper atmosphere: consequences for small biological particles. *Proc. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 478, 20210626
- Bhattacharya, Y. and Gerrard, A. J. (2010). Wintertime mesopause region vertical winds from resolute bay. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 115. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014113>
- Carpenter, J. D., Stevenson, T. J., Fraser, G. W., Bridges, J. C., Kearsley, A. T., Chater, R. J., et al. (2007). Nanometer hypervelocity dust impacts in low earth orbit. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 112. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JE002923>

- Chandran, A., Collins, R., and Harvey, V. (2014). Stratosphere-mesosphere coupling during stratospheric sudden warming events. *Advances in Space Research* 53, 1265–1289. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2014.02.005>
- Charuvil Asokan, H., Chau, J. L., Larsen, M. F., Conte, J. F., Marino, R., Vierinen, J., et al. (2022a). Validation of Multistatic Meteor Radar Analysis Using Modeled Mesospheric Dynamics: An Assessment of the Reliability of Gradients and Vertical Velocities. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 127. doi:10.1029/2021JD036039
- Charuvil Asokan, H., Chau, J. L., Marino, R., Vierinen, J., Vargas, F., Urco, J. M., et al. (2022b). Frequency spectra of horizontal winds in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere region from multistatic specular meteor radar observations during the SIMONe 2018 campaign. *Earth, Planets and Space* 74. doi:10.1186/s40623-022-01620-7
- Chau, J. L., Marino, R., Feraco, F., Urco, J. M., Baumgarten, G., Lübken, F. J., et al. (2021a). Radar Observation of Extreme Vertical Drafts in the Polar Summer Mesosphere. *Geophysical Research Letters* 48. doi:10.1029/2021GL094918
- Chau, J. L., Stober, G., Hall, C. M., Tsutsumi, M., Laskar, F. I., and Hoffmann, P. (2017). Polar mesospheric horizontal divergence and relative vorticity measurements using multiple specular meteor radars. *Radio Science* 52, 811–828. doi:10.1002/2016RS006225.
- Chau, J. L., Urco, J. M., Vierinen, J., Harding, B. J., Clahsen, M., Pfeffer, N., et al. (2021b). Multistatic Specular Meteor Radar Network in Peru: System Description and Initial Results. *Earth and Space Science* 8, e01293. doi:10.1029/2020EA001293
- Chu, X., Gardner, C. S., Li, X., and Lin, C. Y.-T. (2022). Vertical transport of sensible heat and meteoric na by the complete temporal spectrum of gravity waves in the mlt above mcmurdo (77.84°s, 166.67°e), antarctica. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 127, e2021JD035728. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035728>. E2021JD035728 2021JD035728
- Conte, J. F., Chau, J. L., Urco, J. M., Latteck, R., Vierinen, J., and Salvador, J. O. (2021). First Studies of Mesosphere and Lower Thermosphere Dynamics Using a Multistatic Specular Meteor Radar Network Over Southern Patagonia. *Earth and Space Science* 8. doi:10.1029/2020EA001356
- Egito, F., Andrioli, V., and Batista, P. (2016). Vertical winds and momentum fluxes due to equatorial planetary scale waves using all-sky meteor radar over brazilian region. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics* 149, 108–119. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2016.10.005>
- Feraco, F., Marino, R., Pumir, A., Primavera, L., Mininni, P. D., Pouquet, A., et al. (2018). Vertical drafts and mixing in stratified turbulence: Sharp transition with froude number. *Europhysics Letters* 123, 44002. doi:10.1209/0295-5075/123/44002
- Flynn, G. J. (2002). Extraterrestrial dust in the near-earth environment. In *Meteors in the earth's atmosphere*, eds. E. Murad and I. P. Williams (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge). 77–94
- Fritts, D. C., Kaifler, N., Kaifler, B., Geach, C., Kjellstrand, C. B., Williams, B. P., et al. (2020). Mesospheric bore evolution and instability dynamics observed in pmc turbo imaging and rayleigh lidar profiling over northeastern canada on 13 july 2018. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 125, e2019JD032037. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD032037>. E2019JD032037 2019JD032037
- Gardner, C. S. and Liu, A. Z. (2007). Seasonal variations of the vertical fluxes of heat and horizontal momentum in the mesopause region at starfire optical range, new mexico. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 112. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006179>
- Gong, Y., Ma, Z., Li, Y., Zhou, Q., Zhang, S., and Huang, C. (2017). The effect of doppler broadening on d region negative ion ratio measurements at arecibo. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics* 122, 5816–5824. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JA023805>

- Gudadze, N., Stober, G., and Chau, J. L. (2019). Can VHF radars at polar latitudes measure mean vertical winds in the presence of PMSE?. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 19, 4485–4497. doi:10.5194/acp-19-4485-2019.
- Hartisch, J., Chau, J. L., Latteck, R., Renkowitz, T., and Zecha, M. (2024). Observations of polar mesospheric summer echoes resembling kilometer-scale varicose-mode flows. *Ann. Geophys* 42, 29–43. doi:10.5194/angeo-42-29-2024
- Heintzenberg, J., Hermann, M., and Theiss, D. (2003). Out of africa: High aerosol concentrations in the upper troposphere over africa. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 3, 1191–1198. doi:10.5194/acp-3-1191-2003
- Hoppe, U.-P. and Fritts, D. C. (1995a). High-resolution measurements of vertical velocity with the european incoherent scatter vhf radar: 1. motion field characteristics and measurement biases. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 100, 16813–16825. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD01466
- Hoppe, U.-P. and Fritts, D. C. (1995b). On the downward bias in vertical velocity measurements by vhf radars. *Geophysical Research Letters* 22, 619–622. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/95GL00165
- Kunze, M., Zülicke, C., Siddiqui, T. A., Stephan, C. C., Yamazaki, Y., Stolle, C., et al. (2025). UA-ICON with the NWP physics package (version ua-icon-2.1): mean state and variability of the middle atmosphere. *Geoscientific Model Development* 18, 3359–3385. doi:10.5194/gmd-18-3359-2025
- Kyte, F. T. and Wasson, J. T. (1986). Accretion rate of extraterrestrial matter: Iridium deposited 33 to 67 million years ago. *Science* 232, 1225–1229. doi:10.1126/science.232.4755.1225
- Larsen, M. F. and Meriwether, J. W. (2012). Vertical winds in the thermosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics* 117. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JA017843
- Laskar, F. I., Chau, J. L., St.-Maurice, J. P., Stober, G., Hall, C. M., Tsutsumi, M., et al. (2017). Experimental Evidence of Arctic Summer Mesospheric Upwelling and Its Connection to Cold Summer Mesopause. *Geophysical Research Letters* 44, 9151–9158. doi:10.1002/2017GL074759
- Latteck, R., Singer, W., Rapp, M., Vandepeer, B., Renkowitz, T., Zecha, M., et al. (2012). MAARSY: The new MST radar on Andøya—system description and first results. *Radio Science* 47. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2011RS004775
- Li, Y., Kirchengast, G., Schwaerz, M., and Yuan, Y. (2023). Monitoring sudden stratospheric warmings under climate change since 1980 based on reanalysis data verified by radio occultation. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 23, 1259–1284. doi:10.5194/acp-23-1259-2023
- Love, S. G. and Brownlee, D. E. (1993). A direct measurement of the terrestrial mass accretion rate of cosmic dust. *Science* 262, 550–553. doi:10.1126/science.262.5133.550
- Ludlam, F. H. (1957). Noctilucent clouds. *Tellus* 9, 341–364. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2153-3490.1957.tb01890.x
- Lund, T. S., Fritts, D. C., Wan, K., Laughman, B., and Liu, H.-L. (2020). Numerical simulation of mountain waves over the southern andes. part i: Mountain wave and secondary wave character, evolutions, and breaking. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 77, 4337 – 4356. doi:10.1175/JAS-D-19-0356.1
- Oyama, S., Watkins, B. J., Nozawa, S., Maeda, S., and Conde, M. (2005). Vertical ion motion observed with incoherent scatter radars in the polar lower ionosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics* 110. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JA010705
- Plane, J. M. C. (2012). Cosmic dust in the earth’s atmosphere. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 41, 6507–6518. doi:10.1039/C2CS35132C
- Plane, J. M. C., Feng, W., and Dawkins, E. C. M. (2015). The mesosphere and metals: Chemistry and changes. *Chemical Reviews* 115, 4497–4541. doi:10.1021/cr500501m

- Ramachandran, K., Sivakandan, M., Chau, J. L., Urco, J. M., Gerding, M., Grundmann, S., et al. (2023). Investigation of a Dissipating Mesospheric Bore Using Airglow Imager and Direct Numerical Simulation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics* 128. doi:10.1029/2022JA031114
- Rapp, M. and Lübken, F.-J. (2004). Polar mesosphere summer echoes (pmse): Review of observations and current understanding. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 4, 2601–2633. doi:10.5194/acp-4-2601-2004
- Rohatschek, H. (1996). Levitation of stratospheric and mesospheric aerosols by gravito-photophoresis. *J. Aerosol Sci.* 27, 467–475
- Rosen, J. M. (1964). The vertical distribution of dust to 30 kilometers. *Journal of Geophysical Research (1896-1977)* 69, 4673–4676. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/JZ069i021p04673
- Self, S. and Rampino, M. R. (1981). The 1883 eruption of krakatau. *Nature* 294, 699–704
- Smith, A. K. (2012). Global dynamics of the mlt. *Surveys in Geophysics* 33, 1177–1230. doi:10.1007/s10712-012-9196-9
- Stober, G., Liu, A., Kozlovsky, A., Qiao, Z., Kuchar, A., Jacobi, C., et al. (2022). Meteor radar vertical wind observation biases and mathematical debiasing strategies including the 3dvar+div algorithm. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 15, 5769–5792. doi:10.5194/amt-15-5769-2022
- Tupper, A., Textor, C., Herzog, M., Graf, H.-F., and Richards, M. (2009). Tall clouds from small eruptions: the sensitivity of eruption height and fine ash content to tropospheric instability. *Natural Hazards: Journal of the International Society for the Prevention and Mitigation of Natural Hazards* 51, 375–401
- Ummenhofer, C. C. and Meehl, G. A. (2017). Extreme weather and climate events with ecological relevance: a review. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 372, 20160135. doi:10.1098/rstb.2016.0135
- Urco, J. M., Feraco, F., Chau, J. L., and Marino, R. (2024). Augmented Four - Dimensional Mesosphere and Lower Thermosphere Wind Field Reconstruction via the Physics - Informed Machine Learning Approach HYPER. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Machine Learning and Computation* 1. doi:10.1029/2024JH000162
- Ursem, B. (2016). Climate shifts and the role of nano structured particles in the atmosphere. *Atmos. Clim. Sci.* 06, 51–76
- Verbeek, R. D. M. (1884). The krakatoa eruption. *Nature* 30, 10–15
- Vincent, R. A., Kovalam, S., Murphy, D. J., Reid, I. M., and Younger, J. P. (2019). Trends and variability in vertical winds in the southern hemisphere summer polar mesosphere and lower thermosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 124, 11070–11085. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030735
- Wilson, L., Sparks, R. S. J., Huang, T. C., and Watkins, N. D. (1978). The control of volcanic column heights by eruption energetics and dynamics. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 83, 1829–1836. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/JB083iB04p01829
- Xu, L., Shi, G., Zhang, L., Zhou, J., and Iwasaka, Y. (2003). Number concentration, size distribution and fine particle fraction of tropospheric and stratospheric aerosols. *China Particuology* 1, 201–205. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1672-2515(07)60142-0
- Yin, Y., Chen, Q., Jin, L., Chen, B., Zhu, S., and Zhang, X. (2012). The effects of deep convection on the concentration and size distribution of aerosol particles within the upper troposphere: A case study. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 117. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JD017827
- Zeng, J., Stober, G., Yi, W., Xue, X., Zhong, W., Reid, I., et al. (2024). Mesosphere/lower thermosphere 3-dimensional spatially resolved winds observed by chinese multistatic meteor radar network using the newly developed vvp method. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 129, e2023JD040642. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2023JD040642. E2023JD040642 2023JD040642

Zhou, Q. H. (2000). Incoherent scatter radar measurement of vertical winds in the mesosphere. *Geophysical Research Letters* 27, 1803–1806. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GL003747>